

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1002/JSFA.9628

Regulation of the levels of health promoting compounds: lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in the pulp and peel of mango fruit: a review — [Source link](#)

Mekhala Dk Vithana, Zora Singh, Stuart K. Johnson

Institutions: Curtin University

Published on: 01 Jun 2019 - Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture (J Sci Food Agric)

Topics: Lupeol, Mangifera, Ripening, Preharvest and Postharvest

Related papers:

- [Harvest maturity stage affects the concentrations of health-promoting compounds: Lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in the pulp and peel of ripe 'Kensington Pride' mango fruit](#)
- [Mango Bioactive Compounds and Related Nutraceutical Properties—A Review](#)
- [Quality characteristics and antioxidant activity of the mango \(*Mangifera indica*\) fruit under arginine treatment](#)
- [Profiling phenolic compounds in underutilized mango peel by-products from cultivars grown in Spanish subtropical climate over maturation course.](#)
- [Preharvest and postharvest factors influencing bioactive compounds in pomegranate \(*Punica granatum L.*\)—A review](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/regulation-of-the-levels-of-health-promoting-compounds-2v15h649ul>

Regulation of the levels of health promoting compounds: lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in the pulp and peel of mango fruit: a review

Running title: Regulation of phytochemicals in mango fruit

Mekhala D K Vithana^a, Zora Singh^{a*} and Stuart K Johnson^{a, b}

^aCurtin Horticulture Research Laboratory, School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth 6845, Western Australia.

^aSchool of Molecular and Life sciences, ^bCurtin Health Innovation Research Institute, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth 6845, Western Australia.

*Corresponding author (e-mail: Z.Singh@curtin.edu.au; Tel +61892663138; Fax +61892663063)

ABSTRACT

There is a demand for feasible methodologies that can increase/ maintain the levels of health-promoting phytochemicals in horticultural produce, due to strong evidence that these compounds can reduce risk of chronic diseases. Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.), ranks fifth among the most cultivated fruit crops in the world, is naturally rich in phytochemicals such as lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids (eg. gallic acid, chlorogenic acid and vanillic acid). Yet, there is still much scope for up-regulating the

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/jsfa.9628

levels of these compounds in mango fruit through manipulation of different pre- and postharvest practices that affect their biosynthesis and degradation. The process of ripening, harvest maturity, physical and chemical elicitor treatments such as low temperature stress, methyl jasmonate (MeJA), salicylic acid (SA) and nitric oxide (NO) and the availability of enzyme cofactors (Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} and Fe^{2+}) required in terpenoid biosynthesis were identified as potential determinants of the concentration of health-promoting compounds in mango fruit. The effectiveness of these pre- and postharvest approaches in regulating the levels of lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in the pulp and peel of mango fruit will be discussed. In general spray application of 0.2% $FeSO_4$ 30 d before harvest, harvest at sprung stage, storage of mature green fruit at 5 °C for 12 d prior to ripening, fumigation of mature green fruit with 10^{-5} M and/or 10^{-4} M MeJA for 24 h or 20 and/or 40 $\mu L L^{-1}$ NO for 2 h upregulate the levels of lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in pulp and peel of ripe mango fruit.

KEYWORDS: *Mangifera indica* L.; health promoting compounds; regulation; pre- and postharvest factors

INTRODUCTION

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) has been among the most favoured fruit in the world since ancient history due to its rich flavour and nutritional value. In the recent past, the importance of mango fruit as a health promoting commodity has been significantly highlighted.¹ Mango fruit is renowned for its rich content of health-promoting compounds which can reduce the risk of several degenerative diseases including cardiovascular diseases and different types of cancer.² Among the major fruit crops

cultivated worldwide mango ranks at fifth position in total production (over 42.1 million Mt including mangosteen and guava) and it is grown over an area of 5.4 million ha in nearly 100 countries in the world (FAOSTAT, www.fao.org/faostat/en, 2017). The world mango production is expanding steadily (FAOSTAT, www.fao.org/faostat/en, 2017). Thus, value addition to this fruit of choice by increasing the concentrations of health-promoting compounds would possibly provide a noteworthy contribution to the health prospects of its consumers worldwide. To achieve this, a thorough understanding is necessary of the biosynthetic pathways of the desired phytochemicals as well as different pre- and postharvest factors that may affect the regulation of their levels.

In the past few decades a considerable amount of literature on bioactive compounds present in the pulp, peel and seed of mango fruit and their health benefits had been reviewed.¹⁻³ However, none of these details the current/ potential pre- and postharvest approaches in regulating the levels of these compounds in this fruit of choice. Thus, to the best of our knowledge, this review for the first time deliberates various pre- and postharvest approaches in regulating the levels of the health-promoting bioactive compounds in mango fruit. Further, this review focuses on health promoting terpenoid: lupeol and phenolic compounds: mangiferin and phenolic acids, their biosynthesis, health benefits; pre- and postharvest factors that could influence their concentrations and the present understanding of different methods that can be employed to up-regulate/ maintain the concentrations of these compounds in ripe mango fruit which is of utmost importance for the health-conscious markets in trend.

MAJOR HEALTH-PROMOTING SECONDARY COMPOUNDS PRESENT IN MANGO FRUIT AND THEIR RELATIVE ABUNDANCE IN PULP AND PEEL

A number of studies have revealed that virtually every part of mango tree, *viz.* pulp, peel, and seed of mango fruit, extracts from bark, leaves and flowers are a good source of beneficial phytochemicals.^{1, 4, 5, 6} However, this review only focuses on the pulp and peel of the fruit.

Lupeol, mangiferin, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, protocatechuic acid, anthocyanins, quercetin and kaempferol, are among the secondary metabolites present in mango fruit with significant beneficial bioactive properties for humans.^{2, 7, 8, 9} However, the concentrations of these health-promoting compounds present in mango vary in different parts of the fruit such as the pulp and the peel.² For example, the concentration of lupeol in ‘Ataulfo’ mango was 1-4 times more in the peel than pulp,¹⁰ whilst it was 1-40 times more in North Indian mangoes depending on the cultivar.¹¹ In Australian mango cultivars, the level of lupeol was about 4 and 1.4 times more in the peel than pulp in ‘Kensington Pride’ and ‘R2E2’ respectively.⁹ Similarly, the the level of mangiferin in the peel was approximately 15 and 5 times higher than the pulp in ‘Kensington Pride’ and ‘R2E2’ respectively,⁹ whilst it was significantly higher in the peel than pulp in ‘Ataulfo’¹⁰ and North Indian mango cultivars as well.¹¹ The levels of gallic, chlorogenic, vanillic, ferulic and caffeic acids in the peel of ‘Kensington Pride’ mango were around 20, 2, 10, 6, and 7 folds higher than pulp respectively, and were 25, 3, 20, 30 and 5 folds higher in ‘R2E2’ mango respectively.⁹

Overall, the concentrations of major health promoting phytochemicals present in the peel of mango fruit are several folds higher than the pulp regardless of the variety. Thus, mango peel; a major by-product of mango juice production facilities, can also be considered as a good source of bioactive compounds that can be used in food, pharmaceutical and nutraceutical industries.^{3,4}

HEALTH-BENEFITS OF MAJOR SECONDARY PHYTOCHEMICALS PRESENT IN MANGO FRUIT

A number of studies have revealed several health benefits of major phytochemicals present in mango fruit (Table 1).

Lupeol

Lupeol is a naturally occurring pentacyclic triterpene (Fig. 1) that present in various plant parts in different concentrations. Mango pulp, grape, hazelnut, olive oil, carrot root, cucumber, soybean and cabbage are found to be rich sources of this compound.⁸

^{12, 13}




Fig. 1 The chemical structure of lupeol (Source: <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com>)

The ability of lupeol to selectively target diseased human cells is well known.⁸ Lupeol is also known for its ability to interact with multiple molecular targets to help control carcinogenesis.¹² A study on mouse prostate cells demonstrated that lupeol could prevent development of cancer cells and eliminate existing cancer cells through induction of apoptosis.¹⁴ Similar results were found in the induction of apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells.¹⁴ Further, its efficacy in controlling colorectal cancer

cells,¹⁵ bone marrow cancer cells¹⁴ and cutaneous melanoma¹³ have also been reported.

Mechanisms of action of lupeol against cancer

Lupeol has shown its potential in controlling cancer cells via different mechanisms of actions (Gallo and Sarachine, 2009).¹² Lupeol is considered as a potential anticancer agent in tumors due to its ability to inhibit farnesyltransferase enzyme (Sturn et al., 1996).¹⁶ Its ability in inhibiting topoisomerase II enzyme (topo II) was another earliest recognized cancer controlling mechanism. Topo II enzyme is responsible for the conversion of supercoiled double stranded DNA to relaxed DNA by catalyzing a transient break (Moriarity et al., 1998).¹⁷ Lupeol was found to be capable of inhibiting this conversion in supercoiled plasmid DNA by selectively inhibiting topo II catalytic reaction due to its ability to interfere with binding of topo II to DNA (Wada et al., 2001).¹⁸

Besides the capability of lupeol to inhibit the lyase activity of DNA polymerase β at an IC_{50} value (concentration that inhibited cell growth by 50%) of 6.4 μ M was identified as another mechanism of action against cancer (Chaturvedula et al., 2004b).¹⁹

Lupeol-induced apoptosis of cancer cells has also gained much attention (Gallo and Sarachine, 2009).¹² Lupeol has demonstrated to cause apoptosis in human promyelotic leukemia HL 60 cells (Aratanechemuge et al., 2004),²⁰ human prostate cancer cell lines LNCaP and CWR22Rv1 (Saleem et al., 2005a),²¹ AsPC1 human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells (Saleem et al., 2005b),²² human melanoma cells 451Lu and WM35 (Saleem et al., 2008),²³ human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells (Prasad et al., 2009)²⁴ as well as hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC7721 cell line

(Zhang et al. 2009)²⁵ among others. The mode of action of lupeol in inducing apoptosis slightly defers with the type of cancer (Gallo and Sarachine, 2009).¹²

In addition to its cancer preventive potential lupeol has also demonstrated cardioprotective effects. It has shown protective effects against *in vitro* LDL oxidation (Andrikopulos et al., 2003),²⁶ cardiac disorder and consequent cardiovascular diseases (Saleem et al., 2003)²⁷ and cardiac oxidative injury (Sudharsan et al., 2005).²⁸

Similar to cancer and cardiovascular disease control, lupeol has exhibited several anti-inflammatory mechanisms of action. Reducing neutrophils in the inflamed tissues (Fernandez et al., 2001),²⁹ decreasing IL-4 (interleukin 4) production by Th2 cells (T-helper type 2) (Bani et al., 2006),³⁰ reducing eosinophils infiltration and Th2 associated cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, IL-3) (Vasconcelos et al., 2008),³¹ reducing LPS-induced IL-6 secretion (Ding et al., 2009)³² are among its anti-inflammatory modes of action. Moreover, various *in vitro* and preclinical animal studies suggest that lupeol has a potential to act as an, anti-invasive, anti-angiogenic and cholesterol lowering agent. Furthermore, it has shown its capability as an anti-arthritic, anti-microbial, anti-protozoal and anti-diabetic agent.³³

Mangiferin

Mangiferin (C-2- β -D-glucopyranosyl-1, 3, 6, 7-tetrahydroxyxanthone) classified under flavonoid group of polyphenols is a glucosyl xanthone present in mango fruit.¹ It has been found to possess a wide array of pharmacological potentials such as: antioxidant, anticancer, antimicrobial, antiatherosclerotic, anti-allergenic, anti-inflammatory and analgesic among many others.² Many studies have revealed that

the majority of the health beneficial properties of mango extract have been ascribed to this compound.^{1, 34, 35, 36}




Fig. 2 The chemical structure of mangiferin (Source: <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com>)

Mangiferin has iron-complexing abilities and thus can be used to reduce iron-induced oxidative damage.^{35, 36} Furthermore, it has a confirmed ability to reduce the progression of degenerative diseases including Parkinson's disease, in which oxidative stress plays a crucial role.³⁷ Moreover, it has shown its potential to ameliorate the oxidative stress found in neurodegenerative disorders due to its ability to pass through blood-brain barrier.³⁴ It has been suggested that mangiferin also protects erythrocytes and red blood cells from reactive oxygen species production.^{38, 39} Mangiferin was found to significantly reduce plasma total cholesterol, triglycerides and low density lipoprotein (LDL) in diabetic rats.⁴⁰

Its ability in reducing blood glucose level by inhibiting the glucose absorption from the intestine was also reported.^{41, 42} Besides, mangiferin could inhibit body weight gain in experimental rats showing a potential in its usage in designing novel food products for special dietary needs for obese people.⁴³


Phenolic acids

Mango fruit is rich in several phenolic acids which are known for their therapeutic potential.^{1, 7} Gallic acid, chlorogenic acid and vanillic acid are among the major


phenolic acids in mango fruit, whilst protocatechuic acid, ferulic and caffeic acids also present in lower concentrations in both pulp and peel.^{7,9}

Phenolic acids are aromatic secondary plant metabolites which derived from two parent structures called hydroxybenzoic acid (Fig. 4) and hydroxycinnamic acid (Fig. 5).⁴⁴ Thus, they are basically divided into two groups, *viz.* hydroxybenzoic acids and cinnamic acids. Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid and vanillic acid are among the major hydroxybenzoic acids whilst, caffeic acid and ferulic acid are classified as cinnamic acids. Chlorogenic acid which possesses significant health benefits is an ester of caffeic acid and quinic acid.


Gallic acid




Chlorogenic acid




Vanillic acid




Protocatechuic acid



Ferulic acid



Caffeic acid

Fig. 3 Phenolic acids present in mango fruit ^{7,9}

(Source: <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com>)




Fig. 4 Hydroxybenzoic acid




Fig. 5 Hydroxycinnamic acid

Gallic acid (3, 4, 5-trihydroxybenzoic acid) was identified as one of the major phenolic acids present in mango fruit. ^{7,9,45} It has a strong antioxidant potential in emulsion or lipid systems. ^{46, 47} Gallic acid has demonstrated its potential for inhibiting carcinogenesis in several animal models and in *in vitro* human and animal cancer cell lines. ⁴⁸ Ho et al ⁴⁹ suggested that gallic acid can be considered as a potential agent to treat gastric cancer, whilst Chen et al ⁵⁰ suggested its potential to be developed into an anti-prostate cancer drug. In cell culture studies, gallic acid has shown a similar potential in controlling human leukaemia, ⁵¹ bone cancer, ⁵² breast cancer ⁵³ and lung

cancer.⁵⁴ In several studies, this compound has been identified as the principle constituent in plant extracts that cause growth inhibition and apoptotic death of DU145 human prostate carcinoma cells.^{50,55} Thus, Chen et al⁵⁰ suggested that gallic acid has the potential to be developed into an anti-prostate cancer drug. Moreover, Inoue et al⁵⁶ reported that gallic acid was cytotoxic against all cancer cell lines that they examined.

Based on several *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies; chlorogenic acid was also found to be capable of exhibiting important antioxidant and anti-carcinogenic activities.⁵⁷ It has demonstrated its ability in protecting healthy cells against apoptosis induced by oxidative stress by suppressing reactive oxygen species.⁵⁸ Further, Cho et al⁵⁹ reported that chlorogenic acid possesses anti-obesity property and could improve lipid metabolism in obese mice. The ability of chlorogenic acid in suppressing asthma,⁶⁰ lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury in mice,⁶¹ liver inflammation and fibrosis,⁶² diabetes⁶³ and ischemia/reperfusion injury in rat liver⁶⁴ have also been reported.

Vanillic acid (4-hydroxy-3-methoxy benzoic acid) has also shown several beneficial properties.⁶⁵ Kumar et al⁶⁶ stated that, this compound was capable of managing blood pressure in rats. The protective role of vanillic acid in rats with chemically induced cardiovascular dysfunction as a result of free radical scavenging and anti-inflammatory properties was also demonstrated.⁶⁷ Furthermore, vanillic acid can be used in combination with cancer chemotherapy.⁶⁸

Ferulic acid (4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamic acid) is also endowed with many physiological functions including antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anti-thrombosis, and anti-cancer activities. It also plays a protective role against coronary diseases and lowers cholesterol in animal studies.⁶⁹ Kanski et al⁷⁰ reported that

ferulic acid could greatly reduce the free radical damage in neuronal cell systems and therefore; possesses a significant therapeutic potential against neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer disease.

Caffeic acid (3, 4-dihydroxycinnamic acid) is another phenolic acid known for its strong antioxidant potential ⁷¹ and is also recognized as an effective anti-diabetic agent in rats. ⁷² Moreover, caffeic acid has exhibited its ability in protecting pBR322 plasmid DNA against the mutagenic and toxic effects of UV radiation and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). ⁷³

Table 1: The health benefits of major phytochemicals present in mango fruit.

Phytochemicals	Health benefits	References
Lupeol	Selectively target diseased human cells	Saleem, 2009
	Anti- carcinogenic	Gallo and Sarachine, 2009
	Control prostate cancer cells	Prasad et al., 2008
	Control colorectal cancer cells	Tarapore et al., 2013
	Control cutaneous melanoma	Syed and Mukhtar, 2011
	Anti-inflammatory, anti-invasive, anti-angiogenic, anti-arthritic, anti-microbial, anti protozoal, anti-diabetic and cholesterol lowering agent	Siddique and Saleem, 2011
Mangiferin	Reduce iron-induced oxidative damage	Puccio and Koenig, 2002; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1986
	Reduce the progression of Parkinson's disease	Halliwell, 2006
	Ameliorate oxidative stress found in neurodegenerative disorders	Martinez et al., 2001
	Protect erythrocytes and red blood cells from reactive oxygen species production	Pawlak et al., 1998; Rodriguez et

Phenolic acids

Gallic acid

Reduce plasma cholesterol, triglycerides and low density lipoprotein

Reduce blood glucose level

Inhibit body weight gain

Strong antioxidant in lipid systems

Anti- carcinogenic

Control gastric cancer

Control prostate cancer

Control leukaemia

Control bone cancer

Control lung cancer

al., 2006)

Muruganadan et al., 2005

Yoshikawa et al., 2001;

Aderibrigbe et al., 2001

Yoshimia et al., 2001

Yen et al, 2002; Madsen and

Bertelsen, 1995

Verma et al., 2013

Ho et al., 2013

Chen et al., 2009; Veluri et al.,

2006

Reddy et al., 2012

Liao et al., 2012

Ji et al., 2009

	Cytotoxic against several cancer cell lines	Inoue et al., 1995
Chlorogenic acid	Anti-carcinogenic, antioxidant	Farah et al., 2008
	Protect cells against oxidative stress	Li et al., 2012
	Anti-obesity property	Cho et al., 2010
	Suppress asthma	Kim et al., 2010
	Reduce lipopolysaccharide induced acute lung injury	Zhang et al., 2010
	Suppress liver inflammation and fibrosis	Shi et al., 2012
	Control diabetes	Ong et al., 2013
	Control ischemia/ reperfusion injury	Yun et al., 2012
Vanillic acid	Manage blood pressure	Kumar et al., 2014
	Free radical scavenging and anti-inflammatory	Prince et al., 2011
	Anti-carcinogenic	Sindhu et al., 2015

Ferulic acid	Protective against coronary diseases and reduce cholesterol	Ou and Kwok, 2004
	Reduce free radical damage and control Alzheimer disease	Kanski et al., 2002
Caffeic acid	Strong antioxidant	Gulcin, 2006
	Anti-diabetic	Jung et al., 2006
	Protect pBR322 plasmid DNA against UV and H ₂ O ₂	Sevgi et al., 2015

FACTORS AFFECTING THE LEVELS OF LUPEOL AND PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS IN MANGO FRUIT

The levels of lupeol and phenolic compounds in mango fruit are influenced by a number of pre- and postharvest factors (Table 2).

Effect of the genotype

Mango has a wide range of genetic variation.⁷⁴ There are hundreds of mango cultivars with distinct visual diversity in shape, size and colour and internal differences in flavour and the nutrient composition.⁷⁵ In addition to these differences, a significant diversity in the concentrations of bioactive compounds has also been reported in mango fruit.

Srivastava et al¹¹ reported a significant variation in the concentration of lupeol in the pulp and peel among four Indian mango cultivars: ‘Bombay Green’, ‘Dashehari’, ‘Langra’ and ‘Chausa’. A significantly higher concentration of lupeol was found in both the pulp and peel of ‘Dashehari’ mango fruit followed by ‘Langra’, whilst a significantly lower amount was noted in the fruit of ‘Chausa’. Similarly, Ruiz-Montanez et al¹⁰ reported that the concentration of lupeol was influenced by the cultivar in a study carried out using ‘Ataulfo’ and native mango fruit. The level of lupeol in ripe fruit was higher in both the pulp and peel of ‘R2E2’ mango fruit than ‘Kensington Pride’.⁹

The concentration of mangiferin was significantly different in four cultivars of Brazilian mangoes; recording 2.9 mg kg⁻¹ in ‘Haden’, 2.2 mg kg⁻¹ in ‘Tommy Atkins’, 12.4 mg kg⁻¹ in ‘Uba’ on dry weight basis, whilst it was not detected in the fruit of cultivar ‘Palmer’.⁷⁶ Srivastava et al¹¹ reported that the concentration of mangiferin

was the highest in the pulp of ‘Bombay Green’ mango fruit compared to ‘Dashehari’, ‘Langra’ and ‘Chausa’, while it was the highest in the peel of ‘Langra’ fruit compared to other three. Similar observations were recorded in the concentrations of flavonol-*O*-glycosides in these cultivars, thus providing evidence of the genotypic influence on the concentration of secondary metabolites.⁷⁶ Further, the concentration of mangiferin in the peel ranged from 300.0 – 1300 mg kg⁻¹ in dry weight basis among ‘Chok Anan’, ‘Tommy Atkins’, ‘Maha Chanock’ and ‘Kaew’ mango fruit whilst, significantly lower concentrations in ‘Haden’ and ‘Kent’.⁷⁷ The level of mangiferin was more in the ripe pulp of ‘Kensington Pride’ mango fruit compared to ‘R2E2’, whereas the opposite was observed in the ripe peel.⁹

A significantly high concentration of total phenolics was noted in the pulp of ‘Ataulfo’ mango fruit {166.7 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) 100g⁻¹}, whilst the other four cultivars recorded an average of 31.2 mg GAE 100g⁻¹ in fresh weight basis.⁷⁸ The major phenolic acids identified in ‘Ataulfo’ mango fruit were chlorogenic acid, gallic acid, vanillic acid in the order of relative abundance,⁷ whereas, gallic acid was the major phenolic acid present in the pulp of ‘Tommy Atkins’⁴⁵ and both the pulp and peel of ‘Kensington Pride’ and ‘R2E2’ mango fruit.⁹

According to Ma et al,⁷⁹ the concentration of total phenols in the pulp varied from 8.8 to 193.4 mg GAE 100 g⁻¹ in fresh weight basis among eight cultivars of mango fruit they studied, ‘Tainong’ having the highest and ‘Guifei’ the lowest. The total flavonoid content of the pulp was also the highest in ‘Tainong’ mango fruit (90.9 mg rutin 100 g⁻¹), whilst the fruit of ‘Guifei’ having the lowest content (6.28 rutin 100 g⁻¹). The total phenol concentrations in the pulp and peel of ‘Kensington Pride’ mango fruit were higher than ‘R2E2’ mango fruit.⁹ Li et al⁸⁰ reported that the concentrations

of total polyphenols and total flavonoids were significantly higher in green peel mango fruit compared to red peel or yellow peel mangoes of 11 different cultivars.

Effect of the degree of ripeness

The degree of ripeness has shown a marked influence in the concentration of bioactive compounds in mango fruit. The major phenolic compounds in 'Ataulfo' mango pulp tended to increase with the advancement of fruit ripening.⁸¹ The highest concentration of total phenols was recorded in the pulp of 'Ataulfo' mango fruit harvested at 20-30% and 70-80% yellow surface stages when compared with the fruit harvested at 0-10% and 100% yellow surface stages.⁸¹ Similarly, the concentrations of chlorogenic acid and vanillic acid were significantly high in the fruit harvested at 71-100% yellow peel stage.⁷

According to Ruiz-Montanez et al,¹⁰ significantly higher concentrations of lupeol and mangiferin were noted in the pulp and peel of 'Ataulfo' mango fruit harvested at consumption maturity stage when compared with the fruit harvested at physiological maturity stage. The concentration of lupeol in the peel of 'Dashehari' mango fruit has also shown a significant increase during ripening.¹¹

A significant difference in the levels of lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids were observed in ripe 'Kensington Pride' mango fruit which were harvested at four different maturity stages; mature green, sprung stage, half ripe and tree ripe. The ripe mango fruit harvested at sprung stage recorded the highest levels of lupeol, mangiferin, vanillic acid, ferulic acid and caffeic acid and gallic acid, chlorogenic acid.⁸² Therefore, delaying the harvest of mango fruit until sprung stage could be considered as a promising strategy to obtain ripe mangoes with higher levels of health promoting compounds and thus, improved health benefits.

Effect of low temperature storage

Although many studies have investigated the effect of low temperature storage on the content of bioactive compounds in different fruit and vegetables, published data are rather limited on its influence on the levels of terpenoids and phenolic health-promoting compounds in mango fruit.

The exposure of fruit and vegetables to low temperature stress during storage is considered as a physical elicitor treatment which triggers the production of desired phenolic compounds.⁸³ The biosynthetic pathways of both terpenoids and phenols are activated after an elicitor treatment by inducing the activity of the enzyme, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL).^{84, 85}

According to Vithana et al,⁸⁶ the concentrations of lupeol in pulp and peel and chlorogenic and caffeic acids in the pulp were significantly higher in Kensington Pride mango fruit stored at 5 °C than 13 °C, whereas mangiferin, gallic, chlorogenic, vanillic, ferulic, and caffeic acids, and total phenols in the peel were significantly higher when stored at 13 °C. Another study revealed that the total phenols concentration continued to increase in the pulp of tree ripe 'Irwin' mango fruit stored for 20 d at 5 °C.⁸⁷ Storage of mango fruit at chilling temperature (5 °C) for 12 d prior to ripening at room temperature could therefore be considered as a simple and practical tool to increase the level of lupeol in ripe mango fruit. On the whole, subjecting mature green mango fruit to low temperature stress as a physical elicitor treatment could be considered as a feasible technique to improve the levels of health promoting phytochemicals in the ripe fruit.^{86, 87}

Effect of climate, soil composition and the geographical location of cultivation

Effect of climatic and soil related factors on the concentrations of health-promoting compounds in mango fruit are rather scanty. According to Manthey and Perkins-Veazie,⁷⁸ the country of origin with differences in soil and climate had a significant influence on the concentration of total phenols in five different cultivars of mango fruit grown in four different countries. Moreover, Palafox-Carlos et al.⁸¹ reported that, the concentrations of phenolic compounds present in ‘Ataulfo’ mango fruit grown in Tepic, Nayarit, Mexico were different from those grown in Chiapas State, Mexico.⁸⁸

However, drawing major conclusions from published research on the effect of climate and location on the content of bioactive compounds in fruit is rather difficult due to inconclusive findings. The magnitude of the effect of these factors on the concentration of health-promoting compounds is possibly dependent on the type of the fruit and type of the compound.

Effect of postharvest heat treatment

To comply with the quarantine requirements of the importing countries exposure of mango fruit to thermal quarantine treatments such as hot water treatment have been practice. However, this might affect the concentrations of bioactive compounds in the treated mango fruit. The concentrations of gallic acid, gallotannins and total soluble phenolics in mature green ‘Tommy Atkins’ mango fruit were decreased within 2 h of hot water treatment (46.1 °C, 110 min) compared to the untreated fruit and the fruit subjected hot water treatment for 70 min. However, when these fruit were stored for 4 days, the concentration of total soluble phenolics in all hot water-treated fruit decreased regardless of the duration of treatment (70 min or 110 min). Whereas only slight differences were observed in gallic acid and gallotannin concentrations compared to the untreated control.⁸⁹

Similarly, the concentrations of gallic acid and several hydrolysable tannins in the pulp of 'Tommy Atkins' mango fruit subjected to hot water treatment (46 °C for 75 min) prior to storage for 2 weeks at 10 °C under controlled atmospheric conditions comprising of 3% O₂ + 97% N₂ or 3% O₂ + 10% CO₂ + 87% N₂ were unaffected by the hot water treatment, while total polyphenolics decreased throughout fruit ripening, regardless of hot water treatment or storage atmosphere.⁴⁵

Table 2: Impact of pre- and postharvest factors and treatments on lupeol and phenolic contents of mango fruit

		Lupeol		Phenolic compounds	
Factor	Effect	Reference	Effect	Reference	
	(Increase/decrease/indifferent)		(Increase/decrease/indifferent)		
Genotype selection	Increase	Srivastava et al., 2015 Palafox-Carlos et al., 2012a Vithana et al. 2018a	Increase	Srivastava et al., 2015 Vithana et al. 2018a Ma et al. Li et al. 2014 Kim et al. 2007	
Degree of ripeness- (Delayed harvesting)	Increase	Ruiz-Montanez et al., 2014 Vithana et al. 2019	Increase	Palafox-Carlos et al., 2012a Ruiz-Montanez et al., 2014 Vithana et al. 2019	
Low temperature	Increase	Vithana et al. 2018b	Increase	Vithana et al. 2018b	

storage

Postharvest heat NT

Decrease

Shivashankara et al.

treatments:

2004

REGULATION OF THE CONCENTRATION OF HEALTH-PROMOTING COMPOUNDS IN MANGO FRUIT

The rediscovery of the historic bond between plant products and human health has instigated a marked growth in the interest of botanical therapeutics, plant-based pharmaceutical products, dietary supplements and functional food among health conscious consumers worldwide.⁹⁰ Many of the plant derived products with significant medicinal properties are anticipated to complement the conventional medicines in near future and thereby add a significant value to agricultural produce.⁹⁰

Due to the ever increasing evidence of an inverse relationship between the regular consumption of fruit and vegetables and chronic degenerative diseases such as cardiovascular diseases and cancer, different methods to improve the content of bioactive compounds in plant products have been developed.⁸⁵ Therefore; in the past few decades, several methods including simple cultural practices such as pruning and fruit thinning to complex methods such as genetic engineering and plant cell culture have been investigated and practiced with the aim of increasing the concentration of bioactive compounds in fresh horticultural products.⁸⁵

Phenolic compounds and terpenoids are among the key contributors to the health benefits of different fruit including mango. Thus, a good understanding of their biosynthetic pathways and the factors that could influence their biosynthesis and degradation would be of utmost importance in developing effective and efficient methods to regulate the concentrations of desired health-promoting compounds in mango fruit. The following section will provide the mechanisms of polyphenol and terpenoid biosynthesis that occurs in mango fruit as well as in other fruit.

Biosynthesis of phenolic health-promoting compounds

Most of these phenolic compounds are classified as secondary metabolites that have a large variety of structures and functions,⁹¹ and are biosynthesized in plants during normal growth and development or when they are subjected to biotic or abiotic stresses. Biosynthesis of phenolic compounds in plants as secondary metabolites occurs via different pathways. Generally phenolic compounds are biosynthesised from the intermediates of carbohydrate metabolism via the shikimic acid pathway which is predominately found in plastids.⁹² The two starting compounds of shikimic acid pathway are erythrose - 4 -phosphate and phosphoenol pyruvate derived from carbohydrate metabolism during photosynthesis.⁹² Several phenolic secondary metabolites are then synthesized from these precursors in multiple steps⁹² (Fig. 6). Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) is one of the key enzymes in shikimic acid pathway which is responsible for the biosynthesis of phenolic acids.⁹³

Derivation of erythrose - 4- phosphate (Fig. 7) and phosphoenol pyruvate (Fig. 8) from carbohydrates, production of shikimic acid (Fig. 9) and chorismic acid (Fig. 10) and the conversion of chorismate to other products can be considered as the major steps of shikimic acid pathway.⁹² The synthesis of phenylalanine (Fig. 11) from chorismic acid is one of the crucial steps of polyphenol biosynthesis as polyphenols are basically biosynthesised from phenylalanine. The deamination of phenylalanine by the enzyme phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) is considered as the initial step of phenolic acid biosynthesis.⁸⁵ These phenolic acids, mainly cinnamic acid and its derivatives then play a key role in the synthesis of flavonoids, lignin and several other phenolic compounds.⁹²

Biosynthesis of terpenoids

Terpenoids are derived by the recurring fusion of five-carbon units called 'isoprene units'.⁹⁴ Thus, they are divided into several groups based on the number of isoprene units they possess; namely, hemiterpenes (C₅, single isoprene unit), monoterpenes (C₁₀, two isoprene units), sesquiterpenes (C₁₅, three isoprene units), diterpenes (C₂₀, four isoprene units), triterpenes (C₂₅, five isoprene units), tetraterpenes (C₃₀, six isoprene units) and polyterpenes (> C₄₀, > 8 isoprene units).⁹⁵ Plant growth regulators (cytokinins, gibberellic acid and abscisic acid), photosynthetic pigments (carotenoids and chlorophyll) are some of the most important primary terpenoid metabolites.⁹⁶ Monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, diterpenes and triterpenes are considered as secondary metabolites.⁹⁶ Monoterpenes comprise a major fraction of aroma volatile compounds in fruit such as mango,⁹⁷ floral fragrances and of essential oils in plants.⁹⁵ Sesquiterpenes are also found in mango fruit aroma volatile compounds⁹⁷ and essential oils in plants and a number of sesquiterpenoids are found in antimicrobial compounds produced by plants as pathogen defence.⁹⁵ Diterpenes include phytol, a side chain of chlorophyll molecule and several other pharmacologically important compounds such as retinol,⁹⁵ whilst triterpenes include plant hormones, various membrane components, plant waxes⁹⁵ and anti-carcinogenic bioactive compounds such as lupeol.⁸ Tetraterpenes which is the most common of all terpene groups is consisted of carotenoid pigments.⁹⁵

Terpenoids could be biosynthesised via mevalonate pathway or 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol-4-phosphate (MEP) pathway (Fig. 12).^{94, 96} Generally, the biosynthesis of terpenoids is compartmentalized, where the mevalonate pathway is present in the cytosol and the MEP pathway in the plastids.^{95, 96} Usually, sesquiterpenes, triterpenes and polyterpenes are synthesised in the cytosol, whilst monoterpenes, diterpenes and tetraterpenes are largely synthesised in plastids.⁹⁵




Fig. 6 Basic steps of shikimic acid pathway of phenolic biosynthesis modified from Seigler⁹²




Fig. 7 Erythrose-4-phosphate




Fig. 8 Phosphoenol pyruvate




Fig. 9 Shikimic acid




Fig.10 Chorismic acid




Fig. 11 Phenylalanine

(Source: <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com>)

Mevalonate (mevalonic acid) and MEP pathways of terpenoid biosynthesis

Both mevalonate and MEP pathways are initiated by pyruvate (Fig. 12) which is produced during the process of photosynthesis, followed by a series of steps which ultimately synthesise different types of terpenoids. In mevalonate pathway, pyruvate is converted to acetyl- CoA, acetoacetyl CoA and 3- hydroxyl-3-methyl-glutanyl-CoA (HMG- CoA) which is then converted to mevalonic acid (Fig. 13). From mevalonic acid, isopentenyl phosphate (IPP) (Fig. 14) is derived which is subsequently converted to different types of terpenoids (Fig. 15). However, in MEP pathway, pyruvate is converted to glyceraldehydes 3-phosphate (GAP) and 1-deoxy-D-xylulose which produces 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol-4-phosphate (Fig.15), which is later converted to IPP. Then the major sub groups of terpenoids are synthesised from IPP. ⁹⁵




Fig. 12 Pyruvate




Fig. 13 Mevalonic acid





Fig. 14 Isopentenyl phosphate (IPP)

Fig. 15 2-C-Methyl-D-erythritol-4-
Phosphate

(Source: <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com>)




Fig. 16 Major steps of Mevalonate and MEP pathways of terpenoid biosynthesis modified from Croteau et al ⁹⁵

TOOLS TO INCREASE THE CONCENTRATION OF HEALTH- PROMOTING COMPOUNDS IN MANGO FRUIT

Due to the increasing awareness of the health benefits of secondary compounds produced in plants, the concern of consumers has been shifted from the external quality of fruit and vegetables to their concentration of health-promoting compounds.

⁸³ Thus, a need of new technologies or strategies to add value to fruit and vegetables by increasing their concentration of desired health-promoting compounds has been created. ⁸⁴ In addition to their health prospects, these value added commodities would improve trade prospects of growers and food industry in growing health-oriented markets.

Plant cell culture

Plant cell culture is another potential alternative for the production of desired secondary metabolites that are generally difficult to synthesise chemically or extract directly from plants. ^{98, 99} However, low yield of compounds obtained via this method is one of the key constraints. ⁸⁵ The productivity of many compounds is still not competitive enough for commercial applications. ⁹⁹

Elicitors

Application of physical and chemical elicitors is becoming the most promising alternative to overcome the constraints faced by genetic engineering and plant cell culture. ⁸⁵ Low temperature, ultraviolet and gamma irradiation and altered gas composition are among the physical elicitor's treatments, whilst plant signalling

molecules such as methyl jasmonate (MeJA), salicylic acid (SA) and ethylene are considered as the chemical elicitors.⁸³ Plant defence against biotic or abiotic stress conditions involves triggered synthesis of low molecular weight compounds called phytoalexins.¹⁰⁰ Thus, a chemical elicitor can be considered as a compound that can stimulate phytoalexin accumulation in plants.⁹⁹

Since plant secondary metabolites are generally synthesised to protect plants from various biotic and abiotic stresses including pest and disease attacks, moisture stress and extreme temperatures; stress induction could be used to stimulate the production of such plant secondary metabolites.⁹⁹ There is growing evidence on the ability of plants to biosynthesize higher concentrations of secondary metabolites as a response to induced abiotic stresses.¹⁰¹ Organically grown fruit are believed to contain more secondary metabolites as such situations induce plants and fruit to use their own natural defence mechanisms against biotic and abiotic stress.⁷⁶ Hence, targeted postharvest elicitor treatments could be used as a promising tool to produce fruit and vegetables with higher concentrations of phytochemicals to cater the growing consumer demand.⁸³ This technique is considered as a promising practical, effective and safe tool when compared with genetic engineering and plant cell culture.^{84, 85} However, the influence of elicitor treatments on the levels of terpenoids and phenolic health-promoting compounds in mango fruit is rather limited. Even though a number of studies have reported the pre- and postharvest effects of the application of MeJA, SA and NO on chilling injury, physico-chemical and health beneficial properties in different mango cultivars including ‘Tommy Atkins’ (Gonzalez-Aguilar et al. 2000),¹⁰² ‘Kent’ (Gonzalez-Aguilar et al. 2001),¹⁰³ ‘Kensington Pride’ (Lalel et al 2003¹⁰⁴; Joyce et al 2001¹⁰⁵, Zaharah and Singh, 2011¹⁰⁶) , ‘Matisu’ (Zeng et al., 2006¹⁰⁷)

and 'Chausa' (Barman et al, 2014),¹⁰⁸ their effect on the concentrations of lupeol, mangiferin or phenolic acids are seldom discussed.


A study carried out to investigate the effect of postharvest application of chemical elicitors MeJA, SA and NO on the concentrations of these compounds in the pulp and peel of ripe 'Kensington Pride' mango fruit revealed that, the levels of mangiferin, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid and total phenols in both pulp and peel as well as lupeol and caffeic acid in the peel were significantly higher with MeJA (10^{-5} or 10^{-4} M) fumigation compared to untreated control. Similarly, the concentrations of mangiferin and ferulic acid in the pulp and peel were significantly higher with 1 and 2 mmol L⁻¹ SA dip, lupeol in the pulp with 2 and 3 mmol L⁻¹ SA dip, chlorogenic acid in both pulp and peel with 2 mmol L⁻¹ SA dip and vanillic acid in the pulp with all SA treatments (1, 2 and 3 mmol L⁻¹) compared to control. The concentrations of lupeol, mangiferin, gallic and chlorogenic acids in both the pulp and peel, vanillic, ferulic and caffeic acids and total phenols in the ripe pulp were significantly higher with NO (20 and/or 40 μ L L⁻¹) fumigation compared to the control.¹⁰⁹ On the whole, fumigation with 10^{-5} M and/or 10^{-4} M MeJA for 24 h and fumigation with 20 and/or 40 μ L L⁻¹ NO for 2 h could be considered as feasible methods to increase the concentrations of mangiferin, gallic and chlorogenic acids in pulp and peel of ripe mango fruit to cater for the health-oriented markets and commercial industries.

Stimulation of terpenoid biosynthesis

The stimulation of terpenoid biosynthesis would possibly increase the concentrations of health-promoting compounds such as carotenoids and lupeol in mango fruit. The conversion of acetyl-CoA to acetoacetyl-CoA and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG- CoA) are considered as the first two steps in terpenoid biosynthesis via

mevalonic acid pathway as mentioned earlier (Fig. 16).⁹³ The enzymes that catalyse these two reactions: Acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase and HMG-CoA synthase utilize bivalent metal ion Fe^{2+} as a cofactor.⁹³ Subsequently, isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP), geranyl pyrophosphate (GPP) and farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) which involve in the production of hemiterpenes, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, diterpenes and tetraterpenes are biosynthesized. Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+} is utilized as cofactors by the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of these compounds: Pyrophosphomevalonate decarboxylase, IPP isomerase, GPP synthase and FPP synthase (Fig. 17).^{93, 110}

The influence of a pre-harvest spray application of FeSO_4 (Fe^{2+}), MgSO_4 (Mg^{2+}) and MnSO_4 (Mn^{2+}) (0.2% and 0.3%) 30 d before harvest date on the levels of terpenoids and phenolic compounds in the pulp and peel of ripe 'Kensington Pride' mango fruit showed that the concentration of lupeol was significantly higher in the peel of fruit applied with all six treatments, whilst it was the highest in the pulp of fruit treated with 0.3% FeSO_4 . The level of mangiferin in the pulp was significantly higher in the fruit treated with 0.2% FeSO_4 , MgSO_4 and MnSO_4 whilst the concentrations of gallic, ferulic and caffeic acids in the peel and chlorogenic acid in both the pulp and peel were highest in fruit sprayed with 0.2% FeSO_4 .¹¹¹ Overall, spray application of 0.2% aqueous FeSO_4 30 d before harvest was identified as a viable commercial approach to obtain value added mango fruit with higher levels of lupeol, mangiferin, gallic, ferulic and caffeic acids in the peel and chlorogenic acid in the pulp.¹¹¹






Fig. 17 Major enzymes and their cofactors involved in different steps of mevalonate pathway of triterpene biosynthesis. ^{94, 111}

FUTURE RESEARCH

Based on the knowledge generated by several investigations reviewed in this article, there is an obvious potential in upregulating the levels of health-promoting phytochemicals in mango fruit via different pre- and postharvest approaches. Thus, in

future the influence of pre-harvest elicitation methods such as regulated and deficit irrigation and simple agronomic practices such as reflective mulches on the concentrations of bioactive compounds in mango fruit could be investigated. Moreover, the dynamics in the activity of phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) and other key enzymes involved in terpenoid and polyphenol biosynthetic pathways under different pre- and postharvest treatments and conditions could be investigated for better manipulation of these processes in future studies. The influence of pre- and postharvest elicitation on the expression of gene(s) involved in terpenoid and polyphenol biosynthesis could be investigated to understand the mechanism of action of these elicitors in enhancing the levels of different health promoting compounds in mango fruit. Furthermore, elevated levels of health-promoting compounds present in the pulp and peel could be added as an objective in future mango breeding programmes, whilst identification of germplasm with higher levels of these compounds could be used in hybridization.

CONCLUSION

Mango fruit is a rich source of many health-beneficial phytochemicals such as lupeol, mangiferin and different phenolic acids. However, there still is much scope for further increasing the levels of these compounds through various pre- and postharvest manipulations., delaying harvesting of mango fruit until sprung stage, exposing hard green mature mangoes to chilling temperatures (5 °C) for 12 d prior to ripening, elicitation of polyphenol and terpenoid biosynthesis by fumigation of mature green mango fruit with 10^{-5} M and/or 10^{-4} M MeJA for 24 h or fumigation with 20 and/or 40 $\mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ NO for 2 h and spray application of 0.2% aqueous FeSO_4 30 d before harvest for the exogenous supply of enzyme cofactor Fe^{2+} can be considered as viable methods

to obtain ripe mango fruit with higher levels of lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in both pulp and peel. These phytochemical rich fruit can play a beneficial role in diet to reduce the risk of chronic degenerative diseases. Moreover, the value added peel would provide a good source to extract these compounds for food processing and nutraceutical industries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

M.D.K Vithana gratefully acknowledges the Endeavour Postgraduate Award (PhD) offered by the Australian government and Wayamba University, Sri Lanka for granting study leave for her PhD.

REFERENCES

1. Masibo M, He Q. Mango bioactive compounds and related nutraceutical properties—a review. *Food Rev Int* **25**(4):346-70 (2009).
2. Masibo M, He Q. Major mango polyphenols and their potential significance to human health. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf* **7**(4): 309-319 (2008).
3. Jahurul MH, Zaidul IS, Ghafoor K, Al-Juhaimi FY, Nyam KL, Norulaini NA, Sahena F, Omar AM. Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) by-products and their valuable components: A review. *Food Chem.* **183**:173-80 (2015).
4. Ajila CM, Naidu KA, Bhat SG, Rao UP. Bioactive compounds and antioxidant potential of mango peel extract. *Food Chem* **105**(3): 982-988(2007).

5. Berardini N, Knödler M, Schieber A, Carle R. Utilization of mango peels as a source of pectin and polyphenolics. *Innov Food Sci & Emerg Technol* **6**(4): 442-452 (2005).
6. Kim H, Moon JY, Kim H, Lee DS, Cho M, Choi, HK, Kim S, Mossadik A, Cho SK. Antioxidant and anti-proliferative activities of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) flesh and peel. *Food Chem* **121**(2): 429-436 (2010).
7. Palafox-Carlos H, Yahia EM, González-Aguilar GA. Identification and quantification of major phenolic compounds from mango (*Mangifera indica*, cv. Ataulfo) fruit by HPLC–DAD–MS/MS-ESI and their individual contribution to the antioxidant activity during ripening. *Food Chem* **135**(1): 105-111 (2012a).
8. Saleem M. Lupeol, a novel anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer dietary triterpene. *Cancer Lett* **285**(2), 109-115 (2009).
9. Vithana MD, Singh Z, Johnson SK. Dynamics in the concentrations of health-promoting compounds: lupeol, mangiferin and different phenolic acids during postharvest ripening of mango fruit. *J Sci Food and Agric.* **98** (4): 1460-1468 (2018a).
10. Ruiz-Montañez G, Ragazzo-Sánchez JA, Calderón-Santoyo M, Velazquez-De La Cruz G, de León JR, Navarro-Ocaña A. Evaluation of extraction methods

- for preparative scale obtention of mangiferin and lupeol from mango peels (*Mangifera indica* L.). *Food Chem* **159**: 267-272 (2014).
11. Srivastava P, Killadi B, Shanker K. Uni-dimensional double development HPTLC-densitometry method for simultaneous analysis of mangiferin and lupeol content in mango (*Mangifera indica*) pulp and peel during storage. *Food Chem* **176**: 91-98 (2015).
 12. Gallo MB, Sarachine MJ. Biological activity of lupeol. *Int J Biomed Pharm Sci*, **1**: 46-66 (2009).
 13. Syed DN, Mukhtar H. Botanicals for the prevention and treatment of cutaneous melanoma. *Pigment Cell & Melanoma Res* **24**(4): 688-702 (2011).
 14. Prasad S, Nigam N, Kalra N, Shukla Y. Regulation of signalling pathways involved in lupeol induced inhibition of proliferation and induction of apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells. *Mol Carcinog* **47**: 916-924 (2008).
 15. Tarapore RS, Siddiqui IA, Adhami VM, Spiegelman VS, Mukhtar H. The dietary terpene lupeol targets colorectal cancer cells with constitutively active Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **57**(11):1950-8 (2013).
 16. Sturm S, Gil RR, Chai HB, Ngassapa OD, Santisuk T, Reutrakul V, Howe A, Moss M, Besterman JM, Yang SL, Farthing JE. Lupane derivatives from

- Lophopetalum wallichii with farnesyl protein transferase inhibitory activity. *J nat prod* **59**(7):658-663 (1996).
17. Moriarty DM, Huang J, Yancey CA, Zhang P, Setzer WN, Lawton RO, Bates RB, Caldera S. Lupeol is the cytotoxic principle in the leaf extract of *Dendropanax cf. querceti*. *Planta med* **64**(04):370-2. (1998).
18. Wada SI, Iida A, Tanaka R. Screening of Triterpenoids Isolated from *Phyllanthus flexuosus* for DNA Topoisomerase Inhibitory Activity. *J nat prod* **64**(12):1545-1547. (2001).
19. Chaturvedula VP, Zhou BN, Gao Z, Thomas SJ, Hecht SM, Kingston DG. New lupane triterpenoids from *Solidago canadensis* that inhibit the lyase activity of DNA polymerase β . *Bioorg med chem* **12**(23):6271-6275 (2004).
20. Aratanechemuge Y, Hibasami H, Sanpin K, Katsuzaki H, Imai K, Komiya T. Induction of apoptosis by lupeol isolated from mokumen (*Gossampinus malabarica* L. Merr) in human promyelotic leukemia HL-60 cells. *Oncol rep* **11**(2):289-292 (2004).
21. Saleem M, Kweon MH, Yun JM, Adhami VM, Khan N, Syed DN, Mukhtar H. A novel dietary triterpene Lupeol induces fas-mediated apoptotic death of androgen-sensitive prostate cancer cells and inhibits tumor growth in a xenograft model. *Cancer Res* **65**(23):11203-11213 (2005).

22. Saleem M, Kaur S, Kweon MH, Adhami VM, Afaq F, Mukhtar H. Lupeol, a fruit and vegetable based triterpene, induces apoptotic death of human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells via inhibition of Ras signalling pathway. *Carcinogenesis* **26**(11):1956-1964 (2005).
23. Saleem M, Maddodi N, Zaid MA, Khan N, bin Hafeez B, Asim M, Suh Y, Yun JM, Setaluri V, Mukhtar H. Lupeol inhibits growth of highly aggressive human metastatic melanoma cells in vitro and in vivo by inducing apoptosis. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**(7):2119-2127 (2008).
24. Prasad S, Madan E, Nigam N, Roy P, George J, Shukla Y. Induction of apoptosis by lupeol in human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells through regulation of mitochondrial, Akt/PKB and NF-kappaB signaling pathways. *Cancer biol ther* **8**(17):1632-1639 (2009).
25. Zhang L, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Yang X, Lv Z. Lupeol, a dietary triterpene, inhibited growth, and induced apoptosis through down-regulation of DR3 in SMMC7721 cells. *Cancer investig* **27**(2):163-170 (2009).
26. Andrikopoulos NK, Kaliora AC, Assimopoulou AN, Papapeorgiou VP. Biological activity of some naturally occurring resins, gums and pigments against in vitro LDL oxidation. *Phytother res.* **17**(5):501-507 (2003).

27. Saleem R, Ahmad SI, Ahmed M, Faizi Z, Zikr-ur-Rehman S, Ali M, Faizi S. Hypotensive activity and toxicology of constituents from *Bombax ceiba* stem bark. *Biol pharm bull* **26**(1):41-46 (2003).
28. Sudharsan PT, Mythili Y, Selvakumar E, Varalakshmi P. Cardioprotective effect of pentacyclic triterpene, lupeol and its ester on cyclophosphamide-induced oxidative stress. *Hum exp toxicol* **24**(6):313-318 (2005).
29. Fernández A, Álvarez A, García MD, Sáenz MT. Anti-inflammatory effect of *Pimenta racemosa* var. ozua and isolation of the triterpene lupeol. *Farmacol* **56**(4):335-338 (2001).
30. Bani S, Kaul A, Khan B, Ahmad SF, Suri KA, Gupta BD, Satti NK, Qazi GN. Suppression of T lymphocyte activity by lupeol isolated from *Crataeva religiosa*. *Phytother res* **20**(4):279-287 (2006).
31. Vasconcelos JF, Teixeira MM, Barbosa-Filho JM, Lúcio AS, Almeida JR, De Queiroz LP, Ribeiro-dos-Santos R, Soares MB. The triterpenoid lupeol attenuates allergic airway inflammation in a murine model. *Int immunopharmacol* **8**(9):1216-1221 (2008).
32. Ding Y, Nguyen HT, Kim SI, Kim HW, Kim YH. The regulation of inflammatory cytokine secretion in macrophage cell line by the chemical constituents of *Rhus sylvestris*. *Bioorg medi chem lett* **19**(13):3607-3610 (2009).

33. Siddique HR, Saleem M. Beneficial health effects of lupeol triterpene: a review of preclinical studies. *Life Sci* **88**(7): 285-293 (2011).
34. Martínez G, Giuliani A, Leon OS, Perez G, Núñez Selles AJ. Effect of *Mangifera indica* L. extract (QF808) on protein and hepatic microsome peroxidation. *Phytotherapy Res* **15**(7): 581-585 (2001).
35. Puccio H, Koenig M. Friedreich ataxia: a paradigm for mitochondrial diseases. *Curr Opin Genetics Dev* **12**(3): 272-277 (2002).
36. Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. Oxygen free radicals and iron in relation to biology and medicine: some problems and concepts. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **246**(2): 501-514 (1986).
37. Halliwell B. Oxidative stress and neurodegeneration: where are we now? *J Neurochem* **97**(6): 1634-1658 (2006).
38. Pawlak W, Kedziora J, Zolynski K, Kedziora-Kornatowska K, Blaszczyk J, Witkowski P, Zieleniewski J. Effect of long term bed rest in men on enzymatic antioxidative defence and lipid peroxidation in erythrocytes. *J Gravit Physiol* **5**(1): P163-4 (1998).
39. Rodríguez J, Di Pierro D, Gioia M, Monaco S, Delgado R, Coletta M, Marini S. Effects of a natural extract from *Mangifera indica* L, and its active

- compound, mangiferin, on energy state and lipid peroxidation of red blood cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1760**(9): 1333-1342 (2006).
40. Muruganandan S, Srinivasan K, Gupta S, Gupta PK, Lal J. Effect of mangiferin on hyperglycemia and atherogenicity in streptozotocin diabetic rats. *J Ethnopharm* **97**(3): 497-501 (2005).
41. Yoshikawa M, Nishida N, Shimoda H, Takada M, Kawahara Y, Matsuda H. Polyphenol constituents from *Salacia* species: quantitative analysis of mangiferin with alpha-glucosidase and aldose reductase inhibitory activities. *Yakugaku Zasshi: J Pharmac Soc Japan* **121**(5): 371-378 (2001).
42. Aderibigbe AO, Emudianughe TS, Lawal BA. Evaluation of the antidiabetic action of *Mangifera indica* in mice. *Phytotherapy Research*, **15**(5): 456-458 (2001).
43. Yoshimi N, Matsunaga K, Katayama M, Yamada Y, Kuno T, Qiao Z, Mori H. The inhibitory effects of mangiferin, a naturally occurring glucosylxanthone, in bowel carcinogenesis of male F344 rats. *Cancer Lett* **163**(2): 163-170 (2001).
44. Khoddami A, Wilkes MA, Roberts TH. Techniques for analysis of plant phenolic compounds. *Molecules* **18**(2), 2328-2375 (2013).
45. Kim Y, Brecht JK, Talcott ST. Antioxidant phytochemical and fruit quality changes in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) following hot water immersion and controlled atmosphere storage. *Food Chem* **105**(4): 1327-1334 (2007).

46. Yen GC, Duh PD, Tsai HL. Antioxidant and pro-oxidant properties of ascorbic acid and gallic acid. *Food Chem* **79**(3): 307-313 (2002).
47. Madsen HL, Bertelsen G. Spices as antioxidants. *Trends Food Sci Technol* **6**(8): 271-277 (1995).
48. Verma S, Singh A, Mishra A. Gallic acid: molecular rival of cancer. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* **35**(3): 473-485 (2013).
49. Ho HH, Chang CS, Ho WC, Liao SY, Lin WL, Wang CJ. Gallic acid inhibits gastric cancer cells metastasis and invasive growth via increased expression of RhoB, downregulation of AKT/small GTPase signals and inhibition of NF- κ B activity. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **266**(1): 76-85 (2013).
50. Chen HM, Wu YC, Chia YC., Chang FR, Hsu HK, Hsieh YC, Yuan SS. Gallic acid, a major component of *Toona sinensis* leaf extracts, contains a ROS-mediated anti-cancer activity in human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Lett*, **286**(2): 161-171 (2009).
51. Reddy TC, Reddy DB, Aparna A, Arunasree KM, Gupta G, Achari C, Reddanna P. Anti-leukemic effects of gallic acid on human leukemia K562 cells: downregulation of COX-2, inhibition of BCR/ABL kinase and NF- κ B inactivation. *Toxicol in Vitro*, **26**(3): 396-405 (2012).

52. Liao CL, Lai KC, Huang AC, Yang JS, Lin JJ, Wu SH, Chung JG. Gallic acid inhibits migration and invasion in human osteosarcoma U-2 OS cells through suppressing the matrix metalloproteinase-2/-9, protein kinase B (PKB) and PKC signalling pathways. *Food Chem Toxicol* **50**(5), 1734-1740 (2012).
53. Parihar S, Gupta A, Chaturvedi AK, Agarwal J, Luqman S, Changkija B, Dwivedi A. Gallic acid based steroidal phenstatin analogues for selective targeting of breast cancer cells through inhibiting tubulin polymerization. *Steroids* **77**(8): 878-886 (2012).
54. Ji BC, Hsu WH, Yang JS, Hsia TC, Lu CC, Chiang JH, Gibson Wood W. Gallic acid induces apoptosis via caspase-3 and mitochondrion-dependent pathways in vitro and suppresses lung xenograft tumor growth *in vivo*. *J Agri Food Chem* **57**(16): 7596-7604 (2009).
55. Veluri R, Singh RP, Liu Z, Thompson JA, Agarwal R, Agarwal C. Fractionation of grape seed extract and identification of gallic acid as one of the major active constituents causing growth inhibition and apoptotic death of DU145 human prostate carcinoma cells. *Carcinogenesis* **27**(7): 1445-1453 (2006).
56. Inoue M, Suzuki R, Sakaguchi N, Li Z, Takeda T, Ogihara Y, Jiang BY, Chen Y. Selective induction of cell death in cancer cells by gallic acid. *Biol Pharm Bull* **18**(11): 1526-1530 (1995).

57. Farah A, Monteiro M, Donangelo CM, Lafay S. Chlorogenic acids from green coffee extract are highly bioavailable in humans. *J Nutr* **138**(12): 2309-2315 (2008).
58. Li S, Bian H, Liu Z, Wang Y, Dai J, He W, Luo J. Chlorogenic acid protects MSCs against oxidative stress by altering FOXO family genes and activating intrinsic pathway. *Eur J Pharmacol* **674**(2), 65-72 (2012).
59. Cho AS, Jeon SM, Kim MJ, Yeo J, Seo KI, Choi MS, Lee MK. Chlorogenic acid exhibits anti-obesity property and improves lipid metabolism in high-fat diet-induced-obese mice. *Food Chem Toxicol* **48**(3): 937-943 (2010).
60. Kim HR, Lee DM, Lee SH, Seong AR, Gin DW, Hwang JA, Park JH. Chlorogenic acid suppresses pulmonary eosinophilia, IgE production, and Th2-type cytokine production in an ovalbumin-induced allergic asthma: activation of STAT-6 and JNK is inhibited by chlorogenic acid. *Int J Immunopharmacol* **10**(10): 1242-1248 (2010).
61. Zhang X, Huang H, Yang T, Ye Y, Shan J, Yin Z, Luo, L. Chlorogenic acid protects mice against lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury. *Injury* **41**(7): 746-752 (2010).
62. Shi H, Dong L, Jiang J, Zhao J, Zhao G, Dang X, Lu X, Jia M. Chlorogenic acid reduces liver inflammation and fibrosis through inhibition of toll-like receptor 4 signalling pathway. *Toxicology* **303**: 107-114 (2013).

63. Ong KW, Hsu A, Tan BK. Anti-diabetic and anti-lipidemic effects of chlorogenic acid are mediated by ampk activation. *Biochem Pharmacol* **85**(9): 1341-1351 (2013).
64. Yun N, Kang JW, Lee SM. Protective effects of chlorogenic acid against ischemia/reperfusion injury in rat liver: molecular evidence of its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. *J Nutr Biochem* **23**(10): 1249-1255 (2012).
65. Zheng W, Wang SY. Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds in selected herbs. *J Agric Food Chem* **49**(11): 5165-5170 (2001).
66. Kumar S, Prahalathan P, Raja B. Vanillic acid: a potential inhibitor of cardiac and aortic wall remodeling in l-NAME induced hypertension through upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* **38**(2): 643-652 (2014).
67. Prince PS, Rajakumar S, Dhanasekar K. Protective effects of vanillic acid on electrocardiogram, lipid peroxidation, antioxidants, proinflammatory markers and histopathology in isoproterenol induced cardiotoxic rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* **668**(1): 233-240 (2011).
68. Sindhu G, Nishanthi E, Sharmila R. Nephroprotective effect of vanillic acid against cisplatin induced nephrotoxicity in wistar rats: a biochemical and molecular study. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* **39**(1): 392-404 (2015).
69. Ou S, Kwok KC. Ferulic acid: pharmaceutical functions, preparation and applications in foods. *J Sci Food Agric* **84**(11): 1261-1269 (2004).

70. Kanski J, Aksenova M, Stoyanova A, Butterfield DA. Ferulic acid antioxidant protection against hydroxyl and peroxy radical oxidation in synaptosomal and neuronal cell culture systems in vitro: structure-activity studies. *J Nutr Biochem* **13**(5): 273-281 (2002).
71. Gülçin İ. Antioxidant activity of caffeic acid (3, 4-dihydroxycinnamic acid). *Toxicology* **217**(2): 213-220 (2006).
72. Jung UJ, Lee MK, Park YB, Jeon SM, Choi MS. Antihyperglycemic and antioxidant properties of caffeic acid in db/db mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **318**(2): 476-483 (2006).
73. Sevgi K, Tepe B, Sarikurkcu C. Antioxidant and DNA damage protection potentials of selected phenolic acids. *Food Chem Toxicol* **77**, 12-21 (2015).
74. Iyer CPA, Schnell RJ. Breeding and genetics. In Litz, R. E. (Ed. 2), *The mango: botany, production and uses*, CAB International, Wallingford, UK. 19-41 (2009).
75. Stafford AE. Mango. In *Handbook of Tropical Fruits*; Chan HT. (Ed). Marcel Dekker: New York, Basel. 399-431 (1983).

76. Ribeiro SM, Barbosa LC, Queiroz JH, Knödler M, Schieber A. Phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity of Brazilian mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) varieties. *Food Chem* **110**(3): 620-626 (2008).
77. Berardini N, Fezer R, Conrad J, Beifuss U, Carle R, Schieber A. Screening of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cultivars for their contents of flavonol O- and xanthone C-glycosides, anthocyanins, and pectin. *J Agric Food Chem* **53**(5), 1563-1570 (2005).
78. Manthey JA, Perkins-Veazie P. Influences of harvest date and location on the levels of β -carotene, ascorbic acid, total phenols, the *in vitro* antioxidant capacity, and phenolic profiles of five commercial varieties of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *J Agric Food Chem* **57**(22): 10825-10830 (2009).
79. Ma X, Wu H, Liu L, Yao Q, Wang S, Zhan R, Xing S, Zhou Y. Polyphenolic compounds and antioxidant properties in mango fruits. *Sci Hort* **129**(1): 102-107 (2011).
80. Li L, Wang S, Chen J, Xie J, Wu H, Zhan R, Li W. Major antioxidants and *in vitro* antioxidant capacity of eleven mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cultivars. *Int J Food Prop* **17**(8): 1872-1887 (2014).
81. Palafox-Carlos H, Yahia E, Islas-Osuna MA, Gutierrez-Martinez P, Robles-Sánchez M, González-Aguilar GA. Effect of ripeness stage of mango fruit

- (*Mangifera indica* L., cv. Ataulfo) on physiological parameters and antioxidant activity. *Sci Hort* **135**, 7-13 (2012b).
82. Vithana MD, Singh Z, Johnson SK. Harvest maturity stage affects the concentrations of health-promoting compounds: Lupeol, mangiferin and phenolic acids in the pulp and peel of ripe 'Kensington Pride' mango fruit. *Sci Hort*. **243** (3): 125-130, (2019)
83. Schreiner M, Huyskens-Keil S. Phytochemicals in fruit and vegetables: health promotion and postharvest elicitors. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* **25**(3): 267-278 (2006).
84. Cisneros-Zevallos L. The use of controlled postharvest abiotic stresses as a tool for enhancing the nutraceutical content and adding-value of fresh fruits and vegetables. *J Food Sci* **68**(5): 1560-1565 (2003).
85. Ruiz-García Y, Gómez-Plaza E. Elicitors: a tool for improving fruit phenolic content. *Agriculture* **3**(1), 33-52 (2013).
86. Vithana MD, Singh Z, Johnson SK. Cold storage temperatures and durations affect the concentrations of lupeol, mangiferin, phenolic acids and other health-promoting compounds in the pulp and peel of ripe mango fruit. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **139**: 91-98 (2018b).
87. Shivashankara KS, Isobe S, Al-Haq MI, Takenaka M, Shiina T. Fruit antioxidant activity, ascorbic acid, total phenol, quercetin, and carotene of

- Irwin mango fruits stored at low temperature after high electric field pretreatment. *J Agric Food Chem* **52**(5): 1281-1286 (2004).
88. Robles-Sánchez RM, Islas-Osuna MA, Astiazarán-García H, Vázquez-Ortiz F A, Martín-Belloso O, Gorinstein S, González-Aguilar GA. Quality index, consumer acceptability, bioactive compounds, and antioxidant activity of fresh-cut “Ataulfo” mangoes (*Mangifera indica* L.) as affected by low-temperature storage. *J Food Sci* **74**(3): S126-S134 (2009).
89. Kim Y, Lounds-Singleton AJ, Talcott ST. Antioxidant phytochemical and quality changes associated with hot water immersion treatment of mangoes (*Mangifera indica* L.). *Food Chem* **115**(3): 989-993 (2009).
90. Raskin I, Ribnicky DM, Komarnytsky S, Ilic N, Poulev A, Borisjuk N, Brinker A, Moreno DA, Ripoll C, Yakobi N, O'Neal JM. Plants and human health in the twenty-first century. *Trends Biotechnol* **20**(12): 522-531 (2002).
91. Haminiuk CW, Maciel GM, Plata-Oviedo MS, Peralta RM. Phenolic compounds in fruits—an overview. *Int J Food Sci Technol* **47**(10): 2023-2044 (2012).
92. Seigler DS. Shikimic Acid Pathway. In *Plant Secondary Metabolism*, Springer, US. 94-105(1998).

93. Tsao R. Chemistry and biochemistry of dietary polyphenols. *Nutrients* **2**(12): 1231-1246 (2010).
94. McGarvey DJ, Croteau R. Terpenoid metabolism. *Plant Cell* **7**(7): 1015 (1995).
95. Croteau R, Kutchan TM, Lewis NG. Natural products (secondary metabolites). *Biochem Mol Biol Plants* **24**: 1250-1319 (2000).
96. Aharoni A, Jongsma MA, Bouwmeester HJ. Volatile science? metabolic engineering of terpenoids in plants. *Trends Plant Sci* **10**(12): 594-602 (2005).
97. Lalel HJ, Singh Z, Tan SC. Maturity stage at harvest affects fruit ripening, quality and biosynthesis of aroma volatile compounds in 'Kensington Pride' mango. *J Hort Sci Biotechnol* **78**(2): 225-233 (2003).
98. Mulabagal V, Tsay HS. Plant cell cultures-an alternative and efficient source for the production of biologically important secondary metabolites. *Int J Appl Sci Eng* **2**(1): 29-48 (2004).
99. Zhao J, Davis LC, Verpoorte R. Elicitor signal transduction leading to production of plant secondary metabolites. *Biotechnol Adv* **23**(4): 283-333 (2005).

100. Gundlach H, Müller MJ, Kutchan TM, Zenk, MH. Jasmonic acid is a signal transducer in elicitor-induced plant cell cultures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **89**(6): 2389-2393 (1992).
101. Jacobo-Velázquez DA, Cisneros-Zevallos L. An alternative use of horticultural crops: stressed plants as biofactories of bioactive phenolic compounds. *Agriculture* **2**(3), 259-271 (2012).
102. Gonzalez-Aguilar GA, Fortiz J, Cruz R, Baez R, Wang CY. Methyl jasmonate reduces chilling injury and maintains postharvest quality of mango fruit. *J agric food chem* **48** (2):515-519 (2000).
103. González-Aguilar GA, Buta JG, Wang CY. Methyl jasmonate reduces chilling injury symptoms and enhances colour development of 'Kent' mangoes. *J sci food agric* **81**(13):1244-1249 (2001).
104. Lalel HJ, Singh Z, Tan SC. The role of methyl jasmonate in mango ripening and biosynthesis of aroma volatile compounds. *J horticult sci biotech* **78**(4):470-484 (2003).
105. Joyce DC, Wearing H, Coates L, Terry L. Effects of phosphonate and salicylic acid treatments on anthracnose disease development and ripening of 'Kensington Pride' mango fruit. *Aust j exp agric* **41**(6):805-813 (2001).
106. Zaharah SS, Singh Z. Postharvest nitric oxide fumigation alleviates chilling injury, delays fruit ripening and maintains quality in cold-stored 'Kensington Pride' mango. *Postharvest biol technol* **60**(3):202-10 (2011).

107. Zeng K, Cao J, Jiang W. Enhancing disease resistance in harvested mango (*Mangifera indica* L. cv. 'Matisu') fruit by salicylic acid. *J sci food agric* **86**(5):694-698 (2006).
108. Barman K, Asrey R. Salicylic acid pre-treatment alleviates chilling injury, preserves bioactive compounds and enhances shelf life of mango fruit during cold storage. *J sci ind res* **73**: 713-718. (2014).
109. Vithana MD, Singh Z, Johnson SK, Gupta, R. Concentrations of health-promoting phytochemicals in ripe mango fruit triggered by postharvest application of elicitors *J Sci Food Agric (Accepted article)*, DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.9280 (2018c).
110. Fischbach RJ, Zimmer I, Steinbrecher R, Pfichner A, Schnitzler JP. Monoterpene synthase activities in leaves of *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. and *Quercus ilex* L. *Phytochemistry* **54**(3): 257-265 (2000).
111. Vithana MD, Singh Z, Johnson S K. Levels of terpenoids, mangiferin and phenolic acids in the pulp and peel of ripe mango fruit influenced by pre-harvest application of FeSO₄ (Fe²⁺) , MgSO₄ (Mg²⁺) and MnSO₄ (Mn²⁺). *Food Chem.* **256**, 71-76 (2018d).