# REGULARLY VARYING SEQUENCES 

J. GALAMBOS AND E. SENETA ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

A simple necessary and sufficient condition is developed for a sequence $\{\theta(n)\}, n=0,1,2, \cdots$, of positive terms, to satisfy $\theta(n)=R(n), n \geqq 0$, where $R(\cdot)$ is a regularly varying function on $[0, \infty)$. The condition (2.1), below, leads to a Karamatatype exponential representation for $\theta(n)$. Various associated difficulties are also discussed. (The results are of relevance in connection with limit theorems in various branches of probability theory.)


1. Introduction. A function $R(\cdot)$, defined, finite, positive and measurable on $[A, \infty)$ for some $A \geqq 0$, is said to be regularly varying if for each $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(\lambda x)}{R(x)}=\phi(\lambda) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\phi(\lambda)<\infty$. (In actual fact a weaker definition can be used, for the assumption that this positive finite limit property obtains for all $\lambda$ in a subset of positive measure of $(0, \infty)$ implies that it obtains for all $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.) Since $\phi(\lambda)$ is a positive measurable solution of the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u v)=\phi(u) \phi(v), \quad u, v>0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is well known that $\phi(\lambda)=\lambda^{\rho}$ for some finite $\rho$, and so we can write $R(x)=x^{\rho} L(x)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(\lambda x)}{L(x)}=1 \text {, for each } \lambda>0 ; \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such a regularly varying function, for which the index $\rho$ of regular variation is zero, is called slowly varying.
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The two most important properties of regularly varying functions (from which others are easily deducible) are:
(i) The convergence in (1.1) (or, equivalently, (1.3)) is uniform for $\lambda$ in any fixed interval $[a, b], 0<a<b<\infty$.
(ii) For some $B \geqq A$, a slowly varying function $L$ has representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x)=\exp \left\{\eta(x)+\int_{B}^{x} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t} d t\right\}, \quad x \geqq B \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(x) \rightarrow c(|c|<\infty)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, both being measurable and bounded. Conversely, any function $L$ having representation (1.4) is clearly slowly varying.

The systematic development of the notion of a regularly varying function, of great importance in probability theory, is due, for continuous functions, to Karamata ([1930], [1933]), and in the above setting to various later authors. A sketch of the basic history and theory is given in $\S \S 1$ and 4 of the recent paper of Bojanić and Seneta [1971]. We pause to note only the result of de Bruijn [1959, §4] that in (1.4), $\varepsilon(t)$ may be taken as continuous (the less desirable properties of $L$ being perpetuated by $\eta(x)$ ). This last remark enables us to deduce that as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
R(x)=x^{\rho} L(x) \sim x^{\rho} L_{1}(x)=R_{1}(x)
$$

where $\left(R_{1} x\right)=x^{\rho} \exp \left\{c+\int_{B}^{x} \varepsilon(t) / t d t\right\}, x \geqq B$, is a continuously differentiable regularly varying function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x R_{1}^{\prime}(x) / R_{1}(x) \rightarrow \rho \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
x R_{1}^{\prime}(x) / R_{1}(x)=\rho+\varepsilon(x), \quad x \geqq B . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, any function $R_{1}$ satisfying (1.5) is regularly varying (with index $\rho$ ), as can be seen by defining $\varepsilon(x)$ from (1.6) and integrating for $R_{1}$, to obtain the required representation.
More recently, a problem of the following genre has occurred in several probabilistic contexts. Given a sequence $\{\theta(n)\}, n=0,1,2, \cdots$, of positive numbers, when is it possible to imbed it in a regularly varying function? In other words, when is it possible to find a regularly varying function $R(x)$ such that $R(n)=\theta(n)$ ? If is it possible, then it follows, for example, from either property (i) or (ii) of regularly varying functions, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(n+1) / \theta(n) \rightarrow 1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As examples of results obtained so far, we mention that of
de Haan [1970, pp. 6-8], who shows that the imbedding is possible if (a) $\{\theta(n)\}$ is monotone, and (b) $\theta(n m) / \theta(n) \rightarrow m^{\rho}$ for all positive $m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\rho$ is finite, and that of R. S. Slack, which asserts that in (b), $m^{\rho}$ may be replaced by $\phi(m), 0<\phi(m)<\infty$, if (1.7) is imposed as an additional hypothesis, with the same conclusion. ${ }^{2}$

This type of problem, concerning regular behavior of sequences, was studied prior to the papers of Karamata mentioned above. The reader may want to consult the works of Schmidt [1925] and Schur [1930] in this regard.

There is some difficulty in attempting the obvious approach to the sequence problem along the lines of the elegant definition (1.1). Thus, it is possible to construct a sequence of positive numbers $\{\theta(n)\}$ satisfying simultaneously (for positive integer $k$ ), as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(n k) / \theta(n) \rightarrow 1, \quad \theta(n+1) / \theta(n) \nrightarrow 1 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the requirement (1.7) is broken.
To carry out such a construction, let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of prime divisors of $n$. Let $\theta(n)=\omega(n)+(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}, n \geqq 2$. It is known (Kubilius [1964, p. 39]) that there exists a subsequence $p_{j_{1}}, p_{j_{2}}, \cdots$ of the primes such that $\omega\left(p_{j_{n}}-1\right) \sim \log \log p_{j_{n}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If we consider the subsequence $\theta\left(p_{j_{n}}\right) / \theta\left(p_{j_{n}}-1\right)$ of the sequence $\theta(n+1) / \theta(n)$, we readily see that its limit is zero, since $\omega\left(p_{j_{n}}\right)=1$, so that $\theta(n+1) / \theta(n) \rightarrow 1$. If we consider $\theta^{*}(n)=$ $\omega(n)+\log \log n$ instead, we obtain that $\theta^{*}\left(p_{j_{n}}\right) / \theta^{*}\left(p_{j_{n}}-1\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, also a satisfactory result. On the other hand, we have for integer $k \geqq 1$

$$
\theta(n k)=\omega(n k)+(\log (\log n+\log k))^{1 / 2}
$$

whence for large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(n)+(\log (\log n & +\log k))^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq \theta(n k) \leqq \omega(n)+\omega(k)+(\log (\log n+\log k))^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from the definition $\omega$; and so $\theta(n k) / \theta(n) \rightarrow 1$, each integer $k \geqq 1$. (It may be proved similarly that $\theta^{*}(n k) / \theta^{*}(n) \rightarrow 1$.)

To conclude this section, it is necessary to mention that Ibragimov and Linnik [1971, p. 397] seem to cite, as an example of a sequence of positive terms such that $\theta(n k) / \theta(n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $\theta(n+1) / \theta(n) \leftrightarrow 1$, the sequence given by $\theta(n)=\omega(n)+(\log n)^{1 / 2}$. Whereas it is easy to check that $\theta(n k) / \theta(n) \rightarrow 1$ for each positive integer $k$, the proposition regarding

[^0]$\theta(n+1) / \theta(n)$ appears to be a deeper one whose validity or otherwise is not known; but note that $\theta\left(p_{j_{n}}\right) / \theta\left(p_{j_{n}}-1\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. Regularly varying sequences. We call a sequence $\{\theta(n)\}$ of positive terms regularly varying if there is a sequence of positive terms $\{\alpha(n)\}$ satisfying
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(n) \sim K \alpha(n), \quad K \text { a positive constant },  \tag{2.1a}\\
& n(1-\{\alpha(n-1) / \alpha(n)\}) \rightarrow \rho, \quad \rho \text { finite } . \tag{2.1b}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The number $\rho$ will be called the index of regular variation. The case $\rho=0$ may be called slowly varying. It is first necessary to note that there exist regularly varying sequences $\{\theta(n)\}$ which themselves do not satisfy the condition (2.1b) with $\alpha$ replaced by $\theta$ (just as not all regularly varying functions can satisfy (1.5), although $R(x) \sim R_{1}(x)$ always). For example, if we take $\theta(n)=1+(-1)^{n} / n, n \geqq 2$, then $\theta(n)$ is regularly varying with index 0 , since appropriate $\alpha(n)$ is given by $\alpha(n)=1$. However

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(1-\{\theta(n-1) / \theta(n)\}) & \rightarrow-2, & & n \rightarrow \infty, n \text { odd } \\
& \rightarrow 2, & & n \rightarrow \infty, n \text { even. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall say that a sequence of positive terms $\{\theta(n)\}, n=0,1,2, \cdots$, is imbeddable in a regularly varying function $R$ on $[0, \infty)$ if $R(n)=\theta(n)$, $n \geqq 0$.

Lemma. If $\{\theta(n)\}, n=0,1,2, \cdots$, is a regularly varying sequence of index $\rho$, then it has representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(n)=n^{\rho} a(n) \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon(j)}{j}\right\}, \quad n \geqq 1, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as $n \rightarrow \infty, a(n) \rightarrow$ positive limit,$\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$.
Proof. Since $\theta(n) \sim K \alpha(n)$, we may assume without loss of generality that $1-\alpha(m-1) / \alpha(m) \equiv \rho / m+\varepsilon(m) / m, m \geqq 1$, is less than unity in modulus for all $m \geqq 1$, by changing the first few terms of $\{\alpha(n)\}$. Since for $|x|<1$, $-\log (1-x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k} / k$, we obtain

$$
-\log \left\{\frac{\alpha(m-1)}{\alpha(m)}\right\}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left\{1-\frac{\alpha(m-1)}{\alpha(m)}\right\}^{k}=\frac{\rho}{m}+\frac{\varepsilon(m)}{m} .
$$

Summing over $m$ from 1 to $n$,

$$
\log \alpha(n)-\log \alpha(0)-\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left\{1-\frac{\alpha(m-1)}{\alpha(m)}\right\}^{k}=\rho \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon(m)}{m} .
$$

Now it is well known that $\sum_{m=1}^{n} m^{-1}-\log n=\gamma+o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma$ is a positive constant. Further since for each integer $k \geqq 2$, from (2.1b),

$$
\left|\{1-\alpha(m-1) / \alpha(m)\}^{k}\right|<\left(|\rho|+\delta_{1}\right)^{k} / m^{k}
$$

for arbitrary fixed positive $\delta_{1}$, and positive integer $m$ sufficiently large (independently of $k$ ), we have the upper bound

$$
=\left(\left(|\rho|+\delta_{1}\right) / m^{1 / 4}\right)^{k} / m^{3 k / 4} \leqq \delta_{2}^{k} / m^{3 / 2}
$$

for $k \geqq 2$ and with $0<\delta_{2}<1$, for $m$ large (independent of $k$ ). Thus we obtain that the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-1}\{1-\alpha(m-1) / \alpha(m)\}^{k}$ is (absolutely) convergent. Hence it follows that

$$
\alpha(n)=n^{\rho} a(n) \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon(j)}{j}\right\}
$$

where, as $n \rightarrow \infty, a(n) \rightarrow$ positive limit, $\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$. Since $K(n) \equiv \theta(n) / \alpha(n) \rightarrow$ pos. const. by (2.1a), it follows that $\theta(n)$ has the same kind of representation, as required.

Theorem. A sequence of positive terms $\{\theta(n)\}, n \geqq 0$, is imbeddable in a regularly varying function $R$ on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if the sequence is also regularly varying.

Proof. Sufficiency. If $\{\theta(n)\}$ is regularly varying with index $\rho$, we have representation (2.2) available for $\theta(n), n \geqq 1$. Put (where $[u]$ denotes the integer part of $u$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(0)=\theta(0) \\
& R(x)=x^{\rho} a([x]) \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\varepsilon([t+1])}{[t+1]} d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x>0$, defining $a(0)$ by $a(0)=1$, say. A glance at (1.4), or a direct verification using the definition of a regularly varying function, shows $R(x)$ is regularly varying with index $\rho$, and $R(n)=\theta(n), n \geqq 0$.

Necessity. Conversely, if $R(x)$ is a regularly varying function on $[0, \infty)$ of index $\rho$ then we have, for $x \geqq B \geqq 0$,

$$
R(x)=x^{\rho} \exp \left\{\eta(x)+\int_{B}^{x} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t} d t\right\}
$$

from (1.4), where $\varepsilon(t)$ may be taken as continuous for $x \geqq B, \varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, \eta(x) \rightarrow c(|c|<\infty)$. For integer $n \geqq 0$, we have $\theta(n)=R(n)$, so for
integer $n \geqq B$

$$
\theta(n)=n^{\rho} \exp \left\{\eta(n)+\int_{B}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t} d t\right\},
$$

since we are assuming $\theta(n)$ is imbeddable in $R(x)$.
To verify (2.1a) and (2.1b), put $\alpha(n)=n^{\rho} \exp \left\{\int_{B}^{n} \varepsilon(t) / t d t\right\}$ for all sufficiently large $n$; then $\theta(n) \sim K \alpha(n), K$ a positive constant; also

$$
n\left(1-\frac{\alpha(n-1)}{\alpha(n)}\right)=n\left(1-(1-1 / n)^{\rho} \exp \left\{-\int_{n-1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t} d t\right\}\right) \rightarrow \rho
$$

by using power series expansions, noting that $n \int_{n-1}^{n} \varepsilon(t) / t d t=n\left[\varepsilon\left(\xi_{n}\right) / \xi_{n}\right]$ where $n-\mathrm{l}<\xi_{n}<n$ by the mean value theorem ( $\varepsilon(t)$ being continuous).

Corollary. If a sequence of positive terms $\{\theta(n)\}, n \geqq 0$, is regularly varying with index $\rho$, then so is the positive function $\theta(x), x \in[0, \infty)$, defined in terms of the sequence by $\theta(x) \equiv \theta([x]), x \geqq 0$.

Proof. Let $R(x), x \in[0, \infty)$, be a regularly varying function of index $\rho$, in which $\{\theta(n)\}$ is imbeddable. Then, for $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(x) & =\theta([x])=R([x])=R\left(x-\delta_{x}\right), \quad \text { where } 0 \leqq \delta_{x}<1 ; \\
& =R\left(x\left(1-\left(\delta_{x} / x\right)\right)\right), \quad \sim R(x), \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by the uniform convergence property of regularly varying functions.
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