J Inj Violence Res. 2020 July; 12(2): 183-190. doi: 10.5249/jivr.v12i2.1526

Original Article

Relationship between workplace violence and work stress in the emergency department

Lahya Afshari Saleh^a, Shabnam Niroumand^b, Zohreh Dehghani^c, Tahoura Afshari Saleh^d, Seyyed Mohammad Mousavi^e, Hosein Zakeri^{e,*}

- ^a Occupational Medicine Department, Division of Sleep Medicine, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- b Department of Community and Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- ^c Department of Occupational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- ^d Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran.
- ^e Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

KEY WORDS

Workplace violence
Occupational stress
Emergency –
department staff

Received: 2020-03-12 Accepted: 2020-06-20

Abstract:

Background: Work place violence (WPV) is one of the workplace factors that can affect many aspects of the emergency staff's life. In this study, we are investigating the relationship between WPV and occupational stress.

Methods: We surveyed emergency department (ED) staff in a cross-sectional study design in three Mashhad Hospitals between 2017 and 2018. World Health Organization WPV and occupational stress questionnaire was used and 171 out of 200 collected data were analyzed. To investigate the relationship between different variables, t-test and logistic regression were implemented.

Results: In general, 58 (34.5%) participants had reported a physical assault, 116 (71.6%) verbal abuse, and 76 (44.4%) bullying/harassment within the past year. Males reported more experience of physical assault (P less than 0.001), verbal abuse (P less than 0.04) and bullying/harassment (P less than 0.01). The educational level and time shifts were associated with the frequency of physical violence and bullying/harassment (P less than 0.03), respectively. We noticed an association between the job stress scales and some types of work place violence including physical assault (P=0.02), bullying/harassment (P=0.006) and demands scale in recent cited violence (P=0.07).

Conclusion: We presented considerable prevalence of WPV among ED staff. Improving workplace condition and reducing occupational stress could be decrease WPV frequency.

Hosein Zakeri: Assistant professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Tel: 05132742004, Email: zakerih@mums.ac.ir (Zakeri H.). https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7965-9657

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

ne of the most demanding subjects that healthcare organizations globally facing is work place violence (WPV).¹ WHO defines WPV as "Incidents where employees are abused, threatened, assaulted or subjected to other offensive behavior in circumstances related to their work".² Previous studies indicating that

25 percent of WPV occurs in the healthcare sectors and more than fifty percent of workers in these section have experienced at least one episodes of work violence (either physical or psychological) during their career.^{3, 4} Like Canadian Nursing Advisory Committee health care workers, that were prone to experiencing work violence even more than law enforcement.⁵

^{*} Corresponding Author at:

Different studies indicated the prevalence of different types of WPV, especially verbal among nurses^{6, 7} with reported rates of 27% in Iran,8 89.6% in Egypt, 85.2% in Turkey, 75.8% in Bulgaria, 67.2% in Australia, 61% in Australia, 54% in Thailand, and 61% in South Africa.9 A review of 136 articles provided data on 151,347 nurses from 160 samples. This review indicated an incident rate of 36.4% for physical violence, 66.9% for nonphysical violence, 39.7% for bullying, and 25% for sexual harassment. Interestingly, the exposure rates fluctuate geographically. The highest rates of nonphysical violence and bullying reported in the Middle East, meanwhile, the highest rates for physical violence and sexual harassment were occurred in the Anglo region. 10 WPV mostly happened in waiting rooms, geriatric units, psychiatric wards and emergency departments (EDs).11 Among all of these sections, EDs, which is characterized by their 24-hour accessibility, limited security, and highly stressful environment, own the largest share of work violence.12

Contrary to studies, which provide ample evidence for serious and destructive consequences of WPV toward medical health workers. We are witnessing inadequate educational programs, security guards, suitable policy and laws, and an efficient reporting system to prevent the incidence of violence toward Iranian medical staff. Recently a study in Iran showed that more than a quarter of nurses respond to WPV by "taking no action" and the small minority of them (0.5%) consider suing the violent person. This shows that a safe workplace environment is crucial for enhancing performance and cost reduction in the mental health sector. The safe environment comes with directing attention and resources by discussing safety, offering safety training and having the safety policies.

Among the different parts in hospitals, the highest incidence of WPV occurs in emergency departments (EDs). The EDs is documented as an area at special risk of violence due to twenty four hours accessibility, lack of adequately trained, armed, or visible security guards and a highly stressful work area. The overwhelming majority of perpetrators of EDs violence are patients, their family members and visitors. The unanticipated nature of illness such as acute illness and trauma, patient pain and discomfort, as well as the tension, stress, and anger of patients and their relatives, and adverse unexpected outcomes such as death are often compounded by cramped space, lack of privacy and intense interpersonal interactions, and long waiting times for consultation or admission.

A recent review on 68 studies with more than 100 thousand participants suggested that the most profound

consequences of WPV were psychological emotions (including symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety) and the negative emotions (like anger, fear, and sadness). Reviewing literature informs us about the profound consequences which WPV has on nurses, that might last month and even years after its occurrence. 16,17 Research on EDs personnel found a 12% rate of clinical post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) which is significantly above the general population. 18,19

Occupational stress is a result of the mismatch between an individual and the environment as the harmful physical and emotional responses that occur when the requirements of the job do not match the capabilities, resources, or needs of the worker. Generally, higher discrepancy between external stresses and an individual's capabilities caused experiencing the higher the level of stress. On the other hand, work stress is linked with physical and mental health risks.^{1,2} Work stress attitudes a financial load to organizations and society at large, caused by productivity loss due to sickness absence, work disability benefits, and health care costs.4 Nursing is known to be a very stressful occupation throughout the world under great pressure due to heavy workload, contending with death and dying, inter-staff conflict, lack of resources, and insufficient organizations.¹⁻³ One of the most common experiences among health care workers in EDs as a result of aggressive behavior is stress symptoms which can undermine job satisfaction and performance.²⁰⁻²⁷ On the other hand increased occupational stress might be a predisposing factor for WPV. High job demand, low job control, conflict with coworkers, shift work, low social support as the occupational stress components can facilitate WPV occurrence. The more negative stress is generated the greater violence. ^{2,28,29,30} Also different kinds of emotions (like anger and embarrassment, etc.) experienced by the nurses as a result of the aggressive incidents can influence their behavior and beget more WPV.17

Another subject that has been investigated in the field was the role of gender in WPV. Some studies in this field suggested more experience of physical assaults for men than women, while more women reported verbal threats in inpatient settings.³¹ Meanwhile, another reported similar pattern of violence across genders.³²

Although data support the relationship between stress and WPV, it is not clear which kind of work violence is more associated with the work related stress and how occupational stress and work environment affect the frequency of WPV. So, this study aimed to

investigate the relationship between WPV and work related stress in three biggest hospitals in Mashhad city as the biggest part of emergency departments in Northeast of Iran.

Methods

Study participants

This analytical cross-sectional study carried out in three educational hospital centers in Mashhad (the second most populated city of Iran and the capital of Razavi Khorasan province, located in the Northeast of Iran) between October 2017 and February 2018. Each hospital placed in a different geographical position and socio-economic context. The study participants were emergency department staffs and data were collected through two different questionnaires. The items were prepared in the form of multiple choices and short answer questions. The self-reporting questionnaires were filled out with approval forms handed by the blinded supervisor from different hospital in emergency department and participants were asked to give the questionnaires back to the second supervisor that managed completing the forms by staffs solely. Both supervisions were blinded about process of research, anonymity of study participants and analyzing documents. 215 questionnaires were administrated in emergency department. Among 200 questionnaires that were collected, 171 have been analyzed, the rest were filled out incompletely. So the response rate in this study was 79%.

Data gathering

The study questionnaire contained two parts:

- 1. World Health Organization WPV questionnaire comprises 78 questions. The first part of the questionnaire contains questions about personal and workplace features. The second part asks about experiencing any physical violence, in the past 12 months which is defined as any intentional act that causes physical damage to another person. And the last part contains questions about psychological violence (verbal violence, bullying, and any type of harassment) experience within last year. This questionnaire is translated and validated to Persian.³³
- 2. The HSE (The Health and Safety Executive) occupational stress questionnaire: the HSE's scale includes 35 questions with 7 subscales which designed by the England health and safety organization to assess occupational stress of workers and employees.³⁴ Thirty five questions in this tool are fit in 7 domains, including demand (8 items), control (6 items), managerial support (5 items), peer support (4 questions items), relationships (4 items), role (5 items) and change (3 items). The items of

the HSE questionnaire include a 5-point Likert Scale (never, rarely, sometimes, often and always). The score of each question ranged from 1 to 5, under which 1 indicates undesirable, and 5 refers to desirable state. 35-37 This questionnaire was translated and validated in Iran. 36,37 Moreover, they have reported Cronbach's alpha of 0.78 for this scale. Besides, Akbari et al. reported the interclass correlations for the domains ranged from 0.52 to 0.73 with a median of 0.7. The confirmatory factor analysis also showed that the Persian version of the HSE questionnaire had adequate construct validity. 35

Statistical analysis

To analyze the data independent samples t-test were implemented. Differences between demographic characteristics also were investigated. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 16 Software. A multiple logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine the effective factors related to experiencing work place violence. All variables with P value <=0.5 in univariate analysis were entered in model. These variables include different domain of HSE questionnaire except manager and peers support, role and changes, sex, hospital, time sheet and age. Finally, logistic regression model and stepwise selection was used to evaluate the significant independent variables

Results

The mean age of the study participants was 31.62 years (age range, 20-50 years). There were 89 males (52%) and 82 females (48%) with average work experience of 6.8±5.17 years. Average years of experience among employment were divided under three categories. Demographic characteristics of study participants presented in Table 1.

The features of the participants based on the different types of experienced violence were listed in Table 2. In general, 34.5% (58) of participants had reported physical assault, 71.6% (116) verbal abuse and 44.4% (76) bullying/harassment. As shown in Table 2, gender differences were reported within all forms of work-violence. Particularly, males reported more experience of physical assault (P<0.001), verbal abuse (P<0.04) and bullying/harassment (P<0.01). As Table 2 indicating marital status was not significantly related to the experience of work-violence; along the same line, profession, age, and work experience were not differentiated in the experience of work-violence. Educational level was differentiating statistically significant only in physical abuse (P<0.005), but not in verbal

abuse or bully. Chart indicating that workplace circumstances also, had affected employee's experience of WPV. Differences in time shifts also show that working

on rotational shift increase the chance of experiencing work-violence.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of study participants.

Variables	Numbers (%)	Variables	Numbers (%)
Gender		Profession	
Male	89 (52)	Nurse	62 (36.3)
Female	82 (48)	Resident	27 (15.8)
		Intern	17 (9.9)
		Nurse aid	61 (35.7)
		Guard	4 (2.3)
Marital status		Time sheet	
Single	48 (28.2)	Day work	30 (17.5)
Married	122 (71.8)	Rotating work	141 (82.5)
Education		Age Category (year)	
Under bachelor	129 (74.43)	20->=30	81 (47.6)
Master's degree and	42 (24.56)	30->=40	79 (46.5)
upper		40->=50	10 (5.9)
Hospital		Employ Category (year)	
Α	70 (40.9)	1->=10	139 (81.3)
В	35 (20.5)	10->=20	29 (1 <i>7</i>)
С	66 (38.6)	20->=30	3 (1.8)

Table 2: Relationship between WPV and demographic characteristics

ıriable	Physical assault N(%)	р	Verbal abuse N(%)	p	Bullying/ harassment N(%)	p
Male	42(72.4)	< 0.001	68(58.6%)	0.04	48(63.2%)	0.01
female	16(27.6)		48(41.4%)		28(36.8%)	
Single	15(25.9)	0.54	29(25%)	0.1	19(25%)	0.55
Married	43(74.1)		87(75%)		58(75%)	
Under bachelor	24(41.4)	0.005	31(26.7%)	0.93	22(28.9%)	0.78
Master's degree and upper	34(58.6)		85(73.3%)		54(71.1%)	
Α	36(62.1)	<0.001	58(50%)	0.01	43(56.6%)	0.001
В	9(15.5)		24(20.7%)		15(19.7%)	
С	13(22.4)		34(29.3%)		18(23.7%)	
Nurse Resident Intern Nurse aid	27(37.9) 7(12.1) 2(3.04) 25(43.1)	0.1	42(36.2%) 16(13.8%) 14(12.1%) 42(36.2%)	0.7	30(39.5%) 11(14.5%) 4(5.3%) 30(39.5%)	0.57
guard	2(3.4)		2(1.7%)		1(1.3%)	
Day work Rotating work	6(10.3) 52(89.7)	0.08	18(15.5%) 98(84.5%)	0.77	7(9.2%) 69(90.8%)	0.03
20_30	29(50.9)	0.56	54(47%)	0.82	34(45.3%)	0.81
30_40	26(45.6)		53(46.1%)		36(48%)	
40_50	2(3.5)		8(7%)		5(6.7%)	
1_10	44(75.9)	0.4	94(81%)	0.54	61(80.3%)	0.93
10_20	13(22.4)		19(16.4%)		13(17.1%)	
20_30	1(1.7)		3(2.6%)		2(2.6%)	
	Male female Single Married Under bachelor Master's degree and upper A B C Nurse Resident Intern Nurse aid guard Day work Rotating work 20_30 30_40 40_50 1_10 10_20	### Ariable assault N(%) Male 42(72.4) female 16(27.6) Single 15(25.9) Married 43(74.1) Under bachelor 24(41.4) Master's degree and upper A 36(62.1) B 9(15.5) C 13(22.4) Nurse 27(37.9) Resident 7(12.1) Intern 2(3.04) Nurse aid 25(43.1) guard 2(3.4) Day work 6(10.3) Rotating work 52(89.7) 20_30 29(50.9) 30_40 26(45.6) 40_50 2(3.5) 1_10 44(75.9) 10_20 13(22.4)	Male A2(72.4) <0.001 female 16(27.6) Single 15(25.9) 0.54 Married 43(74.1) Under bachelor 24(41.4) 0.005 Master's degree 34(58.6) and upper A 36(62.1) <0.001 B 9(15.5) C 13(22.4) Nurse 27(37.9) 0.1 Resident 7(12.1) Intern 2(3.04) Nurse aid 25(43.1) guard 2(3.4) Day work 6(10.3) 0.08 Rotating work 52(89.7) 20_30 29(50.9) 0.56 30_40 26(45.6) 40_50 2(3.5) 1_10 44(75.9) 0.4 10_20 13(22.4)	Ariable assault N(%) p abuse N(%) Male 42(72.4) <0.001 68(58.6%) female 16(27.6) 48(41.4%) Single 15(25.9) 0.54 29(25%) Married 43(74.1) 87(75%) Under bachelor 24(41.4) 0.005 31(26.7%) Master's degree and upper 34(58.6) 85(73.3%) A 36(62.1) <0.001 58(50%) B 9(15.5) 24(20.7%) C 13(22.4) 34(29.3%) Nurse 27(37.9) 0.1 42(36.2%) Resident 7(12.1) 16(13.8%) 14(12.1%) Intern 2(3.04) 14(12.1%) 42(36.2%) guard 2(3.4) 2(1.7%) 20.30 28(43.1) 42(36.2%) Day work 6(10.3) 0.08 18(15.5%) 98(84.5%) 20_30 29(50.9) 0.56 54(47%) 30_40 26(45.6) 53(46.1%) 40_50 2(3.5) 8(7%) <th>Arriable assault N(%) p abuse N(%) p Male 42(72.4) <0.001 68(58.6%) 0.04 female 16(27.6) 48(41.4%) 0.04 Single 15(25.9) 0.54 29(25%) 0.1 Married 43(74.1) 87(75%) 0.93 Under bachelor 24(41.4) 0.005 31(26.7%) 0.93 Master's degree and upper 34(58.6) 31(26.7%) 0.93 A 36(62.1) <0.001 58(50%) 0.01 B 9(15.5) 24(20.7%) 0.01 C 13(22.4) 34(29.3%) 0.01 Nurse 27(37.9) 0.1 42(36.2%) 0.7 Resident 7(12.1) 16(13.8%) 14(12.1%) Nurse aid 25(43.1) 42(36.2%) 0.7 Rotating work 6(10.3) 0.08 18(15.5%) 0.77 Rotating work 52(89.7) 98(84.5%) 0.77 20_30 29(50.9) 0.56 5</th> <th>wriable assault N(%) p N(%) abuse N(%) p N(%) Bullying/ harassment N(%) Male 42(72.4) <0.001 68(58.6%) 0.04 48(63.2%) female 16(27.6) 48(41.4%) 28(36.8%) Single 15(25.9) 0.54 29(25%) 0.1 19(25%) Married 43(74.1) 87(75%) 58(75%) 58(75%) Under bachelor 24(41.4) 0.005 31(26.7%) 0.93 22(28.9%) Master's degree and upper 34(58.6) 85(73.3%) 54(71.1%) 54(71.1%) A 36(62.1) <0.001 58(50%) 0.01 43(56.6%) B 9(15.5) 24(20.7%) 15(19.7%) 15(19.7%) C 13(22.4) 34(29.3%) 18(23.7%) 15(19.7%) Nurse 27(37.9) 0.1 42(36.2%) 0.7 30(39.5%) Resident 7(12.1) 16(13.8%) 11(14.5%) 11(14.5%) Intern 2(3.04) 14(12.1%) 4(5.3%) 30(39.5%) <!--</th--></th>	Arriable assault N(%) p abuse N(%) p Male 42(72.4) <0.001 68(58.6%) 0.04 female 16(27.6) 48(41.4%) 0.04 Single 15(25.9) 0.54 29(25%) 0.1 Married 43(74.1) 87(75%) 0.93 Under bachelor 24(41.4) 0.005 31(26.7%) 0.93 Master's degree and upper 34(58.6) 31(26.7%) 0.93 A 36(62.1) <0.001 58(50%) 0.01 B 9(15.5) 24(20.7%) 0.01 C 13(22.4) 34(29.3%) 0.01 Nurse 27(37.9) 0.1 42(36.2%) 0.7 Resident 7(12.1) 16(13.8%) 14(12.1%) Nurse aid 25(43.1) 42(36.2%) 0.7 Rotating work 6(10.3) 0.08 18(15.5%) 0.77 Rotating work 52(89.7) 98(84.5%) 0.77 20_30 29(50.9) 0.56 5	wriable assault N(%) p N(%) abuse N(%) p N(%) Bullying/ harassment N(%) Male 42(72.4) <0.001 68(58.6%) 0.04 48(63.2%) female 16(27.6) 48(41.4%) 28(36.8%) Single 15(25.9) 0.54 29(25%) 0.1 19(25%) Married 43(74.1) 87(75%) 58(75%) 58(75%) Under bachelor 24(41.4) 0.005 31(26.7%) 0.93 22(28.9%) Master's degree and upper 34(58.6) 85(73.3%) 54(71.1%) 54(71.1%) A 36(62.1) <0.001 58(50%) 0.01 43(56.6%) B 9(15.5) 24(20.7%) 15(19.7%) 15(19.7%) C 13(22.4) 34(29.3%) 18(23.7%) 15(19.7%) Nurse 27(37.9) 0.1 42(36.2%) 0.7 30(39.5%) Resident 7(12.1) 16(13.8%) 11(14.5%) 11(14.5%) Intern 2(3.04) 14(12.1%) 4(5.3%) 30(39.5%) </th

Averages scores of seven HSE scales in participants who had experienced a different form of violence were reported in Table 3. In all scales, attendants who mentioned having a history of violence had a lower score than the others but these differences were not statistically significant except for relationship scale in physical assault (P=0.02) and in bullying/harassment (P=0.006) and also for demands scale in recent cited violence (P=0.07).

Results of logistic regression in Table 4 indicated that the probability of experiencing violence for males was $3.06 \ (1.26-7.45)$ times more than females (P=0.01). This probability also increases by the workplace environment; as participants in hospital C had $3.64 \ (1.34-9.86)$ times (P=0.01) more probability for experiencing job violence compared with hospital A.

Discussion

The study aimed to investigate the frequency and correlations of WPV, which is one of the most prevalent incidents in the healthcare system, and work stress in emergency department of hospital. Recently, EU-OSHA (European Agency for Safety and Health at Work) reported psychosocial risks besides harassment and violence in the healthcare sector.³⁸ In this study, our results showed that 34.5%, 71.6% and 44.4% of study participant in

EDs had reported a physical assault, verbal abuse and bullying/harassment within the past year, respectively. Also, our findings showed that there was link between work stress scales and workplace violence in all scales and participants with history of violence had none significantly lower score than the others.

In comparison to previous studies³⁶⁻³⁹ on high prevalence of WPV in this study, we can explain this rate due to the low control and high physical demand over tasks, risky and time-consuming jobs among workers' in our investigated emergency department.

Previous investigations found that the association between WPV and occupational stress seems independent of marital status, profession, age and, work experience and our findings were in good agreement with previous investigations.²⁵ According to our findings, gender, time shifts, and work place setting seems to play a crucial role in experiencing WPV.

As previously mentioned, stress can aggravate violence by making staff more vulnerable to violent cues. Specifically, the experience of stress will cause less tolerance and more Ego depletion,³⁹ which increases the proneness to violence;⁴⁰ this will cause a closed loop of aggression. This is in line with our results, as man reported more experience of violence than woman (our findings showed that males reported more WPV than females). Since the previous studies had reported

Table 3: Average scores of different HSE scales in participants with or without WPV.

	Physical assault			Verbally abuse			Bullying/harassment		
	Yes	No	P	Yes	No	P	Yes	No	P
	Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)		Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)		Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)	
Demands	6.18(1.51)	6.31(1.40)	0.58	6.28(1.60)	6.16(1.03)	0.65	6.04(1.38)	6.43(1.45)	0.07
Control	9.89(4.44)	10.35(4.2)	0.51	10.06(4.18)	10.34(4.55)	0.71	9.54(4.35)	10.59(4.26)	0.12
Managers'	9.07(4.75)	9.86(5.18)	0.33	9.13(4.77)	10.03(5.44)	0.30	9.03(4.79)	9.98(5.21)	0.22
Peer support	11.44(5.32)	11.66(5.28)	0.79	11.40(5.11)	11.47(5.50)	0.92	11.68(5.21)	11.52(5.30)	0.84
Relationships	6.48 (1.21)	7.40(3.00)	0.02*	6.87(2.23)	7.60(3.34)	0.1	6.48(1.23)	7.55(3.17)	0.006*
Role	14.89(5.12)	15.11(4.63)	0.78	15.04(4.79)	15.12(4.85)	0.92	14.75(4.81)	15.44(4.72)	0.35
Change	7.95(5.33)	8.59(5.10)	0.44	8.22(5.19)	8.50(4.95)	0.76	7.85(5.19)	8.70(5.14)	0.29
Whole scale	9.39(2.65)	9.90(2.51)	0.24	9.54(2.42)	9.93(2.72)	0.39	9.39(2.55)	9.98(2.54)	0.14

^{*} P value less than 0.05 considered as significant level

Table 4: Demographics and workplace factors significantly associated with WPV

Table it beinegraphics and workplace racios significantly associated with the vi-								
Variable	OR	CI	P					
Age	0.89	0.81-0.99	0.03*					
Male	3.06	1.26-7.45	0.01					
Hospital B	0.78	0.21-2.81	0.7					
Hospital C	3.64	1.34-9.86	0.01*					

Reference groups: female / hospital A *significant level considered as P value less than 0.05

188 Injury & Violence Afshari Saleh L et al.

males used fewer coping strategies including both emotional focus and problem focus strategies under stress.⁴¹ This proposition is also align with Whittington and Wykes cyclical model of WPV of nurses.⁴² This model indicates that stress caused by exposure to violence head to impaired staff performance and adoption of manners which make the recurrence of violence more likely. Moreover, in some city areas, WPV is more acceptable toward men than women. Thus, we should expect intervention based on the patient's cultural background.

In our study, one of the key findings was the differences in WPV and occupational stress according to the workplace environment. This finding also is compatible with previous studies emphasizing the crucial role of the work environment of mental health workers in stress perception and violent incidents.^{14, 15} One might suggest that these differences between hospitals A and C were because of differences in the socio-economic status of patients referring to these hospitals. So, we suggest that further studies in hospitals A and C would clarify possible risk factors and stressors that can be illuminating for policymakers to develop better prevention strategies for decreasing WPV and enhancing job satisfaction. This idea becomes more important when our data show that within different subscales of the HSE, the relationship is one of the important predictors of experience of physical assault and being bullied and harassed. Managing the relationships at work, include promoting positive working practices to avoid conflict and dealing with unacceptable behavior, can be learned and enhanced during different practices. 42-44

Another factor that should be accounted for WPV is sleep deprivation. Night work and long shifts lead to more decrease of self-control and tolerance while increasing hostility and end up in increased WPV.⁴⁵ Our results confirm this process by indication of significant differences in bullying/harassment within different time shifts.

About searching HSE scale on study participants, we found that among different HSE scale just "relationships" factor was statistically significantly associated with WPV characteristics. This factor was lower than the other HSE scale among participants with positive WPV. The findings of regression modeling showed that "relationship" was one of the negatively predicting factors of WPV. This variable is related to establishing changes in the work place and workers 'knowledge. Misinterpretation of the quality of this factor "relationships" and their subsequent effects on the job and workplace could be

main to stress among workers into different places.⁴⁶ The results of previous studies showed that the worker is improvised for changes (about their work environment or work resources) and they do not given enough knowledge before applying these changes can cause occupational stress.^{34, 47}

We concluded the profound relationship between WPV and work place stress within emergency departments. Based to previous investigations, our study results were in agreement with previous ones and most of the participants had reported some forms of WPV in EDs. But one thing that needs more investigation is the relationship between WPV and occupational stress while some scholars²⁴ suggested that occupational stress is the aftermath of WPV, others proposed that stress in the workplace environment triggers aggression. So, it is important to be careful in the interpretation of these correlational data. Differences between the frequency of WPV in the health care system and the differences in the incident of violence in different workplace settings suggest that this might be to some extent due to the different environmental conditions. So, we are suggesting that paying attention to specific environmental stressors besides personal abilities for interacting with these factors can be fruitful for policymakers.

Our study had several limitations that need to be mentioned for further studies. Our study limited with the small sample size generally according to work status and workplace. However, we selected the mentioned hospitals and participants randomly but we are proposing for future studies a larger sample size randomly related to selected hospital and work status. Another limitation of our study was the survey research design that was implemented. We suggest an experimental design for future studies to tackle the issue more precisely. Our study indicated that healthcare worker's coping skills probably playing a crucial role in WPV; thus, we propose future studies focusing on the role of these skills and WPV and environmental stressors.

Funding: We acknowledge the chancellor for research of Mashhad University of Medical Science for funding and supporting this study.

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest with their funding bodies.

Ethical approval: Mashhad University of Medical Science ethics committee approved the study.

References

1. Taylor JL, Rew L. A systematic review of the literature: workplace violence in the emergency department. J Clin Nurs. 2011 Apr;20(7-8):1072-85.

- 2.Di Martino, V. Relationship between Work Stress and Workplace Violence in the Health Sector. ILO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- 3. Talas MS, Kocaöz S, Akgüç S. A survey of violence against staff working in the emergency department in Ankara, Turkey. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci). 2011 Dec;5(4):197-203.
- 4. Torshizi L, Ahmadi F. Job stressors from clinical nurses 'perspective. Iran Journal of Nursing. 2011;24(70):49-60.
- 5. Nowrouzi B, Giddens E, Gohar B, Schoenenberger S, Bautista MC, Casole J. The quality of work life of registered nurses in Canada and the United States: a comprehensive literature review. International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health. 2016;22(4):341-358.
- 6. Khoshknab MF, Tamizi Z, Ghazanfari N, Mehrabani G. Prevalence of workplace violence in psychiatric wards, Tehran, Iran. Pak J Biol Sci. 2012 Jul 15;15(14):680-4.
- 7. Teymoorzadeh E, Rashidian A, Arab M, Akbari Sari A, Ghasemi M. Exposure to psychological violence among the nursing staff in a large teaching hospital in Tehran. Journal of School of Public Health and Institute of Public Health Research. 2009;7(2):41-9.
- 8. Honarvar B, Ghazanfari N, Shahraki HR, Rostami S, Lankarani KB. Violence against nurses: a neglected and health-threatening epidemic in the university affiliated public hospitals in Shiraz, Iran. Int J Occup Environ Med. 2019 Jul;10(3):111-123.
- Abbas MA, Fiala LA, Rahman AGA, Fahim AE. Epidemiology of workplace violence against nursing staff in Ismailia Governorate, Egypt. J Egypt Public Health Assoc. 2010;85(1-2):29-43.
- 10. Spector PE, Zhou ZE, Che XX. Nurse exposure to physical and nonphysical violence, bullying, and sexual harassment: a quantitative review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2014;51(1):72-84.
- 11. Kwok RPW, Law YK, Li KE, Ng YC, Cheung MH, Fung VKP, et al. Prevalence of workplace violence against nurses in Hong Kong. Hong Kong Med J. 2006 Feb;12(1):6-9.
- 12. Najafi F, Fallahi-Khoshknab M, Dalvandi A, Ahmadi F, Rahgozar M. Workplace violence against Iranian nurses: A systematic review. JHPM. 2014;3(2):72-85.
- 13. Babaei N, Rahmani A, Mohajjel AA, Zamanzadeh V, Dadashzadeh A, Avazeh M. Workplace violence against nurses from the viewpoint of patients. Iranian Journal of Psychiatric Nursing. 2014;43-54.
- 14. Itzhaki M, Peles-Bortz A, Kostistky H, Barnoy D, Filshtinsky V, Bluvstein I. Exposure of mental health nurses to violence associated with job stress, life satisfaction, staff resilience, and post-traumatic growth. Int J Ment Health Nurs. 2015 Oct;24(5):403-12.
- 15. Spector PE, Coulter ML, Stockwell HG, Matz MW. Perceived violence climate: A new construct and its relationship to workplace physical violence and verbal aggression, and their potential consequences. Work & Stress. 2007;21(2):117-30.
- 16. Findorff-Dennis MJ, McGovern PM, Bull M, Hung J. Work related assaults: the impact on victims. AAOHN journal. 1999 Oct;47(10):456-65.
- 17. Kamchuchat C, Chongsuvivatwong V, Oncheunjit S, Yip TW, Sangthong R. Workplace violence directed at nursing staff at a general hospital in southern Thailand. J Occup Health. 2008;50(2):201-7.
- 18. Laposa JM, Alden LE. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the emergency room: exploration of a cognitive model. Behav Res Ther. 2003 Jan;41(1):49-
- 19. Laposa JM, Alden LE, Fullerton LM. Work stress and posttraumatic stress disorder in ED nurses/personnel. J Emerg Nurs. 2003 Feb;29(1):23-8.
- 20. Hassankhani H, Parizad N, Gacki-Smith J, Rahmani A, Mohammadi E. The consequences of violence against nurses working in the emergency department: A qualitative study. Int Emerg Nurs. 2018 Jul;39:20-25.
- 21. Gates DM, Gillespie GL, Succop P. Violence against nurses and its impact on stress and productivity. Nursing Economics. Mar-Apr 2011;29(2):59-66, quiz 67.
- 22. Yi H, Moon H-S, Shin M-K. The influence of workplace violence on anger and post traumatic stress disorder among nurses. Korean J Occup Health Nurs. 2013 Aug;22(3):240-248.
- 23. Camerino D, Estryn-Behar M, Conway PM. van Der BIJM, Hasselhorn H-M. Work-related factors and violence among nursing staff in the European NEXT study: a longitudinal cohort study. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2008;45(1):35–50.
- 24. Jackson D, Clare J, Mannix J. Who would want to be a nurse? Violence in the workplace—a factor in recruitment and retention. J Nurs Manag. 2002 Jan;10(1):13-20.
- 25. Arnetz JE, Arnetz BB. Violence towards health care staff and possible effects on the quality of patient care. Soc Sci Med. 2001 Feb;52(3):417-27.
- 26. Pan Y, Hong Yang X, He JP, Gu YH, Zhan XL, Gu HF, et al. To be or not to be a doctor, that is the question: a review of serious incidents of violence against doctors in China from 2003–2013. J Public Health. 2015;23(2):111-6.

- 27. Lanctôt N, Guay S. The aftermath of workplace violence among healthcare workers: a systematic literature review of the consequences. Aggression and Violent Behavior. 2014;19(5):492-501.
- 28. Sun Z, Lin SX, Wang S. An Economic Model of Optimal Penalty for Health Care Workplace Violence. Inquiry. 2019 Jan-Dec; 56: 0046958019884190.
- 29. McGovern P, Kochevar L, Lohman W, Zaidman B, Gerberich SG, Nyman J, et al. The cost of work-related physical assaults in Minnesota. Health Serv Res. 2000 Aug; 35(3): 663–686.
- 30. Stucke TS, Baumeister RF. Ego depletion and aggressive behavior: Is the inhibition of aggression a limited resource? European Journal of Social Psychology. 2006;36(1):1-13.
- 31. Flannery RB, LeVitre V, Rego S, Walker AP. Characteristics of staff victims of psychiatric patient assaults: 20-year analysis of the Assaulted Staff Action Program. Psychiatr Q. 2011 Mar;82(1):11-21.
- 32. Andrews ME, Stewart NJ, Morgan DG, D'Arcy C. More alike than different: a comparison of male and female RNs in rural and remote Canada. J Nurs Manag. 2012 May;20(4):561-70.
- 33. Teymoorzadeh E, Rashidian A, Arab M, Akbari Sari A, Ghasemi M. Exposure to psychological violence among the nursing staff in a large teaching hospital in Tehran. sjsph. 2009; 7(2):41-49
- 34. MacKay CJ, Cousins R, Kelly PJ, Lee S, McCaig RH. 'Management Standards' and work-related stress in the UK: Policy background and science. Work & Stress. 2004;18(2):91-112.
- 35. Akbari H, Satkin M, Gangi M, Akbari H, Fesharaki MG. Standardization and study of psychological properties of the HSE Stress Questionnaire. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal. 2017;19(3):228.
- 36. Azad ME, Gholami FM. Reliability and validity assessment for the HSE job stress questionnaire. Journal of Behavioral Sciences (JBS). 2011;4 (14):291-297.
- 37. Azad-Marzabadi E, Fesharaki MG. Job stress and related factors among iranian male staff using a path analysis model. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2016 May 14;18(6):e34314.
- 38. Hassard J, Irastorza X, Milczarek M, Miller J, Parent-Thirion A, van Houten G, et al. Psychosocial risks in Europe: prevalence and strategies for prevention, Eurofound, and EU-OSH. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2014.
- 39. Hagger MS, Wood C, Stiff C, Chatzisarantis NLD. Ego depletion and the strength model of self-control: a meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin. 2010;136(4):495.
- 40. Tamres LK, Janicki D, Helgeson VS. Sex differences in coping behavior: A meta-analytic review and an examination of relative coping. Personality and Social Psychology Review. 2002;6(1):2-30.
- 41. Whittington R, Wykes T. An observational study of associations between nurse behaviour and violence in psychiatric hospitals. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 1994;1(2):85-92.
- 42. Lin L, He G, Yan J, Gu C, Xie J. The effects of a modified mindfulness-based stress reduction program for nurses: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Workplace Health Saf. 2019 Mar;67(3):111-122.
- 43. Ançel G. Developing empathy in nurses: an inservice training program. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 2006 Dec;20(6):249-57.
- 44. Heckemann B, Zeller A, Hahn S, Dassen T, Schols J, Halfens RJG. The effect of aggression management training programmes for nursing staff and students working in an acute hospital setting. A narrative review of current literature. Nurse Educ Today. 2015 Jan;35(1):212-9.
- 45. Christian MS, Ellis APJ. Examining the effects of sleep deprivation on workplace deviance: a self-regulatory perspective. Academy of Management Journal. 2011;54(5):913-34.
- 46. Edwards JA, Webster S, Van Laar D, Easton S. Psychometric analysis of the UK Health and Safety Executive's Management Standards work-related stress Indicator Tool. Work & Stress. 2008;22(2):96-107.
- 47. Hackett A, Palmer S, Farrants J. Phase 1 of an investigation into the levels of stress in United Kingdom hospice services. Int J Palliat Nurs. 2009 Feb;15(2):66-72.