



# Regulation of T Helper Cell Fate by TCR Signal Strength

Nayan D. Bhattacharyya<sup>1,2</sup> and Carl G. Feng<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Immunology and Host Defense Group, Discipline of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, <sup>2</sup> Tuberculosis Research Program, Centenary Institute, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

T cells are critical in orchestrating protective immune responses to cancer and an array of pathogens. The interaction between a peptide MHC (pMHC) complex on antigen presenting cells (APCs) and T cell receptors (TCRs) on T cells initiates T cell activation, division, and clonal expansion in secondary lymphoid organs. T cells must also integrate multiple T cell-intrinsic and extrinsic signals to acquire the effector functions essential for the defense against invading microbes. In the case of T helper cell differentiation, while innate cytokines have been demonstrated to shape effector CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte function, the contribution of TCR signaling strength to T helper cell differentiation is less understood. In this review, we summarize the signaling cascades regulated by the strength of TCR stimulation. Various mechanisms in which TCR signal strength controls T helper cell expansion and differentiation are also discussed.

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Joanna Groom, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Australia

#### Reviewed by:

Koji Yasutomo, Tokushima University, Japan Toshinori Nakayama, Chiba University, Japan

#### \*Correspondence:

Carl G. Feng carl.feng@sydney.edu.au

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 23 December 2019 Accepted: 19 March 2020 Published: 19 May 2020

#### Citation:

Bhattacharyya ND and Feng CG (2020) Regulation of T Helper Cell Fate by TCR Signal Strength. Front. Immunol. 11:624. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00624 Keywords: TCR signal strength, TCR affinity, Antigen dose, CD4<sup>+</sup> T Cell differentiation, T cell expansion, T cell proliferation, Th1

## INTRODUCTION

 $CD4^+$  T helper (Th) cells play a critical role in mediating protective immunity against bacterial, viral, parasitic and fungal infections by regulating the responses of antibody-producing B cells, cytotoxic  $CD8^+$  T lymphocytes, and macrophages. Naïve  $CD4^+$  T cells are activated when their unique T cell Receptor (TCR) recognizes cognate peptides presented by Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) class II molecules (pMHC-II) in the presence of costimulatory molecules on antigen presenting cells (APCs). To ensure that there are sufficient numbers of antigen-specific clones present to combat pathogens at infection sites, activated  $CD4^+$  T cells undergo clonal expansion and acquire effector functions in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs). Depending on the type of pathogen,  $CD4^+$  T cells can be tailored to become one of several specialized Th subsets defined by their functional attributes (1).

Two prevailing models explain how  $CD4^+$  T cells integrate different signals to determine lineage commitment. The classic "qualitative" model suggests that Th cell responses are shaped dominantly by the cytokines produced by pathogen-exposed innate cells. The second "quantitative" model proposes that the strength of the signal delivered through the TCR regulates the differentiation program of  $CD4^+$  T cells. Multiple T cell-associated factors influence the overall strength of TCR signaling strength. These include the quality of the interactions between MHC and TCR molecules, the amount of antigen, and the degree of costimulation (2). This review will focus mainly on how the potency of signals delivered through the TCR controls the activation, expansion, and differentiation of  $CD4^+$  T cells.

1

## EXPERIMENTAL APPROACHES USED TO MANIPULATE TCR SIGNAL STRENGTH

Different experimental approaches have been used to study the role of TCR signal strength in controlling T cell responses. One of the most frequently employed methods is to titrate the amount of antigen thereby altering the number of peptide-occupied MHC molecules that engage cognate TCRs (2). Another method is to induce mutations in peptide sequences (Altered Peptide Ligands, APLs), which allows investigators to change the potency of TCR signals by altering antigen-binding affinity to MHC molecules and TCR complexes (2, 3). In addition, by altering pathogen or adjuvant doses, variations in the degree of inflammation modulate the intensity of costimulatory signals delivered through CD28, and hence the overall strength of TCR signals (4). It is important to note that because the TCR itself is not modified, the approaches mentioned above cannot analyze the contribution of T cell-intrinsic variations in TCR signal strength to the T cell response.

One T cell-intrinsic factor that affects the strength of the signal delivered through the TCR is the number of TCR molecules expressed, also known as TCR avidity (5). Manipulation of TCR avidity achieves similar outcomes as changes in antigen dose or increasing the number of peptide-loaded MHC molecules, and is naturally achieved after initial T cell activation in a process known as avidity maturation (6). Alternatively, by introducing mutations into TCR sequences, investigators can change the affinity of the TCR for pMHC complexes (7). This alters the binding kinetics between TCR and pMHC complexes such that high affinity TCRs bind with slower off/dissociation rates (K<sub>d</sub>) when measured using two-dimensional (2D) kinetic assays. Hence at the level of an individual TCR, slower Kd's lead to prolonged pMHC:TCR interactions (2). Alterations in antigen dose and the degree of costimulatory signaling also affect the duration of contact between APCs and T cells (8, 9). Higher antigen levels result in longer interaction or "dwell" times and confer potent, prolonged TCR signals; whereas lower antigen dose stimulation results in shorter interactions and impart protracted, weaker signals (9). However, higher-affinity TCRs do not always facilitate greater dwell times or signal strength (10, 11). It is therefore unclear whether higher affinity TCRs accelerate T cell activation by decreasing the antigen threshold required for activation (12-14), or whether they promote longer interactions to stabilize lineage commitment following T cell activation (9).

# TCR SIGNALING CASCADE

The TCR complex consists of a variable heterodimer (TCR $\alpha\beta$ ) that binds to antigenic ligands and the invariant signaling component, CD3, which is composed of gamma, delta, epsilon, and zeta subunits. Whilst there is much debate on how TCR signals are initially triggered [reviewed by (15)], it is generally agreed that TCR ligation to agonistic pMHCs results in the aggregation of TCR-CD3 complexes with costimulatory and adhesion proteins such that an immunological synapse is formed (16, 17). The physical force of pMHC:TCR binding

is thought to change the orientation of cytosolic signaling components of the TCR-CD3 complex (18, 19). This change results in the phosphorylation of Immunoreceptor Tyrosinebased Activation Motifs (ITAMs) by Src family Protein Tyrosine Kinase (PTK), such as Lymphocyte-specific protein tyrosine Kinase (LCK) (20, 21). The concurrent exclusion of constitutively active transmembrane tyrosine phosphatases, such as CD45 (that oppose the activity of constitutively active PTKs) away from phosphorylated ITAMs ensures that TCR signals are maintained in a pMHC:TCR-dependent manner (22–24).

Ligation of the TCR, also facilitates the recruitment and docking of the CD4 co-receptor to the pMHC-II complex (25). This positions CD4-associated LCK in locations favorable for ITAM phosphorylation on cytosolic TCR/CD3 complexes (Figure 1). Activated ITAMs serve as docking sites for the Src Homology 2 (SH2) domains of Zeta chain of T cell receptor Associated Protein kinase 70 (Zap70) (26, 27). Importantly, as TCR-CD3 complexes continue to aggregate into microclusters (15), Zap70 undergoes a conformational change associated with enhanced binding affinity and recruitment to ITAMs (28, 29). Zap70 is subsequently activated following its phosphorylation by LCK. Given continued pMHC:TCR-CD3 interactions and receptor clustering, activated Zap70 is released from ITAMs (30) and subsequently phosphorylates signaling scaffold adaptor proteins, such as Linker for Activated T cells (LAT) and SH2 domain containing Leukocyte Protein of 76 kDa (SLP-76) (31). Activation of these adaptor proteins provide SH2- and SH3-binding sites for the initiation of Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinases (PI3K), Protein Kinase B (AKT) (32), Inducible T cell Kinase (ITK) and Protein Kinase C (PKC) dependent signaling cascades (16, 33). PI3K signaling results in the Phosphoinositidedependent protein kinase-1 (PDK-1) dependent activation of PKC-0 for the activation and nuclear translocation of Nuclear Factor Kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) (34–36).

PI3K activity also results in the AKT-dependent activation of Mechanistic Target Of Rapamycin (mTOR). Additionally, activated ITK phosphorylates the lipase Phospholipase C gamma (PLCy), which ultimately cleaves Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP<sub>2</sub>) in the plasma membrane to generate the secondary messengers inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) and Diacylglycerol (DAG) (37). The accumulation of ITK promotes the downstream release of calcium ions (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) from endoplasmic reticulum (ER)  $Ca^{2+}$  stores (38). The release of intracellular calcium, through the effects of calcineurin, activates and promotes the nuclear translocation of Nuclear Factor of Activated T cells (NFAT) (39). The ITK-dependent generation of DAG results in the recruitment of Ras Guanyl-Releasing Protein 1 (GRP1) and PKC $\theta$  for the activation of the Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK)/Extracellular signal Regulated Kinase (ERK) and NF-*k*B pathways, respectively (40). Activation of the MAPK/ERK signaling cascade triggers the formation of the transcription factor Adaptor-related Protein complex 1 (AP-1) (41). Together, the nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity of AP-1, NFAT and NF-κB orchestrate T cell activation.



recognition of cognate pMHC-II. While AKT dependent activation of mTOR signaling regulates cell proliferation, survival, and growth pathways it has also been shown to regulate the expression of Myc, IRF4, and BCL-xL by potentially regulating (indicated by dashed arrow) the activity of NF-κB. AP-1, Activator Protein 1; AKT, Protein Kinase B; BCL-xL, B Cell Lymphoma-extra Large; DAG, Diacylglycerol; CRAC, Calcium Release Activated Channel; ERK, Extracellular signal-Regulated Kinase; GRB2, Growth factor Receptor-Bound protein 2; IP<sub>3</sub>, Inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate; ITAM, Immunoreceptor Tyrosine-based Activation Motif; IRF4, Interferon Regulatory Factor 4; ITK, Interleukin-2-inducible T cell kinase; mTORc1. Mechanistic Target Of Rapamycin complex 1; NFAT, Nuclear Factor of Activated T cells; NF-κB, Nuclear Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; PLC-γ, Phospholipase C-gamma; PDK-1, Phosphoinositide dependent kinase-1; PKC-θ, Protein Kinase C theta; IP<sub>2</sub>, Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate; RASgrp1, RAS guanyl-releasing protein 1; TCR, T cell Receptor; SOS, Son of Sevenless; Zap70 ζ-chain associated protein kinase of 70 kDa).

# TCR SIGNAL STRENGTH REGULATES THE ACTIVITY OF TCR SIGNALING COMPONENTS

The strength of the input delivered through the TCR is translated into digital/"all or nothing," or analog/"scaled" alterations to the TCR signaling cascade (42, 43). In this way potent TCR signals regulate the activity of important transcription factors critical in determining the fate of T cells.

# Potent TCR Signals Scale the Activity of Zap70 and PI3K

Strong TCR signals delivered through pMHC:TCR interactions are amplified in accordance to the duration of time ITAMs remain phosphorylated for the subsequent recruitment and activation of Zap70 (9). When compared to lower affinity counterparts, high affinity TCRs bind cognate pMHCs with distinct docking geometries (44) that ultimately result in the

clustering of receptors (29), as well as a mechanical "push" or "pull" of the TCR (19). Whilst the exact mechanism is unclear, the magnitude of this interaction is dependent on TCR/antigen affinity and dictates the type of bond formed between T cells and APCs (45). While low affinity TCRs confer weaker pulling forces that result in the formation of slip-bonds, high TCR affinity induces the formation of catch-bonds (19, 46). Catch-bonds extend the duration of pMHC:TCR interactions and promote the exclusion of CD45 and its intracellular inhibitory phosphates from the immunological synapse (10, 19, 47). Additionally, high affinity pMHC:TCR binding events have recently been shown to enhance the recruitment and retention of CD4 co-receptors in the pMHC:TCR cluster (48) such that CD4-assoicated LCK can further sustain ITAM activation on cytosolic TCR/CD3 chains. Hence, by tipping the balance of activating PTKs to inhibitory phosphatases in close proximity to TCR/CD3 complexes in favor of activating PTKs, strong TCR signals extend the duration in which ITAMs on TCR/CD3 chains remain phosphorylated. As such, longer pMHC:TCR interactions have been shown to enhance the recruitment and activation of Zap70 in bulk (49–51) and single (29, 52) cell analyses.

In addition, strong TCR signals enhance the activity of phosphorylated Zap70 (28). Importantly, when low potency TCR signals are delivered, in addition to phosphorylating ITAMs, LCK phosphorylates Src Homology region 2 domain-containing Phosphatase-1 (SHP-1). During low potency TCR stimulation, phosphorylated SHP-1 inactivates the kinase activity of LCK (53) and Zap70 (54) which results in the attenuation of TCR signals. In contrast to this, strong TCR signals result in a greater proportion of cells expressing phosphorylated ERK which itself phosphorylates LCK and prevents the binding of SHP-1 (53). These results suggest that strong TCR signals resulting from longer pMHC:TCR interactions augment the quantity, quality and duration of Zap70s catalytic action, extending the duration in which downstream signaling cascades remain active.

Through its ability to scale the activity of Zap70, sustained interactions between pMHC:TCR complexes promote the recruitment of PI3K to the adaptor protein LAT (32, 55). Activated PI3K phosphorylates PIP<sub>2</sub> for the generation of the secondary messenger Phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5) triphosphate (PIP<sub>3</sub>). Scaled increases in PIP<sub>3</sub> concentrations regulate both the recruitment of AKT and the activation of its serine/threonine kinase activity (56). AKT indirectly activates mTOR, whose kinase activity is critical for preparing activated T cells for the bioenergetic demands of clonal expansion. Whilst it is well-established that TCR signaling can activate mTOR in a PI3K/AKT-dependent manner (57), TCR-dependent activation of mTOR is considered weak and transient (58). Nevertheless, initial mTOR expression is scaled in an antigen dose-dependent manner (58, 59), suggesting that whilst transient, TCR signals can directly scale the expression of mTOR. In addition, CD28 ligation leads to the phosphorylation of its cytoplasmic tail and the subsequent recruitment of PI3K (60, 61) for the activation of AKT (62) and mTOR (57, 63). As such, PI3K/AKT can be posited as a rheostat that modulates the amplitude of mTOR expression in accordance to the strength of signals delivered through costimulatory molecules.

# Dynamic Regulation of NF-κB, NFAT, and ERK by TCR Signal Strength

The activation and nuclear translocation of NF- $\kappa$ B in CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes regulates cell survival by controlling the expression of Interleukin (IL) 2 (IL-2) and anti-apoptotic proteins (64–67). TCR/ITK and CD28/PI3K signaling pathways can independently activate PKC- $\theta$  for the downstream activation and nuclear translocation of NF- $\kappa$ B (35) (**Figure 1**). Once a threshold TCR signal has been achieved, TCR and CD28 signaling results in the digital activation and nuclear translocation of NF- $\kappa$ B (68). Despite this, TCR signal strength has been shown to scale the expression of NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent targets, such as prosurvival molecule B-Cell Lymphoma-extra large (BCL-xL) (69) and the transcription factor IRF4 (70, 71). This suggests that whilst TCR signal strength does not regulate the amplitude of NF-κB expression, it may control its transcriptional activity. Alternatively, there is evidence to suggest that the TCR signal strength-sensitive PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway can modulate NF-κB activity (**Figure 1**). AKT is proposed to regulate the duration of NF-κB nuclear translocation (72, 73), as well as the range of genes it targets for transcription (74). Albeit in a cancer cell line, the ability of AKT to control NF-κB activation was shown to be reliant on mTOR (75). As mTOR is known to regulate the antigen affinity-driven expression of IRF4 in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (76), these findings further suggest that the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling cascade may translate analog TCR inputs into analog NK-κB transcriptional outputs.

Strong TCR signals have historically been shown to amplify the accumulation of intracellular calcium (14, 77, 78). It is believed that strong TCR signals increase the number activated ITKs recruited to facilitate the IP<sub>3</sub>-dependent accumulation of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> (38, 79, 80). The magnitude of Ca<sup>2+</sup> release into the cytosol has traditionally been associated with increased levels of activated, nuclear NFATc (81, 82). Despite this, single cell analyses have revealed analog increases in intercellular calcium translate into digital, "all or nothing" NFATc1 or NFATc2 expression patterns in the nuclei of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (83–88).

Similarly, the MAPK/ERK signaling cascade exhibits a digital signal response and hence is not scaled by TCR signaling (42, 89, 90). The digital expression of NFAT and ERK in response to analog TCR/ITK input is thought to be a result of positive feedback circuits that reinforce NFAT (91, 92) and ERK (89) expression once a threshold of activation has been reached. NFAT and ERK/AP-1 predominantly drive the expression of surface markers associated with CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell activation, such as CD25 (93, 94) and CD69, respectively (89, 95). Importantly, recent single cell analyses indicates that TCR signal strength scales their expression (84, 85, 96). How then does the digital expression of NFAT/ERK translate into analog downstream gene/protein expression? In the case of NFAT, the extent of initial pMHC:TCR interactions has been shown to modulate the duration of its activity in the nucleus, even after TCR signals have ceased (97). Therefore, similar to the regulation of NF- $\kappa$ B activity discussed above, whilst TCR signal strength may not directly control the magnitude of NFAT or ERK expression, it may extend the duration in which these transcription factors remain active, which subsequently leads to greater expression of target genes and their functional outputs (Figure 2A). Future studies need to delineate how TCR signal strength influences the magnitude or duration of downstream signals to achieve functional differences.

## THE POTENCY OF TCR SIGNALS DICTATE THE MAGNITUDE OF T CELL EXPANSION

Control of microbial infection requires the rapid generation of large numbers of effector Th cells. While naïve  $CD4^+$  T cells acquire the ability to produce IL-2 following activation by a single agonistic pMHC-II complex (52, 98), enduring Th



**Components**, such as ERK, NF-kB, and NFAT. The amplitude of their expression is independent of the strength of input signals. Instead, TCR signal strength determines the duration of transcription factors activity (left panel), translating the digital expression pattern of transcription factors into the analog expression of some downstream targets like CD69, CD25, Myc, and IRF4 (right panel). Red and green lines/bars denote strong and weak TCR signals and the associated responses. **(B)** T cell activation and expansion are regulated by the interplay between T cell-intrinsic factors (e.g., TCR affinity) and extrinsic factors (e.g., the levels of antigen and costimulation associated with different disease stages). In the case of a persistent infection, the relative abundance of clones with high vary depending on the stage of infection. Early stages of infection, when low levels of antigen/costimulation are present, favor the expansion of clones with high affinity TCRs may vary during persistent stages of infection, clones with high affinity TCRs may be removed or silenced from the effector T cell pool. Therefore, low affinity clones may become the major population of effector T cells during chronic infection. Red and green cells denote T cells with high and low affinity TCRs, respectively.

cell proliferation appears to require stronger TCR stimulation (99) with an estimated 50-400 pMHC-IIs being required (100, 101). The ability for strong TCR signals to promote the expansion of cytotoxic and Th cells is well-established (102). For example, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells primed by high affinity antigens in vivo underwent greater expansion when compared to those stimulated by low affinity antigens (103-105). Moreover, CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes with high affinity/avidity TCRs (106-113) or those that were stimulated with high affinity antigens or doses (71, 114-118) generally underwent greater expansion in vivo. In general, TCR signaling can scale the magnitude of T cell expansion by coordinating the tightly linked metabolic and cell cycle pathways. These programs determine the extent of T cell expansion by regulating the time taken for individual cells to enter and then progress through the cell cycle, the rate of subsequent proliferation and the cells proliferative capacity (119).

Stimulation with high concentrations of antigen or enhanced cross-linking of CD3 accelerates the progression of naïve Th cells from senescence  $(G_0)$  into the Gap phase 1  $(G_1)$  of the cell cycle (120), reducing the time it takes for  $CD4^+$  T cells to start dividing in vitro (121, 122). Whilst not studied in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, high antigen affinity and doses have been shown to reduce the time to first division in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (123). In addition to reducing the time taken for Th cells to undergo their first division (124), potent CD28 ligation enhances the subsequent rate of proliferation in CD4<sup>+</sup> (120) and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (125) in vitro. Although IL-2 signaling is proposed to regulate the subsequent rate of cell division in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, the contribution of IL-2 to the rate of Th cell proliferation is unclear, with negligible (126) and supportive (121) roles being reported. Hence, the mechanism by which strong TCR signals accelerate Th cell progression through the first and subsequent cell cycles remains incompletely understood.

One potential mechanism by which TCR signal strength controls Th cell proliferation is by scaling the activity of mTOR. It is well-established that progression from G<sub>0</sub> is the result of the PI3K/mTOR complex 1 (mTORc1) dependent expression of cyclins and cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs) (127-130). Additionally, mTORc1-dependent upregulation of the Large neutral Amino acid Transporter (LAT1, CD98) and Glucose Transporter 1 (GLUT-1) facilitates nutrient uptake and sustains T cell growth during the  $G_1$  phase (57). Interestingly, although reduced, continuous T cell proliferation can occur when mTORc1 signaling is low or absent (63, 130-132). As activated T cells continuously proliferate by entering the cycle from the  $G_1$  phase (133), these findings suggest that a major function of mTOR may be to initiate cell cycle entry and regulate the timing of initial T cell division in a TCR signal strength-dependent manner.

The number of times a T cell divides before it senesces is also known as the proliferative capacity or Division Destiny (DD) (125). Potent CD28 stimulation, antigen affinity or antigen doses have separately been shown to enhance the proliferative capacity of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (123, 125). Recent findings have suggested that the amplitude of Myc expression prior to a T cell entering their first division enables them to undergo a greater number of divisions (134, 135). Given the short half-life of Myc, the greater the nuclear concentration of Myc, the longer it takes for Myc levels to fall below a threshold that can promote cell division. In this way, Myc acts as a timer for the DD of a cell. Interestingly, changes in the strength of CD28 signaling, but not antigen affinity or dose, scale the amplitude of Myc expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (134, 135). As both PI3K and NF-KB have both been shown to regulate the expression of Myc (136, 137), these data may suggest that TCR and CD28 signals differentially scale the expression of cell division related

transcription programs. Supporting this are observations that increased antigen affinity and dose enhance the amplitude and the transcriptional specificity of IRF4 in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (71, 138), whereas increases in CD28 stimulation have a minor impact on IRF4 expression (139). The amplitude of IRF4 expression is known to control the magnitude and duration of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell expansion by regulating aerobic glycolysis (140), inhibiting repressors of the cell cycle and by preventing the expression of the pro-apoptotic protein Bim (76). As TCR signals also promote IRF4 expression in an mTOR- and NF-kB-dependent manner (70, 76), these data suggest that whilst the TCR and CD28 signal through overlapping pathways (i.e., PI3K, NF-κB), they differentially regulate the cumulative strength of this signal and the resulting proliferative capacity of T cells, possibly by controlling distinct sets of transcription factors (such as Myc and IRF4).

The presence of Th cells with high affinity TCRs may be critical in mobilizing T cell responses to invading pathogens during early stages of infection when pMHC-II and tissue inflammation levels are low. As Th cells with high affinity TCRs possess a lower antigen activation threshold, they may enter the cell cycle quicker than their low affinity counterparts, such that they are preferentially recruited into the activated T cell pool. This accelerated response provides an early burst of effectors that may be critical for preventing the dissemination of infection (Figure 2B). However, if antigen levels rise or persist, as is the case with persistent infections, T cell clones with lower affinity TCRs may come to dominate the effector cell pool. Given greater antigenic and inflammatory signals, high affinity effectors may succumb to Activation-Induced Cell Death (AICD) or exhaustion (141-143). Moreover, high affinity effectors may be functionally silenced from the immune response to prevent potential immunopathology through the downregulation of the TCR (144). Therefore, during the persistent phase of an infection, lower affinity clones are less likely to be out-competed by their high affinity counterparts (145, 146) and are able to undergo activation and expansion (Figure 2B). This dynamic may account for recent observations that lower affinity/avidity clones make up a large and underappreciated fraction of responding Th cell populations during late stages of infection (144, 146–152).

Given that the overall strength of the TCR signal is a sum of TCR affinity, pMHC-II densities and costimulatory signals, which are in part dictated by the intensity of infection, TCR signaling can be highly dynamic as pathogen loads vary during different stages of infection. This allows T cells with a spectrum of affinities to be effectively stimulated and sufficient numbers of effector T cells to be generated to contain invading pathogens regardless of the stage of infection. Taken together, it is likely that TCR affinity, antigen potency, and antigen dose play dynamic and potentially distinct distinct roles in the regulation of Th cell expansion. This has been proposed by other studies, albeit in models lacking inflammation (153, 154). Future studies will need to formally investigate these hypotheses by dissecting the interplay between changes in TCR affinity, the timing of clonal contraction and by examining how changes in pathogen levels affect this dynamic across different tissues.

# TCR SIGNALING STRENGTH AND CD4<sup>+</sup> T CELL DIFFERENTIATION

The role of TCR signal strength in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell differentiation has traditionally been determined by investigating the ability of naïve Th cells to commit to one effector lineage over the other in response to stimulation with different model antigens. Early *in vitro* investigations into the role of TCR signal strength have generally reported that stimulation with high antigen doses favored IFN-y over IL-4 production in TCR transgenic T cell cultures (155-160). However, high dose antigen stimulation has also been shown to promote IL-4 production in vitro (161-165). This discrepancy might be explained by variations in mouse strains used between studies. For example, TCR transgenic cells with a B10.A genetic background favored IFN-y production (155, 159), whereas those on a BALB/c background skewed to IL-4 production (161, 163). Intriguingly, the same TCR transgenic T cells from the BALB/c mice that predominantly produced IL-4 in response to high dose antigen stimulation (161, 163–165) have been shown to favor IFN-y production in other studies (156, 157), suggesting that the outcome of T cell differentiation is influenced by in vitro culture conditions.

Since strong TCR signals as a result of high antigen dose stimulation promote IL-4 over IFN- $\gamma$  expression under some circumstances (161, 163–165), a bi-phasic Th2 differentiation model has been proposed by Nakayama and Yamashita (166). This model hypothesizes that naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells can differentiate into IL-4-expressing cells in the presence of both very lowand high-levels of cognate antigen. Although activation of ERK by strong TCR signals reduces IL-4 expression in peptideactivated Th cells (159, 167), ERK signaling has been shown to promote IL-4 expression in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells stimulated with TCR cross-linking antibodies (166). These findings suggest that ERK could play a dual role in TCR signal strength-dependent Th2 differentiation.

On the other hand, strong TCR signaling as a result of stimulation with high affinity APLs has generally favored the generation of IFN-y over IL-4 producing effectors in vitro (81, 158, 167-170) and in vivo (171). Furthermore, when two TCR transgenic T cell lines recognizing the same antigen were compared in vitro, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with weak TCR signaling due to a mutation in the TCR alpha chain were shown to favor IL-4 induction (172). Studies that have attempted to uncover the mechanism that results in enhanced Th1 differentiation in vitro have suggested that strong TCR signals prevent default Th2 programs rather than actively driving Th1 polarization. Here, strong TCR signals are believed to prevent the early expression of IL-4, and its autocrine signaling that results in the expression of GATA Binding Protein 3 (GATA3) for Th2 differentiation (1, 159), by enhancing the nuclear translocation of NFATp (81) and altering the DNA binding activity of AP-1 (167). Some studies have demonstrated that potent costimulation or stimulation with high antigen doses can actively promote the generation of IFN-y-expressing effectors by regulating the ability of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells to respond to the Th1 polarizing cytokine IL-12 (4, 173). IL-12 is known to promote Th1 differentiation and IFN- $\gamma$  expression by promoting the expression of T-box binding transcription factor (T-bet), the master regulator of Th1 polarization (1). While these studies associated high antigen dose stimulation with enhanced IL-12 Receptor Beta 2 (IL-12R $\beta$ 2) chain expression, the mechanism linking the two events remains to be identified.

There is also evidence to suggest that strong TCR signaling may indirectly promote Th1 differentiation by altering the function of APCs. Here, stimulation of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells with high affinity antigens has been shown to enhance the production of IL-12 from co-cultured APCs by enhancing the expression of CD40L on developing Th cells in vitro (157, 174, 175). In summary, when comparing Th1 and Th2 differentiation in vitro, the majority of studies indicate that strong TCR signals seem to favor the generation of Th1 effectors by directly preventing the early expression of IL-4 and GATA3, as well as by promoting the expression of the IL-12R<sub>β</sub>2. Importantly, T-bet is known to drive IFN-y expression and prevent Th2 differentiation by sequestering GATA3 (176-178). Hence it is likely that strong TCR signals further favor the generation of Th1 over Th2 cells by promoting IL-12-dependent T-bet expression for the subsequent sequestration of GATA3. Future studies will need to formally investigate how potent TCR signals regulate the crosstalk between T-bet and GATA3 for the generation of Th1 and Th2 effectors, respectively.

Interestingly, early in vivo studies have demonstrated that high antigen dose stimulation promotes the generation of IL-4 producing effectors (179-182). Whilst this appears to support the in vitro findings underlying the signal strength model proposed by Nakayama and Yamashita (166), the apparent Th2 phenotype was also accompanied with greater levels of classswitched antibodies, which are now recognized to be a result of IL-4 producing follicular T helper cells (Tfh) that are difficult to stably generate in vitro (183-185). Hence these studies provided the initial indication that high antigen doses promoted the generation of Tfh cells in vivo and may help build on findings that indicate that medium-strong TCR signaling can promote the generation of IL-4 expressing effectors in vitro. This has since been confirmed in recent in vivo studies where high antigen dose stimulation resulted in longer dwell times, and favored the generation of Tfh cells over Th1 effectors (11, 115, 186-188). As IL-2 signaling is known to suppress the expression of BCL-6, the master regulator of Tfh differentiation (189), it was proposed that high antigen dose stimulation favored Tfh cell generation by reducing IL-2 signaling (188).

Intriguingly, when the responses of two TCR transgenic populations that recognize the same antigen with different binding affinities were recently compared *in vivo*, a greater proportion of high affinity TCR-bearing Th cells were found to undergo Th1 commitment (108). Moreover, stimulation with high affinity antigens have also been shown to favor the generation of Th1 effectors (115, 190). It is thought that high affinity TCR interactions drive STAT5-dependent Th1 differentiation (191) by upregulating and maintaining the expression of the high affinity TCR interactions generally favor Th1 differentiation, high affinity TCRs have been shown to promote the generation of Tfh cells (107) or play a more capricious role (11). As has been suggested previously (115), these data again suggest that antigen dose and TCR affinity play distinct roles in determining the fate of Th cells. Therefore, while high antigen dose stimulation favors the generation of Tfh cells *in vivo*; the function of TCR affinity in determining Th differentiation may be secondary to T cell-extrinsic factors such as innate cytokines. Hence, the role of TCR affinity in lineage differentiation may vary depending on the experimental model employed.

The strength of TCR signaling has also been suggested to regulate Th17 differentiation. Under Th17-polarizing conditions *in vitro*, high antigen dose and persistent TCR stimulation has been shown to promote IL-17 expression (193, 194). Furthermore, when TCR signals are attenuated through the deletion of ITK, CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes were more prone to differentiate into Forkhead box P3 (Foxp3) expressing regulatory Th cells (Tregs) over IL-17 producing cells (195). However, low levels of CD28 costimulation or antigen stimulation, as well as exposure to low potency antigens, have each been shown to favor the generation of IL-17 over IFN- $\gamma$  (196, 197) or IL-4 expressing effector CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (198). Therefore, the role of TCR signal strength in Th17 differentiation remains unclear.

Distinct mechanisms for how TCR signal strength modulates Th17 polarization were proposed in these studies. TCR dependent AKT signaling was shown to be associated with both enhanced (195) and reduced (197) Th17 differentiation. Moreover, antigen dose dependent scaling of CD40L expression on activated Th cells was shown to promote IL-6 production by APCs for the enhanced generation of Th17 cells in vitro (193). Regardless, the generation of IL-17 expressing effectors was shown to be dependent on exposure to the correct cytokine milieu (193-198). This suggests that when compared to polarizing cytokines, TCR signal strength may play a secondary, context-dependent role in directing Th17 differentiation. In addition to studying the role of TCR signal strength in relevant Th17-mediated disease models, future studies will need to investigate how TCR signal strength regulates the expression of the Th17 master regulator of transcription, RAR-related Orphan Receptor gamma t (RORyt).

A growing number of studies indicate that TCR signal strength does not dictate T cell effector function at the individual T cell level (11, 98, 199-202). Rather, potent TCR signals are proposed to regulate the number of T cells recruited into the effector cell pool and the ratio of effector phenotypes throughout the T cell response. Therefore, TCR signal strength may regulate the overall magnitude and function of T cell responses at a population level. It is possible that antigen doses and TCR affinity promote different "selection" or "survival" strategies to shape Th cell responses at a population level. For example, high antigen dose stimulation generally seems to favor Tfh over Th1 populations in vivo. As Th1 cells are susceptible to AICD following high antigen dose stimulation (142, 143), the induction of BCL-6 in these conditions might confer a selection-advantage by promoting Th cell survival (203) and resistance to AICD, as has been proposed by Keck et al. (115). In support of this, BCL-6 has been reported to regulate the survival of multiple cell types (204, 205), and is known to be predictive of the long-term survival of T cells into the memory phase (191, 206, 207).

High TCR affinity generally seems to promote commitment to Th1 over Tfh and Th17 lineages. As IL-12 has long-been proposed as a polarizing agent for the selective survival and expansion of Th1 populations (208, 209), it is possible that TCR affinity regulates the ratio of Th cell effectors at the population level by controlling the receptivity to IL-12. In this way the selective expansion of high affinity Th1 populations may mask the the generation of lower affinity Th2 populations (210). Discrepancies regarding the role for TCR signal strength in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell differentiation may result from differences in how T cell responses are analyzed between different studies (at the population vs. individual cell level). Furthermore, analyses of Th cell responses at a single time-point or in a single location is likely to lead to confounding observations. Future studies need to take an unbiased approach to studying the role of TCR signal strength by tracking the T cell response across multiple time-points, tissues and at both the individual and population levels.

### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

T cells must integrate distinct signals to coordinate their proliferation and differentiation. A deeper understanding of the molecular mechanisms underpinning various signaling pathways not only sheds new insights into the biology of TCR signal transduction, but also paves the way for manipulating T cell function for immunotherapy. Future studies will need to delineate the model-dependent, relative contribution of TCR signaling strength and polarizing cytokines to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell differentiation. As is the case with the regulation of the IL-12R

### REFERENCES

- Zhu J, Yamane H, Paul WE. Differentiation of effector CD4 T cell populations. Ann Rev Immunol. (2010) 28:445. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-030409-101212
- Corse E, Gottschalk RA, Allison JP. Strength of TCR-peptide/MHC interactions and *in vivo* T cell responses. *J Immunol.* (2011) 186:5039–45. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1003650
- Sloan-Lancaster J, Allen PM. Altered peptide ligand-induced partial T cell activation: molecular mechanisms and role in T cell biology. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (1996) 14:1–27. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.14.1.1
- van Panhuys N, Klauschen F, Germain RN. T-cell-receptor-dependent signal intensity dominantly controls CD4(+) T cell polarization *In vivo. Immunity.* (2014) 41:63–74. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014. 06.003
- Vigano S, Utzschneider DT, Perreau M, Pantaleo G, Zehn D, Harari A. Functional avidity: a measure to predict the efficacy of effector T cells? *Clin Dev Immunol.* (2012) 2012:153863. doi: 10.1155/2012/153863
- Slifka MK, Whitton JL. Functional avidity maturation of CD8(+) T cells without selection of higher affinity TCR. *Nat Immunol.* (2001) 2:711–7. doi: 10.1038/90650
- Govern CC, Paczosa MK, Chakraborty AK, Huseby ES. Fast on-rates allow short dwell time ligands to activate T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2010) 107:8724–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000966107
- Henrickson SE, Mempel TR, Mazo IB, Liu B, Artyomov MN, Zheng H, et al. T cell sensing of antigen dose governs interactive behavior with dendritic cells and sets a threshold for T cell activation. *Nat Immunol.* (2008) 9:282–91. doi: 10.1038/ni1559

and commitment to Th1 differentiation, van Panhuys et al. (9) has proposed that TCR signal strength may dominantly control Th cell polarization by regulating the expression of cytokine receptors important for the polarization of other Th subsets. Whilst this is an attractive hypothesis, it may only apply to the generation of some but not all Th cell subsets. For example, strong TCR signaling has been shown to both enhance and suppress the expression of the IL-4 receptor or its transcript (165, 211–213). Furthermore, it would be critical to investigate how TCR signal strength spatiotemporally regulates the T helper cell response. Different lymphoid and non-lymphoid sites vary in their cellular composition and environmental factors. Therefore, it is likely that T cell turnover and differentiation vary across different stages of infection and in different locations.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

NB and CF wrote and edited this manuscript.

### FUNDING

This work was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia Project Grant (APP1146677). NB was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Bertolino, C. Counoupas, and W. Britton for helpful discussions; L. Baker and P. Foulkes for proofreading this manuscript.

- van Panhuys N. TCR Signal Strength Alters T-DC Activation and Interaction Times and Directs the Outcome of Differentiation. *Front Immunol.* (2016) 7:6. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00006
- Sibener LV, Fernandes RA, Kolawole EM, Carbone CB, Liu F, McAffee D, et al. Isolation of a structural mechanism for uncoupling T cell receptor signaling from peptide-MHC Binding. *Cell.* (2018) 174:672–87 e627. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.017
- Tubo NJ, Pagan AJ, Taylor JJ, Nelson RW, Linehan JL, Ertelt JM, et al. Single naive CD4+ T cells from a diverse repertoire produce different effector cell types during infection. *Cell.* (2013) 153:785–96. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.007
- 12. Zikherman J, Au-Yeung B. The role of T cell receptor signaling thresholds in guiding T cell fate decisions. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2015) 33:43–8. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2015.01.012
- Rosenthal KM, Edwards LJ, Sabatino JJ Jr, Hood JD, Wasserman HA, Zhu C, et al. Low 2-dimensional CD4 T cell receptor affinity for myelin sets in motion delayed response kinetics. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7:e32562. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032562
- Rosette C, Werlen G, Daniels MA, Holman PO, Alam SM, Travers PJ, et al. The impact of duration versus extent of TCR occupancy on T cell activation: a revision of the kinetic proofreading model. *Immunity*. (2001) 15:59–70. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(01)00173-X
- van der Merwe PA, Dushek O. Mechanisms for T cell receptor triggering. Nat Rev Immunol. (2011) 11:47–55. doi: 10.1038/nr i2887
- Cantrell D. Signaling in lymphocyte activation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. (2015) 7:a018788. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a0 18788

- Ngoenkam J, Schamel WW, Pongcharoen S. Selected signalling proteins recruited to the T-cell receptor-CD3 complex. *Immunology*. (2018) 153:42– 50. doi: 10.1111/imm.12809
- Kim ST, Shin Y, Brazin K, Mallis RJ, Sun ZY, Wagner G, et al. TCR mechanobiology: torques and tunable structures linked to early T cell signaling. *Front Immunol.* (2012) 3:76. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00076
- Liu B, Chen W, Evavold BD, Zhu C. Accumulation of dynamic catch bonds between TCR and agonist peptide-MHC triggers T cell signaling. *Cell.* (2014) 157:357–68. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.053
- Wang JH, Reinherz EL. The structural basis of alphabeta T-lineage immune recognition: TCR docking topologies, mechanotransduction, and co-receptor function. *Immunol Rev.* (2012) 250:102–19. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01161.x
- Xu C, Gagnon E, Call ME, Schnell JR, Schwieters CD, Carman CV, et al. Regulation of T cell receptor activation by dynamic membrane binding of the CD3epsilon cytoplasmic tyrosine-based motif. *Cell.* (2008) 135:702–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.044
- 22. Davis SJ, van der Merwe PA. The kinetic-segregation model: TCR triggering and beyond. *Nat Immunol.* (2006) 7:803–9. doi: 10.1038/ni1369
- Nika K, Soldani C, Salek M, Paster W, Gray A, Etzensperger R, et al. Constitutively active Lck kinase in T cells drives antigen receptor signal transduction. *Immunity.* (2010) 32:766–77. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.05.011
- Varma R, Campi G, Yokosuka T, Saito T, Dustin ML. T cell receptorproximal signals are sustained in peripheral microclusters and terminated in the central supramolecular activation cluster. *Immunity*. (2006) 25:117–27. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.04.010
- Rossjohn J, Gras S, Miles JJ, Turner SJ, Godfrey DI, McCluskey J. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigenpresenting molecules. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2015) 33:169–200. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032414-112334
- Chan AC, Iwashima M, Turck CW, Weiss A. ZAP-70: a 70 kd proteintyrosine kinase that associates with the TCR zeta chain. *Cell.* (1992) 71:649– 62. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90598-7
- Stanford SM, Rapini N, Bottini N. Regulation of TCR signalling by tyrosine phosphatases: from immune homeostasis to autoimmunity. *Immunology*. (2012) 137:1–19. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2012.03591.x
- Klammt C, Novotna L, Li DT, Wolf M, Blount A, Zhang K, et al. T cell receptor dwell times control the kinase activity of Zap70. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 16:961–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.3231
- Taylor MJ, Husain K, Gartner ZJ, Mayor S, Vale RD. A DNA-based T cell receptor reveals a role for receptor clustering in ligand discrimination. *Cell*. (2017) 169:108–19 e120. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.006
- Katz ZB, Novotna L, Blount A, Lillemeier BF. A cycle of Zap70 kinase activation and release from the TCR amplifies and disperses antigenic stimuli. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 18:86–95. doi: 10.1038/ni.3631
- Yokosuka T, Sakata-Sogawa K, Kobayashi W, Hiroshima M, Hashimoto-Tane A, Tokunaga M, et al. Newly generated T cell receptor microclusters initiate and sustain T cell activation by recruitment of Zap70 and SLP-76. *Nat Immunol.* (2005) 6:1253–62. doi: 10.1038/ni1272
- 32. Shim EK, Jung SH, Lee JR. Role of two adaptor molecules SLP-76 and LAT in the PI3K signaling pathway in activated T cells. *J Immunol.* (2011) 186:2926–35. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1001785
- Brownlie RJ, Zamoyska R. T cell receptor signalling networks: branched, diversified and bounded. Nat Rev Immunol. (2013) 13:257–69. doi: 10.1038/nri3403
- 34. Kane LP, Shapiro VS, Stokoe D, Weiss A. Induction of NFkappaB by the Akt/PKB kinase. *Curr Biol.* (1999) 9:601–4. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(99)80265-6
- Paul S, Schaefer BC. A new look at T cell receptor signaling to nuclear factorkappaB. *Trends Immunol.* (2013) 34:269–81. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2013.02.002
- Wegener E, Oeckinghaus A, Papadopoulou N, Lavitas L, Schmidt-Supprian M, Ferch U, et al. Essential role for IkappaB kinase beta in remodeling Carma1-Bcl10-Malt1 complexes upon T cell activation. *Mol Cell.* (2006) 23:13–23. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.05.027
- Berg LJ, Finkelstein LD, Lucas JA, Schwartzberg PL. Tec family kinases in T lymphocyte development and function. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2005) 23:549–600. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104743

- Conley JM, Gallagher MP, Berg LJ. T Cells and Gene Regulation: The Switching On and Turning Up of Genes after T Cell Receptor Stimulation in CD8 T Cells. Front Immunol. (2016) 7:76. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00076
- Aramburu J, García-Cózar F, Raghavan A, Okamura H, Rao A, Hogan PG. Selective inhibition of NFAT activation by a peptide spanning the calcineurin targeting site of NFAT. *Mol Cell.* (1998) 1:627–37. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80063-5
- Krishna S, Zhong X. Role of diacylglycerol kinases in T cell development and function. *Crit Rev Immunol.* (2013) 33:97–118. doi: 10.1615/CritRevImmunol.2013006696
- Shaulian E, Karin M. AP-1 as a regulator of cell life and death. Nat Cell Biol. (2002) 4:E131–6. doi: 10.1038/ncb0502-e131
- Altan-Bonnet G, Germain RN. Modeling T cell antigen discrimination based on feedback control of digital ERK responses. *PLoS Biol.* (2005) 3:e356. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030356
- Coward J, Germain RN, Altan-Bonnet G. Perspectives for computer modeling in the study of T cell activation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* (2010) 2:a005538. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a005538
- 44. Adams JJ, Narayanan S, Liu B, Birnbaum ME, Kruse AC, Bowerman NA, et al. T cell receptor signaling is limited by docking geometry to peptide-major histocompatibility complex. *Immunity.* (2011) 35:681–93. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.09.013
- 45. Ma R, Kellner AV, Ma VP, Su H, Deal BR, Brockman JM, et al. DNA probes that store mechanical information reveal transient piconewton forces applied by T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2019) 116:16949–54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1904034116
- Hong J, Persaud SP, Horvath S, Allen PM, Evavold BD, Zhu C. Force-regulated *in situ* TCR-peptide-bound MHC class II kinetics determine functions of CD4+ T cells. *J Immunol.* (2015) 195:3557–64. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1501407
- Pullen RHIII, Abel SM. Catch bonds at t cell interfaces: impact of surface reorganization and membrane fluctuations. *Biophys J.* (2017) 113:120–31. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2017.05.023
- Glassman CR, Parrish HL, Lee MS, Kuhns MS. Reciprocal TCR-CD3 and CD4 engagement of a nucleating pMHCII stabilizes a functional receptor macrocomplex. *Cell Rep.* (2018) 22:1263–75. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.104
- Chau LA, Bluestone JA, Madrenas J. Dissociation of intracellular signaling pathways in response to partial agonist ligands of the T cell receptor. *J Exp Med.* (1998) 187:1699–709. doi: 10.1084/jem.187.10.1699
- Hemmer B, Stefanova I, Vergelli M, Germain RN, Martin R. Relationships among TCR ligand potency, thresholds for effector function elicitation, and the quality of early signaling events in human T cells. *J Immunol.* (1998) 160:5807–14.
- Sloan-Lancaster J, Shaw AS, Rothbard JB, Allen PM. Partial T cell signaling: altered phospho-zeta and lack of zap70 recruitment in APLinduced T cell anergy. *Cell.* (1994) 79:913–22. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94) 90080-9
- O'Donoghue GP, Pielak RM, Smoligovets AA, Lin JJ, Groves JT. Direct single molecule measurement of TCR triggering by agonist pMHC in living primary T cells. *Elife.* (2013) 2:e00778. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 00778.017
- Stefanova I, Hemmer B, Vergelli M, Martin R, Biddison WE, Germain RN. TCR ligand discrimination is enforced by competing ERK positive and SHP-1 negative feedback pathways. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:248–54. doi: 10.1038/ni895
- Plas DR, Johnson R, Pingel JT, Matthews RJ, Dalton M, Roy G, et al. Direct regulation of ZAP-70 by SHP-1 in T cell antigen receptor signaling. *Science*. (1996) 272:1173–6. doi: 10.1126/science.272.5265.1173
- Huppa JB, Gleimer M, Sumen C, Davis MM. Continuous T cell receptor signaling required for synapse maintenance and full effector potential. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:749–55. doi: 10.1038/ni951
- Gamper CJ, Powell JD. All PI3Kinase signaling is not mTOR: dissecting mTOR-dependent and independent signaling pathways in T cells. *Front Immunol.* (2012) 3:312. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012. 00312
- Chi H. Regulation and function of mTOR signalling in T cell fate decisions. Nat Rev Immunol. (2012) 12:325–38. doi: 10.1038/nri3198

- Waickman AT, Powell JD. Mammalian target of rapamycin integrates diverse inputs to guide the outcome of antigen recognition in T cells. *J Immunol.* (2012) 188:4721–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1103143
- Turner MS, Kane LP, Morel PA. Dominant role of antigen dose in CD4+Foxp3+ regulatory T cell induction and expansion. *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:4895–903. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0901459
- Boomer JS, Green JM. An enigmatic tail of CD28 signaling. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. (2010) 2:a002436. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002436
- Harada Y, Tokushima M, Matsumoto Y, Ogawa S, Otsuka M, Hayashi K, et al. Critical requirement for the membrane-proximal cytosolic tyrosine residue for CD28-mediated costimulation *in vivo. J Immunol.* (2001) 166:3797–803. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.166.6.3797
- Frauwirth KA, Riley JL, Harris MH, Parry RV, Rathmell JC, Plas DR, et al. The CD28 signaling pathway regulates glucose metabolism. *Immunity.* (2002) 16:769–77. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00323-0
- Colombetti S, Basso V, Mueller DL, Mondino A. Prolonged TCR/CD28 engagement drives IL-2-independent T cell clonal expansion through signaling mediated by the mammalian target of rapamycin. *J Immunol.* (2006) 176:2730–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.176.5.2730
- Boise LH, Minn AJ, Noel PJ, June CH, Accavitti MA, Lindsten T, et al. CD28 costimulation can promote T cell survival by enhancing the expression of Bcl-XL. *Immunity.* (1995) 3:87–98. doi: 10.1016/1074-7613(95)90161-2
- Hayden MS, Ghosh S. NF-kappaB in immunobiology. Cell Res. (2011) 21:223-44. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.13
- 66. Marinari B, Costanzo A, Marzano V, Piccolella E, Tuosto L. CD28 delivers a unique signal leading to the selective recruitment of RelA and p52 NFkappaB subunits on IL-8 and Bcl-xL gene promoters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2004) 101:6098–103. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0308688101
- Wan YY, DeGregori J. The survival of antigen-stimulated T cells requires NFkappaB-mediated inhibition of p73 expression. *Immunity*. (2003) 18:331– 42. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(03)00053-0
- Kingeter LM, Paul S, Maynard SK, Cartwright NG, Schaefer BC. Cutting edge: TCR ligation triggers digital activation of NF-kappaB. J Immunol. (2010) 185:4520–4. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1001051
- Gett AV, Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A, Geginat J. T cell fitness determined by signal strength. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:355–60. doi: 10.1038/ni908
- Grumont RJ, Gerondakis S. Rel induces interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF-4) expression in lymphocytes: modulation of interferon-regulated gene expression by rel/nuclear factor kappaB. J Exp Med. (2000) 191:1281–92. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.8.1281
- Krishnamoorthy V, Kannanganat S, Maienschein-Cline M, Cook SL, Chen J, Bahroos N, et al. The IRF4 gene regulatory module functions as a read-write integrator to dynamically coordinate T helper cell fate. *Immunity*. (2017) 47:481–97 e487. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.09.001
- Cheng J, Montecalvo A, Kane LP. Regulation of NF-kappaB induction by TCR/CD28. *Immunol Res.* (2011) 50:113–7. doi: 10.1007/s12026-011-8216-z
- Narayan P, Holt B, Tosti R, Kane LP. CARMA1 is required for Aktmediated NF-kappaB activation in T cells. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2006) 26:2327–36. doi: 10.1128/MCB.26.6.2327-2336.2006
- Cheng J, Phong B, Wilson DC, Hirsch R, Kane LP. Akt fine-tunes NFkappaB-dependent gene expression during T cell activation. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:36076–85. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.259549
- Dan HC, Cooper MJ, Cogswell PC, Duncan JA, Ting JP, Baldwin AS. Akt-dependent regulation of NF-{kappa}B is controlled by mTOR and Raptor in association with IKK. *Genes Dev.* (2008) 22:1490–500. doi: 10.1101/gad.1662308
- 76. Yao S, Buzo BF, Pham D, Jiang L, Taparowsky EJ, Kaplan MH, et al. Interferon regulatory factor 4 sustains CD8(+) T cell expansion and effector differentiation. *Immunity.* (2013) 39:833–45. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.007
- Irvine DJ, Purbhoo MA, Krogsgaard M, Davis MM. Direct observation of ligand recognition by T cells. *Nature*. (2002) 419:845–9. doi: 10.1038/nature01076
- Wulfing C, Rabinowitz JD, Beeson C, Sjaastad MD, McConnell HM, Davis MM. Kinetics and extent of T cell activation as measured with the calcium signal. *J Exp Med.* (1997) 185:1815–25. doi: 10.1084/jem.185.10.1815

- Chen JL, Morgan AJ, Stewart-Jones G, Shepherd D, Bossi G, Wooldridge L, et al. Ca2+ release from the endoplasmic reticulum of NY-ESO-1specific T cells is modulated by the affinity of TCR and by the use of the CD8 coreceptor. *J Immunol.* (2010) 184:1829–39. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol. 0902103
- Jayaraman T, Ondriasova E, Ondrias K, Harnick DJ, Marks AR. The inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor is essential for T-cell receptor signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1995) 92:6007–11. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.13.6007
- Brogdon JL, Leitenberg D, Bottomly K. The potency of TCR signaling differentially regulates NFATc/p activity and early IL-4 transcription in naive CD4+ T cells. J Immunol. (2002) 168:3825–32. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.8.3825
- Nurieva RI, Chuvpilo S, Wieder ED, Elkon KB, Locksley R, Serfling E, et al. A costimulation-initiated signaling pathway regulates NFATc1 transcription in T lymphocytes. *J Immunol.* (2007) 179:1096–103. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.2.1096
- Fiering S, Northrop JP, Nolan GP, Mattila PS, Crabtree GR, Herzenberg LA. Single cell assay of a transcription factor reveals a threshold in transcription activated by signals emanating from the T-cell antigen receptor. *Genes Dev.* (1990) 4:1823–34. doi: 10.1101/gad.4.10.1823
- Fuhrmann F, Lischke T, Gross F, Scheel T, Bauer L, Kalim KW, et al. Adequate immune response ensured by binary IL-2 and graded CD25 expression in a murine transfer model. *Elife*. (2016) 5:e20616. doi: 10.7554/eLife.20616.017
- James JR. Tuning ITAM multiplicity on T cell receptors can control potency and selectivity to ligand density. Sci Signal. (2018) 11:aan1088. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aan1088
- Pielak RM, O'Donoghue GP, Lin JJ, Alfieri KN, Fay NC, Low-Nam ST, et al. Early T cell receptor signals globally modulate ligand:receptor affinities during antigen discrimination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2017) 114:12190–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1613140114
- Podtschaske M, Benary U, Zwinger S, Hofer T, Radbruch A, Baumgrass R. Digital NFATc2 activation per cell transforms graded T cell receptor activation into an all-or-none IL-2 expression. *PLoS ONE*. (2007) 2:e935. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000935
- Salazar C, Hofer T. Allosteric regulation of the transcription factor NFAT1 by multiple phosphorylation sites: a mathematical analysis. *J Mol Biol.* (2003) 327:31–45. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(03)00085-8
- Das J, Ho M, Zikherman J, Govern C, Yang M, Weiss A, et al. Digital signaling and hysteresis characterize ras activation in lymphoid cells. *Cell.* (2009) 136:337–51. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.11.051
- Tkach KE, Barik D, Voisinne G, Malandro N, Hathorn MM, Cotari JW, et al. T cells translate individual, quantal activation into collective, analog cytokine responses via time-integrated feedbacks. *Elife.* (2014) 3:e01944. doi: 10.7554/eLife.01944.018
- Chuvpilo S, Jankevics E, Tyrsin D, Akimzhanov A, Moroz D, Jha MK, et al. Autoregulation of NFATc1/A expression facilitates effector T cells to escape from rapid apoptosis. *Immunity.* (2002) 16:881–95. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00329-1
- Zhou B, Cron RQ, Wu B, Genin A, Wang Z, Liu S, et al. Regulation of the murine Nfatc1 gene by NFATc2. J Biol Chem. (2002) 277:10704–11. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M107068200
- Kim HP, Leonard WJ. The basis for TCR-mediated regulation of the IL-2 receptor alpha chain gene: role of widely separated regulatory elements. *EMBO J.* (2002) 21:3051–9. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf321
- 94. Schuh K, Twardzik T, Kneitz B, Heyer J, Schimpl A, Serfling E. The interleukin 2 receptor alpha chain/CD25 promoter is a target for nuclear factor of activated T cells. *J Exp Med.* (1998) 188:1369–73. doi: 10.1084/jem.188.7.1369
- Castellanos MC, Munoz C, Montoya MC, Lara-Pezzi E, Lopez-Cabrera M, de Landazuri MO. Expression of the leukocyte early activation antigen CD69 is regulated by the transcription factor AP-1. J Immunol. (1997) 159:5463–73.
- Allison KA, Sajti E, Collier JG, Gosselin D, Troutman TD, Stone EL, et al. Affinity and dose of TCR engagement yield proportional enhancer and gene activity in CD4+ T cells. *Elife.* (2016) 5:e10134. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 10134
- 97. Marangoni F, Murooka TT, Manzo T, Kim EY, Carrizosa E, Elpek NM, et al. The transcription factor NFAT exhibits signal memory during serial T

cell interactions with antigen-presenting cells. *Immunity*. (2013) 38:237–49. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.09.012

- Huang J, Brameshuber M, Zeng X, Xie J, Li QJ, Chien YH, et al. A single peptide-major histocompatibility complex ligand triggers digital cytokine secretion in CD4(+) T cells. *Immunity*. (2013) 39:846–57. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.036
- Guy CS, Vignali KM, Temirov J, Bettini ML, Overacre AE, Smeltzer M, et al. Distinct TCR signaling pathways drive proliferation and cytokine production in T cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 14:262–70. doi: 10.1038/ni.2538
- Demotz S, Grey HM, Sette A. The minimal number of class II MHCantigen complexes needed for T cell activation. *Science*. (1990) 249:1028–30. doi: 10.1126/science.2118680
- Harding CV, Unanue ER. Quantitation of antigen-presenting cell MHC class II/peptide complexes necessary for T-cell stimulation. *Nature*. (1990) 346:574–6. doi: 10.1038/346574a0
- Mayya V, Dustin ML. What Scales the T Cell Response? *Trends Immunol.* (2016) 37:513–22. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2016.06.005
- 103. Ozga AJ, Moalli F, Abe J, Swoger J, Sharpe J, Zehn D, et al. pMHC affinity controls duration of CD8+ T cell-DC interactions and imprints timing of effector differentiation versus expansion. J Exp Med. (2016) 213:2811–29. doi: 10.1084/jem.20160206
- 104. Zehn D, Lee SY, Bevan MJ. Complete but curtailed T-cell response to very low-affinity antigen. *Nature*. (2009) 458:211–4. doi: 10.1038/nature 07657
- King CG, Koehli S, Hausmann B, Schmaler M, Zehn D, Palmer E. T cell affinity regulates asymmetric division, effector cell differentiation, and tissue pathology. *Immunity*. (2012) 37:709–20. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.06.021
- Baumgartner CK, Yagita H, Malherbe LP. A TCR affinity threshold regulates memory CD4 T cell differentiation following vaccination. *J Immunol.* (2012) 189:2309–17. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1200453
- 107. Fazilleau N, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Rosen H, McHeyzer-Williams MG. The function of follicular helper T cells is regulated by the strength of T cell antigen receptor binding. *Nat Immunol.* (2009) 10:375–84. doi: 10.1038/ni.1704
- Kotov DI, Mitchell JS, Pengo T, Ruedl C, Way SS, Langlois RA, et al. TCR affinity biases th cell differentiation by regulating CD25, Eef1e1, and Gbp2. J Immunol. (2019) 202:2535–45. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1801609
- 109. Malherbe L, Hausl C, Teyton L, McHeyzer-Williams MG. Clonal selection of helper T cells is determined by an affinity threshold with no further skewing of TCR binding properties. *Immunity*. (2004) 21:669–79. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.09.008
- Malherbe L, Mark L, Fazilleau N, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, McHeyzer-Williams MG. Vaccine adjuvants alter TCR-based selection thresholds. *Immunity.* (2008) 28:698–709. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008. 03.014
- Ploquin MJ, Eksmond U, Kassiotis G. B cells and TCR avidity determine distinct functions of CD4+ T cells in retroviral infection. *J Immunol.* (2011) 187:3321–30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101006
- 112. McHeyzer-Williams LJ, Panus JF, Mikszta JA, McHeyzer-Williams MG. Evolution of antigen-specific T cell receptors *in vivo*: preimmune and antigen-driven selection of preferred complementaritydetermining region 3 (CDR3) motifs. *J Exp Med.* (1999) 189:1823–38. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.11.1823
- 113. Fassò M, Anandasabapathy N, Crawford F, Kappler J, Fathman CG, Ridgway WM. T cell receptor (TCR)-mediated repertoire selection and loss of TCR vβ diversity during the initiation of a CD4+ T cell response *in vivo. J Exp Med.* (2000) 192:1719–30. doi: 10.1084/jem.192.12.1719
- 114. Corse E, Gottschalk RA, Krogsgaard M, Allison JP. Attenuated T cell responses to a high-potency ligand *in vivo*. *PLoS Biol.* (2010) 8. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000481
- 115. Keck S, Schmaler M, Ganter S, Wyss L, Oberle S, Huseby ES, et al. Antigen affinity and antigen dose exert distinct influences on CD4 T-cell differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2014) 111:14852–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1403271111
- 116. Quiel J, Caucheteux S, Laurence A, Singh NJ, Bocharov G, Ben-Sasson SZ, et al. Antigen-stimulated CD4 T-cell expansion is inversely and log-linearly related to precursor number. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2011) 108:3312–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1018525108

- 117. Rees W, Bender J, Teague TK, Kedl RM, Crawford F, Marrack P, et al. An inverse relationship between T cell receptor affinity and antigen dose during CD4(+) T cell responses *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (1999) 96:9781–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.17.9781
- 118. Skokos D, Shakhar G, Varma R, Waite JC, Cameron TO, Lindquist RL, et al. Peptide-MHC potency governs dynamic interactions between T cells and dendritic cells in lymph nodes. *Nat Immunol.* (2007) 8:835–44. doi: 10.1038/ni1490
- Heinzel S, Marchingo JM, Horton MB, Hodgkin PD. The regulation of lymphocyte activation and proliferation. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2018) 51:32– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002
- Bonnevier JL, Mueller DL. Cutting edge: B7/CD28 interactions regulate cell cycle progression independent of the strength of TCR signaling. J Immunol. (2002) 169:6659–63. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169. 12.6659
- 121. Deenick EK, Gett AV, Hodgkin PD. Stochastic model of T cell proliferation: a calculus revealing IL-2 regulation of precursor frequencies, cell cycle time, and survival. *J Immunol.* (2003) 170:4963–72. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.170.10.4963
- Iezzi G, Karjalainen K, Lanzavecchia A. The duration of antigenic stimulation determines the fate of naive and effector T cells. *Immunity*. (1998) 8:89–95. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80461-6
- 123. Hommel M, Hodgkin PD. TCR affinity promotes CD8+ T cell expansion by regulating survival. J Immunol. (2007) 179:2250–60. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.4.2250
- Gett AV, Hodgkin PD. A cellular calculus for signal integration by T cells. Nat Immunol. (2000) 1:239–44. doi: 10.1038/79782
- 125. Marchingo JM, Kan A, Sutherland RM, Duffy KR, Wellard CJ, Belz GT, et al. T cell signaling. Antigen affinity, costimulation, and cytokine inputs sum linearly to amplify T cell expansion. *Science*. (2014) 346:1123–7. doi: 10.1126/science.1260044
- 126. Mishima T, Fukaya S, Toda S, Ando Y, Matsunaga T, Inobe M. Rapid G0/1 transition and cell cycle progression in CD8(+) T cells compared to CD4(+) T cells following *in vitro* stimulation. *Microbiol Immunol.* (2017) 61:168–75. doi: 10.1111/1348-0421.12479
- 127. Appleman LJ, van Puijenbroek AA, Shu KM, Nadler LM, Boussiotis VA. CD28 costimulation mediates down-regulation of p27kip1 and cell cycle progression by activation of the PI3K/PKB signaling pathway in primary human T cells. *J Immunol.* (2002) 168:2729–36. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.6.2729
- 128. Hashemolhosseini S, Nagamine Y, Morley SJ, Desrivieres S, Mercep L, Ferrari S. Rapamycin inhibition of the G1 to S transition is mediated by effects on cyclin D1 mRNA and protein stability. *J Biol Chem.* (1998) 273:14424–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.23.14424
- Shi M, Lin TH, Appell KC, Berg LJ. Cell cycle progression following naive T cell activation is independent of Jak3/common gamma-chain cytokine signals. *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:4493–501. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.08 04339
- 130. Yang K, Shrestha S, Zeng H, Karmaus PW, Neale G, Vogel P, et al. T cell exit from quiescence and differentiation into Th2 cells depend on RaptormTORC1-mediated metabolic reprogramming. *Immunity.* (2013) 39:1043– 56. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.09.015
- Colombetti S, Benigni F, Basso V, Mondino A. Clonal anergy is maintained independently of T cell proliferation. *J Immunol.* (2002) 169:6178–86. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169.11.6178
- 132. Zheng Y, Collins SL, Lutz MA, Allen AN, Kole TP, Zarek PE, et al. A role for mammalian target of rapamycin in regulating T cell activation versus anergy. *J Immunol.* (2007) 178:2163–70. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178. 4.2163
- Rowell EA, Wells AD. The role of cyclin-dependent kinases in T-cell development, proliferation, and function. *Crit Rev Immunol.* (2006) 26:189– 212. doi: 10.1615/CritRevImmunol.v26.i3.10
- 134. Heinzel S, Binh Giang T, Kan A, Marchingo JM, Lye BK, Corcoran LM, et al. A Myc-dependent division timer complements a cell-death timer to regulate T cell and B cell responses. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 18:96–103. doi: 10.1038/ni.3598
- 135. Preston GC, Sinclair LV, Kaskar A, Hukelmann JL, Navarro MN, Ferrero I, et al. Single cell tuning of Myc expression by antigen receptor signal

strength and interleukin-2 in T lymphocytes. *EMBO J.* (2015) 34:2008–24. doi: 10.15252/embj.201490252

- 136. Grumont R, Lock P, Mollinari M, Shannon FM, Moore A, Gerondakis S. The mitogen-induced increase in T cell size involves PKC and NFAT activation of Rel/NF-kappaB-dependent c-myc expression. *Immunity*. (2004) 21:19–30. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.06.004
- 137. Wang R, Dillon CP, Shi LZ, Milasta S, Carter R, Finkelstein D, et al. The transcription factor Myc controls metabolic reprogramming upon T lymphocyte activation. *Immunity.* (2011) 35:871–82. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.09.021
- 138. Iwata A, Durai V, Tussiwand R, Briseno CG, Wu X, Grajales-Reyes GE, et al. Quality of TCR signaling determined by differential affinities of enhancers for the composite BATF-IRF4 transcription factor complex. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 18:563–72. doi: 10.1038/ni.3714
- Wu H, Witzl A, Ueno H. Assessment of TCR signal strength of antigenspecific memory CD8(+) T cells in human blood. *Blood Adv.* (2019) 3:2153– 63. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000292
- 140. Man K, Miasari M, Shi W, Xin A, Henstridge DC, Preston S, et al. The transcription factor IRF4 is essential for TCR affinity-mediated metabolic programming and clonal expansion of T cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 14:1155– 65. doi: 10.1038/ni.2710
- 141. Han S, Asoyan A, Rabenstein H, Nakano N, Obst R. Role of antigen persistence and dose for CD4+ T-cell exhaustion and recovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2010) 107:20453–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1008437107
- 142. Zhang X, Brunner T, Carter L, Dutton RW, Rogers P, Bradley L, et al. Unequal death in T helper cell (Th) 1 and Th2 effectors: Th1, but not Th2, effectors undergo rapid Fas/FasL-mediated apoptosis. *J Exp Med.* (1997) 185:1837–49. doi: 10.1084/jem.185.10.1837
- Refaeli Y, Van Parijs L, Alexander SI, Abbas AK. Interferon gamma is required for activation-induced death of T lymphocytes. J Exp Med. (2002) 196:999–1005. doi: 10.1084/jem.20020666
- 144. Gallegos AM, Xiong H, Leiner IM, Susac B, Glickman MS, Pamer EG, et al. Control of T cell antigen reactivity via programmed TCR downregulation. *Nat Immunol.* (2016) 17:379–86. doi: 10.1038/ni.3386
- 145. Kedl RM. T cells compete for access to antigen-bearing antigen-presenting cells. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 192:1105–13. doi: 10.1084/jem.192.8.1105
- 146. Thorborn G, Ploquin MJ, Eksmond U, Pike R, Bayer W, Dittmer U, et al. Clonotypic composition of the CD4+ T cell response to a vectored retroviral antigen is determined by its speed. *J Immun.* (2014) 193:1567–77. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1400667
- 147. Andargachew R, Martinez RJ, Kolawole EM, Evavold BD. CD4 T Cell Affinity Diversity Is Equally Maintained during Acute and Chronic Infection. J Immunol. (2018) 201:19–30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1800295
- 148. Martinez RJ, Andargachew R, Martinez HA, Evavold BD. Low-affinity CD4+ T cells are major responders in the primary immune response. *Nat Commun.* (2016) 7:13848. doi: 10.1038/ncomms13848
- Martinez RJ, Evavold BD. Lower Affinity T Cells are Critical Components and Active Participants of the Immune Response. *Front Immunol.* (2015) 6:468. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00468
- Sabatino JJJr, Huang J, Zhu C, Evavold BD. High prevalence of low affinity peptide-MHC II tetramer-negative effectors during polyclonal CD4+ T cell responses. J Exp Med. (2011) 208:81–90. doi: 10.1084/jem.20101574
- 151. Ertelt JM, Johanns TM, Mysz MA, Nanton MR, Rowe JH, Aguilera MN, et al. Selective culling of high avidity antigen-specific CD4+ T cells after virulent Salmonella infection. *Immunology*. (2011) 134:487–97. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2011.03510.x
- 152. Merkenschlager J, Ploquin MJ, Eksmond U, Andargachew R, Thorborn G, Filby A, et al. Stepwise B-cell-dependent expansion of T helper clonotypes diversifies the T-cell response. *Nat Commun.* (2016) 7:10281. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10281
- 153. Gottschalk RA, Corse E, Allison JP. TCR ligand density and affinity determine peripheral induction of Foxp3 in vivo. J Exp Med. (2010) 207:1701–11. doi: 10.1084/jem.20091999
- 154. Gottschalk RA, Hathorn MM, Beuneu H, Corse E, Dustin ML, Altan-Bonnet G, et al. Distinct influences of peptide-MHC quality and quantity on *in vivo* T-cell responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2012) 109:881–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1119763109

- 155. Constant S, Pfeiffer C, Woodard A, Pasqualini T, Bottomly K. Extent of T cell receptor ligation can determine the functional differentiation of naive CD4+ T cells. J Exp Med. (1995) 182:1591–6. doi: 10.1084/jem.182.5.1591
- 156. Ise W, Totsuka M, Sogawa Y, Ametani A, Hachimura S, Sato T, et al. Naive CD4+ T cells exhibit distinct expression patterns of cytokines and cell surface molecules on their primary responses to varying doses of antigen. *J Immunol.* (2002) 168:3242–50. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.7.3242
- 157. Ruedl C, Bachmann MF, Kopf M. The antigen dose regulation determines Т helper subset development by of CD40 ligand. Eur J Immunol. (2000)30:2056-64. doi: 10.1002/1521-4141(200007)30:7<2056::AID-IMMU2056>3.0.CO2-S
- 158. Tao X, Grant C, Constant S, Bottomly K. Induction of IL-4-producing CD4+ T cells by antigenic peptides altered for TCR binding. J Immunol. (1997) 158:4237-44.
- 159. Yamane H, Zhu J, Paul WE. Independent roles for IL-2 and GATA-3 in stimulating naive CD4+ T cells to generate a Th2-inducing cytokine environment. J Exp Med. (2005) 202:793–804. doi: 10.1084/jem.20051304
- Rogers PR, Huston G, Swain SL. High antigen density and IL-2 are required for generation of CD4 effectors secreting Th1 rather than Th0 cytokines. J Immun. (1998) 161:3844–52.
- 161. Hosken NA, Shibuya K, Heath AW, Murphy KM, O'Garra A. The effect of antigen dose on CD4+ T helper cell phenotype development in a T cell receptor-alpha beta-transgenic model. J Exp Med. (1995) 182:1579–84. doi: 10.1084/jem.182.5.1579
- Rogers PR, Croft M. Peptide dose, affinity, and time of differentiation can contribute to the Th1/Th2 cytokine balance. *J Immunol.* (1999) 163:1205–13.
- 163. Yamashita M, Kimura M, Kubo M, Shimizu C, Tada T, Perlmutter RM, et al. T cell antigen receptor-mediated activation of the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway controls interleukin 4 receptor function and type-2 helper T cell differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1999) 96:1024–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.3.1024
- 164. Yamashita M, Hashimoto K, Kimura M, Kubo M, Tada T, Nakayama T. Requirement for p56 (lck) tyrosine kinase activation in Th subset differentiation. *Int Immunol.* (1998) 10:577–91. doi: 10.1093/intimm/10.5.577
- 165. Yamashita M, Katsumata M, Iwashima M, Kimura M, Shimizu C, Kamata T, et al. T cell receptor-induced calcineurin activation regulates T helper type 2 cell development by modifying the interleukin 4 receptor signaling complex. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 191:1869–79. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.11.1869
- 166. Nakayama T, Yamashita M. The TCR-mediated signaling pathways that control the direction of helper T cell differentiation. *Semin Immunol.* (2010) 22:303–9. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2010.04.010
- 167. Jorritsma PJ, Brogdon JL, Bottomly K. Role of TCR-induced extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation in the regulation of early IL-4 expression in naive CD4+ T cells. *The Journal of Immunology*. (2003) 170:2427–34. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.170.5.2427
- 168. Kumar V, Bhardwaj V, Soares L, Alexander J, Sette A, Sercarz, E. Major histocompatibility complex binding affinity of an antigenic determinant is crucial for the differential secretion of interleukin 4/5 or interferon gamma by T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (1995) 92:9510–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.21.9510
- 169. Leitenberg D, Boutin Y, Constant S, Bottomly K. CD4 regulation of TCR signaling and T cell differentiation following stimulation with peptides of different affinities for the TCR. *J Immunol.* (1998) 161:1194–203.
- 170. Tao X, Constant S, Jorritsma P, Bottomly K. Strength of TCR signal determines the costimulatory requirements for Th1 and Th2 CD4+ T cell differentiation. *J Immun.* (1997) 159:5956–63.
- 171. Pfeiffer C, Stein J, Southwood S, Ketelaar H, Sette A, Bottomly K. Altered peptide ligands can control CD4 T lymphocyte differentiation *in vivo. J Exp Med.* (1995) 181:1569–74. doi: 10.1084/jem.181.4.1569
- 172. Blander JM, Sant'Angelo DB, Bottomly K, Janeway CA Jr. Alteration at a single amino acid residue in the T cell receptor alpha chain complementarity determining region 2 changes the differentiation of naive CD4T cells in response to antigen from T helper cell type 1 (Th1) to Th2. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 191:2065–74. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.12.2065
- 173. Ahlers JD, Belyakov IM, Matsui S, Berzofsky JA. Signals delivered through TCR instruct IL-12 receptor (IL-12R) expression: IL-12 and tumor necrosis

factor-alpha synergize for IL-12R expression at low antigen dose. Int Immunol. (2001) 13:1433-42. doi: 10.1093/intimm/13.11.1433

- 174. Ahlers JD, Belyakov IM, Thomas EK, Berzofsky JA. High-affinity T helper epitope induces complementary helper and APC polarization, increased CTL, and protection against viral infection. *J Clin Invest.* (2001) 108:1677–85. doi: 10.1172/JCI200113463
- 175. Fujimoto N, Ishida H, Nakamura I, Ogasawara K, Itoh Y. Quantities of interleukin-12p40 in mature CD8alpha negative dendritic cells correlate with strength of TCR signal and determine Th cell development. *Microbiol Immunol.* (2003) 47:1017–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2003.tb03462.x
- Hwang ES, Szabo SJ, Schwartzberg PL, Glimcher LH. T helper cell fate specified by kinase-mediated interaction of T-bet with GATA-3. *Science*. (2005) 307:430–3. doi: 10.1126/science.1103336
- 177. Kanhere A, Hertweck A, Bhatia U, Gokmen MR, Perucha E, Jackson I, et al. T-bet and GATA3 orchestrate Th1 and Th2 differentiation through lineagespecific targeting of distal regulatory elements. *Nat Commun.* (2012) 3:1268. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2260
- Usui T, Preiss JC, Kanno Y, Yao ZJ, Bream JH, O'Shea JJ, et al. T-bet regulates Th1 responses through essential effects on GATA-3 function rather than on IFNG gene acetylation and transcription. J Exp Med. (2006) 203:755–66. doi: 10.1084/jem.20052165
- Ismail N, Bretscher PA. The Th1/Th2 nature of concurrent immune responses to unrelated antigens can be independent. J Immunol. (1999) 163:4842–50.
- Lagrange PH, Mackaness GB, Miller TE. Influence of dose and route of antigen injection on the immunological induction of T cells. J Exp Med. (1974) 139:528–42. doi: 10.1084/jem.139.3.528
- Menon JN, Bretscher PA. Parasite dose determines the Th1/Th2 nature of the response to Leishmania major independently of infection route and strain of host or parasite. *Eur J Immunol.* (1998) 28:4020–28.
- Parish CR, Liew FY. Immune response to chemically modified flagellin. 3. Enhanced cell-mediated immunity during high and low zone antibody tolerance to flagellin. J Exp Med. (1972) 135:298–311. doi: 10.1084/jem.135.2.298
- Crotty S. Follicular helper CD4 T cells (TFH). Annu Rev Immunol. (2011) 29:621–63. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101400
- King IL, Mohrs M. IL-4-producing CD4+ T cells in reactive lymph nodes during helminth infection are T follicular helper cells. J Exp Med. (2009) 206:1001–7. doi: 10.1084/jem.20090313
- Reinhardt RL, Liang HE, Locksley RM. Cytokine-secreting follicular T cells shape the antibody repertoire. *Nat Immunol.* (2009) 10:385–93. doi: 10.1038/ni.1715
- 186. Baumjohann D, Preite S, Reboldi A, Ronchi F, Ansel KM, Lanzavecchia A, et al. Persistent antigen and germinal center B cells sustain T follicular helper cell responses and phenotype. *Immunity.* (2013) 38:596–605. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.11.020
- 187. Benson RA, MacLeod MK, Hale BG, Patakas A, Garside P, Brewer JM. Antigen presentation kinetics control T cell/dendritic cell interactions and follicular helper T cell generation *in vivo. Elife.* (2015) 4:e06994. doi: 10.7554/eLife.06994.015
- 188. DiToro D, Winstead CJ, Pham D, Witte S, Andargachew R, Singer JR, et al. Differential IL-2 expression defines developmental fates of follicular versus nonfollicular helper T cells. *Science.* (2018) 361:eaao2933. doi: 10.1126/science.aao2933
- 189. Johnston RJ, Choi YS, Diamond JA, Yang JA, Crotty S. STAT5 is a potent negative regulator of TFH cell differentiation. J Exp Med. (2012) 209:243–50. doi: 10.1084/jem.20111174
- 190. Nagaoka M, Hatta Y, Kawaoka Y, Malherbe LP. Antigen signal strength during priming determines effector CD4 T cell function and antigen sensitivity during influenza virus challenge. *J Immunol.* (2014) 193:2812–20. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401358
- 191. Pepper M, Pagan AJ, Igyarto BZ, Taylor JJ, Jenkins MK. Opposing signals from the Bcl6 transcription factor and the interleukin-2 receptor generate T helper 1 central and effector memory cells. *Immunity*. (2011) 35:583–95. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.09.009
- Snook JP, Kim C, Williams MA. TCR signal strength controls the differentiation of CD4(+) effector and memory T cells. *Sci Immunol.* (2018) 3:eaas9103. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aas9103

- 193. Iezzi G, Sonderegger I, Ampenberger F, Schmitz N, Marsland BJ, Kopf M. CD40-CD40L cross-talk integrates strong antigenic signals and microbial stimuli to induce development of IL-17-producing CD4+ T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2009) 106:876–81. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0810 769106
- 194. Kastirr I, Crosti M, Maglie S, Paroni M, Steckel B, Moro M, et al. Signal Strength and Metabolic requirements control cytokine-induced Th17 differentiation of uncommitted human T cells. *J Immunol.* (2015) 195:3617–27. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1501016
- 195. Gomez-Rodriguez J, Wohlfert EA, Handon R, Meylan F, Wu JZ, Anderson SM, et al. Itk-mediated integration of T cell receptor and cytokine signaling regulates the balance between Th17 and regulatory T cells. *J Exp Med.* (2014) 211:529–43. doi: 10.1084/jem.20131459
- Purvis HA, Stoop JN, Mann J, Woods S, Kozijn AE, Hambleton S, et al. Lowstrength T-cell activation promotes Th17 responses. *Blood.* (2010) 116:4829– 37. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-03-272153
- 197. Revu S, Wu J, Henkel M, Rittenhouse N, Menk A, Delgoffe GM, et al. IL-23 and IL-1beta drive human Th17 cell differentiation and metabolic reprogramming in absence of CD28 COstimulation. *Cell Rep.* (2018) 22:2642–53. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.044
- 198. Tibbitt C, Falconer J, Stoop J, van Eden W, Robinson JH, Hilkens CM. Reduced TCR-dependent activation through citrullination of a T-cell epitope enhances Th17 development by disruption of the STAT3/5 balance. *Eur J Immunol.* (2016) 46:1633–43. doi: 10.1002/eji.201546217
- 199. Cho YL, Flossdorf M, Kretschmer L, Hofer T, Busch DH, Buchholz VR. TCR signal quality modulates fate decisions of single CD4(+) T cells in a probabilistic manner. *Cell Rep.* (2017) 20:806–18. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.07.005
- 200. Gerlach C, Rohr JC, Perie L, van Rooij N, van Heijst JW, Velds A, et al. Heterogeneous differentiation patterns of individual CD8+ T cells. *Science*. (2013) 340:635–9. doi: 10.1126/science.1235487
- 201. Richard AC, Lun ATL, Lau WWY, Gottgens B, Marioni JC, Griffiths GM. T cell cytolytic capacity is independent of initial stimulation strength. *Nat Immunol.* (2018) 19:849–58. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0160-9
- 202. Prlic M, Hernandez-Hoyos G, Bevan MJ. Duration of the initial TCR stimulus controls the magnitude but not functionality of the CD8+ T cell response. J Exp Med. (2006) 203:2135–43. doi: 10.1084/jem.200 60928
- 203. Hollister K, Kusam S, Wu H, Clegg N, Mondal A, Sawant DV, et al. Insights into the role of Bcl6 in follicular Th cells using a new conditional mutant mouse model. J Immunol. (2013) 191:3705–11. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1300378
- 204. Albagli-Curiel O. Ambivalent role of BCL6 in cell survival and transformation. Oncogene. (2003) 22:507–16. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.12 06152
- 205. Zhu B, Zhang R, Li C, Jiang L, Xiang M, Ye Z, et al. BCL6 modulates tissue neutrophil survival and exacerbates pulmonary inflammation following influenza virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2019) 116:11888–93. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1902310116
- 206. Choi YS, Yang JA, Yusuf I, Johnston RJ, Greenbaum J, Peters B, et al. Bcl6 expressing follicular helper CD4T cells are fate committed early and have the capacity to form memory. J Immunol. (2013) 190:4014–26. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1202963
- 207. Weber JP, Fuhrmann F, Hutloff A. T-follicular helper cells survive as long-term memory cells. *Eur J Immunol.* (2012) 42:1981–8. doi: 10.1002/eji.201242540
- Mullen AC, High FA, Hutchins AS, Lee HW, Villarino AV, Livingston DM, et al. Role of T-bet in Commitment of TH1 Cells Before IL-12-Dependent Selection. *Science*. (2001) 292:1907–10. doi: 10.1126/science.10 59835
- 209. Boyton RJ, Altmann DM. Is selection for TCR affinity a factor in cytokine polarization? *Trends Immunol.* (2002) 23:526–9. doi: 10.1016/s1471-4906(02)02319-0
- 210. Milner JD, Fazilleau N, McHeyzer-Williams M, Paul W. Cutting edge: lack of high affinity competition for peptide in polyclonal CD4+ responses unmasks IL-4 production. J Immun. (2010) 184:6569–73. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1000674

- 211. Gonnord P, Angermann BR, Sadtler K, Gombos E, Chappert P, Meier-Schellersheim M, et al. A hierarchy of affinities between cytokine receptors and the common gamma chain leads to pathway cross-talk. *Sci Signal.* (2018) 11:eaal1253. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aal1253
- Nakamura T, Kamogawa Y, Bottomly K, Flavell RA. Polarization of IL-4- and IFN-gamma-producing CD4+ T cells following activation of naive CD4+ T cells. *J Immunol.* (1997) 158:1085–94.
- 213. Perona-Wright G, Mohrs K, Mayer KD, Mohrs M. Differential regulation of IL-4Ralpha expression by antigen versus cytokine stimulation characterizes Th2 progression *in vivo*. J Immunol. (2010) 184:615–23. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0902408

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Bhattacharyya and Feng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.