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#### Abstract

Résumé. - Nous établissons une forme de la fonctionnelle d'énergie libre pour les verres de spin dans la limite du champ moyen que Sompolinsky a obtenue récemment via une approche dynamique. Nous utilisons ici la méthode des répliques avec une division en blocs analogue à celle employée pour établir la solution de Sommers et avec une procédure d'iteration proche de celle de Parisi mais portant sur les blocs diagonaux et hors diagonaux.


Abstract. - We derive a form of the free energy functional for mean field spin glasses that has been recently obtained by Sompolinsky via a dynamic approach. Here we use replicas with a block division along the lines used to derive Sommers solution and with an iterative procedure close to that of Parisi applied to both off diagonal and diagonal blocks.

1. Introduction. - The infinite ranged spin glass model of Sherrington and Kirkpatrick [1] (SK) that describes a mean field approximation to real spin glasses, has given rise to abundant work but has resisted so far, a full understanding. Even though the early description of the gelation transition was associated with anomalous behaviour of the large time limit of spin correlation functions [2], most of the work has been done with a static approach. In particular, but, by large, not exclusively, attention has been focused on trying to solve this model by using a replica trick $[2,3]$ that allows to directly take «quenched» averages at the price of having, in the end, to take the unphysical limit of the number $n$ of replicas going to zero.
The so called SK solution describes the transition with a single, replica independent, Edwards Anderson (EA) order parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=\overline{\left\langle\sigma_{i}^{\alpha} \sigma_{i}^{\beta}\right\rangle}, \quad \alpha \neq \beta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the thermodynamic average $\langle>$ is taken with a weight $\exp -\beta H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\sum_{(i, j)} J_{i j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sum being over all pairs of sites $(i, j), \sigma_{i}$ an Ising spin. The bar stands for average over the gaussian distribution of bonds

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(J_{j l}\right) \simeq \exp -N J_{j l}^{2} / 2 J^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha, \beta$ are replica indices $(\alpha=1,2, \ldots, n)$.
The SK solution unfortunately leads to unphysical results [1] and is indeed unstable [4] with respect to fluctuations. A distinct solution has been exhibited by Sommers [5] that involves, besides the EA order parameter $q_{0}$, an anomaly $a_{0}$ to the linear response function. In terms of the replica approach, this solution is identified $[6,7]$ with a limiting case of an extension to the Blandin et al. [8] symmetry breaking scheme. One introduces an $n \times n$ order parameter matrix

$$
q_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
q_{0} & r_{0} & r_{0}  \tag{4}\\
\hline r_{0} & q_{0} & r_{0} \\
\hline r_{0} & r_{0} & q_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here there are $\left(n / p_{0}\right)^{2}$ constant blocks, each one of size $p_{0} \times p_{0}$, with value $q_{0}$ for a diagonal, $r_{0}$ for an off diagonal block. The limit $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ is taken after $n \rightarrow 0$. In that limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=r_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the EA order parameter, and the anomaly, for consistency with reference [12], is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{0}^{\prime} \underset{p_{0} \rightarrow \infty}{=} p_{0}\left(q_{0}-r_{0}\right), \quad \Delta_{0}^{\prime}<0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sommers solution, even though it does not carry distasteful features of the SK solution, remains unstable [6].
In a bold generalization, and guided by requirements of positive definiteness on the free energy, Parisi [9] has introduced a symmetry breaking scheme that leads to remarkable physical properties [9b] and verifies some stability criteria [10]. Parisi defines a self similar iterative procedure to build $q_{\alpha \beta}$ :

The starting (zero) step being the constant, $n \times n$, $q_{0}$ matrix, step one is obtained by the transform $T$

$$
\left(q_{0}\right)_{\vec{r}}\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
q_{1} & q_{0} & q_{0}  \tag{7}\\
\hline q_{0} & q_{1} & q_{0} \\
\hline q_{0} & q_{0} & q_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

characterized by $\left(t_{i}\right)$ a division into ( $\left.n / m_{1}\right)^{2}$ blocks, each one $m_{1} \times m_{1}$ in size, $\left(t_{i i}\right)$ a shift $\left(q_{0} \rightarrow q_{1}\right)$ in the value of the diagonal blocks only. The transform is iterated $\left(t_{i}\right)$ each $q_{1}$ block is divided into $\left(m_{1} / m_{2}\right)^{2}$ blocks of size $m_{2} \times m_{2}$ and $\left(t_{i i}\right)$ the new diagonal
blocks are shifted $\left(q_{1} \rightarrow q_{2}\right)$. And so on, with the restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant m_{K} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_{2} \leqslant m_{1} \leqslant n . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n \rightarrow 0$, the continuous limit is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j}=j /(K+1), \quad K \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}=q(x), \quad m_{j}<x<m_{j+1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Questions left open include (i) are Parisi iteration and solution unique, (ii) what is the physical meaning of the parameter $x$ [11].

In a far reaching recent paper, Sompolinsky [12] has reattacked the problem from a dynamical point of view. He has come out with a description that involves a double continuum of order parameters $q(x)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(x)$, the $x$ index labelling now the physical continuum of infinite relaxation times that characterize the system. He ends up with an explicit functional of $q(x)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(x)$ that contains the main features of Parisi solution.

In this note we show that one recovers Sompolinsky functional by taking Sommers matrix (4) as a zero step, and applying, both on the diagonal $\left(q_{0}\right)$ and off diagonal ( $r_{0}$ ) blocks a self similar iterative procedure described below.
2. Step zero : Sommers free energy functional [6, 7]. - The free energy functional for the SK model writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta f=\frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{4}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right|_{n=0} \operatorname{Max}\left[-\frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\ln \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma} \exp \frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_{\alpha \beta} \sigma_{\alpha} \sigma_{\beta}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily evaluated when $q_{\alpha \beta}$ has the structure (4) via

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_{\alpha \beta}^{2} & =r_{0}^{2} n(n-1)+\left(q_{0}^{2}-r_{0}^{2}\right) n\left(p_{0}-1\right)  \tag{12}\\
\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_{\alpha \beta} \sigma_{\alpha} \sigma_{\beta} & =r_{0}\left(\sum_{j 0, \alpha} \sigma_{j 0, \alpha}\right)^{2}+\left(q_{0}-r_{0}\right) \sum_{j_{0}=1}^{n / p_{0}}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_{0}} \sigma_{j 0, \alpha}\right)^{2}-n q_{0} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here each spin is indexed by the block number $j_{0}=1,2, \ldots, n / p_{0}$ and inside each block by $\alpha=1,2, \ldots, p_{0}$. Using $z_{0}$ and $y_{j_{0}}$ to linearize (13), after taking traces over spins, we obtain for the log term of (11)

$$
\ln \int \frac{\mathrm{d} z_{0}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z_{0}^{2} / 2} \prod_{j_{0}=1}^{n / p_{0}}\left(\int \frac{\mathrm{~d} y_{j_{0}}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{y_{j_{0}}^{2}}{2}+p_{0} \ln 2 \cosh \left\{\beta J z_{0} r_{0}^{1 / 2}+\beta J y_{j_{0}}\left(q_{0}-r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}\right\}\right)
$$

If we use the anomaly $-\Delta_{0}^{\prime}$ as given by (6), we can, in the limit $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ (taken after $\left.n \rightarrow 0[6,7]\right)$ write the $y$ integrals ( $y_{j_{0}} \rightarrow p_{0}^{1 / 2} y_{j_{0}}$ ) as saddle point contributions with

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j_{0}}^{\mathrm{c}} \equiv \beta J m_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(-\Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free energy functional follows

$$
\begin{align*}
-\beta f=\frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{4}\left[\left(q_{0}-1\right)^{2}+2 q_{0} \Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right]+ & \int \frac{\mathrm{d} z_{0}}{\left(2 \pi J^{2} q_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z^{2} / 2 J^{2} q_{0}} \times \\
& \times\left\{+\frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{2} m_{0}^{2} \Delta_{0}^{\prime}+\ln 2 \cosh \left\{\beta z_{0}-\beta^{2} J^{2} m_{0} \Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right\}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used (5). The order parameters $q_{0}, \Delta_{0}^{\prime}$ and the local magnetization $m_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)$, are obtained by stationarity on (15),

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) & =\tanh \left[\beta z_{0}-\beta^{2} J^{2} m_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{16}\\
q_{0} & =\int \frac{\mathrm{d} z_{0}}{\left(2 \pi J^{2} q_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z_{0}^{2} / 2 J^{2} q_{0}} m_{0}^{2}\left(z_{0}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\beta\left(1-q_{0}-\Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right) & =\int \frac{\mathrm{d} z_{0}}{\left(2 \pi J^{2} q_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z_{0}^{2} / 2 J^{2} q_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}} m_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing an external magnetic field $h$, adds a $\beta h$ term inside the $\ln \cosh$, thus identifying $-\Delta_{0}^{\prime}$ as the anomaly.
Note that the above results are also obtained for the reverse order of limits i.e. $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ and then, $n=0$.
3. Iteration procedure : step one. - We apply iteration (7) both on off diagonal blocks $r_{0}$ (with shift $r_{1}$ on the diagonal subblocks) and on diagonal blocks $q_{0}$ (shift $q_{1}$ ). Each spin is now indexed by block number $j_{0}=1,2, \ldots, n / p_{0}$ and subblock number $j_{1}=1,2, \ldots, p_{0} / p_{1}$ (for a given $j_{0}$ ), and inside each subblock by $\alpha=1,2, \ldots, p_{1}$. For step zero we had $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$. Here $p_{0} \gg p_{1}$ and both go to infinity in succession. This procedure differs from Parisi's in two respects (i) it applies to both diagonal and off diagonal blocks, (ii) the division procedure $\left(p_{i} \rightarrow \infty\right)$ leaves no variational parameters as is the case for Parisi $m_{i}$ 's.

With the above instructions equation (13) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_{\alpha \beta} \sigma_{\alpha} \sigma_{\beta}=r_{0}\left(\sum_{j_{0} j_{1} \alpha} \sigma_{j_{0} j_{1} \alpha}\right)^{2}+\left(q_{0}-r_{0}\right) \sum_{j_{0}}\left(\sum_{j_{1} \alpha} \sigma_{j_{0} j_{1} \alpha}\right)^{2}-n q_{1}+ \\
&+\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right) \sum_{j_{1}}\left(\sum_{j_{0} \alpha} \sigma_{j_{0} j_{1} \alpha}\right)^{2}+\left[\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)-\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)\right] \sum_{j_{0} j_{1}}\left(\sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{j_{0} j_{1} \alpha}\right)^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

We introduce variables $z_{0}$ and $z_{j_{1}}$ to unfold terms in $r_{0}$ and $\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)$, variables $y_{j_{0}}$ and $y_{j_{0} j_{1}}$ for terms in $\left(q_{0}-r_{0}\right)$ and $\left[\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)-\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)\right]$. Using (6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{1}^{\prime}=p_{1}\left[\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)-\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get for the log term of (11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \int \frac{\mathrm{d} z_{0}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z_{0}^{2} / 2} \int \prod_{j_{0}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} y_{j_{0}}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-p_{0} y_{j_{0}}^{2} / 2}\right) \prod_{j_{1}=1}^{p_{0} / p_{1}}\left\{\int \frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{j_{1}}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z_{j_{1}}^{2} / 2} \times\right. \\
& \times \int \prod_{j_{0}=1}^{n / p_{0}}\left(\frac { \mathrm { d } y _ { j _ { 1 } j _ { 0 } } } { ( 2 \pi ) ^ { 1 / 2 } } \operatorname { e x p } \left\{-p_{1} y_{j_{0} j_{1}}^{2} / 2+p_{1} \ln 2 \cosh \left[\beta J z_{0} r_{0}^{1 / 2}+\beta J z_{j_{1}}\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+\beta J\left[y_{j_{0}}\left(-\Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}+y_{j_{0} j_{1}}\left(-\Delta_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\right]\right\}\right)\right\} \text {. } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we see that it is essential now that the limits be taken in the order (i) $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$, (ii) $p_{1} \rightarrow \infty$, (iii) only then $n \rightarrow 0$. In this order, the saddle point values (14) and $\beta \operatorname{Jm}_{1}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)\left(-\Delta_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $y_{j_{0} j_{1}}^{c}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\tanh \left\{\beta J\left[z_{0} q_{0}^{1 / 2}+z_{1}\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]-\beta^{2} J^{2}\left[m_{0} \Delta_{0}^{\prime}+m_{1} \Delta_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we have used (5) and $r_{1}=q_{1}$, together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=\int \frac{\mathrm{d} z_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-z_{1}^{2} / 2} m_{1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In deriving (23) we have used the fact that the weight

$$
\exp p_{1} \sum_{j_{0}}\left[+m_{j_{0} 1}^{2} \Delta_{1}^{\prime} / 2+\ln 2 \cosh \left[\beta J\left[z_{0} q_{0}^{1 / 2}+z_{1}\left(q_{1}-q_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]-\beta^{2} J^{2}\left[m_{j_{0}} \Delta_{0}^{\prime}+m_{j_{0} 1} \Delta_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right]\right]
$$

disappears with the factor $\sum_{j_{0}} \equiv n / p_{0}$ when taken at the saddle point value with all $m_{j_{0} 1} \equiv m_{1}$.

The free energy functional replacing (15) is now

$$
\begin{align*}
-\beta f=\frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{4}\left[\left(q_{1}-1\right)^{2}+\right. & \left.2 \sum_{j=0}^{1} q_{j} \Delta_{j}^{\prime}\right]+\int \prod_{j_{0}=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} z_{j}}{\left[2 \pi J^{2}\left(q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}} \times \exp -z_{j}^{2} / 2 J^{2}\left(q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right)\right) \cdot \\
\cdot & {\left[+\frac{\beta^{2} J^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} m_{j}^{2} \Delta_{j}^{\prime}+\ln 2 \cosh \left\{\beta h+\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left(\beta z_{j}-\beta^{2} J^{2} m_{j} \Delta_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right] } \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

We have here written the result in general form with $q_{-1} \equiv 0$. Equations $(23,22)$ derive from stationarity (saddle point) in $m_{0}\left(z_{0}\right), m_{1}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)$, stationarity in $q_{0}, \Delta_{0}^{\prime}$ and $q_{1}-q_{0}, \Delta_{1}^{\prime}$ yield the analog of equations (18) and (17) for $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $q_{1}$.
4. General form and comments. - The above procedure is trivially repeated (with sequences $q_{1}, q_{2} \ldots$, $q_{k}, r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}$ ). The $k$ th iterated result is obtained by replacing index 1 by $k$ in (24) and expressing stationarity in $m_{j}, q_{j}-q_{j-1}, \Delta_{j}^{\prime}$. This is then identical with the discretized form of Sompolinsky [12] free energy functional, definition of the continuous limit being given in that reference.
Several points may be stressed : (i) The order of limits $p_{0} \gg p_{1} \ggg p_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ and then $n \rightarrow 0$ is surprising, the other way around ( $n \rightarrow 0$ first) seeming more «physical». A reformulation of the replica
trick in terms of $P \times P, P \rightarrow \infty$ matrices (instead of $n \times n, n \rightarrow 0$ ) that may help to render palatable the above order, is discussed elsewhere [13]. (ii) Contrary to Parisi approach, there is no way here in which results could be vibrational in the parameters $p_{1}$, $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}$. (iii) The present derivation may suggest a purely static interpretation of the order parameters that is currently under investigation.
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