

REPRESENTATION OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR OF A FREE PARTICLE VIA SHORT-TIME FOURIER TRANSFORM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

KEIICHI KATO, MASAHARU KOBAYASHI AND SHINGO ITO

(Received August 31, 2010, revised May 6, 2011)

Abstract. We propose a new representation of the Schrödinger operator of a free particle by using the short-time Fourier transform and give its applications.

1. Introduction. We consider the Schrödinger equation of a free particle,

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} i \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $u(t, x)$ is a complex valued function of $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$, $u_0(x)$ is a complex valued function of $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\partial_t u = \partial u / \partial t$ and $\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial^2 u / \partial x_i^2$.

When u_0 is a function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the solution $u(t, x)$ of (1) can be written as

$$u(t, x) = (e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} u_0)(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1} [e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} \mathcal{F}u_0(\xi)](x).$$

Here we use the notation $\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$ for the Fourier transform of f and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$ with $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$ for the inverse Fourier transform of f .

The Schrödinger operator $e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}$ and closely related operators such as

$$(e^{i|D|^\alpha} u_0)(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1} [e^{i|\xi|^\alpha} \mathcal{F}u_0(\xi)](x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R},$$

have been studied extensively by many authors. Hörmander [8] has proved $e^{i|D|^2}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if $p = 2$, and Miyachi [11] has proved the sharp endpoint L^p -Sobolev estimates for $e^{i|D|^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 1$. We also remark that $e^{i|D|^2}$ is bounded on the Besov space $\dot{B}_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ or $B_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if $p = 2$ (Mizuhara [13] and Li [10]). On the other hand, a recent work by Bényi, Gröchenig, Okoudjou and Rogers [1] has shown $e^{i|D|^\alpha}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, is bounded on the modulation space $M^{p,q}$ for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, which means $e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}$ preserves the $M^{p,q}$ -norm (see the precise definition of $M^{p,q}$ in Section 2.2 below). For further developments in this direction we refer to Bényi-Okoudjou [2], Cordero-Nicola [3], Miyachi-Nicola-Rivetti-Tabacco-Tomita [12], Sugimoto [14], Wang-Zhao-Guo [17], Wang-Hudzik [16] and the references therein.

In this paper, we propose a new representation of the solution $u(t, x)$ by using the short-time Fourier transform and give its applications. More precisely, let φ_0 be a function in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35C15; Secondary 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger operator, Short-time Fourier transform, Modulation space.

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ and suppose $\varphi(t, x) = (e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\varphi_0)(x)$, which solves the initial value problem (1) with initial data φ_0 . Then we have

$$(2) \quad u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle} V_{\varphi(t, \cdot)}^* [e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} V_{\varphi_0} u_0(y - \xi t, \xi)](x),$$

where $V_{\varphi_0} u_0$ denotes the short-time Fourier transform of u_0 with respect to the window φ_0 and $V_{\varphi(t, \cdot)}^*$ denotes the (informal) adjoint operator of the short-time Fourier transform $V_{\varphi(t, \cdot)}$ for a fixed t , which are defined in Section 2.1.

By using the representation (2), we have the following propositions.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Suppose $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varphi(t, x) = (e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\varphi_0)(x)$, which solves the initial value problem (1) with initial data φ_0 . Then*

$$(3) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(t, \cdot)}^{p, q}} = \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{p, q}}$$

holds for $u_0 \in M^{p, q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

REMARK 1.2. We note that the norms on the left-hand and right-hand side of (3) are measured by different windows. Moreover, by putting $e^{-\frac{1}{2}is\Delta}\varphi_0, s \in \mathbf{R}$, into φ_0 in the equality (3), we have

$$(4) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)}^{p, q}} = \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}^{p, q}},$$

where φ is the solution of (1) with initial data φ_0 .

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(5) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}^{p, q}} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{n/2} \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}^{p, q}}$$

for $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(6) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}^{p, q}} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-n(1/2-1/p)} \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}^{p', q}}$$

for $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$ with $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(7) \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}^{p, q}} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{n(1/2-1/p)} \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}^{p, q}}$$

for $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$.

REMARK 1.6. By taking $s = 0$ in each of the estimates (5), (6) and (7), we have the estimates due to Bényi-Gröchenig-Okoudjou-Rogers [1] and Wang-Hudzik [16].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions and basic properties of the short-time Fourier transform and the modulation spaces. In Section 3, we prove

the representation (2). In Section 4, we prove Propositions 1.1 through 1.5. Finally, in Section 5, we give a local well-posedness result for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with Cauchy data in the modulation spaces $M^{p,1}$.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the referee for several valuable comments and suggestions, which improve the statements of Propositions 1.3 through 1.5.

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper the letter C denotes a constant which may be different in each occasion.

2.1. The short-time Fourier transform. We recall the definitions of the short-time Fourier transform and its adjoint operator. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then the short-time Fourier transform $V_\phi f$ of f with respect to the window ϕ is defined by

$$(8) \quad V_\phi f(x, \xi) = \langle f(y), \phi(y-x)e^{iy \cdot \xi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y) \overline{\phi(y-x)} e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy.$$

Let F be a function on $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then the adjoint operator V_ϕ^* of V_ϕ is defined by

$$V_\phi^* F(x) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} F(y, \xi) \phi(x-y) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dy d\xi$$

with $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$. It is known that for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $V_\phi f$ is a continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and

$$|V_\phi f(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |x| + |\xi|)^N \quad \text{for all } (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

for some constant $C, N \geq 0$ ([7, Theorem 11.2.3]). Moreover, for $\phi, \psi, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle \gamma, \psi \rangle \neq 0$, we have the inversion formula

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{\langle \psi, \phi \rangle} V_\psi^* V_\phi f = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

([7, Corollary 11.2.7]) and the pointwise inequality

$$(10) \quad |V_\phi f(x, \xi)| \leq \frac{C}{|\langle \gamma, \psi \rangle|} (|V_\psi f| * |V_\phi \gamma|)(x, \xi), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ ([7, Lemma 11.3.3]).

2.2. Modulation spaces. We recall the definition of modulation spaces $M^{p,q}$ which were introduced by Feichtinger [5] to measure smoothness of a function or a distribution in a way different from Besov spaces. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the modulation space $M_\phi^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n) = M^{p,q}$ consists of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{M_\phi^{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |V_\phi f(x, \xi)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q} = \|V_\phi f(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p L_\xi^q}$$

is finite (with usual modifications if $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$).

The space $M_\phi^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Banach space, whose definition is independent of the choice of the window ϕ , i.e., $M_\phi^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n) = M_\psi^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ ([5, Theorem 6.1]). This property is crucial in the sequel, since we choose a suitable window ϕ to estimate

the modulation space norm. If $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $M^{p,q}$ ([5, Theorem 6.1]). We also note $L^2 = M^{2,2}$, and $M^{p_1,q_1} \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}$ if $p_1 \leq p_2, q_1 \leq q_2$ ([5, Proposition 6.5]). Let us define by $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{M^{p,q}}$. Then $\mathcal{M}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n) = M^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. Moreover, the complex interpolation theory for these spaces reads as follows: Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq p_i, q_i \leq \infty, i = 1, 2$. Set $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_1 + \theta/p_2, 1/q = (1 - \theta)/q_1 + \theta/q_2$, then $(\mathcal{M}^{p_1,q_1}, \mathcal{M}^{p_2,q_2})_{[\theta]} = \mathcal{M}^{p,q}$ ([5, Theorem 6.1], [15, Theorem 2.3]). We refer to [5] and [7] for more details.

3. Representation of the solution of free Schrödinger equation. In this section, we show that the solution $u(t, x)$ of (1) is represented by (2). Let $u(t, x)$ be the solution of (1) with $u(0, x) = u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Note that $u(t, x)$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}; \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ in this case. Let $\varphi(t, x)$ be the solution of (1) with $\varphi(0, x) = \varphi_0(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, which is used as a window function. Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta u(t,\cdot)\right)(x,\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\overline{\varphi(t,y-x)}\Delta_y u(t,y)e^{-iy\cdot\xi}dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Delta_y\varphi(t,y-x)}u(t,y)e^{-iy\cdot\xi}dy + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(-i\xi\cdot\nabla_y)\overline{\varphi(t,y-x)}u(t,y)e^{-iy\cdot\xi}dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\overline{\varphi(t,y-x)}u(t,y)e^{-iy\cdot\xi}dy \\ &= V_{\frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) + \left(i\xi\cdot\nabla_x - \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2\right)V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(t, x)$ and $\varphi(t, x)$ are solutions of (1) and

$$i\partial_t V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) = V_{-i\partial_t\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) + V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(i\partial_t u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi)$$

is valid, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i\partial_t V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) + \left(i\xi\cdot\nabla_x - \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2\right)V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) \\ &= V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}\left(i\partial_t u(t,\cdot) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(t,\cdot)\right)(x,\xi) - V_{[i\partial_t\varphi(t,\cdot) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi(t,\cdot)]}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the initial value problem (1) is transformed via the short-time Fourier transform with window function $\varphi(t, x)$ to

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \left(i\partial_t + i\xi\cdot\nabla_x - \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2\right)V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) = 0, \\ V_{\varphi(0,\cdot)}(u(0,\cdot))(x,\xi) = V_{\varphi_0}u_0(x,\xi). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(12) \quad V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t,\cdot))(x,\xi) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2}V_{\varphi_0}u_0(x - \xi t, \xi)$$

is the solution of (11). Applying the adjoint operator $V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}^*$ of $V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}$ to the both sides of (12), we have the representation (2) by the inversion formula (9). It is easy to check that the above argument is valid for $u_0(x) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

4. Proof of Propositions. In this section, we prove Propositions 1.1 through 1.5.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.1. Taking $L_x^p L_\xi^q$ norm of the both sides of (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}^{p,q}} &= \|V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}(u(t, \cdot))(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p L_\xi^q} \\ &= \|e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} V_{\varphi_0} u_0(x - \xi t, \xi)\|_{L_x^p L_\xi^q} \\ &= \|V_{\varphi_0} u_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p L_\xi^q} \\ &= \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{p,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.3. By Remark 1.2, it suffices to prove

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)}^{p,q}} = \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)}^{p,q}} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{n/2} \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)}^{p,q}},$$

where C is independent of t and s . We note that

$$V_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)} u_0 = V_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)} \left[\frac{1}{\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle} V_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)}^* V_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)} u_0 \right]$$

by the inversion formula (9) and $\langle \varphi(-s, \cdot), \varphi(t-s, \cdot) \rangle = \langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)} u_0(x, \xi) \\ &= V_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)} [y \rightarrow (x, \xi)] \left[\frac{1}{\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} V_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)} u_0(z, \eta) \varphi(-s, y-z) e^{iy \cdot \eta} dz d\eta \right] (x, \xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle} \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^{3n}} \overline{\varphi(-s, y-x)} e^{-iy \cdot \xi} V_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)} u_0(z, \eta) \varphi(-s, y-z) e^{iy \cdot \eta} dy dz d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{\varphi(-s, y-x)} \varphi(-s, y-z) e^{-i(\xi-\eta) \cdot y} dy \right) \\ &\quad \times V_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)} u_0(z, \eta) dz d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} V_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)}(\varphi(-s, \cdot))(x-z, \xi-\eta) e^{-i(\xi-\eta) \cdot z} V_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)} u_0(z, \eta) dz d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)}^{p,q}} \leq \frac{C}{|\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle|} \|\varphi(-s, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(-s,\cdot)}^{1,1}} \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi(t-s,\cdot)}^{p,q}}.$$

Since

$$|\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle| = |\langle \widehat{\varphi}_0, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} \widehat{\varphi}_0 \rangle| = \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} |\widehat{\varphi}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right|,$$

the stationary phase method yields that

$$(13) \quad |\langle \varphi_0(\cdot), \varphi(t, \cdot) \rangle| \sim C |\widehat{\varphi}_0(0)|^2 |t|^{-n/2} \quad (\text{as } |t| \rightarrow \infty).$$

The fact that $\|\varphi(-s, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{1,1}} = \|\varphi_0\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{1,1}}$ and (13) yield (5). \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.4. Firstly, we prove (6) for $p = 2$. By Remark 1.2, it suffices to show that $\|V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}u_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^q} = \|V_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)}u_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^q}$. By Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)}u_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^q} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{\varphi(t-s, y-x)} u_0(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy \right\|_{L_x^2} \Big\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(t-s, \eta)} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} u_0(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy \right\|_{L_\eta^2} \Big\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)|\eta|^2} \overline{\widehat{\varphi_0}(\eta)} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} u_0(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy \right\|_{L_\eta^2} \Big\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{\frac{1}{2}is|\eta|^2} \overline{\widehat{\varphi_0}(\eta)} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} u_0(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy \right\|_{L_\eta^2} \Big\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{\varphi(-s, y-x)} u_0(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy \right\|_{L_x^2} \Big\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ &= \|V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}u_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^2 L_\xi^q}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we prove (6) for $p = \infty$. By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{\infty, q}} \\ &= \left\| V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)} \left[\frac{1}{\|\varphi(t-s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2} V_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)}^* V_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)} u_0(x, \xi) \right] \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_\xi^q} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2}^2} \left\| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}(\varphi(t-s, \cdot))(x-z, \xi-\eta) e^{-iz \cdot (\xi-\eta)} V_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)} u_0(z, \eta) dz d\eta \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_\xi^q} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2}^2} \|V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}(\varphi(t-s, \cdot))(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^\infty L_\xi^1} \|V_{\varphi(t-s, \cdot)}u_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^1 L_\xi^q} \\ &= \frac{C}{\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2}^2} \|\varphi(t-s, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{\infty, 1}} \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{1, q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}(\varphi(t-s, \cdot))(x, \xi) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{\varphi(-s, y-x)} \varphi(t-s, y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy \\ &= e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(t-s, \eta) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(-s, \eta-\xi)} e^{ix \cdot \eta} d\eta \end{aligned}$$

$$= e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\eta|^2} \widehat{\varphi}_0(\eta) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_0(\eta - \xi)} e^{ix \cdot \eta} d\eta,$$

the stationary phase method (see [6], [9]) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & |V_{\varphi(-s, \cdot)}(\varphi(t - s, \cdot))(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq C|t|^{-n/2} \left| \widehat{\varphi}_0\left(-\frac{x}{t}\right) \widehat{\varphi}_0\left(-\frac{x}{t} - \xi\right) \right| \\ & \quad + C|t|^{-n/2-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2(1+n)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right)^\alpha \left[\widehat{\varphi}_0(\eta) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_0(\eta - \xi)} \right] \right| d\eta \quad (\text{as } |t| \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (6) for $p = \infty$. By the complex interpolation method, we have (6) for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. □

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.5. By using the complex interpolation method between $p = \infty$ for (5) and $p = 2$ for (6), we have the conclusion. □

5. nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Next we consider the following initial value problem of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation,

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} i \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = f(u), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $f(u)$ is a polynomial of u and \bar{u} with $f(0) = 0$.

The following result is already known, but we obtain it as a corollary of our representation (2).

PROPOSITION 5.1 (Bényi-Okoudjou [2]). *For $u_0 \in M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a positive constant T and a unique solution of (14) such that $u \in C([0, T]; M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n))$.*

PROOF. Using the representation (2) of the Schrödinger operator $e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}$, we have the integral equation associated to (14),

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{\varphi(t, \cdot)}(u(t, \cdot))(x, \xi) \\ & = e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} V_{\varphi_0} u_0(x - t\xi, \xi) + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)|\xi|^2} V_{\varphi(s, \cdot)}[f(u)](x - (t-s)\xi, \xi) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $M^{p,1}$ is a Banach algebra, i.e., for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(15) \quad \|u_1 u_2\|_{M_\phi^{p,1}} \leq C \|u_1\|_{M_\phi^{p,1}} \|u_2\|_{M_\phi^{p,1}}$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ([2, Corollary 2.7], [17, Corollary 4.2]).

We define the mapping $F(u)$ from $C([0, T]; M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ to itself by

$$F(u) = V_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}^* \times \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}it|\xi|^2} V_{\varphi_0} u_0(x - t\xi, \xi) + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)|\xi|^2} V_{\varphi(s,\cdot)} [f(u)](x - (t-s)\xi, \xi) ds \right].$$

Putting $A = \|u_0\|_{M_{\varphi_0}^{p,1}}$ and $X_T = C([0, T]; M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n))$, we define a closed subset $X_{T,A}$ of $C([0, T]; M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ by

$$X_{T,A} = \left\{ u \in C([0, T]; M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)) \mid \|u\|_{X_T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{M_{\varphi(t,\cdot)}^{p,1}} \leq 2A \right\}.$$

The mapping F is well defined on $X_{T,A}$ for small $T > 0$. In fact, the above fact (15) for multiplication on $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ yields that

$$\|F(u)\|_{X_T} \leq \|u_0\|_{M^{p,1}} + \int_0^T C(s) \tilde{f}(\|u\|_{M_{\varphi(s,\cdot)}^{p,1}}) ds,$$

where $C(s)$ is a positive continuous function of s and $\tilde{f}(u)$ is a polynomial of u and \bar{u} which is made from $f(u)$ replacing all the coefficients to their absolute values. Hence we have

$$\|F(u)\|_{X_T} \leq A + \tilde{f}(A)C_1T$$

with $C_1 = \sup_{s \in [0, T]} C(s)$, which implies $F(u) \in X_{T,A}$ for small $T > 0$.

The same argument as above yields that F is a contraction mapping from $X_{T,A}$ to itself for small $T > 0$. Picard's fixed point theorem for a contraction mapping on $X_{T,A}$ implies the conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Á BÉNYI, K. GRÖCHENIG, K. OKOUDJOU AND L. G. ROGERS, Unimodular Fourier multipliers for modulation spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 246 (2007), 366–384.
- [2] Á. BÉNYI AND K. OKOUDJOU, Local well-posedness of nonlinear dispersive equations on modulation spaces, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 41 (2009), 549–558.
- [3] E. CORDERO AND F. NICOLA, Sharpness of some properties of Wiener amalgam and modulation spaces, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 80 (2009), 105–116.
- [4] A. CÓRDOBA AND C. FEFFERMAN, Wave packets and Fourier integral operators, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 3 (1978), 979–1005.
- [5] H. G. FEICHTINGER, Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups, in: M. Krishna, R. Radha and S. Thangavelu (Eds.), *Wavelets and their Applications*, Chennai, India, Allied Publishers, New Delhi, 2003, pp. 99–140, Updated version of a technical report, University of Vienna, 1983.
- [6] D. FUJIWARA, *Asymptotic methods in linear partial differential equations*, (in Japanese), Iwanami, Tokyo, 1977.
- [7] K. GRÖCHENIG, *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*, *Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal.*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [8] L. HÖRMANDER, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p -spaces, *Acta Math.* 104 (1960), 93–140.
- [9] L. HÖRMANDER, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I, Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [10] L. LI, Some remarks on a multiplier theorem of Hörmander, *Math. Appl. (Wuhan)* 15 (2002), 152–154.

- [11] A. MIYACHI, On some Fourier multipliers for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 27 (1980), 157–179.
- [12] A. MIYACHI, F. NICOLA, S. RIVETTI, A. TABACCO AND N. TOMITA, Estimates for unimodular Fourier multipliers on modulation spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 3869–3883.
- [13] T. MIZUHARA, On Fourier multipliers of homogeneous Besov spaces, Math. Nachr. 133 (1987), 155–161.
- [14] M. SUGIMOTO, On some L^p -estimates for hyperbolic equations, Ark. Mat. 30 (1992), 149–163.
- [15] B. WANG AND C. HUANG, Frequency-uniform decomposition method for the generalized BO, KdV and NLS equations, J. Differential Equations 239 (2007), 213–250.
- [16] B. WANG AND H. HUDZIK, The global Cauchy problem for the NLS and NLKG with small rough data, J. Differential Equations 232 (2007), 36–73.
- [17] B. WANG, L. ZHAO AND B. GUO, Isometric decomposition operators, function spaces $E_{p,q}^\lambda$ and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, J. Funct. Anal. 233 (2006), 1–39.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
KAGURAZAKA 1-3, SHINJUKU-KU
TOKYO 162-8601
JAPAN

E-mail addresses: kato@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp
ito@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY
KOJIRAKAWA 1-4-12, YAMAGATA-CITY
YAMAGATA 990-8560
JAPAN

E-mail address: kobayashi@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp