

Reduction of Homogeneous Riemannian structures

M. Castrillón López¹ Ignacio Luján²

¹ICMAT (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM)
Universidad Complutense de Madrid

²Universidad Complutense de Madrid

September 23, 2011

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Homogeneous Riemannian structures

- A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *homogeneous* if there is a Lie group G of isometries acting transitively on it.

Theorem (Ambrose-Singer)

A connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is homogeneous if and only if it admits a $(1, 2)$ -tensor field S such that, if $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$ where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection, then

$$\tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}R = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}S = 0. \quad (1)$$

- A tensor field S satisfying (1) is called a *homogeneous Riemannian structure*.

Homogeneous Riemannian structures

Homogeneous Riemannian structures are classified in eight invariant classes:

- The class of symmetric spaces ($S = 0$).
- Three primitive classes

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} / S_{XYZ} = g(X, Y)\varphi(Z) - g(X, Z)\varphi(Y), \varphi \in \Gamma(T^*M)\}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} / \underset{XYZ}{\mathfrak{S}} S_{XYZ} = 0, \quad c_{12}(S) = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} / S_{XYZ} + S_{YXZ} = 0\}.$$

- Their direct sums $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$, $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$, $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$.
- And the generic class $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$.

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

The mechanical connection

- Let (P, \bar{g}) be a Riemannian manifold and $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be an H -principal bundle with H acting on P by isometries. Let $V_{\bar{x}}P$ denote the vertical subspace at a point $\bar{x} \in P$.
- The **mechanical connection** is defined by the (H -invariant) horizontal distribution

$$H_{\bar{x}}P = (V_{\bar{x}}P)^{\perp}, \quad \bar{x} \in P$$

- In this situation there is a unique Riemannian metric g in M such that $\pi_* : H_{\bar{x}}P \rightarrow T_{\pi(\bar{x})}M$ is an isometry, $\forall \bar{x} \in P$ (we called **g the reduced metric**).

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (P, \bar{g}) & & \bar{S} \\ & \downarrow H & | \\ (M, g) & & \downarrow \\ & & ? \end{array}$$

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries

- Let (P, \bar{g}) be a connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold and \bar{G} a Lie group of isometries acting transitively on it. Suppose \bar{G} has a normal subgroup H acting freely on P .
- We consider the quotient manifold $M = P/H$, the (left) H -principal bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ endowed with the mechanical connection, and the reduced metric g in M .
- In this situation the quotient group $G = \bar{G}/H$ acts on (M, g) by

$$\pi \circ \Phi_{\bar{a}} = \Phi_a \circ \pi$$

where $\bar{a} \in \bar{G}$, $a = [\bar{a}] \in G$, and Φ denotes the corresponding maps for the actions. This action is transitive and by isometries.

Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries

This means that (M, g) is homogeneous Riemannian with G a Lie group of isometries acting transitively.

Question

How homogeneous Riemannian structure tensors associated to the action of G on M are related to that of the action of \bar{G} on \bar{M} ?

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{G} & \circlearrowleft & P & \rightsquigarrow & \bar{S} \\ \downarrow H & & \downarrow H & & \downarrow ? \\ G = \bar{G}/H & \circlearrowleft & M = P/H & \rightsquigarrow & S \end{array}$$

Proposition

In the previous situation, every homogeneous Riemannian structure \bar{S} associated to the action of \bar{G} induces a homogeneous Riemannian structure S in M associated to the action of G given by

$$S_X Y = \pi_* \left(\bar{S}_{X^H} Y^H \right) \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where X^H denotes the horizontal lift with respect to the mechanical connection.

Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries

Proof.

- Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ be a reductive decomposition of $\bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ at $\bar{x} \in P$ corresponding to \bar{S} . From the isomorphism $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow T_{\bar{x}}P$ (given by the infinitesimal action) the mechanical connection induces an $\text{Ad}(\bar{K})$ -invariant decomposition

$$\bar{\mathfrak{m}} = \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^h \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^v.$$

- Let $\tau : \bar{G} \rightarrow G$ be the quotient homomorphism. One proves that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \tau_*(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^h) \oplus \mathfrak{k}$$

is a reductive decomposition of \mathfrak{g} at $\pi(\bar{x}) \in M$.

- One proves that the *reduced homogeneous Riemannian structure tensor* S corresponding to this decomposition is

$$S_X Y = \pi_* \left(\bar{S}_{X^H} Y^H \right) \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$



Proposition

The set of homogeneous Riemannian structures *associated to the action of \bar{G}* in P reducing to a given homogeneous Riemannian structure S associated to the action of G on M , is in one to one correspondence with the space of $\text{Ad}(\bar{K})$ -equivariant maps

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where \bar{K} is the isotropy group and $\bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ is its Lie algebra.

(One can obtain the explicit expression for those tensor fields)

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- **Reduction in a principal bundle**
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Reduction in a principal bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (P, \bar{g}) & \rightsquigarrow & \bar{S} \\ H \downarrow & & \downarrow ? \\ (M, g) & \rightsquigarrow & S \end{array}$$

- We ask under which conditions the tensor field

$$S_X Y = \pi_* \left(\bar{S}_{X^H} Y^H \right) \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

defines a homogeneous Riemannian structure in (M, g) .

Remark

- *In the previous section, $H \triangleleft \bar{G} \Rightarrow$ the mechanical connection is \bar{G} -invariant \Rightarrow the connection form ω is $\text{Ad}(\bar{G})$ -equivariant.*
- *Infinitesimally this becomes*

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\omega)(\bar{Y}) = \text{ad}(\mu^{-1}(\bar{X}))(\omega(\bar{Y})) \quad \bar{X}, \bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} = \bar{\nabla} - \bar{S}$, and $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{g} .

- *So the covariant derivative of the connection form ω with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ is “proportional” to itself by a suitable linear operator.*

Remark

- *In the previous section, $H \triangleleft \bar{G} \Rightarrow$ the mechanical connection is \bar{G} -invariant \Rightarrow the connection form ω is $\text{Ad}(\bar{G})$ -equivariant.*
- *Infinitesimally this becomes*

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\omega)(\bar{Y}) = \text{ad}(\mu^{-1}(\bar{X}))(\omega(\bar{Y})) \quad \bar{X}, \bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} = \bar{\nabla} - \bar{S}$, and $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{g} .

- *So the covariant derivative of the connection form ω with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ is “proportional” to itself by a suitable linear operator.*

Remark

- *In the previous section, $H \triangleleft \bar{G} \Rightarrow$ the mechanical connection is \bar{G} -invariant \Rightarrow the connection form ω is $\text{Ad}(\bar{G})$ -equivariant.*
- *Infinitesimally this becomes*

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\omega)(\bar{Y}) = \text{ad}(\mu^{-1}(\bar{X}))(\omega(\bar{Y})) \quad \bar{X}, \bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} = \bar{\nabla} - \bar{S}$, and $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{g} .

- *So the covariant derivative of the connection form ω with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ is “proportional” to itself by a suitable linear operator.*

Theorem

Let (P, \bar{g}) be a Riemannian manifold. Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be a principal bundle with structure group H acting by isometries, and endowed with mechanical connection ω . For every H -invariant homogeneous Riemannian structure \bar{S} in P with $\tilde{\nabla} = \bar{\nabla} - \bar{S}$, if

$$\tilde{\nabla}\omega = \alpha \cdot \omega$$

for a certain 1-form α in P taking values in $\text{End}(\mathfrak{h})$. Then the tensor field defined by

$$S_X Y = \pi_*(\bar{S}_{X^H} Y^H) \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

is a homogeneous Riemannian structure in (M, g) .

Proof.

- The tensor field S is well-defined by H -invariance of \bar{S} .
- For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\tilde{\nabla}_{X^H} Y^H) &= X^H(\omega(Y^H)) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{X^H} \omega)(Y^H) \\ &= -\alpha(X^H)(\omega(Y^H)) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

thus $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^H = \tilde{\nabla}_{X^H} Y^H$ (where $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$).

- With this one proves that S satisfies Ambrose-Singer equations: equations for g and S are easy, but equation for the curvature R is much more delicate (the curvature form of the mechanical connection appears).



1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- **Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples**

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Proposition

- *The classes \mathcal{S}_1 , \mathcal{S}_3 , $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ are invariant under the reduction procedure.*
- *For the classes \mathcal{S}_2 and $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ (for which the trace c_{12} of the homogeneous structure must vanish) one has*

$$c_{12}(\mathcal{S})(X) = c_{12}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})(X^H) - \bar{g}(H, X^H) \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where H is the mean curvature of the fibre (as a sub-Riemannian manifold of (P, \bar{g})) at each point.

- *In particular the classes \mathcal{S}_2 and $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ are invariant under reduction if and only if the fibres are minimal sub-Riemannian manifolds of (P, \bar{g}) .*

Proposition

- *The classes \mathcal{S}_1 , \mathcal{S}_3 , $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ are invariant under the reduction procedure.*
- *For the classes \mathcal{S}_2 and $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ (for which the trace c_{12} of the homogeneous structure must vanish) one has*

$$c_{12}(\mathcal{S})(X) = c_{12}(\bar{\mathcal{S}})(X^H) - \bar{g}(H, X^H) \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where H is the mean curvature of the fibre (as a sub-Riemannian manifold of (P, \bar{g})) at each point.

- *In particular the classes \mathcal{S}_2 and $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ are invariant under reduction if and only if the fibres are minimal sub-Riemannian manifolds of (P, \bar{g}) .*

Examples

- In the fibration $\mathbb{R}H(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}H(n-1)$ ($\bar{G} = \mathbb{R}H(n)$, $H = \mathbb{R}$), the standard \mathcal{S}_1 structure reduces to the standard \mathcal{S}_1 structure. For $\bar{G} = SO(n-2)\mathbb{R}H(n)$, $H = \mathbb{R}$, a family of structures in the generic class $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ reduces to a family of structures in the generic class, and for one value of the family parameter to the standard \mathcal{S}_1 structure.
- In the Hopf fibrations $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ ($\bar{G} = U(2)$, $H = U(1)$) and $S^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P(3)$ ($\bar{G} = U(4)$, $H = U(1)$) (fibres are totally geodesic), a family of $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ structures reduces to the symmetric case $S = 0$.
- In the Hopf fibration $S^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P(3)$ ($\bar{G} = Sp(2)U(1)$, $H = U(1)$), a 2-parameter family of $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ structures reduce to a 1-parameter family of $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ structures.

1 Preliminaries

- Homogeneous Riemannian structures
- The mechanical connection

2 Reduction

- Reduction by a normal subgroup of isometries
- Reduction in a principal bundle
- Reduction of homogeneous classes and examples

3 An application: Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Theorem (Kiričenko)

Let (M, g) be a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold, and T_1, \dots, T_n tensor fields in M . Then (M, g) is Riemannian homogeneous with T_1, \dots, T_n invariant if and only if it admits a homogeneous Riemannian structure S such that $\tilde{\nabla} T_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.

- A homogeneous Riemannian structure S in an almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, η, ξ, g) is called a **homogeneous Riemannian almost contact metric structure** if $\tilde{\nabla} \phi = 0$. If (M, ϕ, η, ξ, g) is moreover (almost) Sasakian then S is called **(almost) Sasakian**.
- A homogeneous Riemannian structure S in an almost Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is called a **homogeneous Riemannian almost Hermitian structure** if $\tilde{\nabla} J = 0$. If (M, J, g) is moreover (almost) Kähler then S is called **(almost) Kähler**.

Fiberings of almost contact manifolds

- **Theorem** (Ogiue): Let (P, ϕ, ξ, η) be an invariant strictly regular almost contact manifold and M the space of orbits given by ξ . Then $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ is a principal bundle, η is a connection form, and $J(X) = \pi_*(\phi(X^H))$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is an almost complex structure in M .
- When the almost contact structure $(P, \phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is metric the connection η is the mechanical connection.
- If moreover $(P, \phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is (almost) Sasakian then (M, J, g) is (almost) Kähler.
- If \bar{S} is an homogeneous almost contact metric structure then $(\tilde{\nabla}\phi = 0 \Rightarrow) \tilde{\nabla}\eta = 0$, so we are in the situation of the Reduction Theorem above with $\alpha = 0$, $\omega = \eta$.

Homogeneous Almost contact-Hermitian and Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Proposition

If \bar{S} is a homogeneous almost contact metric structure on $(P, \phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$, then it can be reduced to a homogeneous almost Hermitian structure S on (M, J, g) . If moreover $(P, \phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is (almost) Sasakian then S is a homogeneous (almost) Kähler structure.

Homogeneous Almost contact-Hermitian and Sasakian-Kähler reduction

Examples:

- In the Hopf fibrations $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ and $S^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P(3)$ a family of homogeneous Sasakian structures reduces to the unique homogeneous Kähler structures $S = 0$ in S^2 and $\mathbb{C}P(3)$ respectively.
- A homogeneous Sasakian structure in the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}H(n) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}H(n)$ reduce to a nontrivial homogeneous Kähler structure.

- Reduction the other way around

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (P, \bar{g}) & \rightsquigarrow & ? \\ H \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ (M, g) & \rightsquigarrow & S \end{array}$$

- Geometric study of the condition $\tilde{\nabla}\omega = \alpha \cdot \omega$ (which leads to an “equivariant” version of Kiričenko’s Theorem).
- Application to symplectic/Kähler reduction (resp. hyper Kähler reduction).