

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1039/C2JM15075A

Rapid microwave-assisted synthesis of Mn₃O₄–graphene nanocomposite and its lithium storage properties — [Source link](#)

Li Li, Zaiping Guo, Aijun Du, Hua-Kun Liu

Institutions: University of Wollongong, University of Queensland

Published on: 31 Jan 2012 - Journal of Materials Chemistry (Royal Society of Chemistry)

Topics: Nanocomposite and Graphene

Related papers:

- [Mn₃O₄–Graphene Hybrid as a High-Capacity Anode Material for Lithium Ion Batteries](#)
- [Spongelike Nanosized Mn₃O₄ as a High-Capacity Anode Material for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries](#)
- [Nano-sized transition-metal oxides as negative-electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries](#)
- [Uniform carbon layer coated Mn₃O₄ nanorod anodes with improved reversible capacity and cyclic stability for lithium ion batteries.](#)
- [A Facile and Template-Free Hydrothermal Synthesis of Mn₃O₄ Nanorods on Graphene Sheets for Supercapacitor Electrodes with Long Cycle Stability](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/rapid-microwave-assisted-synthesis-of-mn3o4-graphene-4sbuqcym2g>

1-1-2012

Rapid microwave-assisted synthesis of Mn₃O₄-graphene nanocomposite and its lithium storage properties

Li Li

University of Wollongong, li@uow.edu.au

Zaiping Guo

University of Wollongong, zguo@uow.edu.au

Aijun Du

University of Queensland

Hua-Kun Liu

University of Wollongong, hua@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers>



Part of the [Engineering Commons](#)

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/5220>

Recommended Citation

Li, Li; Guo, Zaiping; Du, Aijun; and Liu, Hua-Kun: Rapid microwave-assisted synthesis of Mn₃O₄-graphene nanocomposite and its lithium storage properties 2012, 3600-3605.
<https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/5220>

Rapid microwave-assisted synthesis of Mn₃O₄–graphene nanocomposite and its lithium storage properties†Li Li,^a Zaiping Guo,^{*ab} Aijun Du^{*c} and Huakun Liu^a

Received 8th October 2011, Accepted 9th December 2011

DOI: 10.1039/c2jm15075a

A nanocomposite of Mn₃O₄ wrapped in graphene sheets (GSs) was successfully synthesized *via* a facile, effective, energy-saving, and scalable microwave hydrothermal technique. The morphology and microstructures of the fabricated GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite were characterized using various techniques. The results indicate that the particle size of the Mn₃O₄ particles in the nanocomposite markedly decreased to nearly 20 nm, significantly smaller than that for the bare Mn₃O₄. Electrochemical measurements demonstrated a high specific capacity of more than 900 mA h g⁻¹ at 40 mA g⁻¹, and excellent cycling stability with no capacity decay can be observed up to 50 cycles. All of these properties are also interpreted by experimental studies and theoretical calculations.

1 Introduction

Manganese oxide, due to its low toxicity and natural abundance, as well as its low price, has been a key topic among the transition-metal oxides. Besides its potential applications in diverse areas, including catalysis^{1–3} and electrochromic applications,^{4a} its suitability for rechargeable lithium ion batteries (LIBs),^{4b} as well as high power supercapacitors,⁵ has also attracted worldwide interest in the energy storage field. The theoretical specific capacity of Mn₃O₄ is around 937 mA h g⁻¹, which is nearly three times higher than that of graphite (~372 mA h g⁻¹). Nevertheless, only a few papers have reported Mn₃O₄ in lithium batteries so far, as it is virtually an insulator with very low electrical conductivity (~10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁸ S cm⁻¹), which limits its capacity to around 400 mA h g⁻¹, even with the addition of cobalt.⁶ Graphene, as a rising star in materials science with its well-defined two-dimensional honeycomb-like network of carbon atoms, has aroused explosive interest in the nanoscience and nanotechnology fields owing to its outstanding thermal stability,⁷ superior electronic conductivity (~2000 S cm⁻¹),⁸ large theoretical specific surface area (~2630 m² g⁻¹), and high intrinsic mobility (200 000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹),^{9,10} as well as its remarkable structural flexibility.^{11,12} Therefore, in light of its fascinating merits and low

costs, it has been suggested that graphene sheet (GS) could be an ideal substrate for growth of functional nanomaterials^{13–15} to render them electrochemically active and electrically conductive. With this in mind, numerous graphene-based inorganic nanocomposites with metal,^{16–19} metal oxides,^{20–24} and sulfide¹⁴ have been successfully synthesized and show enhanced properties of these host materials.

Herein, we have employed a novel, facile, and fast one-pot microwave hydrothermal technique to obtain well-organized Mn₃O₄ encapsulated in graphene sheets (GS–Mn₃O₄). Compared with the traditional hydrothermal method, the microwave hydrothermal method can increase the kinetics of crystallization by causing rapid nucleation and growth, which can dramatically reduce the reaction time from 10 hours²⁵ or even several days *via* the conventional hydrothermal method down to 30 min, as reported here, and therefore it can save a large amount of energy. Additionally, the most important point to be noted is that, compared with the conventional hydrothermal method, the application of microwaves in the heating process offers a great possibility for large-scale batch reactions. Furthermore, through the microwave hydrothermal method, various multifunctional nanomaterials with intriguing morphologies, such as nanospheres, nanowires, and nanoporous networks, can be produced effectively and efficiently.^{26–28}

Through this rapid and robust *in situ* approach, the microwave hydrothermal technique, a hierarchical nanocomposite of Mn₃O₄ embedded in graphene sheets (GS–Mn₃O₄) was synthesized directly from graphite oxide (GO) and manganese acetate in the presence of anhydrous hydrazine. The reduction of GO and the loading of Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles on the graphene sheets occurred simultaneously, which can avoid the introduction of additional molecular cross-linkers to bridge the nanoparticles and the graphene matrix. Therefore, the graphene sheets in the composite can not only efficiently buffer the volume change of

^aInstitute for Superconducting and Electronics Materials, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, 2500, Australia. E-mail: zguo@uow.edu.au; Fax: +61 2 42215225

^bSchool of Mechanical, Materials, and Mechatronic Engineering, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, 2500, Australia

^cCentre for Computational Molecular Science, Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology and School of Chemical Engineering, University of Queensland, Queensland, 4072, Australia. E-mail: a.du@uq.edu.au

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: TGA curves, CV curves, computational details and Nyquist plots. See DOI: 10.1039/c2jm15075a

Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles during charging and discharging processes but also preserve the high electrical conductivity of the whole electrode. As a consequence, the fabricated GS–Mn₃O₄ hybrid material possesses high reversible capacity, good cycle life, and high rate capability as anode material for lithium ion batteries, and hence could be considered as a candidate electrode material for high-performance energy storage devices.

2 Experimental section

2.1 Synthesis of the GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite

Graphite oxide was prepared using a modified Hummers' method as described elsewhere.²⁹ In a typical preparation of GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite, 20 mL of GO (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) suspension was diluted to 100 mL solution by adding 80 mL deionized water, followed by stirring for 0.5 h. Then, 10 mL of manganese acetate aqueous solution (0.2 M) was added into the as-prepared GO dispersion under vigorous magnetic stirring for 4 hours, and 2 mL of NaOH aqueous solution (2 M) was added dropwise to the above mixture, followed by stirring overnight. Subsequently, 0.2 mL hydrazine anhydrous was added to the above solution with stirring for 0.5 h, and then the solution was diluted by addition of 100 mL deionized water. Finally, 20 mL of the resultant brown solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave and then was irradiated by microwaves for 30 min at 150 °C at a fast heating rate. Please note that the temperature we used is lower and the process is much faster than that reported recently.²⁵ After the reaction, the precipitate was collected with a centrifuge and rinsed with deionized water and ethanol three times, respectively. Afterwards, the obtained powders were dried at 60 °C overnight in a vacuum oven. For comparison, bare Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles were also prepared by the same experimental procedures but without adding graphite oxide. By using this method, it was estimated from thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) that the amount of graphene in the GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite was about 18.8 wt%, as shown in Fig. S1 in the ESI†.

2.2 Materials characterization

The phase structures and molecules of the as-prepared products were characterized by MMA GBC X-ray diffraction with Cu K α radiation and Raman spectroscopy (Jobin Yvon HR800). The morphology and size of the as-obtained products were investigated using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM; JEOL-7500, 2 keV) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL 2011, 200 keV). Thermogravimetric analysis was conducted on a TA 2000 Thermoanalyzer. The working electrodes were prepared by mixing the as-prepared samples, carbon black (Super P, MMM, Belgium), and poly(vinyl difluoride) (PVDF) in a weight ratio of 8 : 1 : 1. The resultant slurry was pasted on Cu foil and dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 8 h. The electrochemical measurements were carried out in CR2032 coin cells with Li foil as the counter electrode and 1 M LiPF₆ in 1 : 1 ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) as the electrolyte. Cyclic voltammograms were collected on a VMP-3 electrochemical workstation at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. The discharge and charge measurements were conducted on a Land CT2001A battery tester.

3 Results and discussion

Fig. 1 presents the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of graphene, graphite oxide (GO), and bare Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles, as well as the as-prepared GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite, respectively. The diffraction peak at 9.7° is indexed to GO in Fig. 1(b), and the appearance of the (002) diffraction line at 23.4° for graphene³⁰ in Fig. 1(a) gives evidence that the graphite oxide was reduced to graphene during the microwave hydrothermal process. Meanwhile, it can also be observed that the graphene peaks become broadened after the reduction, which is a typical pattern of amorphous carbon structure,³¹ revealing that the stacking of GS is substantially disordered.

The characteristic peaks, as labelled in Fig. 1(c) and (d), of the crystal structure of Mn₃O₄ in both the nanoparticles and the nanocomposite are in good agreement with tetragonal spinel with space group *I41/amd* (JCPDS card: 24-0734), which also matches well with previous reports in the literature,²⁵ and no other impure crystalline phases were observed. The peaks of the GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite become broadened compared with those of bare Mn₃O₄, indicating that the grain size of the Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles is smaller in the presence of the GS encapsulation than for the bare Mn₃O₄.

In addition, the presence of both graphene and Mn₃O₄ can be confirmed from typical peaks in the Raman spectra (Fig. 2). Raman scattering probes the vibration modes of both crystalline and amorphous materials, providing complementary structural information to X-ray diffraction. Fig. 2 presents the Raman spectra of GO, GS–Mn₃O₄ composite, and bare Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles. For the GO and GS–Mn₃O₄ samples, the G band (1604 cm⁻¹) arises from the zone centre *E_{2g}* mode, corresponding to ordered sp² bonded carbon, whereas the D band (1330 cm⁻¹) is ascribed to edges or disordered layers. The intensity ratio of the D to the G band (*I_D/I_G*) provides a sensitive measure of the disorder and crystallite size of the graphitic layers.³² The intensity ratio (1.08) of *I_D/I_G* for GO is lower than that (1.31) of GS–Mn₃O₄ composite, suggesting that the reduction of exfoliated




Fig. 1 X-Ray diffraction patterns of (a) graphene, (b) GO, (c) bare Mn₃O₄, and (d) as-prepared GS–Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite.




Fig. 2 Raman spectra of bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles, GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite, and GO.

GO leads to smaller and more disordered layers, as well as dramatically decreasing the layer numbers of graphene.³³ In addition, minor peaks at 317, 374, and 475 cm^{-1} and a dominant peak at 652 cm^{-1} in the GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite can be ascribed to crystalline Mn_3O_4 ,^{34,35} which is substantially coincident with the bare Mn_3O_4 . The Raman spectrum of the GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite is actually that of a combination of pure graphene and bare Mn_3O_4 . This implies that Mn_3O_4 and GS are all in their own pristine structure, and no reactions have occurred between them during the *in situ* microwave hydrothermal synthesis process.

To investigate the morphology of the products, field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) images were collected for the bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles and the GS- Mn_3O_4




Fig. 3 FESEM images of (a) bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles and (b) GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite. TEM images of the GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite: (c) large-area image and associated SAED pattern (inset), (d) high-resolution TEM image of the Mn_3O_4 particle in [101] orientation embedded in graphene sheets and associated selected-area magnified images (insets).

nanocomposite, as shown in Fig. 3. The morphology of the bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles, prepared by the same procedure, but in the absence of GO, is spherical, but the nanoparticles are severely aggregated together, giving rise to a bigger particle size in the range of 40–100 nm (Fig. 3(a)). In comparison, we can observe that the size of the Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles is dramatically decreased to around 20 nm when they are prepared *in situ* with graphene *via* the microwave hydrothermal method, as shown in Fig. 3(b). This is because intimate interaction between bare Mn_3O_4 particles and hierarchic flexible graphene sheets drastically limits the growth and limits the agglomeration of the crystalline Mn_3O_4 particles to some extent, while the Mn_3O_4 particles are encapsulated in a matrix of graphene sheets, leading to more effective ionic and electron transport during Li^+ insertion/de-insertion due to the high conductivity of graphene.

The transmission electron microscope (TEM) images shown in Fig. 3(c) and (d) reveal that the Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles were distributed on the surface of the graphene nanosheets in the form of single particles or small particle clusters, and the nature of the Mn_3O_4 particles shown in Fig. 3(c) was further confirmed by selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns (inset of Fig. 3(c)). These visible diffraction rings can be indexed to the Hausmannite Mn_3O_4 phase, which is consistent with the XRD patterns. The high-resolution TEM image (Fig. 3(d)) indicates that there are multiple overlapping layers near the edge of the Mn_3O_4 particle, whereas the *d*-spacing of the (002) graphene planes is 0.34 nm, almost equal to the value of pristine graphene. The Mn_3O_4 particles were firmly encapsulated by the graphene sheets, and the crystal lattice fringes with *d*-spacing of 0.44 nm can be assigned to the [101] plane of tetragonal Mn_3O_4 . Based on the TEM images, it could be further confirmed that there is an intimate interaction between the Mn_3O_4 particles and the graphene sheets, and such a combination can enable fast electron transport through the graphene matrix to the Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles, guaranteeing efficient electrochemical performance.

In order to highlight the superiority of the GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite as anode material in LIBs, the discharge/charge profiles of the GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite and the bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles at a current density of 40 mA g^{-1} are displayed in Fig. 4(a) and (b), respectively. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of the GS- Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite and the bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} in the potential range from 0.1 V to 3 V are shown in Fig. S2 in the ESI†. The general features of the discharge/charge profiles are consistent with those of other transition metal oxides such as Co, Fe, and Ni oxide as anode materials in LIBs, but their mechanism of Li reactivity differs from the classical Li insertion/de-insertion or Li-alloying processes, which involves the formation and decomposition of Li_2O and accompanying reduction and oxidation of metal nanoparticles, as reported by P. Poizot *et al.*³⁶

As can be seen from Fig. 4(a), there is an obvious sloping part in the first discharge cycle from 1.5 V to 0.38 V, consistent with two broad cathodic peaks at around 0.62 V and 1.26 V, as shown in Fig. S2(a)†, which can be ascribed to the decomposition of the electrolyte solvent and the formation of the solid electrolyte interphase, as well as initial reduction of Mn_3O_4 . We can also observe that a well-defined voltage plateau is present at around 0.38 V in the first discharge cycle as shown in Fig. 4(a), which can be attributed to the further reduction of MnO to Mn and the




Fig. 4 Galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles for selected cycles of (a) GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite, and (b) bare Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles at the current density of 40 mA g⁻¹ with the potential window from 0.1 V to 3 V.

formation of amorphous Li₂O (Mn₃O₄ + 8Li⁺ + 8e⁻ = 3Mn + 4Li₂O), while the capacity extends to approximately 1354 mA h g⁻¹, slightly higher than the theoretical capacity of 937 mA h g⁻¹ for the conversion reaction to Mn and Li₂O.^{36,37} The higher capacity in the first cycle should be ascribed to the following factors: the discharge capacity in the first cycle is not only contributed by the conversion reaction of Mn₃O₄ to Mn nanoparticles, along with the formation of amorphous Li₂O, but also corresponds to the formation of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI), which is not included in the theoretical capacity of Mn₃O₄. Therefore, the initial discharge capacity is higher than the theoretical value. This is a normal phenomenon in metal oxide anode materials for lithium storage.

At the same time, an apparent broad peak at around 1.34 V in the first cycle is recorded in the anodic process as shown in Fig. S2(a)†, corresponding to the reversible oxidation of Mn(0) to MnO during the anodic process. An additional shoulder peak is observed when the material is charged above 2 V; this may correspond to further oxidation of MnO to Mn₃O₄, since it cannot be observed in the charge curve of MnO.³⁷ The first charge capacity approaches 890 mA h g⁻¹ as shown in Fig. 4(a), which is very close to the theoretical capacity (937 mA h g⁻¹) of a fully reversible conversion reaction. In the subsequent cycles, as shown in Fig. S2(a)†, the reduction peaks in the first cycle have disappeared, and a new sharp peak near 0.31 V appears instead, reflecting the sloping voltage range from 0.6 V to 0.1 V in the second cycle of the charge/discharge curve, as shown in Fig. 4(c), which is distinctly higher than for the first discharge, indicating that the lithium insertion reaction in the second cycle has become

easier. Fig. 4(b) presents discharge/charge profiles of the bare Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles, which are similar to the curve shapes for the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite, except for the relatively poor cycling performance.

The cycling performances of the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite and the bare Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles were measured at a current density of 40 mA g⁻¹ in the voltage range from 0.1 V to 3 V, and the results are shown in Fig. 5(a). We can observe that the second discharge specific capacity of the bare Mn₃O₄ (854.6 mA h g⁻¹) is very close to that of the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite (901.3 mA h g⁻¹), however, it declines dramatically to only 496 mA h g⁻¹ after 50 cycles, *i.e.*, 58% of the capacity in the second cycle. In contrast, the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite maintains a significantly higher reversibility, and it is interesting to note that its reversible capacity even gradually increases to 927 mA h g⁻¹ at the 20th cycle, which is well-documented in the literature and is attributed to the reversible growth of a polymeric gel-like film resulting from kinetically activated electrolyte degradation.^{38–40} The coulombic efficiency of the GS-Mn₃O₄ composite after the second cycle remains nearly 100% up to 50 cycles. We have also compared the rate performance of the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite with that of the bare Mn₃O₄ at different current densities of 40, 100, 200, 500, 1000, and 2000 mA g⁻¹, shown in Fig. 5(b). At 1000 mA g⁻¹, the reversible capacity of the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite reaches over 400 mA h g⁻¹, while the capacity of the bare Mn₃O₄ is less than 250 mA h g⁻¹. Remarkably, when the current density is again reduced back to 40 mA g⁻¹, the stable capacity of the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite can be almost resumed, and the discharge specific capacity is even slightly




Fig. 5 (a) Cycling performance of the GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite and bare Mn₃O₄ at a current density of 40 mA g⁻¹; (b) rate performance of GS-Mn₃O₄ nanocomposite and bare Mn₃O₄ particles at different current densities.

higher than that before at 40 mA g^{-1} , indicating excellent cycling stability.

From the comparison between the GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite and the bare Mn_3O_4 , we can conclude that the excellent electrochemical performance, including high reversible capacity, improved cycle stability, and high rate performance, of the GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite can be ascribed to the following factors: first, the high conductivity of the graphene sheets plays a significant role, as the GS acts as an ionic and electronic transport medium in the electrode during the charge/discharge processes; secondly, the decreased particle size of Mn_3O_4 particles in the composite material and the intimate interaction between the flexible GS and the Mn_3O_4 particles directly fabricated on the surface of the GS not only effectively confines the growth of the Mn_3O_4 particles, but also affords an elastic buffer to alleviate the agglomeration and cracking of Mn_3O_4 particles that is associated with the volume expansion during cycling, thus maintaining the structural integrity and avoiding rapid loss of electrode capacity, which is beneficial for cycling stability, and also enables Li ion transport from Mn_3O_4 particles to be more effective and rapid. Hence, such a nanocomposite could deliver an enhanced electrochemical performance as an anode material in rechargeable LIBs.

To gain further understanding of the relationship between the graphene sheets and the bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles, we conducted a series of calculations (see computational details in the ESI†) to study the charge transfer from the graphene layer to Mn_3O_4 . Fig. 6(a) exhibits a top view of the fully relaxed GS– $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ nanocomposite. The equilibrium distance between

the graphene layer and the top of the $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ surface is calculated to be 2.91 \AA . The interface adhesion energy was obtained according to the following equation:

$$E_{\text{ad}} = E_{\text{comb}} - E_{\text{graphene}} - E_{\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)} \quad (1)$$

where E_{comb} , E_{graphene} , and $E_{\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)}$ represent the total energy of the relaxed GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite, the pure graphene sheet, and the clean $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ slab, respectively. The interface binding energy is as high as -4.45 eV for the whole model interface, which indicates very high stability. To characterize the electron coupling at the GS– Mn_3O_4 interface, three-dimensional charge density difference plots were calculated by subtracting the electronic charge of the hybrid GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite from those of the separate graphene layer and the $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ surface as shown in Fig. 6(b). Clearly, there is significant charge transfer from the graphene layer to the $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ surface in the ground electronic state, which considerably enhances the electronic conductivity of the whole nanocomposite. The Nyquist plots also give further evidence that the charge transfer resistance has decreased in the GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite, as shown in Fig. S3†. Accordingly, this can also further elucidate the intrinsic reason why the electrochemical performance of the GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite enormously surpasses that of the bare Mn_3O_4 nanoparticle sample, which is virtually an insulator.

4 Conclusions

We successfully synthesized a Mn_3O_4 -embedded graphene sheet nanocomposite that was directly prepared from GO *via* a facile, effective, energy-saving, and scalable microwave hydrothermal technique. The Mn_3O_4 particles in the nanocomposite, directly growing on the surface of the graphene sheets, interact with each other intimately, so that the nanocomposite exhibits better electrochemical properties than the bare sample, including a high reversible specific capacity of more than 900 mA h g^{-1} at 40 mA g^{-1} . Even at a high current density of 1000 mA g^{-1} , this material still can achieve an acceptable capacity of 400 mA h g^{-1} . In addition, the most attractive property of this nanocomposite should be its extraordinary cycling stability, with no decay in capacity for up to 50 cycles. The theoretical calculations further give evidence that there is charge transfer between the graphene and the Mn_3O_4 nanoparticles. The GS– Mn_3O_4 nanocomposite fabricated by such an energy-saving microwave hydrothermal technique could be considered as a candidate anode material in future larger scale energy storage devices.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project (DP1094261). The authors would like to thank Dr Tania Silver at the University of Wollongong for critical reading of the manuscript and Mr Darren Attard for his great contribution.

Notes and references

- 1 T. Yamashita and A. Vannice, *J. Catal.*, 1996, **161**, 254.
- 2 H. Einaga and S. Futamura, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **227**, 304.




Fig. 6 (a) Top view of the optimized GS– $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ and (b) side view of a three-dimensional charge density difference plot for the interface between a graphene sheet and a $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(001)$ surface. Magenta, red, and green balls represent Mn, O, and C atoms, respectively. Cyan and yellow isosurfaces represent charge depletion and accumulation in the space, with an isovalue of 0.015 e \AA^{-3} .

- 3 M. Johns, P. Landon, T. Alderson and G. J. Hutchings, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2454.
- 4 (a) N. Sakai, Y. Ebina, K. Takada and T. Sasaki, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2005, **12**, E384; (b) W. Xiao, J. S. Chen and X. W. (David) Lou, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 5685.
- 5 C. C. Hu, Y. T. Wu and K. H. Chang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2890.
- 6 D. Pasero, N. Reeves and A. R. West, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **141**, 156.
- 7 A. A. Balandin, S. Ghosh, W. Z. Bao, I. Calizo, D. Teweldebrhan, F. Miao and C. N. Lau, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 902.
- 8 Z. S. Wu, W. C. Ren, L. B. Gao, J. P. Zhao, Z. P. Chen, B. L. Liu, D. M. Tang, B. Yu, C. B. Jiang and H. M. Cheng, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 411–417.
- 9 K. I. Bolotin, K. J. Sikes, Z. Jiang, M. Klima, G. Fudenberg, J. Hone, P. Kim and H. L. Stormer, *Solid State Commun.*, 2008, **146**, 351.
- 10 S. V. Morozov, K. S. Novoselov, M. I. Katsnelson, F. Schedin, D. C. Elias, J. A. Jaszczak and A. K. Geim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 016602.
- 11 D. A. Dikin, S. Stankovich, E. J. Zimney, R. D. Piner, G. H. B. Dommett, G. Evmenenko, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, *Nature*, 2007, **448**, 457.
- 12 C. Lee, X. D. Wei, J. W. Kysar and J. Hone, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 385.
- 13 S. M. Paek, E. J. Yoo and I. Honma, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 72.
- 14 D. Wang, D. Choi, J. Li, Z. Yang, Z. Nie, R. Kou, D. Hu, C. Wang, L. V. Saraf, J. Zhang, I. A. Aksay and J. Liu, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 90715; H. Wang, J. T. Robinson, G. Diankov and H. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3270.
- 15 G. D. Du, K. H. Seng, Z. P. Guo, J. Liu, W. X. Li, D. Z. Jia, C. Cook, Z. W. Liu and H. K. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2011, **1**, 690.
- 16 Y. C. Si and E. T. Samulski, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 6792.
- 17 C. Xu, X. Wang and J. W. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 19841.
- 18 R. Muszynski, B. Seger and P. V. Kamat, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 5263.
- 19 N. A. Luechinger, E. K. Athanassiou and W. J. Stark, *Nanotechnology*, 2008, **19**, 445201.
- 20 H. K. He and C. Gao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2010, **2**, 320.
- 21 C. Xu, X. Wang, J. W. Zhu, X. J. Yang and L. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 5625.
- 22 Z. S. Wu, W. C. Ren, L. Wen, L. B. Gao, J. P. Zhao, Z. P. Chen, G. M. Zhou, F. Li and H. M. Cheng, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 3187.
- 23 X. Y. Yang, X. Y. Zhang, Y. F. Ma, Y. Huang, Y. S. Wang and Y. S. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 2710.
- 24 G. Williams, B. Seger and P. V. Kamat, *ACS Nano*, 2008, **2**, 1487.
- 25 H. L. Wang, L. F. Cui, Y. Yang, H. S. Casalongue, J. T. Robinson, Y. Y. Liang, Y. Cui and H. J. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13978.
- 26 Y. Hu, C. Liu, Y. Zhang, N. Ren and Y. Tang, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2009, **119**, 306.
- 27 M. L. Dos Santos, R. C. Lima, C. Riccardi, R. Tranquilin, P. Bueno, J. Varela and E. Longo, *Mater. Lett.*, 2008, **62**, 4509.
- 28 X. H. Zhu, J. Y. Wang, Z. H. Zhang, J. M. Zhu, S. H. Zhou, Z. G. Liu and N. B. Ming, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2008, **91**, 2683.
- 29 K. H. Seng, Z. P. Guo, Z. X. Chen and H. K. Liu, *Adv. Sci. Lett.*, 2011, **4**, 18.
- 30 B. J. Li, H. Q. Cao, J. Shao, M. Z. Qu and J. H. Warner, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 5069.
- 31 T. Cassagneau, J. H. Fendler, S. A. Johnson and T. E. Mallouk, *Adv. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 1363.
- 32 Y. G. Wang and H. S. Zhou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 1704.
- 33 A. Gupta, G. Chen, P. Joshi, S. Tadigadapa and P. C. Eklud, *Nano Lett.*, 2006, **6**, 2667–2673.
- 34 M. C. Bernard, A. Hugot-Le Goff, B. V. Thi and S. Cordoba de Torresi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1993, **140**, 3065.
- 35 C. M. Julien, M. Massot and C. Poinsignon, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2004, **60**, 689.
- 36 P. Poizot, S. Laruelle, S. Grugeon, L. Dupont and J. M. Tarascon, *Nature*, 2000, **407**, 496.
- 37 D. Pasero, N. Reeves and A. R. West, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **141**, 156.
- 38 S. Grugeon, S. Laruelle, L. Dupont and J. M. Tarascon, *Solid State Sci.*, 2003, **5**, 895.
- 39 S. Laruelle, S. Grugeon, P. Poizot, M. Dolle, L. Dupont and J. M. Tarascon, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2002, **149**, A627.
- 40 J. S. Do and C. H. Weng, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **146**, 482.