

## RELEASE OF MERCURY FROM DENTAL AMALGAM AND ITS INFLUENCE ON SALIVARY ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY

M. PIZZICHINI<sup>1</sup>, M. FONZI<sup>\*</sup>, L. SUGHERINI<sup>2</sup>, L. FONZI<sup>1\*</sup>, M. COMPORTI<sup>2</sup>, A. GASPARONI<sup>1\*</sup>,  
A. POMPELLA<sup>2</sup>

*1*Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Siena, Italy;

*2*Department Pathophysiology and Experimental Medicine, University of Siena, Italy.

*\** Members of GIRSO

**KEY WORDS:** *amalgam, mercury, saliva, total antioxidant activity*

**MOTS CLES:** *amalgame, mercure, salive, activité anti-oxydante totale*

### ABSTRACT

Dental amalgam fillings are known to release significant levels of mercury (Hg) in saliva which could represent a continuous source of oxidative damage to tissues. The present investigation was aimed at verifying this hypothesis by determining a possible correlation between salivary Hg levels and salivary total antioxidant activity (TAA), used as an index of oxidative stress. Samples of saliva from 34 healthy donors were analyzed for Hg content, through vapor atomic absorption spectrometry, and for TAA, by determining the ferric reducing ability ('FRAP' method). A significant correlation between Hg and the number of amalgam restorations or total amalgam surface was evident in both the male and female subjects. A significant negative correlation between TAA and Hg levels or number of amalgam restorations or amalgam surface was evident in females, indicating that small increases in salivary Hg were sufficient to produce a decrease in salivary TAA. On the other hand, no significant correlation was found in the males. The present study provides, for the first time, evidence of a pro-oxidant role of the amalgam Hg chronically released in saliva.

### RESUME

Les obturations en amalgame libèrent continuellement des quantités significatives de Hg dans la salive et peuvent donc créer un stress oxydant dans les tissus de la cavité orale. Le but de cette recherche est de vérifier cette hypothèse. Pour cela, nous avons cherché sur 34 patients sains un rapport entre les niveaux salivaires de Hg (déterminés au moyen du spectrophotomètre à absorption atomique) et l'Activité Anti-oxydante Totale (AAT) de la salive (déterminée par FRAP). Nous avons observé une corrélation significative, aussi bien chez les hommes que chez les femmes, entre le numéro des obturations et le Hg salivaire et entre la superficie des obturations et le Hg salivaire. Nous avons relevé une corrélation négative significative entre Hg et AAT chez les femmes, mais pas chez les hommes. L'éventuel rôle pro-oxidant du mercure provenant de l'amalgame est donc remis en discussion.

### INTRODUCTION

Mercury (Hg) is the major component (50% by weight) of tooth filling materials and it has been utilized for years because it is stable, inexpensive, easy to manipulate and position, with relatively low cost. Elemental mercury vapor Hg<sup>0</sup> released from dental amalgam is inhaled (Vimy et al. 1990), absorbed by lung, gastrointestinal and jaw tissues (Hahn et al. 1989), retained mostly in the kidney, liver (Hahn et

al.1989; Hahn et al. 1990), and central nervous system, as determined by human autopsy (Nylander et al. 1987; Weiner et al. 1993), and increases in expired air (Svare et al. 1981; Vimy et al. 1985), saliva (Ahmad et al. 1990; Berglund, 1992), urine (Begerow et al. 1994; Berglund, 1990), blood (Mackert et al. 1997; Sandborgh-Englund et al. 1998) and feces (Bjorkman et al. 1997).

The release of mercury from the amalgam surface in the oral cavity may be ascribed to the effect of

biological corrosion due to bacteria, pH of saliva, chewing, brushing, temperature (Brune and Evje, 1985; Berdouses et al. 1995; Marek 1997; Furhof et al. 1998), electrochemical corrosion (Olsson et al. 1994) and different types of amalgam alloys (Berglund, 1993). The toxicological consequences of long-term Hg exposure from old amalgam (Ekstrand et al. 1986; Sibley et al. 1994), dental profession (Uzzell and Oler, 1986; Langworth et al. 1997) or industrial work (Angotzi et al. 1981) are currently a matter of debate in several countries, and in particular the potential pro-oxidant effects of Hg on tissues and cells. In fact, a number of studies demonstrate the ability of Hg, like other metal ions, to interact with soluble and protein bound -SH groups, resulting in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) like superoxide anion, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl-radical, capable to produce oxidative injury to tissues through diverse mechanisms (e.g. lipid peroxidation, DNA damage, alterations of calcium homeostasis) (Stoys and Bagchi, 1995).

Living organisms are normally protected against oxidative stress by a number of non-enzymatic compounds endowed with antioxidant activity, such as albumin, GSH, ascorbic acid,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol,  $\beta$ -carotene, uric acid, bilirubin, and flavonoids, however the measurements of any individual antioxidant appears less representative of the total antioxidant activity (TAA). Despite several procedures available to determine TAA, to date no data is present in existing literature concerning the correlation between Hg in saliva and salivary TAA. Therefore, the aim of this investigation is to evaluate TAA and Hg levels in the saliva of healthy donors and to correlate them with the number of amalgam restorations and the amalgam surface.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty-four young healthy donors (17 female, 17 male; mean age = 21 years; range =18-23 years) were chosen to participate in this study and all gave their informed consent. The subjects were asked not to eat or drink for 1 h prior to the collection of a saliva sample, which was performed between 9 and 12 a.m.. All subjects were asked to rinse their mouths five times with distilled water, to swallow the saliva produced during a 5 min interval, to collect the newly produced saliva in the mouth for 5 min and then to deposit the saliva in a test tube. For Hg determination, 1-1.5 ml samples were stored at -80°C until utilized.

0.2 ml of the samples, to which the antioxidant butyl hydroxytoluene (BHT) was added (0.1 mM final concentration), were used to determine salivary TAA with the FRAP assay (Benzie and Strain, 1996). Cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer FIMS 400) was used to determine the total Hg. Saliva samples (1 ml) were digested with 3 ml of nitric acid (Merck Suprapur) in Teflon vessels under pressure for 8 hours at 120°C (Chien et al. 1996; Drexler and Schaller, 1998).

Each series of analysis was accompanied by concurrent mineralization and identification of Standard Reference Materials (SRMs) n° 1577b "Bovine Liver" from NIST (Gaithersburg, USA). Batches with accompanying SRMs outside the certified range were repeated.

The reliability of Hg determination, expressed as the coefficient of variation on repeated assays of the same samples, was below 5%. An amalgam score was calculated yielding a score of 1 when the amalgam surface had a diameter of 1 mm or less, 2 if it was above 1 and less than 2 mm, 3 if it was 3mm or less and 5 if it was more than 3 mm. The amalgam score is the summation of scores of all the amalgam surfaces on all the teeth of each subject.

A simple regression analysis was used to compare the experimental results, separately for females and males. Only p values < 0.05 were considered as significant.

## RESULTS


Table 1 indicates all data referring to donors. As can be seen, higher salivary Hg levels were detected in subjects with a greater number of restorations and higher amalgam scores.

A significant correlation between salivary Hg and the number of amalgam restorations was evident both in male and female subjects (Fig. 1). A similar correlation was observed between salivary Hg and amalgam scores, as reported in Fig. 2.


As shown in Figure 3, no correlation between salivary antioxidant capacity, evaluated by FRAP, and the number of amalgam restorations was observed in the male subjects, while a significant inverse correlation was evident in the females. Similar results were obtained comparing FRAP values and amalgam scores, as reported in Fig. 4. It is interesting to note that in Fig.5 a significant inverse correlation was observed in the female subjects only between the log of salivary Hg levels and the corresponding FRAP values.

N° amalgam restoration	Salivary Hg	Amalgam score	FRAP
0	0	0	300
0	0.42	0	257
0	0	0	285
0	0	0	144
0	0	0	372
0	0	0	192
0	0	0	253
0	0	0	143
0	0	0	266
0	0.73	0	393
0	0	0	278
0	0	0	258
1	0.73	2	363
1	3.93	2	144
1	0.71	2	165
1	0.57	3	363
1	0.15	3	283
1	1.06	2	212
2	1.53	10	347
2	1.47	5	93
2	2.77	5	85
2	1.64	6	456
2	3.17	6	248
2	2.96	5	220
3	1.97	6	303
3	14.76	12	206
5	8.99	21	327
5	2.47	10	190
5	3.12	11	215
6	23.33	19	119
6	4.08	16	134
6	8.67	20	105
10	51.91	34	138
11	47.24	19	379

**Table 1:** Number of amalgam restoration, Hg levels, amalgam score and FRAP from healthy subjects.



**Fig. 1:**



**Fig. 2**




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

**Fig. 1:** Correlation between salivary Hg levels and number of amalgam restorations in all examined subjects ( $p < 0.0001$   $R = 0.943$  for male;  $p < 0.0005$   $R = 0.759$  for female).

**Fig. 2:** Correlation between salivary Hg and amalgam score in all examined subjects ( $p < 0.001$   $R = 0.754$  for male;  $p < 0.0001$   $R = 0.855$  for female).

**Fig. 3:** Correlation between FRAP and number of amalgam restorations ( $p$  not significant  $R = 0.142$  for male,  $p < 0.05$   $R = -0.568$  for female).

**Fig. 4:** Correlation between FRAP and amalgam score in all examined subjects ( $p$  not significant  $R = 0.103$  for male,  $p < 0.05$   $R = -0.546$  for female).

**Fig. 5:** Correlation between FRAP and Hg saliva in all examined subjects ( $p$  not significant  $R = 0.113$  for male,  $p < 0.05$   $R = -0.637$  for female).




Fig. 5

## DISCUSSION

Saliva possesses antioxidant activity exerted by different compounds like antioxidant enzymes (catalase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, reductase and transferase) (Moore et al. 1994). Other substances capable to donating an electron (reducing compounds) are present in whole saliva; the major aqueous antioxidant component is uric acid, while ascorbic acid and albumin contribute less (Moore et al. 1994).

Measurements of salivary TAA reveal that it is influenced by many conditions. TAA decreases in old healthy subjects, in those with G6PD deficiency, in subjects treated with amoxicillin, carbamazepine or progesterone (Kohen et al. 1992). A decrease of TAA was reported in patients with periodontal disease (Chapple et al. 1997).

The total antioxidant activity (TAA) can be assayed with several methods, like chemiluminescent procedures (Chapple et al. 1997), cyclic voltametry (Kohen et al. 1992), Randox kit assay (Rice-Evans et al. 1994), ORAC assay (Cao et al. 1993). For the present study, we chose to use the so-called FRAP method (Benzie et al. 1996) because it provides a more global appraisal of oxidative stress and is convenient and simple to perform in routine analyses.

Data reported in the present study clearly indicate that amalgam restorations are a source of Hg in saliva. Salivary Hg levels were, in fact, significantly correlated with both the number of amalgam restorations and the amalgam surface in all subjects studied.

Hg is known to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Stohs et al. 1995; Hussain et al. 1997; Hussain et al. 1999). Studies carried out using transgenic Chinese hamster ovary cell line A552 demonstrated that Pb and Hg disrupt the redox status by enhancing the activity of copper-zinc superoxide dismutase and xanthine oxidase (Ariza et al. 1998). Hg causes oxidative damage to the kidney, inhibiting the antioxidant role of GSH and forming a reactive Hg-thiol complex, which can interact with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to promote the oxidation of bio-molecules (Miller et al. 1993). The possibility that Hg released in saliva might interfere with salivary antioxidant capacity was therefore investigated. Indeed, although only in female subjects, a significant inverse correlation was observed between salivary FRAP values and both amalgam restoration number and amalgam scores. In addition, the FRAP values in the females showed a significant inverse correlation to the log of salivary Hg levels indicating that, in these subjects, small increases in salivary Hg are already sufficient to produce significant decreases in salivary

antioxidant capacity. A lower salivary TAA in females as compared to males was previously reported by Moore et al. (1994), although no statistical analysis or dental examination of subjects was provided.

The present study demonstrates that the different TAA between females and males is strictly correlated with salivary Hg levels and this fact, for the first time provides evidence for a pro-oxidant role of Hg released from amalgam restoration in saliva. TAA variations in saliva might be indicative of susceptibility or resistance to periodontal disease, as reported by Chapple et al. (1997), who suggested that the reduced TAA in saliva of patients with periodontitis may result from the loss of a specific antioxidant produced by gingival crevicular fluid.

Our results confirm the potential toxicity of amalgam Hg, and give clinical dentists an insight into the effects of Hg on general health. However, further studies are required in order to clarify the cause of the evident differences between female and male subjects.

**Acknowledgements:** Financial Support was obtained from the University of Siena (Fondi di Ateneo 1999).

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad R, Stannard JG** (1990). Mercury release from amalgam: a study in vitro and in vivo. *Oper Dent* 15:207-218.
- Angotzi G, Battistini N, Carboncini F, Cioni R, Desideri E, Paradiso C et al.** (1981). Impairment of nervous system in workers exposed to inorganic mercury. *Toxicol Eur Res* 3(6 Suppl):275-278.
- Ariza ME, Bijur GN, Williams MV** (1998). Lead and mercury mutagenesis: role of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, superoxide dismutase and xanthine oxidase. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 31(4 Suppl):352-361.
- Begerow J, Zabder D, Freider I, Dunemann L** (1994). Long-term mercury excretion in urine after removal of amalgam fillings. *Int Arch Occ Env Hea* 66(3 Suppl):209-212.
- Benzie IFF, Strain JJ** (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": the FRAP assay. *Anal Biochem* 239:70-76.
- Berdouses E, Vaidyanathan TK, Dastane A, Weisel C, Houpt M, Shey Z** (1995). Mercury release from dental amalgam: an in vitro study under controlled chewing and brushing in an artificial mouth. *J Dent Res* 74(5 Suppl):1185-1193.
- Berglund A** (1990). Estimation by a 24-hour study of the daily dose of intra-oral mercury vapor inhaled after release from dental amalgam. *J Dent Res* 69 (10):1646-1651.
- Berglund A** (1992). Release of mercury vapor from dental amalgam. *Swed Dent J Suppl* 85:1-52.
- Berglund A** (1993). An in vitro and in vivo study of the release of mercury vapor from different types of amalgam alloys. *J Dent Res* 72(5):939-946.
- Bjorkman L, Sandborg EG, Ekstrand J** (1997). Mercury in saliva and feces after removal of amalgam fillings. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 144:156-162.
- Brune D, Evje DM** (1985). Man's mercury loading from dental amalgam. *Sci Total Environ* 44:51-63.
- Cao G, Alessio HM, Cutler RG** (1993). Oxygen-radical absorbance capacity assay for antioxidants. *Free Radical Bio Med* 14:303-311.
- Chapple IL, Mason GI, Garner I, Matthews JB, Thorpe GH, Maxwell SR et al.** (1997). Enhanced chemiluminescent assay for measuring the total antioxidant capacity of serum, saliva and crevicular fluid. *Ann Clin Biochem* 34(4 Suppl):412-421.
- Chien Y, Feldman C, Zohn H, Weisel C** (1996). Urinary mercury levels before and after amalgam restoration. *Sci Total Environ* 188:39-47.
- Drexler H, Schaller K** (1998). The mercury concentration in breast milk resulting from amalgam fillings and dietary habits. *Environ Res* 77:124-129.
- Ekstrand J, Bjorkman L, Edlund C, Sandborg-Englund G** (1998). Toxicological aspects on the release and systemic uptake of mercury from dental amalgam. *Eur J Oral Sci* 106:678-686.
- Fonzi, L., Pizzichini, M.** 1999. The concentration of mercury in saliva: correlation with the number of dental amalgam restoration. *Bull Group Int Rec Sci Odontol Stomatol*
- Furhof AK, Tomson Y, Ilie M, Bagedahl Strindlund M, Larsson KS, Sandborg Englund G et al.** (1998). A multidisciplinary clinical study of patients suffering from illness associated with release of mercury from dental restorations. Medical and odontological aspects. *Scand J Prim Health* 16(4 Suppl):247-252.
- Hahn LJ, Kloiber R, Vimy MJ, Takahashi Y, Lorscheider FL** (1989). Dental silver tooth fillings: a source of mercury exposure revealed by whole-body image scan and tissue analysis. *FASEB J* 3:2641-2646.
- Hahn LJ, Kloiber R, Leininger RW, Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL** (1990). Whole-body imaging of the distribution of mercury released from dental fillings into monkey tissues. *FASEB J* 4:3256-3260.
- Hussain S, Rodgers DA, Duhart HM, Ali SF** (1997). Mercuric chloride-induced reactive oxygen species and its effect on antioxidant enzymes in different regions of rat brain. *J Environ Sci Heal B* 32(3): 395-409.
- Hussain S, Atkinson A, Thompson SJ, Khan AT** (1999). Accumulation of mercury and its effect on antioxidant enzymes in brain, liver and kidneys of mice. *J Environ Sci Heal B* 34(4): 645-660.

**Kohen R, Tirosch O, Kopolovich K** (1992). The reductive capacity index of saliva obtained from donors of various ages. *Exp Gerontol* 27:161-168.

**Langworth S, Sällsten G, Barregård L, Cynkier I, Lind ML, Söderman E** (1997). Exposure to mercury vapor and impact on health in the dental profession in Sweden. *J Dent Res* 76(7 Suppl):1397-1404.

**Mackert JR Jr, Berglund A.** (1997). Mercury exposure from dental amalgam fillings: absorbed dose and the potential for adverse health effects. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 8(4):410-436.

**Marek M** (1997). Dissolution of mercury from dental amalgam at different pH values. *J Dent Res* 76(6 Suppl):1008-1315.

**Miller DM, Woods JS** (1993). Redox activities of mercury-thiol complexes: implications for mercury-induced porphyria and toxicity. *Chem Biol Interac* 88:23-35.

**Moore S, Calder KAC, Miller NJ, Rice-Evans CA** (1994). Antioxidant activity of saliva and periodontal disease. *Free Rad Res* 21(6 Suppl):417-425.

**Nylander M, Friberg L, Lind B** (1987). Mercury concentration in the human brain and kidneys in relation to exposure from dental amalgam fillings. *Swed Dent J* 11:179-187.

**Olsson S, Berglund A, Bergman M** (1994). Release of elements due to electrochemical corrosion of dental amalgam. *J Dent Res* 73(1):33-43.

**Rice-Evans C, Miller NJ** (1994). Total antioxidants status in plasma and body fluids. In: *Methods in Enzimology*. 234, 279-293.

**Sandborgh-Englund G, Elinder CG, Langworth S, Schütz A, Ekstrand J** (1998). Mercury in biological fluids after amalgam removal. *J Dent Res* 77(4 Suppl):615-624.

**Siberlud RL, Motl J, Kienholz E** (1994). Psychometric evidence that mercury from silver dental fillings may be an etiological factor in depression, excessive anger and anxiety. *Psychol Rep* 74(1 Suppl):67-80.

**Stohs SJ, Bagchi D** (1995). Oxidative emchanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. *Free Rad Biol Med* 18(2):321-336.

**Svare CW, Peterson LC, Reinhardt JW, Boyer DB, Frank CW, Gay DD et al.** (1981). The effect of dental amalgams on mercury level in expired air. *J Dent. Res* 60(9 Suppl):1668-1671.

**Uzzell BP, Oler J** (1986). Chronic low-level mercury exposure and neuropsychological functioning. *J Clin Exp Neurophysyc* 8(5 Suppl):581-593.

**Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL** (1985). Intra-oral air mercury released from dental amalgam. *J Dent Res* 64(8):1069-1071.

**Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL** (1990). Dental amalgam mercury daily dose estimated from intra-oral vapor measurement: A predictor of mercury accumulation in human tissues. *J Trace Elem Exp Med* 3:111-123.

**Weiner JA, Nylander M.** (1993). The relationship between mercury concentration in human organs and different predictor variables. *Sci Total Environ* 138:101-115.

**Corresponding Author:**

Maria Pizzichini  
Department of Biomedical Sciences  
University of Siena  
Via A. Moro 8  
I - 53100 Siena, Italy  
Tel.: +39 0577 23 40 65  
Fax.: +39 0577 23 40 76