KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 48(2008), 433-442

Real Hypersurfaces in Complex Hyperbolic Space with Commuting Ricci Tensor

U-HANG KI The National Academy of Sciences, Seoul 137-044, Korea e-mail: uhangki2005@yahoo.co.kr

Young Jin Suh

Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea

e-mail: yjsuh@knu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider a real hypersurface M in complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $S\phi A = \phi AS$, where ϕ , A and S denote the structure tensor, the shape operator and the Ricci tensor of M respectively. Moreover, we give a characterization of real hypersurfaces of type A in $H_n\mathbb{C}$ by such a commuting Ricci tensor.

0. Introduction

A complex *n*-dimensional Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature *c* is called a complex space form, which is denoted by $M_n(c)$. As is wellknown, a connected complete and simply connected complex space form is complex analytically isometric to a complex projective space $P_n\mathbb{C}$, a complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n or a complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$ according as c > 0, c = 0 or c < 0.

Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the Kaehler structure J and the Kaehlerian metric G of $M_n(c)$. The structure vector field ξ is said to be *principal* if $A\xi = \alpha \xi$ holds on M, where A denotes the shape operator of M in $M_n(c)$ and $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$. A real hypersurface is said to be a *Hopf hypersurface* if the structure vector field ξ of M is principal. For examples of such kind of Hopf hypersurfaces in $P_n\mathbb{C}$ we give some homogeneous real hypersurfaces which are represented as orbits under certain subgroup of the projective unitary group PU(n + 1) ([8]).

Berndt [1] showed that all real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvature of a complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$ are realized as the tubes of constant radius over certain submanifolds when the structure vector field ξ is principal. Nowadays in

433

Received October 11, 2007.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40, 53C15.

Key words and phrases: real hypersurface, Ricci tensor, Hopf hypersurface.

The second author was supported by grant Proj. No. R17-2008-001-01001 from Korea Science & Engineering Foundation and by grant Proj. No. KRF-2007-313-C00058 from Korea Research Foundation

 $H_n\mathbb{C}$ they said to be of type (A₀), (A₁), (A₂) and (B). He proved the following :

Theorem B ([1]). Let M be a real hypersurface in $H_n\mathbb{C}$. Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following :

- (A_0) a self-tube, that is, a horosphere,
- (A₁) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a hyperplane $H_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$,
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic $H_k \mathbb{C}$ $(1 \le k \le n-2)$,
- (B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, we remark that every homogeneous real hypersurface in $P_n\mathbb{C}$ was proved a Hopf hypersurface (cf. [2], [8]). However, in $H_n\mathbb{C}$ there exists some kinds of homogeneous real hypersurfaces, called ruled real hypersurfaces, which are not Hopf hypersurfaces (see [6]).

Let M be a real hypersurface of type (A_0) , (A_1) or (A_2) in a complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$. Now, hereafter unless otherwise stated, such hypersurfaces are said to be of *type* A for our convenience sake. Now we introduce a theorem due to Montiel and Romero [7] as follows:

Theorem MR ([7]). If the shape operator A and the structure operator ϕ commute to each other, then a real hypersurface of a complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$ is locally congruent to be of type A.

Now let us denote by S the Ricci tensor of M in a complex space form $M_n(c)$. Then in a paper due to Kwon and the second author [3], they considered a real hypersurface M in a complex space form $M_n(c)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}S = \nabla_{\xi}S$, where \mathcal{L}_{ξ} and ∇_{ξ} respectively denotes the Lie derivative and the covariant derivative along the direction of the structure vector ξ of M. Then it was proved that $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}S = \nabla_{\xi}S$ is equivalent to the condition $S\phi A = \phi AS$.

In such a case we say that M has commuting Ricci tensor. That is, the Ricci tensor S of M in $M_n(c)$ commutes with the tensor ϕA .

Now let us consider a real hypersurface M in $M_n(c)$ with $S\phi A - \phi AS = 0$. Then we have (see [5])

$$||S\phi - \phi S||^2 + \frac{3}{2}c||\phi A\xi||^2 = 0.$$

From this naturally M becomes a Hopf hypersurface if c > 0. In the case where c < 0, by using the method of $A^2 \xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$, Kwon and the second author ([3]) proved the following :

Theorem KS ([3]). Let M be a real hypersurface in $H_n\mathbb{C}$, $n \ge 3$, with commuting Ricci tensor. If the structure vector field ξ is principal, then M is locally congruent to of type A.

Then we want to make a generalization of Theorem KS without the assumption that the structure vector field ξ is principal. In this paper we have introduced

a certain vector U defined by $U = \nabla_{\xi} \xi$ and have applied such a vector to the expression of $A^2 \xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$, and finally proved that the structure vector ξ is principal. Namely, we prove the following

Theorem. Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$, $n \geq 3$, with commuting Ricci tensor. Then M becomes a Hopf hypersurface. Further, M is locally congruent to one of the following spaces :

 (A_0) a self-tube, that is, a horosphere,

(A₁) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a hyperplane $H_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$,

(A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic $H_k\mathbb{C}$ $(1 \le k \le n-2)$.

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface immersed in a complex space form $(M_n(c), G)$ with almost complex structure J of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, and let C be a unit normal vector field on M. The Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in $M_n(c)$ and ∇ in M are related by the following formulas for any vector fields Xand Y on M:

(1.1)
$$\tilde{\nabla}_Y X = \nabla_Y X + g(AY, X)C,$$

(1.2)
$$\nabla_X C = -AX$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric on M induced from that G of $M_n(c)$ and A is the shape operator of M in $M_n(c)$. A characteristic vector X of the shape operator of A is called a principal curvature vector. Also an eigenvalue λ of A is called a principal curvature. It is known that M has an almost contact metric structure induced from the almost complex structure J on $M_n(c)$, that is, we define a tensor field ϕ of type (1,1), a vector field ξ , a 1-form η on M by $g(\phi X, Y) = G(JX, Y)$ and $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X) = G(JX, C)$. Then we have

(1.3)
$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(\xi,\xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0.$$

From (1.1) we see that

(1.4)
$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi,$$

(1.5)
$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X.$$

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, equations of the Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by

(1.6)
$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{ g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z \} + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

(1.7)
$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4} \{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M, where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M. We shall denote the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) by S. Then it follows from (1.6) that

(1.8)
$$SX = \frac{c}{4} \{ (2n+1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi \} + hAX - A^2X,$$

where h = trace A.

To write our formulas in convention forms, we denote $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$, $\beta = \eta(A^2\xi)$, $\gamma = \eta(A^3\xi)$, $\delta = \eta(A^4\xi)$, $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$ and ∇f by the gradient vector field of a function f on M. In the following, we use the same terminology and notation as above unless otherwise stated.

If we put $U = \nabla_{\xi} \xi$, then U is orthogonal to the structure vector field ξ . Then using (1.3) and (1.5), we see that

(1.9)
$$\phi U = -A\xi + \alpha\xi,$$

which shows that $g(U,U) = \beta - \alpha^2$. By the definition of U, (1.3) and (1.5) it is verify that

(1.10)
$$g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = g(A^2 \xi, X) - \alpha g(A\xi, X).$$

Now, differentiating (1.9) covariantly along M and using (1.4) and (1.5), we find

(1.11)
$$\eta(X)g(AU + \nabla\alpha, Y) + g(\phi X, \nabla_Y U) \\ = g((\nabla_Y A)X, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, Y) + \alpha g(A\phi X, Y),$$

which enables us to obtain

(1.12)
$$(\nabla_{\xi} A)\xi = 2AU + \nabla\alpha$$

because of (1.7). From (1.11) we also have

(1.13)
$$\nabla_{\xi} U = 3\phi A U + \alpha A \xi - \beta \xi + \phi \nabla \alpha,$$

where we have used (1.3), (1.5) and (1.10).

We put

(1.14)
$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \mu W,$$

where W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . Then from (1.9) it is seen that $U = \mu \phi W$ and hence $g(U, U) = \mu^2$, and W is also orthogonal to U. Thus, we see, making use of (1.5), that

(1.15)
$$\mu g(\nabla_X W, \xi) = g(AU, X).$$

Real Hypersurfaces in Complex Hyperbolic Space with Commuting Ricci Tensor 437

2. Real hypersurfaces satisfying $A^2 \xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$

Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If it satisfies $A^2 \xi \equiv 0 \pmod{\xi, A\xi}$. So we can put

(2.1)
$$A^{2}\xi = \rho A\xi + (\beta - \rho \alpha)\xi$$

for a certain scalar ρ .

Hereafter, unless otherwise stated, let us assume that $\mu \neq 0$ on M, that is, ξ is not a principal curvature vector field and we put $\Omega = \{p \in M | \mu(p) \neq 0\}$. Then Ω is an open subset of M, and from now on we discuss our arguments on Ω .

From (1.14) and (2.1), we see that

$$AW = \mu\xi + (\rho - \alpha)W$$

and hence

(2.3)
$$A^2W = \rho AW + (\beta - \rho\alpha)W$$

because $\mu \neq 0$.

Now, differentiating (2.2) covariantly along Ω , we find

(2.4)
$$(\nabla_X A)W + A\nabla_X W = (X\mu)\xi + \mu\nabla_X\xi + X(\rho - \alpha)W + (\rho - \alpha)\nabla_X W.$$

By taking an inner product with W in the last equation, we obtain

(2.5)
$$g((\nabla_X A)W, W) = -2g(AX, U) + X\rho - X\alpha$$

since W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . We also have by applying ξ to (2.4)

(2.6)
$$\mu g((\nabla_X A)W,\xi) = (\rho - 2\alpha)g(AU,X) + \mu(X\mu),$$

where we have used (1.15), which together with the Codazzi equation (1.7) gives

(2.7)
$$\mu(\nabla_W A)\xi = (\rho - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{2}U + \mu\nabla\mu,$$

(2.8)
$$\mu(\nabla_{\xi}A)W = (\rho - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu$$

Replacing X by ξ in (2.4) and taking account of (2.8), we find

(2.9)
$$(\rho - 2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu + \mu\{A\nabla_{\xi}W - (\rho - \alpha)\nabla_{\xi}W\}$$
$$= \mu(\xi\mu)\xi + \mu^{2}U + \mu(\xi\rho - \xi\alpha)W.$$

On the other hand, from $\phi U = -\mu W$ we have

$$g(AU, X)\xi - \phi \nabla_X U = (X\mu)W + \mu \nabla_X W.$$

Replacing X by ξ in this and using (1.9) and (1.13), we get

(2.10)
$$\mu \nabla_{\xi} W = 3AU - \alpha U + \nabla \alpha - (\xi \alpha) \xi - (\xi \mu) W,$$

which implies

$$(2.11) W\alpha = \xi\mu.$$

From the last three equations, it follows that

(2.12)
$$3A^{2}U - 2\rho AU + A\nabla\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta - \rho\nabla\alpha + (\alpha\rho - \beta - \frac{c}{4})U \\ = 2\mu(W\alpha)\xi + \mu(\xi\rho)W - (\rho - 2\alpha)(\xi\alpha)\xi,$$

which enables us to obtain

(2.13)
$$\xi\beta = 2\alpha(\xi\alpha) + 2\mu(W\alpha).$$

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly and making use of (1.5), we get

(2.14)
$$(\nabla_X A)A\xi + A(\nabla_X A)\xi + A^2\phi AX - \rho A\phi AX = (X\rho)A\xi + \rho(\nabla_X A)\xi + X(\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi + (\beta - \rho\alpha)\phi AX,$$

which together with (1.7) implies that

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{c}{4} \{ u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) \} + \frac{c}{2}(\rho - \alpha)g(\phi Y, X) - g(A^2\phi AX, Y) \\ + g(A^2\phi AY, X) + 2\rho g(\phi AX, AY) - (\beta - \rho\alpha) \{ g(\phi AY, X) - g(\phi AX, Y) \} \\ = g(AY, (\nabla_X A)\xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_Y A)\xi) + (Y\rho)g(A\xi, X) - (X\rho)g(A\xi, Y) \\ + Y(\beta - \rho\alpha)\eta(X) - X(\beta - \rho\alpha)\eta(Y), \end{cases}$$

where we have defined a 1-form u by u(X) = g(U, X) for any vector field X. If we replace X by μW to the both sides of (2.15) and take account of (1.12), (2.2), (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

(2.16)
$$(3\alpha - 2\rho)A^{2}U + 2(\rho^{2} + \beta - 2\rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})AU + (\rho - \alpha)(\beta - \rho\alpha - \frac{c}{2})U$$
$$= \mu A\nabla\mu + (\alpha\rho - \beta)\nabla\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\rho - \alpha)\nabla\beta + \mu^{2}\nabla\rho$$
$$- \mu(W\rho)A\xi - \mu W(\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi.$$

Using (1.14), we can write the equation (2.14) as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\nabla_X A)\xi + (\alpha - \rho)(\nabla_X A)\xi + \mu(\nabla_X A)W \\ = (X\rho)A\xi + X(\beta - \rho\alpha)\xi + (\beta - \rho\alpha)\phi AX + \rho A\phi AX - A^2\phi AX. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from this, by replacing X by $\alpha \xi + \mu W$ and making use of (1.5), (1.12), (1.14) and (2.5) ~ (2.7), we find

(2.17)
$$2\rho A^{2}U + 2(\alpha\rho - \beta - \rho^{2} - \frac{c}{4})AU + (\rho^{2}\alpha - \rho\beta + \frac{c}{2}\rho - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)U$$
$$= g(A\xi, \nabla\rho)A\xi - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\alpha)\nabla\beta + \beta\nabla\alpha$$
$$- \mu^{2}\nabla\rho + g(A\xi, \nabla(\beta - \rho\alpha))\xi.$$

3. Real hypersurfaces in $H_n\mathbb{C}$ with commuting Ricci tensor

Let us consider a real hypersurface M in complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$ with negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature c < 0. If M satisfies $S\phi A - \phi AS = 0$, we say that M has commuting Ricci tensor. In this section we consider a real hypersurface M in $H_n\mathbb{C}$ with commuting Ricci tensor. Then by (1.8) we have

(3.1)
$$h(A\phi A - \phi A^2) + \phi A^3 - A^2\phi A + \frac{3}{4}c\eta \otimes U = 0,$$

where we have used (1.5). Taking the transpose of this, we find

(3.2)
$$h(A\phi A - A^2\phi) + A^3\phi - A\phi A^2 + \frac{3}{4}cU \otimes \xi = 0.$$

Transforming (3.1) by A to the left, and (3.2) to the right respectively, and combining to these two equations, we obtain

$$\eta \otimes AU + \xi \otimes \eta(A\phi A) = 0,$$

which implies

$$(3.3) AU = 0.$$

If we take an inner product (3.2) with ξ and make use of (3.3), then we have

Taking an inner product (3.1) with ξ and using (3.3) and the last equation, we also find

$$\phi(A^{3}\xi - hA^{2}\xi) + \frac{3}{4}cU = 0.$$

If we apply this by ϕ and take account of (1.9), then we get

$$A^{3}\xi - hA^{2}\xi = (\gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)\xi - \frac{3}{4}cA\xi,$$

which tells us that

(3.5)
$$A^{4}\xi - hA^{3}\xi = (\gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)A\xi - \frac{3}{4}cA^{2}\xi.$$

Next, applying (3.1) by $A\xi$ and making use of (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\phi(A^4\xi - hA^3\xi) = \frac{3}{4}c\alpha U,$$

which implies that

$$A^{4}\xi - hA^{3}\xi = -\frac{3}{4}c\alpha(A\xi - \alpha\xi) + (\delta - h\gamma)\xi.$$

This, together with (3.5) implies that

(3.6)
$$\frac{3}{4}cA^2\xi = (\gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{2}c\alpha)A\xi + (h\gamma - \delta - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha^2)\xi.$$

Thus, it follows that

(3.7)
$$\frac{3}{4}c(\beta - \alpha^2) = \alpha(\gamma - \beta h) + h\gamma - \delta.$$

Therefore (3.6) is reformed as

(3.8)
$$A^2\xi = \rho A\xi + (\beta - \rho \alpha)\xi,$$

where the function ρ is defined in such a way that

(3.9)
$$\frac{3}{4}c\rho = \gamma - \beta h + \frac{3}{2}c\alpha$$

Accordingly the formulas stated in Section 2 are established.

Now, we are going to prove our Main Theorem.

Transforming (2.12) by U and using (3.3), we find

(3.10)
$$\frac{1}{2}U\beta - \rho(U\alpha) = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})\mu^2$$

Similarly, from (2.16) and (2.17) we have respectively

(3.11)
$$(\alpha \rho - \beta)U\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\rho - \alpha)U\beta + (\beta - \alpha^2)U\rho = (\rho - \alpha)(\beta - \rho\alpha - \frac{c}{2})\mu^2,$$

(3.12)
$$\frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\alpha)U\beta + \beta(U\alpha) - (\beta - \alpha^2)U\rho = (\rho^2 \alpha - \rho\beta + \frac{c}{2}\rho - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)\mu^2.$$

Differentiating (3.3) covariantly along Ω , we find

$$(\nabla_X A)U + A\nabla_X U = 0.$$

If we put $X = \xi$ in this and take account of (1.13) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(\nabla_{\xi}A)U + \alpha A^{2}\xi - \beta A\xi + \alpha A\phi \nabla \alpha = 0,$$

440

which shows that

$$\phi(\nabla_{\xi}A)U = (\beta - \rho\alpha)U - \alpha\phi A\phi\nabla\alpha,$$

where we have used (3.8). From this and (1.7), it follows that

(3.13)
$$\phi(\nabla_U A)\xi = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})U - \alpha\phi A\phi\nabla\alpha.$$

On the other hand, from $\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X$ and $U = \nabla_\xi \xi$, we see that

$$\nabla_X U = \phi(\nabla_X A)\xi + \alpha AX - g(A^2 X, \xi)\xi + \phi A\phi AX$$

by virtue of (1.4). Replacing X by U in this and making use of (3.3), we obtain $\nabla_U U = \phi(\nabla_U A)\xi$, which together with (3.13) implies that

$$\nabla_U U = (\beta - \rho \alpha + \frac{c}{4})U - \alpha \phi A \phi \nabla \alpha.$$

If we take an inner product with U to the last equation and use (1.9), (3.3) and $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$, then we get

(3.14)
$$\frac{1}{2}U\beta - \alpha(U\alpha) = (\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})\mu^2.$$

This, together with (3.10), implies that

(3.15)
$$(\rho - \alpha)U\alpha = -2(\beta - \rho\alpha + \frac{c}{4})\mu^2.$$

Combining (3.12) to (3.14), we find

(3.16)
$$U\rho = U\alpha - \frac{c}{4}(\rho - \alpha).$$

Substituting (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.12), we obtain $\rho - \alpha = 0$ and hence $\beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4} = 0$ by virtue of (3.15). Thus, (3.8) becomes $A^2\xi = \alpha A\xi - \frac{c}{4}\xi$, which tells us that $\gamma = \alpha^3 - \frac{c}{2}\alpha$. Then it follows

$$\delta = \alpha^4 - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha^2 + (\frac{c}{4})^2.$$

Using above facts, (3.7) turns out to be

$$(3.17) \qquad \qquad \alpha h = \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{2}$$

Since $\rho = \alpha$, (3.9) becomes $\gamma - \beta h = -\frac{3}{4}c\alpha$, which implies that $\alpha^3 - h(\alpha^2 - \frac{c}{4}) = -\frac{c}{4}\alpha$. This, together with (3.17), yields c = 0, a contradiction. Hence $\Omega = \emptyset$. Thus, the subset Ω (of M) on which $A\xi - \eta(A\xi)\xi \neq 0$ is an empty set, namely in $H_n\mathbb{C}$ every real hypersurface satisfying $S\phi A = \phi AS$ is a Hopf hypersurface. Then, by Theorem KS we complete the proof of our Main Theorem.

U-Hang Ki and Young Jin Suh

References

- J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in a complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Agnew. Math. 395(1989), 132-141.
- [2] T. E. Cecil and P.J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269(1982), 481-499.
- [3] J.-H. Kwon and Y.J. Suh, A new characterization of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, Nihonkai Math. J. 9(1998), 77-90.
- M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296(1986), 137-149.
- [5] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, Lie derivatives on real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Czechoslovak Math. J. 45(1995), 135-148.
- M. Lohnherr and H. Reckziegel, On ruled real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, Geom. Dedicata 74(1999), 267-286.
- S. Montiel and A. Romero, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, Geom. Dedicata 20(1986), 245-261.
- [8] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10(1973), 495-506.

442