REMARKS ON PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS IN COMPACT SEMIGROUPS WITH ZERO¹

R. J. KOCH

We recall that a mob is a Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication. A nonempty subset A of a mob X is a submob if $AA \subset A$. This note consists of an amplification of results of Numakura dealing with primitive idempotents in a compact mob X with zero (see definitions below). We discuss the properties of certain "fundamental" sets determined by primitive idempotents, namely the sets XeX, Xe, eX, and eXe, where e is a primitive idempotent. These are, respectively, the smallest (two-sided, left, right, bi-) ideal containing e. Included in Theorem 1 is a characterization of a primitive idempotent in terms of its "fundamental" sets. There then follow some remarks on the structure of the smallest ideal containing the set of all primitive idempotents.

Finally, if e is a nonzero primitive idempotent of the compact connected mob X with zero, then the set of nilpotent elements of X is dense in each of the "fundamental" sets determined by e.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the advice and helpful criticism of W. M. Faucett and A. D. Wallace.

We shall assume throughout most of this note that X is a compact mob with zero (0). For $a \in X$ we denote by $\Gamma(a)$ the closure of the set of positive powers of a, and by K(a) the minimal (closed) ideal of $\Gamma(a)$. K(a) is known to be a (topological) group and consists of the cluster points of the set of powers of a ([3; 5]; these results depend only on the compactness of $\Gamma(a)$). Also $\Gamma(a)$ contains exactly one idempotent, e, and if e=0 then the powers of a converge to 0. An element a is termed nilpotent if its powers converge to 0, and we denote by N the set of all nilpotent elements of X. A subset A of X is termed nil if $A \subset N$. An idempotent e of X is x is x in x

LEMMA 1. Let e be an idempotent of the compact mob X and denote by $\mathfrak{M}(e)$ the collection of sets Xf_{α} , where f_{α} is an idempotent of XeX. Let $\mathfrak{M}(e)$ be partially ordered by inclusion; then Xe is a maximal member of $\mathfrak{M}(e)$.

Presented to the Society, November 28, 1953; received by the editors February 18, 1954.

¹ A portion of this work was done under Contract N7-onr-434, Task Order III, Navy department, Office of Naval Research.

PROOF. Suppose f is an idempotent in XeX and $Xe \subset Xf$. Then there are elements a, b of X so that f=aeb and we may assume ae=a, eb=b. Now $Xe \subset Xf$ implies $e \in Xf$, ef=e; hence for each positive integer n, $a^neb^n=a^{n-1}eaebb^{n-1}=a^{n-1}efb^{n-1}=a^{n-1}eb^{n-1}=\cdots=aeb=f$. Hence there is an idempotent $g \in \Gamma(a)$ and an element $h \in \Gamma(b)$ so that f=geh [5]. We note ge=g, hence f=gf=(ge)f=g(ef)=ge=g and f=g=ge=fe. Hence $f \in Xe$ and $Xf \subset Xe$, completing the proof.

We remark that the arguments used in the proof of the lemma are due to Rees [7] and Numakura [5].

COROLLARY. Let e be an idempotent of the compact mob X; then eXe is maximal among the sets fXf where $f^2 = f \in XeX$.

PROOF. Suppose $f \in XeX$ and $eXe \subset fXf$. Then ef = e = fe and $e \in Xf$; hence $Xe \subset Xf$ so Xe = Xf by the theorem. Hence f = fe = e and eXe = fXf.

LEMMA 2. Let M be a left (right, two-sided, bi-) ideal of a mob X and suppose $a \in M$ with $\Gamma(a)$ compact; then $\Gamma(a) \subset M$.

PROOF. We give the proof for a bi-ideal M; the other proofs are similar. Since M is a mob, the set of powers of a is contained in M. Now $aK(a)a \subset MXM \subset M$ and $aK(a)a \subset K(a)$ since K(a) is an ideal in $\Gamma(a)$. Hence $K(a) \cap M \neq \emptyset$, so that $K(a) \subset M$ since no group can properly contain a bi-ideal. It follows that $\Gamma(a) \subset M$.

LEMMA 3. Let X be a mob with zero and suppose $a \in X$ with $\Gamma(a)$ locally compact; then $a \notin N$ implies $\Gamma(a) \cap N = \emptyset$.

PROOF. Suppose $x \in \Gamma(a) \cap N$; then $\{x^n\}$ converges to 0, so $0 \in \Gamma(x)$ $\subset \Gamma(a)$. Hence $\Gamma(a)$ has a minimal ideal and we have from [3] that $\Gamma(a)$ is compact. Since $\Gamma(a) \cap N \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $K(a) \cap N \neq \emptyset$, hence K(a) = 0 and $a \in N$, a contradiction.

THEOREM 1. Let e be a nonzero idempotent of the compact mob X with zero; then these are equivalent:

- (1) e is primitive.
- (2) $(eXe)\backslash N$ is a group.
- (3) eXe is a minimal non-nil bi-ideal.
- (4) Xe is a minimal non-nil left ideal.
- (5) XeX is a minimal non-nil ideal.
- (6) each idempotent of XeX is primitive.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). We first show $(eXe)\setminus N$ is a mob. Since e is assumed primitive, $(eXe)\setminus N$ has a unit e and no other idempotents. Suppose a, $b\in (eXe)\setminus N$ and $ab\in N$; we claim Xa=Xb=Xe. Accord-

- ing to [3] there is an idempotent $f \in \Gamma(a)$ such that $\bigcap_n Xa^n = Xf$. Now $a \notin N$ implies (Lemma 3) $\Gamma(a) \cap N = \emptyset$, hence $e \in \Gamma(a)$ and e = f. Therefore $\bigcap_n Xa^n = Xe$, so $Xa \supset Xe$. Since $a \in eXe \subset Xe$, $Xa \subset Xe$ and the claim is established for a. Similar arguments establish the claim for b. Now using the claim and the fact that e is a unit for a and b one may verify that $X(ab)^n = Xe$ for each positive integer n. Hence $Xe = \bigcap_n X(ab)^n = Xf$ for some idempotent f in $\Gamma(ab)$ (see [3]); but $ab \in N$ implies f = 0, Xe = 0, and e = 0, a contradiction. This shows $(eXe) \setminus N$ is a mob. For $y \in (eXe) \setminus N$ we conclude as above that $e \in \Gamma(y)$; since e is a unit for y it follows that $K(y) = \Gamma(y)$ is a group [3] contained in $(eXe) \setminus N$ by Lemmas 3 and 2. Hence y has an inverse in $(eXe) \setminus N$, completing the proof of (2).
- (2) implies (3). Let M be a non-nil bi-ideal of X contained in eXe and choose $a \in M \setminus N$; then $aXa \subset M \subset eXe$. Let f be a nonzero idempotent in aXa; then since $(eXe) \setminus N$ is a group and $f \in N$, $f = e \in M$. Hence $eXe \subset MXM \subset M$.
- (3) implies (4). Let P be a non-nil left ideal of X contained in Xe and choose $a \in P \setminus N$. Then there is a nonzero idempotent $f \in \Gamma(a)$, and $Xf \subset P$. Hence $eXf \subset eP = ePe \subset eXe$. Now since $f \in Xe$, f = fe and (ef)(ef) = e(fe)f = eff = ef so that ef is idempotent. Note that $ef \in N$, for otherwise ef = 0 and f = (fe)f = f(ef) = 0. Therefore eXf is a non-nil bi-ideal and hence coincides with eXe. Since $f \in P$, $eXe = eXf \subset P$ and we conclude $e \in P$, $Xe \subset P$.
- (4) implies (5). Let M be a non-nil ideal of X contained in XeX, and let f be a nonzero idempotent in M. Then there are elements a, b, of X so that f=aeb. Let g=bae; then $g^2=baebae=bfae$ and $g^3=g^2$. Note that $bf\neq 0$, since otherwise f=aeb=aebf=0. Also $g^2bf=bfaebf=bf$; hence $g^2\neq 0$, otherwise bf=0. Now $g^2\in XfX$ and $g^2\in Xe$, so by (4), $Xe=Xg^2\subset XfX$ and we conclude $e\in XfX$, $XeX\subset M$.
- (5) implies (6). Let f be a nonzero idempotent of XeX and suppose g is a nonzero idempotent with $g \in fXf$ (hence $gXg \subset fXf$). Since f, $g \in XeX$ we have XgX = XfX = XeX and $f \in XgX$. It follows from the corollary to Lemma 1, then, that gXg = fXf, hence g = f and f is primitive.
- (6) clearly implies (1), completing the proof of the theorem. Several of the above implications have been demonstrated by Numakura [6].

COROLLARY 1. Let e be a primitive idempotent of the compact mob X with zero. Then $(Xe)\backslash N$ and $(Xe)\cap N$ are submobs and $(Xe)\backslash N$ is the disjoint union of the maximal (closed) groups $(e_{\alpha}Xe_{\alpha})\backslash N$ where e_{α} runs over the nonzero idempotents of Xe.

PROOF. Suppose a, $b \in (Xe) \setminus N$ and $ab \in N$. Since Xe is a minimal non-nil left ideal, we know that Xa = Xe = Xb. Then as in the proof of (1) implies (2) we conclude Xe = 0, a contradiction.

Suppose $a, b \in (Xe) \cap N$ and $ab \notin N$. Then $(ab)^2 \in Xab$ and $(ab)^2 \notin N$, otherwise $ab \in N$ [5, Lemma 3]. Hence Xab = Xe by the theorem; since $a \in Xe$, $Xa \subset Xe$. We have a right translate of Xe filling all of Xe, so according to [3, Corollary 2.2.1] there is an idempotent f in $\Gamma(b)$ which is a right unit for Xe. However $b \in N$ implies f = 0 so that Xe = 0, a contradiction.

Finally, pick $a \in (Xe) \setminus N$; by the theorem we have Xa = Xe and by Lemma 3 we have $\Gamma(a) \cap N = \emptyset$. Choose an idempotent f in $\Gamma(a)$; then Xe = Xf so that f is a right unit for Xe. Hence $\Gamma(a)$ is a group, showing that $Xe \setminus N$ is the union of groups. For any nonzero idempotent $e_{\alpha} \in Xe$, $Xe_{\alpha} = Xe$ so that e_{α} is primitive and $(e_{\alpha}Xe_{\alpha}) \setminus N$ is a group. Now the maximal group [9] containing e_{α} is contained in $e_{\alpha}Xe_{\alpha}$; moreover, since any group which meets N must be zero, we conclude that $(e_{\alpha}Xe_{\alpha}) \setminus N$ is a maximal group. This is closed by the compactness of X, completing the proof.

In [6] Numakura shows that if M is a minimal non-nil ideal, and if J is the largest ideal of X contained in N, then $M-(J\cap M)$, the difference semigroup in the sense of Rees [7], is completely simple (i.e. simple with each idempotent primitive). It follows that $M\setminus N$ is the disjoint union of isomorphic groups, and $M\setminus J=\bigcup [(Xe_\alpha)\setminus J]$ where e_α runs over the nonzero idempotents in M. It would be of interest to know more of the multiplication in $M\setminus J$. Corollary 1 aims in this direction. If \tilde{E} represents the set of primitive idempotents of the compact mob X with zero, then $(X\tilde{E}X)\setminus J=(X\tilde{E})\setminus J$ and $(X\tilde{E}X)\setminus N$ is the disjoint union of groups. (In this connection, see also [1].) At this writing it is not known whether or not \tilde{E} must be a closed set.

As shown in [6], if N is open then there exists a nonzero primitive idempotent. According to Corollary 1, the condition that N be open may be weakened as follows:

COROLLARY 2. Let X be a compact mob with zero; then X contains a nonzero primitive idempotent if and only if there is a nonzero idempotent e with $(eXe)\N$ closed.

PROOF. If f is a nonzero primitive idempotent of X, then $(fXf)\setminus N$ is a maximal group and hence is closed. On the other hand, if $(eXe)\setminus N$ is closed and $e\neq 0$, then since the set of nilpotent elements of eXe is $(eXe)\cap N$, we conclude from [6] that eXe contains a nonzero primitive idempotent. Hence so does X, completing the proof.

A five element example due to R. P. Rich [8] serves to illustrate these results; J. G. Wendel has given the following matrix representation of Rich's example:

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This can be modified to furnish a compact connected example, as follows. Let

$$X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \delta & \omega \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},\,$$

where the entries are real numbers between -1 and 1 inclusive. Here N is the totality of those matrices with main diagonal entries in the open interval (-1, 1); J, the largest ideal of X contained in N, is the totality of those matrices with every entry lying in the open interval (-1, 1). It can be shown that X - J is completely simple. If e is one of the four idempotents

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $(Xe)\backslash J$ consists of two disjoint two-element groups. If e is any other nonzero idempotent, then $(Xe)\backslash J$ consists of one two-element group and one two-element subset whose square lies in J.

THEOREM 2. Let e be a primitive idempotent of the compact connected mob X with zero; then $(eXe) \cap N$ is dense in eXe (hence $(Xe) \cap N$ is dense in Xe and $(X\tilde{E}) \cap N$ is dense in $X\tilde{E}$).

PROOF. We denote the compact mob eXe by Y, let $N_1 = Y \cap N$, and note that N_1 is open in Y in view of Corollary 1 of Theorem 1. Denote by L the largest left ideal of Y contained in N_1 ; since N_1 is open in Y, so is L [4]. Since L^* (stars denote closure) is a left ideal of Y it follows from the connectedness of Y that $L^* \cap (Y \setminus N) \neq \emptyset$. Hence there is a nonzero idempotent in $L^* \cap (Y \setminus N)$, and this must be e. Therefore $(eXe)e=eXe \subset L^* \subset N_1^*$ so that $(eXe) \cap N$ is dense in eXe. The remainder of the theorem follows from Corollary 1 and the remarks which follow it.

In conclusion we remark that the results of this note can be extended as follows. Let M be an ideal of the mob X. We define an idem-

potent e to be M-primitive if the only idempotents in eXe either coincide with e or else belong to M. Then by replacing N by $N_M \equiv \{a \colon \Gamma(a) \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$, the results obtained here for primitive idempotents hold for M-primitive idempotents with obvious modifications in statements and proofs; here we need not assume the existence of a zero.

References

- 1. A. H. Clifford, Semigroups without nilpotent ideals, Amer. J. Math. vol. 71 (1949) pp. 834-844.
- 2. R. A. Good and D. R. Hughes, Associated groups for a semigroup, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Abstract 58-6-575.
 - 3. R. J. Koch, On topological semigroups, Tulane University Dissertation, 1953.
- 4. R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, Maximal ideals in topological semigroups (to appear in Duke Math J.)
- 5. K. Numakura, On bicompact semigroups, Mathematical Journal of Okayama University vol. 1 (1952) pp. 99-108.
- 6. ——, On bicompact semigroups with zero, Bulletin of the Yamagata University no. 4 (1951) pp. 405-411.
- 7. D. Rees, On semigroups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. vol. 36 (1940) pp. 387-400.
- 8. R. P. Rich, Completely simple ideals of a semigroup, Amer. J. Math. vol. 71 (1949) pp. 883-885.
- 9. A. D. Wallace, A note on mobs II, Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias vol. 25 (1953) pp. 335-336.

THE TULANE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AND LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY