



Egan AJF, Cleverley RM, Peters K, Lewis RJ, Vollmer W. <u>Regulation of</u> <u>bacterial cell wall growth</u>. *FEBS Journal* 2016

Copyright:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Egan AJF, Cleverley RM, Peters K, Lewis RJ, Vollmer W. <u>Regulation of bacterial cell wall growth</u>. *FEBS Journal* 2016, which has been published in final form at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/febs.13959</u> This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Date deposited:

23/01/2017

Embargo release date:

12 November 2017



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License

Newcastle University ePrints - eprint.ncl.ac.uk

1	Regulation of bacterial cell wall growth
2	
3	Alexander J. F. Egan, Robert M. Cleverley, Katharina Peters, Richard J. Lewis and Waldemar
4	Vollmer*
5	
6	Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, University of
7	Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE2 4HH
8	
9	*Correspondence to: Waldemar Vollmer, Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, University of
10	Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE2 4HH; Email: w.vollmer@ncl.ac.uk; Tel:
11	+44 (0)191 208 3216.
12	
13	
14	Running title: Regulation of peptidoglycan growth
15	
16	Keywords: Peptidoglycan; Penicillin-binding protein; divisome; elongasome; protein
17	phosphorylation.
18	

20 Abstract

During growth and propagation a bacterial cell enlarges and subsequently divides its peptidoglycan 21 (PG) sacculus, a continuous mesh-like layer that encases the cell membrane to confer mechanical 22 23 strength and morphological robustness. The mechanism of sacculus growth, how it is regulated, and how it is coordinated with other cellular processes is poorly understood. In this article we will discuss 24 briefly the current knowledge of how cell wall synthesis is regulated, on multiple levels, from both 25 sides of the cytoplasmic membrane. According to the current knowledge, cytosolic scaffolding 26 27 proteins connect PG synthases with cytoskeletal elements, and protein phosphorylation regulates cell wall growth in Gram-positive species. PG-active enzymes engage in multiple protein-protein 28 29 interactions within PG synthesis multi-enzyme complexes, and some of the interactions modulate activities. PG synthesis is also regulated by central metabolism, and by PG maturation through the 30 action of PG hydrolytic enzymes. Only now are we beginning to appreciate how these multiple levels 31 of regulating PG synthesis enable the cell to propagate robustly with a defined cell shape under 32 different and variable growth conditions. 33

34

36 Introduction

During growth and propagation a bacterium must regulate macromolecular synthesis in order to 37 replicate precisely. One of the largest macromolecules of the cell is the $>10^9$ Da peptidoglycan (PG) 38 39 sacculus, an essential, continuous mesh-like layer that encases the cell membrane and which is needed to confer osmotic stability and morphological robustness [1]. The PG sacculus achieves these 40 structural feats through a deceptively simple composition of glycan chains of alternating N-41 acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid residues that are connected by short peptides 42 containing both L- and D-amino acids [2] (Figure 1). This basic arrangement is widely conserved 43 across most bacterial species, however, variation in the chemistry of this basic unit, particularly 44 variations in the residues of the peptide stem and secondary modifications in the glycan chains, allow 45 for great diversity in fine structure and architecture [1, 3]. In the well-studied Gram-negative model 46 bacterium Escherichia coli the newly made peptide has the sequence L-Ala-D-isoGlu-meso-Dap-D-47 Ala-D-Ala (meso-Dap, meso-diaminopimelic acid). Many Gram-positive species have D-isoGln 48 (generated by amidation of D-isoGlu) at position 2, L-Lys instead of meso-Dap at position 3, and a 49 peptide branch of 1-7 L-amino acids (or glycine) attached to the sidechain of the L-Lys (Figure 1). 50 The terminal D-Ala-D-Ala motif is highly conserved as this is a crucial substrate for peptide cross-51 linking enzymes. In Gram-negative bacteria the PG sacculus is integrated within a complex cell 52 envelope between the cytoplasmic and outer membranes, and is mostly a single layer 3 - 6 nm thick. 53 By contrast, the cell wall of Gram-positive species is thicker - 10 - 40 nm - and contains secondary 54 polymers such as teichoic acids and capsular polysaccharides [4, 5] (Figure 1). In addition, many 55 proteins with various functions are covalently anchored to the stem peptides by sortase enzymes [6]. 56 Some Gram-negative species covalently attach an abundant OM-anchored lipoprotein, Lpp (Braun's 57 lipoprotein), to the *meso*-Dap residue of the stem peptide thus tightly connecting the PG and OM [7]. 58 Bacteria also possess an array of peptidoglycan-binding proteins, some of which play roles in the 59 process of sacculus growth. 60

The mechanisms by which bacteria enlarge and divide the PG sacculus during cell growth and 61 division are poorly understood. According to a current model, PG growth is facilitated by dynamic 62 multi-protein complexes containing PG synthases and hydrolases and cell morphogenesis proteins 63 64 [8]. These complexes are positioned and/or are controlled by cytoskeletal elements to form large cell morphogenesis complexes, the elongasome for cell growth (in rod-shaped bacteria) and the divisome 65 for cell division [9]. This model is supported by the phenotypes of mutant strains, the sub-cellular 66 localization of key proteins and the existence of a large number of protein-protein interactions [9-11]. 67 However, the exact composition of these complexes, and how they function in the cell, is not known 68 and we presumably do not yet know all of the proteins involved. 69

70 Bacteria typically possess several PG synthases capable of polymerising the PG precursor lipid II (undecaprenol pyrophosphate disaccharide pentapeptide) and cross-linking the stem peptides. 71 Penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), so named because they are the primary target of the β -lactam 72 antibiotics, are the major PG synthases [12]. They exhibit a modular architecture with distinct 73 domains for catalysis and for interactions/regulation. Class A PBPs have domains for both glycan 74 75 strand polymerisation (glycosyltransferase, GTase) and peptide cross-linking (transpeptidase, TPase) activities. E. coli possesses three class A PBPs; PBP1A, PBP1B and PBP1C, and though PBP1C is 76 dispensable for growth the loss of both PBP1A and PBP1B is lethal [13]. This situation is mirrored 77 78 across many bacterial species, such that they need at least one class A PBP for growth [12]. However, some Gram-positive bacteria, B. subtilis and E. faecalis, can grow upon deletion of all class A PBP 79 genes [14, 15] and it was recently proposed that the integral membrane protein RodA and other SEDS 80 proteins, which are capable of flipping lipid II in vitro [16], have a GTase activity [17]. Class B PBPs 81 have a TPase domain and a non-catalytic domain that might function as 'pedestal' for the positioning 82 83 of the catalytic domain away from the cell membrane, but the pedestal could also participate in protein-protein interactions [18]. Finally, there are monofunctional GTases, such as MtgA in E. coli, 84 with currently unknown cellular function. All PG synthases are anchored to the cytoplasmic 85

membrane by a single transmembrane region near their N-terminus, and they possess a cytoplasmic
tail ranging in size from 4 amino acids to more than 100.

In this article we will discuss how cell wall synthesis is regulated from both sides of the cytoplasmic membrane. We will illustrate how PG synthases are connected to the bacterial cytoskeleton by cytosolic scaffolding proteins, and describe how protein phosphorylation regulates cell wall synthesis in Gram-positive species. We will discuss the role of protein-protein interactions within the elongasome and the divisome, and we will outline how PG maturation and central metabolism affects PG growth.

94

95 The role of scaffolding proteins and the architecture of synthesis machinery

Scaffolding proteins have a well-established role in numerous cellular processes in all cell types [19]. 96 Scaffolds influence bacterial cell wall synthesis by controlling the spatial arrangement of PBPs and 97 PG hydrolases, ensuring that the PG meshwork remains intact as the cell wall is remodelled and 98 expanded during cycles of cell division and growth. In this regard, the dynamic filaments formed by 99 100 the actin homolog MreB have been proposed to have an essential function as a scaffold around which the PBPs and PG hydrolases assemble [20, 21]. MreB (and orthologues Mbl and MreBH in *B. subtilis*) 101 is essential for the elongation of many rod-shaped bacteria. MreB moves along the lateral wall of the 102 103 cell depending on ongoing PG synthesis [22-24] and is connected to the cell wall synthesis machinery via the cell morphogenic protein RodZ [25]. The N-terminal, cytosolic ~90 amino acids of RodZ that 104 interact with MreB [26] are separated from the C-terminal, ~170 residue extracellular domain by a 105 single trans-membrane region, but otherwise the biochemical properties of RodZ are unknown. While 106 these findings support a scaffolding role for MreB in controlling cell wall growth from inside the cell, 107 108 the movement of MreB is not required to maintain the rod-shape of *E. coli* under standard laboratory conditions [25]. The extent to which MreB forms filaments within the cell, and whether such 109 filaments directly promote a long range ordered arrangement of other proteins, has been debated 110 111 extensively and the various models of MreB function have been discussed in depth recently [27]. The

scaffolding mechanism of regulation is also key during cell division, in which new cell poles are 112 created. A further cytoskeletal protein, the tubulin homologue FtsZ, controls the assembly of the 113 divisome from inside the cell [28]. The precise nature of the ring-like structure that FtsZ filaments 114 115 form (the Z-ring) with other division proteins is also subject to much debate, see recent reviews for a discussion of the divisome interactions and stoichiometry [11, 29]. Recent structural and biochemical 116 117 studies have cast light on how two other conserved proteins, GpsB and EzrA, in Gram-positive organisms may regulate cell wall synthesis by acting in a scaffolding mechanism in consort with the 118 cytoskeleton (Figure 2). 119

The role of GpsB in cell wall synthesis in Gram-positive bacteria is apparent from the 120 pronounced elongated growth phenotypes upon gpsB deletion in S. pneumoniae and L. 121 monocytogenes [30-32]. Furthermore, gpsB mutants are more sensitive to lysis [31] and, in the case 122 of *L. monocytogenes*, also to β -lactams [32], consistent with a weakened cell wall in the *gpsB* mutant. 123 The S. pneumoniae gpsB null mutant has a defect in the closure of the cell division septum, producing 124 125 multiple, un-constricted Z rings along the length of the elongated cells [30, 31]. By comparison, a gpsB mutant of B. subtilis is largely unaffected under normal conditions but shows increased 126 sensitivity to high-salt conditions [33]. However, cells become elongated and prone to lysis when 127 gpsB and ezrA are simultaneously deleted in B. subtilis [33], which might be caused by mis-128 localization of PBP1, the major bifunctional PBP at the cell division septum. The mechanism 129 130 underlying the synergistic effect of a gpsB ezrA double mutant is unknown, but considering that both proteins interact with PBP1, and with each other, the effect might be caused by alterations in the 131 assembly of protein complexes. 132

GpsB is a hexameric protein that associates with the cytoplasmic face of the cell membrane [32]. High resolution crystal structures have been determined for the two domains of GpsB in isolation [32], and these were used to build a low resolution model of the full length hexameric protein based on small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data [34] (Figure 2). The hexamer is highly elongated, with an overall wedge or fan shape. Binding sites for the bifunctional class A PBPs from *B. subtilis*

and L. monocytogenes, PBP1 and PBPA1 respectively, have been mapped by mutagenesis [32] and 138 are clustered together at one end of the hexamer, at the "thick" end of the wedge [34]. Intriguingly, 139 GpsB is phosphorylated in vivo at Thr75 in both B. subtilis [35, 36] and S. agalactiae [37] by the 140 141 respective kinases, PrkC and Stp1. Phosphomimetic mutations of Thr75 to Asp or Glu renders B. subtilis GpsB non-functional [36] by an as yet undeciphered mechanism. Phosphomimetic mutations 142 do not alter the stability of the GpsB hexamer *in vitro* [34], but it is possible that phosphorylation 143 144 causes an alteration in the spatial arrangement of subunits to affect the arrangement of PBPs in the membrane either at the septum during division (Figure 2) or in the lateral cell wall during growth. 145 Indeed phosphorylation of various proteins has emerged as one of the mechanisms by which cell wall 146 growth is controlled, which we discuss in more detail below. 147

The N-terminal domain of GpsB [32] is highly homologous (40% sequence identity, <1.0 Å 148 rmsd on superimposed C α atoms) to another scaffolding protein, DivIVA [38], which fulfils a crucial 149 role in cell division and sporulation by recruiting certain proteins to the cell poles. For example, 150 151 MinCD, an inhibitor of FtsZ polymerization [39], is recruited to the cell poles by DivIVA [40] to ensure proper placement of the Z-ring at mid-cell during cell division in B. subtilis. GpsB and DivIVA 152 are both two-domain proteins in which homology is restricted to their N-terminal domains; both 153 proteins have C-terminal domains that are all α -helical, and drive higher-order homo-assemblies – a 154 hexamer for GpsB and a tetramer for DivIVA – that appear to be critical for proper function of both 155 156 proteins [32, 38]. The N-terminal domains of GpsB and DivIVA are responsible for driving the interaction of the former with bifunctional PBPs in Listeria monocytogenes and B. subtilis [32] and 157 the latter with other cell morphogenesis proteins, including RodA in Corynebacterium glutamicum 158 159 [41] and MinJ in B. subtilis [42, 43], whereas the C-terminal domain of DivIVA is required to interact with the kinetochore-like protein, RacA, during chromosome segregation in B. subtilis [43]). In 160 bacterial two-hybrid assays Streptococcus pneumoniae DivIVA interacts with itself and the cell 161 division proteins FtsZ, FtsA, ZapA, FtsK and FtsL, the PG hydrolase PcsB, and the chromosome 162

segregation protein Spo0J [44]. The same study did not detect an interaction of DivIVA with eitherPBP2X or PBP1A.

Despite the close similarities in structure and sequence of the N-terminal domains of GpsB and DivIVA, their respective interactions may be specific to each protein. The divergence in function between the two proteins is starting to emerge from the recent high resolution structural analyses of both proteins [32, 38], coupled to functional analyses of sequence conservation hotspots. For instance, the residues critical for the interaction of GpsB with PBPs (Y27, D33, D37, I40 in *L. monocytogenes* GpsB) are considerably better conserved in GpsB proteins in comparison to DivIVA orthologues [32].

A notable characteristic of DivIVA is a preferential interaction with negatively curved 172 membranes [45], a crucial factor driving its localization to the cell poles and the cell division septum. 173 The binding of DivIVA to concave membranes is believed to be driven by the complementarity 174 between a concave membrane and the elongated "dumbbell" shape of the DivIVA tetramer [46], in 175 which there are membrane binding sites at both ends of the dumbbell [38]. The subcellular 176 localization of GpsB is also dynamic in rod-shaped bacteria; after division GpsB transitions from the 177 cell poles to the lateral wall of the cell and back again to mid-cell for the next cell division [33, 47]. 178 Whether this re-localization of GpsB to the division site is also driven by a preference for negatively 179 180 curved membranes is unknown. The architecture of the GpsB hexamer [34], though very different from that of the DivIVA tetramer [38], is reminiscent of the hexameric chemotaxis receptor TlpA 181 [48], which displays a similar subcellular localization pattern as GpsB. GpsB and TlpA hexamers are 182 both formed from a trimer of dimers architecture [32, 34, 48]; in the case of GpsB the N-terminal 183 domain is dimeric and further oligomerization to the hexamer is driven by interactions between C-184 terminal domains at the thin end of the wedge shape [34]. In TlpA, sensory N-terminal domains are 185 dimeric and hexamerisation occurs by the association of the C-terminal regions of three TlpA dimers 186 [48]. In both instances, the membrane-associating sites are clustered at the thick end of the hexameric 187 188 wedges. The comparison between the chemotaxis receptor TlpA and cell division regulator GpsB is

important because the shape, flexibility and multimeric state of the TlpA hexamer has been found to have a crucial effect upon its subcellular localization [48], and it is also apparent that GpsB variants that no longer form hexamers have a null phenotype in *L. monocytogenes* [32], indicating that maintenance of a hexamer with a defined shape is critical to function for both proteins.

EzrA is one of the first proteins to be recruited to mid-cell during cell division [47] and has been shown to interact with PBPs in bacterial two hybrid assays [33], as well as with a number of other cell division proteins including FtsA, FtsZ and GpsB [33]. In *B. subtilis ezrA* deletions impact the assembly of the Z-ring, causing the appearance of extra Z-rings at the cell poles and at mid-cell [33]. In *S. aureus*, an *ezrA* deletion does not lead to such a pronounced extra Z-ring phenotype but nevertheless still results in the mislocalization of FtsZ [49].

A direct interaction between EzrA and FtsZ is supported by *in vitro* assays using different approaches [50-55]. EzrA may therefore act as an adapter, linking the PBPs to the cytoskeletal protein FtsZ, the central scaffold around which the components of the cell division machinery assemble.

In addition to regulating the assembly of the Z-ring, EzrA influences cell wall synthesis. In *B. subtilis* an *ezrA* null mutant has a similar growth phenotype as a deletion of *ponA*, which encodes PBP1; cells are elongated and have a thinner cell wall [33, 56]. In these *ezrA* null strains the localization of PBP1 is perturbed because the recruitment of PBP1 to division sites at mid-cell is impaired. In *S. aureus*, an *ezrA* deletion results in enlarged cells with unusual patterns of PG insertion [49], an observation again consistent with perturbed PBP localization. Others have reported that the *ezrA* deletion in *S. aureus* [57] and *L. monocyogenes* [58] is lethal.

EzrA is an integral membrane protein with a single transmembrane helix followed by an approximately 540 amino acid elongated cytoplasmic domain, with a fold that is intriguing in its resemblance to the eukaryotic spectrin-type proteins [51, 59]. As in spectrin-like proteins [60], the EzrA cytoplasmic domain contains multiple copies of a three helical bundle repeat unit connected in a head-to-tail fashion [51]. The arrangement of helices in the repeat units from EzrA and eukaryotic spectrins superimpose very closely but the connectivity between the helices differs in the two cases [51]. The difference in connectivity explains why the intriguing relationship between EzrA andspectrins was not previously detected on the basis of sequence homology alone.

Spectrins have an established role in eukaryotic cells as adaptor proteins linking the actin 217 218 cytoskeleton to the membrane [61]. A similar role can be envisaged for EzrA as a linker between the FtsZ cytoskeleton and the membrane [51]. The unusual horseshoe shape of the EzrA cytoplasmic 219 domain raises the possibility that it could in fact act as a clamp attaching FtsZ filaments to the 220 membrane, and/or as a divider to separate FtsZ protofilaments prior to their bundling [51]. In the 221 simplest model for the association of EzrA with the membrane, the cytoplasmic domain of EzrA 222 forms a bridge over the membrane surface under which there is sufficient space to accommodate FtsZ 223 protofilaments [51] (Figure 2). Such a model could explain how EzrA negatively regulates Z-ring 224 assembly. The trapping of protofilaments under the bridge will impact lateral interactions between 225 FtsZ filaments, which should in turn modulate the structure of the Z-ring. Although the ultrastructure 226 of the Z-ring has – like several other aspects of bacterial cell division – been a controversial topic, 227 recent evidence supports the important role played by the lateral interactions between FtsZ filaments 228 229 [28, 62].

A final aspect of EzrA pertinent to a potential scaffolding role is its oligomerisation *in vivo*. 230 A characteristic of spectrin proteins, notably alpha-actinin, is the formation of an anti-parallel dimer 231 232 in which two separate chains of spectrin repeats align in an anti-parallel fashion [63]. A similar arrangement is observed in the crystal packing of the structure of the EzrA cytoplasmic domain [51] 233 and the formation of such a dimer is compatible with the simplest model for the membrane-associated 234 EzrA protein. Further biochemical and structural studies will be required to clarify precisely how 235 EzrA assembles with partner proteins in the cellular environment. Nonetheless, the structure of the 236 237 EzrA cytoplasmic domain arguably points to a key architectural role within the machinery responsible for cell wall synthesis and cell division. 238

239

240 **Regulation through protein phosphorylation**

Bacteria often use phosphorylation/dephosphorylation cascades to sense and to respond to external 241 signals, such as nutrients, oxygen, light and osmotic pressure, to adapt to changes in their 242 environment. In prokaryotes this adaptation depends mainly upon two-component signal transduction 243 244 (TCS) systems that allow communication between the cell envelope and the cytoplasm based on the transient phosphorylation of a response regulator by a membrane anchored histidine kinase [64]. In 245 B. subtilis, the WalRK TCS system senses cell wall growth by binding wall teichoic acid precursors 246 [65], whereas other TCS systems sense, for example, compromised membrane integrity (LiaRS) [66] 247 or secretion stress (CssRS) [67]; the activating molecular cues for many TCS systems remain 248 unknown. 249

<u>Serine/threonine protein kinases</u> (STPKs) and their cognate Ser-P/Thr-P phosphatases represent another major mechanism of transmembrane signalling and were thought for many years to be specific to eukaryotic cellular processes. However, since the early 1990s many eukaryotic-type STPKs have been identified in bacterial genomes (including a broad spectrum of pathogens [68, 69]), where they regulate various cellular functions, including biofilm formation, stress responses, sporulation, metabolic processes, pathogenicity, and cell wall synthesis and cell division through phosphorylating key proteins on Ser/Thr residues to elicit specific downstream effects [70].

Mycobacterium tuberculosis has 11 eukaryotic-type STPKs [71, 72]. The genes of two of 257 these, *pknA* and *pknB*, are part of an operon encoding cell wall synthesis and cell shape control genes 258 [73], and are mainly expressed during exponential growth; *pknA* and *pknB* are essential under 259 laboratory growth conditions [73-75]. The overexpression of both kinases slows cell growth and 260 changes cellular morphology, while the partial depletion of both genes results in elongated cells [73]. 261 The essential mycobacterial protein Wag31, a homolog of DivIVA, was identified as a substrate of 262 PknA and PknB in vivo [73]. The phosphorylation of Wag31 may trigger the remodelling of bacterial 263 morphology, but the molecular mechanism by which phosphorylation of Wag31 is transduced to 264 changes in cellular structure is unknown. Furthermore, PknA was subsequently shown to 265 phosphorylate FtsZ and to reduce septum formation by affecting the GTP-dependent polymerization 266

of FtsZ [76] but the phosphorylation site(s) on FtsZ are not known and it remains to be determined
how phosphorylation affects GTP binding and/or hydrolysis.

PknB is the only mycobacterial STPK that belongs to a distinct and ultra-conserved subfamily 269 270 of STPKs restricted to Gram-positive bacteria that contain several PBP and serine/threonine kinase associated (PASTA) domains in their extracellular region [77]. PknB comprises a conserved N-271 terminal kinase domain, a transmembrane region and four PASTA domains in the surface-exposed 272 273 C-terminal region [77, 78]. These PASTA domains appear to bind muropeptide PG fragments 274 depending on the identity of the amino acids at positions two and three of the peptide [79]. These PG fragments may be produced locally at mid-cell and the cell poles by PG turnover and may recruit 275 276 PknB to cell wall growth sites, where it has a regulatory function [79]. Overexpression of the extracellular PASTA domains of PknB leads to elongated cells, a delay in the regrowth from 277 stationary phase and to an increased sensitivity to β-lactam antibiotics [80]. 278

Several other PknB substrates that belong to different functional categories were identified 279 [81], implying that PknB controls multiple steps in cell envelope biogenesis. PknB modulates the 280 281 acetyltransferase activity of GlmU by phosphorylating threonine residues in its C-terminal domain; GlmU is a bifunctional enzyme that synthesises UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine, a critical precursor for 282 the synthesis of PG and other cell surface polymers [82]. Another PknB substrate is MurJ (a.k.a. 283 MviN) [83] which has been proposed to be the essential flippase for the PG precursor lipid II [84]. 284 PknB may also regulate PG polymerization by phosphorylating Thr34 of the cytoplasmic tail of a 285 class A PBP called PonA1 [85, 86], but it is currently not known how phosphorylation of the 286 cytoplasmic tail of PonA1 affects the GT and/or the TP activity that are housed on the other side of 287 the cytoplasmic membrane. 288

Another substrate of PknB, CwlM, coordinates PG synthesis in a nutrient-dependent fashion [87]. CwlM is homologous to PG amidases but unlike these seems to be inactive and localize to the cytoplasm. Phosphorylated CwlM activates the UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine 1carboxyvinyltransferase MurA, the first enzyme in the PG precursor pathway [87]. According to this model CwlM is unphosphorylated in nutrient-depleted cells, which reduces the stimulation of MurA
 resulting in decreased PG precursor synthesis and increased tolerance to many antibiotics [87].

Streptococcus pneumoniae contains one STPK (StkP) that forms a functional pair with its 295 296 corresponding cytoplasmic phosphatase PhpP [88]. StkP is involved in the regulation of virulence, competence, stress resistance and biofilm formation [89, 90]. StkP and PhpP localize to the sites of 297 PG synthesis and both proteins delocalize in the presence of cell-wall targeting antibiotics and in non-298 299 dividing cells [91]. StkP/PhpP have presumed roles in coordinating cell wall growth, since an stkP 300 mutant and a PhpP overexpression strain in the unencapsulated S. pneumoniae strain Rx1 and in the encapsulated strain D39 background grew as elongated cells with mostly unconstricted division rings 301 302 [91]. By contrast, a StkP overexpression strain and a PhpP depletion strain were rounder and smaller than wildtype S. pneumoniae [91]. However, a different study showed that the stkP deletion strain 303 and a strain expressing truncated StkP that lacks the kinase domain, produced round and chaining 304 cells [92], phenotypes that were not observed in previous studies of the *stkP* mutant [93]; this 305 discrepancy may be explained because of the different genetic backgrounds or growth conditions 306 307 used, or because of the presence of suppressor mutations [94].

The four extracellular PASTA domains of StkP bind β-lactam antibiotics as well as native and synthetic peptidoglycan [95], and are required for proper localization of StkP at mid-cell with the FtsZ ring [91, 96]. StkP is presumably recruited to cell division sites by the interaction of its PASTA domains with nascent, un-crosslinked peptidoglycan chains [91, 92]; the binding of the nascent PG to the PASTA domains activates the kinase function of StkP towards its substrate(s) [93].

313 StkP regulates cell division and PG synthesis enzymes in order to maintain the characteristic 314 ellipsoid cell shape of *S. pneumoniae* during growth and division. StkP phosphorylates several cell 315 division proteins, including the cytoskeletal elements FtsZ [96] and FtsA [91], the cell wall precursor 316 enzymes UDP-*N*-acetylmuramate-L alanine ligase MurC [97] and phosphoglucosamine mutase 317 GlmM [88], and the cell cycle regulators LocZ/MapZ [98, 99] and DivIVA [91, 92]. The non-essential 318 cell division protein LocZ/MapZ localizes to future cell division sites before FtsZ to mark the new cell wall growth zone for Z-ring assembly [98, 99]. DivIVA is specifically phosphorylated at Thr201
[92]: *S. pneumoniae* R800 cells expressing the non-phosphorylatable DivIVA(T201A) variant had an
elongated cell shape with a polar bulge and aberrant spatial organization of nascent PG synthesis [92].
However, in sharp contrast, *S. pneumoniae* Rx1 and D39 strains expressing DivIVA(T201A) did not
display cell shape defects [91] and, because of these contradictory results, the importance of the
phosphorylation of DivIVA remains unclear.

Staphylococcus aureus has one eukaryotic-like STPK (Stk1 or PknB) containing three 325 extracellular PASTA domains and a cognate, co-transcribed cytoplasmic phosphatase Stp1, both of 326 which play major roles in regulating virulence. An *stk1* null strain was more resistant than wildtype 327 to fosfomycin, which inhibits MurA, and against Triton X-100-induced lysis [100], and more 328 sensitive to the cell wall-targeting antibiotics cephalosporin and carbapenem [101]. The *stk1/stp1* 329 double mutant had defects in cell division and septum formation, producing cells with irregular sizes, 330 bulging and multiple and incomplete septa [101]. These results suggest a link between Stk1/Stp1 331 activity and cell division. 332

Altogether these findings show the diversity of STPKs and their cognate phosphatases in Gram-positive species and their potential for regulating cell wall synthesis, though the precise regulatory mechanisms remain to be determined for most cases.

336

337 Regulation through protein-protein interactions

Since the multi-enzyme complex hypothesis for PG sacculus growth was proposed by Höltje [102], many direct interactions between PG enzymes and between PG enzymes and cell morphogenesis proteins have been identified. We shall not list all the interactions in detail here as these have been reviewed comprehensively elsewhere recently [9-11]. The importance of protein-protein interactions for structural/scaffolding purposes has been discussed above. However, within multi-protein complexes there are likely interactions that impact on the activities of PG synthases and hydrolases. The divisome in *E. coli* can be broken down, in gross terms, to early and late subsets based on

localisation hierarchy and timing of arrival at mid-cell [103]. The early proteins, including FtsZ and 345 associated proteins such as FtsA and ZipA, are responsible for initiating Z-ring assembly and its 346 stabilization at the membrane at midcell for subsequent recruitment of the later proteins consisting of 347 348 PG synthases and their interacting proteins such as FtsQLB, PBP3/1B, FtsW and FtsN, and proteins involved in coordinating OM constriction with PG synthesis (the Tol-Pal machinery) in Gram-349 negatives. The interaction network between the divisome proteins is extensive, including many 350 interactions between the various late and early proteins (reviewed in [11]). A key example is between 351 FtsA, FtsN and FtsQLB, which are all essential for division in E. coli. FtsA is an actin-like 352 cytoskeletal protein and crucial in Z-ring formation, it associates with the inner leaflet of the 353 354 cytoplasmic membrane and contributes to anchoring of FtsZ [104]. FtsN is an integral bitopic membrane protein with the majority of the protein present in the periplasm, including a C-terminal 355 PG binding domain. FtsQLB are integral membrane proteins whose multiple interactions with early 356 and late divisome proteins place them within the core of the divisome [105]. The cytosolic portion of 357 FtsN interacts with FtsA, and a periplasmic portion with FtsQLB [106]. Thus the arrival of FtsN is 358 359 thought to simultaneously signal to the early and late components to initiate cell division [106]. Consistent with this role, FtsN is also directly involved in the control of PG synthesis during division 360 (detailed below). There is still much to understand about the mechanisms of divisome function, but 361 it seems clear that the complex works through more than simply scaffolding the cell wall synthesis 362 machinery, with multiple signals transduced through protein-protein interactions, making the 363 divisome highly dynamic. These mechanisms also likely occur within the elongasome complex, 364 though this is less well understood because it is relatively under-studied. 365

PG synthase activity in the *E. coli* divisome is provided by PBP1B, the crystal structure of which contains, in addition to the conserved glycosyltransferase and transpeptidase domains, a small, non-catalytic domain, called UB2H (due to structural similarity to domain 2 of UvrB) [107]. The UB2H domain acts as a docking site for an activator of PBP1B, the OM-anchored lipoprotein LpoB [108]. LpoB is absolutely required for the functioning of PBP1B in the cell [108, 109]. The other

major class A synthase, PBP1A requires a different OM lipoprotein, LpoA, for its function in the cell 371 [108, 109]. LpoA docks to a predicted, cognate non-catalytic domain, called ODD (outer membrane 372 docking domain) in PBP1A [108]. The fact that both cytoplasmic membrane-anchored major class A 373 374 PBPs of E. coli are regulated from outside the PG sacculus by OM-anchored lipoproteins supports the hypothesis that the γ -proteobacteria possess a homeostatic mechanism for regulating the PG 375 synthesis rate that responds to the status of the pores in the PG sacculus [9]. If the rate of cell growth 376 is greater than the rate of sacculus growth the increasing turgor stretches the sacculus, opening the 377 pores and potentially facilitates increased PBP activation. Both Lpo proteins span the periplasm to 378 interact specifically with the non-catalytic domains in their cognate PBP and to stimulate PG 379 380 synthesis activities [108, 110, 111]. As LpoB interacts only with the UB2H domain and not the catalytic domains [110], the interaction must induce conformational changes in PBP1B that stimulate 381 the enzyme [110, 112]. The identification of LpoB-bypass mutants with amino acid substitutions in 382 PBP1B supports this hypothesis; these substitutions cluster in the interface between the UB2H, GT 383 and TP domains and in the GT domain itself [113]. The cluster of bypass substitution mutants in the 384 385 interfaces suggests an activation signal is transduced through PBP1B from the LpoB binding site on the UB2H domain, but the precise PBP activation mechanism remains to be determined. 386

Other protein interaction partners have also been shown to have a direct effect on the PG 387 synthesis activities of PBP1B and PBP1A from E. coli (reviewed in [10]. FtsN interacts with the 388 membrane proximal portion of PBP1B and increases the rate of glycan strand synthesis 389 synergistically with the effect of LpoB [10]. The Tol-Pal machinery, which ensures proper OM 390 constriction during cell division, was recently shown to modulate the function of PBP1B-LpoB in the 391 cell through direct interaction with the synthase and its regulator [114]. This interaction alters the 392 cross-linking activity of PBP1B-LpoB in response to Tol-Pal function in the cell, possibly by 393 interfering with the conformational change exerted by LpoB on PBP1B. 394

There are other examples of conformational changes and structural dynamics that affect the activity of PG synthesis enzymes. PBP2 of *S. aureus* was found to possess dynamic structural motifs

in its GTase domain, proximal to the essential catalytic Glu114 residue [115]. These motifs (named I 397 and II) are highly conserved across GTase domains in PG synthases and are thought to induce local 398 unfolding, or at least structural flexibility, to assist catalysis [115]. Furthermore, it was noted that 399 400 artificial removal of certain disordered structural loops of PBP2 increased inter-domain flexibility between the TP and GT domains [115]. Though the authors note that the structural changes were 401 artificially introduced, their extensive structural evidence supported the hypothesis that these motifs 402 act to restrict the PBP's conformation until substrate binding [115-117]. Given that both GT and TP 403 404 activities of bifunctional PBPs are coupled [118-120], it is likely that the relative orientation of the two domains within a synthase molecule could impact function. Whether these specific structural 405 406 motifs in S. aureus PBP2 are subject to inputs from regulators through interaction, and whether this observation applies more broadly to other bifunctional PBPs remains to be determined. 407

Finally, some PG hydrolases have also been shown to be regulated through conformational changes induced by the binding of a regulator. For example, *E. coli* AmiB, one of the hydrolases important for daughter cell separation during division, exists in an inactive conformation until complexed by its regulator, EnvC [121] to prevent un-regulated PG hydrolysis. Further examples of regulated hydrolases are reviewed in [11, 122].

413

414 The role of PG-binding proteins

Most of the cell division proteins localize at mid-cell through interacting and binding to other proteins of the septal ring [123], but some cell division and sporulation proteins have so called SPOR domains (Pfam05036) and are recruited to the septum by binding to PG [124-126]. *E. coli* has four SPOR domain proteins, including the essential cell division protein FtsN (see above), DamX, DedD and the lytic transglycosylase RlpA, which all localize to mid-cell [127].

The SPOR domain of FtsN is not essential for cell division [128], but helps to accumulate the protein at mid-cell depending on the activity of the PG synthase PBP3, the PG hydrolysis activities of amidases, and the essential domain of FtsN itself, which is present in a surprisingly short

periplasmic region (35 aa) [106, 125]. FtsN binds long PG glycan strands released from sacculi by 423 amidases [128] and FtsN and the other SPOR domain proteins bind to septal regions of PG sacculi, 424 suggesting that they recognize 'denuded' glycan strands generated by amidases, which are transiently 425 426 available during the constriction process [127, 128]. The structures of the SPOR domains of FtsN and DamX from E. coli and of the sporulation protein CwlC from B. subtilis were solved using NMR 427 spectroscopy [129-131], and crucial amino acids for PG-binding were identified [132]. SPOR 428 429 domains share a low amino acid sequence identity, but they have a similar core structure with a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) fold composed of a $\beta\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\beta$ secondary structure [132]. 430

Many proteins bind to PG by virtue of specific PG-binding domains. One example is the 431 abundant PG-binding domain LysM which is, for example, present in the E. coli PG hydrolases MltD 432 [133] and MepM (YebA), the PG amidase regulator NlpD [134], and the LD-transpeptidases YnhG 433 and YcfS [135]. How PG-binding affects the function of these proteins is not known in most cases, 434 but PG hydrolases often require a PG-binding domain for activity [136]. In addition, there are 435 numerous and often abundant proteins that bind to the PG sacculus. Some of these abundant proteins 436 437 stabilize the Gram-negative cell envelope, such as the outer-membrane anchored lipoproteins Lpp and Pal [137, 138], and the integral outer membrane beta-barrel protein OmpA [138]. Pal interacts 438 with the Tol system, which constricts the outer membrane during cell division and affects PG 439 synthesis by modulating the function of PBP1B-LpoB (see above). However, in many cases we 440 simply don't know the effects of PG-interacting proteins on PG-synthesizing and hydrolysing 441 enzymes. 442

443

444 Regulation of PG growth by 'redundant' DD-carboxypeptidases

DD-Carboxypeptidases (DD-CPases) trim pentapeptides in newly made PG to tetrapeptides by
removing the terminal D-alanine. The seven DD-CPases of *E. coli* are all dispensable for the survival
of the cell and, with the exception of PBP5, their absence does not affect cell growth or morphology.
Mutants lacking PBP5 or PBP5 together with other DD-CPases, contain an increased level of

peptapeptides in the PG and have irregular cell shapes with kinks, bends or even branches [139, 140].
Pentapeptides can act as donor substrates for the TPase reaction performed by the PBPs and, hence,
DD-CPases can modulate PG synthesis by removing donor substrates for PBPs, producing the
resultant mature, tetrapeptide-rich PG [141]. Presumably, an excess of pentapeptides in the sacculus
(as in DD-CPase mutants) causes mal-functioning of PBPs that utilize donor peptides from the
sacculus instead of those present in the nascent PG, resulting in uncontrolled transpeptidase activity
and cell shape defects.

E. coli is able to grow in various different environments that affect the composition and 456 features of the periplasm, where PG synthesis (or regulation thereof) takes place. Therefore, these 457 processes must be robust in order to work properly under a range of different pH values, temperatures 458 and osmolalities. A recent study revealed a specialised function of the DD-CPase PBP6b in E. coli, 459 which is required at acidic pH to trim pentapeptides and maintain cell shape [142]. PBP6b is 460 expressed predominantly at acidic pH and the enzyme is more active and more stable at lower pH 461 values. Hence, E. coli appears to maintain sets of apparently redundant PG hydrolases with the same 462 463 substrate specificity but with different activity ranges that together cover all physiological conditions, to ensure effective growth and adaptation to environmental changes [142]. 464

465

466 **Coordination with central metabolism**

Coordinating cell growth and division to ensure that daughter cells have sufficient internal space for 467 their cytoplasmic and genetic materials is a fundamental problem for all cells to solve [143-145]. It 468 has long been known that bacteria in differing nutrient environments, poor or rich, have different 469 sizes, with those grown in the former being smaller [143-145]. Given that the PG sacculus dictates 470 morphology it follows that bacteria must ensure the correct amount of new cell wall is synthesised 471 each generation, to accommodate such variations in size. A homeostatic, and rather indirect 472 mechanism that E. coli and other γ -proteobacteria might employ, is that cell wall synthesis is activated 473 if the PG pore size increases because of stretching caused by the cytoplasmic growth rate being greater 474

than the rate of wall growth [9]. However, this is unlikely to be the only mechanism of linking cellwall and cytoplasmic growth, and of course cannot apply to Gram-positive species.

In B. subtilis the glucosyltransferase UgtP was identified as a metabolic sensor responsible 477 478 for increasing cell size under nutrient rich conditions by sensing UDP-glucose [146]. UgtP interacts with FtsZ to inhibit Z-ring formation and delay cell division when UDP-glucose levels are high, 479 giving the cell longer to increase in size per generation [147]. An analogous protein was found in E. 480 481 coli, OpgH, which also inhibits Z-ring formation depending upon the availability of UDP-glucose [148]. Both systems act to indirectly coordinate central metabolism with cell wall synthesis during 482 division, explaining why cells are longer but not how they are wider in nutrient rich growth 483 484 conditions. Whether there are regulators which coordinate lateral wall growth with metabolism remains to be resolved. A putative candidate, YvcK, is required for rod-shaped growth of B. subtilis 485 under gluconeogenic conditions through a currently unknown mechanism, but one that is associated 486 with the functions of MreB and/or the major PG synthase PBP1 [149, 150]. YvcK is conserved in 487 spherical bacteria and is essential in S. aureus [9, 151]; the homologue YbhK is also found in E. coli 488 489 [150]. It remains to be seen whether YvcK/YbhK plays a similar role in these different organisms.

It thus seems that bacteria possess mechanisms to coordinate cell wall synthesis and central metabolism during growth to ensure the appropriate amount of new wall is produced during the cell cycle. We anticipate that this emerging topic will provide interesting new insights in the coming years as the players and their cellular roles are identified.

494

495 **Concluding remarks**

We are just beginning to understand the many ways bacteria regulate cell wall growth (Figure 3). Though we have gained insight into some mechanisms, including roles of key proteins in scaffolding, PG binding and maturation, and the effects of protein-protein interactions, more components of the regulation of peptidoglycan growth are likely to be discovered even in well-studied model bacteria. Given the extensive nature of the interaction network amongst cytoskeletal, cell morphogenesis

501	proteins and PG synthases, hydrolases and their respective regulators we anticipate a wealth of new
502	mechanistic insights to come to light in the coming years. Advances in the genetic and biochemical
503	tools such as high throughput mutagenesis and genetic screening technologies, in vitro activity assays,
504	and advanced structure determination technologies will greatly aid these studies.
505	
506	Acknowledgements
507	
	This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust ($101824/Z/13/Z$ to WV) and the UK Biotechnology
508	and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/M001180/1 to RJL).
508 509	and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/M001180/1 to RJL).
508 509 510	This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (101824/Z/13/Z to WV) and the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/M001180/1 to RJL).

511 **References**

- Vollmer, W., Blanot, D. & de Pedro, M. A. (2008) Peptidoglycan structure and architecture, *FEMS Microbiology Reviews.* 32, 149-67.
- 514 2. Schleifer, K. H. & Kandler, O. (1972) Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their
 515 taxonomic implications, *Bacteriological Reviews*. 36, 407-77.
- 516 3. Vollmer, W. (2008) Structural variation in the glycan strands of bacterial peptidoglycan, *FEMS*517 *Microbiology Reviews.* 32, 287-306.
- 4. Turner, R. D., Vollmer, W. & Foster, S. J. (2014) Different walls for rods and balls: the diversity
- of peptidoglycan, *Molecular Microbiology*. **91**, 862-74.
- 520 5. Vollmer, W. & Seligman, S. J. (2010) Architecture of peptidoglycan: more data and more models,
- 521 *Trends in Microbiology.* **18**, 59-66.
- 522 6. Hendrickx, A. P., Budzik, J. M., Oh, S. Y. & Schneewind, O. (2011) Architects at the bacterial
 523 surface sortases and the assembly of pili with isopeptide bonds, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 9,
 524 166-76.
- 525 7. Braun, V. (1975) Covalent lipoprotein from the outer membrane of Escherichia coli, *Biochimica*526 *et Biophysica Acta.* 415, 335-77.
- 527 8. Höltje, J. V. (1998) Growth of the stress-bearing and shape-maintaining murein sacculus of
 528 Escherichia coli, *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR.* 62, 181-203.
- 529 9. Typas, A., Banzhaf, M., Gross, C. A. & Vollmer, W. (2012) From the regulation of peptidoglycan
 530 synthesis to bacterial growth and morphology, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 10, 123-36.
- 10. Egan, A. J., Biboy, J., van't Veer, I., Breukink, E. & Vollmer, W. (2015) Activities and regulation
- of peptidoglycan synthases, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B,
- 533 *Biological Sciences.* **370**:20150031.
- 11. Egan, A. J. & Vollmer, W. (2013) The physiology of bacterial cell division, Annals of the New
- 535 *York Academy of Sciences.* **1277**, 8-28.

- 12. Sauvage, E., Kerff, F., Terrak, M., Avala, J. A. & Charlier, P. (2008) The penicillin-binding 536
- proteins: structure and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis, FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 32, 234-58. 537
- 13. Yousif, S. Y., Broome-Smith, J. K. & Spratt, B. G. (1985) Lysis of Escherichia coli by beta-538
- 539 lactam antibiotics: deletion analysis of the role of penicillin-binding proteins 1A and 1B, Journal of
- General Microbiology. 131, 2839-2845. 540

- 541 14. Arbeloa, A., Segal, H., Hugonnet, J. E., Josseaume, N., Dubost, L., Brouard, J. P., Gutmann, L.,
- Mengin-Lecreulx, D. & Arthur, M. (2004) Role of class A penicillin-binding proteins in PBP5-542
- mediated beta-lactam resistance in Enterococcus faecalis, Journal of Bacteriology. 186, 1221-8. 543
- 15. Popham, D. L. & Setlow, P. (1996) Phenotypes of Bacillus subtilis mutants lacking multiple class 544
- A high-molecular-weight penicillin-binding proteins, Journal of Bacteriology. 178, 2079-85. 545
- 16. Mohammadi, T., van Dam, V., Sijbrandi, R., Vernet, T., Zapun, A., Bouhss, A., Diepeveen-de Bruin, M., Nguyen-Distèche, M., de Kruijff, B. & Breukink, E. (2011) Identification of FtsW as a 547
- transporter of lipid-linked cell wall precursors across the membrane, The EMBO Journal. 30, 1425-548 32. 549
- 550 17. Meeske, A. J., Riley, E. P., Robins, W. P., Uehara, T., Mekalanos, J. J., Kahne, D., Walker, S.,
- Kruse, A. C., Bernhardt, T. G. & Rudner, D. Z. (2016) SEDS proteins are a widespread family of 551 bacterial cell wall polymerases, Nature. 537, 634-638. 552
- 18. Macheboeuf, P., Contreras-Martel, C., Job, V., Dideberg, O. & Dessen, A. (2006) Penicillin 553 binding proteins: key players in bacterial cell cycle and drug resistance processes, FEMS 554
- Microbiology Reviews. 30, 673-91. 555
- 19. Good, M. C., Zalatan, J. G. & Lim, W. A. (2011) Scaffold proteins: hubs for controlling the flow 556 of cellular information, Science. 332, 680-6. 557
- 558 20. Daniel, R. A. & Errington, J. (2003) Control of cell morphogenesis in bacteria: two distinct ways to make a rod-shaped cell, Cell. 113, 767-76. 559
- 21. Jones, L. J., Carballido-Lopez, R. & Errington, J. (2001) Control of cell shape in bacteria: helical, 560
- 561 actin-like filaments in Bacillus subtilis, Cell. 104, 913-22.

- 562 22. Dominguez-Escobar, J., Chastanet, A., Crevenna, A. H., Fromion, V., Wedlich-Soldner, R. &
 563 Carballido-Lopez, R. (2011) Processive movement of MreB-associated cell wall biosynthetic
 564 complexes in bacteria, *Science*. 333, 225-8.
- 565 23. Garner, E. C., Bernard, R., Wang, W., Zhuang, X., Rudner, D. Z. & Mitchison, T. (2011)
 566 Coupled, circumferential motions of the cell wall synthesis machinery and MreB filaments in B.
 567 subtilis, *Science*. 333, 222-5.
- 568 24. van Teeffelen, S., Wang, S., Furchtgott, L., Huang, K. C., Wingreen, N. S., Shaevitz, J. W. &
- 569 Gitai, Z. (2011) The bacterial actin MreB rotates, and rotation depends on cell-wall assembly,
- 570 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* **108**, 15822-7.
- 571 25. Morgenstein, R. M., Bratton, B. P., Nguyen, J. P., Ouzounov, N., Shaevitz, J. W. & Gitai, Z.
- 572 (2015) RodZ links MreB to cell wall synthesis to mediate MreB rotation and robust morphogenesis,
- 573 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* **112**, 12510-5.
- 26. van den Ent, F., Johnson, C. M., Persons, L., de Boer, P. & Löwe, J. (2010) Bacterial actin MreB
 assembles in complex with cell shape protein RodZ, *The EMBO Journal.* 29, 1081-90.
- 576 27. Errington, J. (2015) Bacterial morphogenesis and the enigmatic MreB helix, *Nature Reviews*577 *Microbiology*. 13, 241-8.
- 578 28. Szwedziak, P., Wang, Q., Bharat, T. A., Tsim, M. & Löwe, J. (2014) Architecture of the ring 579 formed by the tubulin homologue FtsZ in bacterial cell division, *eLife*. **3**, e04601.
- Egan, A. J. & Vollmer, W. (2015) The stoichiometric divisome: a hypothesis, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 6, 455.
- 582 30. Fleurie, A., Manuse, S., Zhao, C., Campo, N., Cluzel, C., Lavergne, J. P., Freton, C., Combet,
- 583 C., Guiral, S., Soufi, B., Macek, B., Kuru, E., VanNieuwenhze, M. S., Brun, Y. V., Di Guilmi, A. M.,
- 584 Claverys, J. P., Galinier, A. & Grangeasse, C. (2014) Interplay of the serine/threonine-kinase StkP
- and the paralogs DivIVA and GpsB in pneumococcal cell elongation and division, *PLoS Genetics*.
- 586 **10**, e1004275.

- 587 31. Land, A. D., Tsui, H. C., Kocaoglu, O., Vella, S. A., Shaw, S. L., Keen, S. K., Sham, L. T.,
- Carlson, E. E. & Winkler, M. E. (2013) Requirement of essential Pbp2x and GpsB for septal ring
 closure in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39, *Molecular Microbiology*. 90, 939-55.
- 590 32. Rismondo, J., Cleverley, R. M., Lane, H. V., Grosshennig, S., Steglich, A., Moller, L., Mannala,
- 591 G. K., Hain, T., Lewis, R. J. & Halbedel, S. (2016) Structure of the bacterial cell division determinant
- 592 GpsB and its interaction with penicillin binding proteins, *Molecular Microbiology*. **99**, 978-98.
- 593 33. Claessen, D., Emmins, R., Hamoen, L. W., Daniel, R. A., Errington, J. & Edwards, D. H. (2008)
- Control of the cell elongation-division cycle by shuttling of PBP1 protein in *Bacillus subtilis*,
 Molecular Microbiology. 68, 1029-1046.
- 596 34. Cleverley, R. M., Rismondo, J., Lockhart-Cairns, M. P., Van Bentum, P. T., Egan, A. J., Vollmer,
- 597 W., Halbedel, S., Baldock, C., Breukink, E. & Lewis, R. J. (2016) Subunit Arrangement in GpsB, a
- 598 Regulator of Cell Wall Biosynthesis, *Microbial Drug Resistance*. DOI: 10.1089/mdr.2016.0050.
- 599 35. Macek, B., Mijakovic, I., Olsen, J. V., Gnad, F., Kumar, C., Jensen, P. R. & Mann, M. (2007)
- 600 The serine/threonine/tyrosine phosphoproteome of the model bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*, *Molecular*
- 601 & Cellular Proteomics : MCP. 6, 697-707.
- 36. Pompeo, F., Foulquier, E., Serrano, B., Grangeasse, C. & Galinier, A. (2015) Phosphorylation of
- 603 the cell division protein GpsB regulates PrkC kinase activity through a negative feedback loop in 604 *Bacillus subtilis, Molecular Microbiology.* **97**, 139-150.
- 37. Burnside, K., Lembo, A., Harrell, M. I., Gurney, M., Xue, L., BinhTran, N. T., Connelly, J. E.,
- Jewell, K. A., Schmidt, B. Z., de los Reyes, M., Tao, W. A., Doran, K. S. & Rajagopal, L. (2011)
- 607 Serine/threonine phosphatase Stp1 mediates post-transcriptional regulation of hemolysin, autolysis,
- and virulence of group B Streptococcus, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. **286**, 44197-210.
- 38. Oliva, M. A., Halbedel, S., Freund, S. M., Dutow, P., Leonard, T. A., Veprintsev, D. B., Hamoen,
- 610 L. W. & Löwe, J. (2010) Features critical for membrane binding revealed by DivIVA crystal
- 611 structure, *The EMBO Journal.* **29**, 1988-2001.

- 612 39. Bi, E. & Lutkenhaus, J. (1993) Cell division inhibitors SulA and MinCD prevent formation of
- 613 the FtsZ ring, *Journal of Bacteriology*. **175**, 1118-25.
- 40. Marston, A. L., Thomaides, H. B., Edwards, D. H., Sharpe, M. E. & Errington, J. (1998) Polar
- localization of the MinD protein of *Bacillus subtilis* and its role in selection of the mid-cell division
- 616 site, *Genes & Development*. **12**, 3419-30.
- 41. Sieger, B. & Bramkamp, M. (2014) Interaction sites of DivIVA and RodA from *Corynebacterium glutamicum*, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 5, 738.
- 42. Patrick, J. E. & Kearns, D. B. (2008) MinJ (YvjD) is a topological determinant of cell division
 in *Bacillus subtilis*, *Molecular Microbiology*. **70**, 1166-79.
- 43. van Baarle, S., Celik, I. N., Kaval, K. G., Bramkamp, M., Hamoen, L. W. & Halbedel, S. (2013)
- 622 Protein-protein interaction domains of *Bacillus subtilis* DivIVA, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 195, 1012-
- 623 21.
- 44. Fadda, D., Santona, A., D'Ulisse, V., Ghelardini, P., Ennas, M. G., Whalen, M. B. & Massidda,
- 625 O. (2007) Streptococcus pneumoniae DivIVA: localization and interactions in a MinCD-free context,
- 626 *Journal of Bacteriology*. **189**, 1288-98.
- 45. Lenarcic, R., Halbedel, S., Visser, L., Shaw, M., Wu, L. J., Errington, J., Marenduzzo, D. &
- Hamoen, L. W. (2009) Localisation of DivIVA by targeting to negatively curved membranes, *The EMBO Journal.* 28, 2272-82.
- 46. Stahlberg, H., Kutejova, E., Muchova, K., Gregorini, M., Lustig, A., Muller, S. A., Olivieri, V.,
- Engel, A., Wilkinson, A. J. & Barak, I. (2004) Oligomeric structure of the Bacillus subtilis cell
- division protein DivIVA determined by transmission electron microscopy, *Molecular Microbiology*.
 52, 1281-90.
- Gamba, P., Veening, J. W., Saunders, N. J., Hamoen, L. W. & Daniel, R. A. (2009) Two-step
 assembly dynamics of the *Bacillus subtilis* divisome, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 191, 4186-94.

- 48. Strahl, H., Ronneau, S., Gonzalez, B. S., Klutsch, D., Schaffner-Barbero, C. & Hamoen, L. W.
- 637 (2015) Transmembrane protein sorting driven by membrane curvature, *Nature Communications*. 6,
 638 8728.
- 49. Jorge, A. M., Hoiczyk, E., Gomes, J. P. & Pinho, M. G. (2011) EzrA contributes to the regulation
 of cell size in *Staphylococcus aureus*, *PloS One*. 6, e27542.
- 50. Chung, K. M., Hsu, H. H., Yeh, H. Y. & Chang, B. Y. (2007) Mechanism of regulation of
 prokaryotic tubulin-like GTPase FtsZ by membrane protein EzrA, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry.* 282, 14891-7.
- 51. Cleverley, R. M., Barrett, J. R., Basle, A., Bui, N. K., Hewitt, L., Solovyova, A., Xu, Z. Q.,
- Daniel, R. A., Dixon, N. E., Harry, E. J., Oakley, A. J., Vollmer, W. & Lewis, R. J. (2014) Structure
- and function of a spectrin-like regulator of bacterial cytokinesis, *Nature Communications*. 5, 5421.
- 52. Haeusser, D. P., Garza, A. C., Buscher, A. Z. & Levin, P. A. (2007) The division inhibitor EzrA
- contains a seven-residue patch required for maintaining the dynamic nature of the medial FtsZ ring, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 189, 9001-10.
- 53. Haeusser, D. P., Schwartz, R. L., Smith, A. M., Oates, M. E. & Levin, P. A. (2004) EzrA prevents
 aberrant cell division by modulating assembly of the cytoskeletal protein FtsZ, *Molecular Microbiology*. 52, 801-14.
- 54. Singh, J. K., Makde, R. D., Kumar, V. & Panda, D. (2007) A membrane protein, EzrA, regulates
 assembly dynamics of FtsZ by interacting with the C-terminal tail of FtsZ, *Biochemistry*. 46, 1101322.
- 55. Son, S. H. & Lee, H. H. (2013) The N-terminal domain of EzrA binds to the C terminus of FtsZ
 to inhibit *Staphylococcus aureus* FtsZ polymerization, *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications.* 433, 108-14.
- 56. Scheffers, D. J. & Errington, J. (2004) PBP1 is a component of the *Bacillus subtilis* cell division
 machinery, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 186, 5153-6.

- 57. Steele, V. R., Bottomley, A. L., Garcia-Lara, J., Kasturiarachchi, J. & Foster, S. J. (2011) Multiple
 essential roles for EzrA in cell division of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Molecular Microbiology*. 80, 54255.
- 58. Considine, K. M., Sleator, R. D., Kelly, A. L., Fitzgerald, G. F. & Hill, C. (2011) Identification and characterization of an essential gene in *Listeria monocytogenes* using an inducible gene expression system, *Bioengineered Bugs.* **2**, 150-9.
- 59. Cleverley, R. M. & Lewis, R. J. (2015) EzrA: a spectrin-like scaffold in the bacterial cell division
 machinery, *Microbial Cell.* 2, 59-61.
- 669 60. Nicolas, A., Delalande, O., Hubert, J. F. & Le Rumeur, E. (2014) The spectrin family of proteins:
- a unique coiled-coil fold for various molecular surface properties, *Journal of Structural Biology*. 186,
 392-401.
- 672 61. Broderick, M. J. & Winder, S. J. (2005) Spectrin, alpha-actinin, and dystrophin, *Advances in*673 *Protein Chemistry.* 70, 203-46.
- 674 62. Shin, J. Y., Vollmer, W., Lagos, R. & Monasterio, O. (2013) Glutamate 83 and arginine 85 of
 675 helix H3 bend are key residues for FtsZ polymerization, GTPase activity and cellular viability of
 676 *Escherichia coli*: lateral mutations affect FtsZ polymerization and *E. coli* viability, *BMC*677 *Microbiology*. 13, 26.
- 678 63. Ribeiro Ede, A., Jr., Pinotsis, N., Ghisleni, A., Salmazo, A., Konarev, P. V., Kostan, J., Sjoblom,
- B., Schreiner, C., Polyansky, A. A., Gkougkoulia, E. A., Holt, M. R., Aachmann, F. L., Zagrovic, B.,
- Bordignon, E., Pirker, K. F., Svergun, D. I., Gautel, M. & Djinovic-Carugo, K. (2014) The structure
- and regulation of human muscle alpha-actinin, *Cell.* **159**, 1447-60.
- 64. Stock, A. M., Robinson, V. L. & Goudreau, P. N. (2000) Two-component signal transduction, *Annual Review of Biochemistry*. 69, 183-215.
- 65. Botella, E., Devine, S. K., Hubner, S., Salzberg, L. I., Gale, R. T., Brown, E. D., Link, H., Sauer,
- 685 U., Codee, J. D., Noone, D. & Devine, K. M. (2014) PhoR autokinase activity is controlled by an
- 686 intermediate in wall teichoic acid metabolism that is sensed by the intracellular PAS domain during

- the PhoPR-mediated phosphate limitation response of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Molecular Microbiology*. 94,
 1242-59.
- 689 66. Wolf, D., Kalamorz, F., Wecke, T., Juszczak, A., Mader, U., Homuth, G., Jordan, S., Kirstein,
- J., Hoppert, M., Voigt, B., Hecker, M. & Mascher, T. (2010) In-depth profiling of the LiaR response
 of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 192, 4680-93.
- 692 67. Noone, D., Botella, E., Butler, C., Hansen, A., Jende, I. & Devine, K. M. (2012) Signal perception
- by the secretion stress-responsive CssRS two-component system in *Bacillus subtilis*, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 194, 1800-14.
- 68. Dworkin, J. (2015) Ser/Thr phosphorylation as a regulatory mechanism in bacteria, *Current Opinion in Microbiology*. 24, 47-52.
- 697 69. Manuse, S., Fleurie, A., Zucchini, L., Lesterlin, C. & Grangeasse, C. (2016) Role of eukaryotic-
- 698 like serine/threonine kinases in bacterial cell division and morphogenesis, *FEMS Microbiology*699 *Reviews.* 40, 41-56.
- 700 70. Johnson, L. N. & Lewis, R. J. (2001) Structural basis for control by phosphorylation, *Chemical Reviews*. 101, 2209-42.
- 702 71. Chakraborti, P. K., Matange, N., Nandicoori, V. K., Singh, Y., Tyagi, J. S. & Visweswariah, S.
- S. (2011) Signalling mechanisms in Mycobacteria, *Tuberculosis*. **91**, 432-440.
- 704 72. Chao, J., Wong, D., Zheng, X., Poirier, V., Bach, H., Hmama, Z. & Av-Gay, Y. (2010) Protein
- kinase and phosphatase signaling in Mycobacterium tuberculosis physiology and pathogenesis,
- 706 Biochimica et Biophysica Acta. 1804, 620-627.
- 707 73. Kang, C. M., Abbott, D. W., Park, S. T., Dascher, C. C., Cantley, L. C. & Husson, R. N. (2005)
- 708 The Mycobacterium tuberculosis serine/threonine kinases PknA and PknB: substrate identification
- and regulation of cell shape, *Genes & Development*. **19**, 1692-1704.
- 710 74. Fernandez, P., Saint-Joanis, B., Barilone, N., Jackson, M., Gicquel, B., Cole, S. T. & Alzari, P.
- 711 M. (2006) The Ser/Thr protein kinase PknB is essential for sustaining mycobacterial growth, Journal
- 712 *of Bacteriology*. **188**, 7778-7784.

- 713 75. Forti, F., Crosta, A. & Ghisotti, D. (2009) Pristinamycin-inducible gene regulation in
 714 mycobacteria, *Journal of Biotechnology*. 140, 270-277.
- 715 76. Thakur, M. & Chakraborti, P. K. (2006) GTPase activity of mycobacterial FtsZ is impaired due
 716 to its transphosphorylation by the eukaryotic-type Ser/Thr kinase, PknA, *The Journal of Biological*
- 717 *Chemistry.* **281**, 40107-40113.
- 718 77. Jones, G. & Dyson, P. (2006) Evolution of transmembrane protein kinases implicated in
 719 coordinating remodeling of gram-positive peptidoglycan: inside versus outside, *Journal of*720 *Bacteriology*. 188, 7470-6.
- 721 78. Barthe, P., Mukamolova, G. V., Roumestand, C. & Cohen-Gonsaud, M. (2010) The structure of
- 722 PknB extracellular PASTA domain from mycobacterium tuberculosis suggests a ligand-dependent
- kinase activation, *Structure*. **18**, 606-15.
- 724 79. Mir, M., Asong, J., Li, X., Cardot, J., Boons, G. J. & Husson, R. N. (2011) The extracytoplasmic
- domain of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Ser/Thr kinase PknB binds specific muropeptides and is
 required for PknB localization, *PLoS Pathogens*. 7, e1002182.
- 80. Turapov, O., Loraine, J., Jenkins, C. H., Barthe, P., McFeely, D., Forti, F., Ghisotti, D., Hesek,
- D., Lee, M., Bottrill, A. R., Vollmer, W., Mobashery, S., Cohen-Gonsaud, M. & Mukamolova, G. V.
- (2015) The external PASTA domain of the essential serine/threonine protein kinase PknB regulates
- mycobacterial growth, *Open Biology*. **5**, 150025.
- 81. Molle, V. & Kremer, L. (2010) Division and cell envelope regulation by Ser/Thr phosphorylation: *Mycobacterium* shows the way, *Molecular Microbiology*. **75**, 1064-77.
- 733 82. Parikh, A., Verma, S. K., Khan, S., Prakash, B. & Nandicoori, V. K. (2009) PknB-mediated
- 734 phosphorylation of a novel substrate, *N*-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase, modulates
- its acetyltransferase activity, *Journal of Molecular Biology*. **386**, 451-64.
- 736 83. Gee, C. L., Papavinasasundaram, K. G., Blair, S. R., Baer, C. E., Falick, A. M., King, D. S.,
- 737 Griffin, J. E., Venghatakrishnan, H., Zukauskas, A., Wei, J. R., Dhiman, R. K., Crick, D. C., Rubin,

- E. J., Sassetti, C. M. & Alber, T. (2012) A phosphorylated pseudokinase complex controls cell wall
 synthesis in mycobacteria, *Science Signaling*. 5, ra7.
- 84. Sham, L. T., Butler, E. K., Lebar, M. D., Kahne, D., Bernhardt, T. G. & Ruiz, N. (2014) Bacterial
 cell wall. MurJ is the flippase of lipid-linked precursors for peptidoglycan biogenesis, *Science*. 345,
 220-2.
- Kieser, K. J., Boutte, C. C., Kester, J. C., Baer, C. E., Barczak, A. K., Meniche, X., Chao, M. C.,
 Rego, E. H., Sassetti, C. M., Fortune, S. M. & Rubin, E. J. (2015) Phosphorylation of the
 Peptidoglycan Synthase PonA1 Governs the Rate of Polar Elongation in Mycobacteria, *PLoS Pathogens.* 11, e1005010.
- 747 86. Prisic, S., Dankwa, S., Schwartz, D., Chou, M. F., Locasale, J. W., Kang, C. M., Bemis, G.,
- 748 Church, G. M., Steen, H. & Husson, R. N. (2010) Extensive phosphorylation with overlapping
- specificity by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* serine/threonine protein kinases, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 107, 7521-7526.
- 751 87. Boutte, C. C., Baer, C. E., Papavinasasundaram, K., Liu, W., Chase, M. R., Meniche, X., Fortune,
- S. M., Sassetti, C. M., Ioerger, T. R. & Rubin, E. J. (2016) A cytoplasmic peptidoglycan amidase
 homologue controls mycobacterial cell wall synthesis, *eLife*. 5, e14590.
- 88. Novakova, L., Saskova, L., Pallova, P., Janecek, J., Novotna, J., Ulrych, A., Echenique, J.,
- Trombe, M. C. & Branny, P. (2005) Characterization of a eukaryotic type serine/threonine protein
- kinase and protein phosphatase of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and identification of kinase substrates,
- 757 *The FEBS Journal.* **272**, 1243-1254.
- 89. Echenique, J., Kadioglu, A., Romao, S., Andrew, P. W. & Trombe, M. C. (2004) Protein
 serine/threonine kinase StkP positively controls virulence and competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Infection and Immunity*. 72, 2434-2437.
- 90. Saskova, L., Novakova, L., Basler, M. & Branny, P. (2007) Eukaryotic-type serine/threonine
- 762 protein kinase StkP is a global regulator of gene expression in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Journal of*
- 763 *Bacteriology*. **189**, 4168-4179.

- 91. Beilharz, K., Novakova, L., Fadda, D., Branny, P., Massidda, O. & Veening, J. W. (2012) Control
- of cell division in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by the conserved Ser/Thr protein kinase StkP, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 109, E905-13.
- 92. Fleurie, A., Cluzel, C., Guiral, S., Freton, C., Galisson, F., Zanella-Cleon, I., Di Guilmi, A. M.
- & Grangeasse, C. (2012) Mutational dissection of the S/T-kinase StkP reveals crucial roles in cell
 division of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Molecular Microbiology*. 83, 746-58.
- 93. Novakova, L., Bezouskova, S., Pompach, P., Spidlova, P., Saskova, L., Weiser, J. & Branny, P.
- (2010) Identification of multiple substrates of the StkP Ser/Thr protein kinase in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 192, 3629-38.
- 94. Massidda, O., Novakova, L. & Vollmer, W. (2013) From models to pathogens: how much have
- we learned about Streptococcus pneumoniae cell division? *Environmental Microbiology*. **15**, 3133-
- 775 57.
- 95. Maestro, B., Novakova, L., Hesek, D., Lee, M., Leyva, E., Mobashery, S., Sanz, J. M. & Branny,
- P. (2011) Recognition of peptidoglycan and beta-lactam antibiotics by the extracellular domain of the
- 778 Ser/Thr protein kinase StkP from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *FEBS Letters*. **585**, 357-63.
- 96. Giefing, C., Jelencsics, K. E., Gelbmann, D., Senn, B. M. & Nagy, E. (2010) The pneumococcal
- eukaryotic-type serine/threonine protein kinase StkP co-localizes with the cell division apparatus and
- interacts with FtsZ *in vitro*, *Microbiology*. **156**, 1697-1707.
- 97. Falk, S. P. & Weisblum, B. (2013) Phosphorylation of the *Streptococcus pneumoniae* cell wall
 biosynthesis enzyme MurC by a eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 340,
 19-23.
- 98. Fleurie, A., Lesterlin, C., Manuse, S., Zhao, C., Cluzel, C., Lavergne, J. P., Franz-Wachtel, M.,
- 786 Macek, B., Combet, C., Kuru, E., VanNieuwenhze, M. S., Brun, Y. V., Sherratt, D. & Grangeasse,
- 787 C. (2014) MapZ marks the division sites and positions FtsZ rings in Streptococcus pneumoniae,
- 788 *Nature*. **516**, 259-262.

- 789 99. Holeckova, N., Doubravova, L., Massidda, O., Molle, V., Buriankova, K., Benada, O.,
- Kofronova, O., Ulrych, A. & Branny, P. (2015) LocZ is a new cell division protein involved in proper
 septum placement in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *mBio*. 6, e01700-14.
- 100. Debarbouille, M., Dramsi, S., Dussurget, O., Nahori, M. A., Vaganay, E., Jouvion, G., Cozzone,
- A., Msadek, T. & Duclos, B. (2009) Characterization of a serine/threonine kinase involved in
 virulence of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Journal of Bacteriology*. **191**, 4070-4081.
- 101. Beltramini, A. M., Mukhopadhyay, C. D. & Pancholi, V. (2009) Modulation of cell wall
- structure and antimicrobial susceptibility by a *Staphylococcus aureus* eukaryote-like serine/threonine
- kinase and phosphatase, *Infection and Immunity*. **77**, 1406-16.
- 102. Höltje, J. V. (1996) A hypothetical holoenzyme involved in the replication of the murein
 sacculus of *Escherichia coli*, *Microbiology*. 142 (Pt 8), 1911-8.
- 103. Aarsman, M. E., Piette, A., Fraipont, C., Vinkenvleugel, T. M., Nguyen-Distèche, M. & den
- Blaauwen, T. (2005) Maturation of the *Escherichia coli* divisome occurs in two steps, *Molecular Microbiology*. 55, 1631-45.
- 104. Pichoff, S. & Lutkenhaus, J. (2005) Tethering the Z ring to the membrane through a conserved
 membrane targeting sequence in FtsA, *Molecular Microbiology*. 55, 1722-1734.
- 105. Karimova, G., Dautin, N. & Ladant, D. (2005) Interaction network among Escherichia coli
- membrane proteins involved in cell division as revealed by bacterial two-hybrid analysis, *Journal of Bacteriology.* 187, 2233-43.
- 106. Liu, B., Persons, L., Lee, L. & de Boer, P. A. (2015) Roles for both FtsA and the FtsBLQ
 subcomplex in FtsN-stimulated cell constriction in *Escherichia coli*, *Molecular Microbiology*. 95,
 945-70.
- 811 107. Sung, M. T., Lai, Y. T., Huang, C. Y., Chou, L. Y., Shih, H. W., Cheng, W. C., Wong, C. H. &
- 812 Ma, C. (2009) Crystal structure of the membrane-bound bifunctional transglycosylase PBP1b from
- 813 *Escherichia coli, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.*
- **106**, 8824-9.

- 108. Typas, A., Banzhaf, M., van den Berg van Saparoea, B., Verheul, J., Biboy, J., Nichols, R. J.,
- 816 Zietek, M., Beilharz, K., Kannenberg, K., von Rechenberg, M., Breukink, E., den Blaauwen, T.,
- Gross, C. A. & Vollmer, W. (2010) Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis by outer-membrane
 proteins, *Cell.* 143, 1097-109.
- 819 109. Paradis-Bleau, C., Markovski, M., Uehara, T., Lupoli, T. J., Walker, S., Kahne, D. E. &
- Bernhardt, T. G. (2010) Lipoprotein cofactors located in the outer membrane activate bacterial cell
 wall polymerases, *Cell.* 143, 1110-20.
- 110. Egan, A. J., Jean, N. L., Koumoutsi, A., Bougault, C. M., Biboy, J., Sassine, J., Solovyova, A.
- 823 S., Breukink, E., Typas, A., Vollmer, W. & Simorre, J. P. (2014) Outer-membrane lipoprotein LpoB
- spans the periplasm to stimulate the peptidoglycan synthase PBP1B, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 111, 8197-202.
- 111. Jean, N. L., Bougault, C. M., Lodge, A., Derouaux, A., Callens, G., Egan, A. J., Ayala, I., Lewis,
- R. J., Vollmer, W. & Simorre, J. P. (2014) Elongated structure of the outer-membrane activator of
 peptidoglycan synthesis LpoA: implications for PBP1A stimulation, *Structure*. 22, 1047-54.
- 112. Lupoli, T. J., Lebar, M. D., Markovski, M., Bernhardt, T., Kahne, D. & Walker, S. (2014)
 Lipoprotein activators stimulate *Escherichia coli* penicillin-binding proteins by different
 mechanisms, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 136, 52-5.
- Markovski, M., Bohrhunter, J. L., Lupoli, T. J., Uehara, T., Walker, S., Kahne, D. E. &
 Bernhardt, T. G. (2016) Cofactor bypass variants reveal a conformational control mechanism
 governing cell wall polymerase activity, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 113, 4788-4793.
- 114. Gray, A. N., Egan, A. J., Van't Veer, I. L., Verheul, J., Colavin, A., Koumoutsi, A., Biboy, J.,
- 837 Altelaar, A. F., Damen, M. J., Huang, K. C., Simorre, J. P., Breukink, E., den Blaauwen, T., Typas,
- A., Gross, C. A. & Vollmer, W. (2015) Coordination of peptidoglycan synthesis and outer membrane
- 839 constriction during *Escherichia coli* cell division, *eLife*. **4**.

- 840 115. Lovering, A. L., De Castro, L. & Strynadka, N. C. (2008) Identification of dynamic structural
- motifs involved in peptidoglycan glycosyltransfer, *Journal of Molecular Biology*. **383**, 167-77.
- 116. Lovering, A. L., de Castro, L. H., Lim, D. & Strynadka, N. C. (2007) Structural insight into the
 transglycosylation step of bacterial cell-wall biosynthesis, *Science*. 315, 1402-5.
- 117. Lovering, A. L., Gretes, M. C., Safadi, S. S., Danel, F., de Castro, L., Page, M. G. & Strynadka,
- N. C. (2012) Structural insights into the anti-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)
 activity of ceftobiprole, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 287, 32096-102.
- 847 118. Bertsche, U., Breukink, E., Kast, T. & Vollmer, W. (2005) *In vitro* murein peptidoglycan
 848 synthesis by dimers of the bifunctional transglycosylase-transpeptidase PBP1B from *Escherichia*849 *coli*, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 280, 38096-101.
- Born, P., Breukink, E. & Vollmer, W. (2006) *In vitro* synthesis of cross-linked murein and its
 attachment to sacculi by PBP1A from *Escherichia coli*, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 281,
 26985-93.
- 120. Terrak, M., Ghosh, T. K., van Heijenoort, J., Van Beeumen, J., Lampilas, M., Aszodi, J., Ayala,
- J. A., Ghuysen, J. M. & Nguyen-Distèche, M. (1999) The catalytic, glycosyl transferase and acyl
 transferase modules of the cell wall peptidoglycan-polymerizing penicillin-binding protein 1b of *Escherichia coli, Molecular Microbiology.* 34, 350-64.
- 121. Yang, D. C., Tan, K., Joachimiak, A. & Bernhardt, T. G. (2012) A conformational switch
 controls cell wall-remodelling enzymes required for bacterial cell division, *Molecular Microbiology*.
 859 85, 768-81.
- 122. Uehara, T. & Bernhardt, T. G. (2011) More than just lysins: peptidoglycan hydrolases tailor the
 cell wall, *Current Opinion in Microbiology*. 14, 698-703.
- 123. Chen, J. C. & Beckwith, J. (2001) FtsQ, FtsL and FtsI require FtsK, but not FtsN, for colocalization with FtsZ during Escherichia coli cell division, *Molecular Microbiology*. 42, 395-413.

- 124. Arends, S. J., Williams, K., Scott, R. J., Rolong, S., Popham, D. L. & Weiss, D. S. (2010)
 Discovery and characterization of three new *Escherichia coli* septal ring proteins that contain a SPOR
 domain: DamX, DedD, and RlpA, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 192, 242-55.
- Berding, M. A., Liu, B., Bendezu, F. O., Hale, C. A., Bernhardt, T. G. & de Boer, P. A. (2009)
 Self-enhanced accumulation of FtsN at Division Sites and Roles for Other Proteins with a SPOR
 domain (DamX, DedD, and RlpA) in *Escherichia coli* cell constriction, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 191,
 7383-401.
- 126. Moll, A., Schlimpert, S., Briegel, A., Jensen, G. J. & Thanbichler, M. (2010) DipM, a new factor
 required for peptidoglycan remodelling during cell division in *Caulobacter crescentus*, *Molecular Microbiology*. 77, 90-107.
- 127. Yahashiri, A., Jorgenson, M. A. & Weiss, D. S. (2015) Bacterial SPOR domains are recruited
 to septal peptidoglycan by binding to glycan strands that lack stem peptides, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 112, 11347-52.
- 128. Ursinus, A., van den Ent, F., Brechtel, S., de Pedro, M., Höltje, J. V., Löwe, J. & Vollmer, W.
- (2004) Murein (peptidoglycan) binding property of the essential cell division protein FtsN from *Escherichia coli, Journal of Bacteriology.* 186, 6728-37.
- Mishima, M., Shida, T., Yabuki, K., Kato, K., Sekiguchi, J. & Kojima, C. (2005) Solution
 structure of the peptidoglycan binding domain of *Bacillus subtilis* cell wall lytic enzyme CwlC:
 characterization of the sporulation-related repeats by NMR, *Biochemistry*. 44, 10153-63.
- 130. Williams, K. B., Yahashiri, A., Arends, S. J., Popham, D. L., Fowler, C. A. & Weiss, D. S.
- (2013) Nuclear magnetic resonance solution structure of the peptidoglycan-binding SPOR domain
- from *Escherichia coli* DamX: insights into septal localization, *Biochemistry*. **52**, 627-39.
- 131. Yang, J. C., Van Den Ent, F., Neuhaus, D., Brevier, J. & Löwe, J. (2004) Solution structure and
- domain architecture of the divisome protein FtsN, *Molecular Microbiology*. **52**, 651-60.

- 132. Duncan, T. R., Yahashiri, A., Arends, S. J., Popham, D. L. & Weiss, D. S. (2013) Identification
 of SPOR domain amino acids important for septal localization, peptidoglycan binding, and a disulfide
 bond in the cell division protein FtsN, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 195, 5308-15.
- 133. Bateman, A. & Bycroft, M. (2000) The structure of a LysM domain from E. coli membrane-
- bound lytic murein transglycosylase D (MltD), *Journal of Molecular Biology*. **299**, 1113-9.
- 134. Uehara, T., Parzych, K. R., Dinh, T. & Bernhardt, T. G. (2010) Daughter cell separation is
 controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis, *The EMBO Journal.* 29, 1412-22.
- 135. Magnet, S., Bellais, S., Dubost, L., Fourgeaud, M., Mainardi, J. L., Petit-Frere, S., Marie, A.,
- 896 Mengin-Lecreulx, D., Arthur, M. & Gutmann, L. (2007) Identification of the L,D-transpeptidases
- responsible for attachment of the Braun lipoprotein to *Escherichia coli* peptidoglycan, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 189, 3927-31.
- Buist, G., Steen, A., Kok, J. & Kuipers, O. P. (2008) LysM, a widely distributed protein motif
 for binding to (peptido)glycans, *Molecular Microbiology*. 68, 838-47.
- 901 137. Cascales, E., Bernadac, A., Gavioli, M., Lazzaroni, J. C. & Lloubes, R. (2002) Pal lipoprotein
 902 of *Escherichia coli* plays a major role in outer membrane integrity, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 184, 754903 9.
- 138. Silhavy, T. J., Kahne, D. & Walker, S. (2010) The bacterial cell envelope, *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology.* 2, a000414.
- 139. Nelson, D. E. & Young, K. D. (2000) Penicillin binding protein 5 affects cell diameter, contour,
 and morphology of *Escherichia coli*, *Journal of Bacteriology*. 182, 1714-21.
- 140. Nelson, D. E. & Young, K. D. (2001) Contributions of PBP 5 and DD-carboxypeptidase
 penicillin binding proteins to maintenance of cell shape in *Escherichia coli*, *Journal of Bacteriology*.
 183, 3055-64.
- 141. Glauner, B. & Höltje, J. V. (1990) Growth pattern of the murein sacculus of *Escherichia coli*,
- 912 *The Journal of Biological Chemistry.* **265**, 18988-96.

- 913 142. Peters, K., Kannan, S., Rao, V. A., Biboy, J., Vollmer, D., Erickson, S. W., Lewis, R. J., Young,
- 814 K. D. & Vollmer, W. (2016) The redundancy of peptidoglycan carboxypeptidases ensures robust cell
- shape maintenance in *Escherichia coli*, *mBio*. **7**, e00819-16.
- 143. Taheri-Araghi, S., Bradde, S., Sauls, J. T., Hill, N. S., Levin, P. A., Paulsson, J., Vergassola, M.
- 817 & Jun, S. (2015) Cell-size control and homeostasis in bacteria, *Current Biology : CB.* 25, 385-91.
- 918 144. Wang, J. D. & Levin, P. A. (2009) Metabolism, cell growth and the bacterial cell cycle, *Nature*
- 919 *Reviews Microbiology.* 7, 822-7.
- 145. Zaritsky, A. (2015) Cell-shape homeostasis in *Escherichia coli* is driven by growth, division,
 and nucleoid complexity, *Biophysical Journal*. 109, 178-81.
- 922 146. Weart, R. B., Lee, A. H., Chien, A. C., Haeusser, D. P., Hill, N. S. & Levin, P. A. (2007) A
- metabolic sensor governing cell size in bacteria, *Cell.* **130**, 335-47.
- 147. Chien, A. C., Zareh, S. K., Wang, Y. M. & Levin, P. A. (2012) Changes in the oligomerization
- potential of the division inhibitor UgtP co-ordinate *Bacillus subtilis* cell size with nutrient availability, *Molecular Microbiology.* 86, 594-610.
- 148. Hill, N. S., Buske, P. J., Shi, Y. & Levin, P. A. (2013) A moonlighting enzyme links *Escherichia coli* cell size with central metabolism, *PLoS Genetics*. 9, e1003663.
- 149. Foulquier, E., Pompeo, F., Bernadac, A., Espinosa, L. & Galinier, A. (2011) The YvcK protein
- is required for morphogenesis via localization of PBP1 under gluconeogenic growth conditions in *Bacillus subtilis, Molecular Microbiology.* 80, 309-18.
- 150. Gorke, B., Foulquier, E. & Galinier, A. (2005) YvcK of *Bacillus subtilis* is required for a normal
- cell shape and for growth on Krebs cycle intermediates and substrates of the pentose phosphate
 pathway, *Microbiology*. 151, 3777-91.
- 151. Chaudhuri, R. R., Allen, A. G., Owen, P. J., Shalom, G., Stone, K., Harrison, M., Burgis, T. A.,
- 236 Lockyer, M., Garcia-Lara, J., Foster, S. J., Pleasance, S. J., Peters, S. E., Maskell, D. J. & Charles, I.
- 937 G. (2009) Comprehensive identification of essential *Staphylococcus aureus* genes using Transposon-
- 938 Mediated Differential Hybridisation (TMDH), *BMC Genomics.* **10**, 291.

941 Figure legends

Figure 1. Cartoon representations of the Gram-positive and –negative peptidoglycan. (A) The basic 942 subunit of peptidoglycan; N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid sugar residues, with the 943 944 peptide stem. Residues of the peptide stem are labelled according to the sequences found in model Gram-negative / -positive species. (B) A simplified representation of the peptidoglycan sacculus 945 meshwork, made up of polymerised glycan strands linked by the peptides. This is a small sample of 946 the much larger sacculus, with continuation in all directions indicated by the ... motif. (C) Simplified 947 view of the cell envelope/surface of Gram-negative and -positive rod-shaped bacteria. The blue box 948 on the right of the panel is an enlargement of the indicated area on the whole cell to the left. 949 Peptidoglycan-associated proteins and other surface polymers are omitted. 950

951

Figure 2. Schematic model of the association of EzrA (dark and light blue) and GpsB (orange/grev) 952 with the membrane and possible arrangements for interaction partners in the divisome, including FtsZ 953 filaments (magenta), FtsA filaments (red) and three representative bifunctional PBP molecules. The 954 GpsB structure represented is the molecular envelope calculated by SAXS (grey) with the crystal 955 structures of the individual GpsB domains (orange) docked inside it. EzrA is represented in the 956 antiparallel dimer form with the component subunits coloured blue and light blue. In the model of a 957 bifunctional PBP; the transmembrane region is coloured grey, the GTase domain coloured pink, the 958 TPase domain coloured blue. 959

960

Figure 3. A general representation of the regulatory mechanisms of cell wall growth. (A) Scaffolding; cytoskeletal elements and their accessory proteins act to provide a scaffold on which the cell wall synthesis machineries can assemble within the cytoplasmic membrane (CM). (B) Phosphorylation; a Ser/Thr protein kinase (STPK) is depicted phosphorylating a target protein, the resultant form then goes on to effect cell wall growth either directly through its own enzymatic activity or through subsequent regulation of another enzyme (stimulating conversion of the substrate X to the product Y

in this example). (C) Protein-protein interaction; in addition to the structural importance of 967 interactions in the scaffolding mechanism, interactions between proteins within the cell wall synthesis 968 machineries can lead to transduction of signals to initiate activity, such as the signal to begin 969 constriction delivered through the division machinery by FtsN binding to FtsQLB and FtsA. In 970 addition, PG synthetic and hydrolytic enzymes are controlled through the induction of conformational 971 changes upon binding of a regulator, presumably in a similar or complementary way to the 972 mechanisms depicted in panel D. (D) Structural dynamics; PG synthesis and hydrolysis enzymes 973 974 adopt different conformations through changes in dynamic structural elements they possess, which impacts enzymatic activity. The example shown here depicts changes in the GTase domain of S. 975 976 aureus PBP2 enabling catalysis, and the inter-domain flexibility in this class A PBP for optimal coupling of the GT and TP activities. (E) Carboxypeptidases; CPase enzymes in the periplasm act to 977 trim peptides from the peptide stems. In this top-down view example, DD-CPases trim the terminal 978 D-Ala residue (5th position) in nascent PG, thus removing this source of TPase donor substrates and 979 regulating the potential for new cross-linking. (F) Central metabolism; proteins have been found that 980 981 sense available nutrients and transduce this information to exert an effect on cell wall biosynthesis, such as the effect of UgtP on the timing of cell division at high levels of UDP-glucose. 982





