

Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems

Shaoxiu Ma, Romain Lardy, Anne-Isabelle Graux, Haythem Ben Touhami, Katja Klumpp, Raphaël Martin, Gianni Bellocchi

▶ To cite this version:

Shaoxiu Ma, Romain Lardy, Anne-Isabelle Graux, Haythem Ben Touhami, Katja Klumpp, et al.. Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, Elsevier, 2015, 72, 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007 . hal-01210951

HAL Id: hal-01210951 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01210951

Submitted on 28 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

<mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0

T	Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on
2	managed grassland systems
3	
4	
5	
6	Shaoxiu Ma [*] , Romain Lardy ^{1,6} , Anne-Isabelle Graux [*] , Haythem Ben Touhami [*] , Katja Klumpn ¹ Danhaël Martin ¹ Cianni Palloashi ¹
/ 8	Klumpp, Kaphael Martin, Olanni Benocchi
9	
10	¹ INRA, UR0874 Grassland Ecosystem Research, F-63039 Clermont-Ferrand, France
11	² UMR 5505 IRIT, CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
12	³ UMR 1248 AGIR, INRA-INPT, Castanet-Tolosan, France
13	⁴ INRA – Agrocampus Ouest, UMR 1348 PEGASE, Domaine de la Prise, 35590
14	Saint-Gilles, France

Abstract:

Predicting regional and global carbon (C) and water dynamics on grasslands has become of major interest, as grasslands are one of the most widespread vegetation types worldwide, providing a number of ecosystem services (such as forage production and C storage). The present study is a contribution to a regional-scale analysis of the C and water cycles on managed grasslands. The mechanistic biogeochemical model PaSim (Pasture Simulation model) was evaluated at 12 grassland sites in Europe. A new parameterization was obtained on a common set of eco-physiological parameters, which represented an improvement of previous parameterization schemes (essentially obtained via calibration at specific sites). We found that C and water fluxes estimated with the parameter set are in good agreement with observations. The model with the new parameters estimated that European grassland are a sink of C with 213 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹, which is close to the observed net ecosystem exchange (NEE) flux of the studied sites (185 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹ on average). The estimated yearly average gross primary productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (RECO) for all of the study sites are 1220 and 1006 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹, respectively, in agreement with observed average GPP (1230 g C $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$) and RECO $(1046 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})$. For both variables aggregated on a weekly basis, the root mean square error (RMSE) was \sim 5-16 g C week⁻¹ across the study sites, while the goodness of fit (R^2) was ~0.4-0.9. For evapotranspiration (ET), the average value of simulated ET (415 mm yr⁻¹) for all sites and years is close to the average value of the observed ET (451 mm yr⁻¹) by flux towers (on a weekly basis, RMSE~2-8 mm week⁻¹;

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

 $R^2=0.3-0.9$). However, further model development is needed to better represent soil 37 water dynamics under dry conditions and soil temperature in winter. A quantification 38 of the uncertainties introduced by spatially generalized parameter values in C and 39 water exchange estimates is also necessary. In addition, some uncertainties in the 40 input management data call for the need to improve the quality of the observational 41 42 system. 43 44 45 Key word: 46

47 Carbon flux, eddy flux measurements, model evaluation, Pasture Simulation model

48 (PaSim), water balance

50 Software availability

- 51 Name of Software: Pasture Simulation model (PaSim)
- 52 Developer: INRA, UR0874 initiative; contact: Raphaël Martin
- 53 Contact Address: INRA, UR0874 Grassland Ecosystem Research, 63039
- 54 Clermont-Ferrand, France
- 55 Telephone: +33-4-73624872
- 56 E-mail: raphael.martin@clermont.inra.fr
- 57 Availability: On request to the authors
- 58 Cost: free for no-profit use
- 59 Program language: Fortran

62 **1. Introduction**

Accurate quantification of ecosystem carbon (C) and water fluxes over regions, 63 64 continents, or the globe is essential for understanding the feedbacks between the terrestrial biosphere and the atmosphere in the context of global change and climate 65 policy-making (Xiao et al., 2012; Ciais et al., 2013). In the last decades, significant 66 progresses have been made in quantifying regional to global C and water fluxes by 67 using ecosystem modelling (Jung et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2009), atmospheric inverse 68 69 modelling (Butler et al., 2010) and upscaling of flux observations from eddy 70 covariance towers (Jung et al., 2011). In particular, integrated environmental modelling (Laniak et al., 2013) provides effective tools for studying C and water 71 cycles in agricultural and natural systems. Ecosystem models have been intensively 72 73 developed and used to estimate C and water exchanges between the atmosphere and biosphere (Bondeau et al., 1999; Churkina et al., 1999; Huntzinger et al., 2012; 74 75 Warszawski et al., 2014). They represent the key processes (such as plant photosynthesis, ecosystem respiration and evapotranspiration) and climatic and 76 management drivers (e.g. grazing, cutting and fertilization) that regulate energy and 77 matter exchanges. However, most of the modelling efforts have focussed on forests 78 79 (Bondeau et al., 1999; Schaefer et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012) and croplands (Palosuo et al., 2011; Roetter et al., 2012; Wattenbach et al., 2010), while lesser attention was 80 81 given to grasslands (Ciais et al., 2010).

6783 Grasslands are a widespread vegetation type worldwide, covering nearly one-fifth of 6783 the world's land surface (24 million km²) (Suttie et al., 2005) and playing a significant

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

84	role in the global C cycle (Scurlock and Hall, 1998; Ciais et al., 2010). At global scale,
85	grasslands were estimated to be a net C sink of about 0.5 Pg C per year (Scurlock and
86	Hall, 1998), but with high spatial heterogeneity and considerable uncertainty on the
87	estimate of C exchange. Janssens et al. (2005) estimated that grasslands provide a C
88	sink of 66±90 g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ over Europe. From an extensive network of flux towers,
89	Schulze et al. (2009) inferred a net C sink: net biome production (NBP) in European
90	grasslands of 57 ± 34 g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ . However, grassland ecosystems are the most
91	uncertain components of the Europe-wide C balance in comparison to forests and
92	croplands because only few data and grassland-specific models are available (Ciais et
93	al., 2010). As a consequence, it is urgent to improve and evaluate grassland models
94	based on recently-available eddy flux data.

Over the last decades, grassland models were developed with different research foci. CENTURY model was developed to simulate soil C, N, P, and S dynamics on a monthly time step (Parton, 1988), with an updated version (DayCent) working on a daily basis (Parton et al., 2007). The Grassland Ecosystem Model (GEM) (Chen et al., 1996) linked biochemical, biophysical and ecosystem processes in a hierarchical approach to simulate C and N cycles, with focus on natural grasslands. The LINGRA model (Schapendonk et al., 1998) has been extensively applied to simulate growth of grasses, including perennial ryegrass (Rodriguez et al., 1999) and timothy (van Oijen et al., 2005) under northern and western European conditions. The Hurley Pasture Model (Thornley, 1998) describes the fluxes of C, N and water in a grazed soil-pasture-atmosphere system. DairyMod was designed to simulate not only

biophysical, but also dairy management options (Johnson et al., 2008). Several 106 integrated or whole farm system models were also available to simulate the 107 108 biogeochemical processes and also include decision support system, such as Whole Farm Model (Bright et al., 2000), GP-FARM (Ascough et al., 2007), Integrated Farm 109 System Model (http://www.ars.usda.gov/Main/docs.htm?docid=8519) and FASSET 110 111 (Chirinda et al., 2011). An overview of the state of the art and the developments needed for process-based modelling of grazed agricultural systems were addressed by 112 113 Snow et al. (2014). 114 Recently, attempts have also been made to introduce management options into global 115 dynamic vegetation and crop models in order to extend functionalities for grasslands 116 on regional and global scales. Biome and global dynamic vegetation models such as 117 LPJmL (Bondeau et al., 2007), Biome-BGC (Hidy et al., 2012), ORCHIDEE (Krinner 118 et al., 2005) and CARAIB (Warnant et al., 1994) were improved with mowing and 119 grazing options. At the same time, widely used crop models, such as STICS (Brisson et al., 2003; Ruget et al., 2009), EPIC (Williams et al., 1989), CropSyst (Stöckle et al., 120 2003), DNDC (Rafique et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012), DSSAT (Giraldo et al., 1999) 121 and the APSIM platform (Holzworth et al., 2014) have also been adapted to simulate 122

grasslands. These efforts have made great contributions to the overall development of
grassland models (yet with a different detail in representing processes). However,
model evaluation was limited in scope to specific goals (e.g. not all grassland models
simulate biogeochemical cycles). A detailed evaluation of model performance against

127 observational flux data from a variety of grassland sites is therefore desirable. In

7

particular, for a process-based model representing in detail the mechanisms driving
the functioning of grassland systems, evaluation is needed with extended datasets,
which include different grassland observational sites with a diversity of climatic,
management and soil conditions.

The process-based biogeochemical Pasture Simulation model (PaSim) is the focus of 132 this study. It was originally developed by Riedo et al. (1998), based on the Hurley 133 Pasture Model (Thornley, 1998), to simulate managed grasslands (clover-ryegrass 134 135 swards). PaSim includes both grazing and cutting management options and is able to 136 simulate a variety of temperate grassland ecosystems. Over the last decade, the model has been continuously improved to simulate C, water and N cycles. New approaches 137 were integrated into the modelling structure to simulate, for instance, nitrous oxide 138 (N_2O) emissions from soils (Schmid et al., 2001a) and methane (CH₄) emissions from 139 animals (Vuichard et al., 2007a) as well as the performances (i.e. milk and meat 140 141 production) of grazing animals (Graux et al., 2011). The model has been used in the 142 climate-change impact studies (Graux et al., 2013; Vital et al., 2013), including an assessment of the contribution of forage-based systems to the global warming (Graux 143 et al., 2012) with focus on France. However, PaSim has only been evaluated against a 144 145 few European grassland sites using short periods of observed C fluxes and biomass 146 production data, and based on limited parameterization (Vuichard et al., 2007a; 147 Calanca et al., 2007). A full documentation and an extended evaluation of the model 148 over a large number of sites are required.



8

2002 and eddy-covariance flux measurements were made on this network within the
European projects of the 5th, 6th and 7th framework programs, such as GREENGRASS
(Soussana et al., 2007b), CARBOMONT (Bahn et al., 2008; Wohlfahrt et al., 2008),
CarboEurope (Gilmanov et al., 2010) and CARBO-Extreme (Reichstein et al., 2013).
This dataset provides a good opportunity to evaluate grassland model performances
because these sites cover a variety of grassland types with contrasting management
practices and representing different climate conditions.

157 The present study assesses the ability of PaSim to reproduce C and water fluxes of a 158 number of European long-term eddy flux measurement sites. To do so, three sets of eco-physiological vegetation parameters (i.e. from permanent grassland, sown 159 160 grassland, and an adjusted set, which is the calibrated parameter values based on flux 161 measurements data and their plausible ranges) were applied in order to test whether a 162 common set of vegetation parameters is appropriate to represent model outputs at 163 European scale (regardless of the possible physiological dissimilarities among grasslands species in different places in Europe). Model calibration was not applied 164 separately to each observational site. This did not make it possible to explore the 165 spatial variability of model parameters. Testing such a scenario appeared beyond the 166 167 scope of this paper since it implied too strong a deviation from the initial hypothesis 168 of this regional study. Rather, we calibrated the model simultaneously on all datasets 169 to find parameter values that would be applicable at regional scale. Multi-site 170 calibration can be characterized by lower uncertainty than site-specific calibration, 171 because more data are involved in the calibration process (e.g. Minunno et al., 2014).

9

The availability of a variety of detailed flux data from multiple sites offers the possibility of a genuine multi-location calibration of the model, holding to the assumption that a uniform calibration across sites is appropriate under these conditions. The results are discussed with respect to the strengths and weaknesses of the model in estimating C and water balances in Europe before presenting conclusions and avenues for future research.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study sites and climate data

Twelve grassland sites with long-term eddy flux measurements were included in the study to evaluate model performances. The study sites cover a broad gradient of geographic and climatic conditions (Table 1) as well as a variety of soil types and management practices (Table 2). The sites are located in Central and Western Europe (Fig. 1, left), distributed over a range of latitudes from United Kingdom (Easter Bush) to Portugal (Mitra), of longitudes from Ireland (Dripsey) to Hungary (Bugac-Puszta), and of elevations from sea level up to mountain sites located at about 1800 m a.s.l. (Table 1). Along these ranges, the mean annual temperature varies from 5.2 (Monte Bondone, Italy) to 14.3 °C (Mitra, Portugal) with mean annual precipitation rates from 520 (Bugac-Puszta, Hungary) to 1271 mm (Dripsey, Ireland). With respect to management, the dataset covers the gradient from semi-natural to intensively managed mixed grass species swards (in the presence of clover) and essentially includes vegetation types representative of the zone. Semi-natural grasslands are typified by extensive systems either grazed (with a stocking rate of $\sim 0.5-1.0$ LSU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) or not grazed (where forage production is limited to one cutting per year). Otherwise, the other sites are intensively managed by grazing and/or cutting and are supplied with relative large amounts of N fertilizer (>200 kg N ha⁻¹). It should be noted that the distinction between extensive and intensive grasslands may not be clear-cut. For instance, the unfertilized site of Amplero, grazed by a limited number of livestock units (0.5 LSU ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), qualifies as an intensive production system because

it is grazed for a long period of time in the year (200 days), with intensive utilization of the sward and gain of N from returns by animals. At Easter Bush, the low impact of grazing pressure (in number of animals and duration) is instead compatible with an extensive system because the N fertilization treatment does not exceed the threshold (>200 kg N ha⁻¹) conventionally set for intensively managed grasslands (e.g. Bos et al., 2005).

Monthly and yearly summaries for the average temperature and total precipitation were obtained from the hourly weather data available for each site. The yearly reference evapotranspiration (ET_0 , mm) was also calculated, using the Penman–Monteith method, which uses an hourly time step (Allen, 2005). These weather summaries were the basis for calculating two bioclimatic indicators.

213 The aridity pattern (Fig. 1, right) is characterized by semi-arid to humid conditions, 214 with the average value of De Martonne-Gottmann index (b) ranging from 10-20 at 215 Mitra (Portugal) and Bugac-Puszta (Hungary) to 45 at Dripsey (Ireland). The climate 216 of Mitra is typically warm and dry for a large part of the year, with hot summers. Bugac-Puszta represents a continental climate, with hot summers, rain showers and 217 mildly cold winters. The site is characterized by annual water deficit, as well as the 218 219 Mediterranean upland site of Amplero (Central Italy). The other sites are mostly 220 humid, with values of the aridity index around 30-50.

221

222 **2.2 Flux measurements**

Each study site was equipped with an eddy covariance system to determine the net

12

224	ecosystem exchange (NEE) of CO2. For each site, details of the materials and
225	software used are provided by published papers (Table 1). The eddy covariance
226	system consisted of a fast response 3D sonic anemometer coupled with fast CO_2 -H ₂ O
227	analyzers measuring fluxes of CO ₂ , latent and sensible heat, and momentum fluxes
228	every 30 minutes. The flux data used in this study were downloaded from the
229	European Fluxes Database Cluster (http://www.europe-fluxdata.eu). These data,
230	previously filtered(quality checking and gap-filling) using methods based on friction
231	velocity (u* filtering), contain inherent uncertainty (as detected by bootstrapping
232	approach, after Reichstein et al., 2005 and Papale et al., 2006). We acknowledge that
233	the random and systematic errors of eddy flux measurements could induce uncertainty
234	and affect interpretation of data when eddy flux data were used for model calibration
235	and validation (Lasslop et al., 2008). Random errors caused by poor measurement and
236	sampling variability can be quite large at half-hourly time resolution, while systematic
237	errors (biases) can be corrected (Aubinet et al., 2012). It is known that the correction
238	can be insufficient to avoid propagation of uncertainties in the gap-filling procedure
239	and in the partitioning of C exchanges into assimilation and respiration (Richardson et
240	al., 2012). However, the absolute uncertainty in half-hourly measurements is reduced
241	(down to ~10%) with daily or higher (monthly to annual) timescales and often equals
242	the inter-annual variability of the measured fluxes (Hagen et al., 2006; Desai et al.,
243	2008; Kroon et al., 2009).
244	

245 **2.3 Model description**

13

246	5 The	Pasture	Simulation	model	(PaSim,	version	5.3,
247	<u>https://</u>	www1.clermo	nt.inra.fr/urep/mc	odeles/pasim.	<u>htm</u>) simulates	C and N cyc	ling in
248	8 grassla	nd ecosystems	s (mixed swards)	at a sub-daily	time step. Th	e model has e	volved
249	over tin	ne, starting as	a simulator of d	ry matter pro	duction and as	ssociated flows	s of C,
250	N and	water in produ	active pastures (R	Riedo et al., 1	998). The biol	ogical N fixat	tion by
251	clover	is simulated	by assuming that	t clover fract	tion in the sw	vard is fixed f	for the
252	specific	c location (afte	er Riedo et al., 19	98). PaSim w	vas later impro	ved by Schmi	d et al.
253	8 (2001b) with respect	to the production	n and diffusion	on of N ₂ O (Ri	edo et al., 200	02) the
254	exchan	ge of NH ₃ w	ith the atmosphe	ere by Vuich	nard et al. (20	007a, b), the	animal
255	5 herbage	e selection and	d intake, and the	effects of die	et quality on the	he emissions of	of CH ₄
256	5 from g	grazing anima	als. More recen	ntly, Graux	et al. (2011) further im	proved
257	functio	nalities to est	imate the forage	production	and dry matte	er intake takir	ng into
258	accoun	t selective gra	azing between ve	egetation con	npartments an	d the effect o	of high
259) temper	atures on anii	mals, while also	simulating 1	ruminant perfo	ormance and	enteric
260) CH_4 em	nissions durin	g grazing accord	ling to the e	energy content	t of the intak	e. The
261	livestoo	ck system co	nsiders change i	n body wei	ght of the gr	azing livestoc	k and
262	simulat	tes the level of	f milk and meat J	production w	ith heifers, dai	iry and suckle	r cows
263	during	the grazing pe	riod.				
264	The m	odel consists	of five interac	ting modules	s: microclima	te, soil, vege	etation,
265	herbivo	ores and mana	gement. The soil	biophysical	module simula	ates soil temp	erature
266	5 and mo	oisture profiles	s for different so	il layers base	ed on soil phy	sical properti	es and
267	hourly	weather inputs	s and simulated p	lant water us	e. The soil bio	geochemical r	nodule,
				14			

4 5	268	adapted by monthly time-step CENTURY's organic decomposition approach (Parton,
6 7 8	269	1988), evenly distributes litter into the whole soil profile. The litter is segregated into
9 10 11	270	its structural and substrate components, respectively supplying the structural and
12 13	271	metabolic soil pools. In addition, the soil organic matter is further separated into three
14 15 16	272	compartments (active, slow and passive) according to different decomposition rates.
17 18	273	Soil pools are interlinked to represent C and N first-order kinetics. The N cycle
20 21	274	considers N inputs to the soil via atmospheric deposition, fertilizer addition, symbiotic
22 23 24	275	fixation by legumes and animal faeces and urine. The inorganic soil N is available for
25 26	276	root uptake and is lost through the processes (leaching, volatilization and
27 28 29	277	nitrification/denitrification) leading to N_2O and $N2$ emissions to the atmosphere. The
3 0 3 1	278	vegetation module estimates the assimilated C by photosynthesis and allocates it
U032 0033 34	279	dynamically to one root and three shoot compartments, each of which consisting of
> 35 36 37	280	four age classes. The transition from one age category to the next is done using
38 39	281	threshold time values to calculate the age of biomass in a compartment from the input
40 41 42	282	of younger material and the loss of older material. C losses from the system are
43 44	283	through animal milking, enteric CH ₄ emissions and from animal returns, and
45 46 47	284	ecosystem respiration. Accumulated aboveground biomass is either cut or grazed, or
48 49	285	enters a pool after senescing. Herbivores are considered during the time they were at
51 52	286	pasture (not during indoor periods). Management includes organic and mineral N
53 54 55	287	fertilizations, mowing and grazing, setting by the user or optimized within the model
56 57	288	to achieve some objectives.
58 59 60	289	
61 62		15
63 64	Ma, S., Lard	Comment citer ce document : dy, R., Graux, AI., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015).

290 2.4

2.4 Parameterization and Simulation

2.4.1 Parameterization strategies

In previous studies (Calanca et al., 2007; Vuichard et al., 2007b), PaSim was evaluated for a temporary grassland at Oensingen (Switzerland) and an upland permanent grassland at Laqueuille (France) based on a set of 26 parameters (Table 3). We assumed that a common set of eco-physiological model parameters can be established to simulate C_3 grasslands (including grasses, forbs and legumes) under contrasting climate and management regimes in Europe, while site-specific climate and management conditions provide supplementary factors driving the actual production of grasslands. Based on the set of parameters given in Table 3 and sensitivity analysis results (Ben Touhami et al., 2013), the following two steps were carried out to explore the parameter space and identify a common set of eco-physiological model parameters for PaSim. First, the model was run at all sites with two sets of default parameter values: temporary sown grassland (P_temp) and upland permanent grassland (P_perm). Second, manual calibration was carried out for these parameters, which were identified as influential parameters by Ben Touhami et al. (2013). The values of some of these parameters were modified within their plausible ranges (as from the published literature, documented by Graux, 2011) to ensure realistic representation of a variety of outputs. The calibration work was performed at all study sites together through a trial-and-error process comparing the model predictions with observational data. The solutions obtained constitute a

satisfactory performance for all output variables according to the metrics of Table 4. 312 313 Even though optimization methods are available (Trudinger et al., 2007; Wang et al., 314 2009), the manual calibration is useful for modellers to get a reference parameter set reflecting expert knowledge and simple adjustment strategies. It was proven that 315 optimization methods may be problematic in confronting the interaction between 316 317 parameters of complex models (Hollinger and Richardson, 2005; Richardson et al., 2010). The model performance was evaluated for each site separately and with all 318 319 sites combined, by comparing the simulated C and water fluxes with data from eddy 320 flux towers.

321

322 **2.4.2 Model simulation and evaluation**

323 For each site, simulations were carried out with three sets of parameter values

described above for all available years in each site

PaSim was initialized via a spin-up process using the current weather input. In particular, soil C pools were initialized to steady-state by running the model over 100 years by looping the available meteorology at each site following Lardy et al. (2011). The results of the spin-up process matched the supplied soil C measurements with a relative error of about 10% on average ($\pm \sim 2\%$ standard error).

The agreement of model outputs against the following five plant and soil variables was examined, gross primary productivity (GPP, kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), ecosystem respiration (RECO, kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), net ecosystem exchange (NEE, kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), soil water content (m³ m⁻³) and actual evapotranspiration (ET, mm d⁻¹). Ecosystem respiration (RECO)

17

plays an important role in estimating global C balances of terrestrial ecosystems, and its knowledge is required to assess the GPP of such ecosystem (as a sum of NEE, and RECO, equations in appendixes A, B, C and D). Soil water content (SWC) and soil temperature (ST) were estimated for each of the six layers that make up the soil profile in PaSim (equations in appendixes E and F).

Different performance indices and threshold criteria (Bennett et al., 2013) were used to evaluate the model (Table 4). In particular, the goodness-of-fit R^2 (coefficient of determination) was calculated to assess the linear dependence between modelled and observed data and the variance explained by the model. The modelling efficiency (ME), the index of agreement (IA) and the root mean square error (RMSE) were derived to assess quantitative differences. The mean bias (MB) is a measure of the overall tendency of the model to under- or over-estimate (the systematic error) the observations. The performance of the model was assessed on daily, weekly and monthly time scales.

3. Results

This section first describes the study sites. Then, the comparison between PaSim results obtained with three sets of parameter values (two previously established and one established in this study) and observed C and water fluxes is illustrated. The model improvement gained with the new parameterization is presented. As well, the impact of both site-specific characteristics and management options on model performances is illustrated, followed by a detailed analysis of the model performances with focus on C and water balances. Only exemplary results (selected output variables and sites) are reported graphically and the main outcomes discussed hereafter, while the full set of results is provided online supplementary material.

360 3.1 The improvement of the model performance with the redefined parameter

361 values

The adjusted parameters (denoted P_new) essentially reflect that previously used at the permanent grassland site of Laqueuille (P_perm) (Table 3). This indicates that Laqueuille site resembles the European grasslands used in this study, with the exception of four parameters: light-saturated leaf photosynthetic rate for reproductive stage, light-saturated leaf photosynthetic rate for vegetative stage, maximum specific leaf area, and temperature dependence factor of the soil respiration. Even though a formal sensitivity analysis was not undertaken in this study, these parameters had a significant impact on model outputs in a previous study (Ben Touhami et al., 2013). For three parameters, the new values lie between those in the previous studies. For the

parameter governing soil respiration, previous modelling studies supported a default value equal to 2, which was substantially decreased (down to 0.7) to obtain simulated respiration values closer to observations. Given its impact on the modelled RECO, characterization of this parameter will require further studies. The estimation of GPP and RECO was improved (Fig. 2) with the redefined common set of eco-physiological parameters (Table 3). GPP was overestimated at all sites using the P_temp parameter set with the exception of Oensingen (the site where that parameter set was originally derived) and, to a lesser extent, of Amplero. Using P_perm parameter set (permanent grassland), the bias of GPP was reduced at seven out of 12 sites. Applying the redefined P redif parameter set (Table 3), the bias of GPP was further reduced for most of the sites except Oensingen, Bugac-Puszta and Amplero (Fig. 2). With both P temp and P perm parameter sets, RECO was overestimated for most of the sites, with the exception of Oensingen and Amplero (Fig. 2). With P_perm, a slight underestimation was also obtained at intensively-managed Laqueuille (Fig. 2). When the redefined parameter set was used, the bias of RECO was reduced at all sites but Oensingen and Amplero. Overall, the estimated ET has a good agreement with the observed values with no real improvement with the redefined parameter values (Fig. 2 and Fig. A1). 3.2 The site variability of model performances with the redefined parameter

392 values

393	Model performance in predicting GPP, RECO and ET (data aggregated on a weekly
394	basis) is closely linked with site-specific conditions such as soil moisture (e.g. dry
395	sandy soils versus humid soils). The model simulated well GPP and RECO for nine
396	out of 12 sites. The exceptions were the sites of Amplero, Mitra and Bugac-Puszta
397	(Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Table 5), which are characterized by drier conditions than the other
398	sites. The R^2 for the estimated versus observed GPP was greater than 0.8 for most of
399	the sites and was lower than 0.5 at Amplero only $(R^2=0.40)$ (Fig. 3). Similar results
400	were found when other indices, such as the slope of the regression, IA, ME and
401	RMSE were taken into account (Table. 5). Compared with GPP estimations, similar
402	model performances were found for RECO across sites, but R ² values for RECO were
403	relatively lower than those obtained when simulating GPP. For RECO, R^2 values were
104	generally greater than 0.7 for most of the sites, but lower than 0.5 at Amplero
405	$(R^2=0.39)$ and Mitra $(R^2=0.46)$ (Fig. 4). At Oensingen, the model underestimated
406	RECO during winter time and there were also periods (e.g. 2007) when summer
407	modelled RECO was below of that measured (Fig. 5). However, winter RECO
408	underestimation was common to most of the sites (online supplementary material).
409	The model performance was satisfactory for predicting ET at most of the sites.
410	Exceptions were dry sites such as Amplero, Bugac-Puszta and Mitra (Fig. A1).
111	

3.3 The impact of site characteristics and management practices

413 Site characteristics and management practices were observed to have an important414 impact on the model ability to estimate RECO (Fig. 6), but they were less important

when predicting GPP, NEE, ET, and soil temperature (data not shown). Concerning 415 management practices, the model tended to underestimate or overestimate RECO, 416 417 respectively for intensively and extensively managed grasslands (Fig. 6). The occurrence of cutting or grazing had no significant impact on the model performance 418 419 in predicting RECO. The model tended to slightly underestimate RECO for temporary-sown grasslands, while simulations of permanent grasslands were in good 420 agreement with measured data (Fig. 6). Concerning site-specific conditions, RECO 421 422 was underestimated for non-montane grasslands (<800 m a.s.l.) and overestimated for mountain sites (Fig. 6). 423 424 An analysis of the normalized residuals (where each residual is the difference between the 425 predicted and observed values divided by the standard deviation) showed seasonal patterns, which 426 differed by site (Fig. 7). On average, the model slightly underestimated weekly-aggregated RECO 427 in winter in most sites, but significantly overestimated it in summer time on Laqueuille extensive, 428 Monte Bondone, Alinya, Bugac-Puszta, and Cabauw (Fig. 7). However, most of the residuals fall 429 within the upper limit of the 95% confidence interval. 430 **3.4** C and water balances 431 The mean annual C fluxes (NEE, GPP and RECO) are meant as a synthesis and a 432 433 complement to the model performances of PaSim presented in this study. They 434 showed a good agreement with observations using the redefined parameter set (Fig. 8). With these parameter values, the estimated NEE flux was on average 213 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹, 435

which indicates a sink of C approaching the average NEE flux observed at the studied

22

437	sites (185 g C m ^{-2} yr ^{-1}) (Fig. 8). The estimated yearly average values of GPP (1220 g
438	C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) and RECO (1006 g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) are in agreement with the observed mean
439	values (1230 g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ for GPP and 1046 g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ for RECO) across all sites
440	(Fig. 8). As a consequence, the model overestimated the C sink by 15%. This is
441	mainly due to RECO, which is underestimated while GPP is in good agreement with
442	observations. The average value of simulated ET (415 mm yr ⁻¹) for all sites and years
443	was close to the average value of the observations (451 mm yr ⁻¹), which corresponded
444	to an underestimation by 8% (Fig. 9).

24 25

26 27

Correction Correction Corr

36 37 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 46 47 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0 51 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>7 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0 61

62 63

4. Discussion

4.1 Model performance over European grasslands

By evaluating PaSim at a variety of European sites, an improvement of model performances was obtained with using a common set of parameter values to estimate C and water fluxes at weekly and yearly time scales (Fig. 2, Fig. 8 and Table 3). The discrepancy between the observed and estimated GPP and RECO values was reduced compared with previous studies making us of parameter values obtained at specific conditions (Calanca et al., 2007; Ciais et al., 2010). For instance, Calanca et al. (2007) overestimated GPP of about 50% at Laqueuille (France).

4.2 The spatial variability of the model performance

The agreement between the observed and estimated GPP on arid sites (Bugac-Puszta, Amplero) is not as good as on other sites (Fig. 3). The mismatch between observed and estimated GPP values on these dry sites can be partially attributed to the fact that the model did not simulate soil water content fluctuations during summer time well (see effect of drought conditions for Bugac-Puszta, Fig. 2A and Amplero, Italy, Fig A3). Previous studies confirmed that extreme drought events may have a significant effect on C and water cycles (Ciais et al., 2005; Hussain et al., 2011). With all the slope coefficients less than 1, the range of the simulated soil water content was smaller than the observed range, while the intercept was well above 0 (Fig. A4), indicating that the model overestimated the soil water content during dry periods and

underestimated it the rest of time. As a consequence, the overestimated soil water content during drought periods could explain the slight overestimation of GPP (Fig. A2). In contrast, at Mitra (Portugal), the underestimated GPP can be partially attributed to the underestimated soil water content during the growing season (Fig. A5) as water is the limitation factor of plant growth in arid regions. Underestimation of GPP was also obtained at Amplero (Italy), but the incomplete information available for this site (Fig. A3) about starting and ending dates of grazing (constant dates were used) makes interpretation of results difficult.

Comparing with GPP, a similar model performance for RECO was found, but R^2 for RECO is relatively lower than GPP (Fig. 3). The lower R^2 for RECO on most of the sites is mainly due to the underestimated RECO during winter as, for instance, at Bugac-Puszta (Fig.7, A2). On one hand the underestimated RECO during winter can be attributed to underestimation of soil temperature in the same period as soil respiration is sensitive to temperature. Soil respiration, one of the main components of RECO, depends on soil moisture, clay content, soil organic matter and C assimilation (Bahn et al., 2008; Balogh et al., 2011; Migliavacca et al., 2011) and these are also the drivers of PaSim. On another hand, the overestimated RECO at Bugac-Puszta is partially due to overestimated GPP as more C substrate is available. A third reason might be that the model overestimated soil moisture content (Fig. A2 and Fig. A5) since soil moisture is one of the most important limiting factors of soil respiration especially in arid and semi-arid regions (Balogh et al., 2011). At Amplero and Mitra, RECO underestimation follows GPP underestimation (Fig. A3 and Fig. A5). Indeed,

490 RECO is intimately related to C supply (Bahn et al., 2008; Migliavacca et al., 2011).

4.3 Effect of site-specific conditions and management practices

Management practices affect grassland production and greenhouse gas emissions from grassland systems (Schmitt et al., 2010; Zeeman et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2012; Peichl et al., 2012). In this study, PaSim underestimated RECO for intensively-managed grasslands such as Oensingen and Amplero and overestimated it for extensively-managed grasslands (Fig. 6, 7). It is known that intensive management practices can introduce pronounced changes to soil physical structure, which in turn impact the soil water transport processes and the soil heterotrophic respiration (Moyano et al., 2012; Ball, 2013). It is the case for the present study as PaSim did not simulate adequately soil water fluctuations (Fig. 5, Fig. A2 and Fig. A3). At Oensingen (Switzerland), the model did not represent well the soil temperature (Fig. 5. This can be mainly attributed to the disturbance of management, which changed the soil structure when converting cropland into grassland at Oensingen in 2001, considering that tillage changes soil physical structure and, in turn, water and energy transport processes (Acharya et al., 2012). The human management could also modify microbial component and diversity (Cantarel et al., 2012), which significantly impacts on the soil respiration (Allison et al., 2010; Wieder et al., 2013). Moreover, the possibility to introduce more uncertainties is high due to imprecise input management information such as grazing, cutting, and fertilization rate and timing.

<mark>2</mark>9

<mark>3</mark>4 <mark>3</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>8

4.4 Limitations and further improvement

Referring to the model performance discussed above, certain modules of PaSim were identified as worthy of further development. One is the soil energy balance module because the model underestimated soil temperature when air temperature was high in summer (Fig. A5) and overestimated soil temperature in winter when air temperature is low (Fig. 5, Fig. A2, Fig. A3 and Fig. A5). The soil water transport module is also critical because soil water fluctuations were not well captured by the model (Fig. 5, Fig. A2, Fig. A3 and Fig. A5), especially on arid and semi-arid grasslands. Moreover, the effect of standing biomass on the energy balance (with shading and albedo) has not been investigated.

The choice of eco-physiological parameters used and calibrated manually in this study also requires a forma quantification of the parameter uncertainties introduced in regional studies. The interaction between model parameters is also an issue. For example, specific leaf area (sla), which depends on the maximum value set for it (slam), interacts in PaSim with C allocation-parameters across different organs of the plant. A higher *sla* could induce a lower allocation of assimilated C to leaf, which may be not the case in reality.

5. Conclusions and perspectives

5.1 Summary of findings of the regional-scale analysis

A regional-scale analysis of C and water cycles was performed on a variety of European grassland sites using a process-based model (PaSim) with a single set of eco-physiological parameters. It was shown that: 1) variables of C and water cycles (mainly GPP, RECO and ET) can be reasonably simulated by PaSim at European scale using a common set of parameter values; 2) better model performances are obtained at more humid sites; 3) RECO tends to be underestimated in intensively-managed grasslands and overestimated at sites when extensive management practices are adopted.

The parameterization for mechanistic simulation of grasslands (with biogeochemical capabilities) is a general way to facing regional studies. We have pursued in this study the question of to what extent calibration can improve the parameterization of a complex grassland model for regional-scale simulations in Europe. Acting upon the most influential parameters of PaSim, we have derived a set of parameter values of general validity in Europe. Even if for specific purposes process-based models need to be parameterized on a site-specific basis, our multi-dataset procedure demonstrates that it is possible to find global estimates for those parameters that encompass a wide range of conditions. The accurate estimation of C and water fluxes relies on the mechanistic representation of key ecosystem processes, with parameters that can be related to physical quantities, thus the perspective of using a regionally calibrated model regulating energy and matter exchanges is more interesting than applying

statistical models (Laniak et al., 2013). In addition, statistical model estimations may involve significant levels of collinearity among predictor variables such as temperature and precipitation. They also rely on the assumption of stationarity (e.g. assuming that past relationships will hold in the future, even if factors such as climate and management evolve) and are subject to problems of low signal-to-noise ratios in yield or weather records in many locations (Lobell and Burke, 2010). The main finding is that the satisfactory performance obtained over contrasting climate conditions and management options justify the use of PaSim in regional studies on C and water fluxes in Europe. In C-water flux studies, this is especially relevant when daily-resolution outputs are mainly analysed in temporally-aggregated form - that is, the interest is in weekly, monthly or higher timeframes (e.g. Wallach and Thorburn, 2014).

5.2 Future work on grassland modelling

Our results indicate further model development is needed to address specific needs and this is critical under the pressure of a changing climate and related extreme weather events, potentially altering the C cycles at different scales (Field et al., 2011). A first issue is that heat waves and dry spells have (favouring conditions of aridity) a direct effect on CO₂ fluxes because warmer temperatures and soil moisture shortage affect both photosynthesis and respiration. Moreover, as changes in seasonal water availability have pronounced effects on individual species, a prominent role is suggested for species interactions in ecosystem responses to climate changes (Suttle et

64
 65
 64
 65
 65
 66
 66
 67
 68
 69
 69
 69
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 64
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 66
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 69
 69
 69
 69
 69
 69
 69
 60
 60
 60
 60
 60
 61
 61
 61
 61
 61
 62
 62
 63
 64
 64
 65
 64
 64
 65
 64
 65
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 69
 69
 60
 60
 60
 60
 60
 61
 61
 62
 62
 63
 64
 64
 64
 64
 64
 64
 64
 64
 64
 65
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 66
 6

575 al., 2007). Adaptation and functional biodiversity would dampen down the effect of climate extremes on the C cycle, but the bases for biological adaptation and the role of 576 577 biodiversity are still unclear (Reichstein et al., 2013b). The use of functional traits of plants, for which plant species are classified into functional types based on vegetative 578 579 and reproductive traits, proposed by Cruz et al. (2002) can be a promising avenue for future research (Duru et al., 2013). But the level of detail of this study is too low to 580 draw conclusions about the relationship between model parameters and phenotypic 581 582 traits.

To obtain reliable estimates of the sign (source or sink) and magnitude of C-cycle feedbacks, future research should provide a mechanistic basis for inferring effects of extreme meteorological events on the C cycle. This would also help capturing the impacts of drought events on grasslands because drought avoidance of grassland plants mainly depends on rooting depth and soil water reserves. Concepts by Baker et al. (2008) and Sheikh et al. (2009), which consider root access to the stored water in the deep soil water during the drought season, could be integrated into PaSim.

The soil temperature module is another area of the model to be improved to better predict soil temperature over winter. This is especially critical for high-altitude grasslands, where vegetative growth restarts at snowmelt. This would also improve the estimation of soil respiration, for which soil temperature is a key driver.

594 Moreover, we acknowledge that the dynamics of aboveground biomass accumulation 595 (either cut for yield production or grazed by animals) were not considered in the study 596 design. Biomass data are mostly discontinuously measured and rather large

30

uncertainties on biomass measurements (mainly owing to spatial heterogeneity) may
hinder model evaluation (Vuichard, 2007b). A better model performance (yet
integrating biomass measurements) could be attained by optimizing model parameters
through model-data fusion methods such as Bayesian calibration (van Oijen et al.,
2011). Studies of this type are underway on PaSim (Ben Touhami et al., 2012a, b)
with attention given to the interaction among parameters.

The improvements of PaSim also include the development of scalable solutions with fundamental plant science research (a broad range of basic impact processes, open to a wide range of plant types) and transition of software engineering technology into modelling practice (Martin et al., 2011; Lardy et al., 2014), while addressing re-usability issues and inter-linking of model components (Donatelli et al., 2012).

For managed grasslands, complete management information is often unavailable for
regional studies. High-quality grassland management data are needed to better
understand their effects on the soil physical structure and C storage (Flechard et al.,
2007; Schmitt et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2013).

The model improvement actions are ongoing under the guidance and conditions laid down by a number of international projects and initiatives (e.g. AgMIP, <u>http://www.agmip.org</u>), and will be expanded by the EU-FP7 project MODEXTREME (http://modextreme.org).

617 Acknowledgments

The results of this research were obtained within an international research project named "FACCE MACSUR - Modelling European Agriculture with Climate Change for Food Security, a FACCE JPI knowledge hub". The data used were obtained with the support of EU projects CarboEurope-IP (FP6 GOCE-CT-2003-505572) and CARBO-Extreme (FP7-ENV-2008-1-226701). We thank Partner Institutions for providing grassland data. We also acknowledge technical support from the European Fluxes Database Cluster (http://www.europe-fluxdata.eu). Several reviewers and the editors helped considerably in improving the manuscript.

<mark>2</mark>5 <mark>2</mark>9 -30 <mark>3</mark>3 <mark>3</mark>4 <mark>3</mark>5 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0 <mark>6</mark>1

627 APPENDICES

628 Appendix A - Primary production

Gross primary production (GPP) is the rate at which the plant captures and stores a given amount of chemical energy as biomass in a given length of time. Some fraction of this fixed energy is used for cellular respiration and maintenance of existing tissues (growth respiration and maintenance respiration). The remaining fixed energy (as mass of photosynthate) is referred to as net primary production (NPP):

$$634 \qquad NPP = GPP - R_{pl,tot}$$

635 where $R_{pl,tot}$ is the total plant respiration (autotrophic).

Plant residues deposited to the soil are subject to biological degradation. During this process, soil organic C is respired to CO₂ while providing energy to the decomposers (microorganisms and soil fauna). Heterotrophic C fluxes can also include respiratory losses of CO₂ by grazing ruminants. The combined autotrophic and heterotrophic ecosystem respiration (RECO) is the CO₂ release from the plants ($R_{pl, tot}$), soil (R_{soil}) and animals (R_{an}). The ensemble of instantaneous inward and outward flows of C within the ecosystem is the Net Ecosystem Exchange (NEE), given by:

$$643 \qquad NEE = RECO - GPP$$

(2)

(1)

with positive values indicating the system is a source of C losses, and negative valuesindicating that the system sequestrates C from the atmosphere.

646

647 Appendix B - Total plant respiration

A single process of respiration is associated with growth (Thornley, 1998). A 648 649 component of this respiratory flux is deemed to be maintenance respiration (generally 650 associated with the re-synthesis of degrading tissue), while also taking into account the energy required for mineral uptake. The dependence of respiratory components on 651 652 C substrate supply is represented by separating C substrate from structure and 653 coupling the respiration flux to photosynthesis. This allows simulating variation in the fractions of total plant respiration associated with different processes during plant 654 655 development.

656 Total plant respiration, $R_{pl,tot}$ (kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), is given by:

64 65

657
$$R_{pl,tot} = R_{m,rt} + R_{m,sh} + R_{G,rt} + R_{G,sh} + R_N$$
(3)

 R_G and R_m stand for growth and maintenance respiration, respectively, referred to 659 shoot (index *sh*) and root (index *rt*). R_N is the respiratory flux associated with uptake 660 of N from the soil solution. The terms $R_{m,rt}$, $R_{G,rt}$ and R_N are soil respiratory fluxes 661 from the biological activity of roots (autotrophic soil respiration).

<mark>3</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>5</mark>5

Growth respiration rates

664 The two respiration fluxes needed to produce energy for the growth of shoots and 665 roots, $R_{G,sh}$ and $R_{G,rt}$ (kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), respectively, are calculated as:

666
$$R_{G,sh} = I_{C,G_{sh}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{Y_{G,pl}} - 1\right)$$
 (4)

667
$$R_{G,rt} = I_{C,G_{rt}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{Y_{G,pl}} - 1\right)$$
 (5)

The term $I/Y_{G,pl}$ is an efficiency measure representing the kg of C required to create 1 kg of structural C, $Y_{G,pl}$ being the photosynthetically-assimilated C initially allocated to substrate C reserves and then used for growth and maintenance of tissues. For the fraction required for growth, growth rates (kg C m⁻² d⁻¹) are calculated for aboveground $(I_{C,G_{sh}})$ and belowground $(I_{C,G_{rl}})$ biomass, based on allocation values occurring during the vegetative stage ($I_{C,G_{sh,veg}}$ and $I_{C,G_{rt,veg}}$, kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), modified in favour of aboveground biomass during the reproductive stage. The coefficient $Y_{G,pl}$ is set equal to 0.75 to represent plant growth efficiency (kg C (kg C)⁻¹).

676 C allocation fluxes into roots
$$(I_{C,G_{rt}})$$
 and aboveground $(I_{C,G_{sh}})$ plant parts are as
677 follows:

$$678 I_{C,G_{rt}} = I_{C,G_{rt\,veg}} - f_{devstage} \cdot I_{C,G_{rt\,veg}} (6)$$

$$679 \qquad I_{C,G_{sh}} = I_{C,G_{sh} veg} + f_{devstage} \cdot I_{C,G_{rt} veg}$$
(7)

680 Where
$$f_{devstage}$$
 is a factor depending on the plant development stage.

The terms $I_{C,G_{sh,veg}}$ and $I_{C,G_{rt,veg}}$ are calculated on a constant growth rate at 20 °C ($I_{C,G20}$, usually set equal to 150 kg² (kg C)⁻¹ (kg N)⁻¹), applied to the cumulative structural biomass of roots and shoots (W_{sh} , W_{rt} , kg DM m⁻²) and modulated by factors of

$$686 \qquad I_{C,G_{sh\,veg}} = I_{C,G20} \cdot f_{T,G} \cdot C_{sh} \cdot N_{sh} \cdot W_{sh} \tag{8}$$

$$I_{C,G_{rt,veg}} = I_{C,G20} \cdot f_{T,G} \cdot C_{rt} \cdot N_{rt} \cdot W_{rt}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Maintenance respiration rates

The respiration fluxes to maintain metabolic processes (those that do not result in a net increase in plant dry matter) of roots $(R_{m,rt})$ and shoots $(R_{m,sh})$ are a function of substrate C concentration (C, kg C kg DM⁻¹), structural biomass (W_{sh} · $f_{C,sh}$, W_{rr} · $f_{C,rt}$) and a temperature-dependent stress factor (Table 3):

694
$$R_{m,sh} = \frac{c}{c + \kappa_{c,mai}} \cdot R_{m,sh,temp}$$
(10)

$$695 \qquad R_{m,rt} = \frac{C}{C + K_{C,mai}} \cdot R_{m,rt,temp} \tag{11}$$

where $K_{C,mai}$ is a maintenance respiration parameter, set equal to 0.03 kg substrate C (kg structural DM)⁻¹ d⁻¹. The terms $R_{m,sh,temp}$ and $R_{m,rt,temp}$ are temporary shoot and root maintenance respiration, respectively, as follows:

699
$$R_{m,sh,temp} = f_{T,sh} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{4} k_{mai,j,20} \cdot W_{sh,j} \cdot f_{C,sh}$$
 (12)

700
$$R_{m,rt,temp} = f_{T,rt} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{4} k_{mai,j,20} \cdot W_{rt,j} \cdot f_{C,rt}$$
 (13)

These maintenance respiration factors are transient in nature since they apply to temporary values. Both shoot and root biomasses are indeed divided into four state variables representing four age categories (j=1, ..., 4), for which the following values are given for the maintenance respiration parameter at 20 °C, kmai.i.20 (kg C kg⁻¹structural C d⁻¹): 0.020 (j=1), 0.020 (j=2), 0.015 (j=3), 0.010 (j=4).

The terms $f_{C,sh}$ and $f_{C,rt}$ (kg C kg⁻¹ DM) are fractional C content in shoot and root structural dry matter, respectively (reference values are 0.50 and 0.39, respectively).

N uptake respiration rate

- The respiration flux associated with N uptake (R_N , kg C m⁻² d⁻¹) is:
- $R_N = k_N \cdot IN_{soil.rt}$
 - where $IN_{soil,rt}$ (kg Nm⁻²) is the mineral N (NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N) uptake by the sward,

(14)
and k_N is the respiratory cost of N uptake (the reference value being 0.45 kg C kg⁻¹ N).

714

715 Appendix C – Heterotrophic soil respiration

716 Apart the biological activity of roots and associated micro-organisms, the flux of CO_2 from the soil to the atmosphere is the result of the production of CO_2 from the activity 717 of heterotrophic bacteria and fungi living on litter and in the root-free soil (after 718 Parton, 1988). The soil organic carbon (SOC) pool is divided into five pools with 719 different turnover times ranging from 0.5 to 1500 years. An additional component is 720 given by the amount of C released as exudates (exu) from roots into the soil, estimated 721 as a fraction of plant substrate C. The litter in decomposition over the total soil depth 722 723 splits into its structural and substrate components, supplying the structural (str) and 724 metabolic (*met*) soil pools respectively. Other three compartments with different decomposition rates include active (act), slow (slo) and passive (pas) pools of SOC, 725 consisting of the microbial biomass, refractory components of litter and highly 726 727 humified organic compounds respectively.

Total heterotrophic soil respiration, R_{SOC} (kg C m⁻² d⁻¹), is given by:

729
$$R_{SOC} = R_{str} + R_{met} + R_{exu} + R_{act} + R_{slo} + R_{pas}$$
 (15)

730

731 Soil respiration from structural organic matter

The respiration flux associated with structural SOC (R_{str} , kg C m⁻²d⁻¹) is:

733
$$R_{str} = (1 - f_{lig,str}) \cdot f_{r,str} \cdot f_{C,str} + f_{lig,str} \cdot f_{r,str,lig} \cdot f_{C,str}$$
(16)

The microbial respiration parameter for the decomposition of the structural pool, $f_{r,str}$, and of lignin, $f_{r,str,lig}$, are set equal to 0.55 and 0.3, respectively. The term $f_{lig,str}$ is the fraction of lignin in structural soil organic matter. The fraction of C in the structural plant residue, $f_{C,str}$, is as follows:

$$738 f_{C,str} = k_{str} \cdot W_{C,str} (17)$$

where k_{str} (kg C m⁻² d⁻¹) is the decomposition rate for the structural pool and $W_{C,str}$ (kg C m⁻²) is the C content in structural fraction of plant residue (depending on temperature, lignin, texture and water content).

742

65

43	Soil respiration from metabolic organic matter
44	The respiration flux associated with metabolic SOC (R_{met} , kg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹) is:

745	$R_{met} = f_{r,met} \cdot f_{C,met} \tag{18}$
746	The microbial respiration parameter for the decomposition of the metabolic pool, $f_{r,met}$,
747	is set equal to 0.55. The fraction of C in metabolic pool, $f_{C,met}$ (kg C m ⁻²), is calculated
748	assuming linear kinetics.
749	
750	Soil respiration from exudates
751	The respiration flux associated with root exudates (R_{exu} , kg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹) is:
752	$R_{exu} = f_{r,exu} \cdot f_{C,exu} \tag{19}$
753	The parameter $f_{r,exu}$ is set equal to 0.75. The term $f_{C,exu}$ (kg C m ⁻²) is C substrate output
754	for root exudation.
755	
756	Soil respiration from active organic matter
757	The respiration flux associated with active SOC (R_{act} , kg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹) is:
758	$R_{act} = f_{r,act} \cdot f_{C,act} \tag{20}$
759	The fraction of C in active pool, $f_{C,act}$ (kg C m ⁻²), is calculated as follows:
760	$f_{r,act} = 0.85 - 0.68 \cdot \left(f_{clay,ah} + f_{silt,ah} \right) \tag{21}$
761	where f_{clay} and f_{silt} are fractions of clay and silt, respectively.
762	
763	Soil respiration from slow organic matter
764	The respiration flux associated with slow SOC (R_{slo} , kg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹) is:
765	$R_{slo} = f_{r,slo} \cdot f_{C,slo} \tag{22}$
766	The microbial respiration parameter for the decomposition of the slow pool, $f_{r,slo}$, is set
767	equal to 0.55. The fraction of C in slow fraction, $f_{c,met}(\text{kg C m}^{-2})$, is calculated as
768	follows.
769	$f_{r,slo} = k_{slo} \cdot W_{C,slo} \tag{23}$
770	$k_{slo} = k_{slo,20} \cdot k_{dec,t} \cdot k_{dec,\theta} \tag{24}$
771	$W_{C,slo}$ (kg C m ⁻²) is the C content in slow pool (depending on temperature, lignin,

20 °C, equal to 0.00027. The terms $k_{dec,t}$ and $k_{dec,\theta}$ are factor activities of soil organic

matter for temperature and water content, respectively.

- 775
- 776 Soil respiration from passive organic matter
- The respiration flux associated with active SOC (R_{pas} , kg C m⁻²d⁻¹) is:

778 $R_{pas} = f_{r,pas} \cdot f_{C,pas}$

The microbial respiration parameter for the decomposition of the passive pool, $f_{r,pas}$, is set equal to 0.55. The fraction of C in passive pool, $f_{Cp,as}$ (kg C m⁻²), is calculated assuming linear kinetics.

(25)

782

783 Appendix D - Respiration from grazing animals

Half of the C in plant material consumed by grazing animals ($I_{C,veg \rightarrow ani}$, kg C m⁻² d⁻¹) is assumed to be respired (R_{ani} , kg C m⁻²d⁻¹):

$$R_{ani} = 0.5 \cdot I_{C,veg \to ani} \tag{26}$$

787 The amount of ingested C is estimated as follows:

788
$$I_{C,veg \to ani} = \left(f_{C,sh} + C\right) \cdot \frac{W_{sh}}{W_{sh,tot}} \cdot I_{veg \to ani}$$
(27)

where $(I_{veg \rightarrow ani}, \text{ kg DM m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ is the amount of ingested dry matter, which increases with the aboveground plant biomass $(W_{sh,tot}, \text{ kg DM m}^{-2})$ available for the animals $(W_{sh}, \text{ kg DM m}^{-2}, \text{ is the cumulative structural aboveground biomass})$, limited by the maximum ingestion capacity the animal is able to maintain $(I_{max}, \text{ whose reference})$ value is 16 kg DM d⁻¹ animal⁻¹).

795 Appendix E - Soil temperature

 The calculation of temperature in each soil layer is based on the energy balance equations for the different soil layers (Campbell, 1985; Monteith, 1965; Shuttleworth and Wallace, 1985):

(28)

(29)

799
$$T_s^*(h) = 0.6 \cdot T_s(h) + (1 - 0.6) \cdot T_s'(h)$$

Where $T_s(h)$ (K) is the soil temperature at the midpoint of the ith layer in the present time step, $T'_s(h)(K)$ is soil temperature in the same layer but one time step in the past, and $T^*_s(h)(K)$ is temperature in the ith layer at some time between the two time steps.

804 Appendix F - Soil water balance

The water content in the different soil layers determines photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, soil evaporation, and rates of soil biological processes, and is used to calculate soil temperature in the different layers, which influences belowground plant processes, soil heat flux, and soil biological processes. Values of the soil matrix potential in soil layer *h*, $\psi(h)$ (m), is related to the water content in the same layer, $\theta_3(h)$ (m³ m⁻³) by the soil moisture characteristic (Campbell, 1985):

811
$$\theta_{s}(h) = \theta_{s,sat}(h) \cdot \left[\frac{\psi_{e}(h)}{\psi(h)}\right]^{\frac{1}{b(h)}}$$

where $\theta_{s,sat}(h)$ (m³ m⁻³) is the saturation soil water content in soil layer h, $\psi_e(h)$ is the air entry water potential (m) in soil layer h, b(h) is a physical parameter for soil layer h.

References

<mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3

Acharya, B.S., Rasmussen, J., Eriksen, J., 2012. Grassland carbon sequestration and emissions
 following cultivation in a mixed crop rotation. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment
 153, 33–39. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2012.03.001

Addiscott, T.M., Whitmore, A.P., 1987. Computer simulation of changes in soil mineral nitrogen
and crop nitrogen during autumn, winter and spring. The Journal of Agricultural Science
109, 141-157. doi:10.1017/S0021859600081089

Aires, L.M.I., Pio, C.A., Pereira, J.S., 2008. Carbon dioxide exchange above a Mediterranean C3/C4
 grassland during two climatologically contrasting years. Global Change Biology 14, 539–555. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01507.x

Allen, R.G., Walter, I.A., Elliot, R.L., Howell, T.A., Itenfisu, D., Jensen, M.E., Snyder, R., 2005. The
 ASCE standardized reference evapotranspiration equation. American Society of Civil
 Engineers, Reston.

Allison, S.D., Wallenstein, M.D., Bradford, M.A., 2010. Soil-carbon response to warming
 dependent on microbial physiology. Nature Geoscience 3, 336–340.
 doi:10.1038/ngeo846

Ammann, C., Flechard, C.R., Leifeld, J., Neftel, A., Fuhrer, J., 2007. The carbon budget of newly
 established temperate grassland depends on management intensity. Agriculture,
 Ecosystems & Environment 121, 5–20. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.002

Ascough, J.C., McMaster, G.S., Andales, A.A., Hansen, N.C., Sherrod, L.A., 2007. Evaluating
 GPFARM crop growth, soil water and soil nitrogen components for Colorado dryland
 locations. Transactions of the ASABE 50, 1565–1578.

Aubinet, M., Vesala, T., Papale, D., 2012. Eddy covariance: A practical guide to measurement and
 data analysis. Springer, Dordrecht.

Bahn, M., Rodeghiero, M., Anderson-Dunn, M., Dore, S., Gimeno, C., Droesler, M., Williams, M.,
Ammann, C., Berninger, F., Flechard, C., Jones, S., Balzarolo, M., Kumar, S., Newesely, C.,
Priwitzer, T., Raschi, A., Siegwolf, R., Susiluoto, S., Tenhunen, J., Wohlfahrt, G., Cernusca,
A., 2008. Soil respiration in European grasslands in relation to climate and assimilate
supply. Ecosystems 11, 1352–1367. doi:10.1007/s10021-008-9198-0

Baker, I.T., Prihodko, L., Denning, A.S., Goulden, M., Miller, S., da Rocha, H.R., 2008. Seasonal
drought stress in the Amazon: Reconciling models and observations. Journal of
Geophysical Research 113, G00B01. doi:10.1029/2007JG000644

847 Ball, B.C., 2013. Soil structure and greenhouse gas emissions: a synthesis of 20 years of 848 experimentation. European Journal of Soil Science 64, 357–373. doi:10.1111/ejss.12013

Balogh, J., Pintér, K., Fóti, S., Cserhalmi, D., Papp, M., Nagy, Z., 2011. Dependence of soil respiration on soil moisture, clay content, soil organic matter, and CO₂ uptake in dry grasslands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43, 1006–1013.
doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.01.017

Ben Touhami, H., Lardy, R., Barra, V., 2012a. Bayesian calibration of the Pasture Simulation Model
(PaSim) to simulate European grasslands under climate extremes: case study at Stubai
(Austria). 11th PSAM & ESREL Conference, 25-29 June, Helsinki, Finland, 1, 567-577.

Ben Touhami, H., Lardy, R., Gilgen, A., Buchmann, N., Bellocchi, G., 2012b. Bayesian calibration of
 the Pasture Simulation Model (PaSim) to simulate Swiss grasslands under climate
 extremes. 12th Congress of the European Society for Agronomy, 20-24 August, Helsinki,

Finland, 441-5. Ben Touhami, H.B., Lardy, R., Barra, V., Bellocchi, G., 2013. Screening parameters in the Pasture Simulation model using the Morris method. Ecological Modelling 266, 42–57. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.07.005 Bennett, N.D., Croke, B.F.W., Guariso, G., Guillaume, J.H.A., Hamilton, S.H., Jakeman, A.J., Marsili-Libelli, S., Newham, L.T.H., Norton, J.P., Perrin, C., Pierce, S.A., Robson, B., Seppelt, R., Voinov, A.A., Fath, B.D., Andreassian, V., 2013. Characterising performance of environmental models. Environmental Modelling & Software 40, 1-20. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.09.011 Bondeau, A., Kicklighter, D.W., Kaduk, J., The Participants of the Potsdam NdP Model intercomparison, 1999. Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP): importance of vegetation structure on seasonal NPP estimates. Global Change Biology 5, 35-45. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00005.x Bondeau, A., Smith, P.C., Zaehle, S., Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Cramer, W., Gerten, D., 2007. Modelling the role of agriculture for the 20th century global terrestrial carbon balance. Global Change Biology 13, 679-706. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01305.x Bos, J.F.F., Pflimlin, A., Aarts, H.F.M., Vertès, F., 2005. Nutrient management at farm scale. How to attain policy objectives in regions with intensive dairy farming? Plant Research International Report 83, Plant Research International, Wageningen, 260 pp. Bright, K.P., Sherlock, R.A., Lile, J., Wastney, M.E., 2000. Development and use of a whole farm model for dairying. In: Halloy, S., Williams, T. (Eds.), Applied complexity - from neural nets to managed landscapes. NZ Institute for Crop and Food Research, Christchurch, pp. 382-389. Brisson, N., Gary, C., Justes, E., Roche, R., Mary, B., Ripoche, D., Zimmer, D., Sierra, J., Bertuzzi, P., Burger, P., 2003. An overview of the crop model STICS. European Journal of Agronomy 18, 309-332. doi:10.1016/S1161-0301(02)00110-7 Butler, M.P., Davis, K.J., Denning, A.S., Kawa, S.R., 2010. Using continental observations in global atmospheric inversions of CO2: North American carbon sources and sinks. Tellus B 62, 550-572. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00501.x Byrne, K.A., Kiely, G., 2006. Partitioning of Respiration in an Intensively Managed Grassland. Plant and Soil 282, 281-289. doi:10.1007/s11104-005-6065-z Calanca, P., Vuichard, N., Campbell, C., Viovy, N., Cozic, A., Fuhrer, J., Soussana, J.F., 2007. Simulating the fluxes of CO₂ and N₂O in European grasslands with the Pasture Simulation Model (PaSim). Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 121, 164–174. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.010 Campbell, G.S., 1985. Soil physics with BASIC: transport models for soil-plant systems. Elsevier, Amsterdam. Cantarel, A.A.M., Bloor, J.M.G., Pommier, T., Guillaumaud, N., Moirot, C., Soussana, J.-F., Poly, F., 2012. Four years of experimental climate change modifies the microbial drivers of N_2O fluxes in an upland grassland ecosystem. Global Change Biology 18, 2520-2531. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02692.x Chang, J., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Ciais, P., Wang, T., Cozic, A., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Soussana, J.-F., 2013. Incorporating grassland management in a global vegetation model: model description and evaluation at 11 eddy-covariance sites in

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>9

Chen, D.-X., Hunt, H.W., Morgan, J.A., 1996. Responses of a C3 and C4 perennial grass to CO₂ enrichment and climate change: Comparison between model predictions and experimental data. Ecological Modelling 87, 11-27. doi:10.1016/0304-3800(94)00199-5 Chirinda, N., Kracher, D., Lægdsmand, M., Porter, J.R., Olesen, J.E., Petersen, B.M., Doltra, J., Kiese, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2011. Simulating soil N₂O emissions and heterotrophic CO₂ respiration in arable systems using FASSET and MoBiLE-DNDC. Plant and Soil 343, 139-160. doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0596-7 Churkina, G., Running, S.W., Schloss, A.L., The Participants of the Potsdam NdP Model intercomparison, 1999. Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP): the importance of water availability. Global Change Biology 5, 46–55. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00006.x Ciais, P., Reichstein, M., Viovy, N., Granier, A., Ogée, J., Allard, V., Aubinet, M., Buchmann, N., Bernhofer, C., Carrara, A., Chevallier, F., De Noblet, N., Friend, A.D., Friedlingstein, P., Grünwald, T., Heinesch, B., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Krinner, G., Loustau, D., Manca, G., Matteucci, G., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.M., Papale, D., Pilegaard, K., Rambal, S., Seufert, G., Soussana, J.F., Sanz, M.J., Schulze, E.D., Vesala, T., Valentini, R., 2005. Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003. Nature 437, 529-533. doi:10.1038/nature03972 Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R.B., Piao S., Thornton, P., 2013. Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 465-570. < http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1> Ciais, P., Soussana, J.F., Vuichard, N., Luyssaert, S., Don, A., Janssens, I.A., Piao, S.L., Dechow, R., Lathière, J., Maignan, F., Wattenbach, M., Smith, P., Ammann, C., Freibauer, A., Schulze, E.D., the CARBOEUROPE Synthesis Team, 2010. The greenhouse gas balance of European Biogeosciences Discussions 7, 5997-6050. grasslands. doi:10.5194/bgd-7-5997-2010 De Jager, J.M., 1994. Accuracy of vegetation evaporation ratio formulae for estimating final wheat yield. Water SA 20, 307-314. De Martonne, E, 1942. Nouvelle carte mondiale de l'indice d'aridité. Annales de Géographie 51, 242-250 (in French). Desai, A.R., Richardson, A.D., Moffat, A.M., Kattge, J., Hollinger, D.Y., Barr, A., Falge, E., Noormets, A., Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Stauch, V.J., 2008. Cross-site evaluation of eddy covariance GPP and RE decomposition techniques. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 148, 821-838. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.11.012 Diodato, N., Ceccarelli, M., 2004. Multivariate indicator Kriging approach using a GIS to classify soil degradation for Mediterranean agricultural lands. Ecological Indicators 4, 177–187. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2004.03.002 Donatelli, M., Cerrani, I., Fanchini, D., Fumagalli, D., Rizzoli, A.E., 2012. Enhancing model reuse via

Model

Development

Discussions

6,

2769-2812.

Geoscientific

doi:10.5194/gmdd-6-2769-2013

<mark>4</mark>3

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>9

Europe.

947 component-centered modeling frameworks: the vision and example realizations. In:
948 Seppelt, R., Voinov, A.A., Lange, S., Bankamp, D. (Eds.), Proceedings of International
949 Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software Managing Resources of a Limited
950 Planet, Sixth Biennial Meeting, Leipzig, Germany, pp. 1185–1192.

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>9

Duru, M., Jouany, C., Le Roux, X., Navas, M.L., Cruz, P., 2013. From a conceptual framework to an
 operational approach for managing grassland functional diversity to obtain targeted
 ecosystem services: Case studies from French mountains. Renewable Agriculture and
 Food Systems 23, 239–254. doi:10.1017/S1742170513000306

EC, 2008. Regulation (EC) No 1166/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19
November 2008 on farm structure surveys and the survey on agricultural production
methods and repealing Council Regulation (EEC). Official Journal of the European Union,
Brussels.

Flechard, C.R., Ambus, P., Skiba, U., Rees, R.M., Hensen, A., van Amstel, A., Dasselaar, A. van den P., Soussana, J.F., Jones, M., Clifton-Brown, J., Raschi, A., Horvath, L., Neftel, A., Jocher, M., Ammann, C., Leifeld, J., Fuhrer, J., Calanca, P., Thalman, E., Pilegaard, K., Di Marco, C., Campbell, C., Nemitz, E., Hargreaves, K.J., Levy, P.E., Ball, B.C., Jones, S.K., van de Bulk, W.C.M., Groot, T., Blom, M., Domingues, R., Kasper, G., Allard, V., Ceschia, E., Cellier, P., Laville, P., Henault, C., Bizouard, F., Abdalla, M., Williams, M., Baronti, S., Berretti, F., Grosz, B., 2007. Effects of climate and management intensity on nitrous oxide emissions in grassland systems across Europe. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 121, 135-152. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.024

968Fox, D.G., 1981. Judging air quality model performance. Bulletin of the American Meteorological969Society62,599–609.

970 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1981)062<0599:JAQMP>2.0.CO;2

Gilmanov, T.G., Aires, L., Barcza, Z., Baron, V.S., Belelli, L., Beringer, J., Billesbach, D., Bonal, D., Bradford, J., Ceschia, E., Cook, D., Corradi, C., Frank, A., Gianelle, D., Gimeno, C., Gruenwald, T., Guo, H., Hanan, N., Haszpra, L., Heilman, J., Jacobs, A., Jones, M.B., Johnson, D.A., Kiely, G., Li, S., Magliulo, V., Moors, E., Nagy, Z., Nasyrov, M., Owensby, C., Pinter, K., Pio, C., Reichstein, M., Sanz, M.J., Scott, R., Soussana, J.F., Stoy, P.C., Svejcar, T., Tuba, Z., Zhou, G., 2010. Productivity, respiration, and light-response parameters of world grassland and agroecosystems derived from flux-tower measurements. Rangeland Ecology & Management 63, 16–39. doi:10.2111/REM-D-09-00072.1

Giraldo, L.M., Lizcano, L.J., Gijsman, A.J., Rivera, B., Franco, L.H., 1999. Adapting the CROPGRO
 model of DSSAT to simulate the growth of Brachiaria decumbens. In: Proceedings of The
 Third International Symposium on Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development.
 Lima, Peru, pp. 1–10.

- Graux, A.-I., Bellocchi, G., Lardy, R., Soussana, J.-F., 2013. Ensemble modelling of climate change
 risks and opportunities for managed grasslands in France. Agricultural and Forest
 Meteorology 170, 114-131. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.06.010
- Graux, A.I., Gaurut, M., Agabriel, J., Baumont, R., Delagarde, R., Delaby, L., Soussana, J.F., 2011.
 Development of the Pasture Simulation Model for assessing livestock production under
 climate change. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 144, 69–91. doi:
 10.1016/j.agee.2011.07.001

990 Graux, A.-I., Lardy, R., Bellocchi, G., Soussana, J.-F., 2012. Global warming potential of French

grassland-based dairy livestock systems under climate change. Regional Environmental
 Change 12, 751–763. doi:10.1007/s10113-012-0289-2

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>9

- Hagen, S.C., Braswell, B.H., Linder, E., Frolking, S., Richardson, A.D., Hollinger, D.Y., 2006. Statistical
 uncertainty of eddy flux-based estimates of gross ecosystem carbon exchange at
 Howland Forest, Maine. Journal of Geophysical Research 111, D08S03.
 doi:10.1029/2005JD006154.
- Hidy, D., Barcza, Z., Haszpra, L., Churkina, G., Pintér, K., Nagy, Z., 2012. Development of the
 Biome-BGC model for simulation of managed herbaceous ecosystems. Ecological
 Modelling 226, 99–119. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.11.008
- Hollinger, D.Y., Richardson, A.D., 2005. Uncertainty in eddy covariance measurements and its
 application to physiological models. Tree Physiology 25, 873–885.
 doi:10.1093/treephys/25.7.873
- Holzworth, D.P., Huth, N.I., deVoil, P.G., Zurcher, E.J., Herrmann, N.I., McLean, G., Chenu, K., van Oosterom, E., Snow, V.O., Murphy, C., Moore, A.D., Brown, H.E., Whish, J.P.M., Verrall, S., Fainges, J., L.W., B., Peake, A.S., Poulton, P.L., Hochman, Z., Thorburn, P.J., Gaydon, D.S., Dalgliesh, N.P., Rodriguez, D., Cox, H., Chapman, S., Doherty, A., Teixeira, E., Sharp, J., Cichota, R., Vogeler, I., Li, F.Y., Wang, E., Hammer, G.L., Robertson, M.J., Dimes, J., Carberry, P.S., Hargreaves, J.N.G., MacLeod, N., McDonald, C., Harsdorf, J., Wedgwood, S., Keating, B.A., 2014. APSIM - Evolution towards a new generation of agricultural systems Modelling simulation. Environmental & Software, in press. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.07.009
- Huntzinger, D.N., Post, W.M., Wei, Y., Michalak, A.M., West, T.O., Jacobson, A.R., Baker, I.T., Chen,
 J.M., Davis, K.J., Hayes, D.J., Hoffman, F.M., Jain, A.K., Liu, S., McGuire, A.D., Neilson, R.P.,
 Potter, C., Poulter, B., Price, D., Raczka, B.M., Tian, H.Q., Thornton, P., Tomelleri, E., Viovy,
 N., Xiao, J., Yuan, W., Zeng, N., Zhao, M., Cook, R., 2012. North American Carbon Program
 (NACP) regional interim synthesis: Terrestrial biospheric model intercomparison.
 Ecological Modelling 232, 144–157. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.02.004
- Hussain, M.Z., Gruenwald, T., Tenhunen, J.D., Li, Y.L., Mirzae, H., Bernhofer, C., Otieno, D., Dinh,
 N.Q., Schmidt, M., Wartinger, M., Owen, K., 2011. Summer drought influence on CO₂ and
 water fluxes of extensively managed grassland in Germany. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
 Environment 141, 67–76. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2011.02.013
- Jacobs, C.M.J., Jacobs, A.F.G., Bosveld, F.C., Hendriks, D.M.D., Hensen, A., Kroon, P.S., Moors, E.J.,
 Nol, L., Schrier-Uijl, A., Veenendaal, E.M., 2007. Variability of annual CO₂ exchange from
 Dutch grasslands. Biogeosciences 4, 803–816.doi:10.5194/bg-4-803-2007
- Janssens, I.A., Freibauer, A., Schlamadinger, B., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman, A.J., Heimann, M.,
 Nabuurs, G.-J., Smith, P., Valentini, R., 2005. The carbon budget of terrestrial ecosystems
 at country-scale a European case study. Biogeosciences 2, 15–26.
 doi:10.5194/bg-2-15-2005
- Johnson, I.R., Chapman, D.F., Snow, V.O., Eckard, R.J., Parsons, A.J., Lambert, M.G., Cullen, B.R.,
 2008. DairyMod and EcoMod: biophysical pasture-simulation models for Australia and
 New Zealand. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 48, 621-631.
 doi:10.1071/EA07133
- Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H.A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A.D., Arain, M.A., Arneth, A.,
 Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W., Lasslop,

fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations. Journal of Geophysical Research 116, G00J07. doi:10.1029/2010JG001566 Jung, M., Vetter, M., Herold, M., Churkina, G., Reichstein, M., Zaehle, S., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Bondeau, A., Chen, Y., Trusilova, K., Feser, F., Heimann, M., 2007. Uncertainties of modeling gross primary productivity over Europe: A systematic study on the effects of using different drivers and terrestrial biosphere models. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21, GB4021. doi:10.1029/2006GB002915 Klumpp, K., Tallec, T., Guix, N., Soussana, J.-F., 2011. Long-term impacts of agricultural practices and climatic variability on carbon storage in a permanent pasture. Global Change Biology 17, 3534-3545. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02490.x Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ogée, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Prentice, I.C., 2005. A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 19, GB1015. doi:10.1029/2003GB002199 Kroon, P.S., Hensen, A., Jonker, H.J.J., Ouwersloot, H.G., Vermeulen, A.T., Bosveld, F.C., 2009. Uncertainties in eddy covariance flux measurements assessed from CH_4 and N_2O observations. Agricultural and Forest 806-816. Meteorology 150. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.08.008 Laniak, G.F., Olchin, G., Goodall, J., Voinov, A., Hill, M., Glynn, P., Whelan, G., Geller, G., Quinn, N., Blind, M., Peckham, S., Reaney, S., Gaber, N., Kennedy, R., Hughes, A., 2013. Integrated environmental modeling: A vision and roadmap for the future. Environmental Modelling & Software 39, 3-23. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.09.006 Lardy, R., Bachelet, B., Bellocchi, G., Hill, D.R.C., 2014. Towards vulnerability minimization of grassland soil organic matter using metamodels. Environmental Modelling & Software 52, 38-50. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.10.015 Lasslop, G., Reichstein, M., Kattge, J., Papale, D., 2008. Influences of observation errors in eddy flux data on inverse model parameter estimation. Biogeosciences 5, 1311-1324. doi:doi:10.5194/bg-5-1311-2008 Liu, M., He, H., Yu, G., Sun, X., Zhang, L., Han, S., Wang, H., Zhou, G., 2012. Uncertainty analysis in data processing on the estimation of net carbon exchanges at different forest ecosystems in China. Journal of Forest Research 17, 312-322. doi:10.1007/s10310-011-0323-0 Luo, G., Han, Q., Zhou, D., Li, L., Chen, X., Li, Y., Hu, Y., Li, B.L., 2012. Moderate grazing can promote aboveground primary production of grassland under water stress. Ecological Complexity 11, 126-136. doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2012.04.004 Lobell, D.B., Burke, M.B., 2010. On the use of statistical models to predict crop yield responses to climate change. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 1443–1452. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.07.008

G., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E.J., Papale, D.,

Sottocornola, M., Vaccari, F., Williams, C., 2011. Global patterns of land-atmosphere

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>9

- 1075Martin, G., Martin-Clouaire, R., Rellier, J.P., Duru, M., 2011. A simulation framework for the1076design of grassland-based beef-cattle farms. Environmental Modelling & Software 26,1077371–385. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.10.002
- 1078 Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., Richardson, A.D., Colombo, R., Sutton, M.A., Lasslop, G.,

- 1079Tomelleri, E., Wohlfahrt, G., Carvalhais, N., Cescatti, A., Mahecha, M.D., Montagnani, L.,1080Papale, D., Zaehle, S., Arain, A., Arneth, A., Black, T.A., Carrara, A., Dore, S., Gianelle, D.,1081Helfter, C., Hollinger, D., Kutsch, W.L., Lafleur, P.M., Nouvellon, Y., Rebmann, C., Da1082ROCHA, H.R., Rodeghiero, M., Roupsard, O., Sebastià, M.-T., Seufert, G., Soussana, J.-F.,1083Van Der MOLEN, M.K., 2011. Semiempirical modeling of abiotic and biotic factors1084controlling ecosystem respiration across eddy covariance sites. Global Change Biology 17,1085390–409. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02243.x
 - Minunno, F., Peltoniemi, M., Launiainen, S., Mäkelä, A., 2014. Integrating ecosystems
 measurements from multiple eddy-covariance sites to a simple model of ecosystem
 process Are there possibilities for a uniform model calibration? Geophysical Research
 Abstracts 16, EGU2014-10706-3.
 - Monteith, J., 1965. Evaporation and environment. Symposium of the Society for Experimental
 Biology 19, 205–234.
 - Moriasi, D., Arnold, J., Van Liew, M., Bingner, R., Harmel, R., Veith, T., 2007. Model evaluation
 guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations.
 Transactions of the ASABE 50, 885–900.
 - Moyano, F.E., Vasilyeva, N., Bouckaert, L., Cook, F., Craine, J., Curiel Yuste, J., Don, A., Epron, D.,
 Formanek, P., Franzluebbers, A., Ilstedt, U., Kätterer, T., Orchard, V., Reichstein, M., Rey,
 A., Ruamps, L., Subke, J.-A., Thomsen, I.K., Chenu, C., 2012. The moisture response of soil
 heterotrophic respiration: interaction with soil properties. Biogeosciences 9, 1173–1182.
 doi:10.5194/bg-9-1173-2012
 - 1100 Nash, J.E., Sutcliffe, J.V., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I A
 1101 discussion of principles. Journal of Hydrology 10, 282–290.
 1102 doi:10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6
 - Palosuo, T., Kersebaum, K.C., Angulo, C., Hlavinka, P., Moriondo, M., Olesen, J.E., Patil, R.H., Ruget,
 F., Rumbaur, C., Takac, J., Trnka, M., Bindi, M., caldag, B., Ewert, F., Ferrise, R., Mirschel,
 W., Saylan, L., Siska, B., Roeter, R., 2011. Simulation of winter wheat yield and its
 variability in different climates of Europe: A comparison of eight crop growth models.
 European Journal of Agronomy 35, 103–114. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2011.05.001
 - Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Aubinet, M., Canfora, E., Bernhofer, C., Longdoz, B., Kutsch, W., Rambal,
 S., Valentini, R., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., 2006. Towards a standardized processing of net
 ecosystem exchange measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and
 uncertainty estimation. Biogeosciences 3, 571-583. doi:10.5194/bg-3-571-2006
 - 1112Parton, W.J., 1988. Dynamics of C, N, P and S in grassland soils: a model. Biogeochemistry 5,1113109–131. doi:10.1007/BF02180320
 - Parton, W.J., Morgan, J.A., Wang, G., Del Grosso, S., 2007. Projected ecosystem impact of the
 Prairie Heating and CO₂ Enrichment experiment. New Phytologist 174, 823–834.
 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02052.x
 - Peichl, M., Carton, O., Kiely, G., 2012. Management and climate effects on carbon dioxide and
 energy exchanges in a maritime grassland. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 158,
 132–146. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2012.06.001
- Pintér, K., Barcza, Z., Balogh, J., Czóbel, S., Csintalan, Z., Tuba, Z., Nagy, Z., 2008. Interannual
 variability of grasslands' carbon balance depends on soil type. Community Ecology 9,
 43–48. doi:10.1556/ComEc.9.2008.S.7

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>9

Prescher, A.-K., Grünwald, T., Bernhofer, C., 2010. Land use regulates carbon budgets in eastern Germany: From NEE to NBP. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 1016–1025. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.03.008 Rafique, R., Peichl, M., Hennessy, D., Kiely, G., 2011. Evaluating management effects on nitrous oxide emissions from grasslands using the process-based DeNitrification-DeComposition (DNDC) model. Atmospheric Environment 45, 6029-6039. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.046 Refsgaard, J.C., van der Sluijs, J.P., Højberg, A.L., Vanrolleghem, P.A., 2007. Uncertainty in the environmental modelling process - A framework and guidance. Environmental Modelling & Software 22, 1543-1556. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2007.02.004 Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Ciais, P., Frank, D., Mahecha, M.D., Seneviratne, S.I., Zscheischler, J., Beer, C., Buchmann, N., Frank, D.C., Papale, D., Rammig, A., Smith, P., Thonicke, K., van der Velde, M., Vicca, S., Walz, A., Wattenbach, M., 2013. Climate extremes and the carbon cycle. Nature 500, 287–295. doi:10.1038/nature12350 Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havrankova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., Valentini, R., 2005. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. Global Change Biology 11, 1424-1439. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001002.x Richardson, A.D., Aubinet, M., Barr, A.G., Hollinger, D.Y., Ibrom, A., Lasslop, G., Reichstein, M., 2012, Uncertainty quantification. In: Aubinet, M., Vesala, T., Papale, D. (Eds.), Eddy covariance - A practical guide to measurement and data analysis. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 173-209. Richardson, A.D., Williams, M., Hollinger, D.Y., Moore, D.J.P., Dail, D.B., Davidson, E.A., Scott, N.A., Evans, R.S., Hughes, H., Lee, J.T., Rodrigues, C., Savage, K., 2010. Estimating parameters of a forest ecosystem C model with measurements of stocks and fluxes as joint constraints. Oecologia 164, 25-40. doi:10.1007/s00442-010-1628-y Riedo, M., Grub, A., Rosset, M., Fuhrer, J., 1998. A pasture simulation model for dry matter <mark>4</mark>3 production, and fluxes of carbon, nitrogen, water and energy. Ecological Modelling 105, <mark>4</mark>5 141-183. doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(97)00110-5 Riedo, M., Milford, C., Schmid, M., Sutton, M.A., 2002. Coupling soil-plant-atmosphere exchange of ammonia with ecosystem functioning in grasslands. Ecological Modelling 158, 83–110. doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(02)00169-2 Rodriguez, D., Van Oijen, M., Schapendonk, A., 1999. LINGRA-CC: a sink-source model to simulate the impact of climate change and management on grassland productivity. New <mark>5</mark>2 Phytologist 144, 359–368. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.1999.00521.x Rötter, R.P., Palosuo, T., Kersebaum, K.C., Angulo, C., Bindi, M., Ewert, F., Ferrise, R., Hlavinka, P., Moriondo, M., Nendel, C., Olesen, J.E., Patil, R.H., Ruget, F., Takac, J., Trnka, M., 2012. Simulation of spring barley yield in different climatic zones of Northern and Central Europe: A comparison of nine crop models. Field Crops Research 133, 23-36. 9 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2012.03.016 <mark>6</mark>0

Ruget, F., Satger, S., Volaire, F., Lelièvre, F., 2009. Modeling tiller density, growth, and yield of
Mediterranean perennial grasslands with STICS. Crop Science 49, 2379–2385.
doi:doi:10.2135/cropsci2009.06.0323

<mark>4</mark>5

9

Schaefer, K., Schwalm, C.R., Williams, C., Arain, M.A., Barr, A., Chen, J.M., Davis, K.J., Dimitrov, D., Hilton, T.W., Hollinger, D.Y., Humphreys, E., Poulter, B., Raczka, B.M., Richardson, A.D., Sahoo, A., Thornton, P., Vargas, R., Verbeeck, H., Anderson, R., Baker, I., Black, T.A., Bolstad, P., Chen, J., Curtis, P.S., Desai, A.R., Dietze, M., Dragoni, D., Gough, C., Grant, R.F., Gu, L., Jain, A., Kucharik, C., Law, B., Liu, S., Lokipitiya, E., Margolis, H.A., Matamala, R., McCaughey, J.H., Monson, R., Munger, J.W., Oechel, W., Peng, C., Price, D.T., Ricciuto, D., Riley, W.J., Roulet, N., Tian, H., Tonitto, C., Torn, M., Weng, E., Zhou, X., 2012. A model-data comparison of gross primary productivity: Results from the North American Carbon Program site synthesis. Journal of Geophysical Research 117, G03010. doi:10.1029/2012JG001960

Schapendonk, A.H.C.M., Stol, W., van Kraalingen, D.W.G., Bouman, B.A.M., 1998. LINGRA, a
 sink/source model to simulate grassland productivity in Europe. European Journal of
 Agronomy 9, 87–100. doi:10.1016/S1161-0301(98)00027-6

- Schmid, M., Fuhrer, J., Neftel, A., 2001a. Nitrous oxide concentrations in the soil of a mown
 grassland: comparison of model results with soil profile measurements. Water, Air, & Soil
 Pollution: Focus 1, 437–446. doi:10.1023/A:1013158028103
- Schmid, M., Neftel, A., Riedo, M., Fuhrer, J., 2001b. Process-based modelling of nitrous oxide
 emissions from different nitrogen sources in mown grassland. Nutrient Cycling in
 Agroecosystems 60, 177–187. doi:10.1023/a:1012694218748

Schmitt, M., Bahn, M., Wohlfahrt, G., Tappeiner, U., Cernusca, A., 2010. Land use affects the net
 ecosystem CO₂ exchange and its components in mountain grasslands. Biogeosciences 7,
 2297–2309. doi:10.5194/bg-7-2297-2010

Schulze, E.D., Luyssaert, S., Ciais, P., Freibauer, A., Al, I.A.J. et, Schulze, E.D., Soussana, J.F., Smith, P., Grace, J., Levin, I., Thiruchittampalam, B., Heimann, M., Dolman, A.J., Valentini, R., Bousquet, P., Peylin, P., Peters, W., Rödenbeck, C., Etiope, G., Vuichard, N., Wattenbach, M., Nabuurs, G.J., Poussi, Z., Nieschulze, J., Gash, J.H., Schulze, E.D., Soussana, J.F., Smith, P., Grace, J., Levin, I., Thiruchittampalam, B., Heimann, M., Dolman, A.J., Valentini, R., Bousquet, P., Peylin, P., Peters, W., Rödenbeck, C., Etiope, G., Vuichard, N., Wattenbach, M., Nabuurs, G.J., Poussi, Z., Nieschulze, J., Gash, J.H., 2009. Importance of methane and nitrous oxide for Europe's terrestrial greenhouse-gas balance. Nature Geoscience 2, 842-850. doi:10.1038/ngeo686

- Scurlock, J.M.O., Hall, D.O., 1998. The global carbon sink: a grassland perspective. Global Change
 Biology 4, 229–233. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.00151.x
- Sheikh, V., Visser, S., Stroosnijder, L., 2009. A simple model to predict soil moisture: Bridging
 Event and Continuous Hydrological (BEACH) modelling. Environmental Modelling &
 Software 24, 542–556. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2008.10.005
- 1206Shuttleworth, W.J., Wallace, J.S., 1985. Evaporation from sparse crops-an energy combination1207theory. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 111, 839–855.1208doi:10.1002/qj.49711146910
- Snow, V.O., Rotz, C.A., Moore, A.D., Martin-Clouaire, R., Johnson, I.R., Hutchings, N.J., Eckard, R.J.,
 2014. The challenges and some solutions to process-based modelling of grazed

agricultural systems. Environmental Modelling & Software, 62, 420-436. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.03.009 Soussana, J.-F., Fuhrer, J., Jones, M., Van Amstel, A., 2007. The greenhouse gas balance of grasslands in Europe. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 121, 1-4. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.001 Stöckle, C.O., Donatelli, M., Nelson, R., 2003. CropSyst, a cropping systems simulation model. European Journal of Agronomy 18, 289-307. doi:10.1016/S1161-0301(02)00109-0 Suttie, J.M., Reynolds, S.G., Batello, C., 2005. Grasslands of the world. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome. Suttle, K.B., Thomsen, M.A., Power, M.E., 2007. Species interactions reverse grassland responses to changing climate. Science 315, 640-642. doi:10.1126/science.1136401 Thornley, J.H.M., 1998. Dynamic model of leaf photosynthesis with acclimation to light and nitrogen. Annals of Botany 81, 421-430. doi:10.1006/anbo.1997.0575 Trudinger, C.M., Raupach, M.R., Rayner, P.J., Kattge, J., Liu, Q., Pak, B., Reichstein, M., Renzullo, L., Richardson, A.D., Roxburgh, S.H., Styles, J., Wang, Y.P., Briggs, P., Barrett, D., Nikolova, S., 2007. OptIC project: An intercomparison of optimization techniques for parameter estimation in terrestrial biogeochemical models. Journal of Geophysical Research 112. doi:10.1029/2006JG000367 Van Oijen, M., Cameron, D.R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Farahbakhshazad, N., Jansson, P.-E., Kiese, R., Rahn, K.-H., Werner, C., Yeluripati, J.B., 2011. A Bayesian framework for model calibration, comparison and analysis: Application to four models for the biogeochemistry of a Norway spruce forest. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151, 1609–1621. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.06.017 Van Oijen, M., Höglind, M., Hanslin, H.M., Caldwell, N., 2005. Process-based modeling of timothy regrowth. Agronomy Journal 97, 1295-1303. doi:10.2134/agronj2004.0251 Vital, J.-A., Gaurut, M., Lardy, R., Viovy, N., Soussana, J.-F., Bellocchi, G., Martin, R., 2013. High-performance computing for climate change impact studies with the Pasture Simulation model. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 98, 131–135. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2013.08.004 Vuichard, N., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Calanca, P., Soussana, J.-F., 2007a. Estimating the greenhouse gas fluxes of European grasslands with a process-based model: 2. Simulations at the <mark>4</mark>3 continental level. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21, GB1005. doi:10.1029/2005gb002612 <mark>4</mark>5 Vuichard, N., Soussana, J.-F., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Ammann, C., Calanca, P., Clifton-Brown, J., Fuhrer, J., Jones, M., Martin, C., 2007b. Estimating the greenhouse gas fluxes of European grasslands with a process-based model: 1. Model evaluation from in situ measurements. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21, GB1004. doi:10.1029/2005gb002611 Wallach, D., Thorburn, P.J., 2014. The error in agricultural systems model prediction depends on the variable being predicted. Environmental Modelling & Software 62, 487-494. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.08.001 Wang, J., Cardenas, L.M., Misselbrook, T.H., Cuttle, S., Thorman, R.E., Li, C., 2012. Modelling nitrous oxide emissions from grazed grassland systems. Environmental Pollution 162, 223-233.doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.11.027 Wang, Y.-P., Trudinger, C.M., Enting, I.G., 2009. A review of applications of model-data fusion to <mark>5</mark>9 studies of terrestrial carbon fluxes at different scales. Agricultural and Forest <mark>6</mark>0

4055	
1255	Meteorology 149, 1829–1842. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.07.009
1256	warmink, J.J., Janssen, J.A.E.B., Boolj, M.J., Krol, M.S., 2010. Identification and classification of
1257	Software 25, 1518, 1527, doi:10.1016/j.op/soft.2010.04.011
1256	Marcant D. François L. Strivay D. Cárard J.C. 1004 CARAIR: A global model of terrestrial
1259	wamani, P., Flançois, E., Strivay, D., Gerard, J.C., 1994. CARAIB. A global model of terrestrial
1200	
1201	Warszawski I Frieler K Huber V Diontek E Serdeczny O Schewe I 2014 The Inter-Sectoral
1202	Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISI-MIP): Project framework. Proceedings of the
1205	National Academy of Sciences 111, 3228–3232, doi:10.1073/nnas.1312330110
1265	Wattenbach M Sus O Vuichard N Lehuger S Gottschalk P Li L Lein A Williams M
1265	Tomelleri F Kutsch WI Buchmann N Fugster W Dietiker D Auhinet M Ceschia
1267	E., Béziat, P., Grünwald, T., Hastings, A., Osborne, B., Ciais, P., Cellier, P., Smith, P., 2010.
1268	The carbon balance of European croplands: A cross-site comparison of simulation
1269	models. Agriculture. Ecosystems & Environment 139.
1270	419–453.doi:10.1016/j.agee.2010.08.004
1271	Wieder, W.R., Bonan, G.B., Allison, S.D., 2013. Global soil carbon projections are improved by
1272	modelling microbial processes. Nature Climate Change 3, 909-912.
1273	doi:10.1038/nclimate1951
1274	Williams, J.R., Jones, C.A., Kiniry, J.R., Spanel, D.A., 1989. The EPIC crop growth model.
1275	Transactions of the ASAE 32, 497-511. doi:10.13031/2013.31032
1276	Willmott, C.J., Wicks, D.E., 1980. An Empirical Method for the Spatial Interpolation of Monthly
1277	Precipitation within California. Physical Geography 1, 59–73.
1278	doi:10.1080/02723646.1980.10642189
1279	Wohlfahrt, G., Anderson-Dunn, M., Bahn, M., Balzarolo, M., Berninger, F., Campbell, C., Carrara,
1280	A., Cescatti, A., Christensen, T., Dore, S., Eugster, W., Friborg, T., Furger, M., Gianelle, D.,
1281	Gimeno, C., Hargreaves, K., Hari, P., Haslwanter, A., Johansson, T., Marcolla, B., Milford, C.,
1282	Nagy, Z., Nemitz, E., Rogiers, N., Sanz, M.J., Siegwolf, R.T.W., Susiluoto, S., Sutton, M.,
1283	Tuba, Z., Ugolini, F., Valentini, R., Zorer, R., Cernusca, A., 2008. Biotic, abiotic, and
1284	management controls on the net $ecosystem CO_2$ exchange of European mountain
1285	grassland ecosystems. Ecosystems 11, 1338–1351. doi:10.1007/s10021-008-9196-2
1286	Wu, J., van der Linden, L., Lasslop, G., Carvalhais, N., Pilegaard, K., Beier, C., Ibrom, A., 2012.
1287	Effects of climate variability and functional changes on the interannual variation of the
1288	carbon balance in a temperate deciduous forest. Biogeosciences 9, 13–28.
1289	doi:10.5194/bg-9-13-2012
1290	Xiao, J., Chen, J., Davis, K.J., Reichstein, M., 2012. Advances in upscaling of eddy covariance
1291	measurements of carbon and water fluxes. Journal of Geophysical Research 117, G00J01.
1292	doi:10.1029/2011JG001889
1293	Xiao, J., Zhuang, Q., Liang, E., Shao, X., McGuire, A.D., Moody, A., Kicklighter, D.W., Melillo, J.M.,
1294	2009. Twentieth-century droughts and their impacts on terrestrial carbon cycling in
1295	China. Earth Interactions 13, 1–31. doi:10.1175/2009El275.1
1296	Zeeman, M.J., Hiller, R., Gilgen, A.K., Michna, P., Plüss, P., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., 2010.
1297	Management and climate impacts on net CO ₂ fluxes and carbon budgets of three
1298	grassiands along an elevational gradient in Switzerland. Agricultural and Forest
	50
	Comment citer ce document :

7 8 9

14

25 26 27

32

36

37 <mark>3</mark>8

39 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5

46 47 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9

<mark>5</mark>0 51 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>7 <mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9

<mark>6</mark>0 61 <mark>6</mark>2 63 64

<mark>6</mark>5

1299	Meteorology 150, 519–530. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.011
1300	

<mark>3</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0 <mark>5</mark>1 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>7 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0

> <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0 51 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>7 <mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0 <mark>6</mark>1 <mark>6</mark>2 63

64 <mark>6</mark>5

1

Tables

S S
study
grassland
the
tement of
d manag
climate and
. Location.
Table 1

Table 1. Location	, climate and man	lagement or	f the grasslan	d study site:	s.				
					Geographical	settings	Climate		
Country	Site	Ð	Years	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation(m a.s.l.)	Mean air temperature (°C)	Precipitation total (mm yr ⁻¹)	Source
France	Laqueuille	FRLaq1 FRLaq2	2004-2010 2004-2010	45° 38' N	02° 44' E	1040	7.8	1072	Klumpp et al. (2011)
Germany	Grillenburg	DEGri	2004-2008	50° 57' N	13° 30' E	375	8.5	946	Prescher et al. (2010)
Hungary	Bugac-Puszta	HUBug	2003-2008	46° 41' N	19° 36 'E	140	10.2	520	Pintér et al. (2008)
Ireland	Dripsey	IEDri	2003-2005	51° 59' N	08° 45' W	195	9.6	1271	Byrne and Kiely (2006)
Ttol.	Amplero	ITAmp	2003-2007	41° 52' N	13° 38' E	884	9.4	781	Wohlfahrt et al. (2008)
ıtaly	Monte Bondone	ITMbo	2003-2007	46° 00' N	11° 02' E	1550	5.2	1003	Wohlfahrt et al. (2008)
Portugal	Mitra	PTMi2	2005-2007	38° 32' N	08° 00' W	190	14.3	627	Aires et al. (2008)
Spain	Vall d'Alinya	ESVDA	2004-2008	42° 12' N	01° 26' W	1770	6.2	908	Wohlfahrt et al. (2008)
Switzerland	Oensingen	CHOe1	2002-2009	47° 17' N	07° 44' E	450	9.3	1197	Ammann et al. (2007)
The Netherlands	Cabauw	NLCa1	2004-2007	51° 57' N	04° 54' W	0.7	10	800	Jacobs et al. (2007)
United Kingdom	Easter Bush	UKEBu	2002-2008	55° 52' N	03° 02' W	190	0.6	956	Soussana et al. (2007a)

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

Table 2. Soil properties and management of the grassland study sites (ID as in Table 1)

1 2 3

4 5 6

<mark>3</mark>0 31

<mark>3</mark>6 37 <mark>3</mark>8

<mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4

<mark>4</mark>5 46 47 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 50 51 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 57 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 60 61 <mark>6</mark>2 63

64 <mark>6</mark>5

Version

			Nit ferti	rogen lization	Grai	zing			•1	Soil texture		
Ð	I Itilization ²	Cuts		Total	Stocking		Clover	Soil -				- Bulk density
	CULIZZAUOL		Events (yr ⁻¹)	amount (kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	rate (LSU ³ ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Duration (d yr ⁻¹)	fraction	(m)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	(t m ⁻³)
FRLaq1	Intensive	ı	3	210	1.10	160	010		Ľ.	57	QC	L0 0
FRLaq2	Extensive	ı	·	·	0.60	160	0.12	0.7	17	CC	07	0.07
DEGni	Intensive	2-3	1	ı		ı	0.20	0.7	13	6L	8	1.06
BUG	Extensive	ı	ı	ı	0.30	180	0.10	0.6	85	9	6	1.08
EDri	Intensive	ı	3	280	0.72	150	0.17	0.7	52	27	21	1.19
ITAmp	Intensive	ı	ı	ı	0.50	200	0.20	0.7	12	33	55	0.92
[TMbo	Extensive	1	ı	1	ı		0.20	0.7	44	39	17	1.04
PTMi2	Extensive	ı	ı	1	1.46	70	0.00	0.7	55	35	10	1.12
ESVDA	Extensive	ı	1	ı	0.18	120	0.10	0.6	15	50	35	0.87
OEN	Intensive	3-4	4	214		1	0.25	1.0	24	33	43	1.15
UKEBu	Extensive	I	4	200	0.65	160	0.00	0.7	12	24	62	1.47
NLCa1	Intensive	I	ю	225	09.0	180	0.00	0.7	45	25	30	1.19

Soil properties were obtained as the mean of six layers. Simulations make use of basic information for each layer.

² The classification into "extensive" or "intensive" grasslands was based on fertilizing intensity, the number of cuts, cattle density and/or grazing duration, which reflect the socio-economic environment in each country.

³ Livestock Standard Unit: standard measurement unit allowing the aggregation of various categories of livestock (species, sex and age) in order to enable them to be compared, related to the animals' feed requirements, using as a reference unit (=1 LSU) a dairy cow producing 3000 kg of milk annually, without additional concentrated foodstuffs (EC, 2008).

<mark>6</mark>5

brebrint

Version

1

Table 3. Summary of the PaSim eco-physiological parameters considered in this study. For each parameter, three sets of values are reported: two from the calibration obtained in previous studies (P_temp, Oensingen and P_perm, Laqueuille, after Calanca et al., 2007 and Vuichard et al., 2007b) and one from the calibration performed in this study (P_redef). Greyed areas indicate the recalibrated parameters.

Pa	rameters		Values		Description
Name	Unit	P_temp (Oensingen)	P_perm (Laqueuille)	P_redef	
Fractional C content of root structural dry matter $(f_{C,n})$	kg C kg ⁻¹ DM	0.5	0.43	0.43	These parameters multiply the root and shoot growth rates,
Fractional C content of shoot structural dry matter $(f_{C,sh})$	kg C kg ⁻¹ DM	0.39	0.435	0.435	respectively, to obtain the C substrate variation.
Parameter of the fractional N content of new plant structural dry matter (f_{mef})	kg N kg ⁻¹ DM	0.022	0.018	0.018	This parameter is used to derive the nitrogen concentration of newly produced structural dry matter.
Maximum canopy height	ш	0.35	0.707	<i>L0L</i> .0	It determines the canopy height to calculate the latent and sensible heat fluxes from the canopy and the soil surface.
Shoot turnover rate at $20 \ ^{\circ}C(\chi)$	d ⁻¹	0.03	0.012	0.012	They represent the leaf and root life-spans at a constant
Root turnover rate at $20 \ ^{\circ}C (\%)$	d ⁻¹	0.05	0.048	0.048	temperature, used to calculate the flow of plant residue.
Fraction of fibres in ingested ears (NDF_{ear})	I	0.8	0.742	0.742	
Fraction of fibres in ingested blades (NDF_{lam})	ı	0.6	0.542	0.542	These parameters represent the proportion of fibre (<i>NDF</i>) in the ingested tissues (ear, lamina, sheath/stem).
Fraction of fibres in ingested stems and sheaths (NDF_{stem})	1	0.7	0.642	0.642	
Maximum plant N concentration (F_{Nmax})	kg N kg ⁻¹ DM	0.045	0.03	0.03	This parameter limits N absorption by plant.

54

Digestible neutral detergent fibres of leaf blades - age 1 (DNDF _{lam1})	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	0.92	0.0	
Digestible neutral detergent fibres of leaf blades - age 2 (DNDF _{lam2})	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	0.82	0.77 0.3	
Digestible neutral detergent fibres of leaf blades – age 3 (DNDFlam3)	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	0.76	0.63 0.6	33
Digestible neutral detergent fibres of leaf blades - age 4 (DNDFlam4)	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	0.74	0:35 0:3	These parameters influence the daily amount of digestible neutral detergent fibre (<i>DNDF</i>) from different plant components (ear,
Digestible neutral				lamina and sheath/stem) in the animal's intake, depending on the

age of tissues (four classes).

0.92

0.92

0.84

kg digestible fibres kg⁻¹ fibres

stems and leaf sheaths

detergent fibres of

- age 1 (DNDFstem1)

0.79

0.79

0.65

kg digestible fibres kg⁻¹ fibres

stems and leaf sheaths

detergent fibres of

Digestible neutral

- age 2 (DNDF_{stem2})

0.66

0.66

0.53

kg digestible fibres kg⁻¹ fibres

stems and leaf sheaths

detergent fibres of

Digestible neutral

- age 3 (DNDF_{stem3})

0.4

0.4

0.5

kg digestible fibres kg^{-1} fibres

stems and leaf sheaths

detergent fibres of

Digestible neutral

- age 4 (DNDF_{stem4})

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

55

Version

1 2 3

4 5 6

- 37 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 46 <mark>4</mark>7 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0
- <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 57 <mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9

60 61 62

<mark>6</mark>3

64 <mark>6</mark>5

51 <mark>5</mark>2

1	1							
					They represent the influence of developmental stage (the end of ear emergence marking the transition from the reproductive to the vegetative stage) on the light-saturated leaf photosynthetic	rate (defined at standard conditions of temperature, atmospheric CO ₂ concentration and plant nitrogen concentration), which is a component of the rate of canopy photosynthesis.	This is the maximum value of specific leaf area, defined as the ratio of leaf area to dry weight, used to derive canopy leaf area from leaf biomass.	It multiplies the temperature-dependent function ¹ to estimate soil respiration.
	0.93	0.68	0.44	0.38	19.723	13.099	29	0.7
	6.03	0.68	0.44	0.38	16.723	11.099	52	2
	0.76	0.48	0.3	0.26	22.6666	15	33.5	2
	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	kg digestible fibres kg ⁻¹ fibres	μmol C m ⁻² s ⁻¹	μ mol C m ⁻² s ⁻¹	m² kg¹	
	Digestible neutral detergent fibres of ears	- age 1 (DAUDF _{ears1}) Digestible neutral detergent fibres of ears - age 2 (DNDF _{earc2})	Digestible neutral detergent fibres of ears - age 3 (DNDF _{ears3})	Digestible neutral detergent fibres of ears - age 4 (DNDF _{ears4})	Light-saturated leaf photosynthetic rate for reproductive stage (<i>pmco2rep</i>)	Light-saturated leaf photosynthetic rate for vegetative stage (pmco2veg)	Maximum specific leaf area (<i>slam</i>)	Temperature dependence factor of the soil respiration

$$f(T_a) = rac{(T_a - T_0)^{qfT} \cdot (T_0' - T_a)}{(T_{ref} - T_0)^{qfT} \cdot (T_0' - T_{ref})}, T_0 \leq T_a \leq T_0'$$

0 otherwise

 (m_{fT})

where T_a (°C) is air temperature; T_0 (°C), T_{ref} (°C) are, respectively, the base air temperature, the ceiling air temperature at which

56

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 <mark>1</mark>6 17 18 19 <mark>2</mark>0 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 28 32 Version <mark>3</mark>3 <mark>3</mark>4 <mark>3</mark>5 <mark>3</mark>6 <mark>3</mark>7 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>6 <mark>4</mark>7 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0 <mark>5</mark>1 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 57 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 60 61

<mark>6</mark>2 <mark>6</mark>3

64 <mark>6</mark>5

1 2 3

	1 2 3 4 5 6	
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	
	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
Version preprint	27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	
	37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	
	46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 54 55	
	56 57 58 60 61 62 63 64 65	

processes cease, and reference air temperature at which the maximum rate of respiration occurs; q_{fT} (unitless) is a shape parameter. Reference values for soil respiration are: $T_0=0$ °C, $T_0=45$ °C, $T_{ref}=20$ °C, $q_{fT}=2$.

Table 4 Model nerformance measurement indices

1 2 3

4 5 6

32

36 37 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1

<mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 46 47 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0 51 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>7 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0 <mark>6</mark>1 <mark>6</mark>2 63

64 <mark>6</mark>5

14016 4. INTOUCT PETIOLITIATICE III	asalutiin iiiaines.				
Douformonoo moociino	Ecultion	I Trit	Volue wence and minneed	Reli	bility
r et tot mance measure	Equation	OIII	уацие тапуе апи ригрозе	criterion	source
Coefficient of determination (R ²) of the linear regression estimates versus measurements (Addiscott and Whitmore, 1987)	$\mathbf{R}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{P}_{i} - \mathbf{O}_{i}) \cdot (\mathbf{O}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{O}})}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{P}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{P}})^{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{O}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{O}})^{2}}\right)$	1	0 (absence of fit of the regression line) to 1 (perfect fit of the regression line): the closer the values are to 1, the better the model	>0.8	De Jager (1994)
IA, index of agreement (Willmott and Wicks, 1980)	$IA = 1 - \frac{\sum (P_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i - \overline{O} + O_i - \overline{O})^2}$	ı	0 (absence of agreement) to 1 (perfect agreement): the closer the values are to 1, the better the model	>0.8	De Jager (1994)
RMSE, root mean square error (Fox, 1981)	RMSE = $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{P}_i - \mathbf{O}_i)^2}$	unit of the variable	0 (optimum) to positive infinity: the smaller RMSE, the better the model performance	1	I
MB, mean bias (Addiscott and Whitmore, 1987)	$MB = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - O_i)}{n}$	unit of the variable	negative infinity (underestimation) to positive infinity (overestimation): the closer the values are to 0, the better the model	ı	I
ME, modelling efficiency (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970)	$ME = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{P}_i - \mathbf{O}_i)^2$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{O}_i - \overline{\mathbf{O}})^2$	1	negative infinity to 1 (optimum): the closer the values are to 1, the better the model	>0.5	Moriasi et al. (2007)

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

	\mathbf{R}^2	ı	0.891	0.926	0.827	0.465	0.887	0.645	0.676	0.864	0.728	0.799	0.316	0.888		\mathbb{R}^2	-	0.91	0.89	0.94	0.82	0.93	0.96	0.77	96.0
	ME	ı	0.84	0.89	09.0	0.37	0.76	0.24	0.57	0.73	0.47	0.75	-2.04	0.82		ME	-	0.71	0.64	0.55	0.34	0.71	0.92	0.39	0.88
r .	IA	ı	0.96	0.97	0.92	0.82	0.94	0.86	0.88	0.95	0.86	0.94	0.61	0.96	Т	IA	I	0.91	0.94	0.92	0.87	0.94	0.98	0.90	0.97
EI	RMSE	mm week ⁻¹	3.14	2.67	3.45	3.95	2.65	6.03	5.08	2.82	5.93	3.97	8.21	2.87	Soil	RMSE	J.	4.06	3.88	4.35	4.00	1.73	2.06	5.96	2.46
	BIAS	mm week ⁻¹	-1.69	-1.26	1.23	0.66	-1.84	1.74	-2.31	0.78	-4.12	-1.49	3.79	-1.18		BIAS	J.	-3.19	-0.80	-3.63	-3.06	-1.24	-0.93	-0.94	1.95
	\mathbb{R}^2	ı	0.88	0.86	0.63	0.72	0.70	0.70	0.39	0.82	0.80	0.84	0.46	0.76		\mathbb{R}^2	-	0.72	0.26	0.80	0.68	0.72	0.34	0.38	0.48
	ME	ī	0.58	0.59	0.44	0.68	0.61	-0.59	-0.07	0.52	0.65	0.35	0.43	0.27		ME	ı	0.21	-5.20	0.50	0.48	0.49	-1.34	0.21	-0.14
	IA	ı	0.88	0.93	0.88	0.91	0.91	0.80	0.61	0.91	0.93	06.0	0.78	0.88		IA	-	0.69	0.41	0.83	0.75	0.82	0.55	0.70	0.68
RECO	RMSE	g C week ⁻¹ .m ⁻²	12.90	12.22	11.74	10.44	12.58	15.12	14.44	5.04	11.42	13.66	8.39	16.23	SWC	RMSE	%	7.78	16.89	6.23	5.36	2.64	8.44	7.55	6.05
	BIAS	g C week ⁻¹ .m ⁻²	-10.35	0.89	-1.53	-2.88	2.27	7.95	-9.03	1.43	-2.87	4.51	-2.14	6.55		BIAS	$o_{c}^{\prime \prime }$	5.04	-15.84	-4.24	1.69	-1.60	7.10	3.52	4.47
	\mathbb{R}^2	ı	0.73	0.86	0.83	0.81	0.83	0.64	0.40	0.77	0.87	0.85	0.53	0.80		\mathbb{R}^2	I	0.43	0.02	0.50	0.31	0.47	0.52	0.27	0.19
	ME	ı	0.69	0.85	0.81	0.79	0.66	-0.02	0.10	0.58	0.85	0.59	0.47	0.57		ME	ı	0.25	-0.92	0.44	0.21	0.25	0.45	0.14	-0.81
	IA	ı	0.91	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.93	0.83	0.74	0.92	0.96	0.93	0.82	0.92		IA	ı	0.80	0.48	0.83	0.74	0.81	0.84	0.72	0.65
GPP	RMSE	g C week ⁻¹ .m ⁻²	15.83	10.89	9.13	10.53	13.40	16.79	16.18	6:59	10.07	14.84	11.21	14.04	NEE	RMSE	g C week $^{-1}$ m $^{-2}$	12.27	17.13	7.72	8.90	8.03	6.27	9.81	6.04
	BIAS	g C week ⁻¹ .m ⁻²	-6.07	-2.22	-0.10	-1.85	5.03	9.24	-9.06	0.94	-2.94	5.35	-3.89	4.27		BIAS	g C week ⁻¹ m ⁻²	-4.10	3.11	-1.43	-1.03	-2.76	-1.29	0.19	0.49
	Site ID	<u> </u>	CHOe1	ITMbo	DEGri	UKEBu	IEDri	HUBug	ITAmp	ESVDA	FRLq1	FRLq2	PTMi2	NLCa1		Site ID		CHOe1	ITMbo	DEGri	UKEBu	IEDri	HUBug	ITAmp	ESVDA
					-	-					-			-	-										

36 37 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 40 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 46 47 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>0 51 <mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 54 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6 57 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0 61 <mark>6</mark>2 63

64 <mark>6</mark>5

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

		1 2	
		3 4	
		5 6	
		7 8	
	1	9 0	
	1 1	1 2	
	1 1	3 4	
	1 1	5 6	
	1 1	7 8	
	- 1 2	9	
	2	0 1 2	
	2	2 3 1	
	2	4 5 6	
	2	ю 7 0	
orint	2	8 9	
prek	3 3	0 1	
sion	3. 3	2 3	
Ver	3 3	4 5	
	3 3	6 7	
	3 3	8 9	
	4 4	0 1	
	4	2 3	
	4 4	4 5	
	4 4	6 7	
	4	8 9	
	555	0	
	5	2	
	5 5	3 4 5	
	5	5 6	
	5 5	/ 8	
	5 6	9 0	
	6 6	1 2	
	6 6	3 4	
	6	5	

0.911	0.905	0.944	NA
0.85	0.79	0.91	NA
0.96	0.95	0.97	NA
2.42	2.53	2.86	NA
-1.36	-1.75	-1.45	NA
0.29	0.29	0.78	0.29
-0.57	-0.24	0.39	-1.67
0.60	0.60	0.61	0.49
6.06	7.69	8.83	19.98
4.45	5.03	0.28	-16.50
0.35	0.37	0.25	0.28
0.32	0.34	-0.51	-0.79
0.76	0.76	0.68	0.68
9.88	9.34	7.90	10.68
0.08	-0.83	1.75	2.29
FRLq1	FRLq2	PTMi2	NLCa1

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007







<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>9

<mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>6

<mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9

<mark>6</mark>0

<mark>6</mark>2

<mark>6</mark>5





<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4

<mark>3</mark>5

<mark>3</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3

<mark>4</mark>4

<mark>4</mark>7

<mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>6

<mark>5</mark>9

<mark>6</mark>3





289 ^{*} X

-0.255

|| >

Cabauw

Laqueuille_int

γ = -6.729 +

y = 1.539 + 1.482*k

y = -0.6998 + 0.9571 x

9 8

= 0.6251

80

Grillenburg

Ò

= 0.704

Bugac_Puszta

= 0.80

ິ 🗠





Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

y = 3.484 + 0.4542 *X

y = -0.5836 + 1.288 *X

y = 3.372 + 0.9566 **

v = -7.283 % 1.35 Monte Bondone

90

8

<mark>0</mark>

4 20

0

 $R^{2} = 0.7038$

Dripsev

 $R^2 = 0.8203$

Alinya

 $R^2 = 0.4627$

Mitra

 -5.105 ± 1.437

|| >

y = 1.068 + 0.2665 * X R² = 0.3862

y = 0.4226 + 0.8213*x

y = -2.722 + 0.723 *x

8 8 8 4 2

= 0.8778

٣Ľ

Oensingen

= 0.7189

<u>~</u>~

Easter_Bush

Amplero

Laqueuille_ext

1 2 3

4 5 6

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4

<mark>3</mark>5

36

37

<mark>3</mark>8

<mark>3</mark>9

<mark>4</mark>0

<mark>4</mark>1

<mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3

<mark>4</mark>4

<mark>4</mark>5

46

<mark>4</mark>7

<mark>4</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>9

50

51

<mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>3

<mark>5</mark>4

<mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6

57

<mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9

60 61 62

<mark>6</mark>3

64 <mark>6</mark>5



18 19 <mark>2</mark>0

21 <mark>2</mark>2

<mark>2</mark>3

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4 Ver <mark>3</mark>5 36 37

> <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0

> <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3

> <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5

> 46 47 <mark>4</mark>8

> <mark>4</mark>9 50

51

<mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3

54 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6

57 <mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0

61 <mark>6</mark>2 63

64 <mark>6</mark>5





<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4

<mark>3</mark>5

<mark>3</mark>6

<mark>3</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>9

<mark>5</mark>0

<mark>5</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>8

<mark>6</mark>3

Fig. 6. Scatter plots of simulated with the redefined parameters versus measured RECO values (g C M⁻².week⁻¹) according to site characteristics and management practices. The goodness-of-fit R² is the coefficient of determination of the regression equation.



<mark>2</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4

<mark>3</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>1

<mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3

<mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>3

<mark>5</mark>4

<mark>5</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>8







Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

<mark>3</mark>7 <mark>3</mark>8 <mark>3</mark>9 40 <mark>4</mark>1

<mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>6 <mark>4</mark>7 <mark>4</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>9

<mark>5</mark>0

51

<mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>4 <mark>5</mark>5

<mark>5</mark>6 <mark>5</mark>7 <mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9

60 61 62

<mark>6</mark>3

64 <mark>6</mark>5



5 6

<mark>3</mark>3 <mark>3</mark>4 <mark>3</mark>5

<mark>3</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1 <mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4 <mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>8 <mark>4</mark>9

<mark>5</mark>2 <mark>5</mark>3 <mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6

<mark>5</mark>8 <mark>5</mark>9

<mark>6</mark>0 <mark>6</mark>1 <mark>6</mark>2

<mark>6</mark>5









Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007



<mark>2</mark>2

<mark>2</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>9

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007


5 6

<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4 Ver <mark>3</mark>5

<mark>3</mark>8

<mark>3</mark>9 <mark>4</mark>0 <mark>4</mark>1

<mark>4</mark>2 <mark>4</mark>3 <mark>4</mark>4

<mark>4</mark>5 <mark>4</mark>8

<mark>4</mark>9 <mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>3

<mark>5</mark>5 <mark>5</mark>6

<mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9 <mark>6</mark>0

<mark>6</mark>2

<mark>6</mark>5



Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007



<mark>3</mark>3

<mark>3</mark>4

<mark>3</mark>5

<mark>4</mark>2

<mark>4</mark>3

<mark>4</mark>4

<mark>4</mark>9

<mark>5</mark>0

<mark>5</mark>2

<mark>5</mark>3

<mark>5</mark>8

<mark>5</mark>9

 Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007 Fig. A4. Scatter plots of simulated versus measured soil water content in the top 10 centimetres.

Observed SWC (m³.m⁻³.week⁻¹)

6

6

. 00

6

\$



<mark>5</mark>4

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007

online Supplementary Material Click here to download Supplementary Material: online_supplementary_material.pptx

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007 tractable manuscript Click here to download Supplementary Material: Manuscript-shaoxiuma_03_03_2015_tractable.docx

Comment citer ce document : Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A.-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G. (2015). Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 356-371. DOI : 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007