

REPRESENTATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC GROUPS PRESERVING QUATERNION SKEW- HERMITIAN FORMS

FRANK GROSSHANS

Introduction. Let K be an infinite perfect field of characteristic different from 2, let \mathfrak{B} be a quaternion division algebra over K , and let $\xi \rightarrow \bar{\xi}$ denote the canonical involution of the first kind on \mathfrak{B} . Let V be a finite-dimensional right vector space over \mathfrak{B} .

A *quaternion skew-hermitian form* H over \mathfrak{B} is a sesquilinear form on $V \times V$, i.e., H is a map from $V \times V$ to \mathfrak{B} such that

(i) $H(x, y_1 + y_2) = \overline{H(x, y_1)} + H(x, y_2)$ and $H(x, y\alpha) = H(x, y)\alpha$ for all x, y, y_1, y_2 in V and α in \mathfrak{B} ;

(ii) $H(x, y) = -\overline{H(y, x)}$ for all x, y in V . Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis for V over \mathfrak{B} . We say that H is nondegenerate if the reduced norm in $M_n(\mathfrak{B})$ of the matrix $(H(x_i, x_j))$ is not zero. Associated to such a nondegenerate form H are 3 invariants, the dimension of V over \mathfrak{B} , $\dim_{\mathfrak{B}}(V)$, the discriminant of H , $\delta(H)$, and the Clifford algebra of H , \mathfrak{C} .

Let G be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group (in some $\text{GL}(m, \bar{K})$) which is defined over K and let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V/\mathfrak{B})$ be an absolutely irreducible representation of G defined over K into the group of all nonsingular \mathfrak{B} -linear endomorphisms of V . We shall assume that there is a nondegenerate quaternion skew-hermitian form H on V which is invariant with respect to $\rho(G)$.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the Clifford algebra of the invariant form H in terms of ρ , G , and the Steinberg group associated to G . In a previous paper, we have described $\dim_{\mathfrak{B}}(V)$ and $\delta(H)$ in such a way and have indicated how representations such as ρ arise [2, Theorem I.2]. The invariant $\gamma(G)$ plays an important role in our study and so we recall some of its properties in §1. In 2, we define the invariant \mathfrak{C} using the representation ρ . Jacobson first constructed the Clifford algebra of a quaternion skew-hermitian form [4]. In this paper, however, we shall follow a method due to Satake [6]. We give some examples in §3 with special emphasis on the case where G is absolutely simple.

1. The invariant $\gamma(G)$. A connected semisimple algebraic group G_1 defined over K is said to be *K -quasi-split* (or of Steinberg type) if

Received by the editors April 17, 1969.

there is a Borel subgroup B in G_1 defined over K . Let $\mathfrak{G} = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ (where \bar{K} denotes the algebraic closure of K). It is known that there is a quasi-split group G_1 defined over K which is isomorphic to G over \bar{K} . Furthermore, the isomorphism $f:G \rightarrow G_1$ can be chosen so that $(f^{-1})^\sigma \circ f = I_{g_\sigma}$ (for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$) where $g_\sigma \in G$ and $I_{g_\sigma}(h) = g_\sigma h g_\sigma^{-1}$ for all h in G . It follows that for each σ, τ in \mathfrak{G} , there is an element $c_{\sigma, \tau}$ in $Z(G)$, the center of G , such that $g_\sigma^\tau g_\tau = c_{\sigma, \tau} g_{\sigma\tau}$. The mapping $(\sigma, \tau) \rightarrow c_{\sigma, \tau}$ from $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}$ to $Z(G)$ is a 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{G} in $Z(G)$ whose cohomology class $(c_{\sigma, \tau})$ is independent of G_1 and f . This class is denoted by $\gamma(G)$ and has been studied by Satake [5], [7].

THEOREM. *Let G be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group defined over K and let $\rho:G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V/\mathfrak{B})$ be an absolutely irreducible representation of G defined over K which preserves a quaternion skew-hermitian form H (defined over K). Let G_1 be the quasi-split group associated to G , let $f:G \rightarrow G_1$ be an isomorphism defined over \bar{K} such that $(f^{-1})^\sigma \circ f = I_{g_\sigma}$ where $g_\sigma \in G$, and let $\gamma(G) = (c_{\sigma, \tau})$. Then there exists an absolutely irreducible representation $\rho_1:G_1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_1)$ defined over K which preserves a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form S_1 (defined over K). Furthermore, the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There is an absolutely irreducible representation $M:\text{End}(V/\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1)$ defined over \bar{K} such that $M(\rho(g)) = (\rho_1 \circ f)(g)$ for all $g \in G$.*
- (ii) *The central simple division algebra \mathfrak{B} is characterized by the property that $c(\mathfrak{B})$ (the Hasse invariant of \mathfrak{B}) = $((\rho_1 \circ f)(c_{\sigma, \tau}))$.*
- (iii) *The invariants of H are $\dim_{\mathfrak{B}}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \dim V_1$ and $\delta(H) = \Delta(S_1)$.*

This result is Theorem I.2 in [2]. However, the construction of ρ_1 and statements (i) and (ii) are due to Satake [7]. We shall later extend this theorem to include a description of \mathfrak{C} .

COROLLARY. *If G_1 is a split group, then $\delta(H) = 1$.*

PROOF. It follows from (ii) that $\rho_1(Z(G_1)) \neq \{1\}$. Hence, S_1 has maximal Witt index [3, Lemma 1.1]; this completes the proof.

REMARK. The invariant Δ is defined as follows: let $q = \dim V_1$ and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_q\}$ be a K -rational basis of V_1 . Then Δ is the equivalence class of $(-1)^{q(q-1)/2} \det(S_1(e_i, e_j))$ in $K^*/(K^*)^2$ where K^* is the multiplicative group $K - \{0\}$.

2. The invariant \mathfrak{C} . We denote the Clifford algebra of S_1 by C and the algebra of "even elements" in C by C^+ . Let $\text{Spin}(V_1, S_1)$ denote the "spin group" of S_1 and let $\pi:\text{Spin}(V_1, S_1) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V_1, S_1)$ be the canonical homomorphism. It is well known that π is defined over K and has kernel $\{+1, -1\}$. Since G is simply connected, there is a

(polynomial) map $\rho_\sigma: G \rightarrow \text{Spin}(V_1, S_1)$ such that $\pi \circ \rho_\sigma = \rho_1 \circ f$. We put $A_\sigma = \rho_\sigma(g\sigma^{-1})$ and $B_\sigma = \pi(A_\sigma) = (\rho_1 \circ f)(g\sigma^{-1})$. It follows that $(\rho_1 \circ f)^\sigma(g) = B_\sigma(\rho_1 \circ f)(g)B_\sigma^{-1}$ for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$ and all $g \in G$; hence, since G is connected $\rho_\sigma^\sigma(g) = A_\sigma \rho_\sigma(g) A_\sigma^{-1}$ for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$ and all $g \in G$. From this we see that $A_\sigma^\tau A_\tau = \rho_\sigma(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1}) A_{\sigma\tau}$ for each $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{G}$. The elements $z_{\sigma,\tau} = \rho_\sigma(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1})$ are in the center of C^+ but may not be in the center of C .

Let \mathcal{G}_σ be the automorphism of C^+ given by $\mathcal{G}_\sigma(\xi) = A_\sigma \xi A_\sigma^{-1}$ for each $\xi \in C^+$. Since the elements $z_{\sigma,\tau}$ are in the center of C^+ , we have $\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\tau \mathcal{G}_\tau = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma\tau}$ and, therefore, the mapping $\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sigma$ is a 1-cocycle of \mathfrak{G} in $\text{Aut}(C^+)$ and gives rise to a K -form \mathfrak{C} . There is an isomorphism $h: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow C^+$ such that $h^\sigma \circ h^{-1} = \mathcal{G}_\sigma$ for each σ in \mathfrak{G} .

We set $K' = K(\Delta^{1/2})$ and $\mathfrak{G}' = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K')$. From statement (i) in §1, it follows that $\dim V_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence, C is a central simple algebra over K and C^+ decomposes over K' into a direct sum of two central simple algebras C_1 and C_2 which are equivalent to C over K' . This decomposition gives rise to a decomposition $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C}_1 + \mathfrak{C}_2$ of the algebra \mathfrak{C} as a direct sum of two central simple algebras over K' .

We shall now determine the invariant $c(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ over K' . Let $h_1: C_1 \rightarrow M(2^{n-1}, \overline{K})$ be an isomorphism of C_1 onto a full matrix algebra; the mapping h_1 is defined over \overline{K} . By the theorem of Skolem-Noether, $h_1^\sigma \circ h_1^{-1} = I_{M_\sigma}$ (for each σ in \mathfrak{G}') where M_σ is a nonsingular $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ matrix. It follows that $M_\sigma^\tau M_\tau = d_{\sigma,\tau} M_{\sigma\tau}$ where $d_{\sigma,\tau}$ is a diagonal matrix. The invariant $c(C_1)$ over K' is the cohomology class $(d_{\sigma,\tau})$ over K' .

If $\xi \in C^+$, we denote by ξ' the projection of ξ on C_1 . The mapping $h_1 \circ h$ gives an isomorphism of \mathfrak{C}_1 onto a full matrix algebra and $(h_1 \circ h)^\sigma \cdot (h_1 \circ h)^{-1} = I_{N_\sigma}$ where $N_\sigma = M_\sigma h_1(A_\sigma')$. From this it follows that $N_\sigma^\tau N_\tau = e_{\sigma,\tau} N_{\sigma\tau}$ where $e_{\sigma,\tau} = d_{\sigma,\tau} h_1(z_{\sigma,\tau}')$.

Let ω_1 and ω_2 be the "spin representations" of $\text{Spin}(V_1, S_1)$. These representations come from the canonical representations of C^+ on the ideals C_1 and C_2 . Hence, $h_1(z_{\sigma,\tau}')$ may be identified with $\omega_1(z_{\sigma,\tau})$.

REMARK. The representations $\omega_1 \circ \rho_\sigma$ and $\omega_2 \circ \rho_\sigma$ of G are, in general, *not* absolutely irreducible. However, let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the positive weights relative to some ordering with multiplicities of $\rho_1 \circ f$. Then it is well known that the highest weight of $\omega_1 \circ \rho_\sigma$ (resp. $\omega_2 \circ \rho_\sigma$) is $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n)$ (resp. $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n)$). Indeed, the weights of $\omega_1 \circ \rho_\sigma$ (resp. $\omega_2 \circ \rho_\sigma$) are $\frac{1}{2}(\pm \lambda_1 \pm \dots \pm \lambda_{n-1} \pm \lambda_n)$ with an even number (resp. odd number) of minus signs.

We shall now state our results on the invariants \mathfrak{C}_1 and \mathfrak{C}_2 . In doing so, we shall use the assumptions and notation of the theorem.

PROPOSITION. *The cohomology class $c(\mathfrak{C}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) over K' is given by the equation $c(\mathfrak{C}_i) = c(C_i)$ ($\omega_i \circ \rho_\sigma(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1})$).*

COROLLARY 1. *If G_1 is a split group, then $c(\mathbb{C}_i) = (\omega_i \circ \rho_s(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1}))$.*

PROOF. As we saw in the corollary to the theorem (§1), the form S_1 has maximal Witt index and so $c(C_i) \sim 1$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2 (JACOBSON). *The following relations on \mathbb{C}_1 and \mathbb{C}_2 hold over K' :*

- (i) *if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $\mathbb{C}_j^2 \sim 1$ ($j=1, 2$) and $\mathbb{C}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 \sim \mathfrak{B}$;*
- (ii) *if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $\mathbb{C}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 \sim 1$ and $\mathbb{C}_j^2 \sim \mathfrak{B}$ ($j=1, 2$).*

PROOF. As before, let $\omega_i: \text{Spin}(V_1, S_1) \rightarrow \text{GL}(W_i)$ ($i=1, 2$) be the “spin representations” of $\text{Spin}(V_1, S_1)$. We shall denote by ω_{ij} the representation $(\omega_i \circ \rho_s) \otimes (\omega_j \circ \rho_s)$ of G on $W_i \otimes W_j$ (for $i, j=1, 2$). Since $c(C)^2 = 1$, it follows from the proposition that $c(\mathbb{C}_i)c(\mathbb{C}_j) = (\omega_{ij}(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1}))$ for $i, j=1, 2$. In the rest of this proof, we use the notation of the remark preceding the proposition. Furthermore, we shall denote by λ_1 the highest weight of $\rho_1 \circ f$.

(i) We shall assume that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Since $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$ and $-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$ are weights of $\omega_1 \circ \rho_s$, it follows that 0 is a weight of ω_{11} ; hence, $\omega_{11}(Z(G)) = \{1\}$ and $c(\mathbb{C}_1)^2 = 1$. Similarly, $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$ is a weight of $\omega_1 \circ \rho_s$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n)$ is a weight of $\omega_2 \circ \rho_s$; hence, λ_1 is a weight of ω_{12} and so $(\omega_{12}(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1})) = (\lambda_1(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1})) = c(\mathfrak{B})$ by statement (ii) in the theorem. Therefore, $\mathbb{C}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 \sim \mathfrak{B}$ and the proof of (i) is finished.

(ii) We now assume that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Since $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n)$ are weights of $\omega_1 \circ \rho_s$, it follows as before that $c(\mathbb{C}_1)c(\mathbb{C}_1) = (\lambda_1(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1})) = c(\mathfrak{B})$. Similarly, $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$ (resp. $-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$) is a weight of $\omega_1 \circ \rho_s$ (resp. $\omega_2 \circ \rho_s$) and so $c(\mathbb{C}_1)c(\mathbb{C}_2) = 1$. This completes the proof of the corollary.

3. An example. In this section, we shall assume that K is a field of characteristic 0 and that G is an absolutely simple algebraic group defined over K . If G is *not* of type A_n, B_n or D_n , then quaternion skew-hermitian representations cannot exist. For 0 is a weight of each orthogonal representation and, therefore $\rho(Z(G)) = \{1\}$; statement (ii) of the theorem then cannot be satisfied. If G is of type B_n or if G is of type D_n or A_n and the quasi-split group associated to G is of Chevalley type (i.e., split) then we have the following description of the invariants associated to $(V, H): \delta(H) = 1$ and $c(\mathbb{C}_i) = (\omega_i \circ \rho_s(c_{\sigma,\tau}^{-1}))$.

It only remains to examine invariant symmetric bilinear forms on representations of quasi-split groups of type A_n and D_n . We have described these forms in an earlier paper [2, Theorem II.1]. Here, we shall only give a small extension of these results.

Let G be a simply connected semisimple Chevalley group defined

over K . The automorphism group of G is the semidirect product of a finite group Θ and the inner automorphisms of G . The group Θ can be chosen so that each θ in Θ is defined over K .

Let $L = K(\alpha^{1/2})$ be a quadratic extension of K (where $\alpha \in K^*$) and let $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \{1, \sigma\}$ where $\sigma(\alpha^{1/2}) = -\alpha^{1/2}$. Let $\theta \in \Theta$ be such that $\theta^2 = 1$. The mapping of $\{1, \sigma\}$ to Θ defined by $1 \rightarrow 1_G$ and $\sigma \rightarrow \theta$ is a 1-cocycle of Θ in $\text{Aut}(G)$. Hence, there is a group G_1 defined over K (which is "split" over L) and an isomorphism $f: G_1 \rightarrow G$ such that $f^\sigma \circ f^{-1} = \theta$. The group G_1 is quasi-split; each group of type D_n^2 arises in this way.

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{SO}(V, S)$ be an absolutely irreducible orthogonal representation of G defined over K such that $\rho \circ \theta \sim \rho$. We shall also assume that $\rho(Z(G)) \neq \{1\}$. Then there is an absolutely irreducible orthogonal representation $\rho_1: G_1 \rightarrow \text{SO}(V_1, S_1)$ of G_1 defined over K such that $\rho_1 \sim \rho \circ f$ [2, Theorem II.1.]. We shall sketch this construction. There exists an $A \in \text{GL}(V, K)$ such that $A^2 = 1$, $A\rho(g)A^{-1} = \rho(\theta(g))$ for all $g \in G$, and $ASA = S$. Hence, we may find a K -rational orthogonal basis of V such that in this basis $A = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$ (with, say, $r+1$'s). Let $S = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \dots, \beta_n)$ in this basis. Then $S_1 = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, \alpha\beta_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha\beta_n)$. Since $\rho(Z(G)) \neq \{1\}$, S has maximal Witt index and so $\Delta(S) = 1$ and $c(S) = 1$. It is then not hard to see that $\Delta(S_1) = \alpha^{n-r}$. If $\det(A) = -1$, then $K' = K(\Delta(S_1)^{1/2}) = L$ and over L , $S_1 = S$. Hence, if $\det(A) = -1$, then $c(S_1) \sim 1$ over K' .

The facts on quadratic forms that we have used may all be found in [1].

REFERENCES

1. C. Chevalley, *The algebraic theory of spinors*, Columbia University Press, New York, 1954. MR 15 #678.
2. F. Grosshans, *Orthogonal representations of algebraic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969), 519-531.
3. ———, *Real orthogonal representations of algebraic groups* (to appear).
4. N. Jacobson, *Clifford algebras for algebras with involution of type D*, J. Algebra 1 (1964), 288-300. MR 29 #5849.
5. I. Satake, *On a certain invariant of the groups of type E_6 and E_7* , J. Math Soc. Japan 20 (1968), 322-335. MR 37 #2759.
6. ———, *Quaternion skew-hermitian forms and Clifford algebras*, Lecture Notes for the National Science Foundation Advanced Science Seminar on Algebraic Number Theory, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, Maine, 1966.
7. ———, *Symplectic representations of algebraic groups satisfying a certain analyticity condition*, Acta. Math. 117 (1967), 215-279. MR 35 #6694.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA