PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 111102(R) (2009)

Relative rates of *B* meson decays into $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ mesons

V. M. Abazov,³⁶ B. Abbott,⁷⁵ M. Abolins,⁶⁵ B. S. Acharya,²⁹ M. Adams,⁵¹ T. Adams,⁴⁹ E. Aguilo,⁶ S. H. Ahn,³¹ M. Ahsan,⁵⁹ G. D. Alexeev,³⁶ G. Alkhazov,⁴⁰ A. Alton,^{64,*} G. Alverson,⁶³ G. A. Alves,² M. Anastasoaie,³⁵ L. S. Ancu,³⁵ T. Andeen,⁵³ S. Anderson,⁴⁵ B. Andrieu,¹⁷ M. S. Anzelc,⁵³ M. Aoki,⁵⁰ Y. Arnoud,¹⁴ M. Arov,⁶⁰ M. Arthaud,¹⁸ A. Askew,⁴⁹ B. Åsman,⁴¹ A. C. S. Assis Jesus,³ O. Atramentov,⁴⁹ C. Avila,⁸ F. Badaud,¹³ A. Baden,⁶¹ L. Bagby,⁵⁰ B. Baldin,⁵⁰ D. V. Bandurin,⁵⁹ P. Banerjee,²⁹ S. Banerjee,²⁹ E. Barberis,⁶³ A.-F. Barfuss,¹⁵ P. Bargassa,⁸⁰ P. Baringer,⁵⁸ J. Barreto,² J. F. Bartlett,⁵⁰ U. Bassler,¹⁸ D. Bauer,⁴³ S. Beale,⁶ A. Bean,⁵⁸ M. Begalli,³ M. Begel,⁷³ C. Belanger-Champagne,⁴¹ L. Bellantoni,⁵⁰ A. Bellavance,⁵⁰ J. A. Benitez,⁶⁵ S. B. Beri,²⁷ G. Bernardi,¹⁷ R. Bernhard,²³ I. Bertram,⁴² M. Besançon,¹⁸ R. Beuselinck,⁴³ V. A. Bezzubov,³⁹ P. C. Bhat,⁵⁰ V. Bhatnagar,²⁷ C. Biscarat,²⁰ G. Blazey,⁵² F. Blekman,⁴³ S. Blessing,⁴⁹ D. Bloch,¹⁹ K. Bloom,⁶⁷ A. Boehnlein,⁵⁰ D. Boline,⁶² T. A. Bolton,⁵⁹ E. E. Boos,³⁸ G. Borissov,⁴² T. Bose,⁷⁷ A. Brandt,⁷⁸ R. Brock,⁶⁵ G. Brooijmans,⁷⁰ A. Bross,⁵⁰ D. Brown,⁸¹ N. J. Buchanan,⁴⁹ D. Buchholz,⁵³ M. Buehler,⁸¹ V. Buescher,²² V. Bunichev,³⁸ S. Burdin,^{42,†} S. Burke,⁴⁵ T. H. Burnett,⁸² C. P. Buszello,⁴³ J. M. Butler,⁶² P. Calfayan,²⁵ S. Calvet,¹⁶ J. Cammin,⁷¹ W. Carvalho,³ B. C. K. Casey,⁵⁰ H. Castilla-Valdez,³³ S. Chakrabarti,¹⁸ D. Chakraborty,⁵² K. Chan,⁶ K. M. Chan,⁵⁵ A. Chandra,⁴⁸ F. Charles,^{19,**} E. Cheu,⁴⁵ F. Chevallier,¹⁴ D. K. Cho,⁶² S. Choi,³² B. Choudhary,²⁸ L. Christofek,⁷⁷ T. Christoudias,⁴³ S. Cihangir,⁵⁰ D. Claes,⁶⁷ J. Clutter,⁵⁸ M. Cooke,⁸⁰ W. E. Cooper,⁵⁰ M. Corcoran,⁸⁰ F. Couderc,¹⁸ M.-C. Cousinou,¹⁵ S. Crépé-Renaudin,¹⁴ D. Cutts,⁷⁷ M. Ćwiok,³⁰ H. da Motta,² A. Das,⁴⁵ G. Davies,⁴³ K. De,⁷⁸ S. J. de Jong,³⁵ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁶⁴ C. De Oliveira Martins,³ J. D. Degenhardt,⁶⁴ F. Déliot,¹⁸ M. Demarteau,⁵⁰ R. Demina,⁷¹ D. Denisov,⁵⁰ S. P. Denisov,³⁹ S. Desai,⁵⁰ H. T. Diehl,⁵⁰ M. Diesburg,⁵⁰ A. Dominguez,⁶⁷ H. Dong,⁷² L. V. Dudko,³⁸ L. Duflot,¹⁶ S. R. Dugad,²⁹ D. Duggan,⁴⁹ A. Duperrin,¹⁵ J. Dyer,⁶⁵ A. Dyshkant,⁵² M. Eads,⁶⁷ D. Edmunds,⁶⁵ J. Ellison,⁴⁸ V. D. Elvira,⁵⁰ Y. Enari,⁷⁷ S. Eno,⁶¹ P. Ermolov,³⁸ H. Evans,⁵⁴ A. Evdokimov,⁷³ V. N. Evdokimov,³⁹ A. V. Ferapontov,⁵⁹ T. Ferbel,⁷¹ F. Fiedler,²⁴ F. Filthaut,³⁵ W. Fisher,⁵⁰ H. E. Fisk,⁵⁰ M. Fortner,⁵² H. Fox,⁴² S. Fu,⁵⁰ S. Fuess,⁵⁰ T. Gadfort,⁷⁰ C. F. Galea,³⁵ E. Gallas,⁵⁰ C. Garcia,⁷¹ A. Garcia-Bellido,⁸² V. Gavrilov,³⁷ P. Gay, ¹³ W. Geist, ¹⁹ D. Gelé, ¹⁹ C. E. Gerber, ⁵¹ Y. Gershtein, ⁴⁹ D. Gillberg, ⁶ G. Ginther, ⁷¹ N. Gollub, ⁴¹ B. Gómez, ⁸ A. Goussiou, ⁸² P. D. Grannis, ⁷² H. Greenlee, ⁵⁰ Z. D. Greenwood, ⁶⁰ E. M. Gregores, ⁴ G. Grenier, ²⁰ Ph. Gris, ¹³ J.-F. Grivaz,¹⁶ A. Grohsjean,²⁵ S. Grünendahl,⁵⁰ M. W. Grünewald,³⁰ F. Guo,⁷² J. Guo,⁷² G. Gutierrez,⁵⁰ P. Gutierrez,⁷⁵ A. Haas,⁷⁰ N. J. Hadley,⁶¹ P. Haefner,²⁵ S. Hagopian,⁴⁹ J. Haley,⁶⁸ I. Hall,⁶⁵ R. E. Hall,⁴⁷ L. Han,⁷ K. Harder,⁴⁴ A. Harel,⁷¹ J. M. Hauptman,⁵⁷ R. Hauser,⁶⁵ J. Hays,⁴³ T. Hebbeker,²¹ D. Hedin,⁵² J. G. Hegeman,³⁴ A. P. Heinson,⁴⁸ U. Heintz,⁶² C. Hensel,^{22,§} K. Herner,⁷² G. Hesketh,⁶³ M. D. Hildreth,⁵⁵ R. Hirosky,⁸¹ J. D. Hobbs,⁷² B. Hoeneisen,¹² H. Hoeth,²⁶ M. Hohlfeld,²² S. J. Hong,³¹ S. Hossain,⁷⁵ P. Houben,³⁴ Y. Hu,⁷² Z. Hubacek,¹⁰ V. Hynek,⁹ I. Iashvili,⁶⁹ R. Illingworth,⁵⁰ A. S. Ito,⁵⁰ S. Jabeen,⁶² M. Jaffré,¹⁶ S. Jain,⁷⁵ K. Jakobs,²³ C. Jarvis,⁶¹ R. Jesik,⁴³ K. Johns,⁴⁵ C. Johnson,⁷⁰ M. Johnson,⁵⁰ A. Jonckheere,⁵⁰ P. Jonsson,⁴³ A. Juste,⁵⁰ E. Kajfasz,¹⁵ J. M. Kalk,⁶⁰ D. Karmanov,³⁸ P. A. Kasper,⁵⁰ I. Katsanos,⁷⁰ D. Kau,⁴⁹ V. Kaushik,⁷⁸ R. Kehoe,⁷⁹ S. Kermiche,¹⁵ N. Khalatyan,⁵⁰ A. Khanov,⁷⁶ A. Kharchilava,⁶⁹ Y. M. Kharzheev,³⁶ D. Khatidze,⁷⁰ T. J. Kim,³¹ M. H. Kirby,⁵³ M. Kirsch,²¹ B. Klima,⁵⁰ J. M. Kohli,²⁷ J.-P. Konrath,²³ A. V. Kozelov,³⁹ J. Kraus,⁶⁵ D. Krop,⁵⁴ T. Kuhl,²⁴ A. Kumar,⁶⁹ A. Kupco,¹¹ T. Kurča,²⁰ V. A. Kuzmin,³⁸ J. Kvita,⁹ F. Lacroix,¹³ D. Lam,⁵⁵ S. Lammers,⁷⁰ G. Landsberg,⁷⁷ P. Lebrun,²⁰ W. M. Lee,⁵⁰ A. Leflat,³⁸ J. Lellouch,¹⁷ J. Leveque,⁴⁵ J. Li,⁷⁸ L. Li,⁴⁸ Q. Z. Li,⁵⁰ S. M. Lietti,⁵ J. G. R. Lima,⁵² D. Lincoln,⁵⁰ J. Linnemann,⁶⁵ V. V. Lipaev,³⁹ R. Lipton,⁵⁰ Y. Liu,⁷ Z. Liu,⁶ A. Lobodenko,⁴⁰ M. Lokajicek,¹¹ P. Love,⁴² H. J. Lubatti,⁸² R. Luna,³ A. L. Lyon,⁵⁰ A. K. A. Maciel,² D. Mackin,⁸⁰ R. J. Madaras,⁴⁶ P. Mättig,²⁶ C. Magass,²¹ A. Magerkurth,⁶⁴ P. K. Mal,⁸² H. B. Malbouisson,³ S. Malik,⁶⁷ V. L. Malyshev,³⁶ H. S. Mao,⁵⁰ Y. Maravin,⁵⁹ B. Martin,¹⁴ R. McCarthy,⁷² A. Melnitchouk,⁶⁶ L. Mendoza,⁸ P. G. Mercadante,⁵ M. Merkin,³⁸ K. W. Merritt,⁵⁰ A. Meyer,²¹ J. Meyer,^{22,§} T. Millet,²⁰ J. Mitrevski,⁷⁰ R. K. Mommsen,⁴⁴ N. K. Mondal,²⁹ R. W. Moore,⁶ T. Moulik,⁵⁸ G. S. Muanza,²⁰ M. Mulhearn,⁷⁰ O. Mundal,²² L. Mundim,³ E. Nagy,¹⁵ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁰ M. Narain,⁷⁷ N. A. Naumann,³⁵ H. A. Neal,⁶⁴ J. P. Negret,⁸ P. Neustroev,⁴⁰ H. Nilsen,²³ H. Nogima,³ S. F. Novaes,⁵ T. Nunnemann,²⁵ V. O'Dell,⁵⁰ D. C. O'Neil,⁶ G. Obrant,⁴⁰ C. Ochando,¹⁶ D. Onoprienko,⁵⁹ N. Oshima,⁵⁰ N. Osman,⁴³ J. Osta,⁵⁵ R. Otec,¹⁰ G. J. Otero y Garzón,⁵⁰ M. Owen,⁴⁴ P. Padley,⁸⁰ M. Pangilinan,⁷⁷ N. Parashar,⁵⁶ S.-J. Park,^{22,§} S. K. Park,³¹ J. Parsons,⁷⁰ R. Partridge,⁷⁷ N. Parua,⁵⁴ A. Patwa,⁷³ G. Pawloski,⁸⁰ B. Penning,²³ M. Perfilov,³⁸ K. Peters,⁴⁴ Y. Peters,²⁶ P. Pétroff,¹⁶ M. Petteni,⁴³ R. Piegaia,¹ J. Piper,⁶⁵ M.-A. Pleier,²² P. L. M. Podesta-Lerma,^{33,‡} V. M. Podstavkov,⁵⁰ Y. Pogorelov,⁵⁵ M.-E. Pol,² P. Polozov,³⁷ B. G. Pope,⁶⁵ A. V. Popov,³⁹ C. Potter,⁶ W. L. Prado da Silva,³ H. B. Prosper,⁴⁹ S. Protopopescu,⁷³ J. Qian,⁶⁴ A. Quadt,^{22,§} B. Quinn,⁶⁶ A. Rakitine,⁴² M. S. Rangel,² K. Ranjan,²⁸ P. N. Ratoff,⁴² P. Renkel,⁷⁹ S. Reucroft,⁶³ P. Rich,⁴⁴ J. Rieger,⁵⁴ M. Rijssenbeek,⁷² I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁹ F. Rizatdinova,⁷⁶ S. Robinson,⁴³ R. F. Rodrigues,³ M. Rominsky,⁷⁵ C. Royon,¹⁸ P. Rubinov,⁵⁰ R. Ruchti,⁵⁵ G. Safronov,³⁷ G. Sajot,¹⁴ C. Salzmann,^{23,¶}

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 111102(R) (2009)

A. Sánchez-Hernández,³³ M. P. Sanders,¹⁷ B. Sanghi,⁵⁰ A. Santoro,³ G. Savage,⁵⁰ L. Sawyer,⁶⁰ T. Scanlon,⁴³ D. Schaile,²⁵ R. D. Schamberger,⁷² Y. Scheglov,⁴⁰ H. Schellman,⁵³ T. Schliephake,²⁶ C. Schwanenberger,⁴⁴ A. Schwartzman,⁶⁸ R. Schwienhorst,⁶⁵ J. Sekaric,⁴⁹ H. Severini,⁷⁵ E. Shabalina,⁵¹ M. Shamim,⁵⁹ V. Shary,¹⁸ A. A. Shchukin,³⁹ R. K. Shivpuri,²⁸ V. Siccardi,¹⁹ V. Simak,¹⁰ V. Sirotenko,⁵⁰ P. Skubic,⁷⁵ P. Slattery,⁷¹ D. Smirnov,⁵⁵ G. R. Snow,⁶⁷ J. Snow,⁷⁴ S. Snyder,⁷³ S. Söldner-Rembold,⁴⁴ L. Sonnenschein,¹⁷ A. Sopczak,⁴² M. Sosebee,⁷⁸ K. Soustruznik,⁹ B. Spurlock,⁷⁸ J. Stark,¹⁴ J. Steele,⁶⁰ V. Stolin,³⁷ D. A. Stoyanova,³⁹ J. Strandberg,⁶⁴ S. Strandberg,⁴¹ M. A. Strang,⁶⁹ E. Strauss,⁷² M. Strauss,⁷⁵ R. Ströhmer,²⁵ D. Strom,⁵³ L. Stutte,⁵⁰ S. Sumowidagdo,⁴⁹ P. Svoisky,⁵⁵ A. Sznajder,³ P. Tamburello,⁴⁵ A. Tanasijczuk,¹ W. Taylor,⁶ J. Temple,⁴⁵ B. Tiller,²⁵ F. Tissandier,¹³ M. Titov,¹⁸ V. V. Tokmenin,³⁶ T. Toole,⁶¹ I. Torchiani,²³ T. Trefzger,²⁴ D. Tsybychev,⁷² B. Tuchming,¹⁸ C. Tully,⁶⁸ P. M. Tuts,⁷⁰ R. Unalan,⁶⁵ L. Uvarov,⁴⁰ S. Uzunyan,⁵² B. Vachon,⁶ P. J. van den Berg,³⁴ R. Van Kooten,⁵⁴ W. M. van Leeuwen,³⁴ N. Varelas,⁵¹ E. W. Varnes,⁴⁵ I. A. Vasilyev,³⁹ M. Vaupel,²⁶ P. Verdier,²⁰ L. S. Vertogradov,³⁶ M. Verzocchi,⁵⁰ F. Villeneuve-Seguier,⁴³ P. Vint,⁴³ P. Vokac,¹⁰ E. Von Toerne,⁵⁹ M. Voutilainen,⁶⁸ R. Wagner,⁶⁸ H. D. Wahl,⁴⁹ L. Wang,⁶¹ M. H. L. S. Wang,⁵⁰ J. Warchol,⁵⁵ G. Watts,⁸² M. Wayne,⁵⁵ G. Weber,²⁴ M. Weber,⁵⁰ L. Welty-Rieger,⁵⁴ A. Wenger,^{23,¶} N. Wermes,²² M. Wetstein,⁶¹ A. White,⁷⁸ D. Wicke,²⁶ G. W. Wilson,⁵⁸ S. J. Wimpenny,⁴⁸ M. Wobisch,⁶⁰ D. R. Wood,⁶³ T. R. Wyatt,⁴⁴ Y. Xie,⁷⁷ S. Yacoob,⁵³ R. Yamada,⁵⁰ M. Yan,⁶¹ T. Yasuda,⁵⁰ Y. A. Yatsunenko,³⁶ K. Yip,⁷³ H. D. Yoo,⁷⁷ S. W. Youn,⁵³ J. Yu,⁷⁸ C. Zeitnitz,²⁶ T. Zhao,⁸² B. Zhou,⁶⁴ J. Zhu,⁷² M. Zielinski,⁷¹

(D0 Collaboration)

¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil

⁵Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

⁶University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada,

York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁷University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China

⁸Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

⁹Center for Particle Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹⁰Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹¹Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

¹²Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

¹³LPC, Univ Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont, France

¹⁴LPSC, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, France

⁵CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France

¹⁶LAL, Université Paris-Sud, IN2P3/CNRS, Orsay, France

¹⁷LPNHE, IN2P3/CNRS, Universités Paris VI and VII, Paris, France

¹⁸DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France

¹⁹IPHC, Université Louis Pasteur et Université de Haute Alsace, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

²⁰IPNL, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France and Université de Lyon, Lyon, France

²¹III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

²²Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²³Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

²⁴Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

²⁵Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

²⁶Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany

²⁷Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

²⁸Delhi University, Delhi, India

²⁹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

³⁰University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

³¹Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

³²SungKyunKwan University, Suwon, Korea

³³CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico

³⁴FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³⁵*Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands*

³⁶Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

RELATIVE RATES OF B MESON DECAYS INTO ...

³⁷Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ³⁸Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ³⁹Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ⁴⁰Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia ⁴¹Lund University, Lund, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden ⁴²Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom ⁴³Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ⁴⁴University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom ⁴⁵University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA ⁴⁶Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ⁴⁷California State University, Fresno, California 93740, USA ⁴⁸University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA ⁴⁹Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA ⁵⁰Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA ⁵¹University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA ⁵²Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA ⁵³Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ⁵⁴Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA ⁵⁵University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA ⁵⁶Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA ⁵⁷Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA ⁵⁸University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA ⁵⁹Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA ⁶⁰Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA ⁶¹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA ⁶²Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA ⁶³Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA ⁶⁴University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA ⁶⁵Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ⁶⁶University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA ⁶⁷University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA ⁶⁸Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA ⁶⁹State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA ⁷⁰Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA ⁷¹University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA ⁷²State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA ⁷³Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA ⁴Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA ⁷⁵University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA ⁷⁶Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA ⁷Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA ⁷⁸University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA ⁷⁹Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA ⁸⁰Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA ⁸¹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA ⁸²University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Received 18 May 2008; published 10 June 2009; publisher error corrected 12 June 2009)

We report on a study of the relative rates of *B* meson decays into $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ mesons using 1.3 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV recorded by the D0 detector operating at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. We observe the channels $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$, $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$, $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{\pm}$, and $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$ and

^{*}Visitor from Augustana College, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.

[†]Visitor from The University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

[‡]Visitor from ICN-UNAM, Mexico City, Mexico.

[§]Visitor from II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany.

Visitor from Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland.

[¶]Visitor from Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.

^{**}Deceased.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 111102(R) (2009)

we measure the relative branching fractions for these channels to be $\frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \psi(2S)\phi)}{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi)} = 0.53 \pm 0.10(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst}) \pm 0.06(\mathcal{B}), \quad \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to \psi(2S)K^\pm)}{\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \to J/\psiK^\pm)} = 0.63 \pm 0.05(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}) \pm 0.07(\mathcal{B}), \text{ where the final error corresponds to the uncertainty in the } J/\psi \text{ and } \psi(2S) \text{ branching ratio into two muons.}$

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.111102

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 14.20.Mr

B meson decays into final states containing charmonium play a crucial role in the study of *CP* violation and the precise measurement of neutral *B* meson mixing parameters [1]. For *CP* violation in B_s mixing, $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decays are being used to measure the width difference between the mass eigenstates and the *CP* violating relative phase difference between the off diagonal elements Γ_{12} and M_{12} describing the mixing of neutral *B* mesons [2,3]. Since the current experimental results are limited by statistics, it is important to establish new channels like the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ where these studies can be performed.

The study of *B* meson decays into several charmonium states can also be used to constrain the long-distance parameters associated with color octet production which are important for the understanding of both mixing induced and direct *CP* violation [4]. While these modes have been precisely measured in B^+ and B^0 decays [5], an extension of these studies into the B_s^0 system provides an important test of quark-hadron duality.

In this paper, we report measurements of *B* meson decays into charmonium using the channels $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+$, $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$, and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$. Charge conjugation is implied throughout. The J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ mesons are reconstructed in the dimuon channel and the ϕ is reconstructed in the K^+K^- channel. The study uses a data sample of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV corresponding to an integrated luminosity of approximately 1.3 fb⁻¹ recorded by the D0 detector operating at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. Similar studies have recently been reported by the CDF collaboration [6].

D0 is a general purpose detector described in detail in Ref. [7]. Charged particles are reconstructed using a silicon vertex tracker and a scintillating fiber tracker located inside a superconducting solenoidal coil that provides a magnetic field of approximately 2 T. The tracking volume is surrounded by a LAr-U calorimeter. Muons are reconstructed using a spectrometer consisting of magnetized iron toroids and three superlayers of proportional tubes and plastic trigger scintillators located outside the calorimeter. Only data recorded by dimuon triggers were used for this analysis.

The selection requirements are determined using simulated samples for the four decay modes. The PYTHIA [8] Monte Carlo (MC) generator is used to model $b\bar{b}$ production and fragmentation, followed by EVTGEN [9] to simulate the kinematics of *B*-meson decay. The detector response is simulated using a GEANT [10] based MC. Simulated events are processed through the same reconstruction code as used for the data. The dimuon trigger is

modeled using a detailed simulation program incorporating all aspects of the trigger logic. The trigger simulation is verified using a data sample collected with single muon triggers. Backgrounds are modeled using data in the mass sideband regions around the candidate *B* meson.

Muon candidates are required to have track segments reconstructed in at least two out of the three muon system superlayers and to be associated with a track reconstructed with hits in both the silicon and fiber trackers. We require that the muon transverse momentum, p_T , is greater than 2 GeV/c. The charmonium system is formed by combining two oppositely charged muon candidates that are associated with the same track jet [11] and form a wellreconstructed vertex with $\chi^2/\text{DOF} < 16$. We require the dimuon p_T to be greater than 4 GeV/c. The invariant mass of the dimuon system is required to be within 250 MeV/ c^2 of the nominal charmonium state mass [5], since the invariant mass resolution is about $\approx 75 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. We then redetermine the muon momenta with a mass constraint imposed when forming the *B* meson candidate.

All charged particles within the same track jet as the dimuon system are considered as kaon candidates and the kaon mass is assigned. The candidates are required to have hits in both the silicon and fiber trackers and have $p_T > 0.6 \text{ GeV}/c$. Pairs of oppositely charged kaons with $p_T > 0.9 \text{ GeV}/c$ are combined to form ϕ candidates. The expected invariant mass resolution for the ϕ mesons is $4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Therefore the pair of kaons must form a well-reconstructed vertex and have $1.008 < m(K^+K^-) < 1.032 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

The charmonium candidates are combined with either a kaon or ϕ candidate to form either a B^+ or a B_s^0 candidate. The *B* meson daughter particles are required to form a well-reconstructed vertex and have an invariant mass between 4.4 and 6.2 GeV/ c^2 .

Backgrounds from prompt charmonium production are reduced by requiring the *B* meson decay vertex to be displaced from the interaction point in the transverse plane by more than 4 times the error on the measured displacement for B^+ candidates and 6 times the error for B_s^0 candidates. For all candidates, the error on the displacement measurement is required to be less than 150 μ m. Combinatorial backgrounds are reduced by requiring the *B* candidate momentum vector to be aligned with the position vector of the secondary vertex to within 26 degrees. Possible background contamination from kaons and pions misidentified as muons and other source of *B* meson decays which could result in a peaking background have been studied and found to be negligible. RELATIVE RATES OF B MESON DECAYS INTO ...



FIG. 1 (color online). $\mu^+\mu^-K^{\pm}$ invariant mass distribution for the $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$ data selection. The region between two dashed vertical lines represents the signal window.

The resulting mass distributions of the *B* meson candidates are displayed in Figs. 1–4. The *B* meson yield is extracted from the data using a binned likelihood fit to the data assuming a Gaussian component for signal and a second-order polynominal distribution for background. The number of signal events is obtained by integrating the fit functions over the range of interest. This range is indicated by the two dashed vertical lines in Figs. 1–4 for each channel, and covers a region corresponding to $\pm 3\sigma$, where σ is the expected invariant mass resolution. We see signals in all four channels. The results of the signal yields,



FIG. 2 (color online). $\mu^+\mu^-K^{\pm}$ invariant mass distribution for the $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{\pm}$ data selection. The region between two dashed vertical lines represents the signal window.



FIG. 3 (color online). $\mu^+\mu^-\phi$ invariant mass distribution for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ data selection. The region between two dashed vertical lines represents the signal window.



FIG. 4 (color online). $\mu^+\mu^-\phi$ invariant mass distribution for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ data selection. The region between two dashed vertical lines represents the signal window.

corrected for the background contributions, are listed in Table I.

The relative yield of *B* meson decays into $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ mesons is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to \psi(2S)M)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to J/\psi M)} = \frac{N_{\psi(2S)M}}{N_{J/\psi M}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{J/\psi M}}{\epsilon_{\psi(2S)M}} \\ \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-)}, \quad (1)$$

where *B* is either a B^+ or B_s^0 meson, *M* is either a K^+ or ϕ meson, *N* is the number of signal events returned from the fit, and ϵ is the reconstruction efficiency determined from MC. The measured branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^{-2}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (7.5 \pm 0.8) \cdot 10^{-3}$ are taken from Ref. [5] and combined into a ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 8.12 \pm 0.89$. The uncertainty on the ratio is given by the uncertainty on the single measured branching fractions assuming no correlations.

For the measurement of *B* meson branching fractions the sources of systematic uncertainties are (i) the branching fractions of the charmonium mesons to dimuons, (ii) systematics of the individual signal yield determinations, and (iii) the determination of the efficiencies $\epsilon_{\psi(2S)\phi}$ and $\epsilon_{J/\psi\phi}$. In the ratio many systematic uncertainties cancel, such as the integrated luminosity, *b* production and fragmentation, and the selection efficiencies. However, the polarization could be different for the B_s^0

TABLE I. Summary of obtained event yields from the fits as described in the text and signal efficiencies obtained from MC simulations.

Decay	Efficiency	Yield
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$	$(1.07 \pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-3}$	6276 ± 97
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{\pm}$	$(1.14 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{-3}$	535 ± 30
$B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$	$(14.4 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-5}$	565 ± 26
$B_s^0 \to \psi(2S)\phi$	$(15.2 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-5}$	40 ± 8

V.M. ABAZOV et al.

TABLE II. Relative systematic uncertainties on the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{\pm})/\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm})$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi)/\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi)$.

Source	Relative uncertainty [%]		
	$\overline{(J/\psi,\psi(2S))K^{\pm}(J/\psi,\psi(2S))\phi}$		
$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \mu \mu)$	1.	1.7	
$\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \to \mu \mu)$	11		
Total $\mathcal B$	11		
$\epsilon_{J/\psi(K^{\pm},\phi)}/\epsilon_{\psi(2s)(K^{\pm},\phi)}$	4.1	7.2	
Event yield $\psi(2S)$ channel	3.0	7.5	
Event yield J/ψ channel	0.9	2.1	
<i>CP</i> odd-even mixture $(J/\psi\phi)$) N.A.	8.0	
Total (systematic)	5.2	13.3	

decays. We use a pure *CP*-even state for the generated $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ MC.

The relative uncertainties that enter into the calculation of the relative branching fractions are given in Table II. The uncertainty related to the measured charmonium resonance branching fractions enter both measurements and are the same for both. The uncertainties are treated as uncorrelated and give a combined uncertainty of 11% on each of the ratios of branching fractions.

The relative statistical uncertainties on the efficiencies $\epsilon_{\psi(2S)K}$ and $\epsilon_{J/\psi K}$ are 3.7% and 1.9%, respectively. They are combined into a single statistical uncertainty on the efficiency ratio assuming no correlations. To obtain and estimate the signal yield variation, the background shape and fit range for the background region are varied. This yields a variation of 3% for $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{\pm}$ and 0.9% for $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$. The ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{\pm})/\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm})$ is then 0.63 \pm 0.05(stat) \pm 0.03(syst) \pm 0.07(\mathcal{B}).

The relative uncertainties on $\epsilon_{\psi(2S)\phi}$ and $\epsilon_{J/\psi\phi}$ are 4.5% and 5.6%, respectively. These uncertainties are combined into a single statistical uncertainty on the efficiency ratio assuming no correlations. As an estimate of the signal yield variation, the shape of the background as well as the invariant mass regions for the background estimations are changed. This gives a variation of 7.5% for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow$ $\psi(2S)\phi$ and 2.1% for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ signal yield. The $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ MC events are generated

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 111102(R) (2009)

as pure *CP*-even decays with a B_s^0 lifetime of 1.44 ps [12]. To account for a possible efficiency difference related with the different lifetime of the B_s^0 , the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ MC events are weighted according to the combined world average lifetime [13]. The efficiency difference is estimated to be 8%, which is taken as an additional systematic uncertainty. The resulting ratio of branching fractions is $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi)$) = 0.53 ± 0.10(stat) ± 0.07(syst) ± 0.06(\mathcal{B}).

In summary, we have presented the observation of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ with the decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ at D0 and performed a measurement of the ratio of branching fractions

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \psi(2S)\phi)}{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi)} = 0.53 \pm 0.10(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst})$$
$$\pm 0.06(\mathcal{B}). \tag{2}$$

In addition, a measurement of the ratio of branching fractions

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to \psi(2S)K^{\pm})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm})} = 0.63 \pm 0.05(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst})$$
$$\pm 0.07(\mathcal{B}) \tag{3}$$

has been performed. These results are competitive and in good agreement with published measurements [6,14]. The combination with these measurements should result in a significant precision improvement on the measured ratios of branching fractions.

We thank the staff at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP, and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); STFC (United Kingdom); MSMT and GACR (Czech Republic); CRC Program, CFI, NSERC, and WestGrid Project (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); CAS and CNSF (China); and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

- A. B. Carter and A. I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D 23, 1567 (1981); I. I. Bigi and A. I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. B193, 85 (1981).
- [2] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 161802 (2008).
- [3] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 241801 (2008).
- [4] M. Beneke, F. Maltoni, and I.Z. Rothstein, Phys. Rev. D 59, 054003 (1999).
- [5] C. Amsler et al., Phys. Lett. B 667, 1 (2008).
- [6] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 231801 (2006).
- [7] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 565, 463 (2006).

RELATIVE RATES OF B MESON DECAYS INTO ...

- [8] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [9] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 462, 152 (2001).
- [10] R. Brun and F. Carminati, CERN Program Library Long Writeup W5013, 1993 (unpublished).
- [11] All charged particles in the event are clustered into jets using the DURHAM clustering algorithm with the p_T

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 111102(R) (2009)

cutoff parameter of 15 GeV/c. S. Catani *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **269**, 432 (1991).

- [12] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 042001 (2005).
- [13] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG), arXiv:hep-ex/0505100.
- [14] B. Aubert *et al.* (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 65, 032001 (2002).