UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Reactive astrocyte nomenclature, definitions, and future directions.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8fv7s19f

Journal

Nature neuroscience, 24(3)

ISSN 1097-6256

Authors

Escartin, Carole Galea, Elena Lakatos, András <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021-03-01

DOI

10.1038/s41593-020-00783-4

Peer reviewed

1 2

3

Reactive astrocyte nomenclature, definitions, and future directions

Carole Escartin^{1*#}, Elena Galea^{2,3*#}, András Lakatos^{4,5§}, James P. O'Callaghan^{6§}, 4 Gabor C. Petzold^{7,8§}, Alberto Serrano-Pozo^{9,10§}, Christian Steinhäuser^{11§}, Andrea Volterra^{12§,} 5 Giorgio Carmignoto^{13,14§}, Amit Agarwal¹⁵, Nicola J. Allen¹⁶, Alfonso Araque¹⁷, Luis Barbeito¹⁸, 6 Ari Barzilai¹⁹, Dwight E. Bergles²⁰, Gilles Bonvento¹, Arthur M. Butt²¹, Wei-Ting Chen²², 7 Martine Cohen-Salmon²³, Colm Cunningham²⁴, Benjamin Deneen²⁵, Bart De Strooper^{22,26}, 8 Blanca Díaz-Castro²⁷, Cinthia Farina²⁸, Marc Freeman²⁹, Vittorio Gallo³⁰, James E. Goldman³¹, 9 Steven A. Goldman^{32,33}, Magdalena Götz^{34,35}, Antonia Gutiérrez^{36,37}, Philip G. Haydon³⁸, 10 Dieter H. Heiland^{39,40}, Elly M. Hol⁴¹, Matthew G. Holt⁴², Masamitsu Iino⁴³, 11 Ksenia V. Kastanenka⁴⁴, Helmut Kettenmann⁴⁵, Baljit S. Khakh⁴⁶, Schuichi Koizumi⁴⁷, 12 C. Justin Lee⁴⁸, Shane A. Liddelow⁴⁹, Brian A. MacVicar⁵⁰, Pierre Magistretti^{51,52}, 13 Albee Messing⁵³, Anusha Mishra⁵⁴, Anna V. Molofsky⁵⁵, Keith K. Murai⁵⁶, Christopher M. 14 Norris⁵⁷, Seiji Okada⁵⁸, Stéphane H.R. Oliet⁵⁹, João F. Oliveira^{60,61,62}, Aude Panatier⁵⁹, Vladimir 15 Parpura⁶³, Marcela Pekna⁶⁴, Milos Pekny⁶⁵, Luc Pellerin⁶⁶, Gertrudis Perea⁶⁷, Beatriz G. Pérez-16 Nievas⁶⁸, Frank W. Pfrieger⁶⁹, Kira E. Poskanzer⁷⁰, Francisco J. Ouintana⁷¹, Richard M. 17 18 Ransohoff⁷², Miriam Riquelme-Perez¹, Stefanie Robel⁷³, Christine R. Rose⁷⁴, Jeffrey D. Rothstein⁷⁵, Nathalie Rouach⁷⁶, David H. Rowitch⁵, Alexey Semyanov^{77,78}, Swetlana Sirko^{79,80}, 19 Harald Sontheimer⁸¹, Raymond A. Swanson⁸², Javier Vitorica^{37,83}, Ina-Beate Wanner⁸⁴, 20 Levi B. Wood⁸⁵, Jiaqian Wu⁸⁶, Binhai Zheng⁸⁷, Eduardo R. Zimmer⁸⁸, Robert Zorec^{89,90}, 21 Michael V. Sofroniew^{91*#}, Alexei Verkhratsky^{92, 93*#} 22 23 24 25 Affiliations 26 Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, MIRCen, Laboratoire des Maladies Neurodégénératives, 27 1. 28 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France. 29 Institut de Neurociències and Departament de Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular, Unitat de Bioquímica 2. de Medicina, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 30 ICREA, Barcelona, Spain. 31 3. 32 John van Geest Centre for Brain Repair and Division of Stem Cell Neurobiology, Department of 4. Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. 33 Wellcome Trust-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, 34 5. 35 UK. Health Effects Laboratory Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for 36 6. 37 Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA. 38 7. German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany. Division of Vascular Neurology, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, 39 8. 40 Germany. Alzheimer Research Unit, Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, 41 9. 42 Massachusetts, USA. 43 10. Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. 11. Institute of Cellular Neurosciences, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. 44 12. Department of Fundamental Neuroscience, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. 45 46 13. Neuroscience Institute, Italian National Research Council (CNR), Padua, Italy. 47 14. Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padua, Padua, Italy. 48 15. The Chica and Heinz Schaller Research Group, Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Heidelberg 49 University, Heidelberg, Germany. 50 16. Salk Institute for Biological Studies, Molecular Neurobiology Laboratory, 4 La Jolla, California4, 51 USA. 17. Department of Neuroscience, University of Minnesota. Minneapolis, Minnesota,4 USA. 52 53 18. Institut Pasteur de Montevideo, Uruguay. 19. Department of Neurobiology, George S. Wise, Faculty of Life Sciences and Sagol School of 54 Neuroscience. Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv Tel Aviv, Israel. 55

- The Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience. Kavli Neuroscience Discovery Institute. Johns
 Hopkins University School of Medicine.Baltimore,Maryland, USA.
- 58 21. School of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, UK.
- 59 22. Center for Brain and Disease Research, VIB and University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
- 60 23. "Physiology and Physiopathology of the Gliovascular Unit" Research Group, Center for
 61 Interdisciplinary Research in Biology (CIRB), College de France, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7241
 62 CNRS, Unité1050 INSERM, PSL Research University, Paris, France.
- 63 24. Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute & Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, School of
 64 Biochemistry & Immunology, Trinity College Dublin, Republic of Ireland.
- Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Department of Neurosurgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston,
 Texas, USA.
- 67 26. UK Dementia Research Institute at the University College London, London, UK.
- 68 27. UK Dementia Research Institute at the University of Edinburgh, Centre for Discovery Brain Sciences,
 69 Chancellor's Building, 49 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh, UK.
- Institute of Experimental Neurology (INSpe) and Division of Neuroscience, San Raffaele Scientific
 Institute, Building Dibit 2-San Gabriele, Milan, Italy.
- 72 29. Vollum Institute, OHSU, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- 73 30. Center for Neuroscience Research, Children's National Research Institute, Children's National
 74 Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia USA.
- 75 31. Department of Pathology & Cell Biology, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA.
- 76 32. University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA.
- 33. Center for Translational Neuromedicine, University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical
 Science and Rigshospitalet, Kobenhavn N, Denmark.
- 79 34. Physiological Genomics, Biomedical Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitaet & Institute of Stem
 80 Cell Research, Helmholtz Center Munich, Germany.
- 81 35. Synergy, Excellence Cluster of Systems Neurology, Biomedical Center, Munich, Germany.
- Biología Celular, Genética y Fisiología, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga-IBIMA,
 Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain.
- 84 37. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas (CIBERNED),
 85 Madrid, Spain.
- 86 38. Department of Neuroscience, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- 87 39. Microenvironment and Immunology Research Laboratory, Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine,
 88 University of Freiburg, Germany.
- 40. Department of Neurosurgery, Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, Germany.
- 90 41. Department of Translational Neuroscience, University Medical Center Utrecht Brain Center, Utrecht
 91 University, Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- 42. Laboratory of Glia Biology, VIB-KU Leuven Center for Brain and Disease Research, Leuven,
 Belgium.
- 94 43. Division of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, Nihon University School of Medicine, Itabashi-ku,
 95 Tokyo, Japan.
- 96 44. Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, Massachusetts, USA.
- 97 45. Cellular Neurosciences, Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association,
 98 Berlin, Germany.
- 46. Department of Physiology, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA.
- 47. Department of Neuropharmacology, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Medicine, University of
 Yamanashi, Yamanashi, Japan.
- 48. Center for Cognition and Sociality, Institute for Basic Science 55, Expo-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon,
 Korea.
- 49. Neuroscience Institute, Department of Neuroscience and Physiology, Department of Ophthalmology,
 NYU School of Medicine, New York, USA.
- 50. Djavad Mowafaghian Centre for Brain Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British
 Columbia, Canada.
- 108 51. Division of Biological and Environmental Sciences and Engineering, King Abdullah University of
 109 Science and Technology (KAUST) Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.
- 52. Centre de Neurosciences Psychiatriques, University of Lausanne and CHUV, Site de Cery, Prilly Lausanne, Switzerland.

- 53. Waisman Center and School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison,
 Wisconsin, USA.
- 54. Department of Neurology Jungers Center for Neurosciences Research and Knight Cardiovascular
 Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- 55. Departments of Psychiatry/Weill Institute for Neuroscience University of California, San Francisco,
 California, USA.
- 56. Centre for Research in Neuroscience, Department of Neurology & Neurosurgery, Brain Repair and
 Integrative Neuroscience Program, Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre,
 Montreal, Quebec, Canada.
- 57. Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, Kentucky,
 USA.
- 58. Department of Immunobiology and Neuroscience, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Kyushu
 University, Fukuoka, Japan.
- 125 59. Neurocentre Magendie, Inserm U1215 and Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France.
- 60. Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Medicine, University of Minho, Braga,
 Portugal.
- 128 61. ICVS/3B's -PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal.
- 129 62. IPCA-EST-2Ai, Polytechnic Institute of Cávado and Ave, Applied Artificial Intelligence Laboratory,
 130 Campus of IPCA, Barcelos, Portugal.
- 131 63. Department of Neurobiology, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, 132 USA.
- 133 64. Laboratory of Regenerative Neuroimmunology, Center for Brain Repair, Department of Clinical
 134 Neuroscience, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of
 135 Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.
- 136 65. Laboratory of Astrocyte Biology and CNS Regeneration, Center for Brain Repair, Department of
 137 Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Sahlgrenska Academy at the
 138 University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.
- 139 66. INSERM U1082, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France.
- 140 67. Department of Functional and Systems Neurobiology, Cajal Institute, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.
- 141 68. Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, Maurice Wohl Clinical Neuroscience Institute,
 142 Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK.
- 69. Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Strasbourg, Institut des Neurosciences
 Cellulaires et Intégratives, Strasbourg, France.
- 145 70. Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, Kavli Institute for Fundamental Neuroscience, University
 146 of California, San Francisco, California, USA.
- 147 71. Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical
 148 School. Associate Member, The Broad Institute, Boston, Massachusetts USA.
- 149 72. Third Rock Ventures, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- Fralin Biomedical Research Institute at Virginia Tech Carilion, School of Neuroscience Virginia Tech,
 Riverside Circle, Roanoke, Virginia, USA.
- 152 74. Institute of Neurobiology, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany.
- 153 75. Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine,154 Baltimore, Maryland, USA.
- 155 76. Neuroglial Interactions in Cerebral Physiology and Pathologies, Center for Interdisciplinary Research
 156 in Biology, Collège de France, CNRS UMR 7241, INSERM U1050, Labex Memolife, PSL Research
 157 University Paris, Paris, France.
- 158 77. Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Moscow, Russia.
- 159 78. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia.
- 160 79. Physiological Genomics, Biomedical Center, LMU Munich, Germany.
- 161 80. Institute for Stem Cell Research, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, Neuherberg, Germany.
- 162 81. Virginia Tech School of Neuroscience and Center for Glial Biology in Health, Disease and Cancer,
 163 Virginia Tech at the Fralin Biomedical Research Institute. Roanoke, Virginia, USA.
- Bept. of Neurology, University of California San Francisco and San Francisco Veterans Affairs Health
 Care System, San Francisco, California, USA.
- Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla, Universidad de Sevilla,
 Hospital Virgen del Rocío/CSIC, Spain.

168	84.	Semel Institute for Neuroscience & Human Behavior, IDDRC, David Geffen School of Medicine,					
169		UCLA. Los Angeles, California, USA.					
170	85.	George W. Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering, Wallace H. Coulter Department of					
171		Biomedical Engineering at Georgia Tech and Emory, and Parker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering					
172		& Bioscience, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.					
173	86.	The Vivian L. Smith Department of Neurosurgery, Center for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine,					
174		MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, McGovern Medical					
175		School, UTHealth, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Texas, USA.					
176	87.	Department of Neurosciences, UC San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla; VA San Diego, California,					
177		USA.					
178	88.	Department of Pharmacology, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.					
179	89.	Laboratory of Neuroendocrinology, Molecular Cell Physiology, Institute of Pathophysiology,					
180		University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Medicine, Ljubljana, Slovenia.					
181	90.	Celica Biomedical, 1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia.					
182	91.	Department of Neurobiology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los					
183		Angeles, California, USA.					
184	92.	Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.					
185	93.	Achúcarro Basque Center for Neuroscience, IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao,					
186		Spain.					
187							
188							
189	* aı	nd § Equal contribution					
190	# Corresponding authors						
191							
192							
193	Co	rrespondence to:					
194	Dr.	Dr. Carole Escartin. MIRCen, 18 route du Panorama, 92260 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France.					
195	Phone: 0033 146 54 72 33. Email: carole.escartin@cea.fr						
196							
197	Pro	f. Elena Galea. Institut de Neurociències, Edifici M, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,					
198	08193 Barcelona, Spain; Phone: 0034 935 868 143. Email: Elena.Galea@uab.es						
199							
200	Pro	f. Michael V. Sofroniew. Department of Neurobiology. David Geffen School of Medicine.					
201	University of California Los Angeles CA 90095 USA Email: sofroniew@mednet.ucla.edu						
202	011						
203	Pro	f Alexei Verkhratsky Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health The University of					
203	Ma	Manchester Manchester M13 QPT LIK. Phone: 004/ 161 2755/1/ Email.					
204	9101	alayai yarkhrataky@manahaatar aa yk					
203		<u>AUJ. VOLKIII AUSK Y @ III AIIOIOSIOI. AC. UK</u>					
200							
207							
208							

209 Abstract

210

211 Reactive astrocytes are astrocytes undergoing morphological, molecular, and functional remodelling in response to injury, disease, or infection of the central nervous system (CNS). 212 Although this remodelling was first described over a century ago, uncertainties and controversies 213 214 remain, regarding the contribution of reactive astrocytes to CNS diseases, repair, and ageing. It is also unclear whether fixed categories of reactive astrocytes exist, and if so, how to identify them. 215 We point out the shortcomings of binary divisions of reactive astrocytes into good/bad, 216 neurotoxic/neuroprotective or A1/A2. We advocate, instead, that research on reactive astrocytes 217 include assessment of multiple molecular and functional parameters, preferably in vivo, 218 multivariate statistics, and determination of impact on pathological hallmarks in relevant models. 219 These guidelines may spur the discovery of astrocyte-based biomarkers, and astrocyte-targeting 220 therapies that abrogate detrimental actions of reactive astrocytes, potentiate their neuro- and glio-221 protective actions, and restore or augment their homeostatic, modulatory, and defensive functions. 222 223

224 **1. Introduction**

225

226 'Neuroglia' or 'glia' are collective terms describing cells of neuroepithelial (oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, oligodendrocyte progenitor cells, ependymal cells), neural crest 227 (peripheral glia), and myeloid (microglia) origin. Changes in neuroglia associated with diseases of 228 the central nervous system (CNS) have been noted, characterised, and conceptualised from the very 229 dawn of neuroglial research. Rudolf Virchow, in a lecture to students and medical doctors in 1858, 230 stressed that "this very interstitial tissue [i.e. neuroglia] of the brain and spinal marrow is one of 231 the most frequent seats of morbid change...".¹ Changes in the shape, size, or number of glial cells 232 in various pathological contexts have been frequently described by prominent neuroanatomists.² In 233 particular, hypertrophy of astrocytes was recognised very early as an almost universal sign of CNS 234 pathology;³ "The protoplasmic glia elements [i.e. astrocytes] are really the elements which exhibit 235 a morbid hypertrophy in pathological conditions".³ Neuroglial proliferation was thought to 236 accompany CNS lesions, leading to early suggestions that proliferating glia fully replaced damaged 237 neuronal elements.⁴ Thus, a historical consensus was formed that changes in "the appearance of 238 neuroglia serves as a delicate indicator of the action of noxious influences upon the central nervous 239 system", and the concept of "reactionary change or gliosis" was accepted.⁵ While the origin of 240 "gliosis" is unclear ("glia + osis" in Greek means "glial condition or process"; in Latin the suffix 241 "-osis" acquired the additional meaning of "disease"; hence astrogliosis may also carry a 242 connotation of "glial disorder"), the term became universally adopted to denote astrocytic 243 remodelling in response to pathologic conditions. The role of reactive astrocytes in forming a scar-244 border to seal the nervous tissue against penetrating lesions was recognised, with distinct stages 245 being visualised.⁵ In the 21st century, astrocytes are increasingly viewed as having a critical 246 contribution to neurological disorders. Research into the roles of astrocytes in neurology and 247 248 psychiatry is accelerating and drawing in increasing numbers of researchers. This rapid expansion has exposed a pressing need for unifying nomenclature and refining of concepts.⁶ Here, we start by 249 providing a working consensus on nomenclature and definitions, and by critically evaluating 250 251 widely used markers of reactive astrocytes. Then, we describe the advances, and we take position on controversies, regarding the impact of astrocytes in CNS diseases and ageing. Finally, we 252 discuss the need for new names to grasp astrocyte heterogeneity, and we outline a systematic 253 approach to unravelling the contribution of astrocytes to disorders of the CNS. This article is 254 expected to inform clinical thinking and research on astrocytes, and to promote the development 255 of astrocyte-based biomarkers and therapies. 256

257

258259 2. Too many names

260

"Astrocytosis", "astrogliosis", "reactive gliosis", "astrocyte activation", "astrocyte reactivity", 261 "astrocyte re-activation", and "astrocyte reaction" have been all used to describe astrocyte 262 responses to abnormal events in the CNS, including neurodegenerative and demyelinating diseases, 263 epilepsy, trauma, ischemia, infection, and cancer. We suggest "reactive astrogliosis" to define the 264 process whereby, in response to pathology, astrocytes engage in molecularly defined programs 265 involving changes in transcriptional regulation, as well as biochemical, morphological, metabolic, 266 and physiological remodelling, which ultimately result in gain of new function(s) or loss or 267 upregulation of homeostatic ones. Although for some researchers, particularly neuropathologists, 268 "reactive astrogliosis" is invariably associated with irreversible changes such as astrocyte 269 proliferation, scar-border formation, and immune-cell recruitment,⁶ these phenomena mainly occur 270 when there is disruption of the blood-brain barrier (Fig. 1a).⁷ We also support the term "astrocyte 271 reactivity" as being broadly equivalent to "reactive astrogliosis", but emphasizing the capacity of 272 astrocytes to adopt distinct state(s) in response to diverse pathologies. Therefore, "reactive 273 astrocytes", referring to the cells undergoing this remodelling, is an umbrella term encompassing 274

multiple potential states. We define "state" as a transient or long-lasting astrocyte condition 275 characterized by a specific molecular profile, functions, and distinct impact on diseases, while its 276 277 "phenotype" is the measurable outcome of that state. Importantly, the changes in astrocytes in response to pathological stimuli are not to be confused with the plasticity of healthy astrocytes, 278 which are constantly being activated by physiological signals in the CNS. For this reason, although 279 280 transitions from physiology to pathology are progressive and sometimes difficult to define, "astrocyte activation" should be reserved for physiological conditions and not used in pathological 281 contexts, which should be referred to as "astrocyte reactivity". 282

283

The pathological contexts in which astrocyte reactivity occurs can markedly vary, and may be 284 sporadic or genetically mediated, acute or chronic, due to a systemic pathology (e.g., sepsis), 285 specific injury or disease of the CNS, or a deleterious experimental manipulation. By definition, 286 astrocyte reactivity is secondary to an extrinsic signal, may evolve with time, and, in many 287 situations, is reversible. Astrocytes may also exhibit cell-autonomous disturbances,⁸ as happens in 288 astrocytopathies resulting from mutated alleles of astrocytic genes (e.g. GFAP in Alexander 289 disease),⁹ as well as from direct viral infections or exposure to toxic substances that specifically 290 damage astrocytes (e.g., ammonium in hepatic encephalopathy).¹⁰ These astrocytes can be 291 considered "diseased astrocytes" that unequivocally initiate the diseases and may secondarily 292 293 acquire a reactive phenotype with a distinct impact on disease progression. Mutations in ubiquitously-expressed genes, as in familial neurodegenerative disorders (e.g. Huntington's 294 disease, HD), or disease-risk polymorphisms in genes highly expressed in astrocytes (e.g., APOE 295 in Alzheimer's disease, AD),¹¹ may also lead to dysfunctional astrocytes that, without being the 296 sole or primary initiators of pathology, may adversely affect outcomes. Terminology 297 recommendations and caveats are summarized in Box 1 and in section 7, below. 298

299 300

302

301 **3. GFAP as a marker**

Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-a major protein constituent of astrocyte intermediate 303 filaments—is the most widely used marker of reactive astrocytes (Table 1).¹² Indeed, up-regulation 304 of GFAP mRNA and protein, as shown with multiple techniques including quantitative PCR 305 306 (qPCR), RNA sequencing (RNAseq), in situ hybridization, electron microscopy, and immunostaining (Fig. 1a, d), is a prominent feature of many, but not necessarily all, reactive 307 astrocytes: (i) increased GFAP content occurs across diverse types of CNS disorders, (ii) is an early 308 309 response to injury, and, moreover (iii) is a sensitive indicator, detectable even in the absence of overt neuronal death (e.g., when there is synapse loss, minor demyelination, and extracellular 310 amyloid-β oligomers). However, while the degree of GFAP up-regulation in reactive astrocytes 311 often parallels the severity of the injury,⁶ this correlation is not always proportional, perhaps due 312 to regional differences of astrocytes, including basal GFAP content.^{13, 14} In the healthy mouse brain, 313 hippocampal astrocytes have a higher GFAP content than cortical, thalamic, or striatal astrocytes; 314 this, however, does not make hippocampal astrocytes more reactive. GFAP is also expressed by 315 progenitor cells¹⁵ and its expression depends on developmental stages.^{16, 17} In addition, GFAP 316 immunoreactivity has been reported to decrease in a subpopulation of astrocytes in mouse cortex 317 318 following repetitive trauma,⁶ and in the spinal cord of a mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), probably due to cleavage of GFAP by caspase 3.¹⁸ Expression of *GFAP* is also 319 modulated by physiological stimuli such as physical activity,¹⁹ exposure to enriched environments,¹⁹ and glucocorticoids,²⁰ and it fluctuates with circadian rhythms in the 320 321 suprachiasmatic nucleus.²¹ Therefore, changes in *GFAP* expression may also reflect physiological 322 adaptive plasticity rather than being simply a reactive response to pathological stimuli. A common 323 mistake is to interpret higher numbers of GFAP-positive cells as local recruitment or proliferation 324 of astrocytes. We recommend to use markers of proliferation (Ki67, PCNA and BrdU 325

incorporation, Table 2), and to combine GFAP immunostaining with other ubiquitous astrocyte 326 markers such as aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 L1 (ALDH1L1), glutamine synthetase (GS), and 327 aldolase C (ALDOC) to correctly estimate astrocyte numbers,²² provided that their expression is 328 stable. Finally, there are discrepancies between observed mRNA and protein levels, perhaps due to 329 differential regulation of translation, post-translational modifications, protein half-life, and 330 331 antibody epitope accessibility. Overall, although an increase in GFAP content is a strong indication of reactive-astrocyte remodelling, it is not an absolute marker of reactivity, nor does it strictly 332 correlate with the extent thereof, or indicate altered functions of reactive astrocytes. 333

334 335

336 4. Morphology revisited

337 338 Increased GFAP immunoreactivity largely reflects changes in the astrocytic cytoskeleton and tends to exaggerate the degree of hypertrophy, because, with the exception of scar-border astrocytes, the 339 volume accessed by reactive astrocytes does not change, since they remain in their territorial 340 domains.²³ In other words, cytoskeletal reorganization does not necessarily equal astrocyte 341 hypertrophy. Immunohistochemical staining for cytosolic enzymes such as ALDH1L1, ALDOC, 342 GS, and S100B allow the visualization of the somata and proximal processes of astrocytes, 343 344 although, like GFAP, these markers fail to reveal small processes. Membrane proteins such as the glutamate transporters EAAT1 and 2 are not optimal to assess complex astrocyte morphology, as 345 they tend to produce widespread and diffuse staining.²⁴ In addition, the expression of some of these 346 proteins may change in reactive astrocytes (²², Table 1) and some might be expressed by other cell 347 types in specific brain regions.¹³ Animal models expressing fluorescent proteins in the astrocyte 348 cytosol or membrane through astrocyte-specific transgenesis, or gene transfer with viral vectors,²⁵ 349 350 circumvent the limitations of immunohistochemical analysis. Further, dye-filling methods can be used to visualize whole astrocytes in mice²³, as well as in human brain samples from surgical 351 resections (Fig. 1b).²⁴ Thorough visualisation is necessary because astrocytes undergo distinct 352 morphological changes other than hypertrophy in pathological contexts, including elongation, 353 process extension towards injury site, and some 3D domain overlap.²⁶ In addition, although 354 astrocytes appear to be more resistant than neurons to degeneration and death, loss of primary and 355 secondary astrocyte branches has been reported in mouse models of AD²⁷ and ALS,¹⁸ and in 356 patients with multiple sclerosis (MS).²⁸ Detailed analyses of astrocyte arborization in CNS diseases 357 and injuries are however pending, given that the fine perisynaptic and perivascular astrocytic 358 processes can only be revealed with super-resolution, expansion, or electron microscopy. Finally, 359 clasmatodendrosis (From Greek "klasma", fragment + "dendron", tree + "osis", condition or 360 process) is a form of astrodegeneration characterized by an extreme fragmentation or beading and 361 disappearance of distal fine processes, along with swelling and vacuolation of the cell body. It is 362 observed in neuropathological specimens after severe trauma and ischemia, and in the aged brain.²⁹ 363 However, although astrocytes may suffer plasma membrane disruption due to mechanical damage 364 and cleavage of membrane proteins and cytoskeletal proteins including GFAP by proteases in acute 365 brain trauma,^{30, 31} the phenomenon of clasmatodendrosis should be approached with caution, 366 because it may be an artefact derived from *post-mortem* autolysis with no pathophysiological 367 bearing, as suggested by Cajal.³² In summary, GFAP upregulation and hypertrophy are useful, but 368 369 insufficient markers of astrocyte reactivity that need to be complemented by additional markers 370 (Table 1, Box 1).

- 371
- 372

373 5. Impact in CNS diseases

374

Research on astrocytes in CNS diseases has advanced in the last century in line with conceptual and technological progress in astrocyte biology. New approaches have been progressively integrated with existing ones and these continue to evolve. At present, research in reactive
astrocytes is an interdisciplinary endeavour combining -omics approaches with physiology and
genetic manipulation. Below, we summarize advances and controversies with regards to the impact
of astrocytes in CNS diseases from a historical perspective, punctuated by technical advances.

381

383

382 From morphology to functional studies

From the early 20th century up to the 1980s, the morphological appearance of astrocytes was the 384 only readout of their role in neuropathology. Hypertrophy and increased GFAP content were 385 generally regarded as reflections of a detrimental astrocyte phenotype. The advent of genetic 386 engineering in the early 1990s opened a new phase of research based on astrocyte-targeted 387 manipulation of gene expression. For example, depletion or over-expression of receptors, 388 membrane proteins,^{33, 34} cytoskeleton proteins,³⁵ acute-phase proteins,³⁶ heat-shock proteins,³⁷ and transcription factors³⁸⁻⁴⁰ in astrocytes or ablation of proliferative scar-border forming astrocytes,⁴¹ 389 390 was reported to modify (protect or exacerbate) the course of neurological diseases in mouse 391 392 models. An important conclusion drawn from these studies is that the morphological appearance of astrocytes does not correlate with functional phenotypes, or with their impact on other cell types. 393 Moreover, the overall impact of reactive astrocytes on each disease is complex. For example, the 394 manipulation of reactive astrocytes has resulted in improved,^{38, 42, 43} worsen³⁵ outcomes, and no 395 change⁴⁴ in mouse models of AD and MS.^{40, 45, 46} Plausibly, such differences arise from several 396 scenarios: (i) pathways that ultimately exacerbate, attenuate, or have no impact on ongoing 397 398 pathology occur in the same astrocyte, such that the selective manipulation of one pathway may mask, or secondarily impact, the manifestation of others, (ii) coexisting astrocyte subpopulations 399 may have opposing effects on pathology,⁴⁵ (iii) in neurodegenerative diseases, a spectrum of 400 401 reactive-astrocyte phenotypes conceivably coexist in the same brain at a given time point because of the asynchronous progression of neuropathology in different brain regions, (iv) the pathological 402 impact of astrocytes is stage-dependent, as shown in mouse models of MS.^{40, 45, 46} Finally, pathways 403 inducing astrocyte reactivity may be beneficial in one disease and detrimental in another. For 404 405 example, activation of STAT3-dependent transcription is beneficial in neonatal white matter injury,⁴⁷ traumatic brain injury,³⁰, spinal cord injury,^{48,49} and motor neuron injury⁵⁰ but detrimental 406 in AD models.^{42, 43} That is, STAT3-mediated transcriptional programs may contribute to 407 malfunctional astrocyte states in AD models, and to resilient states in other conditions. We broadly 408 define astrocyte resilience as the set of successful astroprotective responses that maintain cell-409 intrinsic homeostatic functions in neural circuits (Table 2), while promoting both neuronal and 410 411 astrocyte survival. Lastly, responses of reactive astrocytes may be maladaptive and result in malfunctional astrocytes, which, in addition to losing homeostatic functions, may also gain 412 detrimental functions, thus exacerbating ongoing pathology.⁶ Numerous mixed scenarios of 413 malfunctional and resilient astrocytes plausibly exist, with multidirectional transitions among 414 415 them.

Research in the last decade has begun to unravel specific functional alterations in reactive 416 astrocytes underlying complex phenotypic changes. In normal conditions, astrocyte Ca²⁺-based 417 responses, and downstream signalling via neuroactive mediators, exert multifarious effects on 418 synaptic function and plasticity, neural-network oscillations, and, ultimately, on behaviour.^{51, 52} In 419 pathology, various functional changes emerge. Astrocyte Ca²⁺ dynamics and network responses 420 become aberrant in mouse models of HD,⁵³ AD,⁵⁴ and ALS,⁵⁵ possibly contributing to cognitive 421 impairment and neuropathology.^{43, 53, 56} Reactive microglia may shift astrocyte signalling from 422 physiological to pathological by increasing production of tumour necrosis factor α , thus altering 423 synaptic functions and behaviour.⁵⁷ Functions lost or altered in reactive astrocytes include 424 neurotransmitter and ion buffering in mouse HD models,⁵⁸ communication via gap junctions in the 425 sclerotic hippocampus of epileptic patients,⁵⁹ phagocytic clearance of dystrophic neurites,⁶⁰ and 426

metabolic coupling by glycolysis-derived D-serine⁶¹ and lactate⁶² in mouse AD models. The 427 excessive release of GABA by reactive astrocytes in AD⁶³ and Parkinson's disease⁶⁴ may be a case 428 of gain of detrimental function. Another example may be the so-called astrocyte neurotoxicity, but 429 we recommend using this term only when increased neuronal death is due to the verified release of 430 an identified toxic factor by reactive astrocytes, and not merely due to loss of trophic or antioxidant 431 432 support from astrocytes. An example is neuronal damage due to nitrosative stress caused by astrocyte-derived nitric oxide in MS.³³ Finally, a classical gain of beneficial function is the 433 restriction of immune cell infiltration in open injuries by scar-border forming reactive astrocytes.⁷ 434

435

Transcriptomics and A1/A2 classification

436

Transcriptomics has contributed to a fundamental discovery: astrocytes in the healthy brain are 437 diverse and specialized to perform specific roles in distinct CNS circuits.^{14, 65} Astrocyte diversity 438 in healthy tissue arises from embryonic patterning programs or local neuronal cues.¹⁴ Likewise, 439 reactive astrocytes are also diverse, as unequivocally demonstrated by microarray-based⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ and 440 RNAseq-based^{48, 69-71} transcriptomic profiling of mouse bulk astrocytes,^{48, 66-70} or of astrocyte 441 populations pre-selected according to cell-surface markers.⁷¹ Such transcriptomic profiling 442 specifically shows that reactive astrocytes adopt distinct molecular states in different disease 443 models,^{48, 66-70} CNS regions,⁷⁰ and in brain tumours.⁷¹ These studies also suggested complex 444 functional changes in reactive astrocytes, including novel regenerative functions,⁷⁰ proliferation, 445 and neural stem cell potential,⁶⁸ as well as loss of homeostatic functions.⁶⁶ They have also identified 446 drug candidates to establish the impact of altered astrocytic pathways in mouse models.^{68, 70} 447 Whether baseline astrocyte heterogeneity influences astrocyte reactivity is an outstanding question. 448 449

In one early transcriptome study⁶⁶ and its follow-up,⁷² it was proposed that mouse astrocytes 450 adopted an "A1" neurotoxic phenotype after exposure to specific cytokines secreted by microglia 451 exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), whereas they acquire an "A2" neuroprotective phenotype 452 after ischemic stroke-two acute pathological conditions. Two correlative signatures of 12 genes 453 with 14 pan reactive genes were proposed as fingerprints identifying these phenotypes and, for A1 454 astrocytes, combined with thorough functional analyses in vitro.⁷² Although the A1 and A2 455 phenotypes were not proposed to be universal or all-encompassing, they became widely 456 457 misinterpreted as evidence for a binary polarization of reactive astrocytes in either "neurotoxic" or neuroprotective states, which could be readily identified in any CNS disease, acute or chronic, by 458 their correlative marker genes in a manner similar to the once popular, but now discarded, 459 "Th1/Th2 lymphocyte and "M1/M2" microglia polarization theories.⁷³ For multiple reasons, we 460 now collectively recommend moving beyond the "A1/A2" labels and the misuse of their marker 461 genes. Importantly, only a subset, often a mix of "A1" and "A2" or pan-reactive transcripts, are 462 upregulated in astrocytes from human HD^{74} and $AD^{75, 76}$ brains, or from several mouse models of 463 acute injuries and chronic diseases of the CNS.^{42, 69, 76, 77} Moreover, the functions of these genes are 464 not known, for, to date, no experimental evidence has causally linked any of the proposed marker 465 genes of "A1" or "A2" astrocytes to either "toxic" or "protective" functions. Thus, the mere 466 expression of some, or even all these marker genes, does not prove the presence of functions that 467 these genes have not been demonstrated to exert. Specifically, complement factor 3 (C3) should 468 469 not be regarded as a single and definitive marker that unequivocally labels astrocytes with a net 470 detrimental effect. In addition, steadily increasing evidence indicates that any binary polarization of reactive astrocytes falls short of capturing their phenotypic diversity across disorders. For 471 example, single cell/nucleus RNAseq (sc/snRNAseq) studies in mouse models and human brains 472 of chronic neurodegenerative diseases have unravelled numerous stage-dependent transcriptomic 473 states in HD,⁷⁴ AD,^{75, 78} and MS⁴⁰, that do not clearly comply with A1/A2 profiles. In addition, 474 advanced statistics using multi-dimensional data and co-clustering approaches reveals that the 475 "A1" and "A2" transcriptomes represent only two out of many potential astrocyte transcriptomes 476

477 segregating along several latent variables.⁷⁹ The analyses also indicate that multidimensional data
478 are necessary to establish the distinctiveness of astrocyte phenotypes (Fig. 2). Characterization of
479 the potentially extensive and subtle functional diversity of reactive astrocytes suggested by
480 transcriptomic data is an important future goal.

481

482 *Human stem cells*

483

Advances in human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC) technology are being adapted to 484 astrocyte research. Interestingly, astrocytes generated from hiPSC derived from fibroblasts 485 obtained from patients with CNS diseases (usually with a genetic mutation causative of disease or 486 a risk polymorphism) show pathological phenotypes, including dysregulation of lipid 487 metabolism,¹¹ alteration in the contents of the extracellular vesicles released by astrocytes,⁸⁰ 488 reduced autophagy, ⁸¹ or altered STAT3 signalling.⁸² hiPSC-derived astrocytes are also amenable 489 to study responses to viral infection⁸³ and to specific stimuli.⁸⁴ Nevertheless, caution is in order, 490 for more research is needed to establish hiPSC-derived astrocytes as bona fide models of human 491 492 astrocytes and to determine whether they recapitulate the maturity as well as the temporal, regional, and subject heterogeneity of *in vivo* astrocytes. Importantly, not only are these cells removed from 493 their original milieu, but the serum pervasively used in culture media may render them reactive.⁸⁴ 494 495 In addition, generation of astrocytes from neural stem cells is inherently difficult, and derivation and culture conditions have not yet been standardized, leading to diversity of clone phenotypes. 496 497 Finally, ageing-related neurodegenerative diseases should be modelled with astrocytes derived 498 from cells from aged subjects, but, in this case, the epigenetic rejuvenation intrinsic to the 499 reprogramming of adult cells arises as a confounding factor to be controlled for.

500 501

503

502 6. Are ageing astrocytes reactive or senescent?

Healthy brain ageing is not pathological and may be defined as an adaptive evolution of global cell 504 505 physiology over time.⁸⁵ Aged human brains display only mild and heterogeneous changes in astrocyte morphology or GFAP levels.⁸⁶ Studies in rodents document region-dependent, often 506 contradictory changes in ageing astrocytes, such as an increase in cellular volume and overlap of 507 astrocyte processes, but also atrophy, increase in GFAP content, or even a reduction in the number 508 of GFAP and GS-positive astrocytes.⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹ Notably, ageing is also associated with pronounced 509 regional differences in astrocyte gene expression in mouse brains.^{90, 91} However, only a few studies 510 have directly assessed astrocyte functions in the ageing mouse brain.^{85, 92} Thus, although the data 511 suggest complex changes in ageing astrocytes, the evidence is not yet sufficient to qualify 512 astrocytes as being *bona fide* reactive during physiological ageing. Nonetheless, with advanced 513 age, cumulative exposure to pathological stimuli may render some astrocytes reactive. To test this 514 hypothesis, a systematic investigation of the molecular properties of ageing astrocytes across 515 different CNS regions in humans, and comparison of physiologically aged and reactive astrocytes 516 in various pathological conditions, is needed, together with functional validations in mouse models. 517 Finally, we suggest caution about extending the concept of senescence to astrocytes based upon 518 the expression of cell senescence markers $p16^{INK4A}$, increased β -galactosidase activity, and 519 520 secretion of cytokines,⁹³ because the core definition of senescence (i.e., irreversible cell-cycle arrest in proliferative cells) may not apply to astrocytes, which are essentially post-mitotic cells that rarely 521 divide in healthy tissue. Molecular and functional profiling of putative senescent astrocytes in 522 different diseases is needed to clarify the meaning of p16^{INK4A} expression in post-mitotic astrocytes, 523 as well as the interplay between senescence-like features, reactivity, and ageing in astrocytes. 524 525

526

527 **7. Are new names needed?**

528 529 Arguably, new names are needed to capture the variety of reactive astrocytes, but current 530 knowledge does not yet allow the objective categorizing of reactive astrocytes. Indeed, the existence of fixed categories defined by molecular and functional features consistently observed in 531 different disease contexts is not yet certain. Nonetheless, two new names have recently been coined 532 533 to describe the extremes of six astrocytic transcriptional clusters detected by snRNAseq in the hippocampus of AD transgenic and wild-type mice.⁷⁸ In this study, "homeostatic astrocytes" were 534 predominant in healthy mice, whereas "disease-associated astrocytes" were unique to AD mice. 535 We do not support generalization of this "disease-associated" classification to other conditions 536 because only one disease was studied. In addition, the term "homeostatic astrocytes" implies the 537 unproven assumption that other transcriptional astrocyte clusters are dyshomeostatic, while they 538 may be successful homeostasis-preserving adaptations to disease. 539

540

We stress that the expression in full or in part of a pre-determined correlative signature of molecular 541 markers is not, on its own, sufficient to define a functional phenotype of reactive astrocyte. In 542 addition, vague and binary terms such as "neuroprotective" or "neurotoxic" are best avoided in 543 describing astrocyte phenotypes as they are too simplistic to be meaningful, unless they are 544 supported by specific molecular mechanisms, and direct causative experimental evidence. Future 545 546 classification of reactive astrocytes should, instead, consider multiple criteria including 547 transcriptome, proteome, morphology, and specific cellular functions (Table 2), together with 548 demonstrated impact on pathological hallmarks (Fig. 2).

549

For now, we recommend "reactive astrocytes" as the general term for astrocytes observed in 550 pathological conditions (Box 1). The term "injured/wounded astrocytes" should be reserved for 551 552 astrocytes with unequivocal morphological signs of damage (e.g., beaded processes), as observed in ischemia and trauma.^{30, 31} Descriptions based on misleading generalizations of functional 553 changes and over-interpretation of correlative data should be avoided. We call for a clear 554 operational terminology that includes information about morphology (e.g. hypertrophic, atrophic), 555 molecular markers (Table 1), functional readouts (Table 2), as well as brain region, disease, disease 556 stage, sex, species, and any other relevant source of heterogeneity (Fig. 2). Indeed, the goal is to 557 go beyond the mere categorization of reactive astrocytes, and identify the key variables driving 558 specific reactive astrocyte states, phenotypes, and functions in specific contexts. When addressing 559 similar issues for neurons, scientists are not concerned about categorizing disease-associated 560 neurons into simple generalizable subtypes; rather, the emphasis is placed on understanding 561 562 specific changes of defined neuronal populations in specific diseases. This principle should also 563 apply to astrocytes.

564

565

566 8. Towards astrocyte-targeting therapies

567

One goal of research on reactive astrocytes is to develop astrocyte-targeting therapies for CNS 568 diseases. Two challenges preclude translating the wealth of functional and molecular data 569 described in the previous sections into therapies. First, there is a need to unequivocally clarify 570 571 whether or not reactive astrocytes and their associated signalling pathways significantly contribute to the pathogenesis of specific CNS diseases. The approach should be reciprocal, such that human 572 data inform experimental manipulations in animal models, and animal data are validated in human 573 574 materials. The second challenge is to develop astrocyte therapies tailored to specific disease 575 contexts. Specific research directions include:

- 576
- 577 *Heterogeneity characterization*
- 578

To define astrocyte phenotypes, all sources of heterogeneity should be considered and integrated 579 with multidimensional statistical analyses (Fig. 2). ScRNAseq and snRNAseq are becoming 580 established as valuable tools to gain insight into basal⁹⁴ and reactive-astrocyte heterogeneity (Fig. 581 1e).^{40, 78, 95} Notably, isolation protocols may not always be optimal for astrocytes, resulting in low 582 numbers of cells or nuclei being sequenced, and some highly relevant but weakly-expressed 583 584 transcripts such as transcription factors and plasma-membrane receptors being overlooked, particularly in snRNAseq. Translation from sc/snRNAseq data to in situ immunohistochemical 585 detection and functional validations is far from trivial, because the molecular profiles of astrocyte 586 587 clusters/subpopulations partly overlap. Thus, instead of individual markers, signatures composed of a combination of markers with specified levels of expression or relative fold-changes are 588 required to identify astrocyte phenotypes.⁷⁴ Such signatures must be statistically validated to the 589 point of predicting phenotypes. Alternatively, the diversity within astrocyte populations from 590 mouse models may be dissected out by combining FACS and cell-surface markers identified in 591 screens.⁷¹ Further, emerging spatial transcriptomics that allow the simultaneous *in situ* detection of 592 numerous genes will be of value to study the heterogeneity of reactive astrocytes at local and 593 topographical levels (Fig. 1f).⁹⁶ Importantly, molecular signatures based on the expression of genes 594 or proteins need to be validated by assessing specific astrocyte functions (Table 2), since post-595 transcriptional and post-translational events critically shape functional outcomes. Functional 596 597 validations should preferably be performed in vivo, or with in vitro models closely mimicking human diseases. Classical knockout-, knockdown-, or CRISPR-based approaches to inactivate 598 gene expression are available to gain insight into the impact on disease of a given pathway within 599 previously identified astrocyte subsets.⁴⁰ 600

601

603 An important implication of the disease-specific induction of distinct reactive astrocyte states is 604 that the damage- and pathogen-associated stimuli from one disorder cannot be assumed to be active 605 in another. For example, the now widely-used cocktail of factors released by LPS-treated neonatal 606 microglia⁷² cannot be simply assumed to model reactive astrocytes in diseases other than neonatal 607 septic shock due to infection by gram-negative bacteria. Likewise, exposure to Tau, amyloid β or 608 α -synuclein needs to be carefully designed *in vivo* and *in vitro* to replicate the concentration, protein 609 species and combinations thereof found in patient brains. Acute metabolic damage with the 610 mitochondrial toxin MPTP does not replicate chronic PD, to cite another example of in vivo 611 inappropriate modelling. To complicate things further, the outcome of activating a signalling 612 pathway may depend on the upstream stimuli⁸² or priming caused by previous exposure to other 613 stimuli,⁹⁷ perhaps through epigenetic control.⁴⁰ Thus, careful selection of upstream stimuli is 614 essential for appropriate in vivo and in vitro modelling of disease-specific reactive astrocytes. 615 Finally, interventional strategies such as classical pharmacology,^{56, 98} genetic manipulation,^{42, 56} 616 and biomaterials⁹⁹ are available tools to modify pathological signalling in reactive astrocytes for 617 therapeutic purposes. Optogenetics²⁵ and Designer Receptor Exclusively Activated by Designer 618 Drugs (DREADD)²⁵ are potential tools to manipulate reactive astrocytes, or restore their aberrant 619 Ca²⁺ signalling observed in mouse models of neurodegenerative diseases.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ However, it is 620 unknown whether, and how, the changes in $Na^+/K^+/Cl^-/Ca^{2+}$ fluxes and second messengers 621 triggered by these approaches²⁵ modulate signalling cascades driving phenotypical changes of 622 reactive astrocytes (e.g., JAK-STAT and NF-KB pathways).⁶ 623 624

- 625 *Humanizing research*
- 626

627 Although some basic functional properties of astrocytes have been shown to be evolutionarily 628 conserved between humans and rodents,¹⁰⁰ it is still critical to study patient samples and develop

⁶⁰² Signalling

revealed prominent differences between mice and humans.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ In addition to astrocytes from 630 post-mortem samples and biopsies (⁵⁹, Fig. 1b), hiPSC-derived astrocytes, which can be generated 631 with a fast protocol in 2D layers,¹⁰⁴ or integrated in 3D systems such as spheroids and organoids,¹⁰⁵⁻ 632 ¹⁰⁸ are rapidly becoming commonplace in basic research^{11, 82} and therapy development.¹⁰⁹ 633 Researchers need to be aware of the pros and cons of the various protocols available, as discussed 634 in previous sections and elsewhere.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹² Also, hiPSC glial mouse chimeric brains, in which hiPSC 635 differentiate into human astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and their progenitors, offer the possibility to 636 study human astrocytes from patients in contexts amenable to *in vivo* experimentation.^{113, 114} In 637 addition, proteins released by injured astrocytes are currently being considered as fluid biomarkers 638 of neurotrauma.³¹ Biomarkers of reactive astrocytes in human disease will be indeed needed to 639 demonstrate target engagement of future astrocyte-directed therapies in clinical trials. Emerging 640 reactive-astrocyte biomarkers are either measured in blood or cerebrospinal fluid (e.g. YKL-40),¹¹⁵ 641 or used for brain imaging such as MAO-B-based positron emission tomography (PET),¹¹⁶ which 642 provides important topographical information (Table 1).¹¹⁷ Plausibly, disease-specific biomarker 643 signatures rather than single ubiquitous biomarkers will be needed. 644

646 Use of systems biology

647

645

648 Computerised tools including systems biology and artificial intelligence are essential to organizing and interpreting the increasing wealth of high-throughput multidimensional molecular and 649 functional data from reactive astrocytes. Currently, molecular data (e.g., -omics) can be 650 transformed into mathematical maps by artificial intelligence,¹¹⁸ thereby providing quantitative 651 representations of the otherwise vague notion of phenotypes. An example of functional data is 2D 652 and 3D Ca²⁺ imaging that generates kinetic profiles and maps for single astrocytes and 2D/3D 653 networks (Fig. 1c).^{119, 120} Artificial intelligence can identify patterns of Ca²⁺ signalling in 654 astrocytes.^{55, 120} Multidimensional molecular and functional data have then two applications. First, 655 multivariate analysis may unravel molecules, pathways and variables shaping astrocyte phenotypes 656 in acute versus chronic degenerative conditions, different disease stages, sexes, and CNS regions 657 (Fig. 2). Second, these data can be used to predict the net functional outcome of a complex mix of 658 potentially protective or deleterious pathways, and identification of hubs such as master 659 transcription factors or epigenetic regulators that, when activated, promote globally beneficial 660 661 transformations. Importantly, the inhibition of detrimental pathways must not secondarily impair protective ones, or damage basic astrocyte functions. Finally, no astrocyte-targeting therapy can be 662 successful if it does not consider the complex interactions of reactive astrocytes with other CNS 663 664 cells.

665 666

667 9. Concluding remarks

668

The dawn of neuropathology in the late 19th and early 20th centuries witnessed widespread interest 669 in neuroglia. Today, research on astrocytes and their remodelling in the context of injury, disease, 670 and infection is undergoing a renaissance, with new researchers bringing exciting new techniques, 671 approaches, and hypotheses. Given the scarcity of disease-modifying treatments for chronic 672 673 diseases and acute injuries of the CNS, this astrocyte revival represents an opportunity to develop largely unexplored therapeutic niches such as the manipulation of reactive astrocytes. However, 674 despite the substantial body of knowledge accumulated since the discovery of reactive astrocytes 675 a century ago, there are no therapies purposely designed against astrocyte-specific targets in clinical 676 practice. The present working consensus for research guidelines will hopefully boost more 677 coordinated and better focused efforts to improve, and therapeutically exploit, our knowledge about 678 679 the role(s) of reactive astrocytes in CNS diseases and injuries.

680

681 Acknowledgements

Funding: CNRS, CEA, France Alzheimer to C Escartin; MCINN (PID2019-107633RB-I00) and Generalitat 682 de Catalunya (2017-SGR547, Grup de demències Sant Pau) to E Galea. U.S. Centers for Disease Control 683 and Prevention to J P O'Callaghan. Alzheimer's Association (AACF-17-524184) and NIH-NIA 684 (K08AG064039) to A Serrano-Pozo. DFG (SPP1757, STE 552/5, STE 552/4), EU (H2020-MSCA-ITN 685 project 722053 EU-GliaPhD) and BMBF (16GW0182 CONNEXIN) to C Steinhauser. Swiss National 686 Science Foundation grant 31003A 173124/1; SNSF NCCR "Transcure" (51NF40-160620); Synapsis 687 Foundation Heidi Seiler-Stiftung 2018-PI01 to A Volterra. NIH-NINDS (NS084030), Dr. Miriam and 688 Sheldon G. Adelson Medical Foundation and Wings for Life to M V Sofroniew. The authors thank Tom 689 Yohannan of Alpha Language Services, Barcelona, for expert copy editing. 690

691

692 Author contributions

AL, ASP, AVerkhratsky, AVolterra, CE, CS, EG, GC, GCP, JPO, and MVS participated in the initial discussion and drafted the outline. CE and EG prepared the Tables, and CE, EG, and MVS the figures. AL,
ASP, AVerkhratsky, CE, CS, EG, GCP, and JPO wrote parts of the manuscript. EG and AVerkhratsky
assembled a joint text with the help of CE and MVS. The manuscript was then edited by AL, ASP,
AVolterra, CS, GC, GCP, and JPO. The rest of the authors fact-checked, improved accuracy, and provided
content that was integrated by CE, EG, AVerkhratsky, and MVS, and validated by AL, ASP, AVolterra,

- 699 CS, GC, GCP, and JPO. The manuscript was circulated several times among all the authors until no mistakes
- or inaccuracies were detected, and no disagreement was expressed by any author. All authors have approved
- 701 the final version of the manuscript.
- 702

703 Competing interests

- 704 Cinthia Farina received grants from Teva, Novartis, and Merck-Serono.
- 705 The rest of the authors declare no conflict of interest.

- 706 **References**
- 707

1. Virchow, R. Cellular Pathology (Robert M De Witt, New York, 1860).

Achucarro, N. Some pathological findings in the neuroglia and in the ganglion cells of the cortex
in senile conditions. *Bull Gov Hosp Insane* 2, 81-90 (1910).

Andriezen, W.L. The neuroglia elements of the brain. *Brit. Med. J.* **2**, 227 - 230 (1893).

712 The first account of hypertrophic reactive astrocytes in pathology, although they were not called713 hypertrophic or reactive astrocytes.

4. Weigert, C. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der normalen menschlichen Neuroglia. in Zeitschrift für

715 *Psychologie und Physiologie der Sinnesorgane* (ed. Liepmann) (Frankfurt 1895).

- 5. Del Río-Hortega, P. & Penfield, W.G. Cerebral cicatrix: The reaction of neuroglia and microglia
 to brain wounds. *Bull Johns Hopkins Hosp* 41, 278-303 (1927).
- Escartin, C., Guillemaud, O. & Carrillo-de Sauvage, M.A. Questions and (some) answers on
 reactive astrocytes. *Glia* 67, 2221-2247 (2019).
- 720 7. Sofroniew, M.V. Astrocyte barriers to neurotoxic inflammation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16, 249-263
 (2015).
- 8. Verkhratsky, A., Zorec, R. & Parpura, V. Stratification of astrocytes in healthy and diseased brain. *Brain Pathol* 27, 629-644 (2017).
- Messing, A., Brenner, M., Feany, M.B., Nedergaard, M. & Goldman, J.E. Alexander disease. J
 Neurosci 32, 5017-5023 (2012).
- 10. Brusilow, S.W., Koehler, R.C., Traystman, R.J. & Cooper, A.J. Astrocyte glutamine synthetase:
- importance in hyperammonemic syndromes and potential target for therapy. *Neurotherapeutics* 7, 452-470 (2010).
- Lin, Y.T., *et al.* APOE4 causes widespread molecular and cellular alterations associated with
 Alzheimer's disease phenotypes in human iPSC-derived brain cell types. *Neuron* 98, 1141-1154 e1147
- 731 (2018).
- Technically improved generation of hiPSC-derived astrocytes demonstrates that astrocytes harboring a
 genetic risk factor for AD are diseased astrocytes that may further exacerbate ongoing pathology.
- 12. Eng, L.F., Vanderhaeghen, J.J., Bignami, A. & Gerstl, B. An acidic protein isolated from fibrous
 astrocytes. *Brain Res* 28, 351-354 (1971).
- The first identification of human GFAP in astrocytes from old multiple sclerosis plaques, post-leukotomy
 scars, and the occipital and frontal horns of the lateral ventricles in old individuals with hydrocephalus ex
 vacuo.
- 13. Griemsmann, S., et al. Characterization of panglial gap junction networks in the thalamus,
- neocortex, and hippocampus reveals a unique population of glial cells. *Cereb Cortex* 25, 3420-3433
 (2015).
- 742 14. Ben Haim, L. & Rowitch, D.H. Functional diversity of astrocytes in neural circuit regulation. *Nat*743 *Rev Neurosci* 18, 31-41 (2017).
- Kriegstein, A. & Alvarez-Buylla, A. The glial nature of embryonic and adult neural stem cells.
 Annual review of neuroscience 32, 149-184 (2009).
- Cahoy, J.D., *et al.* A transcriptome database for astrocytes, neurons, and oligodendrocytes: a new resource for understanding brain development and function. *J Neurosci* 28, 264-278 (2008).
- 748 This study represented a technical and conceptual breakthrough in the Neurosciences as the first unbiased
- results the classification of brain cell populations based on transcriptomic profiles using early microarray analyses. The
- resulting transcriptomes are a powerful tool to gain insight into novel brain cell functions. More recently,the classification of brain cells has been further refined and enriched by sc/snRNAseq and spatial
- 752 transcriptomics.
 - Roybon, L., *et al.* Human stem cell-derived spinal cord astrocytes with defined mature or reactive
 phenotypes. *Cell reports* 4, 1035-1048 (2013).
 - Rossi, D., *et al.* Focal degeneration of astrocytes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Cell death and differentiation* 15, 1691-1700 (2008).
 - 757 19. Rodriguez, J.J., Terzieva, S., Olabarria, M., Lanza, R.G. & Verkhratsky, A. Enriched environment
 - and physical activity reverse astrogliodegeneration in the hippocampus of AD transgenic mice. *Cell death*
 - 759 *& disease* **4**, e678 (2013).

- O'Callaghan, J.P., Brinton, R.E. & McEwen, B.S. Glucocorticoids regulate the synthesis of glial
 fibrillary acidic protein in intact and adrenalectomized rats but do not affect its expression following brain
 injury. *J Neurochem* 57, 860-869 (1991).
- Gerics, B., Szalay, F. & Hajos, F. Glial fibrillary acidic protein immunoreactivity in the rat
 suprachiasmatic nucleus: circadian changes and their seasonal dependence. *J Anat* 209, 231-237 (2006).
- **765** Early demonstration that GFAP is regulated in a physiological context.
- Serrano-Pozo, A., Gomez-Isla, T., Growdon, J.H., Frosch, M.P. & Hyman, B.T. A phenotypic
 change but not proliferation underlies glial responses in Alzheimer disease. *Am J Pathol* 182, 2332-2344
 (2013).
- 769 23. Wilhelmsson, U., *et al.* Redefining the concept of reactive astrocytes as cells that remain within
- their unique domains upon reaction to injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 17513-17518 (2006).
- The complete visualization of astrocytes using whole-cell filling techniques revealed that reactive astrocytes
 display subtle morphological changes and remain in their 3D territorial domain, highlighting that GFAP
 immunostaining overestimates the true degree of astrocyte hypertrophy.
- Sosunov, A.A., *et al.* Phenotypic heterogeneity and plasticity of isocortical and hippocampal
 astrocytes in the human brain. *J Neurosci* 34, 2285-2298 (2014).
- Yu, X., Nagai, J. & Khakh, B.S. Improved tools to study astrocytes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 21, 121138 (2020).
- Schiweck, J., Eickholt, B.J. & Murk, K. Important shapeshifter: mechanisms allowing astrocytes
 to respond to the changing nervous system during development, injury and disease. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience* 12, 261 (2018).
- 781 27. Olabarria, M., Noristani, H.N., Verkhratsky, A. & Rodriguez, J.J. Concomitant astroglial atrophy
- and astrogliosis in a triple transgenic animal model of Alzheimer's disease. *Glia* **58**, 831-838 (2010).
- Black, J.A., Newcombe, J. & Waxman, S.G. Astrocytes within multiple sclerosis lesions
 upregulate sodium channel Nav1.5. *Brain* 133, 835-846 (2010).
- Tachibana, M., *et al.* Clasmatodendrosis is associated with dendritic spines and does not represent
 autophagic astrocyte death in influenza-associated encephalopathy. *Brain & development* 41, 85-95
 (2019).
- 30. Levine, J., *et al.* Traumatically injured astrocytes release a proteomic signature modulated by
 STAT3-dependent cell survival. *Glia* 64, 668-694 (2016).
- Halford, J., *et al.* New astroglial injury-defined biomarkers for neurotrauma assessment. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 37, 3278-3299 (2017).
- 792 These data led to the first clincally used kit based on astrocyte-derived fluid biomarkers for neurotrauma793 assessments.
- Ramon y Cajal, S. Contribución al conocimiento de la neuroglía del cerebro humano. *Trabajos del Laboratorio de Investigaciones Biológicas de la Universidad de Madrid* 11, 255 315 (1913).
- 796 33. Colombo, E., *et al.* Stimulation of the neurotrophin receptor TrkB on astrocytes drives nitric oxide
 797 production and neurodegeneration. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 209, 521-535 (2012).
- 798 Demonstration that astrocytes may become neurotoxic by releasing nitric oxide.
- Theis, M., *et al.* Accelerated hippocampal spreading depression and enhanced locomotory activity
 in mice with astrocyte-directed inactivation of connexin43. *J Neurosci* 23, 766-776 (2003).
- Kraft, A.W., *et al.* Attenuating astrocyte activation accelerates plaque pathogenesis in APP/PS1
 mice. *FASEB J* 27, 187-198 (2013).
- 803 36. Mucke, L., *et al.* Astroglial expression of human alpha(1)-antichymotrypsin enhances alzheimer804 like pathology in amyloid protein precursor transgenic mice. *Am J Pathol* 157, 2003-2010 (2000).
- Early demonstration in a mouse model of AD that targeted manipulation of astrocyte functions by transgenic
 tools has an impact on disease. A wealth of studies using transgenic mice and viral vectors followed suit,
- and unequivocally demonstrate that reactive astrocytes influence CNS pathologies.
- 808 37. Xu, L., Emery, J.F., Ouyang, Y.B., Voloboueva, L.A. & Giffard, R.G. Astrocyte targeted
- 809 overexpression of Hsp72 or SOD2 reduces neuronal vulnerability to forebrain ischemia. *Glia* 58, 1042810 1049 (2010).
- 811 38. Furman, J.L., *et al.* Targeting astrocytes ameliorates neurologic changes in a mouse model of
 812 Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* 32, 16129-16140 (2012).
- 813 39. Pardo, L., *et al.* Targeted activation of CREB in reactive astrocytes is neuroprotective in focal 814 acute cortical injury. *Glia* **64**, 853-874 (2016).

- 815 40. Wheeler, M.A., *et al.* MAFG-driven astrocytes promote CNS inflammation. *Nature* 578, 593-599
 816 (2020).
- 817 The first study combining scRNAseq to characterize reactive astrocytes with targeted molecular

818 manipulations demonstrates, in a mouse model of MS, that reactive astrocytes are molecularly and

- 819 functionally heterogeneous, depending on brain area and disease stage.
 820 41. Bush, T.G., *et al.* Leukocyte infiltration, neuronal degeneration, and neurite outgrowth after
- ablation of scar-forming, reactive astrocytes in adult transgenic mice. *Neuron* **23**, 297-308 (1999).
- abilitation of scal-forming, feactive astrocytes in adult transgenic finet. *Neuron* 25, 297-508 (1999).
- 822 The first demonstration that ablation of proliferative reactive astrocytes after stab wound injury in the mouse
 823 forebrain is deleterious. This study made the case that astrocyte reactivity is not always detrimental as widely

believed, but may, instead, serve important homeostatic functions.

- 42. Ceyzeriat, K., *et al.* Modulation of astrocyte reactivity improves functional deficits in mouse
 models of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta neuropathologica communications* 6, 104 (2018).
- 43. Reichenbach, N., *et al.* Inhibition of Stat3-mediated astrogliosis ameliorates pathology in an
- 828 Alzheimer's disease model. *EMBO molecular medicine* **11** (2019).
- 44. Kamphuis, W., *et al.* GFAP and vimentin deficiency alters gene expression in astrocytes and
 microglia in wild-type mice and changes the transcriptional response of reactive glia in mouse model for
 Alzheimer's disease. *Glia* 63, 1036-1056 (2015).
- 45. Wheeler, M.A. & Quintana, F.J. Regulation of astrocyte functions in multiple sclerosis. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine* 9 (2019).
- 46. Colombo, E. & Farina, C. Astrocytes: key regulators of neuroinflammation. *Trends Immunol* 37, 608-620 (2016).
- 836 47. Nobuta, H., *et al.* STAT3-Mediated astrogliosis protects myelin development in neonatal brain
 837 injury. *Ann Neurol* (2012).
- 48. Anderson, M.A., *et al.* Astrocyte scar formation aids central nervous system axon regeneration. *Nature* 532, 195-200 (2016).
- 49. Herrmann, J.E., *et al.* STAT3 is a critical regulator of astrogliosis and scar formation after spinal
 cord injury. *J Neurosci* 28, 7231-7243 (2008).
- 842 50. Tyzack, G.E., et al. Astrocyte response to motor neuron injury promotes structural synaptic
- plasticity via STAT3-regulated TSP-1 expression. *Nature communications* **5**, 4294 (2014).
- Santello, M., Toni, N. & Volterra, A. Astrocyte function from information processing to cognition
 and cognitive impairment. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 154-166 (2019).
- Semyanov, A., Henneberger, C. & Agarwal, A. Making sense of astrocytic calcium signals from
 acquisition to interpretation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 21, 551-564 (2020).
- 53. Jiang, R., Diaz-Castro, B., Looger, L.L. & Khakh, B.S. Dysfunctional calcium and glutamate
- signaling in striatal astrocytes from Huntington's disease model mice. *J Neurosci* **36**, 3453-3470 (2016).
- Kuchibhotla, K.V., Lattarulo, C.R., Hyman, B.T. & Bacskai, B.J. Synchronous hyperactivity and
 intercellular calcium waves in astrocytes in Alzheimer mice. *Science* 323, 1211-1215 (2009).
- 852 55. Agarwal, A., *et al.* Transient opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore induces
 853 microdomain calcium transients in astrocyte processes. *Neuron* 93, 587-605 e587 (2017).
- Technically refined application of Ca^{2+} imaging approaches and machine learning unraveled dysregulation of Ca^{2+} responses in a mouse model of ALS.
- 856 56. Reichenbach, N., *et al.* P2Y1 receptor blockade normalizes network dysfunction and cognition in
 an Alzheimer's disease model. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 215, 1649-1663 (2018).
- 858 57. Habbas, S., *et al.* Neuroinflammatory TNFalpha impairs memory via astrocyte signaling. *Cell*859 163, 1730-1741 (2015).
- 860 This study illustrates how modulation of astrocyte signaling via TNFalpha can switch from physiological to861 pathological.
- 862 58. Tong, X., *et al.* Astrocyte Kir4.1 ion channel deficits contribute to neuronal dysfunction in
- 863 Huntington's disease model mice. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 694-703 (2014).
- B64 Demonstration with targeted molecular manipulations that loss of astrocyte homeostatic functionsB65 contributes to HD pathogenesis.
- 866 59. Bedner, P., *et al.* Astrocyte uncoupling as a cause of human temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain* 138, 1208-1222 (2015).
- 868 60. Gomez-Arboledas, A., et al. Phagocytic clearance of presynaptic dystrophies by reactive
- 869 astrocytes in Alzheimer's disease. *Glia* **66**, 637-653 (2018).

Le Douce, J., et al. Impairment of glycolysis-derived L-serine production in astrocytes contributes 870 61. 871 to cognitive deficits in Alzheimer's disease. Cell metabolism 31, 503-517 e508 (2020). Zhang, M., et al. Lactate deficit in an Alzheimer disease mouse model: the relationship with 872 62. 873 neuronal damage. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 77, 1163-1176 (2018). 874 63. Jo, S., et al. GABA from reactive astrocytes impairs memory in mouse models of Alzheimer's 875 disease. Nat Med 20, 886-896 (2014). Demonstration of astrocyte-targeted pharmacological manipulations to restore neural circuit homeostasis 876 877 by correcting production of GABA by astrocytes in an AD mouse model. 878 Heo, J.Y., et al. Aberrant tonic inhibition of dopaminergic neuronal activity causes motor 64. 879 symptoms in animal models of Parkinson's disease. Curr Biol 30, 276-291 e279 (2020). Chai, H., et al. Neural circuit-specialized astrocytes: transcriptomic, proteomic, morphological, 880 65. 881 and functional evidence. Neuron 95, 531-549 e539 (2017). 882 Zamanian, J.L., et al. Genomic analysis of reactive astrogliosis. J Neurosci 32, 6391-6410 (2012). 66. 883 First evidence for molecular heterogeneity of reactive astrocytes using microarray-based transcriptomics of acutely isolated astrocytes from mouse models of ischemia and septic shock. Studies in virtually all models 884 885 of CNS diseases followed. Orre, M., et al. Isolation of glia from Alzheimer's mice reveals inflammation and dysfunction. 886 67. 887 Neurobiol Aging 35, 2746-2760 (2014). Sirko, S., et al. Astrocyte reactivity after brain injury-: The role of galectins 1 and 3. Glia 63, 888 68. 889 2340-2361 (2015). 890 Diaz-Castro, B., Gangwani, M.R., Yu, X., Coppola, G. & Khakh, B.S. Astrocyte molecular 69. 891 signatures in Huntington's disease. Science translational medicine 11 (2019). 892 Itoh, N., et al. Cell-specific and region-specific transcriptomics in the multiple sclerosis model: 70. 893 Focus on astrocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115, E302-E309 (2018). John Lin, C.C., et al. Identification of diverse astrocyte populations and their malignant analogs. 894 71. 895 Nat Neurosci 20, 396-405 (2017). 896 Liddelow, S.A., et al. Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. Nature 72. 897 541, 481-487 (2017). 898 73. Ransohoff, R.M. A polarizing question: do M1 and M2 microglia exist? Nat Neurosci 19, 987-991 899 (2016). 900 74. Al-Dalahmah, O., et al. Single-nucleus RNA-seq identifies Huntington disease astrocyte states. 901 Acta neuropathologica communications 8, 19 (2020). Grubman, A., et al. A single-cell atlas of entorhinal cortex from individuals with Alzheimer's 902 75. 903 disease reveals cell-type-specific gene expression regulation. Nat Neurosci 22, 2087-2097 (2019). 904 76. Zhou, Y., et al. Human and mouse single-nucleus transcriptomics reveal TREM2-dependent and TREM2-independent cellular responses in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Med 26, 131-142 (2020). 905 906 77. Das, S., Li, Z., Noori, A., Hyman, B.T. & Serrano-Pozo, A. Meta-analysis of mouse 907 transcriptomic studies supports a context-dependent astrocyte reaction in acute CNS injury versus 908 neurodegeneration. J Neuroinflammation 17, 227 (2020). Habib, N., et al. Disease-associated astrocytes in Alzheimer's disease and aging. Nat Neurosci 909 78. 910 (2020).Henrik Heiland, D., et al. Tumor-associated reactive astrocytes aid the evolution of 911 79. 912 immunosuppressive environment in glioblastoma. Nature communications 10, 2541 (2019). Varcianna, A., et al. Micro-RNAs secreted through astrocyte-derived extracellular vesicles cause 913 80. 914 neuronal network degeneration in C9orf72 ALS. EBioMedicine 40, 626-635 (2019). di Domenico, A., et al. Patient-specific iPSC-derived astrocytes contribute to non-cell-915 81. 916 autonomous neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease. Stem cell reports 12, 213-229 (2019). 917 82. Tyzack, G.E., et al. A neuroprotective astrocyte state is induced by neuronal signal EphB1 but 918 fails in ALS models. Nature communications 8, 1164 (2017). Ledur, P.F., et al. Zika virus infection leads to mitochondrial failure, oxidative stress and DNA 919 83. 920 damage in human iPSC-derived astrocytes. Scientific reports 10, 1218 (2020). 921 84. Perriot, S., et al. Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived astrocytes are differentially

922 activated by multiple sclerosis-associated cytokines. *Stem cell reports* **11**, 1199-1210 (2018).

85. Rodríguez-Arellano, J.J., Parpura, V., Zorec, R. & Verkhratsky, A. Astrocytes in physiological aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience* **323**, 170-182 (2016).

19

- 925 86. Jyothi, H.J., *et al.* Aging causes morphological alterations in astrocytes and microglia in human
 926 substantia nigra pars compacta. *Neurobiol Aging* 36, 3321-3333 (2015).
- 87. Rodriguez, J.J., *et al.* Complex and region-specific changes in astroglial markers in the aging
 brain. *Neurobiol Aging* 35, 15-23 (2014).
- 88. Cerbai, F., *et al.* The neuron-astrocyte-microglia triad in normal brain ageing and in a model of
 neuroinflammation in the rat hippocampus. *PLoS One* 7, e45250 (2012).
- 931 89. O'Callaghan, J.P. & Miller, D.B. The concentration of glial fibrillary acidic protein increases with
 932 age in the mouse and rat brain. *Neurobiol Aging* 12, 171-174 (1991).
- 933 90. Boisvert, M.M., Erikson, G.A., Shokhirev, M.N. & Allen, N.J. The aging astrocyte transcriptome
 934 from multiple regions of the mouse brain. *Cell reports* 22, 269-285 (2018).
- 935 91. Clarke, L.E., *et al.* Normal aging induces A1-like astrocyte reactivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*936 115, E1896-E1905 (2018).
- 937 92. Peters, O., *et al.* Astrocyte function is modified by Alzheimer's disease-like pathology in aged
 938 mice. *J Alzheimers Dis* 18, 177-189 (2009).
- 939 93. Childs, B.G., *et al.* Senescent cells: an emerging target for diseases of ageing. *Nature Reviews*940 *Drug Discovery* 16, 718-735 (2017).
- 94. Batiuk, M.Y., *et al.* Identification of region-specific astrocyte subtypes at single cell resolution.
 942 *Nature communications* 11, 1220 (2020).
- 943 95. Mathys, H., *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 570, 332-337
 944 (2019).
- 945 First snRNAseq analysis in human AD samples identifies sub-populations of reactive astrocytes.
- 946 96. Chen, W.T., *et al.* Spatial transcriptomics and in situ sequencing to study Alzheimer's Disease.
 947 *Cell* 182, 976-991 (2020).
- 948 97. Hennessy, E., Griffin, E.W. & Cunningham, C. Astrocytes are primed by chronic
- 949 neurodegeneration to produce exaggerated chemokine and cell infiltration responses to acute stimulation
 950 with the cytokines IL-1beta and TNF-alpha. *J Neurosci* 35, 8411-8422 (2015).
- 951 98. Park, J.H., *et al.* Newly developed reversible MAO-B inhibitor circumvents the shortcomings of 952 irreversible inhibitors in Alzheimer's disease. *Science advances* **5**, eaav0316 (2019).
- 953 99. Zuidema, J.M., Gilbert, R.J. & Gottipati, M.K. Biomaterial approaches to modulate reactive 954 astroglial response. *Cells Tissues Organs* **205**, 372-395 (2018).
- Bedner, P., Jabs, R. & Steinhauser, C. Properties of human astrocytes and NG2 glia. *Glia* 68, 756767 (2020).
- 957 101. Zhang, Y., *et al.* Purification and characterization of progenitor and mature human astrocytes
- reveals transcriptional and functional differences with mouse. *Neuron* **89**, 37-53 (2016).
- 959 First study reporting transcriptomes of human astrocytes, paving the way for the highly used open-source960 database of gene expression for all brain cell types in humans and mice (https://www.brainrnaseq.org/)
- 961 102. Oberheim, N.A., *et al.* Uniquely hominid features of adult human astrocytes. *J Neurosci* 29, 3276962 3287 (2009).
- 963 103. Oberheim, N.A., Wang, X., Goldman, S. & Nedergaard, M. Astrocytic complexity distinguishes
- 964 the human brain. *Trends Neurosci* **29**, 547-553 (2006).
- 965 104. Tchieu, J., *et al.* NFIA is a gliogenic switch enabling rapid derivation of functional human
 966 astrocytes from pluripotent stem cells. *Nature biotechnology* **37**, 267-275 (2019).
- 967 105. Sloan, S.A., *et al.* Human astrocyte maturation captured in 3D cerebral cortical spheroids derived
 968 from pluripotent stem cells. *Neuron* 95, 779-790 e776 (2017).
- 969 106. Lancaster, M.A., et al. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly.
- 970 *Nature* **501**, 373-379 (2013).
- 971 107. Quadrato, G., *et al.* Cell diversity and network dynamics in photosensitive human brain organoids.
 972 *Nature* 545, 48-53 (2017).
- 973 108. Giandomenico, S.L., *et al.* Cerebral organoids at the air-liquid interface generate diverse nerve
 974 tracts with functional output. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 669-679 (2019).
- 975 109. Colombo, E., et al. Siponimod (BAF312) activates Nrf2 while hampering NFkappaB in human
- astrocytes, and protects from astrocyte-induced neurodegeneration. *Frontiers in immunology* 11, 635
 (2020).
- 978 110. Hirbec, H., et al. Emerging technologies to study glial cells. Glia 68, 1692-1728 (2020).
- 979 111. Guttenplan, K.A. & Liddelow, S.A. Astrocytes and microglia: Models and tools. The Journal of
- 980 *experimental medicine* **216**, 71-83 (2019).

- 981 112. Almad, A. & Maragakis, N.J. A stocked toolbox for understanding the role of astrocytes in
- 982 disease. *Nature reviews. Neurology* **14**, 351-362 (2018).

Han, X., *et al.* Forebrain engraftment by human glial progenitor cells enhances synaptic plasticity
and learning in adult mice. *Cell stem cell* 12, 342-353 (2013).

- 985 114. Osipovitch, M., *et al.* Human ESC-derived chimeric mouse models of Huntington's disease reveal
 986 cell-Intrinsic defects in glial progenitor cell differentiation. *Cell stem cell* 24, 107-122 e107 (2019).
- 987 115. Craig-Schapiro, R., *et al.* YKL-40: a novel prognostic fluid biomarker for preclinical Alzheimer's
 988 disease. *Biol Psychiatry* 68, 903-912 (2010).
- 989 116. Carter, S.F., et al. Evidence for astrocytosis in prodromal Alzheimer disease provided by 11C-
- deuterium-L-deprenyl: a multitracer PET paradigm combining 11C-Pittsburgh compound B and 18FFDG. *J Nucl Med* 53, 37-46 (2012).
- 992 Non invasive imaging of reactive astrocytes in human patients.
- 117. Carter, S.F., *et al.* Astrocyte biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Mol Med* 25, 77_95
 (2019).
- 118. Romeo-Guitart, D., *et al.* Neuroprotective drug for nerve trauma revealed using artificial
 intelligence. *Scientific reports* 8, 1879 (2018).
- 997 119. Bindocci, E., *et al.* Three-dimensional Ca2+ imaging advances understanding of astrocyte
 998 biology. *Science* 356 (2017).
- 999 120. Wang, Y., *et al.* Accurate quantification of astrocyte and neurotransmitter fluorescence dynamics
 1000 for single-cell and population-level physiology. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 1936-1944 (2019).
- 1001 121. Ben Haim, L., *et al.* The JAK/STAT3 pathway is a common inducer of astrocyte reactivity in
- 1002 Alzheimer's and Huntington's diseases. J Neurosci 35, 2817-2829 (2015).
- 1003 122. Hol, E.M. & Pekny, M. Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and the astrocyte intermediate
 1004 filament system in diseases of the central nervous system. *Current opinion in cell biology* 32, 121-130
 1005 (2015).
- 1006 123. Moreels, M., Vandenabeele, F., Dumont, D., Robben, J. & Lambrichts, I. Alpha-smooth muscle
- actin (alpha-SMA) and nestin expression in reactive astrocytes in multiple sclerosis lesions: potential
 regulatory role of transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-beta1). *Neuropathology and applied neurobiology* 34, 532-546 (2008).
- 1010 124. Jing, R., et al. Synemin is expressed in reactive astrocytes in neurotrauma and interacts
- 1011 differentially with vimentin and GFAP intermediate filament networks. J Cell Sci 120, 1267-1277 (2007).
- 1012 125. Yamada, T., Kawamata, T., Walker, D.G. & McGeer, P.L. Vimentin immunoreactivity in normal
 1013 and pathological human brain tissue. *Acta Neuropathol* 84, 157-162 (1992).
- 1014 126. Gui, Y., Marks, J.D., Das, S., Hyman, B.T. & Serrano-Pozo, A. Characterization of the 18 kDa
 1015 translocator protein (TSPO) expression in post-mortem normal and Alzheimer's disease brains. *Brain*1016 *Pathol* 30, 151-164 (2020).
- 1017 127. Wilhelmus, M.M., et al. Specific association of small heat shock proteins with the pathological
- 1018 hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease brains. *Neuropathology and applied neurobiology* **32**, 119-130 (2006).
- 1019 128. Furman, J.L., et al. Blockade of astrocytic calcineurin/NFAT signaling helps to normalize
- hippocampal synaptic function and plasticity in a rat model of traumatic brain injury. *J Neurosci* 36, 15021515 (2016).
- 1022 129. Michetti, F., *et al.* The S100B story: from biomarker to active factor in neural injury. J
 1023 Neurochem 148, 168-187 (2019).
- 1024 130. Sun, W., *et al.* SOX9 is an astrocyte-specific nuclear marker in the adult brain outside the 1025 neurogenic regions. *J Neurosci* **37**, 4493-4507 (2017).
- 1026 131. Wanner, I.B., et al. Glial scar borders are formed by newly proliferated, elongated astrocytes that
- interact to corral inflammatory and fibrotic cells via STAT3-dependent mechanisms after spinal cord
 injury. *J Neurosci* 33, 12870-12886 (2013).
- 1029 132. Campbell, S.C., et al. Potassium and glutamate transport is impaired in scar-forming tumor-
- associated astrocytes. *Neurochem Int* **133**, 104628 (2020).
- 1031 133. Voss, C.M., et al. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) regulates astrocyte oxidative
- 1032 metabolism by balancing TCA cycle dynamics. *Glia* **68**, 1824-1839 (2020).
- 1033 134. Kimbrough, I.F., Robel, S., Roberson, E.D. & Sontheimer, H. Vascular amyloidosis impairs the
- 1034 gliovascular unit in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* **138**, 3716-3733 (2015).

- 1035 135. Deshpande, T., *et al.* Subcellular reorganization and altered phosphorylation of the astrocytic gap
 1036 junction protein connexin43 in human and experimental temporal lobe epilepsy. *Glia* 65, 1809-1820
 1037 (2017).
- 1038 136. Frakes, A.E., *et al.* Microglia induce motor neuron death via the classical NF-kappaB pathway in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neuron* **81**, 1009-1023 (2014).
- 1040 137. Eraso-Pichot, A., *et al.* GSEA of mouse and human mitochondriomes reveals fatty acid oxidation 1041 in astrocytes. *Glia* **66**, 1724-1735 (2018).
- 1042 138. Machler, P., *et al.* In vivo evidence for a lactate gradient from astrocytes to neurons. *Cell* 1043 *metabolism* 23, 94-102 (2016).
- 1044 139. Lerchundi, R., Huang, N. & Rose, C.R. Quantitative imaging of changes in astrocytic and
- neuronal adenosine triphosphate using two different variants of ATeam. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*1046 14, 80 (2020).
- 1047 140. Ioannou, M.S., *et al.* Neuron-astrocyte metabolic coupling protects against activity-induced fatty
 1048 acid toxicity. *Cell* 177, 1522-1535 e1514 (2019).
- 1049 141. Polyzos, A.A., *et al.* Metabolic reprogramming in astrocytes distinguishes region-specific 1050 neuronal susceptibility in Huntington mice. *Cell metabolism* **29**, 1258-1273 e1211 (2019).
- 1051 142. Oe, Y., Akther, S. & Hirase, H. Regional distribution of glycogen in the mouse brain visualized
 1052 by immunohistochemistry. *Adv Neurobiol* 23, 147-168 (2019).
- 1053 143. Vezzoli, E., *et al.* Ultrastructural evidence for a role of astrocytes and glycogen-derived lactate in 1054 learning-dependent synaptic stabilization. *Cereb Cortex* **30**, 2114-2127 (2020).
- 1055 144. Vicente-Gutierrez, C., *et al.* Astrocytic mitochondrial ROS modulate brain metabolism and mouse
 1056 behaviour. *Nature Metabolism* 1, 201–211 (2019).
- 1057 145. Damisah, E.C., *et al.* Astrocytes and microglia play orchestrated roles and respect phagocytic
 1058 territories during neuronal corpse removal in vivo. *Science advances* 6, eaba3239 (2020).
- 1059 146. Simonovitch, S., *et al.* Impaired autophagy in APOE4 astrocytes. *J Alzheimers Dis* **51**, 915-927 1060 (2016).
- 1061 147. Goetzl, E.J., *et al.* Traumatic brain injury increases plasma astrocyte-derived exosome levels of
 1062 neurotoxic complement proteins. *FASEB J* 34, 3359-3366 (2020).
- 1063 148. Orre, M., *et al.* Reactive glia show increased immunoproteasome activity in Alzheimer's disease.
 1064 *Brain* 136, 1415-1431 (2013).
- 1065 149. Sirko, S., *et al.* Reactive glia in the injured brain acquire stem cell properties in response to sonic
 1066 hedgehog glia. *Cell stem cell* 12, 426-439 (2013).
- 1067 150. Buffo, A., *et al.* Origin and progeny of reactive gliosis: A source of multipotent cells in the injured
 1068 brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105, 3581-3586 (2008).
- 1068 Dram. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105, 5581-5580 (200 1069
- 1070

1071 Figure legends

1072 Figure 1. Multivariate assessment of reactive astrocytes

- **a.** Reactive astrocyte proliferation in the vicinity of blood vessels assessed by co-staining for BrdU
- 1074 (green, arrows), DAPI (blue), GFAP (white), and CD31 (red) after stab injury of the mouse cortex.
- 1075 Bar size: $15 \mu m$. Unpublished image from Drs. Sirko and Götz.
- **b.** Human cortical protoplasmic astrocytes in a surgical specimen injected with Lucifer yellow
- 1077 (arrow, injection site) that traverses the gap junctions into neighbouring astrocytes. Bar size: 45
 1078 μm. Courtesy of Drs. Xu, Sosunov, and McKhann, Columbia University Department of
 1079 Neurosurgery.
- 1080 **c.** Event-based determination of Ca^{2+} responses in a GCaMP6-expressing astrocyte (surrounded by 1081 a dashed line) in mouse cortical slices using Astrocyte QUantitative Analysis (AQuA).¹²⁰ Colours
- 1082 indicate AQuA events occurring in a single 1-sec frame of a 5-min movie. Bar size: 10 μm.
- d. Activation of the transcription factor STAT3 (green) assessed by nuclear accumulation in
 GFAP⁺ reactive astrocytes (red) surrounding an amyloid plaque (blue, arrow) in a mouse AD
 model. Bar size: 20 μm. Adapted from ¹²¹.
- e. ScRNAseq in the remission phase of a mouse MS model reveals several transcriptional astrocyte
 clusters. These astrocyte sub-populations may be validated with spatial transcriptomics, as shown
 in f in an AD model. Adapted from ⁴⁰.
- **f.** Distribution of 87 astrocytic (green), neuronal (red), microglial (yellow), and oligodendroglial (blue) genes as shown with *in situ* multiplex gene sequencing in a coronal section from a mouse AD model. The method 'reads' barcodes of antisense DNA probes that simultaneously target numerous mRNAs. Bar size: 800 μ m. Boxed area is magnified in bottom image, showing 6E10⁺
- 1093 amyloid- β plaques (white, arrows). Adapted from ⁹⁶.
- 1094 1095

Fig. 2. Workflow for the identification of key variables shaping astrocyte reactivity using multidimensional analyses

- a. Variables to *measure* in individual experiments. Although at present it is unrealistic to measure all in the same experiment, it will in most cases be possible to measure at least two or three.
- b. Variables to *record* in individual experiments. In some experiments, all or most of these
 variables are kept constant and are not compared, but they should all be recorded to allow for future
 comparison across experiments and studies.
- **c.** Individual studies will generate multidimensional datasets of reactive astrocytes that can be organized in matrices containing all outcome measures of variables assessed in (**a**) (e.g. omics data, functional measurements). One matrix may be generated for each condition listed in (**b**) using data obtained in **a**. Determining whether such states are equivalent to fixed categories rather than temporary changes due to the dynamic nature of cell functioning requires cross-comparison among studies or longitudinal studies, paired with statistical analyses (**d**).
- **d.** Multidimensional data analysis and clustering statistics of weighted scores from datasets (**a**) across different contexts (**b**) represented in matrices (**c**) allow identification of functional vectors (V) driving astrocyte reactivity in different contexts. A high score and a low score in each vector represent gain and loss of function, respectively. The graph shows a hypothetical plot of simulated multivariate datasets from (**a**) (each dot represents one dataset/sample) obtained in different contexts (**b**), depicted in different colours. Astrocytes with shared features segregate together along three axes according to the predominance of the function represented in each vector. A state is
- 1116 defined by where the dataset(s) falls in the V1-3 space. The analysis can be n-dimensional, but for
- 1117 visual clarity, we show a 3-dimensional scenario.

Table 1. Potential markers of reactive astrocytes						
Marker	Known function	Type of change	Conditions observed	Species	Comments	Ref
			Cytosł	xeleton		
GFAP	Intermediate filament	↑ mRNA & protein	Widespread. Not in some trauma models	Widespread	Released by injured astrocytes Cleavage product found in CSF/plasma (neurotrauma biomarker)	122
Nestin	Intermediate filament	↑ mRNA & protein	AD, AxD, MS, spinal cord injury, TBI	Hu, Ms	Also a marker of progenitor cells	123
Synemin	Intermediate filament	↑ mRNA & protein	AD, AxD, astrocytoma, TBI	Hu, Ms	Normally expressed in a subset of astrocytes during development	124
Vimentin	Intermediate filament	↑ mRNA & protein	Widespread	Widespread	Also expressed by endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells, and immature astrocytes	125
			Metal	oolism		
ALDOC	Glycolytic enzyme	↑ protein	SCI, TBI	Hu, Ms	Released by injured astrocytes Fluid biomarker for neurotrauma	30, 31
BLBP/ FABP7	Lipid transport	↑ protein	AD, MS, TBI	Hu, Ms	Also a marker of immature astrocytes. Released by injured astrocytes. Fluid biomarker for neurotrauma	31, 60
МАО-В	Catecholamine catabolic enzyme	↑ protein	AD, ALS, PD	Hu, Ms	PET radiotracers available Also expressed by catecholaminergic neurons	63, 64, 117
TSPO	Mitochondrial lipid transporter	↑ mRNA & protein	AD, MS, ischemia	Hu, Rt, Ms	PET radiotracers available. Also induced in reactive microglia. Expressed by vascular cells	126
Chaperones						
CRYAB	Chaperone activity	↑ mRNA & protein, ↑ secretion	AD, AxD, epilepsy, HD, MS, TBI	Hu, Ms	Reduces protein aggregation	74, 95
HSPB1/ HSP27	Chaperone	↑ mRNA & protein	AD, AxD, epilepsy, MS, tauopathies, stroke	Widespread		95, 127
Secreted proteins						

С3	Complement factor	↑ mRNA & protein	ND, prion disease, septic shock	Hu, Ms	Also expressed by microglia	72	
CHI3L1/ YKL40	Unclear function	↑ mRNA & protein ↑ secretion	Widespread	Hu, Ms	Increase in CSF is a prognostic biomarker in LOAD and MS	79, 115	
Lcn2	Iron trafficking protein	↑ mRNA & protein	AxD, MS, septic shock, ALS, stroke	Widespread		66	
Serpina3n/ ACT	Serine protease inhibitor	↑ mRNA	AD, septic shock, stroke	Hu, Ms	Secreted to extracellular matrix	66	
МТ	Metal binding	↑ mRNA & protein	HD, PD, AD	Hu, Ms	Antioxidant effects	74	
THBS-1	Synaptogenic factor	↑ mRNA & protein ↑ secretion	Axotomy, MS	Hu, Ms	STAT3-regulated. Has beneficial synaptogenic effects	50	
Cell signalling – Transcription factors							
NFAT	Transcription factor	↑ mRNA, protein, nuclear translocation	AD, TBI, PD	Hu, Ms	Links Ca ²⁺ signalling with reactive transcriptional changes	38, 128	
NTRK2/ TrkB IL17R	Receptors	↑ mRNA and/or protein	Epilepsy, MS (white matter)	Hu, Ms	Trigger non-canonical pathological BDNF-dependent signalling, and/or NF-κB activation and NO production	33, 109	
S100B	Ca ²⁺ binding protein	↑ protein and release	Widespread	Widespread	Released upon injury. Fluid biomarker	129	
SOX9	Transcription factor	↑ mRNA and/or protein	ALS, stroke, SCI	Hu, Ms	Nuclear staining Also present in ependymal cells and in neurogenic niches	130	
STAT3	Transcription factor	Phosphorylation, nuclear translocation	Widespread	Widespread	Also expressed in neurons and other cell types	49, 50, 131	
Channels - Transporters							
EAAT1 & 2	Glutamate transporters	↓ mRNA, protein and uptake	ND	Widespread	May be also detected in some neurons	53, 132	
KIR4.1	K ⁺ channel	↓ mRNA & protein	Widespread	Hu, Ms	May or may not translate into alteration of K ⁺ buffering	58	

Abbreviations used: AD: Alzheimer's disease: ALS: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; AxD: Alexander disease; BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; HD: Huntington's disease; Hu: human; LOAD: late onset AD; MS: multiple sclerosis; Ms: Mouse; ND: neurodegenerative disease; NO: nitric oxide; PET: positron emission tomography; PD: Parkinson's disease; Rt: rat; SCI: spinal cord injury; TBI: traumatic brain injury.

This table lists potential markers for reactive astrocytes in different pathological contexts in human diseases and animal models. The list is not meant to be exhaustive; other markers exist and more will be added over time. These proteins can be used to further characterize the reactive state of astrocytes, although note that, like GFAP (see Section 3), none of these proteins should be used as a single or universal marker of reactive astrocytes, nor for the time being do they identify a specific type of reactive astrocyte. Plausibly, markers in the table will be part of signatures defining disease-specific or core markers of reactive astrocytes, as well as astrocyte-based fluid biomarkers (see Section 8). Importantly, few of these markers are astrocyte-specific; therefore, additional methods to identify or isolate astrocytes and remove contamination by other cell-types will be in order.

Table 2. Potential functional assessments for reactive astrocytes					
Function/Phenomenon	Potential readouts	Ref			
Ca ²⁺ signalling in single cells Ca ²⁺ based network dynamics	Ca ²⁺ imaging with chemical or genetically-encoded Ca ²⁺ indicators	25, 52, 55, 119, 120			
Ionic homeostasis	Measurement of ionic currents and membrane potential (electrophysiology). Direct measurement of extracellular K ⁺ levels	58, 132			
Glutamate, GABA,	Detection of neuroactive factors using fluorescent sensors and <i>in vivo</i> two-photon imaging Quantification of neuroactive factors in extracellular milieu and CSF (FRET, HPLC, CE-LIF, fluorescent sensors like GluSnFR, enzymatic kits)	25			
D-serine and ATP release Glutamate uptake and conversion	Analysis of glutamate currents (electrophysiology) and/or transporter content (immunoblot, immunostainings)	109, 132			
	Metabolism of ¹³ C-labeled substrates (GC-MS & HPLC)	133			
Astrocyte inter-cellular connectivity	Diffusion of permeant dyes in astrocyte networks (patch-clamp & imaging), FRAP	59			
Vascular coupling	Assessment of vascular responses after Ca ²⁺ uncaging or optogenetic stimulation of astrocytes (two-photon imaging, optical intrinsic imaging, MRI)	134			
Maintenance of BBB integrity	Assessment of BBB permeability with detection in the parenchyma of blood proteins or dyes (Evans blue, Dextrans)	135			
Signalling Transcription factor activation	Standard biochemical assays. Signalling manipulation by DREADDs Transcription factor translocation and DNA binding assays, chromatin immunoprecipitation, reporters	25, 109, 136			
Production of synaptogenic and neurotrophic factors, ECM, cytokines, chemokines	Synapse quantification <i>in vivo</i> and upon exposure to astrocyte-conditioned media <i>in vitro</i> Proteomics/metabolomics of astrocyte-conditioned media and acutely sorted astrocytes Multiplex ELISA assays, immunostainings	72, 97			
Interactions with neurons, oligodendrocytes, OPC and microglia	In vivo/ex vivo analyses, co-cultures or exposure to conditioned media and assessment of function/survival	58, 72, 82			
Glycolysis Fatty acid avidation	Metabolism of ³ H/ ¹⁴ C/ ¹³ C/- labelled energy substrates (GC-MS, radioactive assays, NMR)	133, 137			
Lactate production Glycogen metabolism	Glucose, pyruvate, lactate and ATP quantification with genetically-encoded fluorescent sensors and <i>in vivo</i> two-photon imaging	138, 139			
Mitochondrial respiration	Lipid-droplet and fatty-acid staining with BODIPY dyes	140			

	NADH imaging (FLIM)	141			
	Activities of electron transport chain complexes	141			
	Extracentular acidification, oxygen consumption (Sea Horse, voltametry)	142 142			
	Quantification of glycogen granules by EM or immunostainings	142, 145			
	NO/ROS imaging with intra/extracellular fluorescent sensors or probes	33, 144			
NO-ROS production/detoxification	Immunostaining for oxidized residues				
	Activity of antioxidant enzymes with commercial kits				
	Detection of phagocytosed materials (array tomography, EM, 2 photon microscopy)	60, 72, 145			
	Uptake of myelin debris or labelled synaptosomes				
Endolysosomal system	Autophagic flux	81, 146			
	Exosome production	80, 147			
	Proteasome/lysosome proteolytic activity (fluorescent probes)	148			
	PrdLincorneration				
Droliforation	K_{i67} PCNA cyclin labelling (calculation of a proliferative index i.e. % of positive calls in the population)	149, 150			
1 romeration	Characterization of astrocyte progeny by fate mapping				
		131			
Scar-border formation	Morphometric/functional analyses (e.g. composition, permeability to immune cells)	101			
Abbreviations used: BBB: blood-brain barrier; BrdU: bromodeoxyuridine; CE-LIF: capillary electrophoresis with laser induced fluorescent detection, CSF:					
cerebrospinal fluid; DREADD: designer receptor exclusively activated by designer drugs. ECM: Extracellular matrix; EM: electron microscopy; FLIM:					
fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy; FRAP: Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching. FRET: Förster resonance energy transfer; GC-MS: gas					
chromatography-mass spectrometry: HPLC: high performance liquid chromatography: NO: nitric oxide: NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance: OPC:					
oligodendrocyte progenitor cells; PCNA: proliferating cell nuclear antigen; ROS: reactive oxygen species.					

The table depicts assays that can be performed in astrocytes to characterize their functional properties. References and functions are not exhaustive and aim to illustrate the existing methodology by providing recent protocols for each approach. Although most references concern studies in healthy or reactive astrocytes, some additional tools relevant to reactive astrocytes are listed as well. Assays can be performed in human neurosurgical samples, *in vivo*, or in acute brain slices of animal models and/or *in vitro* (pure cultures, mixed cultures, organoids). Note that some assays require specific equipment and skills or the physical isolation of astrocytes to measure astrocyte-specific functional parameters. No reference is provided for enzymatic assays that are commercially available.

BOX 1. Basic consensus and recommendations for research on reactive astrocytes

BASIC CONSENSUS

1. Reactive astrocytes are astrocytes that undergo morphological, molecular, and functional changes in response to pathological situations in surrounding tissue (CNS disease/injury/ deleterious experimental manipulation).

2. Astrocytes with disease-causing genetic mutations are diseased astrocytes that initiate or contribute to pathology, and later become reactive in ways that may differ from the astrocyte reactivity normally triggered by external stimuli. Genetic polymorphisms linked to CNS diseases may also influence astrocytic functions and prime astrocytes to acquire distinct reactive states.

3. There is no prototypical reactive astrocyte, nor do reactive astrocytes polarize into simple binary phenotypes, such as good/bad, neurotoxic/neuroprotective, A1/A2, etc. Rather, reactive astrocytes may adopt multiple states depending on context, with only a fraction of common changes between different states.

4. Loss of some homeostatic functions, and gain of some protective or detrimental functions, may happen simultaneously. Whether the overall impact on disease is beneficial or detrimental will be determined by the balance and nature of lost and gained functions, and the relative abundance of different astrocyte subpopulations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

4. Astrocyte phenotypes should be defined by a combination of molecular markers (Table 1) and functional readouts (Table 2), preferably *in vivo*. GFAP and morphology alone are not sufficient criteria to qualify astrocytes as reactive.

5. The specifics of the astrocytes under study should be spelled out in titles, abstracts, and results of articles (e.g., X-positive astrocytes in Y region showed Z phenomenon).

6. Multivariate and clustering analysis of molecular and functional data will facilitate the identification of distinct phenotypes of reactive astrocytes (Fig. 2).

7. Local, regional, temporal, subject/patient, and sexual heterogeneity of reactive astrocytes should be studied (Fig. 2).

8. The discovery and validation of plasma/serum and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers, as well as of PET radiotracers of astrocyte reactivity, is a research priority, as it will facilitate astrocyte-directed drug development.

Figure 1



Figure 2

