WILEY-VCH

A Rapid-formed Supramolecular Polypeptide-DNA Hydrogel for *in-situ* Multi-layer 3D Bioprinting

Chuang Li¹, Alan Faulkner-Jones², Alison R. Dun², Juan Jin¹, Ping Chen³, Yongzheng Xing¹, Zhongqiang Yang¹, Zhibo Li³, Wenmiao Shu^{2, *}, Dongsheng Liu^{1,*} and Rory R. Duncan²

Abstract: A rapid-formed supramolecular polypeptide-DNA hydrogel is prepared and applied for *in-situ* multi-layer 3D bioprinting for the first time. The hydrogel can be rapidly *in-situ* formed (in seconds) under physiological conditions by alternative deposition of two complementary bio-inks. Based on the dynamically crosslinked supramolecular hydrogel network, the printed structures via layer-bylayer assembly can merge and heal together, thus resulting in geometrically uniform and structurally precise constructs without obvious boundaries or defects. Owing to the high mechanical strength and non-shrinking/non-swelling properties of the hydrogel, the printed structures can keep their shapes in milimeter-scale without collapse or deformation. Furthermore, cell printing is demonstrated for fabrication of living-cell-containing structures with high viability and normal cellular functions. Together with the unique properties of biocompatibility, permeability and biodegradability, the hydrogel is an ideal soft material for 3D inkjet bioprinting to produce desired complex 3D constructs for tissue engineering application.

Bioprinting has attracted wide-spread attentions in tissue engineering as a powerful fabrication method to design and create tissue-like artificial structures and constructs.¹⁷ Selecting a suitable scaffold material is one of the critical requirements for bioprinting.^{1(b, 2)} Hydrogel has been widely explored among many scaffold materials due to its similarity to natural extra-cellular matrix (ECM) thus providing structural and physical supports for the encapsulated cells similar to natural environment.^{13]} So far, non-covalently crosslinked hydrogels from natural products including alginate, chitosan, collagen, matrigel, gelatin and agarose have been used in vitro as scaffold materials for bioprinting,^[4] however, drawbacks such as thermal-triggered hydrogel formation, shrinking-induced shape deformation, limitation of responsiveness and tailorability hinder their further application in 3D bioprinting with living cells. Alternatively, covalently crosslinked hydrogels from synthetic products including poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), polypeptides, poly(N-

 ¹ C. Li, Dr. J. Jin, Dr. Y. Xing, Prof. Z. Yang, Prof. D. Lu* Key Laboratory of Organic Optoelectronics & Molecular Engineering of the Ministry of Education Department of Chemistry Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100184, China E-mail: liudonesheng@tsinghua.edu.ch

[b] ²A. Faulkner-Johes, Dr. A. R. Dun, Dr. W. Shu*, Prof. R. R. Duncan Institute of Biological Chemistry, Biophysics and Bioengineering Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK Enalty Shu@hys.act.

[6] ³ Dr. P. Chen, Prof. Z. Linder Strength Prof. 2. Linder Sciences (BNLMS) Belging National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (BNLMS) Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100190, China

> Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

JI-d se -tu ive nd biodegradability ity. ir applicatio ving nate consistently n ut a building fold to construct egradabilji bio DNA hydrogels. However, application based on all these unique properties fulfilling the requirements for 3D bioprinting has not



Scheme 1. 3D bioprinting process of polypeptide-DNA hydrogel to fabricate arbitrary designed 3D structures. There are two bio-inks, "bio-ink A" (blue) is polypeptide-DNA; "bio-ink B" (red) is DNA linker. The DNA sequences of bio-ink A and bio-ink B are complementary, and hybridization will cause crosslinking, leading to the hydrogel formation (pink). The formed hydrogels are responsive to both protease and nuclease, resulting in full degradation of the hydrogel networks on-demand after printing.

In this manuscript, we show for the first time a rapid *in-situ* multi-layer 3D bioprinting with DNA-based hydrogels as bioinks.

WILEY-VCH

As shown in **Scheme 1**, the DNA hydrogel contains two components: polypeptide-DNA conjugate (named bio-ink A) and complementary DNA linker (named bio-ink B). The mixture of bio-ink A and bio-ink B with a desired molar ratio leads to the rapid *in-situ* hydrogel formation (in seconds) under physiological conditions. By alternate deposition of bio-ink A and bio-ink B in the programmed position, designed 3D structures containing viable and functional living cells could be constructed. The resultant hydrogel exhibits these combined favourable properties from both polypeptide- and DNA-components, i.e. responsiveness to protease and nuclease, leading to the full biodegradation and removal of the hydrogel networks under physiological conditions after printing.

n optically transparent ithin seconds, namely a rapidly from a fluidic solution into within polypeptide-DNA hydrogel (Figure 1a). It is wort hy to mention ysiological conditions which is desirable for р (e.g. NaCl of 150 mM, pH 7.4, F S3 els have drogel logical t nown in Figure 1b, the en 0.05 Hz and 100 out 1 nc he 5000 res nel w illimeter se

Furre 1. (a) Preparation of polypeptide-DNA hydrogel via a his-component mytogel (5 wt/s) and the frequency we be text was carried out between P.05 and 100 Hz at a fixed strain of 1% at 25 °C.

As illustrated in Figure 1a, the hydroge ink A is arafting DNA conjugate, which was synthesized b single stranded DNAs (ssDNAs) ack ' betwe -glutam g an established method DI orting Information, Scheme S1).[71] conjugated to each polypeptide sufficient crosslinking DNA) containing two "sticky ends" with exactly pporting Information, Figure S2) polypeptide whether the hydrogel mixed together at a 1:1 molar ratio of "sticky ends" tal mass content of 5 wt% in 1 × TBE buffer (pH 8.3,

Figure 2. 3D printing of polypeptide-DNA hydrogel into 3D structures with blue dye added for visualization: (a) an array of printed droplets with an increasing gradient of layers. Inset, a lifted hydorgel with 20 layers; (b) the letters "THU" printed with 5 layers; (c-d) a triangle printed with 10 layers, (d) shows that the printed hydrogel structure is strong enough to be picked up with tweezers.

5 mm

The unique two-component mixing strategy and rapid hydrogel forming property can fulfill the requirements for 3D inkjet bioprinting which is a promising free-form fabrication method to produce tissue-like soft scaffolds and structures with living cells.^[4e] As shown in **Figure 2**, equal amounts of bio-ink *A* (polypeptide-DNA conjugate, 6 wt%, 100 μ l) and bio-ink *B* (DNA linker, 2 mM, 100 μ l) were loaded into two separate printing cartridges of a microvalve-based 3D bioprinter, which we demonstrated recently to be able to print in 3D even the most difficult-to-culture cells such as stem cells, whilst maintaining cell viability and function.^[10] By alternating printing nano- or micro-

WILEY-VCH

droplets of bio-ink *A* and bio-ink *B* from the nozzles on the same spot, contact and hence mergence lead to the rapid *in situ* hydrogel formation based on the hybridization of complementary DNA sequences. Through designing of programmes, different 3D tissue-like patterns and structures with desired scales and dimensions could be constructed via the ink-jet bioprinter based on this "bottom-up" assembly strategy. **Figure 2a** shows a printed array of hydrogel droplets with an increasing gradient of sizes with blue dye added for visualization. The smallest size of the printed hydrogel droplet was estimated to be around 500 µm in diameter, 80 µm in thickness, 60 nl in volume. Note that the 3D printed hydrogel formation was very rapid (within seconds), which is probably facilitated by the small volume of the printed droplets and hence smaller diffusion distance. Interestingly, not only the volume and scale of one hydrogel droplet are precisely controllable, but also the location and distance between different hydrogel droplets are geometrically tunable by design of corresponding programs. Due to crosslinking by rigid DNA duplex, the printed hydrogel droplets show no obvious shrinking or swelling phenomenon, avoiding the possibility of shape deformation after printing. Furthermore, hydrogel droplets with 20 layers of printed inks were found to be mechanically strong enough to be manipulated physically (Figure 2a, inset). Apart from the droplet arrays, designable hydrogel structures such as

alphabetic letters "THU" (Figure 2b) and a simple triangle (Figure 2c) were 3D printed following the above "bottom-up" printing process, demonstrating the ability of our system to print printing process, demonstrating the abil arbitrary 3D structures. The hydrogel tr triangle e structure (Figure ose indic ating that the is strong enough the printed structures to support its printed shape<mark>s. A</mark>e hall geom veen t ers of the hydrogel can the cor on the supramolecularly further merge and hea ised atid hq hd ixir co Dìr printin Jáse agel netw nke mb orm and defects; ili) or tol n-swelling properties, the hydrogel keeps the printed shapes and structures without deformation. All these on of O ne



Next we applied the hydrogels in cell printing which offers the possibility to build 3D structures of cells for studying cell interactions and building artificial organs.^[1a] Here AtT-20 cell (an anterior pituitary cell-line) was chosen as a model cell line for the cell printing study as it was widely used to investigate the intracellular transport, packaging, and secretion of hormones. As shown in **Figure 3a**, when AtT-20 cells were added into two bioinks with a proper cell density, it was found that the bio-inks maintained a stable and homogenous cell suspension, preventing the settlement and aggregation of cells that usually impedes cell printing. This maybe benefit from the suitable viscosity and surface tension of the bio-inks which not only maintain cell suspension state but also meet the stringent fluid property needed for printing from the nozzle. We further carried out a thorough characterisation of the viability and function of AtT-20 cells after printing within the hydrogel in 3D. **Figure 3b** shows printed AtT-20 cells in the hydrogel stained with FDA and imaged using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (CLSM); the live/dead assay reported printed cell viability of 98.8 ± 1.4 % (Mean ± SD), indicating the printing process have little damage to cells. In order to test the biological function of printed cells, we also observed single cells in 3D in the hydrogel stained with Lysotracker-Red at high resolution, revealing intracellular acidic compartments (in red; **Figure 3c**). These intracellular acidic compartments (including lysosomes and large dense-cored vesicles) were visualized within the cytosol of a printed AtT20 cell using an inverted widefield microscope (**Figure 3d**) and were tracked over time (**Figure 3e**). Organelle dynamics were measured indicating that the printed AtT-20 cells were viable, had normal morphology in 3D and performed cellular functions including proton pump activity, metabolic turnover and membrane trafficking.^[11]



Figure 4. A 3-D stack of (a) AtT-20 cells and (b) HEK-293 cells in polypeptide-DNA hydrogel with FDA staining and imaged after 48 h. Gridtines are 50 μ m.

of the hydrogel with periods we of le compatibility of the different cell types ov dì rent culture scaffolds for 3D cell culture en Figure 4, cultu ca and the live-dead as AtT-20 cells (Figure 4a) and 99.3 \pm 1.4 %or 4b) after 48 h culture. It was found that uľ air culture in the hy rog that i) the hydrogel is mech support

WILEY-VCH

permeable for nutrients which are desirable for long-term cell culture.



Figure 5. Enzymatic degradable behaviours of polypeptide-DNA hydrogels. (1-2) Protease responsiveness: 10 µl of 5 wt% hydrogel was incubated in 10 µl of phosphate buffer (pN 7.8) (1) without protease, (2) with 30 U Endoproteinase Glu-C at norm temperature for 12 h. (3-8) Nuclease responsiveness. Hydrogel "R" (3-5) and hydrogel "H" (6-8) contain restriction sequences of *EcoR* I and BargH for their linkers, respectively. In each tube, 10 µl of 5 wt% hydrogel was incubated in 10 µl of reaction buffer (3, 6) without enzymes, (4, 8) with 30 U BargH I, and (5, 7) with 30 U *EcoR* I at room temperature for 2 h.

In addition, we also studied the biodegradability of our hydrogel material which is critical and desirable for bioprinting. As shown in **Figure 5**, the hydrogel (5 wt%) can be degraded by endoproteinese Glu-C after incubation at room temperature for 12 h (tube 2). Alternatively, corresponding nuclease can cut DNA linker and digest the hydrogel network specifically. For example, after incabation at room temperature for 24h, hydrogels containing *Eco*R I restriction site (5'-GAATTC-3') remained in the gel-state in the absence of *Eco*R I restriction enzyte (tube 3 and tube 4), but turned into a solution when digested by the *Eco*R I restriction enzyme (tube 5). And *vice versa*, hydrogels containing *Bam*H I restriction site (5'-GGATCC-2') remained in the gel-state in the absence of *Bam*H I (tube 6 and tube 7), but dissolved when digested by *Bam*H I restriction enzyme (tube 8). These results indicated that the hydrogels possess highly specific dual-enzymatic responsiveness both to protease and nuclease, which can fully biodegrade both hydrogel backbone and crosslinker. Additionally, this offers the possibility of novel applications in tissue engineering where parts of the hydrogel should be selectively removed in the presence of cells to render specific 3D tissue structures.^[12]

In summary, here we demonstrate a rapid-formed supramolecular polypeptide-DNA hydrogel and for the first time apply it to *in-situ* multi-layer 3D bioprinting. The two-bio-ink mixing deposition and rapid *in-situ* forming (in seconds) under physiological conditions make the hydrogel an ideal material for 3D ink-jet printing. Based on the dynamic crosslinking of DNA hybridization, the printed hydrogels possess excellent merging and healing properties, resulting in geometrically uniform constructs without boundaries. Furthermore, the printed structures can keep their shapes in milimeter-scale without collapse or deformation benefiting from the high mechanical strength and non-swelling/shrinking properties of the hydrogel. Cellular biocompatibility and permeability of nutrients make it

WILEY-VCH

possible for cell printing to produce living-cell-containing structures with high viability and normal cellular functions. Designable biodegradability by dual-enzymatic responsiveness to protease and nuclease provides the possibility of complete removal of hydrogel networks on-demand from systems. All these properties above make the hydrogel a promising printing material for fabrication of desired complex 3D tissue-like constructs in tissue engineering.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of Bio-ink A (Polypeptide-DNA Conjugate). Polypeptide-DNA conjugate was synthesized via Cu^+ catalysed click chemistry following an established method.^[74]

Preparation of Bio-ink *B* (DNA Linker). Stoichiometric amounts of DNA strands were mixed in 1 × TBE buffer to give a final concentration of 5.0 mM for each DNA strand. The mixture was heated to 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 min and then cooled to room temperature over 2 h to form DNA linker.

Preparation of Polypeptide-DNA Hydrogels. A stock solution of two components was prepared: polypeptide-DNA conjugate (15 wt%, 13.8 mM with respect to grafted ssDNA) and DNA linkers (5 mM). Calculated volumes of the polypeptide-DNA conjugate and DNA linker solutions were added in 1.5 ml EP tubes in 1 × TBE buffer containing 200 mM NaCl and mixed quickly.

Rheological Tests. Rheological tests were carried out on an AR-G2 rheometer (TA Instruments). Two types of rheological experiments were performed in 8 mm parallel-plate geometry using 40 μ I of hydrogels (resulting in a gap size of 0.15 mm): i) In order to find the linear viscoelastic region, oscillatory strain sweep (0.05 %-100 %) and frequency sweep (0.05 Hz-100 Hz) were conducted at 25 °C The linear viscoelastic region was found to be in the range of 1 % strain and 1 H2 frequency; ii) Frequency sweep tests were carried out between 0.05 and 100 Hz at 25 °C at a fixed strain of 1 %.

3D Bioprinting. 100 μ I each of bio-ink *A* (polypeptide-DNA conjugate, 6 wt%) and bio-ink *B* (DNA linker, 2 mM) were loaded into separate cartridges of Heriot-Watt University's valve-based bioprinter. Several test programs were created describing arrays and patterns in three-dimensions. These arrays and patterns were printed out on the printer by alternately printing of the bio-ink *A* and bio-ink *B* at the desired positions.

3D Cell Printing and Cell Function Test. AtT-20 cells (1 × 10 cells m⁻¹, 20 µl) were added to bio-ink *A* (polyteptide-DNA conjugate, 6 wt%, 100 µl) which was loaded into the printer along with bio-ink *B* (DNA linker, 2 mM, 120 µl) in separate contridges: 60 layers each of alternating bio-ink *A* with cells and bio-ink *B* with cells were printed in 4 samples. Two samples were stained with 40 µg/ml fluorescein diacetale (FDA) and 10 µg/ml propidium iodide (Pl) in serum-free Dulbecoo's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) and incubated at 37 °C in 5 % (v/v) CO₂, 95 % (v/v) air for 30 min. Images were acquired using a Leica SP5 SMD gated-STED confocal laser scanning microacope with either a 20X 0.7 NA HC PL APO objective or a 63X 1.3 NA HPX PL APO objective. Illumination was provided by an argon gas laser at 488 nm or a 561 nm diode laser and the sample was maintained at 37 °C in 5 % (v/v) CO₂ 95 % (v/v) air for live cells of fluorescent live/dead staining assay was used to calculate the viability of the cells. The remaining samples were stained with 100 nM Lysotracker-Red (Life Technologies) in serum-free DMEM and incubated at 37 °C in 5 % (v/v) air for 30 min. prior to imaging with an inverted IX81 microscope (Olympus) using a 60X 1.45

NA UAPON TIRF objective with 561 nm excitation. 3D stacks were acquired with a z-step size of 2 μ m (20X Lens) or 0.15 μ m (63X Lens) and time-lapse recordings were acquired at 20 Hz.

3D Cell Culture. Both AtT-20 cells (5 × 10¹ cells m⁻¹, 5 µl) and human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells (5 × 10⁶ cells m⁻¹, 5 µl) were maintained on glass coverslips in polypeptine-DNA hydroge (30 µl) at 37 °C in 5 % (v/v) CO₂, 95 % (v/v) air in DMLM media containing 10 % (v/v) of foetal bovine serum, 0.1 % (v/v) Glutantax and 1 % (v/v) pen-strep. After cultured for the specified time, the cells were stained with 40 µg/ml FDA and 10 µg/ml PI and imaged using a Leica SF5 SMD gated-STED confocal laser scanning microscope. Image tata were deconvolved using Huygens Professional (SVI, Netherlands, software and presented using lmaris (Bitplane, Northern Ireland). Viability was calculated by automatically identifying cells using the Imaris Spot Detection function and determining the relative proportion of live (green stained) or dead (red, PI stained) cells in each sample. At least 4 areas of view were measured and data are presented as mean values \pm Standard Deviation.

Preparation of Nuclease Responsive Hydrogels. A stated bio-ink *R* (containing an *Eco*R Frequiction site) and bio-ink *H* (containing a *Bam*H I restriction site) were separately mixed with a stated polypeptide-DNA conjugate to form hydrogel R and hydrogel 'H' in corresponding reaction buffer: for *Eco*R I, the buffer contained 50 mM of Tris-HCI (pH 7.5), 100 mM of NaCI, 10 mM of MgCl₂ and 1 mM of dithiothreitol, whereas for *Bam*H I, the buffer contained 20 mM of Tris-HCI (pH 8.5), 100 mM of KCI, 10 mM of MgCl₂ and 1 mM of dithiothreitol.

Protease Cleavage of Hydrogels. 10 μ l of polypeptide-DNA hydrogel was incubated with 30 U Endoproteinase Glu-C in 10 μ l of the phosphate buffer at room temperature for 12 h.

Nuclease Cleavage of Hydrogels. 10 μ l of polypeptide-DNA hydrogel was incubated with 30 U of *Eco*R I or 30 U of *Bam*H I in 10 μ l of the corresponding reaction buffer at room temperature for 24 h.

Cknowledgements

We thank the National Basic Research Program of China (973 program, 2013CB932803), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91427302, 21421064), the NSFC–DFG joint project TRR61, and the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission for financial support. This project was also partly supported by the Sino–UK Higher Education Research Partnership for PhD Studies Scheme funded by the British Council and the Ministry of Education in China and EPSRC (EP/M506837/1) and MRC awards to R.R.D. (MRC_G0901607) and by the Wellcome Trust (WT074146). The MRC (MRC_MR/K01563X/1) Edinburgh Super-Resolution Imaging Consortium (ESRIC) provided the microscope platforms, technical assistance, tissue culturing facilities, and analysis packages required for the acquisition and analysis of fluorescence imaging data summarized in this article.

Keywords: DNA hydrogel • polypeptide • supramolecular • bioprinting • biodegradability

 a) P. Calvert, *Science* 2007, *318*, 208-209; b) S. V. Murphy, A. Atala, *Nat. Biotech.* 2014, *32*, 773-785; c) M. D. Symes, P. J. Kitson, J. Yan,

WILEY-VCH

C. J. Richmond, G. J. T. Cooper, R. W. Bowman, T. Vilbrandt, L. Cronin, *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 349-354; d) F. Pati, J. Jang, D.-H. Ha, S. Won Kim, J.-W. Rhie, J.-H. Shim, D.-H. Kim, D.-W. Cho, *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*; e) N. G. Durmus, S. Tasoglu, U. Demirci, *Nat. Mater.* **2013**, *12*, 478-479.

- a) S. V. Murphy, A. Skardal, A. Atala, J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A. 2013, 101A, 272-284; b) M. Nakamura, S. Iwanaga, C. Henmi, K. Arai, Y. Nishiyama, *Biofabrication* 2010, 2, 014110.
- a) D. Seliktar, *Science* 2012, 336, 1124-1128; b) M. P. Lutolf, P. M. Gilbert, H. M. Blau, *Nature* 2009, *462*, 433-441; c) J. L. Drury, D. J. Mooney, *Biomaterials* 2003, *24*, 4337-4351; d) B. V. Slaughter, S. S. Khurshid, O. Z. Fisher, A. Khademhosseini, N. A. Peppas, *Adv. Mater.* 2009, *21*, 3307-3329; e) E. A. Appel, J. del Barrio, X. J. Loh, O. A. Scherman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, *41*, 6195-6214; f) G. A. Silva, C. Czeisler, K. L. Niece, E. Beniash, D. A. Harrington, J. A. Kessler, S. I. Stupp, *Science* 2004, *303*, 1352-1355; g) Y. Zhang, L. Tao, S. Li, Y. Wei, *Biomacromolecules* 2011, *12*, 2894-2901.
- [4] a) S. Khalil, W. Sun, J. Biomech. Eng. 2009, 131, 111002; b) J. C. Reichert, A. Heymer, A. Berner, J. Eulert, U. Nöth, Biomed. Mater. 2009, 4, 065001; c) K. Pataky, T. Braschler, A. Negro, P. Renaud, M. P. Lutolf, J. Brugger, Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 391-396; d) T. Xu, J. Jin, C. Gregory, J. J. Hickman, T. Boland, Biomaterials 2005, 26, 93-99; e) X. Cui, T. Boland, Biomaterials 2009, 30, 6221-6227.
- [5] a) R. Censi, W. Schuurman, J. Malda, G. di Dato, P. E. Burgisser, W. J. A. Dhert, C. F. van Nostrum, P. di Martino, T. Vermonden, W. E. Hennink, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2011, 21, 1833-1842; b) K. Nagahama, T. Ouchi, Y. Ohya, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2008, 18, 1220-1231; c) M. Chaouat, C. Le Visage, W. E. Baille, B. Escoubet, F. Chaubet, M. A. Mateescu, D. Letourneur, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2008, 18, 2855-2861; d) J. Malda, J. Visser, F. P. Melchels, T. Jüngst, W. E. Hennink, W. J. A. Dhert, J. Groll, D. W. Hutmacher, Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 5011-5028.
- [6] a) N. C. Seeman, *Nature* 2003, 421, 427-431; b) S. Peng, T. L. Derrien, J. Cui, C. Xu, D. Luo, *Mater. Today* 2012, 15, 190-194; c) D. Liu, E. Cheng, Z. Yang, *NPG. Asia Mater.* 2011, 3, 109-114; d) Y. Dong, Z. Yang, D. Liu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2014, 47, 1853-1860; e) S. Mod; M. G. Swetha, D. Goswami, G. D. Gupta, S. Mayor, Y. Krishnan, *Nat.*

Nanotech. 2009, 4, 325-330; f) A. V. Pinheiro, D. Han, W. M. Shih, H. Yan, Nat. Nanotech. 2011, 6, 763-772; g) J. Bath, A. J. Turberfield, Nat. Nanotech. 2007, 2, 275-284; h) Y. Ke, L. L. Ong, W. M. Shih, P. Yin, Science 2012, 338, 1177-1183; i) N. Chen, J. Li, H. Song, J. Chao, Q. Huang, C. Fan, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1720-1730.

Umbach, D. Luo, *Nat.* Q. Luo, Y. Mi, *Angew.* C 9; Ang Y. Yang, D. Zhou, L. Xu, Q. Fan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Y. Zou, X. Zhang, H. 796-77 H. Liu, ; d) Z nq in cules 5, 9 P. Yin, A. Khademhosseini, *Nat. Commun.* Y. Shao, X. Zhou, Y. Wu, Z. Yang, Z. Li, T. DOI: 10.1002/smll.201401906; j) J. Liu, *Soft* 20 atter V. Mikhalevich, C. Fortsch, H. Barth, Z. Yang, D. Liu, Commun **2014**, DOI: 10.1039/C4CC07144A; I) E. Yang, Z. Deng, D. Liu, *Chem. Commun.* **201**1, 47, Tran, V. Mikhale

S.H. Um, H. Funabashi, J. Xu, D. Luo, Nat. Mater. 2009, 8,

N. Dave, M. Y. Chan, P.-J. J. Huang, B. D. Smith, J. Liu, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **2010**, *132*, 12668-12673.

A. Faulkner-Jones, S. Greenhough, J. A. King, J. Gardner, A. Courtney, W. Shu, *Biofabrication* **2013**, *5*, 015013.

L. Yang, A. R. Dun, K. J. Martin, Z. Qiu, A. Dunn, G. J. Lord, W. Lu, R. R. Duhcan, C. Rickman, *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e49514.

2] Y.-C. Chiu, J. C. Larson, V. H. Perez-Luna, E. M. Brey, *Chem. Mater.* 2009, 21, 1677-1682.

WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents

COMMUNICATION

A rapid-formed supramolecular polypeptide-DNA hydrogel was prepared and applied for *in-situ* multi-layer 3D bioprinting. Based on layer-by-layer alternative deposition stratergy and the self-healing property, the printed structures are geometrically uniform without obvious boundaries. Owing to the high mechanical strength, nonswelling/shrinking properties, the printed structures can keep their shapes without collapse or deformation. Cell printing is demonstrated to produce living-cellcontaining structures with high viability and normal cellular functions. Full biodegradability by protease and nuclease provides the possibility of complete removal of hydrogel networks on-demand from systems. All these properties above make the hydrogel a promising bioprinting material for fabrication of desired complex 3D tissuelike constructs with cells for tissue engineering applications.



Chuang Li, Alan Faulkher-Jones, Alison R. Dun, Juan, Iin, Ping Chen, Yongzheng Xing, Zhongqiang Yang, Zhibo Li, Wenmian Shu*, Dongsheng Liu* and Rory R. Duncan

A Rapid-formed Supramolecular Polypeptide-DNA Hydrogel for *in-sit* Multi-layer 3D Bioprinting

e N

