



Debono Spiteri, C., Gillis, R., Roffet-Salque, M., Castells Navarro, L., Guilaine, J., Manen, C., Muntoni, I. M., Saña Segui, M., Urem-Kotsou, D., Whelton, H., Craig, O. E., Vigne, J-D., & Evershed, R. (2016). Regional asynchronicity in dairy production and processing in early farming communities of the northern Mediterranean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(48), 13594-13599. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1607810113>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1073/pnas.1607810113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1607810113)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via PNAS at <http://www.pnas.org/content/113/48/13594> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Regional asynchronicity in dairy production and processing in early farming communities of the northern Mediterranean

Debono Spiteri, C.^{a,b,c,1} Gillis, R.E.^{d,1,2}, Roffet-Salque, M.^{e,1,2}, Castells Navarro, L.^{a,†}, Guilaine, J.^f, Manen, C.^g, Muntoni, I.M.^h, Saña Segui, M.ⁱ, Urem-Kotsou, D.^j, Whelton, H.L.^e, Craig, O.E.^a, Vigne, J.-D.^d, Evershed, R.P.^e

^a*Department of Archaeology, BioArCh, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK.*

^b*Plant Foods in Hominin Dietary Ecology Research Group, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany.*

^c*Institut für Ur- und Frühgeschichte und Archäologie des Mittelalters, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Schloss Hohentübingen, 72070 Tübingen, Germany.*

^d*Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 7209, Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique : Sociétés, Pratiques et Environnements, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Sorbonne Universités, 75005 Paris, France.*

^e*Organic Geochemistry Unit, School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol BS8 1TS, UK.*

^f*Collège de France, 11, place Marcelin-Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France.*

^g*Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 5608, Travaux et Recherches Archéologiques sur les Cultures, les Espaces et les Sociétés (TRACES), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Toulouse – Jean Jaurès, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, UT2J, 31059 Toulouse, France.*

^h*Soprintendenza Archeologia della Puglia, Centro Operativo per l'Archeologia della Daunia, Foggia, Italy.*

ⁱ*Departament de Prehistòria Edifici B, Facultat de Filosofia i Lletres, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.*

^j*Department of History and Ethnology, Democritus University of Thrace, Komotini 694100, Greece.*

[†]*Present address: School of Archaeological Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, UK.*

¹C.D.S., R.E.G. and M.R.-S. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: melanie.salque@bristol.ac.uk (lipids) and gillis@mnhn.fr (archaeozoology).

Keywords: archaeology, Neolithic, lipid residue analyses, archaeozoology, milk.

Significance Statement

This unique research combines the analyses of lipid residues in pottery vessels with slaughter profiles for domesticated ruminants to provide compelling evidence for diverse subsistence strategies in the northern Mediterranean basin during the Neolithic. Our findings show that the exploitation and processing of milk varied across the region, although most communities began to exploit milk as soon as domesticates were introduced between 9-7,000 years ago. This discovery is especially noteworthy as the shift in human subsistence towards milk

production reshaped prehistoric European culture, biology and economy, in ways that are still visible today.

Abstract

In the absence of any direct evidence, the relative importance of meat and dairy productions to Neolithic prehistoric Mediterranean communities has been extensively debated. Here, we combine lipid residue analysis of ceramic vessels with osteo-archaeological age at death analysis from 82 northern Mediterranean and Near Eastern sites dating from the 7th-5th millennia BC to address this question. The findings show variable intensities in dairy and non-dairy activities in the Mediterranean region with the slaughter profiles of domesticated ruminants mirroring the results of the organic residue analyses. The finding of milk residues in very early Neolithic pottery (7th millennium) from both the east and west of the region contrasts with much lower intensities in sites of northern Greece where pig bones are present in higher frequencies compared to other regions. In this region, the slaughter profiles of all domesticated ruminants suggest meat production predominated. Overall, it appears that milk or by-products of milk was an important foodstuff, which may have contributed significantly to the spread of these cultural groups by providing a nourishing and sustainable product for early farming communities.

/body

In southwestern Asia, domestication of sheep, goats and cattle started between 8,500 and 8,000 cal. BC, with morphological traits of domestication being detected in some archaeozoological records from 8,500 cal. BC (1, 2). However, as domesticates started to provide the majority of the meat at Pre-Pottery Neolithic (PPN) sites only one millennium later (3, 4), it has been argued that milk might have been one of the initial attractions of domesticating ruminants (4). The development of archaeozoological methods for reconstructing herd structures allows herd management practices to be inferred from the archaeological faunal record (4-6). Indeed, different types of management strategies of domestic ungulate herds produce different patterns for the slaughtering age of animals (5) assessed through the estimation of dental age at death. Subsistence strategies can thus be understood, providing evidence for the production of meat and milk from ungulates. In parallel to archaeozoology, the characterisation of animal lipids extracted from pottery vessels used in cooking has been demonstrated to be a powerful method for detecting the processing of carcass and dairy products (7, 8). Archaeozoological studies have demonstrated that milk production in the Near East started early in the domestication process in “stock-herding hunter-cultivator” communities (PPN; ref. 3, 4), while dairy residues have been detected in early ceramic containers dating to the 7th millennium (9). Current evidence suggest dairying practices developed largely in lactase non-persistent communities, providing the base for the selection of the European Lactase Persistence-associated (LP; 13,910*T) allele (10); with the allele first appearing in human ancient DNA (aDNA) during the Late Neolithic (11). The spread of farming practices westwards along the northern Mediterranean sea-board is believed to have taken place by ‘punctuated maritime pioneer colonisation’, with subsequent adoption of agrarian practices by indigenous populations (12-14). As the material cultures (12) and the system of management of the animal resources (19) are very diverse within the Early Neolithic communities of the North Mediterranean area, we hypothesise that dairying and dairying practices might have varied much from one region to another.

Here we synthesise new and published evidence to produce a broad regional and chronological perspective on domestic animal exploitation during the 7th to 5th millennium BC across the Northern Mediterranean and Anatolia (Fig. 1). We specifically examine whether dairying arose in response to particular environmental characteristics or whether it was driven by cultural traditions introduced in the Neolithic. The results of new lipid residue analyses carried out on 567 sherds from this study are combined with previously published results from

the eastern Mediterranean basin (9, 20-22, 23; Table SI1). Lipids were analysed using chromatographic, spectrometric and isotopic methods to characterise their source and identify dairy and carcass residues. Osteo-archaeological age at death (AtD) data for cattle and caprines were collected and mortality profiles were assessed using correspondence analyses (CA) bi-plots, in order to assess slaughter practices (Table SI2). These complementary data sets are combined to provide a comprehensive regional perspective of prehistoric animal exploitation.

Results

Overview of biomarker and isotopic analyses

Interpretable residues (>5 µg of lipids per g of sherd) were extracted from only 27% ($n = 153$) of the 567 vessels analysed (Table S1); a frequency of preservation consistent with previous studies of eastern Mediterranean prehistoric pottery (9). In most cases, molecular compositions of total lipid extracts were consistent with degraded animal fats, with C_{16:0} and C_{18:0} fatty acids generally predominating the lipid assemblage, while the presence of branched chain fatty acids (C_{15:0} and C_{17:0}) supported a ruminant origin (24). Ninety-eight potsherds produced sufficient concentrations of *n*-alkanoic acids (C_{16:0} and C_{18:0}) for determination of their $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values by GC-C-IRMS (Table S3). The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of the C_{16:0} and C_{18:0} fatty acids reflect their biosynthetic and dietary origin, allowing non-ruminant and ruminant adipose and ruminant dairy fats to be distinguished (25, 26, 27; Fig. 2).

The Levant and Anatolia, a review of published organic residue analyses and AtD studies

Dairy ruminants were originally domesticated (1, 28) in this region where European Neolithic cultures originated (16). Caprines dominated Pre-Pottery Neolithic B (PPNB) and PN (Pottery Neolithic) assemblages (29); previous analysis has indicated that caprine dairy management was practised during the PPNB whereas during the Pottery Neolithic (PN) periods, there was a development towards mixed subsistence practices (3). Indeed, PN Near Eastern sites display herd structures dominated by adult animals of prime meat age (Fig. 3c). In the absence of ceramics, there is of course no lipid data for the PPN, but lipids were only detected in around 10% of the PN sherds from sites investigated (second half of the 7th to 6th millennium BC: Tell Sabi Abyad (23), Sha'ar Hogolan: (9); al-Basatîn: (21)). For example, at Tell Sabi Abyad around 11% of the sherds contained animal fats of which 13% were dairy in origin (Fig. 2a-b). Ruminants were managed for numerous products, and the use of non-ceramic containers for milk processing (22) could explain the apparent low frequency of dairy residues in ceramic

pots from the region.

In central and western Anatolia, caprines dominate faunal assemblages (30) and the identification of dairy husbandry from AtD is hampered by the lack of published information for both caprines (3 sites) and cattle (1 site). However, previous faunal assessments and our CA suggest that caprines were managed for dairy (Fig. 3c-d; Erbaba Höyük: (31); Ulucak Höyük: (32)). Milk use was not particularly evident at Çatalhöyük, where only 8% of the animal fats detected were of dairy origin ((9); Fig. 1, 2a-b). The analysis of post-cranial AtD from the site suggests that cattle were slaughtered after 24 months (30), and if cattle were managed for milk, it would have been shared between the herders and the growing calf (33). In contrast, extensive sampling of potsherds ($n = 537$; 6 sites) around the Sea of Marmara revealed that milk was used extensively in the area from the second half of the 7th millennium BC (9), as more than 70% of the animal fats extracted were identified as dairy fats (Fig. 2c). This coincides with an increase in cattle herds in the region (9), although there is growing evidence of the important role of caprines as milk producers (32). Since cattle dental remains are highly fragmented it is difficult to assess whether they were the main dairy producers in this region (34).

Northern Greece and Aegean seaboard

Neolithisation of Greece is thought to have happened (i) by land from NE Anatolia to Thrace and the Balkans and/or (ii) by sea from the Aegean Anatolian coast or the Levantine coast (35-38). Lipid residues characterised from 421 potsherds (116 sherds from this study; 305 sherds from ref. 9) from 6 Middle and Late Neolithic northern Greek sites dating to the 6th-5th Millennium BC showed that less than 10% of the sherds with animal fats contained dairy fats (Fig. 2d). However, the potential processing of pig products, suggested by the presence of extensive pig remains at the sites, could have prevented identification of milk residues in pots, since mixtures of porcine and dairy fats have similar $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$ values as ruminant adipose fats. Nevertheless, the low incidence of dairy fats in pottery is echoed by the results from the faunal analysis, as both the caprine and cattle CA (Fig. 3) show that meat was the main focus. The primary meat exploitation is consistent with previous faunal research, which has demonstrated its important role in the Early Neolithic societies (38-40). Neolithic settlements on the smaller Aegean islands were not established until the end of the Greek Middle Neolithic (~5300 BC), probably due to the need for communities to adapt to the inhospitable nature of the islands, i.e. in terms of poor water supply and lack of forest cover (41). These

communities relied more on caprines compared with mainland sites, due to the adaptability of caprines to marginal landscapes (41, 42). The Aegean island sites (Kalythine cave, Rhodes; Ftelia, Mykonos) are characterised by an abundance of caprines of young age classes associated with dairy husbandry (Fig. 3c), which would have provided Neolithic communities with an important protein source in a marginal environment. To our knowledge, the archaeozoological evidence is the sole proxy currently available for milk exploitation in this region.

Adriatic / Central Mediterranean regions (Slovenia, Malta, Croatia and Italy)

The first *Impressa* Ware culture was identified in the Adriatic region around 6,000 cal. BC, introduced together with domesticates by pioneer sea-faring farming communities (17, 43). Archaeozoological analyses suggest that both caprines and cattle were managed for milk, with specialised intensive husbandries for the former (4, 19, 44, 45). Ages at death for caprines from *Impressa* sites group around the post-lactation, prime meat and adult classes suggesting mixed husbandries, possibly including milk production (Fig. 3c-d). Cattle were intensively slaughtered during infancy and post-lactation, probably associated with dairying (Fig. 3a-b; 45). Analyses carried out on 189 *Impressa*/EN sherds collected from 14 early farming sites from the region (including 36 sherds from ref. 20), identified dairy residues in almost half of the sherds containing animal fats, indicating a high prevalence for the use of dairy products (Fig. 2e). Both lipid residues and archaeozoological information thus provide complementary evidence for milk exploitation in this region during the 7th to 5th millennium BC.

Southern France and the Iberian Peninsula

The first Neolithic settlements in southern France appear during the first half of the 6th millennium BC and are associated with the Italian *Impressa* culture, with the distinctive Franco-Iberian Cardial tradition developing in the second half of the 6th millennium BC (46). Cave and open-air sites appear to play contemporary roles in husbandry strategies, with caprines dominating archaeozoological assemblages (19, 47). Ages at death of caprines for open-air sites are centred close to prime meat production age classes (1-4 years; Fig. 3c-d) whereas cave sites are closely associated with young age classes related to dairy production. For the cattle CA, sites cluster between infant, post-lactation, and prime meat age classes, with a trend towards dairy husbandry in open-air sites (Fig. 3a-b). A third of the sherds analysed from rock-shelters and caves in southern France and the Iberian Peninsula (Grotte Gazel, Font Juvénel and Can Sadurní) contained animal fat residues of which 60% were dairy

in origin (Fig. 2f), correlating with the findings of the archaeozoological study. To date, no sherds from open-air sites from this region have yielded lipid residues. Rock-shelters and caves provide natural stalls that would have been ideal as birthing stations and dairies, and would have offered shelter for herds using areas for alternative grazing pastures, integral to the stock herding seasonal cycle (48). However, open-air sites would have been permanently occupied, and perhaps lactating females would have been kept at those sites year-round.

Statistical analysis of the data set

Statistical analyses were carried out to assess the correlation between the presence/absence of evidence for dairying (based on faunal mortality evidence and/or presence of dairy lipids), and Köppen-Geiger climate type (49), altitude, site location (coastal/inland) and ceramic cultural affiliations. The dataset contains 82 sites dating from the 8th-5th millennium BC; evidence for dairy is based on the ORA and AtD data (Fig. 1, Table S4). The variables that were statistically significant using ANOVA were *region* (ANOVA, DF=6, F=6.69, $p<0.001$), *site type* (ANOVA, DF=3, F=5.09, $p<0.001$) and *cultural affiliation* (ANOVA, DF=5, F=5.64, $p<0.001$; Table S5). Further tests demonstrated that there was a significant presence of dairy activities in the regions of the PPNB, PN of the Marmara region and *Impressa/Cardial* ware cultures compared to Northern Greece (Fig. 1, 4).

The Köppen-Geiger codes used to define the climate regions were not found to be very significant (ANOVA, DF=6, F=2.1, $p=0.05$), nor were groupings based on overall climate type, precipitation and temperature. Previous research has also shown this lack of correlation between prehistoric faunal evidence and modern climatic data (50). Around 6,200 BC, the Mediterranean basin witnessed serious climatic fluctuations and therefore modern proxies may not adequately define prehistoric climates (51). The correlation between caprine dairying and cave sites obtained for the *Impressa/Cardial* ware communities results from a partial seasonal mobility from plain to the cave hill sites, as an adaptation to the rugged terrain of France and the Iberian Peninsula. In contrast, well-watered open landscapes such as southern Italy and northwestern Spain appear more suitable for specialised cattle dairy husbandry (45). Consequently, the influence of the external environment cannot be dismissed; however, better climate proxies are needed to test this.

Discussion

The early PPN communities of the Levant and Anatolia managed caprines for dairy products (3, 4) and ceramic vessels were used to process milk from the very beginning of pottery

production, as it is evident in the Sea of Marmara region (9). However, in Europe milk exploitation varied from East to West along the northern Mediterranean seaboard, as seen in the quasi-absence of dairy residues in ceramic vessels from northern Greece, in contrast to the strong evidence for dairying in the northwestern Mediterranean. The former cannot be solely explained by the potential use of perishable containers for milk processing or mixing with porcine fats, because age at death profiles have shown that husbandry was focused on meat production in these communities. Moving westwards, osteo-archaeological age at death profiles and lipid residue findings strongly demonstrated that early Neolithic communities were both actively managing animals for milk and processing milk in ceramic vessels (Fig. 1). Combined evidence from faunal and lipid residue analyses therefore unequivocally show that the production and use of dairy products was widespread across the breadth of the northern Mediterranean except in mainland Greece, from the onset of agriculture. Milk and dairy products might have been an important staple in early farming communities, and one of the key drivers in the spread and maybe in the adoption of animal domestication (2).

It has been proposed that environmental factors play an important role in the observed differences in Early Neolithic faunal abundances, more so than the cultural context (50). Indeed the choice of dairy animals would have been heavily influenced by the external environment as it is crucial to the growth and stability of dairy herds. However, from our analysis, we also suggest that the cultural context could possibly also have influenced whether or not dairying was practised, as seen in the difference between northern Greek communities and the wider Mediterranean seaboard. This should be tested further using well-defined geographical and ecological models that reflect prehistoric environments. These data need also to be incorporated into milk production models to generate new approaches to examining the evolution of domestic animal herds across different regions and within cultural groups. The observed differences in the frequency of dairy versus non-dairy exploitation between contemporary groups in Europe during the 7th-5th millennium BC is intriguing and may be the result from different cultural traditions, environments or dairying abilities of the ruminant lineages.

Materials and Methods

Organic residue analysis. For this study, a total of 567 potsherds were sampled from 21 Neolithic and Chalcolithic sites across the Mediterranean area (Fig. 1; Table S1). Lipid analysis and interpretations were performed using established protocols described in detail in earlier publications (52, 53). Briefly, ~2 g of potsherd were sampled following cleaning of the vessel surfaces with a modelling drill to remove any exogenous lipids. Powdered sherds were solvent extracted by ultrasonication. Aliquots of the total lipid extract (TLE) were trimethylsilylated using *N,O*-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) and submitted for analysis by gas chromatography (GC) and GC-mass spectrometry. Further aliquots of the TLE were hydrolysed and methylated to obtain fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES). FAMES were then analysed by GC and GC-combustion-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS). Instrument precision was $\pm 0.3\%$.

Age at death data collection and processing. Osteo-archaeological age at death (AtD) data were collected from ruminant mandibles and isolated teeth from well-dated sites, where sampling strategies focused on defined contexts. Correspondence analysis (CA) bi-plots were used to elucidate trends in the data and generate hypotheses concerning slaughter practices (3). This was performed on cattle and caprine AtD frequencies collected from published reports comprising 50 sites from the study regions dating between 7th-5th millennium BC (Fig. 3; Table S2). The open access CA program as described in Nenadić and Greenacre (54) for R program (V2.15.2) was used to process the AtD and plots row and column points representing individual site AtD frequencies and age classes, respectively, as two data clouds on the same bi-plot. The position of the individual sites relative to the age classes indicates the dominant slaughter strategy, allowing the overall husbandry strategies practiced to be proposed.

Statistical analysis. A suite of statistical analyses (ANOVA; Chi-squared; Kruskal-Wallis) were carried out on a data set comprising the presence/absence of evidence for dairying, which includes Köppen-Geiger climate type (49), site type, altitude, region and cultural affiliation (Table S3). These were carried out using the R program (V2.15.2).

References

1. Peters J, von den Driesch A, & Helmer D (2005) The upper Euphrates-Tigris basin: Cradle of agropastoralism? *Proceedings of the 9th Conference of the International Council of Archaeozoology 2002, Durham, First Steps of Animal Domestication, New archaeozoological approaches*, eds Vigne JD, Peters J, & Helmer D (Oxbow Books, Oxford), pp 96-124.
2. Vigne J-D (2011) The origins of animal domestication and husbandry: a major change in the history of humanity and the biosphere. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 334(3):171-181.
3. Helmer D, Gourichon L, & Vila E (2007) The development of the exploitation of products from *Capra* and *Ovis* (meat, milk and fleece) from the PPNB to the Early Bronze in the northern Near East (8700 to 2000 BC cal.). *Anthropozoologica* 42(2):41-69.
4. Vigne J-D & Helmer D (2007) Was milk a “secondary product” in the Old World Neolithisation process? Its role in the domestication of cattle, sheep and goats. *Anthropozoologica* 42(2):9-40.
5. Payne S (1973) Kill-off patterns in sheep and goats: the mandibles from Aşvan Kale. *Anatolian Studies* 23:281-303.
6. Vigne J-D, Helmer D, & Peters J (2002) New archaeozoological approaches to trace the first steps of animal domestication: general presentation, reflections and proposals *Proceedings of the 9th Conference of the International Council of Archaeozoology, First Steps of Animal Domestication, New archaeozoological approaches*, (J.-D. Vigne, J. Peters and D. Helmer), pp 1-16.
7. Dudd SN & Evershed RP (1998) Direct demonstration of milk as an element of archaeological economies. *Science* 282:1478-1481.
8. Roffet-Salque M, *et al.* (in press) From the inside out: upscaling organic residue analyses of archaeological ceramics. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*.
9. Evershed RP, *et al.* (2008) Earliest date for milk use in the Near East and southeastern Europe linked to cattle herding. *Nature* 455:528-531.
10. Itan Y, Powell A, Beaumont MA, Burger J, & Thomas MG (2009) The origins of lactase persistence in Europe. *PLoS Computational Biology* 5(8):e1000491.
11. Plantinga TS, *et al.* (2012) Low prevalence of lactase persistence in Neolithic South-West Europe. *European Journal of Human Genetics* 20(7):778-782.
12. Guilaine J (2000) De l'Orient à l'occident: La néolithisation de la méditerranée. Questions ouvertes. *La Neolitizzazione tra Oriente e Occidente Convegno di Studi* 30:11-21.
13. Zilhão J (2001) Radiocarbon evidence for maritime pioneer colonization at the origins of farming in west Mediterranean Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98(24):14180-14185.
14. Guilaine J & Manen C (2007) Du Mésolithique au Néolithique en Méditerranée de l'Ouest: aspects culturels. *Pont de Roque-Haute - Nouveaux regards sur le Néolithisation de la France méditerranéenne*, eds Guilaine J, Manen C, & Vigne JD (Archives d'écologie Préhistorique, Toulouse), pp 303-327.
15. Guilaine J (2003) Construire la stratigraphie du Néolithique Méditerranéen. *De la vague à la tombe : la conquête néolithique de la Méditerranée*, (Le Seuil, Paris), pp 113-133.
16. Perlès C ed (2001) *The Early Neolithic in Greece* (Cambridge World Archaeology, Cambridge).

17. Pessina A & Tiné V eds (2008) *Archeologia del Neolitico. L'Italia tra VI e IV millennio a.C.* (Carocci Editore, Rome).
18. Reingruber A (2011) Early Neolithic settlement patterns and exchange networks in the Aegean. *Documenta Praehistorica* 38:291-305.
19. Vigne JD & Helmer D (1999) Nouvelles analyses sur les débuts de l'élevage dans le Centre et l'Ouest méditerranéens. *XXIV^{ème} Congrès Préhistorique de France 1994 - Le Néolithique du Nord-Ouest Méditerranéen*, pp 129-146.
20. Šoberl L, Žibrat Gašparič A, Budja M, & Evershed RP (2008) Early herding practices revealed through organic residue analysis of pottery from the early Neolithic rock shelter of Mala Triglavca, Slovenia. *Documenta Praehistorica* XXXV:253-260.
21. Gregg MW, Banning EB, Gibbs K, & Slater GF (2009) Subsistence practices and pottery use in Neolithic Jordan: molecular and isotopic evidence. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36(4):937-946.
22. Thissen L, Özbal H, Türkekul Bıyık A, Gerritsen F, & Özbal R (2010) The land of milk? Approaching dietary preferences of late Neolithic communities in NW Anatolia. *Leiden Journal of Pottery Studies* 26:157-172.
23. Nieuwenhuysen OP, Roffet-Salque M, Evershed RP, Akkermans PMMG, & Russell A (2015) Tracing pottery use and the emergence of secondary product exploitation through lipid residue analysis at Late Neolithic Tell Sabi Abyad (Syria). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 64:54-66.
24. Keeney M, Katz I, & Allison MJ (1962) On the probable origin of some milk fat acids in rumen microbial lipids. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* 39(4):198-201.
25. Copley MS, *et al.* (2003) Direct chemical evidence for widespread dairying in prehistoric Britain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100(4):1524-1529.
26. Dunne J, *et al.* (2012) First dairying in green Saharan Africa in the fifth millennium BC. *Nature* 486:390-394.
27. Craig OE, *et al.* (2012) Distinguishing wild ruminant lipids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 26(19):2359-2364.
28. Vigne JD (2008) Zooarchaeological aspects of the Neolithic diet transition in the Near East and Europe, and their putative relationships with the Neolithic demographic transition. *The Neolithic demographic transition and its consequences*, eds Bocquet Appel J-P & Bar-Yosef O (Springer Verlag, New York), pp 179-205.
29. Conolly J, *et al.* (2011) Meta-analysis of zooarchaeological data from SW Asia and SE Europe provides insight into the origins and spread of animal husbandry. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38(3):538-545.
30. Arbuckle BS, *et al.* (2014) Data sharing reveals complexity in the Westward spread of domestic animals across Neolithic Turkey. *PLoS ONE* 9(6):e99845.
31. Arbuckle BS (2008) Caprine exploitation at Er Baba Höyük: a pottery Neolithic village in central Anatolia. *Archaeozoology of the Near East VIII*, Travaux de la maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée, eds Vila E, Gourichon L, Choyke AM, & Buitenhuis H, Vol 49, pp 345-365.

- 32.Çakırlar C (2012) Neolithic dairy technology at the European-Anatolian frontier: implications of archaeozoological evidence from Ulucak Höyük, İzmir, Turkey, ca. 7000-5700 cal. BC. *Anthropozoologica* 47(2):78-98.
- 33.Balasse M (2003) Keeping the young alive to stimulate the production of milk? Differences between cattle and small stock. *Anthropozoologica* 7:3-10.
- 34.De Cupere B, Duru R, & Umurtak G (2008) Animal husbandry at the Early Neolithic to Early Bronze Age site of Bademağacı (Antalya Province, SW Turkey): Evidence from the faunal remains. *Archaeozoology of the Near East VIII [TMO 49]*, eds Vila E, Gourichon L, Choyke A, & Buitenhuis H (Maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée, Lyon), pp 367-406.
- 35.Paschou P, *et al.* (2014) Maritime route of colonization of Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111(25):9211-9216.
- 36.Brami M & Heyd V (2011) The origins of Europe's first farmers: the role of Hacilar and Western Anatolia, fifty years on. *Praehistorische Zeitschrift*, eds Bertemes F, Della Casa P, Schier W, Wemhoff M, & Willroth K-H (De Gruyter), Vol 86, pp 165-205.
- 37.Düring BS (2013) Breaking the bond: investigating the Neolithic expansion in Asia Minor in the seventh millennium BC. *Journal of World Prehistory* 26(2):75-100.
- 38.Vigne J-D (2014) The origins of mammals on the Mediterranean islands as an indicator of early voyaging. *Eurasian Prehistory* 10(1-2):45-56.
- 39.Halstead P & Isaakidou V (2013) Early stock-keeping in Greece. *The origins and spread of stock-keeping in the Near East and Europe*, eds Colledge S, Conolly J, Dobney K, & Shennan S (Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek), pp 129-144.
- 40.Isaakidou V (2006) Ploughing with cows: Knossos and the 'secondary products revolution'. *Animal in the Neolithic of Britain and Europe*, eds Serjeantson D & Field D (Oxbow Books, Oxford), pp 95-112.
- 41.Phoca-Cosmetatou N (2011) Initial occupation of the Cycladic islands in the Neolithic: strategies for survival. *The first Mediterranean islanders: initial occupation and survival strategies*, ed Phoca-Cosmetatou N (Oxford University School of Archaeology Monographs, Oxford), pp 77-97.
- 42.Halstead P (1998) Mortality models and milking: problems of uniformitarianism, optimality and equifinality reconsidered. *Anthropozoologica* 27:3-20.
- 43.Muntoni IM (2009) Early Neolithic in Southern Italy: relationships between pottery technology and production organization. *Early farmers, late foragers and ceramic traditions: on the beginning of pottery in the Near East and Europe*, ed Gheorghiu D (Cambridge Scholars Publishers, Newcastle upon Tyne), pp 85-115.
- 44.Forenbaher S & Miracle PT (2005) The spread of farming in the Eastern Adriatic *Antiquity* 79(305):514-528.
- 45.Gillis R, Carrère I, Saña Seguí M, Radi G, & Vigne JD (2016) Neonatal mortality, young calf slaughter and milk production during the Early Neolithic of north western Mediterranean. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 26(2):303-313.
- 46.Guilaine J & Manen C (2007) From Mesolithic to Early Neolithic in the western Mediterranean. *Going Over: The Mesolithic-Neolithic Transition in North-West Europe*, eds Whittle A & Cummings V (Oxford University Press, Oxford), pp 21-51.

47. Rowley-Conwy P, Gourichon L, Helmer D, & Vigne JD (2013) Early domestic animals in Italy, Istria, the Tyrrhenian islands and Southern France. *The origins and spread of domestic animals in Southwest Asia and Europe*, eds Colledge S, Conolly J, Dobney K, Manning K, & Shennan S (Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, California), pp 161-194.
48. Helmer D, Gourichon L, Sidi Maamar H, & Vigne JD (2005) L'élevage des caprinés néolithiques dans le sud-est de la France : saisonnalité des abattages, relations entre grottes-bergeries et sites de plein-air. *Anthropozoologica* 40(1):167-189.
49. Peel MC, Finlayson BL, & McMahon TA (2007) Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 11(5):1633-1644.
50. Manning K, *et al.* (2013) The origins and spread of stock-keeping: the role of cultural and environmental influences on early Neolithic animal exploitation in Europe. *Antiquity* 87:1046-1059.
51. Berger J-F & Guilaine J (2009) The 8200 cal BP abrupt environmental change and the Neolithic transition: a Mediterranean perspective. *Quaternary International* 200:31-49.
52. Craig OE, *et al.* (2005) Did the first farmers of central and eastern Europe produce dairy foods? *Antiquity* 79:882-894.
53. Salque M, *et al.* (2013) Earliest evidence for cheese making in the sixth millennium BC in northern Europe. *Nature* 493:522-525.
54. Nenadić O & Greenacre M (2007) Correspondence analysis in R, with two- and three-dimensional graphics: the ca package. *Journal of Statistical Software* 20(3).

Acknowledgments

The Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) is thanked for funding C.D.S.'s Ph.D. studentship (NE/G52421X/1), to support compound-specific isotope analyses by Alison Kuhl at the NERC Life Sciences Mass Spectrometry Facility (Bristol), for partial funding of the mass spectrometry facilities at Bristol (contract no. R8/H10/63; www.lsmsf.co.uk) and Helen Grant of the NERC Life Sciences Mass Spectrometry Facility (Lancaster node) for stable isotopic characterisation of reference standards and derivatizing agents. Further compound-specific isotope analyses was carried out by Anu Thompson at University of Liverpool and Paul Donahoe at the University of Newcastle. R.E.G. and M.R.-S. were funded by the 7th framework Marie Curie Initial Training Networks (FP7-ITN-215362-2; PhD studentships) and the NeoMilk project (FP7-IDEAS-ERC/324202 to R.P.E.). The study includes lipid residue data collected as part of the Leverhulme Trust award (F/00182/T to R.P.E.). O.E.C. and C.D.S. were also supported by ARISE (MERG-CT-2007-201751). The following are thanked for providing the ceramic samples: Francesca Radina, Elena Natali, Maria Antoinetta Fugazzola Delpino, Luca Bondioli, Giovanna Radi and Cristina Fabbri (Italy), Stašo Forenbaher (Croatia), Manel Edo and Ferran Antolin (Spain), Maria Elena Zammit, Sharon Sultana, Anthony Pace and Nathaniel Cutajar (Malta), and excavating sites: Areti Chondrogianni, Anastasia Chrisostomou, Paul Halstead, Kostas Kotsakis, Stavros Kotsos and Maria Pappa (Greece). Marike Schreiber helped in the production of Figure 1. R.E.G and J.-D.V. would like to thank Isabelle Carrère for help with the Font Juvénal assemblage, to Angelos Hadjikoumis, Katerina Papayiannis and Nelly Phoca-Cosmetatou for their fruitful discussions, and to all the archaeozoologists who through the publication of their data enabled the CA study.

Author contributions

C.D.S., R.E.G. and M.R.-S. contributed equally to this work. C.D.S., R.E.G., M.R.-S., O.E.C., J.-D.V. and R.P.E. planned the project and wrote the paper. R.E.G. performed the statistical archaeozoological analyses and C.D.S. and M.R.-S. the lipid residue analyses. Statistical analyses of the dataset were performed by R.E.G. and C.D.S. The other co-authors directed sampling of archaeological material, directed excavations, helped with the archaeozoological studies or carried out lipid residue analyses. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Map of the Mediterranean basin showing the location of the sites in which organic residue analysis and archaeozoological studies were carried out, including data from the present study and published literature. The ceramic vessels and faunal remains tested date to the 7th-5th millennium BC. The map highlights the geographical evidence of dairying during this time. [1: Shiqmin, 2: Al-Basatın, 3: Sha'ar Hagolan, 4: Aswad, 5: El Kown 2 (lower levels), 6: Qdeir, 7: Umm el Tlell, 8: Seker (PN), 9: Sotto, 10: Çayönü Tepesi, 11: Tell Sabi Abyad, 12: Akarçay Tepe, 13: Halula 25, 14: Halula 26, 15: Mezraa Teleitai, 16: Domuz Tepe, 17: Tepecik Çiftlik, 18: Shillourokambos, 19: Çatalhöyük, 20: Er Baba Höyük, 21: Suberde, 22: Hoyucek, 23: Knossos, 24: Ftelia, 25: Lerna, 26: Kalythies cave, 27: Ulucak Höyük, 28: Barcin Höyük, 29: Hoca Çesme, 30: Yarimburgaz, 31: Toptepe, 32: Pendik, 33: Fikir Tepe, 34: Aşağı Pinar, 35: Makri, 36: Sitagroi, 37: Stavroupoli, 38: Paliambela, 39: Makriyalos, 40: Prodrornos, 41: Dispilio, 42: Ritini, 43: Toumba Kremastis Koiladas, 44: Apsalos, 45: Nakovana Cave, 46: Pupincina, 47: Mala Triglavca, 48: caves of Trieste Karst (Edera, Mitero, Zingari), 49: Masseria La Quercia, 50: Canne - Sette Ponti, 51: Palata 1, 52: Trani - Seconda Spiaggia di Colonna, 53: Fondo Azzollini, Pulo di Molfetta, 54: Serri - San Gabriele, Bari San Paolo, 55: Masseria Maselli, 56: Balsignano, 57: Ciccotto, 58: Trasano, 59: Torre Sabea, 60: Grotta San Michele, 61: Favella della Corte, Corigliano Calabro, 62: Skorba, 63: Colle Santo Stefano, 64: La Marmotta, 65: Araguina-Sennola, 66: Arene Candide, 67: Grotte Lombard, 68: Baume de Fontbrégoua, 69: Abri II du Fraischamp, 70: Abri de Saint-Mitre, 71: Barret de Lioure, 72: Combe Obscure, 73: Baume d'Oullen, 74: Pont de Roque-Haute, 75: Grotte Gazel, 76: Font-Juvéanal, 77: Abri Jean Cros, 78: Can Sadurní, 79: La Draga, 80: Cova de Chaves II, 81: Caserna de Sant Pau, 82: Cova de la Sarsa, 83: Los Castillejos, 84: Cueva de Nerja]. Dating of the sites can be found in Table S6.

Figure 2. $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for archaeological animal fat residues in Neolithic pottery from (a) The Levant (9, 21), 3 sites; (b) Central and eastern Anatolia (9), 8 sites; (c) Northwestern Anatolia (around the sea of Marmara; (9)), 7 sites; (d) Northern Greece (this study and (9)), 6 sites; (e) Italy, Slovenia, Croatia and Malta (this study and (20)); 8 sites and (f) Southwestern France and Spain (this study); 3 sites. The ranges shown here represent the mean \pm 1 standard deviation of the $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$ values for a global database comprising modern reference animal fats (26).

Figure 3. F1 x F2 biplot correspondence analysis (CA) for cattle, based on (a) the minimum number of individuals (MNI) and 15 contexts; and (b) the number (Nd) of dental fragments and 9 contexts; and sheep/goats based on (c) MNI and 20 contexts and (d) Nd and 45 contexts, respectively. CA plots were constructed using dental fragments analyses for 43 sites from Anatolia (PN sites; green); Near East (PN sites from: Syria and Iraq dark blue); Greece (EN-LN, 8th-6th millennium BC: dark grey); Italy and Croatia (*Impressa*, EN, 7th-6th millennium BC: yellow); Southwestern France and Spain (Cardial, EN, 7th-6th millennium BC: light blue), Open circles: cave and rock shelter sites; Closed circles: open air and tell sites. The triangles represent the age classes, and their size reflects the influence on the data. For caprines: age class A: 0-2 months, B: 2-6 months, C: 6-12 months, D: 1-2 years, EF: 2-4 years, G: 4-6 years, HI: + 6 years. Sites that are positioned close or between infant/juvenile age classes (cattle: 0-12 months; caprines: 0-6 months) and mature adults (4+ years) could be an indication that dairy husbandry was practised. Numeration for the sites as in Figure 1.

Figure 4. Bar charts for the presence (white) and absence (dark grey) of dairying for (a) site types (ANOVA, DF = 3, F = 5.09, p<0.001), (b) cultural groups (with ICW: *Impressa*/Cardial ware, PNG: Pottery Neolithic Greece, PNM: Pottery Neolithic Marmara, PNA: Pottery Neolithic Anatolia, PNL: Pottery Neolithic Levant, PPNB: pre-pottery Neolithic B; ANOVA, DF = 5, F = 5.64, p<0.001), (c) climate types (abbreviations according to Köppen-Geiger climate types (49); ANOVA, DF = 6, F = 2.1, p=0.05) and (d) regions (ANOVA, DF = 6; F = 6.69, p<0.001. See Tables S4-5 for complete dataset.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4