

City University of New York (CUNY)

CUNY Academic Works

Publications and Research

New York City College of Technology

2019

RASP 4: ancestral state reconstruction tool for multiple genes and characters

Yan Yu

Sichuan University

Christopher Blair

CUNY New York City College of Technology

Xingjin He

Sichuan University

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

More information about this work at: https://academicworks.cuny.edu/ny_pubs/465

Discover additional works at: <https://academicworks.cuny.edu>

This work is made publicly available by the City University of New York (CUNY).

Contact: AcademicWorks@cuny.edu

1 RASP 4: ancestral state reconstruction tool for multiple genes and
2 characters

3 Yan Yu^{1,*}, Christopher Blair^{2,3}, Xingjin He^{1,*}

4 ¹Key Laboratory of Bio-Resources and Eco-Environment of Ministry of Education, College of Life
5 Sciences, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610065, P. R. China.

6 ²Department of Biological Sciences, New York City College of Technology, The City University of
7 New York, 285 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA.

8 ³Biology PhD Program, CUNY Graduate Center, 365 5th Ave., New York, NY 10065

9 * Corresponding author: E-mail: yyu@scu.edu.cn and xjhe@scu.edu.cn

10 **Abstract:** With the continual progress of sequencing techniques, genome-scale data are
11 increasingly used in phylogenetic studies. With more data from throughout the genome, the
12 relationship between genes and different kinds of characters is receiving more attention. Here,
13 we present version 4 of RASP, a software to reconstruct ancestral states through phylogenetic
14 trees. RASP can apply generalized statistical ancestral reconstruction methods to phylogenies,
15 explore the phylogenetic signal of characters to particular trees, calculate distances between
16 trees, and cluster trees into groups. RASP 4 has an improved graphic user interface and is
17 freely available from <http://mnh.scu.edu.cn/soft/blog/RASP> (program) and
18 <https://github.com/sculab/RASP> (source code).

19 **Key words:** Ancestral state reconstruction, Genome, Phylogeny, Phylogenetic signal

20
21 RASP (Reconstruct Ancestral State in Phylogenies) is a software to reconstruct ancestral states
22 through phylogenetic trees. To date, the program has been used to infer biogeographic history
23 in numerous groups of animals, plants, fungi and bacteria (Blair, et al. 2015; Yu, et al. 2015;
24 Stucki, et al. 2016; Bourguignon, et al. 2018; Navaud, et al. 2018; Yan, et al. 2018). With the
25 continual progress of sequencing techniques, the data from genomes, transcriptomes and
26 proteomes have been increasingly used in phylogenetic studies (Choi and Kim 2017).
27 Additionally, morphology, ecology, and distribution data are increasingly integrated into

28 research (Soltis and Soltis 2016). This motivated us to add more functionality into RASP to
29 implement additional algorithms and tools.

30 The new version of RASP can analyze phylogenomic data (and other types of data), make
31 inference on our generalized statistical method for ancestral state reconstruction (Fig 1-A and B)
32 and summarize results under a graphical user interface (Fig 1-C). Users are also allowed to
33 quantify phylogenetic signal of different morphological or ecological characters to particular
34 trees (Fig 1-D), measure the fit between a tree and geography, and compute a distance matrix
35 to cluster trees (Fig 1-E).

36 Methods to reconstruct ancestral geographical distributions using a combination of
37 phylogenetic and distributional information are increasing rapidly. In RASP 4, we implement a
38 generalized statistical method for models implemented in the R package ‘BioGeoBEARS’
39 (Matzke 2014) and ‘APE’ (Paradis and Schliep 2018); namely our method summarizes
40 ancestral reconstructions across all input trees. The probability (p) of an ancestral range x at
41 node n on the final species tree is calculated as $p(x_n) = \sum_{t \in T} [w(x_n)_t] / g_n$ where T is the set
42 of trees, $w(x_n)_t$ is the weight of ancestral range x at node n for tree t , and g_n is the number of
43 times node n occurs in T (see supplementary material for details). To reduce computational
44 burden, RASP applies parallel computing to all models both by taking advantage of multiple
45 threads and splitting trees into small groups. See Table S1 for a full comparison of the methods
46 of ancestral reconstruction implemented in RASP.

47 Phylogenetic signal is the tendency of related species to resemble each other in a specific
48 character more than species drawn at random from the same tree (Münkemüller, et al. 2012).
49 To test for phylogenetic signal for continuous states, RASP calculates Moran's I (Moran 1948,
50 1950), Abouheif's C_{mean} (Abouheif 1999), Pagel's λ (Pagel 1999) and Blomberg's K (Blomberg,
51 et al. 2003) using the R package 'adephylo' (Jombart, et al. 2010). For discrete states, RASP fits
52 models of trait evolution using a likelihood ratio test and calculates Pagel's λ using the R
53 package ‘geiger’ (Pennell, et al. 2014) (Fig 1-C). If some species have more than one state,
54 RASP will convert them to all possible combinations of single states and calculate Pagel's λ for
55 each of them. The largest Pagel's λ is used in the final result.

56 Tree distances are often used as a formal way to quantify the differences of trees inferred

57 from different genes and reconstruction methods (Sand, et al. 2014). In RASP, users can
58 compute trees distances using different methods: KC distance (Kendall and Colijn 2016), triplet
59 distance implemented in mp-est (Liu, et al. 2010), RF distance (Robinson and Foulds 1981),
60 KF distance (Kuhner and Felsenstein 1994), path differences (Steel and Penny 1993), and SPR
61 distance (de Oliveira Martins, et al. 2008; De Oliveira Martins, et al. 2014) implemented in the
62 R package 'phangorn' (Schliep 2010) (Table S2). Having the distance matrix, values can be
63 normalized using min-max normalization (Han, et al. 2006). Next, trees are clustered into
64 groups using the R package 'adegetnet' (Jombart 2008) according to the distance matrix. The
65 end result may provide insight into the sources of heterogeneity among gene/species histories.
66 For example, distinct clusters of genes may indicate unique phylogenetic signatures (Kendall
67 and Colijn 2016). Additionally, the tree distance matrix and groups could be used to provide a
68 candidate species tree under the coalescent model (Liu, et al. 2010).


69 In summary, the new version of RASP 4 implements several tools for multiple gene and
70 species trees and characters while simultaneously making it easier to process trees generated
71 from different sources. We provide six tutorials to help users select appropriate methods for
72 different research questions on the our website (<http://mnh.scu.edu.cn/soft/blog/RASP>). We
73 will continue to develop RASP with a focus on implementing new algorithms and integrating
74 more tools. RASP for Windows and macOS are available freely from
75 <http://mnh.scu.edu.cn/soft/blog/RASP> (program) and <https://github.com/sculab/RASP> (source
76 code), and licensed under the terms of the MIT license.

77

78 **Acknowledgments**

79 We thank Liang Liu, Jianquan Liu and Kangshan Mao for discussion. This work was supported by
80 the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31500188), the Specimen
81 Platform of China, Teaching Specimen's sub-platform, the Science and Technology Basic Work
82 (Grant No. 2013FY112100).

83



84

85 **Fig. 1. Screenshots from RASP 4.** The sample data and tutorials can be found on the RASP website.

86 A) The main screen of RASP. The expanded menu shows the ancestral state reconstruction methods

87 implemented in RASP. B) The tree view interface of RASP. The graphic shows the results of using

88 the DIVAl like model in BioGeoBEARS. The expanded menu shows the operations that can be

89 performed on the results. C) The Trees and States tool. The list shows the results of phylogenetic

90 signal for three states. D) Graphical interface showing ancestral state reconstruction results from

91 BayesTraits (Meade and Pagel 2018). E) The Trees vs. Trees tool. The expanded menu shows the

92 supported distance methods.

93

94

95 **References**

- 96 Abouheif E. 1999. A method for testing the assumption of phylogenetic independence in comparative
97 data. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 1:895-909.
- 98 Blair C, Noonan B, Brown J, Raselimanana A, Vences M, Yoder A. 2015. Multilocus phylogenetic and
99 geospatial analyses illuminate diversification patterns and the biogeographic history of Malagasy
100 endemic plated lizards (Gerrhosauridae: Zonosaurinae). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 28:481-492.
- 101 Blomberg SP, Garland Jr T, Ives AR. 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data:
102 behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57:717-745.
- 103 Bourguignon T, Tang Q, Ho SYW, Juna F, Wang Z, Arab DA, Cameron SL, Walker J, Rentz D, Evans
104 TA, et al. 2018. Transoceanic Dispersal and Plate Tectonics Shaped Global Cockroach Distributions:
105 Evidence from Mitochondrial Phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:970-983.
- 106 Choi J, Kim S-H. 2017. A genome tree of life for the fungi kingdom. *Proceedings of the National
107 Academy of Sciences* 114:9391-9396.
- 108 de Oliveira Martins L, Leal E, Kishino H. 2008. Phylogenetic detection of recombination with a Bayesian
109 prior on the distance between trees. *PLoS One* 3:e2651.
- 110 De Oliveira Martins L, Mallo D, Posada D. 2014. A Bayesian supertree model for genome-wide species
111 tree reconstruction. *Systematic Biology* 65:397-416.
- 112 Han J, Jian P, Michelin K. 2006. *Data Mining, Southeast Asia Edition*. In: San Francisco: Elsevier Inc.
- 113 Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics*
114 24:1403-1405.
- 115 Jombart T, Balloux F, Dray S. 2010. Adephylo: new tools for investigating the phylogenetic signal in
116 biological traits. *Bioinformatics* 26:1907-1909.
- 117 Kendall M, Colijn C. 2016. *Mapping Phylogenetic Trees to Reveal Distinct Patterns of Evolution*. *Mol
118 Biol Evol* 33:2735-2743.
- 119 Kuhner MK, Felsenstein J. 1994. A simulation comparison of phylogeny algorithms under equal and
120 unequal evolutionary rates. *Mol Biol Evol* 11:459-468.
- 121 Liu L, Yu L, Edwards SV. 2010. A maximum pseudo-likelihood approach for estimating species trees
122 under the coalescent model. *BMC evolutionary biology* 10:302.
- 123 Matzke NJ. 2014. Model selection in historical biogeography reveals that founder-event speciation is a
124 crucial process in island clades. *Systematic Biology* 63:951-970.
- 125 Meade A, Pagel M. 2018. BayesTraits: a computer package for analyses of trait evolution. In: Version.
- 126 Moran PA. 1948. The interpretation of statistical maps. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B
127 (Methodological)* 10:243-251.
- 128 Moran PA. 1950. Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika* 37:17-23.
- 129 Münkemüller T, Lavergne S, Bzeznik B, Dray S, Jombart T, Schiffrers K, Thuiller W. 2012. How to
130 measure and test phylogenetic signal. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:743-756.
- 131 Navaud O, Barbacci A, Taylor A, Clarkson JP, Raffaele S. 2018. Shifts in diversification rates and host
132 jump frequencies shaped the diversity of host range among Sclerotiniaceae fungal plant pathogens.
133 *Mol Ecol* 27:1309-1323.
- 134 Pagel M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature* 401:877.
- 135 Paradis E, Schliep K. 2018. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses
136 in R. *Bioinformatics* 35:526-528.
- 137 Pennell MW, Eastman JM, Slater GJ, Brown JW, Uyeda JC, FitzJohn RG, Alfaro ME, Harmon LJ. 2014.
138 geiger v2. 0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees.

139 Bioinformatics 30:2216-2218.
140 Robinson DF, Foulds LR. 1981. Comparison of phylogenetic trees. *Mathematical biosciences* 53:131-
141 147.
142 Sand A, Holt MK, Johansen J, Brodal GS, Mailund T, Pedersen CN. 2014. tqDist: a library for computing
143 the quartet and triplet distances between binary or general trees. *Bioinformatics* 30:2079-2080.
144 Schliep KP. 2010. phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R. *Bioinformatics* 27:592-593.
145 Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 2016. Mobilizing and integrating big data in studies of spatial and phylogenetic
146 patterns of biodiversity. *Plant Diversity* 38:264-270.
147 Steel MA, Penny D. 1993. Distributions of tree comparison metrics—some new results. *Systematic*
148 *Biology* 42:126-141.
149 Stucki D, Brites D, Jeljeli L, Coscolla M, Liu Q, Trauner A, Fenner L, Rutaihwa L, Borrell S, Luo T, et
150 al. 2016. Mycobacterium tuberculosis lineage 4 comprises globally distributed and geographically
151 restricted sublineages. *Nat Genet* 48:1535-1543.
152 Yan H-F, Zhang C-Y, Anderberg AA, Hao G, Ge X-J, Wiens JJ. 2018. What explains high plant richness
153 in East Asia? Time and diversification in the tribe Lysimachieae (Primulaceae). *New Phytologist*
154 219:436-448.
155 Yu Y, Harris AJ, Blair C, He X. 2015. RASP (Reconstruct Ancestral State in Phylogenies): a tool for
156 historical biogeography. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 87:46-49.
157