# Rapid Detection of SARS-CoV-2 by Low Volume Real-Time Single Tube Reverse Transcription Recombinase Polymerase Amplification Using an Exo Probe with an Internally Linked Quencher (Exo-IQ)

Ole Behrmann,<sup>a,</sup>\* Iris Bachmann,<sup>a</sup> Martin Spiegel,<sup>a,b</sup> Marina Schramm,<sup>a</sup> Ahmed Abd El Wahed,<sup>c,d</sup> Gerhard Dobler,<sup>e</sup> Gregory Dame,<sup>a,f</sup> and Frank T. Hufert<sup>a,f</sup>

**BACKGROUND:** The current outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 has spread to almost every country with more than 5 million confirmed cases and over 300,000 deaths as of May 26, 2020. Rapid first-line testing protocols are needed for outbreak control and surveillance.

METHODS: We used computational and manual designs to generate a suitable set of reverse transcription recombinase polymerase amplification (RT-RPA) primer and exonuclease probe, internally quenched (exo-IQ), sequences targeting the SARS-CoV-2 N gene. RT-RPA sensitivity was determined by amplification of in vitro transcribed RNA standards. Assay selectivity was demonstrated with a selectivity panel of 32 nucleic acid samples derived from common respiratory viruses. To validate the assay against full-length SARS-CoV-2 RNA, total viral RNA derived from cell culture supernatant and 19 nasopharyngeal swab samples (8 positive and 11 negative for SARS-CoV-2) were screened. All results were compared to established RT-qPCR assays.

**RESULTS:** The 95% detection probability of the RT-RPA assay was determined to be 7.74 (95% CI: 2.87– 27.39) RNA copies per reaction. The assay showed no cross-reactivity to any other screened coronaviruses or respiratory viruses of clinical significance. The developed RT-RPA assay produced 100% diagnostic sensitivity and specificity when compared to RT-qPCR (n = 20).

**CONCLUSIONS:** With a run time of 15 to 20 minutes and first results being available in under 7 minutes for high

RNA concentrations, the reported assay constitutes one of the fastest nucleic acid based detection methods for SARS-CoV-2 to date and may provide a simple-to-use alternative to RT-qPCR for first-line screening at the point of need.

## Introduction

In December 2019, several cases of a new form of respiratory disease were first described in the central Chinese city of Wuhan (Hubei Province, People's Republic of China) (1). The initial outbreak soon spread to other regions and has now led to a global pandemic. The causative agent was identified as a novel coronavirus of possible bat origin closely related to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV) (2) and designated as SARS-CoV-2 by the World Health Organization (WHO).

Current protocols for the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection rely on reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) for the detection of viral RNA (3, 4). However, due to the need for energy intensive thermocycling, PCR-based methods are cumbersome to implement for rapid and decentralized screening at the point of need. Recently, isothermal nucleic acid amplification methods such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) (5) or recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) (6) have become available. These methods do not require sophisticated thermocycling instrumentation

\*Address correspondence to this author at: Medizinische Hochschule Brandenburg Theodor Fontane, Universitätsplatz 1, 01968 Senftenberg, Germany. Fax +49-3573-85890; e-mail ole.behrmann@mhb-fontane.de. Received April 8, 2020; accepted May 5, 2020. DOI: 10.1093/clinchem/hvaa116

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Institute of Microbiology and Virology, Brandenburg Medical School Fontane, Neuruppin, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Infection Biology Unit, German Primate Center-Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Göttingen, Germany; <sup>C</sup>Division of Microbiology and Animal Hygiene, University of Göttingen, Germany; <sup>d</sup>Institute of Animal Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; <sup>e</sup>Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology (IMB), Munich, Germany; <sup>f</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, Joint Faculty of the Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus – Senftenberg, the Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane and the University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany.

and rely on enzymatic processes for all stages of DNA amplification. Due to its comparatively simple design approach and incubation requirements  $(39-42\,^\circ\text{C})$ , speed (15 to 20 minutes), and reagent availability in long shelf life lyophilized form, RPA is considered one of the most promising isothermal DNA detection methods.

RPA in its basic form uses two opposing DNA primers, like PCR, that are designed to be complementary to the target sequence of interest. By complexation of the primers with recombinase proteins, a D-loop is formed in the double-stranded target sequence and the primers anneal to their respective complementary sequences. The primers are then extended by a mesophilic DNA polymerase, resulting in two copies of the target DNA region. The process is then initiated again on the copies leading to exponential amplification. With the addition of a fluorescently labeled probe, RPA can be monitored in real-time (7).

RT-RPA assays for the detection of other coronaviruses such as Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (8) and bovine coronavirus (BCoV) (9) have been reported. With a proven track record for first-line screening using a suitcase lab for the detection of H7N9 avian influenza virus (10) and Ebola virus (11), RT-RPA technology has been demonstrated to be of great potential for early diagnostics in rapidly evolving and resource limited outbreak situations.

In this study we demonstrate the design of a simple to use RT-RPA assay for the specific detection of SARS-CoV-2 and introduce a novel internal structure for RPA exo probe construction (exo-IQ) that removes a major assay design constraint. Inspired by the results reported in (12) that RPA performs very well in low reaction volumes, we demonstrate successful amplification of both in vitro transcribed RNA as well as total SARS-CoV-2 RNA derived from nasopharyngeal swab samples and cell culture supernatant in volumes of only  $6.2 \,\mu$ L. Our results show that the proposed assay may be a viable alternative to RT-qPCR that is both faster and requires less complex and energy-intensive instrumentation.

#### Methods

#### RNA STANDARDS

The sequences of the SARS-CoV-2 N gene were downloaded from GenBank (RefSeq: NC\_045512.2). A T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence was then added in silico to the 5' end and the construct was ordered as double-stranded DNA from a commercial supplier (GeneArt). We then produced RNA transcripts by incubation with T7 polymerase (HiScribe T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit, New England Biolabs) followed by DNAse I (New England Biolabs) digestion and spincolumn based purification (RNA Clean-Up and Concentration Kit, Norgen Biotek). Purified RNA was then quantified by RiboGreen (Invitrogen) assay and standards ranging from  $10^7$  to  $10^0$  RNA copies per  $\mu$ L were prepared in Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer. The standards were confirmed to be free of residual DNA from the transcription reaction by qPCR.

A coronavirus RNA specificity panel containing full virus RNA (from cell culture) of HCoV-Nl63, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV was obtained through the European Virus Archive global (EVAg). RT-qPCR threshold cycle ( $C_t$ ) values for each RNA isolate were provided to the authors by personal communication (A. van der Linden, University Medical Center Rotterdam, February 2020). Due to its limited availability, HCoV-HKU1 was not included in this study. However, BLAST alignment of SARS-CoV-2 (RefSeq: NC\_045512.2) and HCoV-HKU1 (RefSeq: NC\_006577.2) N genes showed almost no sequence homology, making off-target amplification highly unlikely.

Twenty-seven nucleic acid samples for further specificity testing covering a broad range of other respiratory viruses that may cause a similar clinical picture as SARS-CoV-2 were screened (Supplemental Table 1). The samples were provided by Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics, Glasgow, Scotland; Landesgesundheitsamt Niedersachsen, Hannover, Germany; Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany, and the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany.

SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA was provided as inactivated Vero E6 cell culture supernatant of the patient isolate MUC-IMB-1 by the Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology (IMB). The complete sequence of this isolate is available through GISAID under the accession ID\_EPI\_ISL\_406862 and name "hCoV-19/Germany/BavPat1/2020."

Eight total RNA extracts (QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit, Qiagen) from nasopharyngeal swabs were provided by Labor Staber, Kassel, Germany as anonymized leftover material from routine clinical diagnostics. All samples were confirmed to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 by the providing laboratory using RT-qPCR based on the LightMix E and RdRp assays (TIB Molbiol). Upon arrival in our laboratory, all samples were analyzed with the N1 RT-qPCR assay.

Eleven further nasopharyngeal swab samples were collected from healthy laboratory personnel, and total RNA was extracted with the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were confirmed to be free of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by the N1 and/or N3 RT-qPCR assays.

#### REVERSE TRANSCRIPTION QUANTITATIVE PCR

The primers and probes targeting SARS-CoV-2 used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 2. Primers were synthesized exactly as presented in the Table, whereas an internal quenching group located between the 8th and 9th nucleotides from the 5'-end was integrated in the fluorescent probes. This modification, together with the second quencher located at the 3' end of the probe, strongly decreases the background fluorescence of unbound probes, leading to improved signal quality. All oligonucleotides were synthesized by biomers.net.

For the N1/N2/N3 assays (CDC) (4), a  $40\times$ primer/probe mix (PPM) was prepared at concentrations of 20 µmol/L for each primer and 10 µmol/L for the probe. Reactions of  $20\,\mu\text{L}$  total volume were then assembled by combining 7.5 µl DEPC treated water, 10 µL 10X Luna OneStep probe PCR Mix (New England Biolabs), 1 µL 20X WarmStart RT enzyme mix (New England Biolabs), 0.5 µL 40X PPM, and 1 µL RNA template. Thermal cycling was then performed on a LightCycler 480 II instrument (Roche Diagnostics). Cycling conditions were 10 minutes at 50 °C for reverse transcription, followed by 1 minute at 95 °C for initial denaturation and RT enzyme inactivation and PCR for 45 cycles of 95 °C for 3 seconds and 55 °C for 30 seconds. Fluorescence was read at the end of the 55 °C step. All qPCR data was analyzed by the Cy0 method (13) implementation included in the R language qpcR package (14).

#### LOW VOLUME SINGLE TUBE RT-RPA

Reaction setup and conditions All RT-RPA reactions were performed using the TwistAmp exo-RT kit (TwistDx). Optically clear 8-tube strips with individually attached lids were placed on ice and 10 µL of PCR-grade mineral oil were pipetted into each lid. For each series of 8 reactions with identical targets, a rehydration mix consisting of 31  $\mu$ L rehydration buffer, 2.21  $\mu$ L (10  $\mu$ mol/L stocks) of each primer and 0.63 µL exo-IQ probe (10 µmol/L stock), 2.63 µL RNAse inhibitor (20 U/µL, Bioron) and  $8.4\,\mu\text{L}$  RNA template were combined, and  $45\,\mu\text{L}$  of this were used to rehydrate an exo-RT reagent pellet. The reaction mix was then placed on ice, and  $5\,\mu$ L of a freshly prepared 140 mmol/L MgOAC solution were added. Finally, 6.2 µL of the activated reaction mix were distributed to each tube of the previously prepared 8-tube strip.

In case each tube was to contain a different RNA template, the rehydration mix was prepared as described previously while omitting the RNA template. In this case,  $37 \,\mu\text{L}$  rehydration mix were then used to rehydrate an exo-RT pellet, and  $4.6 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the reaction mix was distributed to each reaction tube and  $1 \,\mu\text{L}$  of individual RNA template was pipetted into each tube. A total of

 $0.63\,\mu L$  of 140 mmol/L MgOAC solution were then carefully pipetted in a single drop onto the inside wall of each reaction tube so that the drop was not in contact with the reaction mix.

After closing the lids, the 8-tube strips were centrifuged to overlay the reaction mix with the oil, and, in the case of individual RNA targets, to mix the MgOAC solution with the reaction mix. The tubes were then quickly placed into an ESEQuant isothermal fluorescence reader (Qiagen Lake Constance GmbH) preheated to  $42 \,^{\circ}$ C and equilibrates for 1 minute. Fluorescence (Ex: 470 nm / Em: 550 nm) was then recorded every 20 seconds for a total of 20 minutes To enhance reaction efficiency, tubes were removed from the instrument after 320 seconds (240 seconds for reactions containing  $10^4$  template copies, and 160 seconds for  $10^5$  template copies), mixed by vortexing, centrifuged and immediately placed back into the instrument.

For unknown samples we suggest keeping the mixing time fixed at 320 seconds, as this will guarantee highest assay sensitivity, although some of the initial fluorescence signal may be lost.

#### Fluorescence Data Processing

RPA fluorescence data was first background corrected by subtracting the first measurement value taken after the mixing step from all other values. All measurement points taken before and during the mixing step were then set to zero.

To establish a fluorescence threshold, 12 no template control (NTC) reactions were run and averaged. In addition, the standard deviation was calculated. Three standard deviations were then added to the average NTC and the threshold was then defined by the highest value rounded to the nearest integer. Thresholding of the RT-RPA fluorescence data was performed with the R language qpcR package (14).

Probit analysis for assay sensitivity determination was performed according to (15) using the R language.

#### Results

#### DESIGN OF THE EXO-IQ RPA PROBE

RPA exo probes are oligonucleotides of a length of at least 46 nt with an internal structure consisting of both a fluorophore and a quencher that are separated by an apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) site. Once the probe hybridizes with its target sequence, the AP endonuclease activity of Exonuclease III (ExoIII) (16) cleaves the AP site, leading to spatial separation of the fluorophore and quenching groups. This mechanism causes an increase in fluorescence that is directly related to the amount of RPA product allowing for monitoring of the RPA reaction in real time.







The commercial availability of internal fluorophore and quenching groups for DNA synthesis is largely limited to modified thymine phosphoramidites, which leads to the constraint that exo probes can only be designed for sequences that contain two thymines within 2 to 5 nt from each other. This hampers design of RPA exo assays, as suitable regions that also satisfy all further assay design rules (17) are often difficult to find. Furthermore, it has been shown that exo probe performance is strongly dependent on the distance between the fluorophore and quenching groups with the optimal distance being only 1-2 nt (18). To overcome this limitation, we introduce the concept of the exo-IQ (Internally Quenched) probe (Fig. 1) where the quenching group is attached between 2 nucleotides by an internal linker (see Supplemental Fig. 1). This strategy greatly simplifies exo probe design as the quencher can

Table 1. Sequences of the RPA primers and exo-IQprobe used in this study. Due to the proprietary na-ture of the internally linked quencher, exo-IQ probescan be supplied commercially by biomers.net.However, exo-IQ construction with internally linkedquenching groups offered by other manufacturersmay also be possible.

Name	Sequence 5'-3'
RPA-SARS-2-FW	CCTCTTCTCGTTCCTCATCACGTA GTCGCAAC
RPA-SARS-2-RV	AGTGACAGTTTGGCCTTGTTGTT GTTGGCCTT
RPA-SARS-2-P	CCTGCTAGAATGGCTGGCAATGGC GGTGA(dT-FAM)(AP site)C(BMN- Q535)TGCTCTTGCTTTGC-C3



always be placed at a constant distance downstream of the thymine linked fluorophore without the need for a second proximal thymine. We propose that an exo-IQ probe can thus be designed from any single conveniently located thymine as a starting point, with the next 3' nucleotide being replaced by an AP site, followed by the internal quencher between the following 2 nucleotides. The active site is then simply extended for 30 nt in the 5' direction and 14 nt in the 3' direction. To prevent unwanted amplification, the free 3'-end is blocked by a C3 spacer. Since AP sites are susceptible to acid and heat mediated cleavage, exo-IQ probes should be stored cold and dark in a buffering solution such as Tris-EDTA (TE).

#### SARS-COV-2 RPA ASSAY DESIGN

Upon release of the first SARS-CoV-2 genome (GenBank MN908947), we designed an exo-IQ RT-RPA assay targeting the nucleocapsid (N) gene both by computational and manual methods. PrimedRPA (19) was used to generate a list of possible primer/probe combinations. Of the 18 generated combinations, 2 contained probe sequences suitable for exo-IQ construction with a thymine at position 30 and were investigated further for SARS-CoV-2 specificity by BLAST alignment. The first probe showed almost complete sequence

homology with SARS-CoV and was discarded. Alignment of the second probe with SARS-CoV (Fig. 2) shows numerous mismatches resulting in a design that is highly specific for SARS-CoV-2. Two primer pairs that are selective for SARS-CoV-2 and compatible with the selected probe sequence were chosen for evaluation. All oligonucleotides were synthesized by biomers.net, and primer and probe combinations were screened for amplification efficiency (data not shown). The best performing primers are listed in Table 1 and are shown in their relation to SARS-CoV in Fig. 2. While the reverse primer has complete sequence homology with SARS-CoV and could be used as part of a possible assay that detects both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, the forward primer was designed to be highly selective for SARS-CoV-2, as the first three 3' nucleotides are mismatched to SARS-CoV rendering extension by DNA polymerase highly inefficient (20).

### RT-RPA ASSAY SENSITIVITY AND SPECIFICITY

Assay sensitivity was determined by amplification of in vitro transcribed (IVT) SARS-CoV-2 N gene templates. Eight low-volume RT-RPA reactions were run in parallel for target copy numbers of  $10^5$  down to  $10^0$ molecules/reaction (Fig. 3). Strong amplification of each replicate reaction was observed for target copy numbers



from  $10^5$  down to  $10^2$  (Fig. 3, top and bottom left), while the results for  $10^1$  and  $10^0$  (Fig. 3, bottom center and right) were, not surprisingly, more variable. Final fluorescence values were found to be different for each replicate with the highest values being observed for a target copy number of  $10^3$  copies (Fig. 3, top right).

Except for  $10^{0}$  copies with a detection rate of 25%, all other tested template copy numbers yielded positive results for each replicate. Figure 4C shows the probit regression of these results which was used to compute the 95% detection probability of the assay to be 7.74 (95% CI: 2.87–27.39) RNA copies/reaction.

The threshold times (TT) for each experiment presented in Fig. 3 were plotted against the initial RNA target copy number to evaluate assay linearity. For copy numbers from  $10^5$  to  $10^2$ , the assay was found to be linear, and a simple linear model for the calculation of initial target copy numbers from measured TT values of unknown samples was constructed by linear regression.

Assay selectivity was determined using the European Virus Archive global (EVAg) coronavirus selectivity panel. No cross-reactivity was observed for any of the tested RNAs while the positive control of IVT SARS-CoV-2 RNA showed strong amplification (Fig. 4A). In addition, assay selectivity was further confirmed by testing of 27 nucleic acid samples (listed in Supplemental Table 1) derived from common respiratory viruses. No amplification was observed (data not shown).

#### RT-RPA DETECTION OF SARS-COV-2

Total RNA extracted from nasopharyngeal swabs (Pat. 1-19) and cell culture supernatant (BavPat1) was subjected to both RT-RPA and RT-qPCR (Fig. 5) in an open-label study. Nine out of 20 screened samples showed strong amplification in the RT-RPA and RTqPCR assays, whereas 11 samples did not amplify in either assay. The linear model for the N1 RT-qPCR assay (Supplemental Fig. 2) was used to estimate the initial SARS-CoV-2 RNA copy numbers which are presented in the inset table in Fig. 5. The mixing time for RT-RPA was kept at 320 seconds, demonstrating that this value is suitable for the detection of a sample with an unknown concentration of target RNA.

## Discussion

In the present study we describe the design and characterization of a low volume real-time RT-RPA assay for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA and a simplified approach for RPA exo probe design we termed exo-IQ. This approach allows a much more flexible RPA exo



probe design since it removes the constraint that exo probes can only be designed for sequences that contain two thymines within 2 to 5 nt from each other.

The newly developed SARS-CoV-2 assay using an exo-IQ probe provided performance comparable to that reported for the detection of MERS-CoV by RT-RPA (8). In agreement with the findings presented in (12), we found that RPA reactions of low volume ( $6.2 \mu$ L) worked well, most likely due to the higher template-to-reagent ratio. It was beneficial to cover the reaction mix with mineral oil to prevent reagent evaporation in order to achieve consistent results.

By demonstrating the detection of genuine fulllength viral RNA derived from real patient samples, we confirmed that the assay can successfully detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA from complex clinical specimens.

In comparison to the (non-RT)RAMP assay published by El-Tholoth et al. (21) (non peer-reviewed preprint) who report a lower detection limit of 7 copies of a synthetic SARS-CoV-2 DNA analog within about 50 minutes, our assay is both faster, of a much simpler design, and does not require incubation at the elevated temperatures needed for LAMP, while having the ability to directly detect viral RNA without a separate reverse transcription step. When compared to the second detection scheme for SARS-CoV-2 using RPA chemistry published by Zhang et al. (22) (non peer-reviewed online protocol) who report the detection of 120 RNA copies in 1 hour by a simple colorimetric, nonquantitative endpoint readout, our assay is more sensitive, faster, and has much simpler handling requirements.

With a total run time between 15 and 20 minutes, our newly developed assay is one of the fastest nucleic acid-based methods reported for the detection of SARS-CoV-2. Assuming an assay setup time of 5 minutes, the total assay time is only 20 to 25 minutes and thus much shorter than RT-qPCR. Due to the small reaction volume ( $6.2 \,\mu$ L) and the fixed reaction temperature ( $42 \,^{\circ}$ C), our assay is also an ideal candidate for use in microfluidic devices which will further simplify and improve point of need diagnostics.

## **Supplemental Material**

Supplemental material is available at *Clinical Chemistry* online.

Nonstandard Abbreviations SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; RT-RPA, reverse transcription recombinase polymerase amplification; Exo-IQ, exonuclease probe, internally quenched; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; CI, confidence interval; WHO, World Health Organization; LAMP, loop mediated isothermal amplification; MERS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus Ethics Statement: All samples were collected from suspected COVID-19 patients during medical examination. The samples were screened with licensed RT-qPCR in an accredited laboratory. Leftover material was screened with the developed RT-RPA assay. Results of this study did not influence the clinical discussion between patient and physician. All samples were handled anonymously.

Author Contributions: All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 4 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; (c) final approval of the published article; and (d) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the article thus ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the article are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conceptualization: O. Behrmann, F.T. Hufert, G. Dame, M. Spiegel, A. Abd El Wahed. Funding acquisition: F.T. Hufert, G. Dame. Investigation: I. Bachmann, O. Behrmann, M. Schramm, G. Dobler. Methodology: O. Behrmann, I. Bachmann, M. Spiegel, F.T. Hufert, G. Dame, G. Dobler. Project administration: F.T. Hufert, G. Dame. Supervision: O. Behrmann, F.T. Hufert, G. Dame, A. Abd El Wahed, G. Dobler. Writing original draft: O. Behrmann. All authors have critically reviewed the manuscript and have given their approval for publication.

Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest: Upon manuscript submission, all authors completed the author disclosure form and potential conflicts of interest. **Employment or Leadership:** O. Behrmann, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane; I. Bachmann, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane; M. Schramm, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane; G. Dame, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane.

Consultant or Advisory Role: None declared.

Stock Ownership: None declared.

Honoraria: None declared.

**Research Funding:** This work was funded in part by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF - Grant No. 01ZZ2051B, R&C.net).

Expert Testimony: None declared.

**Patents:** O. Behrmann, not yet assigned; G. Dame, not yet assigned; F.T. Hufert, not yet assigned.

Role of Sponsor: No sponsor was declared.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the European Virus Archive global (EVAg) and the University Medical Center Rotterdam for the very fast and free of charge supply of the coronavirus selectivity panel. The authors also thank Dr. Barbara Pohl of biomers.net for helpful discussions on the design of the exo-IQ probe and the provision of the chemical structure of the internal linker used for attachment of the quenching group. In addition, the authors thank Dr. Bernd Krone and Labor Staber, Kassel, Germany for the free of charge supply of SARS-CoV-2 RNA extracts from patient samples.

- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020;382:727-33.
- Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020;579:270-3.
- Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Eurosurveillance 2020:23.
- 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-time rRT-PCR Panel Primers and Probes. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavi rus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.pdf (Accessed March 2020).
- Notomi T. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2000;28:63e–63.
- Piepenburg O, Williams CH, Stemple DL, Armes NA. DNA detection using recombination proteins. PLoS Biol 2006;4:e204–21.
- Li J, Macdonald J, Von Stetten F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. Analyst 2019;144: 31–67.
- Abd El Wahed A, Patel P, Heidenreich D, Hufert FT, Weidmann M. Reverse transcription recombinase polymerase amplification assay for the detection of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. PLoS Curr 2013; 5.
- Amer HM, Abd El Wahed A, Shalaby MA, Almajhdi FN, Hufert FT, Weidmann M. New approach for diagnosis of bovine coronavirus using a reverse transcription

recombinase polymerase amplification assay. J Virol Methods 2013;193:337-40.

References

- Abd El Wahed A, Weidmann M, Hufert FT. Diagnosticsin-a-suitcase: development of a portable and rapid assay for the detection of the emerging avian influenza A (H7N9) virus. J Clin Virol 2015;69:16-21.
- Faye O, Faye O, Soropogui B, Patel P, Abd , El Wahed A, Loucoubar C, et al. Development and deployment of a rapid recombinase polymerase amplification Ebola virus detection assay in Guinea in 2015. Eurosurveillance 2015;20.
- Lillis L, Siverson J, Lee A, Cantera J, Parker M, Piepenburg O, et al. Factors influencing recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) assay outcomes at point of care. Mol Cell Probes 2016;30:74–8.
- Guescini M, Sisti D, Rocchi MBL, Stocchi L, Stocchi V. A new real-time PCR method to overcome significant quantitative inaccuracy due to slight amplification inhibition. BMC Bioinformatics 2008;9.
- Ritz C, Spiess A-N. qpcR: an R package for sigmoidal model selection in quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis. Bioinformatics 2008;24: 1549–51.
- 15. Probit Analysis. J Pharm Sci 1971;60:1432.
- Howell WM, Grundberg I, Faryna M, Landegren U, Nilsson M. Glycosylases and AP-cleaving enzymes as a general tool for probe-directed cleavage of ssDNA targets. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38:e99.
- TwistDx Ltd. TwistAmp DNA amplification kits assay design manual. https://www.twistdx.co.uk/docs/default-

source/RPA-assay-design/twistamp-assay-design-manualv2-5.pdf (Accessed March 2020).

- 18. Liu X, Yan Q, Huang J, Chen J, Guo Z, Liu Z, et al. Influence of design probe and sequence mismatches on the efficiency of fluorescent RPA. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2019;35:1–11.
- Higgins M, Ravenhall M, Ward D, Phelan J, Ibrahim A, Forrest MS, et al. PrimedRPA: Primer design for recombinase polymerase amplification assays. Bioinformatics 2019;35:682–4.
- 20. Daher RK, Stewart G, Boissinot M, Boudreau DK, Bergeron MG. Influence of sequence mismatches on the specificity of recombinase polymerase amplification technology. Mol Cell Probes 2015;29: 116-21.
- 21. El-Tholoth M, Bau HH, Song J. A single and two-stage, closed-tube, molecular test for the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) at home, clinic, and points of entry. Preprint at https://chemrxiv.org/articles/A\_Single\_and\_ Two-Stage\_Closed-Tube\_Molecular\_Test\_for\_the\_2019 \_Novel\_Coronavirus\_COVID-19\_at\_Home\_Clinic\_and\_ Points\_of\_Entry/11860137 (2020).
- 22. Zhang F, Abudayyeh OO, Gootenberg JS, Sciences C. A protocol for detection of COVID-19 using CRISPR diagnostics. https://www.broadinstitute.org/files/publications /special/COVID-19%20detection%20(updated).pdf (Accessed April 2020)