

REVIEW

Recent technical advances in proteomics [version 1; peer

review: 2 approved]

John R. Yates, III 回

Molecular Medicine and Neurobiology, Scripps Research, 0550 North Torrey Pines Road, SR302, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA

v1	First published: 29 Mar 2019, 8 (F1000 Faculty Rev):351 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16987.1		
	Latest published: 29 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):351 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16987.1		

Abstract

Mass spectrometry is one of the key technologies of proteomics, and over the last decade important technical advances in mass spectrometry have driven an increased capability for proteomic discovery. In addition, new methods to capture important biological information have been developed to take advantage of improving proteomic tools.

Keywords

proteomics, mass spectrometry, spatial proteomics, interaction proteomics

Open Peer Review				
Approval Status 🗹 🗸				
	1	2		
version 1 29 Mar 2019	~	~		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of Faculty Opinions. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

 Albert J R. Heck, University of Utrecht, Netherlands Proteomics Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands

.....

2. **Ying Ge**, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: John R. Yates, III (jyates@scripps.edu)

Author roles: Yates, III JR: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants R01 MH067880 and P41 GM133533). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2019 Yates, III JR. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Yates and III JR. Recent technical advances in proteomics [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):351 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16987.1

First published: 29 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):351 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16987.1

Over the past 15 years, the study of genomics has frequently made headlines as DNA sequencing has uncovered genes that contribute to or cause disease. When DNA sequencing began to move into the clinic, it generated hope that more biology and disease buried in a genome would be uncovered. However, it soon became clear that the number of genome sequences needed to understand human biology would be far greater than expected. An understanding of multigenic human variability requires sequencing many different genomes to tease out the interplay of genes that create complex traits. Even after large-scale sequencing efforts, it has been difficult to measure susceptibility for multigenic diseases, leading to a concern about missing patterns of heritability for some diseases^{1,2}. For example, mutations in genes that increase the risk of familial Alzheimer's disease have been identified, but genetic risk for sporadic Alzheimer's disease has been harder to establish^{3–5}. Are there other genes that are important that are not so obvious or is there a larger role for environment than expected? We are beginning to understand that DNA sequence mutations and variations may impact their protein products in yet-unknown ways and this may change as a function of age and environment and therefore may play an important role in health and disease⁶.

Genes encode proteins, which are complex molecules that catalyze reactions, transmit signals, and create cellular support structures that possess a three-dimensional structure organized in a spatial and temporal manner^{7,8}. In many of the initial proteomic studies, the focus was to associate specific proteins with biological processes. Although this effort has been successful, it is important to understand that proteins do not necessarily have a single function or role in physiology (for example, pleiotropy) and thus one of the great challenges of proteomics is uncovering the diverse cellular functions and roles of proteins in cells. Further advances in proteomics have focused on the identification of post-translational modifications of proteins, protein-protein interactions, and the locations of proteins within cells9-11. Post-translational modifications of proteins are not encoded in the genome; instead, amino acid sequence signatures for modification sites may be obtusely encoded within the sequence of a protein. Modifications often regulate protein activity or function and thus play an important role in the regulation of processes. Tens of thousands of myriad modification sites have been identified by large-scale proteomic studies, and attempts to identify specific sites as regulators of biological processes are ongoing¹². The exquisite complexity of modifications is perfectly exemplified in histones, which have intricate patterns of modifications on the exposed tails of the proteins. The functions or activities of proteins are separated by spatial organization in organelles or subcellular compartments. Identifying proteins present in organelles (that is, mitochondria) helps to define the roles of proteins as well as potential functions that may be carried out in a compartment and this information can better define the functions of the organelles¹³. Recent proteomic studies seek to determine the structures or folding of proteins on a large scale and in vivo¹⁴. Advances in cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) have resulted in a tremendous increase in the number of difficult structures that have been determined for proteins¹⁵. However, so far, these studies are performed mostly in vitro, so it is

important to determine how these structures conform to those in cells. Mass spectrometry (MS) has been successfully employed for the analysis of native proteins and native protein complexes and now is being used to study whole cells in an attempt to measure the state of folding of proteins within complete proteomes^{14,16}. To advance the capture of proteomic information, new instrumentation and methodologies are needed.

Developments in mass spectrometers over the last decade have been numerous, but there are some clear trends. The drive to increase confidence in the identification of peptides and posttranslational modifications pushed the development of highresolution and high-mass accuracy instruments, most notably Orbitrap and time-of-flight (TOF) mass analyzers¹⁷⁻²⁰. Improvements in mass resolution in these instruments resulted in an increase in the mass range for effective analysis, precipitating greater interest in the "top down" proteomics which now could be performed without expensive high-field magnets previously required for ion cyclotron resonance MS of intact proteins²¹⁻²³. Additionally, the emergence of biological therapeutics has fueled a greater need to characterize intact proteins to verify structure, sequence, and modifications²⁴⁻²⁶. Fragmentation of the amide bonds in intact proteins requires more robust methods than fragmentation of peptides to obtain sequence information²⁷. Two methods in particular-electron transfer dissociation (ETD) and ultraviolet photodissociation (UVPD)-have been used to achieve more efficient fragmentation of intact proteins, especially when used in combination²⁸⁻³¹. These substantial improvements in MS capability have led to greatly improved prospects for top-down MS.

A common strategy to improve the performance of mass spectrometers has been to create hybrid instruments. A hybrid instrument uses different ion analyzers or separators to increase the capabilities of the mass spectrometer as a whole. For example, development of the triple quadrupole mass spectrometer led to big improvements in performance over a single quadrupole instrument by adding two other quadrupoles; one quadrupole was used to select m/z values, another was used as a collision cell, and the third quadrupole was used to perform more routine analysis of ions. A more recent hybrid instrument is the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid mass spectrometer, which includes five different ion separation/storage devices³². In the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid, the quadrupole mass filter is used to select an m/z, and an ion routing multipole serves as a "traffic cop" to store and direct ions to either a linear ion trap for collision-induced dissociation or to the Orbitrap for highresolution and high-mass accuracy measurements. The ion routing multipole can also be used for higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) ion fragmentation. The use of an ion storage device like the ion routing multipole device allows simultaneous experiments within the instrument which can increase the effective scan speed and consequently the number of tandem mass spectra collected for peptide ions. Thus, scan speed is increased in the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid by using the available ions more effectively, and routine analysis of digested protein mixtures results in a larger number of peptide (and hence protein) identifications.

Over the last 20 years, there has been increasing interest in using ion mobility spectrometer (IMS) devices to add ion separation capabilities to mass spectrometers. IMS devices use high-pressure gas and constraining electric fields to separate ions based on features besides m/z, thus providing improved separation of molecules before the mass analyzer^{33,34}. Hoaglund et al. used ion mobility separation in conjunction with a quadrupole TOF mass spectrometer to analyze peptide mixtures, and the success of this experiment triggered further interest in IMS devices as adjuncts to traditional mass analyzers³⁵. As a result, a variety of devices have emerged based on the ion mobility concept, including the traveling wave, which uses an electrical wave (and lower gas pressure) instead of a constant high voltage to drive ions through a gas³⁶. A trapped IMS (TIMS) device uses electric and radiofrequency fields to trap ions in a flowing gas³⁷. In the TIMS device, ion motion against the gas determines the resolution of the separation. The success of TIMS led to the development of parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation (PASEF), which is a mass selective release of peptide ions from the TIMS device for MS/MS³⁸. Combining these methods (TIMS/PASEF) provides another means to fractionate complex mixtures of ions to increase the number of tandem mass spectra of peptides collected and thus the number of protein identifications.

A different type of ion mobility, differential mobility spectrometer or field asymmetric IMS (FAIMS), has been used to create separation of ions³⁹. In this instrument, ions pass through a gas with an orthogonal field driving ions toward the wall of the cell. Based on the selection of the electric field, ions of a certain m/z will pass through to the outlet of the device. When coupled to a mass spectrometer, FAIMS can decrease the complexity of ions entering the mass spectrometer and can selectively pass through different sets of ions by systematically changing the electric field.

A very exciting development in the ion mobility field is a device called structures for lossless ion manipulations $(SLIMs)^{40-42}$, which makes use of the traveling wave principle to move ions. SLIMs are fabricated from printed circuit board technology and thus are inexpensive to create and have great flexibility in design and construction. Features have been added to turn ions around corners and to effectively create very long path lengths that facilitate increased ion separations. Webb *et al.* have interfaced SLIM devices with TOF mass spectrometers to perform mass analysis⁴³. Because of the ease of construction and flexibility in design, these devices have enormous potential for creative separations, especially with the lossless nature of the ion manipulations.

High-resolution ion separations have enabled improved mass spectrometer performance for analysis of intact proteins with less sophisticated instruments which has increased interest in the application of top-down proteomics to biological problems. A common problem in protein analysis is measuring the proteoforms of a protein, which include all modifications and sequence variations present⁴⁴. It is important to identify all the modifications on a protein to determine how those modifications attenuate or alter the protein's functions. Improvements in mass spectrometers and methodology are increasing the scale of intact protein analysis as well as the effective size of proteins that can be reasonably analyzed. Intact protein identification methods require fragment ion data at amide linkages throughout the backbone of the protein to both identify the proteins and more accurately localize modifications. As described above, the development of ETD and UVPD has enabled better fragmentation of proteins to more confidently assign modifications to sites within the protein.

Another rapidly improving method in MS is the analysis of native protein complexes, which has been advanced by Marcoux and Robinson to enable the characterization of membrane proteins and membrane protein complexes⁴⁵. A recent breakthrough in this area has been the use of surface-induced dissociation to fragment protein complexes⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹, which allows the user to direct a greater amount of the kinetic energy of the collision into the ion complex than if a gas-phase dissociation method is used. By varying the energy of the collisions, proteins on the outside of the native complex can be peeled away to reveal the organization of the complex. Skinner et al. have used non-denaturing separations of protein complexes in conjunction with analysis of the native complex and an MS3 approach for top-down identification of the individual components of the complex^{50,51}. Using this strategy, the authors were able to determine proteoforms of the proteins in the complex. In these native protein analyses, UVPD has been used in conjunction with HCD to help fragment the protein. Snijder et al. elegantly used native MS to decipher the sequence of protein-protein interactions involved in the circadian rhythms of the Kai system in cyanobacterium¹⁶.

The method used by Skinner *et al.* to separate protein complexes is based on a new strategy called protein correlation profiling (PCP)^{52,53}. This method uses the co-elution of proteins under non-denaturing conditions as a measure of whether the proteins are in a complex together and is based on the theory that if proteins co-elute under different chromatographic conditions, there is a calculable probability that they are present together in a complex. This strategy may offer a means to more quickly measure the dynamics of protein complexes in systems undergoing some sort of perturbation such as disease or drug treatment. Cross-linking of complexes has been used to maintain the integrity of complexes that might involve membrane proteins, as they sometimes require buffers that might be denaturing to maintain solubility⁵⁴. Very large-scale studies have been performed using individual pull-downs of proteins to obtain protein complexes and these studies have provided the reference sets to establish the validity of methods such as PCP55,56. However, pull-down approaches are too time-consuming to be practical for the study of protein dynamics on a large scale. PCP may prove to be a good solution to the time constraints of studying large-scale protein complex dynamics, but more development of the method will be required.

The measurement of protein interactions using affinity pulldowns and MS has been a powerful method for the discovery of protein interactions, but for interactions to survive the enrichment process they needs to have a certain level of affinity to the interaction. "Spatial proteomics" is a recent method that determines proteins in the region around a bait without requiring a high level of affinity between interactors; rather, the method requires only that interactors be within a defined region. Two methods for spatial proteomics have been developed. One method employs an engineered version of ascorbic acid peroxidase (APEX) fused to proteins which produces a hydroxyl radical when hydrogen peroxide and phenoxy biotin are added and labels proteins within 30 angstroms of APEX13. The biotinylated proteins then can be enriched for analysis. The BioID strategy uses the biotin ligase enzyme BirA to collect the same type of data⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰. In BioID, BirA ligase is added to a bait protein, and when biotin is fed to a cell, biotin is added to nearby lysine residues. APEX is much faster than BioID, which can require up to 24 hours to get sufficient labeling, allowing the BirA-labeled protein time to move around the cell if it is not fixed to a membrane. In both methods, good controls are required to differentiate signal from noise. Recently, Branon et al. engineered a version of the BirA protein that is able to sufficiently label proteins in 10 minutes in a method aptly named TurboID⁶¹. A very clever use of APEX involved epitope tagging of CAS9 with the ascorbate enzyme⁶². In this application, CAS9 guide RNAs are used to place the labeled CAS9 at a specific gene, where it labels proteins in the vicinity, including transcription factors and histones that subsequently can be enriched for identification and post-translational modification analysis. Spatial proteomics is drawing particular interest for determining the type of histone modifications that are present at a specific location in the genome. Spatial proteomic methods provide a strategy to supplement the type of information that might be derived from protein-protein interaction studies.

The use of biorthogonal chemistry has exploded over the last two decades as a means to label proteins or glycans within cells⁶³. Biorthogonal chemistry uses specific types of biomolecules that can be metabolized in cells or tissues and inserted into proteins or glycans. Generally, the molecule will have an affinity tag to allow enrichment of the modified proteins after insertion. A particularly interesting molecule is azidohomoalanine (AHA), which can be used by the endogenous Met t-RNA synthetase to incorporate AHA into proteins⁶⁴. AHA then can be reacted with biotin alkyne using a copper-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition in aqueous solution⁶⁴, and the biotinlabeled proteins can be enriched using avidin. This has become a powerful method to introduce affinity labels into proteins. For instance, AHA has been used to determine the identity of newly synthesized proteins in response to perturbations and to identify proteins secreted by cells⁶⁵. A few strategies have been developed to quantitate proteins using AHA. The first method combines the introduction of AHA with stable isotope-labeled amino acids where heavy amino acids could be used for one state and light amino acids used in another state⁶⁵. A second strategy uses a heavy and light labeled version of biotin alkyne together with AHA as a means to quantitate⁶⁶. A third strategy uses a heavy and light version of AHA to provide quantitation⁶⁷. Each method has different advantages which pertain to when labels are introduced into the sample and the subsequent manipulations required. In the method that uses stable isotope-labeled amino acids, the introduction of labels is separated from the incorporation of AHA and thus quantitation errors introduced by sample handling inequities are minimized, although mixing errors of the heavy and light cells could occur. The process that uses heavy and light -biotin alkyne requires the introduction of the labels into two different samples which requires careful control over processes to avoid reaction or recovery errors. The last process of using a heavy and light AHA incorporates the label into proteins using the metabolic machinery of the cell and thus quantitation errors could stem from mixing or mass balance errors. An interesting application of AHA is for protein turnover measurements⁶⁸. The use of stable isotope-labeled amino acids for protein turnover measurements has been hampered by difficulty in distinguishing the isotope signatures in the midst of increasing background from normal signals. AHA can be pulsed into cells or animals followed by a chase of normal Met, and over time AHA can be recovered by affinity capture, providing a means to enrich very low levels that might be present at long time points.

Proteomic capability is constantly evolving as a result of technological and methodological advances in the field. Many of these advances come from improvements in MS technology that provides new capabilities and measurement improvements. Researchers then are able to leverage these advances into measurements of new features of biological systems and to improve the diagnosis of medical conditions.

Grant information

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants R01 MH067880 and P41 GM133533).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References



1. F Girirajan S: Missing heritability and where to find it. Genome Biol. 2017; 18(1): 89. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

Koch L: Disease genetics: insights into missing heritability. Nat Rev Genet.

2014; 15(4): 218. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- F Tijms BM, Visser PJ: Chasing the start of sporadic Alzheimer's disease running in families. Brain. 2018; 141(6): 1589–91.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Barykin EP, Mitkevich VA, Kozin SA, et al.: Amyloid β Modification: A Key to the Sporadic Alzheimer's Disease? Front Genet. 2017; 8: 58.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- Arendt T, Stieler J, Ueberham U: Is sporadic Alzheimer's disease a developmental disorder? J Neurochem. 2017; 143(4): 396–408. 5 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Jiang C, Wang X, Li X, et al.: Dynamic Human Environmental Exposome Revealed by Longitudinal Personal Monitoring. Cell. 2018; 175(1): 277–291.e31. 6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Magalhaes S, Goodfellow BJ, Nunes A: Aging and Proteins: What Does Proteostasis Have to Do with Age? *Curr Mol Med.* 2018; 18(3): 178–89. 7 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Klaips CL, Jayaraj GG, Hartl FU: Pathways of cellular proteostasis in aging and 8. disease. J Cell Biol. 2018; 217(1): 51-63. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jensen ON: Interpreting the protein language using proteomics. Nat Rev Mol 9 Cell Biol. 2006; 7(6): 391-403. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- McCormack AL, Schieltz DM, Goode B, et al.: Direct analysis and identification 10. of proteins in mixtures by LC/MS/MS and database searching at the lowfemtomole level. Anal Chem. 1997; 69(4): 767–76. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 11. Yates JR 3rd, Gilchrist A, Howell KE, et al.: Proteomics of organelles and large cellular structures. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 6(9): 702–14. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Pankow S, Bamberger C, Yates JR: A posttranslational modification code for 12. CFTR maturation is altered in cystic fibrosis. Sci Signal. 2019; 12(562): pii: eaan7984.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- F Han S, Udeshi ND, Deerinck TJ, et al.: Proximity Biotinylation as a Method 13 for Mapping Proteins Associated with mtDNA in Living Cells. Cell Chem Biol. 2017; 24(3): 404-14. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Kaur U, Meng H, Lui F, et al.: Proteome-Wide Structural Biology: An Emerging Field for the Structural Analysis of Proteins on the Proteomic Scale. J Proteome Res. 2018; 17(11): 3614–27. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- E Liu F, Zhang Z, Csanády L, *et al.*: Molecular Structure of the Human CFTR Ion Channel. *Cell.* 2017; **169**(1): 85–95.e8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation 15.
- F Snijder J, Schuller JM, Wiegard A, et al.: Structures of the cyanobacterial 16 circadian oscillator frozen in a fully assembled state. Science, 2017: 355(6330): 1181 - 4PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 17 Marshall AG, Hendrickson CL: High-resolution mass spectrometers. Annu Rev Anal Chem (Palo Alto Calif). 2008; 1: 579-99. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Yates JR, Cociorva D, Liao L, et al.: Performance of a linear ion trap-Orbitrap 18. hybrid for peptide analysis. Anal Chem. 2006; 78(2): 493-500. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Makarov A, Denisov E, Lange O, et al.: Dynamic range of mass accuracy in LTQ 19. Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2006; 17(7): 977-82 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 20. Hu Q, Noll RJ, Li H, et al.: The Orbitrap: a new mass spectrometer. J Mass Spectrom. 2005; 40(4): 430-43. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Macek B, Waanders LF, Olsen JV, et al.: Top-down protein sequencing and MS³ 21. on a hybrid linear quadrupole ion trap-orbitrap mass spectrometer. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2006; 5(5): 949-58. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- E Cai W, Tucholski T, Chen B, et al.: Top-Down Proteomics of Large Proteins 22 up to 223 kDa Enabled by Serial Size Exclusion Chromatography Strategy. Anal Chem. 2017; 89(10): 5467-75. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 23. F Rose RJ, Damoc E, Denisov E, et al.: High-sensitivity Orbitrap mass analysis of intact macromolecular assemblies. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(11): 1084-6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fornelli L, Damoc E, Thomas PM, et al.: Analysis of intact monoclonal antibody IgG1 by electron transfer dissociation Orbitrap FTMS. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2012; 11(12): 1758-67. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- E hkirch A, Goyon A, Hernandez-Alba O, et al.: A Novel Online Four-Dimensional SEC×SEC-IM×MS Methodology for Characterization of Monoclonal Antibody Size Variants. Anal Chem. 2018; 90(23): 13929–13937. 25. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Chen B, Lin Z, Alpert AJ, et al.: Online Hydrophobic Interaction 26 Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry for the Analysis of Intact Monoclonal Antibodies. Anal Chem. 2018; 90(12): 7135-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 27. Rosati S, Rose RJ, Thompson NJ, et al.: Exploring an orbitrap analyzer for the

characterization of intact antibodies by native mass spectrometry. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2012; 51(52): 12992-6 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- E Cleland TP, DeHart CJ, Fellers RT, et al.: High-Throughput Analysis of Intact 28 Human Proteins Using UVPD and HCD on an Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer. J Proteome Res. 2017; 16(5): 2072–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Brodbelt JS: Ion Activation Methods for Peptides and Proteins. Anal Chem. 29 2016; 88(1): 30-51.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text O'Brien JP, Li W, Zhang Y, et al.: Characterization of native protein complexes using ultraviolet photodissociation mass spectrometry. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 30 136(37): 12920-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cannon JR, Holden DD, Brodbelt JS: Hybridizing ultraviolet photodissociation 31. with electron transfer dissociation for intact protein characterization. Anal Chem. 2014; 86(21): 10970-7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Senko MW, Remes PM, Canterbury JD, et al.: Novel parallelized quadrupole/ linear ion trap/Orbitrap tribrid mass spectrometer improving proteome coverage and peptide identification rates. Anal Chem. 2013; 85(24): 11710-4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Shvartsburg AA, Smith RD: High-resolution differential ion mobility spectrometry of a protein. Anal Chem. 2013; 85(1): 10–3. 33 led Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shvartsburg AA, Seim TA, Danielson WF, *et al.*: High-definition differential ion mobility spectrometry with resolving power up to 500. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 2013; 24(1): 109–14. 34. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hoaglund CS, Valentine SJ, Sporleder CR, et al.: Three-dimensional ion mobility/ 35. TOFMS analysis of electrosprayed biomolecules. Anal Chem. 1998; 70(11): 2236-42 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Shvartsburg AA, Smith RD: Fundamentals of traveling wave ion mobility 36 spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2008; 80(24): 9689–99. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Silveira JA, Ridgeway ME, Park MA: High resolution trapped ion mobility 37. spectrometery of peptides. Anal Chem. 2014; 86(12): 5624–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Meier F, Beck S, Grassl N, et al.: Parallel Accumulation-Serial Fragmentation 38. (PASEF): Multiplying Sequencing Speed and Sensitivity by Synchronized Scans in a Trapped Ion Mobility Device. J Proteome Res. 2015; 14(12): 5378–87. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Shvartsburg AA, Ibrahim YM, Smith RD: Differential ion mobility separations in 39 up to 100% helium using microchips. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2014; 25(3): 480-9

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- F Ibrahim YM, Hamid AM, Deng L, et al.: New frontiers for mass spectrometry 40. based upon structures for lossless ion manipulations. Analyst. 2017; 142(7): 1010-21 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Deng L, Ibrahim YM, Hamid AM, et al.: Ultra-High Resolution Ion Mobility Separations Utilizing Traveling Waves in a 13 m Serpentine Path Length Structures for Lossless Ion Manipulations Module. Anal Chem. 2016; 88(18): 8957-64.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 42 Zhang X, Garimella SV, Prost SA, et al.: Ion Trapping, Storage, and Ejection in Structures for Lossless Ion Manipulations. Anal Chem. 2015; 87(12): 6010-6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Webb IK, Garimella SV, Tolmachev AV, et al.: Experimental evaluation and 43. optimization of structures for lossless ion manipulations for ion mobility spectrometry with time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2014; 86(18): 9169-76 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Smith LM, Kelleher NL, Consortium for Top Down Proteomics: Proteoform: a 44 single term describing protein complexity. Nat Methods. 2013; 10(3): 186-7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Marcoux J, Robinson CV: Twenty years of gas phase structural biology. 45. Structure. 2013; 21(9): 1541-50. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- E Zhou M, Yan J, Romano CA, et al.: Surface Induced Dissociation Coupled 46 with High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Unveils Heterogeneity of a 211 kDa Multicopper Oxidase Protein Complex. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2018; 29(4): 723-33. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- E Sahasrabuddhe A, Hsia Y, Busch F, et al.: Confirmation of intersubunit 47 connectivity and topology of designed protein complexes by native MS. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018; 115(6): 1268–73. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Harvey SR, Liu Y, Liu W, et al.: Surface induced dissociation as a tool to 48

study membrane protein complexes. Chem Commun (Camb). 2017; 53(21): 3106–9.

- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Wysocki VH, Joyce KE, Jones CM, et al.: Surface-induced dissociation of small molecules, peptides, and non-covalent protein complexes. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2008; 19(2): 190–208.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Skinner OS, Haverland NA, Fornelli L, et al.: Top-down characterization of endogenous protein complexes with native proteomics. Nat Chem Biol. 2018; 14(1): 36–41.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
 - Publied Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Skinner OS, Havugimana PC, Haverland NA, et al.: An informatic framework for decoding protein complexes by top-down mass spectrometry. Nat Methods. 2016; 13(3): 237–40.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Je Wan C, Borgeson B, Phanse S, et al.: Panorama of ancient metazoan macromolecular complexes. Nature. 2015; 525(7569): 339–44.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
 F Havugimana PC, Hart GT, Nepusz T, et al.: A census of human soluble protein complexes. Cell. 2012; 150(5): 1068–81.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 54. F Larance M, Kirkwood KJ, Tinti M, et al.: Global Membrane Protein Interactome Analysis using *In vivo* Crosslinking and Mass Spectrometrybased Protein Correlation Profiling. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2016; 15(7): 2476–90. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Find Huttlin EL, Ting L, Bruckner RJ, et al.: The BioPlex Network: A Systematic Exploration of the Human Interactome. Cell. 2015; 162(2): 425–40.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Huttlin EL, Bruckner RJ, Paulo JA, et al.: Architecture of the human interactome defines protein communities and disease networks. *Nature*. 2017; 545(7655): 505–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Kim DI, Roux KJ: Filling the Void: Proximity-Based Labeling of Proteins in Living Cells. Trends Cell Biol. 2016; 26(11): 804–17.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 58. Roux KJ, Kim DI, Burke B: BioID: a screen for protein-protein interactions. Curr

Protoc Protein Sci. 2013; 74(1): Unit 19.23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Conkar D, Culfa E, Odabasi E, et al.: The centriolar satellite protein CCDC66 interacts with CEP290 and functions in cilium formation and trafficking. J Cell Sci. 2017; 130(8): 1450–62.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 60. Firat-Karalar EN, Rauniyar N, Yates JR 3rd, *et al.*: Proximity interactions among centrosome components identify regulators of centriole duplication. *Curr Biol.* 2014; 24(6): 664–70. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Branon TC, Bosch JA, Sanchez AD, et al.: Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and organisms with TurbolD. Nat Biotechnol. 2018; 36(9): 880–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Myers SA, Wright J, Peckner R, et al.: Discovery of proteins associated with a predefined genomic locus via dCas9-APEX-mediated proximity labeling. Nat Methods. 2018; 15(6): 437-9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Bertozzi CR: A decade of bioorthogonal chemistry. Acc Chem Res. 2011; 44(9): 651–3.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 64. F Dieterich DC, Link AJ, Graumann J, et al.: Selective identification of newly synthesized proteins in mammalian cells using bioorthogonal noncanonical amino acid tagging (BONCAT). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103(25): 9482–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Eichelbaum K, Winter M, Berriel Diaz M, et al.: Selective enrichment of newly synthesized proteins for quantitative secretome analysis. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30(10): 984–90.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- McClatchy DB, Ma Y, Liu C, et al.: Pulsed Azidohomoalanine Labeling in Mammals (PALM) Detects Changes in Liver-Specific LKB1 Knockout Mice. J Proteome Res. 2015; 14(11): 4815–22.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ma Y, McClatchy DB, Barkallah S, et al.: HILAQ: A Novel Strategy for Newly Synthesized Protein Quantification. J Proteome Res. 2017; 16(6): 2213–20.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F McShane E, Sin C, Zauber H, et al.: Kinetic Analysis of Protein Stability Reveals Age-Dependent Degradation. Cell. 2016; 167(3): 803–815.e21.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of Faculty Opinions. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. Ying Ge

Department of Cell and Regenerative Biology, Department of Chemistry and Human Proteomics Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

2. Albert J R. Heck

Biomolecular Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Bijvoet Centre for Biomolecular Research and Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Utrecht, Netherlands Proteomics Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research