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#### Abstract

If $X^{*}$ has the Radon-Nikodym property, then for every compact operator $T: L_{1}(\mu, X) \rightarrow Y$ there is a bounded function $g: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ that is measurable for the uniform operator topology on $L(X, Y)$ such that $$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu
$$ for all $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. The same result holds for weakly compact operators if $X^{*}$ is separable Schur space. These representations yield Radon-Nikodym theorems for operator valued measures and a generalization of a theorem of $D . R$. Lewis.


The representation of linear operators on the Banach space $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ of Bochner integrable functions, has been the object of much study for the past forty years. Dunford and Pettis began this investigation in 1940 [6] with the representation of weakly compact and norm compact operators on $L_{1}(\mu)$ by a Bochner integral. Their work was based on an earlier paper of Pettis [9] and was complemented by the work of Phillips [11]. More recently, the theory of liftings has been used by Dinculeanu [5] and others to obtain a representation for the general linear operator on $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. In this paper we will use methods in the spirit of Dunford, Pettis, and Phillips to show that if $X^{*}$ has the Radon-Nikodym property, then the compact operators on $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ are representable by measurable kernels and if $X^{*}$ is a separable Schur space (i.e., weakly convergent sequences converge in norm) then the weakly compact operators on $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ are representable by measurable kernels. As corollaries, we obtain a Radon-Nikodym theorem for operator-valued measures and a generalization of a theorem of D. R. Lewis [4, p. 88] on weakly measurable functions that are equivalent to norm measurable functions.

Throughout this paper $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a finite measure space and $X, Y$ and $Z$ are Banach spaces with duals $X^{*}, Y^{*}$, and $Z^{*}$ respectively. The space of all bounded linear operators from $X$ to $Y$ will be denoted by $L(X, Y)$. The subspaces of $L(X, Y)$ consisting of all the weakly compact and norm compact operators from $X$ to $Y$ will be denoted by $W(X, Y)$ and $K(X, Y)$. The space $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ is the space of $\mu$-Bochner integrable functions on $\Omega$ with values in $X$ and
$L_{\infty}(\mu, X)$ is the space of $X$-valued $\mu$-Bochner integrable functions on $\Omega$ that are essentially bounded. An operator $T: L_{1}(\mu, X) \rightarrow Y$ is representable by a measurable kernel if there is a bounded measurable $g: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ such that

$$
T(f)=\text { Bochner }-\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu
$$

From this, it follows shat $\|T\|=\|g\|_{\infty}$ [5, p. 283]. Recall that a Banach space is weakly compactly generated if it is the closed linear span of one of its weakly compact sets. Finally, note that if $\pi$ is a partition of $\Omega$ into a countable number of disjoint elements of $\Sigma$ and if $f$ is in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$, then the function $E_{\pi}: L_{1}(\mu, X) \rightarrow L_{1}(\mu, X)$ defined by

$$
E_{\pi}(f)=\sum_{E \in \pi} \frac{\int_{E} f d \mu}{\mu E} \chi_{E}
$$

(here the convention $0 / 0=0$ is observed) is a linear operator.
Most of the first lemma is well-known so we omit the proof.
Lemma 1. For each countable partition $\pi$, the operator $E_{\pi}$ is a contraction on $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ and $L_{\infty}(\mu, X)$. Moreover, if the partitions are directed by refinement, then

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\lim _{\pi}\left\|E_{\pi}(f)-f\right\|_{1}=0 & \text { for all } f \text { in } & L_{1}(\mu, X) \\
\lim _{\pi}\left\|E_{\pi}(f)-f\right\|_{\infty}=0 & \text { for all } f \text { in } & L_{\infty}(\mu, X) .
\end{array}
$$

Before stating the main theorem we require a preliminary definition. A function $g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mu, L(X, Y))$ is said to have its essential range in the uniformly (weakly) compact operators if there is a (weakly) compact set $C$ in $Y$ such that $g(\omega) x \in C$ for almost all $\omega$ in $\Omega$ and $x$ in $X$ with $\|x\| \leqq 1$.

Theorem 2. Let $X^{*}$ have the Radon-Nikodym property. Then there is an isometric isomorphism between the space of compact operators $K\left(L_{1}(\mu, X), Y\right)$ and the subpace of $L_{\infty}(\mu, K(X, Y))$ consisting of theose functions whose essential range is in the uniformly compact operators. In fact, $T$ in $K\left(L_{1}(\mu, X), Y\right)$ and $g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mu, K(X, Y)$ ) are in correspondence if and only if

$$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu \quad \text { for all } f \text { in } \quad L_{1}(\mu, X)
$$

Proof. Let $T$ be in $K\left(L_{1}(\mu, X), Y\right)$. Notice that for any par-
tition $\pi, f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$, and $g$ in $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)=\left(L_{1}(\mu, X)\right)^{*}$, we have that

$$
\int_{\Omega} E_{\pi}(f) g d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f E_{\pi}(g) d \mu
$$

It follows from this that the adjoint of $T E_{\pi}$ is $E_{\pi} T^{*}$. Now, if the partitions $\pi$ are countable, we have that

$$
\lim _{\pi} E_{\pi} f=f \quad \text { for all } f \text { in } \quad L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)
$$

by Lemma 1. Since $\left\|E_{\pi}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq 1$, this limit is uniform on compact sets. By Schauder's theorem, $T^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$ is compact and so

$$
\lim _{\pi} E_{\pi} T^{*} y^{*}=T y^{*}
$$

uniformly for $\left\|y^{*}\right\| \leqq 1$. Therefore,

$$
\lim _{\pi} E_{\pi} T^{*}=T^{*}
$$

in the operator norm. Since $E_{\pi} T^{*}=\left(T E_{\pi}\right)^{*}$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\pi} T E_{\pi}=T
$$

in operator norm.
Now, for each countable partition $\pi$, define $g_{\pi}: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ by

$$
g_{\pi}(\cdot) x=\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{T\left(x \chi_{A}\right)}{\mu A} \chi_{A}(\cdot) .
$$

Then for each partition $\pi, \omega$ in $\Omega$, and $x$ in $X$ with $\|x\| \leqq 1$, we have that $g_{\pi}(\omega) x \subseteq T\left\{f: f\right.$ in $\left.L_{1}(\mu, X),\|f\|_{1} \leqq 1\right\}$. Since $T$ is compact, it follows that $g_{\pi}(\omega)$ is in $K(X, Y)$ for each partition $\pi$ and $\omega$ in $\Omega$. Moreover, one easily sees that

$$
T E_{\pi}(f)=\int_{\Omega} f g_{\pi} d \mu
$$

for all simple functions $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ and thus for all functions $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. Hence if $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are two partitions, then

$$
\left(T E_{\pi_{1}}-T E_{\pi_{2}}\right)(f)=\int_{\Omega} f\left(g_{\pi_{1}}-g_{\pi_{2}}\right) d \mu
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}}\left\|T E_{\pi_{1}}-T E_{\pi_{2}}\right\|=0
$$

an appeal to [5, p. 283] establishes that

$$
\lim _{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}}\left\|g_{\pi_{1}}-g_{\pi_{2}}\right\|_{\infty}=\lim _{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}}\left\|T E_{\pi_{1}}-T E_{\pi_{2}}\right\|=0
$$

Thus the net $\left(g_{\pi}\right)$ is Cauchy in the norm of $L_{\infty}(\mu, K(X, Y))$. It follows that there is a $g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mu, K(X, Y)$ ) such that

$$
\lim _{\pi}\left\|g_{\pi}-g\right\|_{\infty}=0
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{\pi} \int_{\Omega} f g_{\pi} d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu
$$

for all $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. We also have, for almost all $\omega$, that

$$
g(\omega) x \cong \overline{T\left\{f: f \in L_{1}(\mu, X),\|f\| \leqq 1\right\}}
$$

for all $x$ in $X$ with $\|x\| \leqq 1$. Hence the essential range of $g$ consists of uniformly compact operators. Finally, Lemma 1 ensures that

$$
T(f)=\lim _{\pi} T E_{\pi}(f)=\lim _{\pi} \int_{\Omega} f g_{\pi} d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu .
$$

Conversely, suppose that $g: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ is a bounded measurable function such that there is a compact set $C \subset Y$ with $g(\omega) x$ in $C$ for almost all $\omega$ in $\Omega$ and all $x$ in $X$ with $\|x\| \leqq 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $g(\omega) x$ is in $C$ for all $\omega$ in $\Omega$. Define

$$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu
$$

for $f \in L_{1}(\mu, X)$. Another appeal to [5, p. 283] shows $\|T\|=\|g\|_{\infty}$. Let

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \chi_{T_{i}}
$$

be a simple function in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ with $\|f\| \leqq 1$ i.e.,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \mu E_{i} \leqq 1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(f) & =\int_{\Omega} g f d \mu=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{E_{i}} g(\omega) x_{i} d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \mu E_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu E_{i}} \int_{E_{i}} g(\omega) \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

is in $\overline{\text { co }} C$ by [4, p. 48]. Since $\overline{\text { co }} C$ is compact by Mazur's theorem, the operator $T$ is compact. This completes the proof.

That $X^{*}$ has the Radon-Nikodym property is necessary as well as sufficient for the first part of the above proof. Indeed, if each $T$ in $K\left(L_{1}(\mu, X), Y\right)$ is representable by a Bochner integrable $g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mu, K(X, Y))$, then taking $Y$ to be the scalars shows that $L_{1}(\mu, X)^{*}=$ $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$ which implies [4, p. 98] that $X^{*}$ has the RNP. An immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is a Radon-Nikodym theorem for certain operator valued measures.

Corollary 3. Let $X^{*}$ have the RNP and let $G: \Sigma \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ be a $\mu$-continuous vector measure of bounded variation. If, for each $E_{1}$ in $\Sigma$ with $\mu E_{1}>0$, there exists $E_{2}$ in $\Sigma$ with $E_{2} \subseteq E_{1}$ and $\mu\left(E_{2}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left\{\frac{G(E) x}{\mu(E)}: x \in X, E \in \Sigma, E \leqq E_{2}, \mu(E)>0,\|x\| \leqq 1\right\}
$$

is relatively norm compact, then there exists a Bochner integrable $g: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ such that

$$
G(E)=\int_{E} g d \mu
$$

for each $E$ in $\Sigma$.

Proof. By exhaustion [4, p. 70], the corollary is established if for each $E_{1}$ in $\Sigma$ with $\mu\left(E_{1}\right)>0$ we can find $E_{2}$ in $\Sigma$ with $E_{2} \subseteq E_{1}$ and $\mu E_{2}>0$ and a Bochner integrable $g$ such that

$$
G(E)=\int_{E} g d \mu
$$

for all $E$ in $\Sigma$ with $E \subseteq E_{2}$. So let $E_{1} \in \Sigma$ with $\mu\left(E_{1}\right)>0$ and select the $E_{2} \subseteq E_{1}$ guaranteed by the hypothesis. Define an operator $T$ on the simple functions in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ by

$$
T(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} G\left(A_{1} \cap E_{2}\right) x_{\imath} \quad \text { if } \quad f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \chi_{A_{i}}, A_{i} \quad \text { in } \quad \Sigma, A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\dot{\varphi}
$$

if $i \neq j$. Notice that if $\|f\| \leqq 1$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \mu A_{i} \leqq 1
$$

then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \mu\left(A_{i} \cap E_{2}\right) \leqq 1
$$

and so

$$
T(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \mu\left(A_{i} \cap E_{2}\right) \cdot \frac{G\left(A_{i} \cap E_{2}\right) \frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}}{\mu\left(A_{i} \cap E_{2}\right)}
$$

is in

$$
\overline{\operatorname{co}}\left\{\frac{G(E) x}{\mu E}: x \in X, E \in \Sigma, E \subseteq E_{2}, \mu(E)>0,\|x\| \leqq 1\right\},
$$

a set which is compact by Mazur's theorem. Thus $T$ has a compact linear extension to all of $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. Hence, by Theorem 2, there exists a Bochner integrable $g: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ such that

$$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu
$$

for all $f \in L_{1}(\mu, X)$. In particular, if $E$ is in $\Sigma$ and $E \subseteq E_{2}$, then

$$
G(E) x=T\left(x \chi_{E}\right)=\int_{E} g x d \mu .
$$

Since $g$ is Bochner integrable, we have, by [4, p. 47], that

$$
G(E)=\int_{E} g d \mu
$$

as required.
Our next result is a generalization of a theorem of D. R. Lewis [4, p. 88] dealing with the equivalence of weakly measurable and measurable functions. The proof uses the following result of Amir and Lindenstrauss [1, p. 43]: If $X$ is a weakly compactly generated space and $X_{0} \subseteq X$ and $Y_{0} \subseteq X^{*}$ are separable subspaces, then there is a bounded projection $P: X \rightarrow X$ with separable range such that $X_{0} \subseteq P(X)$ and $Y_{0} \subseteq P^{*}\left(X^{*}\right)$.

Proposition 4. Let $X^{*}$ and $Y$ be weakly compactly generated Banach spaces. If $f: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ is a bounded function such that for each $y^{*}$ in $Y^{*}$ the function $y^{*} f(\cdot): \Omega \rightarrow X^{*}$ is measurable, then there is a bounded measurable function $g: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ such that for each $y^{*}$ in $Y^{*}, y^{*} f(\cdot)=y^{*} g(\cdot) \mu$-a.e., (the exceptional set may depend on $y^{*}$ ).

Proof. We claim that the set $A=\left\{y^{*} f(\cdot): y^{*} \in Y^{*},\left\|y^{*}\right\| \leqq 1\right\}$ is compact in $L_{1}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$. If not, then there is a sequence $y_{n}^{*}$ in the unit ball of $Y^{*}$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left\|y_{n}^{*} f(\cdot)-y_{m}^{*} f(\cdot)\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)}>\delta
$$

for $m \neq n$. Choose a bounded projection $P_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y$ with separable
range such that $P_{1}^{*} y_{n}^{*}=y_{n}^{*}$ for all $n$. Since each $y_{n}^{*} f(\cdot): \Omega \rightarrow X^{*}$ is measurable and hence essentially separably valued, there is a bounded projection $P_{2}: X^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ with separable range and sets $\Omega_{n}$ in $\Sigma$ with $\mu\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}\right)=0$ and $y_{n}^{*} f\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \leqq P_{2}\left(X^{*}\right)$ for every $n$. Now, since each $f(\omega)$ is a compact operator we have, for all $x^{* *}$ in $X^{* *}$, that $f(\omega)^{* *} x^{* *}$ is in the natural image of $Y$ in $Y^{* *}$ and so we may define $h: \Omega \rightarrow$ $K\left(X^{* *}, Y\right)$ by $h(\omega) x^{* *}=P_{1} f(\omega)^{* *} P_{2}^{*} x^{* *}$. We claim that for each $x^{* *}$ in $X^{* *}$, the function $h(\cdot) x^{* *}: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is measurable. To see this, note that since $P_{1}$ has separable range, the functions $h(\cdot) x^{* *}$ are separably valued and since

$$
y^{*} h(\cdot) x^{* *}=y^{*} P_{1} f(\cdot)^{* *} P_{2}^{*} x^{* *}=x^{* *} P_{2} f(\cdot)^{*} P_{1}^{*} y^{*}
$$

and each $f(\cdot) P_{1} y^{*}: \Omega \rightarrow X^{*}$ is measurable, the functions $h(\cdot) x^{* *}$ are weakly measurable. An appeal to the Pettis measurability theorem [4, p. 42] establishes the measurability of $h(\cdot) x^{* *}$. Now if $Y_{0}$ is the Banach space obtained by taking the closed linear span of $P_{1} Y$ in $Y$, then $Y_{0}$ is separable and $h$ can be viewed as taking its values in $K\left(X^{* *}, Y_{0}\right)$. Moreover, if we define $S: Y \rightarrow Y_{0}$ by $S y=P_{1} y$, then $h(\omega) x^{* *}=S P_{1} f(\omega)^{* *} P_{2}^{*} x^{* *}$. Thus, if $y_{0}^{*}$ is in $Y_{0}^{*}$, then $h(\omega)^{*} y_{0}^{*}=$ $P_{2}^{* *} f(\omega)^{* *} P_{1}^{*} S^{*} y_{0}^{*}$ is in $P_{2} X^{*}$, since the range of $f(\omega)^{* * *}$ is in $X^{*}$ and $P_{2}^{* *}$ extends $P_{2}$. Let $Z=\overline{P_{2} X^{*}}$ and $B=\left\{T: T\right.$ in $K\left(X^{* *}, Y_{0}\right)$, $\left.T^{*} Y_{0}^{*} \subset Z\right\}$. We claim that $B$ is separable. To see this, let $U$ and $V$ denote the closed unit balls of $Z^{*}$ and $Y_{0}^{*}$ endowed with the weak* topologies. Since $Y_{0}$ and $Z$ are separable, $U$ and $V$ are compact metric spaces, and thus, so is $U \times V$. For each $T$ in $B$, define a function $J T$ on $U \times V$ by $J T(u, v)=u T^{*} v$. Then the map $T \rightarrow J T$ is a linear isometry of $B$ into $C(U \times V)$ [8] and so, by [7, p. 437], $B$ is separable. Since the values of $h$ in $K\left(X^{* *}, Y_{0}\right)$ lie in $B$ and $\left\|h\left(\omega_{1}\right)-h\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right\|_{K\left(X^{* *}, Y\right)}=$ $\left\|h\left(\omega_{1}\right)-h\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right\|_{K\left(X^{* *}, Y_{0}\right)}$ for all $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ in $\Omega$, the values of $h$ in $K\left(X^{* *}, Y\right)$ form a separable set. Now because $h(\cdot) x^{* *}$ is measurable for each $x^{* *}$ in $X^{* *}$, an appeal to [5, p. 102] establishes that $h$ is measurable. Since $h$ is bounded, $h$ is Bochner integrable and so we may choose a sequence $h_{n}$ of $K\left(X^{* *}, Y\right)$-valued simple functions such that

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{\Omega}\left\|h-h_{n}\right\| d \mu=0 .
$$

Define operators $S_{n}$ and $S$ from $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{* *}\right)$ to $Y$ by

$$
S_{n}(g)=\int_{\Omega} g h_{n} d \mu \quad \text { and } \quad S(g)=\int_{\Omega} g h d \mu
$$

for $g$ in $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{* *}\right)$. Since each $h_{n}$ takes on only a finite number of values, each $S_{n}$ is a compact operator. Moreover, we have that

$$
\left\|\left(S-S_{n}\right)(g)\right\| \leqq \int_{\Omega}\|g\|\left\|h-h_{n}\right\| d \mu \leqq\|g\|_{\infty} \int_{\infty}\left\|h-h_{n}\right\| d \mu
$$

for all $g$ in $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{* *}\right)$. It follows immediately that the operator $S$ is compact. The adjoint of $S$ is the operator $y^{*} \rightarrow y^{*} h(\cdot)$ and hence by Schauder's theorem is also compact. But $y_{n}^{*} h(\cdot)=y_{n}^{*} f(\cdot)$ a.e. This contradicts

$$
\left\|y_{n}^{*} f(\cdot)-y_{m}^{*} f(\cdot)\right\|_{L_{1}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)}>\delta
$$

for $m \neq n$ and establishes that the set $A$ is compact.
Now choose $y_{n}^{*}$ in $Y^{*}$ such that $y_{n}^{*}(\cdot)$ is dense in $A$. If $h$ is constructed as above for this sequence ( $y_{n}^{*}$ ), then $h$ is measurable and so, by Egoroff's theorem, for all $\delta>0$ there is a set $E$ in $\Sigma$ with $\mu(\Omega \backslash E)<\delta$ such that $h \chi_{E}$ can be approximated uniformly by simple functions. Fix $\delta>0$ and choose such a set $E$. It follows that the sequence $y_{n}^{*} f(\cdot) \chi_{E}=y_{n}^{*} h(\cdot) \chi_{E}$ is relatively compact in $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$. Since this sequence is $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$-dense in $\left\{y^{*} f(\cdot)_{\chi_{E}}:\left\|y^{*}\right\| \leqq 1\right\}$, this set is relatively compact in $L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$.

Now define $T: Y^{*} \rightarrow L_{\infty}\left(\mu, X^{*}\right)$ by $T y^{*}=y^{*} f(\cdot)_{\chi_{E}}$. Then $T$ is compact and as an operator on $L_{1}(\mu, X), T^{*}: L_{1}(\mu, X) \rightarrow Y^{* *}$ is compact. Notice that the dominated convergence theorem ensures that $T$ is $w^{*}$ to $w^{*}$ sequentially continuous. Thus, if $y^{* *}$ is in $T^{*}\left(L_{1}(\mu, X)\right)$, then $y^{* *}$ is a weak* sequentially continuous functional on $Y^{*}$. But since $Y$ is weakly compactly generated, this means $y^{* *}$ is a $w^{*}$ continuous functional on $Y^{*}$ [3, p. 148]. Hence, $T^{*}\left(L_{1}(\mu, X)\right)$ is contained in $Y$. Theorem 2 now produces a Bochner integrable $g: E \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ such that

$$
T^{*}(k)=\int_{E} k g d \mu
$$

for all $k$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. But, if $y^{*}$ is in $Y^{*}$, then $T^{* *} y^{*}=y^{*} g$. It follows that $y^{*} g=y^{*} f$ a.e. on $E$. Since $\mu(\Omega \backslash E)<\delta$, this completes the proof.

Theorem 2 does not hold for weakly compact operators. To see this, let $\Omega$ be the unit interval endowed with Lebesgue measure and let $r_{n}(\cdot)$ be the $n$th Rademacher function i.e., $r_{n}(\omega)=\operatorname{signum}\left(\sin 2^{n} \pi \omega\right)$. Consider the function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow L\left(\iota_{2}, \iota_{2}\right)$ defined by $g(\omega)\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=\left(r_{n}(\omega) \alpha_{n}\right)$ for all $\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \in \ell_{2}$. The function $g$ is not essentially separably valued, since if $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are different numbers in $[0,1]$ there exists a Rademacher function $r_{n}$ with $\left|r_{n}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-r_{n}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right|=2$ and hence, $\| g\left(\omega_{1}\right)-$ $g\left(\omega_{2}\right) \|_{L\left(\varepsilon_{2}, \ell_{2}\right)} \geqq 2$. Thus, $g$ is not measurable. Define an operator $T: L_{1}\left(\mu, \ell_{2}\right) \rightarrow \iota_{2}$ by

$$
T(f)=\int_{[0,1]} f g d \mu
$$

and note that $T$ is weakly compact. If $T$ were representable by a kernel, then that kernel would be equal to $g$ a.e. and so $g$ would be
measurable, which is a contradiction. However, we can use Proposition 4 to obtain a representation theorem for weakly compact operators by imposing further conditions on $X^{*}$.

Theorem 5. Let $X^{*}$ be a separable Schur space. Then there is an isometric isomorphism between the space of weakly compact operators $W\left(L_{1}(\mu, X), Y\right)$ and the subspace of $L_{\infty}(\mu, W(X, Y))$ consisting of those functions whose essential range is in the uniformly weakly compact operators. In fact, $T$ in $W\left(L_{1}(\mu, X, Y)\right)$ and $g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mu$, $W(X, Y)$ ) are in correspondence if, and only if,

$$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} f g d \mu
$$

for all $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$.
Proof. Let $T$ be in $W\left(L_{1}(\mu, X), Y\right)$. By the Factorization Lemma [2, p. 314], there is a reflexive space $R$ and operators $S: L_{1}(\mu, X) \rightarrow$ $R$ and $J: R \rightarrow Y$ such that $T=J S$. Suppose $S$ is representable by a measurable kernel $h: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, R)$. Then $T$ is representable by the measurable kernel $g: \Omega \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ given by $g(\omega) x=J h(\omega) x$ for all $x$ in $X$ and $\omega$ in $\Omega$. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $Y$ is reflexive.

Let $G: \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be the representing measure of $T$ i.e.,
(i) $G(E) x=T\left(x \chi_{E}\right)$ for all $x$ in $X$ and $E$ in $\Sigma$
(ii) $T(f)=\int_{\Omega} f d G$ for all $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|=\sup _{\mu E>0} \frac{\|G(E)\|}{\mu E} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

An appeal to [10, p. 345] produces a bounded function $g: \Omega \rightarrow$ $L(X, Y)$ such that
(1) $g(\cdot) x: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is Bochner integrable for all $x$ in $X$ and
(2) $G(E) x=\int_{E} g(\omega) x d \mu(\omega)$ for all $x$ in $X$ and $E$ in $\Sigma$.

It follows quickly from the density of simple functions in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ that

$$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} g f d \mu
$$

for all $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$. Consider, for each $y^{*}$ in $Y^{*}$, the functions $y^{*} g(\cdot): \Omega \rightarrow X^{*}$. Since these functions are separably valued and weak* measurable, they are measurable by [4, p. 42]. Now $L(X, Y)=$ $K(X, Y)$, since $X^{*}$ is a Schur space and $Y$ is reflexive. Consequently, Proposition 4 now produces a bounded measurable $h: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$
such that, for each $y^{*}$ in $Y^{*}, y^{*} g(\cdot)=y^{*} h(\cdot) \mu$-a.e. Thus, for all $y^{*}$ in $Y^{*}$ and $f$ in $L_{1}(\mu, X)$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle y^{*}, T f\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle y^{*}, g(\omega) f(\omega)\right\rangle d \mu(\omega) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle y^{*}, h(\omega) f(\omega)\right\rangle d \mu \\
& =y^{*}\left(\int_{\Omega} h f d \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
T(f)=\int_{\Omega} h f d \mu
$$

It follows easily that

$$
h(\omega) x \leqq \overline{T\left\{f: f \text { in } L_{1}(\mu, X),\|f\|_{1} \leqq 1\right\}}
$$

for almost all $\omega$ in $\Omega$ and all $x$ in $X$ with $\|x\| \leqq 1$. Hence, the essential range of $h$ consists of uniformly weakly compact operators.

The converse is proved in the same way as in Theorem 2 so we omit the proof.

Our final result follows from Theorem 5 in the same way that Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 2 so the proof is omitted.

Corollary 6. Let $X^{*}$ be a separable Schur space and let $G: \Sigma \rightarrow$ $K(X ; Y)$ be a $\mu$-continuous vector measure of bounded variation. If, for each $E_{1}$ in $\Sigma$ with $\mu E_{1}>0$, there exists an $E_{2}$ in $\Sigma$ with $E_{2} \subseteq E_{1}$ and $\mu\left(E_{2}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left\{\frac{G(E) x}{\mu E}: x \text { in } X, E \text { in } \Sigma, E \subseteq E_{2}, \mu E>0,\|x\| \leqq 1\right\}
$$

is relatively weakly compact, then there exists a Bochner integrable $g: \Omega \rightarrow K(X, Y)$ such that

$$
G(E)=\int_{E} g d \mu
$$

for each $E$ in $\Sigma$.
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