#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Real Characters in Nilpotent Blocks

Benjamin Sambale<sup>1</sup>

Received: 25 September 2022 / Accepted: 4 February 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

### **Abstract**

We prove that the number of irreducible real characters in a nilpotent block of a finite group is locally determined. We further conjecture that the Frobenius–Schur indicators of those characters can be computed for p=2 in terms of the extended defect group. We derive this from a more general conjecture on the Frobenius–Schur indicator of projective indecomposable characters of 2-blocks with one simple module. This extends results of Murray on 2-blocks with cyclic and dihedral defect groups.

Keywords Real characters · Frobenius–Schur indicators · Nilpotent blocks

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 20C15 · 20C20

### 1 Introduction

An important task in representation theory is to determine global invariants of a finite group G by means of local subgroups. Dade's conjecture, for instance, predicts the number of irreducible characters  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  such that the p-part  $\chi(1)_p$  is a given power of a prime p (see [23, Conjecture 9.25]). Since Gow's work [7], there has been an increasing interest in counting real (i.e. real-valued) characters and more generally characters with a given field of values.

The quaternion group  $Q_8$  testifies that a real irreducible character  $\chi$  is not always afforded by a representation over the real numbers. The precise behavior is encoded by the *Frobenius*—*Schur indicator* (F-S indicator, for short)

$$\epsilon(\chi) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \overline{\chi} \neq \chi, \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is realized by a real representation,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is real, but not realized by a real representation.} \end{cases}$$

Dedicated to Pham Huu Tiep on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Published online: 08 May 2023

Institut f
ür Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik, Leibniz Universit
ät Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany



<sup>☑</sup> Benjamin Sambale sambale@math.uni-hannover.de

A new interpretation of the F-S indicator in terms of superalgebras has been given recently in [13]. The case of the dihedral group  $D_8$  shows that  $\epsilon(\chi)$  is not determined by the character table of G. The computation of F-S indicators can be a surprisingly difficult task, which has not been fully completed for the simple groups of Lie type, for instance (see [25]). Problem 14 on Brauer's famous list [2] asks for a group-theoretical interpretation of the number of  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  with  $\epsilon(\chi) = 1$ .

To obtain deeper insights, we fix a prime p and assume that  $\chi$  lies in a p-block B of G with defect group D. By complex conjugation we obtain another block  $\overline{B}$  of G. If  $\overline{B} \neq B$ , then clearly  $\epsilon(\chi) = 0$  for all  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ . Hence, we assume that B is real, i.e.  $\overline{B} = B$ . John Murray [18, 19] has computed the F-S indicators when D is a cyclic 2-group or a dihedral 2-group (including the Klein four-group). His results depend on the fusion system of B, on Erdmann's classification of tame blocks and on the structure of the so-called *extended defect group* E of E (see Definition 7 below). For E 2 and E cyclic, he obtained in [20] partial information on the F-S indicators in terms of the Brauer tree of E.

The starting point of my investigation is the well-known fact that 2-blocks with cyclic defect groups are nilpotent. Assume that B is nilpotent and real. If B is the principal block, then  $G = \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)D$  and  $\operatorname{Irr}(B) = \operatorname{Irr}(G/\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)) = \operatorname{Irr}(D)$ . In this case the F-S indicators of B are determined by D alone. Thus, suppose that B is non-principal. By Broué–Puig [4], there exists a height-preserving bijection  $\operatorname{Irr}(D) \to \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda * \chi_0$ , where  $\chi_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  is a fixed character of height 0 (see also [16, Definition 8.10.2]). However, this bijection does not in general preserve F-S indicators. For instance, the dihedral group  $D_{24}$  has a nilpotent 2-block with defect group  $C_4$  and a nilpotent 3-block with defect group  $C_3$ , although every character of  $D_{24}$  is real. Our main theorem asserts that the number of real characters in a nilpotent block is nevertheless locally determined. To state it, we introduce the *extended inertial group* 

$$\mathbf{N}_G(D,b_D)^* := \left\{ g \in \mathbf{N}_G(D) : b_D^g \in \{b_D, \overline{b_D}\} \right\},\,$$

where  $b_D$  is a Brauer correspondent of B in  $DC_G(D)$ .

**Theorem A** Let B be a real, nilpotent p-block of a finite group G with defect group D. Let  $b_D$  be a Brauer correspondent of B in  $DC_G(D)$ . Then the number of real characters in Irr(B) of height h coincides with the number of characters  $\lambda \in Irr(D)$  of degree  $p^h$  such that  $\lambda^t = \overline{\lambda}$ , where

$$N_G(D, b_D)^*/DC_G(D) = \langle tDC_G(D) \rangle.$$

If p > 2, then all real characters in Irr(B) have the same F-S indicator.

In contrast to arbitrary blocks, Theorem A implies that nilpotent real blocks have at least one real character (cf. [20, p. 92] and [8, Theorem 5.3]). If  $\overline{b_D} = b_D$ , then B and D have the same number of real characters, because  $N_G(D, b_D) = DC_G(D)$ . This recovers a result of Murray [18, Lemma 2.2]. As another consequence, we will derive in Proposition 5 a real version of Eaton's conjecture [5] for nilpotent blocks as put forward by Héthelyi–Horváth–Szabó [12].

The F-S indicators of real characters in nilpotent blocks seem to lie somewhat deeper. We still conjecture that they are locally determined by a defect pair (see Definition 6) for p = 2 as follows.

**Conjecture B** Let B be a real, nilpotent, non-principal 2-block of a finite group G with defect pair (D, E). Then there exists a height preserving bijection  $\Gamma : Irr(D) \to Irr(B)$  such that

$$\epsilon(\Gamma(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{e \in E \setminus D} \lambda(e^2)$$
 (2)



*for all*  $\lambda \in Irr(D)$ .

The right hand side of (2) was introduced and studied by Gow [8, Lemma 2.1] more generally for any groups  $D \le E$  with |E:D| = 2. This invariant was later coined the *Gow indicator* by Murray [20, (2)]. For 2-blocks of defect 0, Conjecture B confirms the known fact that real characters of 2-defect 0 have F-S indicator 1 (see [8, Theorem 5.1]). There is no such result for odd primes p. As a matter of fact, every real character has p-defect 0 whenever p does not divide |G|. In Theorem 10 we prove Conjecture B for abelian defect groups p. Then it also holds for all quasisimple groups p by work of An–Eaton [1]. Murray's results mentioned above, imply Conjecture B also for dihedral p.

For p>2, the common F-S indicator in the situation of Theorem A is not locally determined. For instance,  $G=Q_8\rtimes C_9={\tt SmallGroup}$  (72, 3) has a non-principal real 3-block with  $D\cong C_9$  and common F-S indicator -1, while its Brauer correspondent in  $N_G(D)\cong C_{18}$  has common F-S indicator 1. Nevertheless, for cyclic defect groups D we find another way to compute this F-S indicator in Theorem 3 below.

Our second conjecture applies more generally to blocks with only one simple module.

**Conjecture C** Let B be a real, non-principal 2-block with defect pair (D, E) and a unique projective indecomposable character  $\Phi$ . Then

$$\epsilon(\Phi) = |\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = 1\}|.$$

Here  $\epsilon(\Phi)$  is defined by extending (1) linearly. If  $\epsilon(\Phi) = 0$ , then E does not split over D and Conjecture C holds (see Proposition 8 below). Conjecture C implies a stronger, but more technical statement on 2-blocks with a Brauer correspondent with one simple module (see Theorem 13 below). This allows us to prove the following.

**Theorem D** *Conjecture C implies Conjecture B.* 

We remark that our proof of Theorem D does not work block-by-block. For solvable groups we offer a purely group-theoretical version of Conjecture C at the end of Section 4.

**Theorem E** *Conjectures B and C hold for all nilpotent 2-blocks of solvable groups.* 

We have checked Conjectures B and C with GAP [6] in many examples using the libraries of small groups, perfect groups and primitive groups.

## 2 Theorem A and Its Consequences

Our notation follows closely Navarro's book [22]. In particular,  $G^0$  denotes the set of p-regular elements of a finite group G. Let B be a p-block of G with defect group D. Recall that a B-subsection is a pair (u,b), where  $u \in D$  and b is a Brauer correspondent of B in  $C_G(u)$ . For  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(b)$  we denote the corresponding generalized decomposition number by  $d^u_{\chi\varphi}$ . If u=1, we obtain the (ordinary) decomposition number  $d_{\chi\varphi}=d^1_{\chi\varphi}$ . We put  $l(b)=|\operatorname{IBr}(b)|$  as usual.

Following [22, p. 114], we define a class function  $\chi^{(u,b)}$  by

$$\chi^{(u,b)}(us) := \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}(b)} d^u_{\chi\varphi} \varphi(s)$$

for  $s \in C_G(u)^0$  and  $\chi^{(u,b)}(x) = 0$  whenever x is outside the p-section of u. If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a set of representatives for the G-conjugacy classes of B-subsections, then  $\chi = \sum_{(u,b) \in \mathcal{R}} \chi^{(u,b)}$  by



Brauer's second main theorem (see [22, Problem 5.3]). Now suppose that B is nilpotent and  $\lambda \in Irr(D)$ . By [16, Proposition 8.11.4], each Brauer correspondent b of B is nilpotent and in particular l(b) = 1. Broué–Puig [4] have shown that, if  $\chi$  has height 0, then

$$\lambda * \chi := \sum_{(u,b) \in \mathcal{R}} \lambda(u) \chi^{(u,b)} \in Irr(B)$$

and  $(\lambda * \chi)(1) = \lambda(1)\chi(1)$ . Note also that  $d^u_{\lambda * \chi, \varphi} = \lambda(u)d^u_{\chi \varphi}$ .

**Proof of Theorem A** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of representatives for the G-conjugacy classes of B-subsections  $(u, b_u) \leq (D, b_B)$  (see [22, p. 219]). Since B is nilpotent, we have  $\mathrm{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi_u\}$  for all  $(u, \underline{b_u}) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since the Brauer correspondence is compatible with complex conjugation,  $(u, \overline{b_u})^t \leq (D, \overline{b_D})^t = (D, b_D)$ , where  $\mathrm{N}_G(D, b_D)^*/D\mathrm{C}_G(D) = \langle tD\mathrm{C}_G(D) \rangle$ . Thus,  $(u, \overline{b_u})^t$  is D-conjugate to some  $(u', b_{u'}) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

If p > 2, there exists a unique p-rational character  $\chi_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  of height 0, which must be real by uniqueness (see [4, Remark after Theorem 1.2]). If p = 2, there is a 2-rational real character  $\chi_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  of height 0 by [8, Theorem 5.1]. Then  $d^u_{\chi_0, \varphi_u} = d^u_{\chi_0, \overline{\varphi_u}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\overline{\chi_0^{(u,b_u)}} = \chi_0^{(u,\overline{b_u})} = \chi_0^{(u,\overline{b_u})^t} = \chi_0^{(u',b_{u'})}.$$

Now let  $\lambda \in Irr(D)$ . Then

$$\overline{\lambda * \chi_0} = \sum_{(u,b_u) \in \mathcal{R}} \overline{\lambda}(u) \overline{\chi_0^{(u,b_u)}} = \sum_{(u,b_u) \in \mathcal{R}} \overline{\lambda}(u) \chi_0^{(u',b_{u'})}.$$

Since the class functions  $\chi_0^{(u,b)}$  have disjoint support, they are linearly independent. Therefore,  $\lambda * \chi_0$  is real if and only if  $\lambda(u^t) = \lambda(u') = \overline{\lambda}(u)$  for all  $(u,b_u) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since every conjugacy class of D is represented by some u with  $(u,b_u) \in \mathcal{R}$ , we conclude that  $\lambda * \chi_0$  is real if and only  $\lambda^t = \overline{\lambda}$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda(1) = p^h$ , then  $\lambda * \chi_0$  has height h. This proves the first claim.

To prove the second claim, let p > 2 and  $\operatorname{IBr}(B) = \{\varphi\}$ . Then the decomposition numbers  $d_{\lambda*\chi_0,\varphi} = \lambda(1)$  are powers of p; in particular they are odd. A theorem of Thompson and Willems (see [26, Theorem 2.8]) states that all real characters  $\chi$  with  $d_{\chi,\varphi}$  odd have the same F-S indicator. So in our situation all real characters in  $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$  have the same F-S indicator.

Since the automorphism group of a p-group is "almost always" a p-group (see [11]), the following consequence is of interest.

**Corollary 1** Let B be a real, nilpotent p-block with defect group D such that p and |Aut(D)| are odd. Then B has a unique real character.

**Proof** The hypothesis on Aut(D) implies that  $N_G(D, b_D)^* = DC_G(D)$ . Hence by Theorem A, the number of real characters in Irr(B) is the number of real characters in D. Since p > 2, the trivial character is the only real character of D.

The next lemma is a consequence of Brauer's second main theorem and the fact that  $|\{g \in G : g^2 = x\}| = |\{g \in C_G(x) : g^2 = x\}|$  is locally determined for  $g, x \in G$ .

**Lemma 2** (Brauer) For every p-block B of G and every B-subsection (u, b) with  $\varphi \in IBr(b)$  we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d^u_{\chi \varphi} = \sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)} \epsilon(\psi) d^u_{\psi \varphi} = \sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)} \epsilon(\psi) \frac{\psi(u)}{\psi(1)} d_{\psi \varphi}.$$



If l(b) = 1, then

$$\sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi}^{u} = \frac{1}{\varphi(1)} \sum_{\psi \in Irr(b)} \epsilon(\psi) \psi(u).$$

**Proof** The first equality is [3, Theorem 4A]. The second follows from  $u \in Z(C_G(u))$ . If l(b) = 1, then  $\psi(1) = d_{\psi \varphi} \varphi(1)$  for  $\psi \in Irr(b)$  and the last claim follows.

Recall that a *canonical* character of B is a character  $\theta \in Irr(DC_G(D))$  lying in a Brauer correspondent of B such that  $D \leq Ker(\theta)$  (see [22, Theorem 9.12]). We define the *extended* stabilizer

$$N_G(D)^*_{\theta} := \left\{ g \in N_G(D) : \theta^g \in \{\theta, \overline{\theta}\} \right\}.$$

The following results adds some detail to the nilpotent case of [20, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 3** Let B be a real, nilpotent p-block with cyclic defect group  $D = \langle u \rangle$  and p > 2. Let  $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_G(D))$  be a canonical character of B and set  $T := \operatorname{N}_G(D)_{\theta}^*$ . Then one of the following holds:

- 1)  $\overline{\theta} \neq \theta$ . All characters in Irr(B) are real with F-S indicator  $\epsilon(\theta^T)$ .
- 2)  $\overline{\theta} = \theta$ . The unique non-exceptional character  $\chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(B)$  is the only real character in Irr(B) and  $\epsilon(\chi_0) = \text{sgn}(\chi_0(u))\epsilon(\theta)$ , where  $\text{sgn}(\chi_0(u))$  is the sign of  $\chi_0(u)$ .

**Proof** Let  $b_D$  be a Brauer correspondent of B in  $C_G(D)$  containing  $\theta$ . Then  $T = N_G(D, b_D)^*$ . If  $\overline{\theta} \neq \theta$ , then T inverts the elements of D since p > 2. Thus, Theorem A implies that all characters in Irr(B) are real. By [20, Theorem 1(v)], the common F-S indicator is the Gow indicator of  $\theta$  with respect to T. This is easily seen to be  $\epsilon(\theta^T)$  (see [20, after (2)]).

Now assume that  $\bar{\theta} = \theta$ . Here Theorem A implies that the unique p-rational character  $\chi_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  is the only real character. In particular,  $\chi_0$  must be the unique non-exceptional character. Note that  $(u, b_D)$  is a B-subsection and  $\operatorname{IBr}(b_D) = \{\varphi\}$ . Since  $\chi_0$  is p-rational,  $d_{\chi_0 \varphi}^u = \pm 1$ . Since all Brauer correspondents of B in  $C_G(u)$  are conjugate under  $N_G(D)$ , the generalized decomposition numbers are Galois conjugate, in particular  $d_{\chi_0 \varphi}^u$  does not depend on the choice of  $b_D$ . Hence,

$$\chi_0(u) = |\mathcal{N}_G(D) : \mathcal{N}_G(D)_\theta | d^u_{\gamma_0 \omega} \varphi(1)$$

and  $d_{\chi_0\varphi}^u = \operatorname{sgn}(\chi_0(u))$ . Moreover,  $\theta$  is the unique non-exceptional character of  $b_D$  and  $\theta(u) = \theta(1)$ . By Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \epsilon(\chi_0) &= \mathrm{sgn}(\chi_0(u)) \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d^u_{\chi \varphi} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{sgn}(\chi_0(u))}{\varphi(1)} \sum_{\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(b_D)} \epsilon(\psi) \psi(u) = \mathrm{sgn}(\chi_0(u)) \epsilon(\theta). \end{split}$$

If B is a nilpotent block with canonical character  $\theta \neq \overline{\theta}$ , the common F-S indicator of the real characters in Irr(B) is not always  $\epsilon(\theta^T)$  as in Theorem 3 . A counterexample is given by a certain 3-block of G = SmallGroup(288, 924) with defect group  $D \cong C_3 \times C_3$ .

We now restrict ourselves to 2-blocks. Héthelyi–Horváth–Szabó [12] introduced four conjectures, which are real versions of Brauer's conjecture, Olsson's conjecture and Eaton's conjecture. We only state the strongest of them, which implies the remaining three. Let  $D^{(0)} := D$  and  $D^{(k+1)} := [D^{(k)}, D^{(k)}]$  for k > 0 be the members of the derived series of D.



**Conjecture 4** (Héthelyi–Horváth–Szabó) *Let B be a 2-block with defect group D. For every*  $h \ge 0$ , the number of real characters in Irr(B) of height  $\le h$  is bounded by the number of elements of  $D/D^{(h+1)}$  which are real in  $N_G(D)/D^{(h+1)}$ .

A conjugacy class K of G is called *real* if  $K = K^{-1} := \{x^{-1} : x \in K\}$ . A conjugacy class K of a normal subgroup  $N \subseteq G$  is called *real under* G if there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $K^g = K^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 5** Let B be a nilpotent 2-block with defect group D and Brauer correspondent  $b_D$  in  $DC_G(D)$ . Then the number of real characters in Irr(B) of height  $\leq h$  is bounded by the number of conjugacy classes of  $D/D^{(h+1)}$  which are real under  $N_G(D, b_D)^*/D^{(h+1)}$ . In particular, Conjecture 4 holds for B.

**Proof** We may assume that B is real. As in the proof of Theorem A, we fix some 2-rational real character  $\chi_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  of height 0. Now  $\lambda * \chi_0$  has height  $\leq h$  if and only if  $\lambda(1) \leq p^h$  for  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ . By [14, Theorem 5.12], the characters of degree  $\leq p^h$  in  $\operatorname{Irr}(D)$  lie in  $\operatorname{Irr}(D/D^{(h+1)})$ . By Theorem A,  $\lambda * \chi_0$  is real if and only if  $\lambda^t = \overline{\lambda}$ . By Brauer's permutation lemma (see [23, Theorem 2.3]), the number of those characters  $\lambda$  coincides with the number of conjugacy classes K of  $D/D^{(h+1)}$  such that  $K^t = K^{-1}$ . Now Conjecture 4 follows from  $\operatorname{N}_G(D, b_D)^* \leq \operatorname{N}_G(D)$ .

## 3 Extended Defect Groups

We continue to assume that p = 2. As usual we choose a complete discrete valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $F := \mathcal{O}/J(\mathcal{O})$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Let  $\mathrm{Cl}(G)$  be the set of conjugacy classes of G. For  $K \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)$  let  $K^+ := \sum_{x \in K} x \in \mathrm{Z}(FG)$  be the class sum of K. We fix a 2-block B of FG with block idempotent  $1_B = \sum_{K \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)} a_K K^+$ , where  $a_K \in F$ . The central character of B is defined by

$$\lambda_B \,:\, \mathrm{Z}(FG) \to F, \quad K^+ \mapsto \left(\frac{|K|\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}\right)^*,$$

where  $g \in K$ ,  $\chi \in Irr(B)$  and \* denotes the canonical reduction  $\mathcal{O} \to F$  (see [22, Chapter 2]). Since  $\lambda_B(1_B) = 1$ , there exists  $K \in Cl(G)$  such that  $a_K \neq 0 \neq \lambda_B(K^+)$ . We call K a defect class of B. By [22, Corollary 3.8], K consists of elements of odd order. According to [22, Corollary 4.5], a Sylow 2-subgroup D of  $C_G(x)$ , where  $x \in K$ , is a defect group of B. For  $x \in K$  let

$$C_G(x)^* := \{ g \in G : gxg^{-1} = x^{\pm 1} \} \le G$$

be the *extended centralizer* of x.

**Proposition 6** (Gow, Murray) Every real 2-block B has a real defect class K. Let  $x \in K$ . Choose a Sylow 2-subgroup E of  $C_G(x)^*$  and put  $D := E \cap C_G(x)$ . Then the G-conjugacy class of the pair (D, E) does not depend on the choice of K or x.

**Proof** For the principal block (which is always real since it contains the trivial character),  $K = \{1\}$  is a real defect class and E = D is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Hence, the uniqueness follows from Sylow's theorem. Now suppose that B is non-principal. The existence of K was first shown in [8, Theorem 5.5]. Let L be another real defect class of B and choose  $y \in L$ . By [9, Corollary 2.2], we may assume after conjugation that E is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_G(y)^*$ . Let  $D_x := E \cap C_G(x)$  and  $D_y := E \cap C_G(y)$ . We may assume that  $|E:D_x| = 2 = |E:D_y|$  (cf. the remark after the proof).



We now introduce some notation in order to apply [17, Proposition 14]. Let  $\Sigma = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong C_2$ . We consider FG as an  $F[G \times \Sigma]$ -module, where G acts by conjugation and  $g^{\sigma} = g^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$  (observe that these actions indeed commute). For  $H \leq G \times \Sigma$  let

$$\operatorname{Tr}_H^{G \times \Sigma} : (FG)^H \to (FG)^{G \times \Sigma}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}} \alpha^x$$

be the *relative trace* with respect to H, where  $\mathcal{R}$  denotes a set of representatives of the right cosets of H in  $G \times \Sigma$ . By [17, Proposition 14], we have  $1_B \in \operatorname{Tr}_{E_x}^{G \times \Sigma}(FG)$ , where  $E_x := D_x \langle e_x \sigma \rangle$  for some  $e_x \in E \setminus D_x$ . By the same result we also obtain that  $D_y \langle e_y \sigma \rangle$  with  $e_y \in E \setminus D_y$  is G-conjugate to  $E_x$ . This implies that  $D_y$  is conjugate to  $D_x$  inside  $\operatorname{N}_G(E)$ . In particular,  $(D_x, E)$  and  $(D_y, E)$  are G-conjugate as desired.

**Definition 7** In the situation of Proposition 6 we call E an extended defect group and (D, E) a defect pair of B.

We stress that real 2-blocks can have non-real defect classes and non-real blocks can have real defect classes (see [10, Theorem 3.5]).

It is easy to show that non-principal real 2-blocks cannot have maximal defect (see [22, Problem 3.8]). In particular, the trivial class cannot be a defect class and consequently, |E:D|=2 in those cases. For non-real blocks we define the extended defect group by E:=D for convenience. Every given pair of 2-groups  $D \le E$  with |E:D|=2 occurs as a defect pair of a real (nilpotent) block. To see this, let  $Q \cong C_3$  and  $G=Q \rtimes E$  with  $C_E(Q)=D$ . Then G has a unique non-principal block with defect pair (D,E).

We recall from [14, p. 49] that

$$\sum_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \epsilon(\chi)\chi(g) = |\{x \in G : x^2 = g\}|$$
(3)

for all  $g \in G$ . The following proposition provides some interesting properties of defect pairs.

**Proposition 8** (Gow, Murray) Let B be a real 2-block with defect pair (D, E). Let  $b_D$  be a Brauer correspondent of B in  $DC_G(D)$ . Then the following holds:

- (i)  $N_G(D, b_D)^* = N_G(D, b_D)E$ . In particular,  $b_D$  is real if and only if  $E = DC_E(D)$ .
- (ii) For  $u \in D$ , we have  $\sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} \epsilon(\chi) \chi(u) \ge 0$  with strict inequality if and only if u is G-conjugate to  $e^2$  for some  $e \in E \setminus D$ . In particular, E splits over D if and only if  $\sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} \epsilon(\chi) \chi(1) > 0$ .
- (iii)  $\overline{E/D'}$  splits over D/D' if and only if all height zero characters in Irr(B) have non-negative F-S indicator.

**Proof** (i) See [19, Lemma 1.8] and [18, Theorem 1.4].

- (ii) See [19, Lemma 1.3].
- (iii) See [8, Theorem 5.6].

The next proposition extends [18, Lemma 1.3].

**Corollary 9** Suppose that B is a 2-block with defect pair (D, E) where D is abelian. Then E splits over D if and only if all characters in Irr(B) have non-negative F-S indicator.

**Proof** If B is non-real, then E = D splits over D and all characters in Irr(B) have F-S indicator 0. Hence, let  $\overline{B} = B$ . By Kessar–Malle [15], all characters in Irr(B) have height 0. Hence, the claim follows from Proposition 8 (iii).



**Theorem 10** Let B be a real, nilpotent 2-block with defect pair (D, E), where D is abelian. If E splits over D, then all real characters in Irr(B) have F-S indicator 1. Otherwise exactly half of the real characters have F-S indicator 1. In either case, Conjecture B holds for B.

**Proof** If E splits over D, then all real characters in Irr(B) have F-S indicator 1 by Corollary 9. Otherwise we have  $\sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} \epsilon(\chi) = 0$  by Proposition 8(ii), because all characters in Irr(B) have the same degree. Hence, exactly half of the real characters have F-S indicator 1. Using Theorem A we can determine the number of characters for each F-S indicator. For the last claim, we may therefore replace B by the unique non-principal block of  $G = Q \times E$ , where  $Q \cong C_3$  and  $C_E(Q) = D$  (mentioned above). In this case Conjecture B follows from Gow [8, Lemma 2.2] or Theorem E.

**Example 11** Let B be a real block with defect group  $D \cong C_4 \times C_2$ . Then B is nilpotent since Aut(D) is a 2-group and D is abelian. Moreover |Irr(B)| = 8. The F-S indicators depend not only on E, but also on the way D embeds into E. The following cases can occur (here  $M_{16}$  denotes the modular group and [16, 3] refers to the small group library):

The F-S indicator  $\epsilon(\Phi)$  appearing in Conjecture C has an interesting interpretation as follows. Let  $\Omega := \{g \in G : g^2 = 1\}$ . The conjugation action of G on  $\Omega$  turns  $F\Omega$  into an FG-module, called the *involution module*.

**Lemma 12** (Murray) Let B be a real 2-block and  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}(B)$ . Then  $\epsilon(\Phi_{\varphi})$  is the multiplicity of  $\varphi$  as a constituent of the Brauer character of  $F\Omega$ .

Next we develop a local version of Conjecture C. Let B be a real 2-block with defect pair (D, E) and B-subsection (u, b). If  $E = DC_E(u)$ , then b is real and  $(C_D(u), C_E(u))$  is a defect pair of b by [19, Lemma 2.6] applied to the subpair  $(\langle u \rangle, b)$ . Conversely, if b is real, we may assume that  $(C_D(u), C_E(u))$  is a defect pair of b by [19, Theorem 2.7]. If b is non-real, we may assume that  $(C_D(u), C_D(u)) = (C_D(u), C_E(u))$  is a defect pair of b.

**Theorem 13** Let B be 2-block of a finite group G with defect pair (D, E). Suppose that Conjecture C holds for all Brauer correspondents of B in sections of G. Let (u, b) be a B-subsection with defect pair  $(C_D(u), C_E(u))$  such that  $IBr(b) = \{\varphi\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d^u_{\chi \varphi} = \begin{cases} |\{x \in D : x^2 = u\}| & \text{if B is the principal block,} \\ |\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = u\}| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof** If B is not real, then B is non-principal and E = D. It follows that  $\epsilon(\chi) = 0$  for all  $\chi \in Irr(B)$  and

$$|\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = u\}| = 0.$$

Hence, we may assume that B is real. By Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi}^{u} = \sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)} \epsilon(\psi) d_{\psi \varphi}^{u} = \frac{1}{\varphi(1)} \sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)} \epsilon(\psi) \psi(u). \tag{4}$$



Suppose that B is the principal block. Then b is the principal block of  $C_G(u)$  by Brauer's third main theorem (see [22, Theorem 6.7]). The hypothesis l(b) = 1 implies that  $\varphi = 1_{C_G(u)}$  and  $C_G(u)$  has a normal 2-complement N (see [22, Corollary 6.13]). It follows that  $Irr(b) = Irr(C_G(u)/N) = Irr(C_D(u))$  and

$$\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)} \epsilon(\psi) d_{\psi\varphi}^u = \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_D(u))} \epsilon(\lambda) \lambda(u) = |\{x \in C_D(u) : x^2 = u\}|$$

by (3). Since every  $x \in D$  with  $x^2 = u$  lies in  $C_D(u)$ , we are done in this case.

Now let B be a non-principal real 2-block. If b is not real, then (4) shows that  $\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d^u_{\chi \varphi} = 0$ . On the other hand, we have  $C_E(u) = C_D(u) \leq D$  and  $|\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = u\}| = 0$ . Hence, we may assume that b is real. Since every  $x \in E$  with  $x^2 = u$  lies in  $C_E(u)$ , we may assume that  $u \in Z(G)$  by (4).

Then  $\chi(u) = d^u_{\chi\varphi}\varphi(1)$  for all  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ . If  $u^2 \notin \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$ , then  $\chi(u) \notin \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon(\chi) = 0$ . Thus, it suffices to sum over  $\chi$  with  $d^u_{\chi\varphi} = \pm d_{\chi\varphi}$ . Let  $Z := \langle u \rangle \leq Z(G)$  and  $\overline{G} := G/Z$ . Let  $\hat{B}$  be the unique (real) block of  $\overline{G}$  dominated by B. By [19, Lemma 1.7],  $(\overline{D}, \overline{E})$  is a defect pair for  $\hat{B}$ . Then, using [14, Lemma 4.7] and Conjecture C for B and B, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi}^u &= \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) (d_{\chi \varphi} + d_{\chi \varphi}^u) - \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\hat{B})} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi} - \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi} \\ &= 2 |\{ \overline{x} \in \overline{E} \setminus \overline{D} : \overline{x}^2 = 1 \}| - |\{ x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = 1 \}| \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(E)} \epsilon(\lambda) (\lambda(1) + \lambda(u)) - \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)} \epsilon(\lambda) (\lambda(1) + \lambda(u)) \\ &- \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(E)} \epsilon(\lambda) \lambda(1) + \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)} \epsilon(\lambda) \lambda(1) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(E)} \epsilon(\lambda) \lambda(u) - \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)} \epsilon(\lambda) \lambda(u) = |\{ x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = u \}|. \end{split}$$

### 4 Theorems D and E

The following result implies Theorem D.

**Theorem 14** Suppose that B is a real, nilpotent, non-principal 2-block fulfilling the statement of Theorem 13. Then Conjecture B holds for B.

**Proof** Let (D, E) be defect pair of B. By Gow [8, Theorem 5.1], there exists a 2-rational character  $\chi_0 \in Irr(B)$  of height 0 and  $\epsilon(\chi_0) = 1$ . Let

$$\Gamma: \operatorname{Irr}(D) \to \operatorname{Irr}(B), \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda * \chi_0$$

be the Broué–Puig bijection. Let  $(u_1, b_1), \ldots, (u_k, b_k)$  be representatives for the conjugacy classes of *B*-subsections. Since *B* is nilpotent, we may assume that  $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in D$  represent the conjugacy classes of *D*. Let  $Br(b_i) = \{\varphi_i\}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Since  $\chi_0$  is 2-rational, we



have  $\sigma_i := d^u_{\chi_0, \varphi_i} \in \{\pm 1\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Hence, the generalized decomposition matrix of B has the form

$$Q = (\lambda(u_i)\sigma_i : \lambda \in Irr(D), i = 1, \dots, k)$$

(see [16, Section 8.10]). Let  $v := (\epsilon(\Gamma(\lambda)) : \lambda \in Irr(D))$  and  $w := (w_1, \dots, w_k)$  where  $w_i := |\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = u_i\}|$ . Then Theorem 13 reads as vQ = w.

Let  $d_i := |C_D(u_i)|$  and  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ . Then the second orthogonality relation yields  $Q^t \overline{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(d)$ , where  $Q^t$  denotes the transpose of Q. It follows that  $Q^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(d)^{-1} \overline{Q}^t$  and

$$v = w \operatorname{diag}(d)^{-1} \overline{Q}^{t} = w \operatorname{diag}(d)^{-1} Q^{t},$$

because  $\overline{v} = v$ . Since  $w_i = |\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = u_i^y\}|$  for every  $y \in D$ , we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i |D : C_D(u_i)| = |E \setminus D| = |D|$ . In particular,

$$1 = \epsilon(\chi_0) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{w_i \sigma_i}{|C_D(u_i)|} \le \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{w_i |\sigma_i|}{|C_D(u_i)|} = 1.$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_i=1$  or  $w_i=0$  for each i. This means that the signs  $\sigma_i$  have no impact on the solution of the linear system  $x\,Q=w$ . Hence, we may assume that  $Q=(\lambda(u_i))$  is just the character table of D. Since Q has full rank, v is the only solution of  $x\,Q=w$ . Setting  $\mu(\lambda):=\frac{1}{|D|}\sum_{e\in E\setminus D}\lambda(e^2)$ , it suffices to show that  $(\mu(\lambda):\lambda\in {\rm Irr}(D))$  is another solution of  $x\,Q=w$ . Indeed,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)} \frac{\lambda(u_i)}{|D|} \sum_{e \in E \setminus D} \lambda(e^2) = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{e \in E \setminus D} \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)} \lambda(u_i) \lambda(e^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{\substack{e \in E \setminus D \\ 2^2 - u^{-1}}} |D : C_D(u_i)||C_D(u_i)| = w_i$$

for 
$$i = 1, \ldots, k$$
.

**Theorem E** Conjectures B and C hold for all nilpotent 2-blocks of solvable groups.

**Proof** Let B be a real, nilpotent, non-principal 2-block of a solvable group G with defect pair (D, E). We first prove Conjecture C for B. Since all sections of G are solvable and all blocks dominated by B-subsections are nilpotent, Conjecture C holds for those blocks as well. Hence, the hypothesis of Theorem 13 is fulfilled for B. Now by Theorem 14, Conjecture B holds for B.

Let  $N:=\mathrm{O}_{2'}(G)$  and let  $\theta\in\mathrm{Irr}(N)$  such that the block  $\{\theta\}$  is covered by B. Since B is non-principal,  $\theta\neq 1_N$  and therefore  $\overline{\theta}\neq\theta$  as N has odd order. Since B also lies over  $\overline{\theta}$ , it follow that  $G_{\theta}< G$ . Let b be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in the extended stabilizer  $G_{\theta}^*$ . By [22, Theorem 9.14] and [20, p. 94], the Clifford correspondence  $\mathrm{Irr}(b)\to\mathrm{Irr}(B),\ \psi\mapsto\psi^G$  preserves decomposition numbers and F-S indicators. Thus, we need to show that b has defect pair (D,E). Let  $\beta$  be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in  $G_{\theta}$ . By [22, Theorem 10.20],  $\beta$  is the unique block over  $\theta$ . In particular, the block idempotents  $1_{\beta}=1_{\theta}$  are the same (we identify  $\theta$  with the block  $\{\theta\}$ ). Since b is also the unique block of  $G_{\theta}^*$  over  $\theta$ , we have  $1_b=1_{\theta}+1_{\overline{\theta}}=\sum_{x\in N}\alpha_x x$  for some  $\alpha_x\in F$ . Let S be a set of representatives for the cosets  $G/G_{\theta}^*$ . Then

$$1_B = \sum_{s \in S} (1_\theta + 1_{\overline{\theta}})^s = \sum_{s \in S} 1_b^s = \sum_{g \in N} \left( \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_{g^{s^{-1}}} \right) g.$$



Hence, there exists a real defect class K of B such that  $\alpha_{g^{s^{-1}}} \neq 0$  for some  $g \in K$  and  $s \in S$ . Of course we can assume that  $g = g^{s^{-1}}$ . Then  $1_b$  does not vanish on g. By [22, Theorem 9.1], the central characters  $\lambda_B$ ,  $\lambda_b$  and  $\lambda_\theta$  agree on N. It follows that K is also a real defect class of b. Hence, we may assume that (D, E) is a defect pair of b.

It remains to consider  $G = G_{\theta}^*$  and B = b. Then D is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\theta}$  by [22, Theorem 10.20] and E is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Since  $|G:G_{\theta}|=2$ , it follows that  $G_{\theta} \leq G$  and  $N = O_{2'}(G_{\theta})$ . By [21, Lemmas 1 and 2],  $\beta$  is nilpotent and  $G_{\theta}$  is 2-nilpotent, i.e.  $G_{\theta} = N \rtimes D$  and  $G = N \rtimes E$ . Let  $\widetilde{\Phi} := \sum_{\chi \in Irr(B)} \chi(1)\chi = \varphi(1)\Phi$ , where  $IBr(B) = \{\varphi\}$ . We need to show that

$$\epsilon(\widetilde{\Phi}) = \varphi(1)|\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = 1\}|.$$

Note that  $\chi_N = \frac{\chi(1)}{2\theta(1)}(\theta + \overline{\theta})$ . By Frobenius reciprocity, it follows that  $\widetilde{\Phi} = 2\theta(1)\theta^G$  and

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_N = |G: N|\theta(1)(\theta + \overline{\theta}).$$

Since  $\Phi$  vanishes on elements of even order,  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  vanishes outside N. Since  $\widetilde{\Phi}_{G_{\theta}}$  is a sum of non-real characters in  $\beta$ , we have

$$\epsilon(\widetilde{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G_{\theta}} \widetilde{\Phi}(g^2) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G \backslash G_{\theta}} \widetilde{\Phi}(g^2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G \backslash G_{\theta}} \widetilde{\Phi}(g^2).$$

Every  $g \in G \setminus G_{\theta} = NE \setminus ND$  with  $g^2 \in N$  is N-conjugate to a unique element of the form xy, where  $x \in E \setminus D$  is an involution and  $y \in C_N(x)$  (Sylow's theorem). Setting  $\Delta := \{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = 1\}$ , we obtain

$$\epsilon(\widetilde{\Phi}) = \frac{\theta(1)}{|N|} \sum_{x \in \Delta} |N: C_N(x)| \sum_{y \in C_N(x)} (\theta(y) + \overline{\theta(y)}) = 2\theta(1) \sum_{x \in \Delta} \frac{1}{|C_N(x)|} \sum_{y \in C_N(x)} \theta(y).$$
(5)

For  $x \in \Delta$  let  $H_x := N\langle x \rangle$ . Again by Sylow's theorem, the *N*-orbit of x is the set of involutions in  $H_x$ . From  $\theta^x = \overline{\theta}$  we see that  $\theta^{H_x}$  is an irreducible character of 2-defect 0. By [8, Theorem 5.1], we have  $\epsilon(\theta^{H_x}) = 1$ . Now applying the same argument as before, it follows that

$$1 = \epsilon(\theta^{H_x}) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{g \in H_x \setminus N} \theta^{H_x}(g^2) = \frac{2}{|C_N(x)|} \sum_{y \in C_N(x)} \theta(y).$$

Combined with (5), this yields  $\epsilon(\widetilde{\Phi}) = 2\theta(1)|\Delta|$ . By Green's theorem (see [22, Theorem 8.11]),  $\varphi_N = \theta + \overline{\theta}$  and  $\epsilon(\widetilde{\Phi}) = \varphi(1)|\Delta|$  as desired.

For non-principal blocks B of solvable groups with l(B) = 1 it is not true in general that  $G_{\theta}$  is 2-nilpotent in the situation of Theorem E. For example, a (non-real) 2-block of a triple cover of  $A_4 \times A_4$  has a unique simple module. Extending this group by an automorphism of order 2, we obtain the group G = SmallGroup(864, 3988), which fulfills the assumptions with  $D \cong C_2^4$ ,  $N \cong C_3$  and |G:NE| = 9.

In order to prove Conjecture C for arbitrary 2-blocks of solvable groups, we may follow the steps in the proof above until E is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G and  $|G:G_{\theta}|=2$ . By [24, Theorem 2.1], one gets

$$\varphi(1)/\theta(1) = 2\sqrt{|G_{\theta}/N|_{2'}} = \sqrt{|G:EN|}.$$

With some more effort, the claim then boils down to a purely group-theoretical statement:



Let B be a real, non-principal 2-block of a solvable group G with defect pair (D, E) and l(B) = 1. Let  $N := O_{2'}(G)$  and  $\overline{G} := G/N$ . Let  $\theta \in Irr(N)$  such  $\{\theta\}$  is covered by B. Then

$$|\{\overline{x} \in \overline{G} \setminus \overline{G_{\theta}} : \overline{x}^2 = 1\}| = |\{x \in E \setminus D : x^2 = 1\}| \sqrt{|G : EN|}.$$

Unfortunately, I am unable to prove this.

**Acknowledgements** I thank Gabriel Navarro for providing some arguments for Theorem E from his paper [21]. John Murray and three anonymous referees have made many valuable comments, which improved the quality of the manuscript. The work is supported by the German Research Foundation (SA 2864/3-1 and SA 2864/4-1).

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

### References

- An, J., Eaton, C.W.: Nilpotent blocks of quasisimple groups for the prime two. Algebr. Represent. Theory 16. 1–28 (2013)
- Brauer, R.: Representations of finite groups. In: Lectures on Modern Mathematics, vol. I, pp. 133–175. Wiley, New York (1963)
- Brauer, R.: Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups III. J. Algebra 3, 225–255 (1966)
- 4. Broué, M., Puig, L.: A frobenius theorem for blocks. Invent. Math. 56, 117–128 (1980)
- 5. Eaton, C.W.: Generalisations of conjectures of brauer and olsson. Arch. Math. (Basel) 81, 621–626 (2003)
- The GAP Group: GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.0. (2022). http://www.gap-system.org
- 7. Gow, R.: Real-valued characters and the schur index. J. Algebra 40, 258–270 (1976)
- 8. Gow, R.: Real-valued and 2-rational group characters. J. Algebra 61, 388–413 (1979)
- 9. Gow, R.: Real 2-blocks of characters of finite groups. Osaka J. Math. 25, 135–147 (1988)
- 10. Gow, R., Murray, J.: Real 2-regular classes and 2-blocks. J. Algebra 230, 455–473 (2000)
- 11. Helleloid, G.T., Martin, U.: The automorphism group of a finite *p*-group is almost always a *p*-group. J. Algebra **312**, 294–329 (2007)
- 12. Héthelyi, L., Horváth, E., Szabó, E.: Real characters in blocks. Osaka J. Math. 49, 613–623 (2012)
- 13. Ichikawa, T., Tachikawa, Y.: The super Frobenius-Schur indicator and finite group gauge theories on surfaces. Commun. Math. Phys. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-022-04601-9
- 14. Isaacs, I.M.: Character Theory of Finite Groups. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI (2006)
- Kessar, R., Malle, G.: Quasi-isolated blocks and brauer's height zero conjecture. Ann. Math. (2) 178, 321–384 (2013)
- Linckelmann, M.: The Block Theory of Finite Group Algebras, vol. II. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018)
- 17. Murray, J.: Strongly real 2-blocks and the frobenius-schur indicator. Osaka J. Math. 43, 201-213 (2006)
- Murray, J.: Components of the involution module in blocks with cyclic or klein-four defect group. J. Group Theory 11, 43–62 (2008)
- Murray, J.: Real subpairs and frobenius-schur indicators of characters in 2-blocks. J. Algebra 322, 489–513 (2009)
- Murray, J.: Frobenius-schur indicators of characters in blocks with cyclic defect. J. Algebra 533, 90–105 (2019)
- 21. Navarro, G.: Nilpotent characters. Pac. J. Math. **169**, 343–351 (1995)
- Navarro, G.: Characters and blocks of finite groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 250. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998)



- Navarro, G.: Character Theory and the McKay Conjecture. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 175. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018)
- 24. Navarro, G., Späth, B., Tiep, P.H.: On fully ramified brauer characters. Adv. Math. 257, 248-265 (2014)
- Trefethen, S., Vinroot, C.R.: A computational approach to the frobenius-schur indicators of finite exceptional groups. Int. J. Algebra Comput. 30, 141–166 (2020)
- Willems, W.: Duality and forms in representation theory. In: Michler, G.O., Ringel, C.M. (eds.) Representation Theory of Finite groups and Finite-dimensional Algebras (Bielefeld, 1991). Progress in Mathematics, vol. 95, pp. 509–520. Birkhäuser, Basel (1991)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

