Recent advances in the understanding and management of liposarcoma

Candace L. Haddox ¹ Richard F. Riedel ^{1,2*}

¹Division of Medical Oncology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA ²Duke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC, USA

Abstract

Liposarcomas are a common subfamily of soft tissue sarcoma with several subtypes recognized by the World Health Organization: atypical lipomatous tumors (ALT)/well-differentiated liposarcoma (WDLPS), dedifferentiated liposarcoma (DDLPS), myxoid liposarcoma (MLPS), pleomorphic liposarcoma (PLPS), and myxoid pleomorphic liposarcoma (MPLPS). Despite shared adipocytic features among liposarcomas, the clinical approach to each subtype differs based on histology, location, clinical behavior, and specific oncogenic drivers. In this review, we highlight subtype-specific molecular features with the potential to generate novel therapies. We discuss recent clinical trials investigating the use of preoperative radiation therapy for retroperitoneal liposarcoma, chemotherapy, small molecule inhibitors, and innovative immunotherapy approaches and describe how we incorporate these advancements into the management of liposarcoma.

Keywords

Liposarcoma, Well-differentiated, Dedifferentiated, Pleomorphic, Myxoid/Round cell, Clinical Trials, Targeted therapy, Immunotherapy

Peer Review

The peer reviewers who approve this article are:

- Raphael E Pollock, Department of Surgery, Division of Surgical Oncology, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Ohio, USA Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2. Neeta Somaiah, Department of Sarcoma Medical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Texas, USA

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

*Corresponding author: Richard F. Riedel (richard.riedel@duke.edu)

Competing interests: Richard F. Riedel has received institutional clinical research support from Aadi, AROG, Blueprint, Daiichi Sankyo, Deciphera, GlaxoSmithKline, Karyopharm, Ignyta, Immune Design, Lilly, NanoCarrier, Novartis, Oncternal, Plexxikon, Roche, Springworks, Threshold, and TRACON. He has served as a consultant or advisor for Bayer, Blueprint, Daiichi Sankyo, Deciphera, Eisai, EMD Serono, Janssen, Lilly, Loxo, NanoCarrier, and Springworks. Candace L. Haddox declares that she has no competing interests.

Grant information: The authors declare that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2021 Haddox CL et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License,

which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Haddox CL and Riedel RF. Recent advances in the understanding and management of liposarcoma. Faculty Reviews 2021 10:(1) https://doi.org/10.12703/r/10-1

Published: 04 Jan 2021, Faculty Reviews 10:(1) https://doi.org/10.12703/r/10-1

Introduction

Soft tissue sarcomas (STS) are rare mesenchymal neoplasms with over 150 different histological subtypes that make up 1% of adult malignancies¹. Liposarcomas are a common subfamily of adipocytic STS, collectively representing 11.5% of all STS². Liposarcoma subtypes defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) include atypical lipomatous tumors (ALT)/well-differentiated liposarcoma (WDLPS), dedifferentiated liposarcoma (DDLPS), myxoid liposarcoma (MLPS), pleomorphic liposarcoma (PLPS), and the newly described entity, myxoid pleomorphic liposarcoma (MPLPS)^{1,3}. Historically, similar treatment approaches have been employed across the range of STS, but accumulating evidence demonstrating distinctive molecular and clinical features of STS subtypes, including liposarcoma, has ushered in an era of histology-tailored treatment approaches⁴.

Atypical lipomatous tumors/well-differentiated liposarcoma and dedifferentiated liposarcoma

WDLPS and its extremity counterpart, ALT, are low-grade adipocytic tumors often resembling benign lipomas on histopathology⁵. By definition, ALT occurs in the extremities, while WDLPS may arise in the retroperitoneum (RP), paratesticular region, mediastinum, or head and neck region. WDLPS/ALT tend to recur locally rather than metastasize but may dedifferentiate into DDLPS with a more aggressive course and higher rate of metastatic dissemination. The likelihood of recurrence and dedifferentiate at a median of 7 years, while 17% of RP WDLPS dedifferentiate at a median of 8 years^{6,7}. Despite the presence of dedifferentiation, tumors can recur as pure WDLPS, or both.

It is postulated that ALT, WDLPS, and DDLPS arise from a chromosome 12q-shattering chromothriptic event leading to complex genomic rearrangements, formation of ringed chromosomes, and amplification of the 12q13-15 segment^{8,9}. Consequently, ALT, WDLPS, and DDLPS share a common cytogenetic feature characterized by supernumerary ringed chromosomes and amplification of specific oncogenes located in the 12q13-15 amplicon, often including MDM2, FRS2, CDK4, HMGA2, YEATS2, and NAV310,11. During dedifferentiation, ongoing DNA damage leads to genomic instability and further accumulation of complex genomic aberrancies^{12,13}. On a gene expression level, pathway analysis of paired WDLPS and DDLPS tumors revealed upregulation of cell proliferation and DNA damage response pathways in DDLPS and upregulation of adipocyte differentiation and metabolic pathways in WDLPS¹³.

Clinical management

For resectable ALT in the extremity, the prognosis is excellent with low rates of recurrence and dedifferentiation. Given the favorable outcomes with surgery alone, radiation therapy and systemic therapy are not routinely recommended¹⁴. For localized, extremity DDLPS, stage-directed perioperative radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy could be considered as per NCCN guidelines. While DDLPS tends to have poor chemosensitivity, emerging data suggest that select high-risk patients with extremity/trunk STS and a predicted 10-year overall survival (OS) of 51% or less may have improved outcomes with the use of adjuvant chemotherapy¹⁵. In such cases, we recommend careful consideration of anticipated risks and benefits by an experienced multidisciplinary sarcoma group.

While WDLPS rarely metastasizes, local recurrence of both RP WDLPS and DDLPS causes morbidity and impacts OS, and thus efforts to improve local control are key. To date, the only completed trial to prospectively evaluate the impact of perioperative radiation therapy compared to surgery alone in RP STS is the STRASS trial (EORTC 62092), a prospective, multicenter phase III randomized controlled trial (RCT)¹⁶. This trial randomized 266 patients with RP sarcoma (74.5% with liposarcoma, 97% of which were WDLPS/DDLPS) to preoperative radiotherapy followed by surgery or surgery alone and demonstrated a similar 3-year abdominal recurrence-free survival (ARFS) between the two arms (60.4% versus 58.7%, respectively) in the entire study population and in an exploratory post-hoc analysis of patients with liposarcoma.

After reviewing interim results of the STRASS trial in 2017, the Independent Data Monitoring Committee recommended two unplanned sensitivity analyses for ARFS, revealing a non-significant trend favoring preoperative radiation therapy in the subgroup of patients with liposarcoma. Importantly, the trial was not powered to detect differences in the liposarcoma subgroup, and, in fact, power calculations were based on a 20% difference in ARFS at 5 years, rather than 3-year ARFS as reported¹⁶. Thus, it will be critical to evaluate results of additional planned analyses at later follow up times, particularly with the proclivity of patients with WDLPS to develop late local recurrences¹⁷. Overall, we feel that the use of radiation therapy remains an individualized decision and should be based on consensus recommendations from an experienced multidisciplinary sarcoma team.

Evidence for the use of preoperative chemotherapy for high-grade RP STS is often extrapolated from studies of extremity and trunk STS, but the planned STRASS 2 trial (EORTC 1809) will directly assess its role in RP STS¹⁸. This phase III multicenter international trial will randomize 250 patients with high-grade RP dedifferentiated liposarcoma or leiomyosarcoma (stratified based on histology) to receive three cycles of neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy followed by surgery or surgery alone, with disease-free survival as the primary outcome. Results for this important trial are not anticipated until 2028¹⁹. With the absence of definitive data at present, we recommend reserving preoperative chemotherapy for patients with good performance status and borderline resectable or recurrent RP STS where tumor shrinkage may improve surgical outcomes.

In the context of unresectable or metastatic DDLPS, the recommended first-line therapy remains an anthracycline-based

chemotherapy regimen. In the phase III trial EORTC 62012, patients with advanced or metastatic STS were randomized to doxorubicin monotherapy or doxorubicin in combination with ifosfamide. While more patients achieved a response (26% versus 14%) and had a longer progression-free survival (PFS, 7.4 versus 4.6 months) with the combination, this was at the cost of higher toxicity and no statistically significant OS benefit²⁰. This trial provides a rationale for the continued consideration of anthracycline monotherapy for patients who do not require tumor shrinkage for symptom management. Frontline doxorubicin was also compared to gemcitabine plus docetaxel in a phase III RCT (GeDDiS trial) showing similar survival outcomes but numerically higher quality of life metrics and less toxicity with doxorubicin²¹. As a result, gemcitabinebased combinations with docetaxel, vinorelbine, or dacarbazine are often considered in subsequent lines of therapy^{22–24}.

Eribulin is a microtubule inhibitor that was compared to dacarbazine in patients with leiomyosarcoma and liposarcoma in a phase III RCT revealing a significantly improved median OS (13.5 versus 11.5 months)²⁵. Subsequently, a planned subgroup analysis revealed the improvement in OS with eribulin was limited to the liposarcoma cohort when compared to dacarbazine (15.6 versus 8.4 months)²⁶. A phase III trial also compared trabectedin to dacarbazine in patients with liposarcoma and leiomyosarcoma and demonstrated an improved median PFS benefit (4.2 versus 1.5 months) but no OS advantage²⁷. The PFS benefit was observed in both the liposarcoma and the leiomyosarcoma cohorts. Although trabectedin and eribulin have not been directly compared, we typically favor eribulin over trabectedin for DDLPS given the demonstrated OS advantage. As dacarbazine had inferior outcomes when compared to both trabectedin and eribulin, this option can be considered in later lines of therapy.

Novel therapies

CDK4/6 *inhibition.* CDK4 amplification is present in over 90% of WDLPS and DDLPS¹¹ and drives the overexpression of *CDK4* and cell cycle progression through dysregulated phosphorylation of retinoblastoma (Rb) protein²⁸. CDK4/6 inhibitors induce growth arrest, upregulate the chromatin remodeling enzyme ATRX, and decrease expression of the negative P53 regulator, MDM2, resulting in cell senescence²⁹.

Initial clinical studies showed modest activity using the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib but with hematological toxicity leading to a dose reduction in a subsequent phase II nonrand-omized trial^{30,31}. Treated patients had a median PFS of 4.5 months, and 1 of 30 patients achieved a complete response³¹. Given the lack of randomized data to date, we typically incorporate palbociclib in later lines of therapy.

A phase II study investigating the more potent inhibitor abemaciclib is underway in patients with pretreated or untreated DDLPS, with a preliminary analysis showing a prolonged median PFS of 30.4 weeks³². Ribociclib is also in phase II clinical trials in combination with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus³³

and MDM2 inhibitor HDM201 34,35 , with results pending at this time.

MDM2 inhibition. Although not specific to WDLPS/DDLPS, *MDM2* amplification is seen in nearly 100% of DDLPS¹¹ and WDLPS³⁶. MDM2 influences sarcomagenesis through ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of P53 and other proteins such as HBP1, establishing MDM2 as a key player in genomic instability³⁷. Early phase clinical trials investigating MDM2 inhibitors in various malignancies with *MDM2* overexpression or amplification showed manageable toxicity and some activity (mainly stable disease and a few partial responses) in WDLPS/DDLPS^{38–40}.

Selective inhibitors of nuclear export. Exportin-1 is a nuclear exporter upregulated in liposarcoma that promotes oncogenic behaviors through the extrusion of growth regulatory signals. Inhibition of exportin-1, using the selective inhibitor of nuclear export (SINE) selinexor, decreased cell growth and induced apoptosis in preclinical studies and animal models, suggesting therapeutic potential⁴¹. Subsequently, a phase Ib clinical trial showed a durable response in nearly half of the DDLPS patients enrolled in the study⁴², leading to the phase II/III SEAL trial in DDLPS⁴³. Final results of the trial showed that patients treated with selinexor had a median PFS of 2.8 months versus 2.1 months with placebo, and a small minority achieved a partial response⁴⁴. There was no OS difference between the groups. Common adverse events included GI toxicity, fatigue, weight loss, and cytopenias. While the study met its primary endpoint of PFS, it is unclear whether the outcomes observed with selinexor will be considered clinically meaningful in unselected patients with DDLPS.

Multikinase inhibitors. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have demonstrated activity in STS and bone sarcomas but have not consistently shown activity in adipocytic sarcomas. A nonrandomized phase II trial (EORTC 62043) investigating pazopanib showed lack of benefit in the liposarcoma subgroup45, thus adipocytic sarcomas were excluded from the subsequent phase III study (PALETTE), leading to approval of pazopanib in non-adipocytic STS46. Similarly, the phase II RCT REGOSARC also revealed poor activity of the TKI regorafenib in adipocytic STS47. Following publication of the PALETTE trial, a single arm, prospective phase II study in intermediate and high-grade liposarcoma showed a 12-week PFS of 68.3%, which renewed interest in TKIs for adipocytic sarcomas⁴⁸. Most recently, however, the multicohort phase II trial SARC024 showed that regorafenib did not have activity in a cohort of patients with liposarcoma⁴⁹. Considering the level of evidence available in these studies, we typically avoid the use of TKIs in adipocytic STS although support clinical trials investigating TKIs in combination regimens or novel TKIs based on sound scientific rationale.

Immunotherapy. The nonrandomized phase II study SARC028 treated patients with advanced soft tissue and bone sarcomas with pembrolizumab monotherapy, showing promising activity

in undifferentiated pleiomorphic sarcoma (UPS) and a 20% response rate in patients with DDLPS⁵⁰. This led to two expansion cohorts for UPS and DDLPS, enrolling 40 patients each. Final results suggested less robust activity than anticipated for DDLPS, with an overall response rate of 10% (4 of 39 patients with partial response) and a 12-week PFS of 44%⁵¹. Correlative analyses from SARC028 investigated the immune microenvironment and revealed that response to PD1 inhibition did not correlate with baseline PDL1 expression but was correlated with a higher baseline density of immune infiltrates, including CD68+ tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) expressing PDL1 and FOXP3+ T regulatory cells⁵². In another study, publicly available gene expression data from 608 STS specimens were used to categorize tumors into sarcoma immune classes (SIC) A through E based on distinct immune infiltrate, immune function, and immune checkpoint gene expression signatures⁵³. Approximately 25% of DDLPS tumors were classified as SIC E, which is characterized by tertiary lymphoid structures containing T cells, follicular dendritic cells, and B cells, and had a higher response to pembrolizumab in SARC02853. These correlative studies identify potential opportunities for predictive biomarker development. Two prospective trials currently underway include SARC03254, a RCT investigating the role of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab in addition to preoperative radiation therapy and resection for patients with DDLPS and UPS, and a phase II noncomparative randomized trial investigating the effect of neoadjuvant nivolumab and ipilimumab/nivolumab on pathologic response in patients with UPS and RP DDLPS undergoing preoperative radiation therapy and surgery⁵⁵. Preliminary results suggest limited activity in DDLPS⁵⁶. In the metastatic setting, nivolumab and nivolumab/ ipilimumab exhibited modest activity with a median PFS of 4.6 and 5.5 months, respectively. Two patients, however, did achieve responses greater than 6 months⁵⁷.

Myxoid liposarcoma

MLPS represent approximately 20–30% of the liposarcoma subfamily and are defined by the fusion oncogene *FUS-DDIT3* resulting from the genetic translocation t(12:16)(q13:p11) or less frequently *EWSR1-DDIT3* resulting from t(12;22)(q13;q12)⁵⁸. MLPS tend to have an unconventional metastatic pattern compared to other STS, with extrapulmonary spread to the abdominal wall, RP, skeletal sites such as the spine⁵⁹, soft tissues, and occasionally serosal sites like the pleura, peritoneum, and pericardium⁶⁰. MLPS may contain a high-grade round cell component (MRCLPS), which confers a higher risk of metastasis.

Clinical management

The treatment of extremity and trunk MLPS closely aligns with that of WDLPS/DDLPS described above¹⁴, although MLPS tend to be especially radiosensitive relative to other liposarcomas. As such, deintensified radiation therapy (from 50 to 36 Gy) was evaluated in a phase II nonrandomized single arm phase II trial (DOREMY), revealing that 91% of patients achieved a pathologic response, defined as greater than 50% treatment effect⁶¹. Recognizing the limitations of a surrogate primary endpoint, the local control rate was impressive, with zero patients experiencing relapse at a median follow up of 25 months. While

the sarcoma community engages in discussions regarding this potentially practice-changing trial, registry studies are planned to provide additional insight on radiation therapy dosing. Perioperative chemotherapy remains controversial in STS; however, MLPS may exhibit increased chemosensitivity, and some data suggest a role in high-risk patients. Recently, a phase III trial randomized patients with high-risk extremity or trunk wall STS to receive a standard anthracycline plus ifosfamide neoadjuvant regimen or a histology-tailored regimen followed by limb-sparing surgery⁶². Patients with high-grade MLPS on the histology-tailored arm received trabectedin, an alkylating agent that binds the minor groove of DNA with activity in many fusion-positive sarcoma subtypes⁶³. The trial showed similar disease-free survival in the standard and histology-tailored arms, but OS was significantly higher in patients treated with the standard anthracycline-based regimen (5-year OS 65.9 versus 75.7%, P = 0.02)⁶². Furthermore, subgroup analysis of the Sarculator nomogram-predicted worst prognostic group demonstrated a nonsignificant trend toward improved disease-free survival and OS in patients receiving anthracycline-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy¹⁵. Based on these collective results, we will consider the use of perioperative anthracycline-based chemotherapy in select patients with large, high-grade, localized MLPS of the extremity and trunk wall. Currently, a phase II trial is underway exploring multimodality therapy with trabectedin and radiation therapy for patients with localized MLPS, which showed an acceptable safety profile in a phase I trial⁶⁴.

Intraabdominal MLPS is an uncommon primary location⁵⁹ but may be encountered upon metastatic spread to the RP or peritoneum. In cases of oligometastatic spread to the abdominal cavity or RP, it would be reasonable to employ multi-modal approaches using chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and surgery (if operable) given the relative chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity of MLPS.

Overall, MLPS tends to have improved sensitivity to chemotherapy compared to other liposarcoma subtypes⁶⁵. Anthracyclinebased regimens remain the first-line systemic therapy in MLPS. Beyond anthracyclines, we favor sequencing trabectedin before eribulin. In the phase III trial comparing eribulin with dacarbazine, an OS benefit was observed in all liposarcoma subtypes except myxoid/round cell liposarcoma^{25,26}. Furthermore, biological rationale supports the use of trabectedin in fusion-positive sarcomas⁶³. We tend to use dacarbazine and gemcitabine combinations in later lines of therapy.

Novel therapies

The FUS-DDIT3 fusion oncogene leads to the upregulation of several oncogenic pathways that have directed the investigation of several targeted therapies⁶⁶.

PPAR- γ **agonists.** PPAR- γ is a master regulator of adipocytic differentiation and apoptosis and is overexpressed in a variety of malignancies where it exhibits antitumor activity⁶⁷. In MLPS, the FUS-DDIT3 fusion drives high expression of PPAR- γ , likely through suppression of signaling downstream of

PPAR- γ , and this correlates with poor outcomes in MLPS⁶⁷. The PPAR- γ agonist efatutazone was studied in a phase I trial enrolling patients with advanced malignancies and demonstrated a markedly durable effect in a patient with MLPS⁶⁸, leading to a phase II trial in patients with advanced MLPS⁶⁹.

PI3K and mTOR inhibitors. The growth factor IGF2 binds its receptor, IGF1R, upregulating the PI3K/AKT pathway and mTOR. In MLPS, IGF1R is overexpressed and the PI3K/AKT pathway is upregulated, implicating this pathway in MLPS oncogenic behaviors and progression^{66,70}. Furthermore, activating *PIK3CA* mutations are present in up to 18% of MLPS, providing a rationale for several targeted therapies, including mTOR inhibitors^{70,71} and PI3K inhibitors⁷².

Multikinase inhibitors. FUS-DDIT3 activates a variety of kinases, suggesting a potential role for multikinase inhibitors in the treatment of MLPS; however, studies have failed to demonstrate activity of TKIs in MLPS. Most recently, this was demonstrated in the multicohort phase II RCT SARC024, which showed no benefit of regorafenib in a cohort of patients with liposarcoma⁴⁹. Although this trial was not powered to detect activity in the MLPS subgroup, it is notable that patients with MLPS made up 25% of the liposarcoma cohort, yet there was no evidence of activity⁴⁹.

Immunotherapy. Several genes that are expressed during development become restricted to the testis in adulthood and may be aberrantly expressed in tumors (so-called cancer testis antigens, CTA). These antigens represent promising targets for engineering tumor immune responses given the immuneprivileged environment of the testis. NY-ESO-1 is a highly immunogenic cancer testis antigen that is expressed in 90% of MLPS¹². A phase I/II pilot study administered autologous specific peptide enhanced affinity receptor (SPEAR) T cells recognizing an NY-ESO-1 peptide complexed with HLA-A*02 to patients with MRCLS and specific HLA-A*02 haplotypes. In a preliminary analysis, engineered T cells administered following lymphodepleting chemotherapy resulted in two partial responses^{73,74}. Similarly, MAGEA4 is a CTA expressed in 67.7% of MLPS⁷⁵, and a phase II trial is underway investigating SPEAR T-cells targeting MAGEA4 in patients with MLPS and synovial sarcoma76,77. Dendritic cell vaccines are another method to prime tumor-targeting T cells. The dendritic cell vaccine CMB305 contains a dendritic cell-targeting lentivirus encoding NY-ESO-1, a TLR-4 agonist, and recombinant NY-ESO-1 peptide. Dendritic cell expression of NY-ESO-1 results in presentation to cytotoxic T cells and expansion of anti-NY-ESO-1 T and B cells. A phase II RCT of CMB305 in combination with atezolizumab versus atezolizumab alone showed limited activity in both arms⁷⁸. These innovative immunotherapy approaches are in early stages but have the potential to expand therapeutic options for patients with MLPS.

Pleomorphic liposarcoma

PLPS is an aggressive liposarcoma subtype that accounts for about 5% of liposarcomas. Over 80% of patients with PLPS

present over age 50, and the most common primary tumor site is the extremity (65%), followed by the RP and abdomen $(15\%)^{79}$. Five-year and 10-year OS are 57% and 39%, respectively, with higher survival rates in patients with superficial tumors and lower survival in patients with RP and abdominal primary tumors^{79,80}. In the setting of unresectable or metastatic disease, median OS is 14 months⁸¹. Unlike the subtypes described above, PLPS has a complex genome without characteristic genomic alterations or targetable molecular drivers. Common genomic alterations include mutations in TP53 (17%), NF1 (8%), RB1 (4%), PIK3CA (4%), SYK (4%), PTK2B (4%), EPHA5 (4%), and ERBB4 (4%)⁸², and deletions of TP53, RB1, and NF1 are also common¹². Interestingly, the histological features and genomic alterations observed in PLPS are similar to those of myxofibrosarcoma, potentially representing a spectrum of the same disease^{82,83}.

The cornerstone of treatment for patients with high-risk localized PLPS is complete surgical resection when feasible and radiation therapy per NCCN guidelines for STS. In the metastatic setting, we typically use the agents described above for WDLPS/DDLPS, which have demonstrated moderate activity in PLPS⁸¹. Notably, in the phase III trial comparing eribulin with dacarbazine, eribulin demonstrated an improvement in OS (22.2 versus 6.7 months) and a non-significant trend toward improved PFS (4.4 versus 1.4 months) in the PLPS subgroup²⁶. Unfortunately, studies on targeted therapies and novel approaches are lacking for PLPS, and this represents an area of considerable need.

Myxoid pleomorphic liposarcoma

MPLPS is a rare, emerging subtype of the liposarcoma subfamily that was first described in 2009⁸⁴ and was first recognized by the WHO classification of soft tissue and bone tumors in 20201. The clinical course and molecular features of MPLPS distinguish it from both MLPS and PLPS. MPLPS typically presents in children, adolescents, and young adults as a deep soft tissue mass primarily located in the mediastinum, extremity, head and neck, abdominal cavity, or trunk. This entity exhibits a blend of MLPS and PLPS histological findings with high-grade features and a complex genome without the FUS-DDIT3 oncogene fusion that is characteristic of MLPS⁵⁸. Additionally, comparative genomic hybridization comparing PLPS and MPLPS revealed that MPLPS lacks the copy number gains and amplifications seen in PLPS⁸⁵, supporting its recognition as a distinct entity. At present, there are no consensus recommendations for standard of care with respect to local and systemic therapies.

Conclusion

Liposarcomas are a diverse and heterogeneous group of STS, despite their common adipocytic features. Novel therapies targeting a myriad of pathways and known drivers of pathogenesis are actively being explored. A continued understanding and appreciation of the subtype-specific biological underpinnings is essential in optimizing treatment approaches and improving outcomes for patients.



Reference Source

Faculty Opinions Recommended

References

1.

WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board: WHO Classification of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. 5th ed. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2020. Reference Source

Toro JR, Travis LB, Wu HJ, et al.: Incidence patterns of soft tissue sarcomas, 2 regardless of primary site, in the surveillance, epidemiology and end results program, 1978-2001: An analysis of 26,758 cases. Int J Cancer. 2006; 119(12): 2922-30 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

З. Fletcher CDM, Bridge JA, Hogendoorn PCW, et al.: World Health Organization Classification of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. 4th ed. Lyon, France: IARC Press: 2013.

Haddox CL, Riedel RF: Individualizing systemic therapy for advanced soft tissue sarcomas based on tumor histology and biology. Expert Rev Anticancer 4. Ther. 2020; 20(1); 5-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Thway K: Well-differentiated liposarcoma and dedifferentiated liposarcoma: An updated review. Semin Diagn Pathol. 2019; 36(2): 112–21. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation 5.

Weiss SW, Rao VK: Well-differentiated liposarcoma (atypical lipoma) of 6 deep soft tissue of the extremities, retroperitoneum, and miscellaneous sites. A follow-up study of 92 cases with analysis of the incidence of "dedifferentiation". Am J Surg Pathol. 1992; **16**(11): 1051–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Rauh J, Klein A, Baur-Melnyk A, et al.: The role of surgical margins in atypical Lipomatous Tumours of the extremities. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 7. 2018; 19(1): 152. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

Shang CZ, Spektor A, Cornils H, et al.: Chromothripsis from DNA damage in 8. micronuclei. Nature. 2015; 522(7555): 179-84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text |

Faculty Opinions Recommendation

Sarsed DW, Marshall OJ, Corbin VDA, et al.: The architecture and evolution of cancer neochromosomes. Cancer Cell. 2014; 26(5): 653–67. 9 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recom

Egan JB, Barrett MT, Champion MD, et al.: Whole genome analyses of a well-10. differentiated liposarcoma reveals novel SYT1 and DDR2 rearrangements. PLoS One. 2014; 9(2): e87113. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network: Comprehensive and Integrated 11. Genomic Characterization of Adult Soft Tissue Sarcomas. Cell. 2017; 171(4): 950-965.e28. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Semicco EG: Molecular updates in adipocytic neoplasms. Semin Diagn 12. Pathol. 2019; 36(2): 85-94. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

Seird HC, Wu CC, Ingram DR, et al.: Genomic profiling of dedifferentiated liposarcoma compared to matched well-differentiated liposarcoma reveals 13.

higher genomic complexity and a common origin. Cold Spring Harb Mol Case Stud. 2018; 4(2): a002386. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

- Samboa AC, Gronchi A, Cardona K: Soft-tissue sarcoma in adults: An 14. update on the current state of histiotype-specific management in an era of personalized medicine. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020; 70(3): 200-29. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Pasquali S, Pizzamiglio S, Touati N, et al.: The impact of chemotherapy on survival of patients with extremity and trunk wall soft tissue sarcomas 15 Revisiting the results of the EORTC-STBSG 62931 randomised trial. Eur J Cancer. 2019: 109: 51-60. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

Sonvalot S, Gronchi A, Le Péchoux C, et al.: Preoperative radiotherapy 16.

plus surgery versus surgery alone for patients with primary retroperitoneal sarcoma (EORTC-62092: STRASS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020; 21(10): 1366-77 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

- Gronchi A, Strauss DC, Miceli R, et al.: Variability in Patterns of Recurrence 17. After Resection of Primary Retroperitoneal Sarcoma (RPS): A Report on 1007 Patients From the Multi-institutional Collaborative RPS Working Group. Ann Surg. 2016; 263(5): 1002-9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer: Surgery With Our Without Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in High Risk RetroPeritoneal Sarcoma

(STRASS2). In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source

van Houdt WJ, Raut CP, Bonvalot S, et al.: New research strategies in 19. retroperitoneal sarcoma. The case of TARPSWG, STRASS and RESAR: Making progress through collaboration. Curr Opin Oncol. 2019; 31(4): 310-6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

Sudson I, Verweij J, Gelderblom H, et al.: Doxorubicin alone versus 20. intensified doxorubicin plus ifosfamide for first-line treatment of advanced or metastatic soft-tissue sarcoma: A randomised controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2014; 15(4): 415-23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

- Seddon B, Strauss SJ, Whelan J, et al.: Gemcitabine and docetaxel versus 21. doxorubicin as first-line treatment in previously untreated advanced unresectable or metastatic soft-tissue sarcomas (GeDDiS): A randomised controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017; 18(10): 1397-410. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Dileo P. Morgan JA. Zahrieh D. et al.: Gemcitabine and vinorelbine combination 22 chemotherapy for patients with advanced soft tissue sarcomas: Results of a phase II trial. Cancer. 2007; 109(9): 1863-9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Maki RG, Wathen JK, Patel SR, et al.: Randomized phase II study of 23. gemcitabine and docetaxel compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with metastatic soft tissue sarcomas: Results of sarcoma alliance for research through collaboration study 002 [corrected]. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25(19): 2755 - 63

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

- Sarcía-del-Muro X, López-Pousa A, Maurel J, et al.: Randomized phase II 24 study comparing gemcitabine plus dacarbazine versus dacarbazine alone in patients with previously treated soft tissue sarcoma: A Spanish Group for Research on Sarcomas study. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29(18): 2528–33. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Schöffski P, Chawla S, Maki RG, et al.: Eribulin versus dacarbazine in 25 previously treated patients with advanced liposarcoma or leiomyosarcoma: A randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2016; 387(10028): 1629 - 37PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Solution (Charles and Charles 26 With Advanced Liposarcoma Demonstrated in a Subgroup Analysis From a Randomized Phase III Study of Eribulin Versus Dacarbazine. J Clin Oncol. 2017; 35(30): 3433-9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation

- S Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Jones RL, et al.: Efficacy and Safety of 27. Trabectedin or Dacarbazine for Metastatic Liposarcoma or Leiomyosarcoma After Failure of Conventional Chemotherapy: Results of a Phase III Randomized Multicenter Clinical Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2016; 34(8): 786-93. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Assi T, Kattan J, Rassy E, et al.: Targeting CDK4 (cyclin-dependent kinase) 28 amplification in liposarcoma: A comprehensive review. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2020: 153: 103029 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Sklein ME, Dickson MA, Antonescu C, et al.: PDLIM7 and CDH18 regulate 29 the turnover of MDM2 during CDK4/6 inhibitor therapy-induced senescence. Oncogene. 2018; 37(37): 5066-78. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text |

Faculty Opinions Recommendation

- S Dickson MA, Tap WD, Keohan ML, et al.: Phase II trial of the CDK4 inhibitor 30. PD0332991 in patients with advanced CDK4-amplified well-differentiated or dedifferentiated liposarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31(16): 2024–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- S Dickson MA, Schwartz GK, Keohan ML, et al.: Progression-Free Survival Among Patients With Well-Differentiated or Dedifferentiated Liposarcom Treated With CDK4 Inhibitor Palbociclib: A Phase 2 Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2016; 2(7): 937-40 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | **Faculty Opinions Recommendation**
- Dickson MA, Koff A, D'Angelo SP, et al.: Phase 2 study of the CDK4 inhibitor 32. abemaciclib in dedifferentiated liposarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2019; 37(15_suppl): 11004. Publisher Full Text
- von Mehren M: Phase II Trial of Ribociclib and Everolimus in Advanced 33. Dedifferentiated Liposarcoma (DDL) and Leiomyosarcoma (LMS).

In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source

- Novartis Pharmaceuticals: Study of Safety and Efficacy of HDM201 in Combination With LEE011 in Patients With Liposarcoma. In: ClinicalTrials.gov, 34. [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source
- Razak AA, Bauer S, Blay J, et al.: Abstract CT009: Results of a dose- and 35. regimen-finding Phase Ib study of HDM201 in combination with ribociclib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic liposarcoma. Cancer Res. 2018; 78(13 Supplement): CT009. Publisher Full Text
- Yao X, Ghert M, Dickson BC, et al.: An evidence-based guideline on the 36. application of molecular testing in the diagnosis, prediction of prognosis, and selection of therapy in non-GIST soft tissue sarcomas. Cancer Treat Rev. 2020; 85: 101987. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Scao Z, Xue J, Cheng Y, et al.: MDM2 promotes genome instability by 37. ubiquitinating the transcription factor HBP1. Oncogene. 2019; 38(24): 4835-55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Wagner AJ, Banerji U, Mahipal A, et al.: Phase I Trial of the Human Double 38. Minute 2 Inhibitor MK-8242 in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2017; 35(12): 1304-11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sluck WL, Gounder MM, Frank R, et al.: Phase 1 study of the MDM2 inhibitor AMG 232 in patients with advanced P53 wild-type solid tumors or multiple myeloma. Invest New Drugs. 2020; 38(3): 831–43. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Bauer TM, Gounder MM, Weise AM, et al.: A phase 1 study of MDM2 inhibitor 40. DS-3032b in patients with well/de-differentiated liposarcoma (WD/DD LPS), solid tumors (ST) and lymphomas (L). J Clin Oncol. 2018; 36(15_suppl): 11514. **Publisher Full Text**
- 41. Garg M, Kanojia D, Mayakonda A, et al.: Molecular mechanism and therapeutic implications of selinexor (KPT-330) in liposarcoma. Oncotarget. 2017; 8(5): 7521-32 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Sounder MM, Zer A, Tap WD, et al.: Phase IB Study of Selinexor, a First-in-42. Class Inhibitor of Nuclear Export, in Patients With Advanced Refractory Bone or Soft Tissue Sarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2016; 34(26): 3166–74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Kauffman M: Selinexor in Advanced Liposarcoma (SEAL). In: ClinicalTrials.gov, 43. [cited 2020 Oct 9]. **Reference Source**
- Gounder M, Razak AA, Somaiah N, et al.: A phase 2/3, randomized, double 44 blind, cross-over, study of selinexor versus placebo in advanced unresectable dedifferentiated liposarcoma (DDLS) [abstract]. In: Connective Tissue Oncology Society Annual Meeting; 2020 Nov 18-21; Virtual: CTOS; Paper 20. 2020.
- Sleijfer S, Ray-Coquard I, Papai Z, et al.: Pazopanib, a multikinase 45. angiogenesis inhibitor, in patients with relapsed or refractory advanced soft tissue sarcoma: a phase II study from the European organisation for research and treatment of cancer-soft tissue and bone sarcoma group (EORTC study 62043). J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27(19): 3126-32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Svan der Graaf WTA, Blay JY, Chawla SP, et al.: Pazopanib for metastatic 46 soft-tissue sarcoma (PALETTE): a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2012; 379(9829): 1879-86. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Mir O, Brodowicz T, Italiano A, et al.: Safety and efficacy of regorafenib in 47. patients with advanced soft tissue sarcoma (REGOSARC): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016; 17(12): 1732-42
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Samuels BL, Chawla SP, Somaiah N, et al.: Results of a prospective phase 2 48. study of pazopanib in patients with advanced intermediate-grade or high-grade liposarcoma. *Cancer.* 2017; **123**(23): 4640–7. ubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Riedel RF, Ballman KV, Lu Y, et al.: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-49 Controlled, Phase II Study of Regorafenib Versus Placebo in Advanced/ Metastatic, Treatment-Refractory Liposarcoma: Results from the SARC024 Study. Oncologist. 2020; 25(11): e1655-e1662. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Tawbi HA, Burgess M, Bolejack V, et al.: Pembrolizumab in advanced soft-50. tissue sarcoma and bone sarcoma (SARC028): a multicentre, two-cohort, single-arm, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017; 18(11): 1493-501. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Burgess MA, Bolejack V, Schuetze S, et al.: Clinical activity of pembrolizumab (P) in undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) and dedifferentiated/

pleomorphic liposarcoma (LPS): Final results of SARC028 expansion cohorts. J Clin Oncol. 2019; 37(15): 11015. **Publisher Full Text**

- Keung EZ, Burgess M, Salazar R, et al.: Correlative Analyses of the 52. SARC028 Trial Reveal an Association Between Sarcoma-Associated Immune Infiltrate and Response to Pembrolizumab. Clin Cancer Res. 2020; 26(6); 1258-66 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | **Faculty Opinions Recommendation**
- Setitprez F, de Reyniès A, Keung EZ, et al.: B cells are associated with 53 survival and immunotherapy response in sarcoma. Nature. 2020; 577(7791): 556-60
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation Kirsch D: A Randomized Trial of Pembrolizumab & Radiotherapy Versus
- 54. Radiotherapy in High-Risk Soft Tissue Sarcoma of the Extremity (SU2C-SARC032). In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. **Reference Source**
- Roland CL: Nivolumab With and Without Ipilimumab and Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Recurrent or Resectable Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcoma or Dedifferentiated Liposarcoma Before Surgery. In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source
- Roland CL, Keung EZY, Lazar AJ, et al.: Preliminary results of a phase II study 56 of neoadjuvant checkpoint blockade for surgically resectable undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) and dedifferentiated liposarcoma (DDLPS). J Clin Oncol. 2020: 38(15): 11505. **Publisher Full Text**
- Chen JL, Mahoney MR, George S, et al.: A multicenter phase II study of 57. nivolumab +/- ipilimumab for patients with metastatic sarcoma (Alliance A091401): Results of expansion cohorts. J Clin Oncol. 2020; 38(15): 11511. Publisher Full Text
- Creytens D: What's new in adipocytic neoplasia? Virchows Arch. 2020; 58. 476(1): 29–39. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- S Dürr HR, Rauh J, Baur-Melnyk A, et al.: Myxoid liposarcoma: local relapse 59. and metastatic pattern in 43 patients. BMC Cancer. 2018; 18(1): 304. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | **Faculty Opinions Recommendation**
- Pearlstone DB, Pisters PWT, Bold RJ, et al.: Patterns of recurrence in extremity 60. liposarcoma: implications for staging and follow-up. Cancer. 1999; 85(1): 85-92. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- S Lansu J, Bovée JVMG, Braam P, et al.: Dose Reduction of Preoperative 61. Radiotherapy in Myxoid Liposarcoma: A Nonrandomized Controlled Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2020: e205865. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Scronchi A, Palmerini E, Quagliuolo V, et al.: Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in 62.
- High-Risk Soft Tissue Sarcomas: Final Results of a Randomized Trial From Italian (ISG), Spanish (GEIS), French (FSG), and Polish (PSG) Sarcoma Groups. J Clin Oncol. 2020; 38(19): 2178-86 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- 63 Kawai A, Araki N, Sugiura H, et al.: Trabectedin monotherapy after standard chemotherapy versus best supportive care in patients with advanced, translocation-related sarcoma: a randomised, open-label, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16(4): 406-16. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gronchi A. Hindi N. Cruz J. et al.: Trabectedin and RAdiotherapy in Soft 64 Tissue Sarcoma (TRASTS): Results of a Phase I Study in Myxoid Liposarcoma from Spanish (GEIS), Italian (ISG), French (FSG) Sarcoma Groups. EClinicalMedicine. 2019; 9: 35-43. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | **Faculty Opinions Recommendation**
- Jones RL, Fisher C, Al-Muderis O, *et al.*: Differential sensitivity of liposarcoma subtypes to chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer.* 2005; 41(18): 2853–60. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 65
- Yu JSE, Colborne S, Hughes CS, et al.: The FUS-DDIT3 Interactome in 66. Myxoid Liposarcoma. Neoplasia. 2019; 21(8): 740-51. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | **Faculty Opinions Recommendation**
- Takeuchi A, Yamamoto N, Shirai T, et al.: Clinical relevance of peroxisome 67. proliferator-activated receptor-gamma expression in myxoid liposarcoma. BMC Cancer. 2016; 16: 442. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 68. Pishvaian MJ, Marshall JL, Wagner AJ, et al.: A phase 1 study of efatutazone, an oral peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma agonist, administered to patients with advanced malignancies. Cancer. 2012; 118(21): 5403-13. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Pishvaian M: Efatutazone Dihydrochloride in Treating Patients With Previously 69. Treated Myxoid Liposarcoma That Cannot Be Removed by Surgery.

In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source

- Sanfilippo R, Dei Tos AP, Casali PG: Myxoid liposarcoma and the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway. Curr Opin Oncol. 2013; 25(4): 379–83.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gelderblom H: Sirolimus and Cyclophosphamide in Metastatic or Unresectable Myxoid Liposarcoma and Chondrosarcoma. In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source
- Damodaran S, Zhao F, Deming DA, et al.: Phase II study of copanlisib in patients with tumors with PI/S3CA mutations (PTEN loss allowed): NCI MATCH EAY131-Z1F. J Clin Oncol. 2020; 38(15): 3506.
 Publisher Full Text
- D'Angelo SP, Druta M, Liebner DA, et al.: Pilot study of NY-ESO-1²²⁵⁹ T cells in advanced myxoid/round cell liposarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2018; 36(15): 3005. Publisher Full Text
- GlaxoSmithKline: A Pilot Study of NY-ESO-1^{c259} T Cells in Subjects With Advanced Myxoid/ Round Cell Liposarcoma. In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source
- Iura K, Kohashi K, Ishii T, et al.: MAGEA4 expression in bone and soft tissue tumors: its utility as a target for immunotherapy and diagnostic marker combined with NY-ESO-1. Virchows Arch. 2017; 471(3): 383–92.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Araujo DM, Druta M, Agulnik M, et al.: SPEARHEAD-1: A phase II trial of ADP-A2M4 SPEAR T cells in patients with advanced synovial sarcoma or myxoid/ round cell liposarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2020; 38(15): TPS11569. Publisher Full Text
- Aruajo D: Spearhead 1 Study in Subjects With Advanced Synovial Sarcoma or Myxoid/Round Cell Liposarcoma. In: ClinicalTrials.gov, [cited 2020 Oct 9]. Reference Source
- Chawla SP, van Tine BA, Pollack S, et al.: A phase II randomized study of CMB305 and atezolizumab versus atezolizumab in NY-ESO-1* soft tissue

sarcoma: Analysis of immunogenicity, tumor control, and patient survival. *J Clin Oncol.* 2019; 37(15): 11011. Publisher Full Text

- 79. See HG, Aurit S, Silberstein P, et al.: Primary anatomical site as a prognostic factor for pleomorphic liposarcoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2020; 146(6): 1501–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Serg SH, Massoud CM, Jackson-Cook C, et al.: A Reappraisal of Superficial Pleomorphic Liposarcoma. Am J Clin Pathol. 2020; 154(3): 353–61.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Italiano A, Garbay D, Cioffi A, *et al.*: Advanced pleomorphic liposarcomas: clinical outcome and impact of chemotherapy. *Ann Oncol.* 2012; 23(8): 2205–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sarretina J, Taylor BS, Banerji S, et al.: Subtype-specific genomic alterations define new targets for soft-tissue sarcoma therapy. Nat Genet. 2010; 42(8): 715–21.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text |
 - Faculty Opinions Recommendation
- Idbaih A, Coindre JM, Derré J, et al.: Myxoid malignant fibrous histiocytoma and pleomorphic liposarcoma share very similar genomic imbalances. Lab Invest. 2005; 85(2): 176–81.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Alaggio R, Coffin CM, Weiss SW, et al.: Liposarcomas in young patients: a study of 82 cases occurring in patients younger than 22 years of age. Am J Surg Pathol. 2009; 33(5): 645–58.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Creytens D, van Gorp J, Ferdinande L, *et al.*: Array-based comparative genomic hybridization analysis of a pleomorphic myxoid liposarcoma. *J Clin Pathol.* 2014; 67(9): 834–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text