REPRESENTATIONS FOR REAL NUMBERS

C. J. EVERETT¹

1. Introduction. In a recent paper² [1] B. H. Bissinger generalized continued fractions by iteration of more general decreasing functions than the 1/x of the classical case. We extend here the algorithm by which real numbers are represented as decimals of base p, to general continuous increasing functions on (0, p), including the classical x/pas special case. This sets up a correspondence from real numbers to sequences of integers mod p. Weak sufficient conditions are given that the correspondence be one-one. In the one-one case, algebraic examples are noted. The limit involved in the inscribed polygon problem appears here in a natural way. In the many-one case, the algorithm defines a set L of limit numbers which is perfect and nowhere dense. These sets are closely related to the Cantor perfect set. Finally, the relation between the above theory and the topological transformations F_1 of the unit interval into itself is studied. The latter yield sequences $\{F_p\}$ of our functions, $p=2, 3, \cdots$, and their structure is reflected in the limit sets L_2, L_3, \cdots .

2. The algorithm. Let $p \ge 2$ be a fixed integer and f(t) a continuous, strictly increasing function on the interval $0 \le t \le p$, with f(0) = 0 and f(p) = 1 (cf. [4]).

Such a function may be used to associate with every real number $\gamma_0 \ge 0$, a sequence $\{c_\nu\}$ of integers, with $0 \le c_0 < \infty$, $0 \le c_\nu \le p-1$, $\nu = 1, 2, \cdots$, by way of the following algorithm. We write, for $\gamma_0 \ge 0$,

(A)

$$\gamma_{0} = c_{0} + f(\gamma_{1}), \quad c_{0} \leq \gamma_{0} < c_{0} + 1, \\
0 \leq c_{0} < \infty, 0 \leq \gamma_{1} < p, \\
\gamma_{1} = c_{1} + f(\gamma_{2}), \quad c_{1} \leq \gamma_{1} < c_{1} + 1, \\
0 \leq c_{1} \leq p - 1, 0 \leq \gamma_{2} < p, \\$$

and so on.

Thus, at each step, c_{ν} is the greatest integer in γ_{ν} , and $\gamma_{\nu+1}$ is the uniquely defined real number on the interval $0 \leq t < p$ such that $f(\gamma_{\nu+1}) = \gamma_{\nu} - c_{\nu}$, where $0 \leq \gamma_{\nu} - c_{\nu} < 1$.

² The numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

861

Presented to the Society, September 17, 1945; received by the editors July 10, 1945, and, in revised form, April 9, 1946.

¹ The work here reported was supported by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

Since $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots$ are all on $0 \leq t < p$, it follows that c_1, c_2, \cdots are integers satisfying $0 \leq c_r \leq p-1$. Hence we have a correspondence

(B)
$$\gamma_0 \rightarrow \{c_\nu\}$$

from all reals $\gamma_0 \geq 0$, to sequences of integers as described.

3. Termination in p-1. We ask now whether, under the algorithm, sequences may appear terminating in p-1, p-1, \cdots . Such is the case if and only if, for the function f(t):

(C) There exists a $\gamma_0 = p - 1 + f(\gamma_0), p - 1 < \gamma_0 < p$.

Obviously such a $\gamma_0 \rightarrow \{p-1, p-1, \cdots\}$ under (A). On the other hand, if a number δ_0 under (A) yields a sequence terminating in $p-1, p-1, \cdots$, this implies that some δ_r itself yields $p-1, p-1, \cdots$. Suppose then that $\gamma_0 \ (=\delta_r)$ under (A) gives $\gamma_0 = p-1+f(\gamma_1)$, $\gamma_1 = p-1+f(\gamma_2), \cdots, \gamma_r = p-1+f(\gamma_{r+1})$, and so on. If (C) is false, it follows from continuity of f(t) that:

(D)
$$f(t) > t - (p - 1)$$
, for all t on $p - 1 \le t < p$.

We should then have $\gamma_1 - (p-1) < f(\gamma_1) = \gamma_0 - (p-1), \ \gamma_2 - (p-1) < f(\gamma_2) = \gamma_1 - (p-1), \ \text{and so on, with } p > \gamma_0 > \gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \cdots > p-1.$ Hence $t_0 = \lim \gamma_{\nu}$ exists, with $p-1 \leq t_0 < p$. But from $\gamma_{\nu} = p-1+f(\gamma_{\nu+1})$, we have $t_0 = p-1+f(t_0)$, a contradiction.

Indeed, the condition "not C" is equivalent to (D), and (D) may in turn be rephrased as a slope condition

(D')
$$(f(p) - f(t))/(p - t) < 1 \text{ on } p - 1 \leq t < p.$$

Moreover, if a γ_0 satisfying (C) exists, then not only γ_0 but every δ_0 on $\gamma_0 < \delta_0 < p$ will yield $\{p-1, p-1, \cdots\}$ under (A). For $\gamma_0 = p-1$ $+f(\gamma_0) < \delta_0 < p$ implies $\delta_0 = p-1+f(\delta_1)$, hence $\gamma_0 < \delta_1 < p$, and so on. Since our final object is to obtain a one-one correspondence (B), we assume from this point on the necessary condition (D'). The correspondence (B) then maps all reals $\gamma_0 \ge 0$ onto non-(p-1)-terminating sequences.

4. Upper and lower limits. Let $\{c_{\nu}\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of integers with $0 \leq c_0 \leq \infty$; $0 \leq c_{\nu} \leq p-1$, $\nu=1, 2, \cdots$, not (p-1)-terminating. We define $C_{\nu}^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(c_{\lambda+1} + \cdots + f(c_{\lambda+\nu}))$ and $\Gamma_{\nu}^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(c_{\lambda+1} + \cdots + f(c_{\lambda+\nu}))$ and $\Gamma_{\nu}^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(c_{\lambda+1} + \cdots + f(c_{\lambda+\nu} + 1))$, where the last parentheses are ν -fold. Then, from monotonicity, one has $c_{\lambda} \leq C_{\nu}^{\lambda} \leq C_{\nu+1}^{\lambda} < \Gamma_{\nu+1}^{\lambda} \leq \Gamma_{\nu}^{\lambda} \leq c_{\lambda} + 1$, so that the limits $C^{\lambda} = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} C_{\nu}^{\lambda}$, $\Gamma^{\lambda} = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \Gamma_{\nu}^{\lambda}$ exist and satisfy

(E)
$$c_{\lambda} \leq C^{\lambda} \leq c_{\lambda} + 1.$$

Since $C_{r^{\lambda}} = c_{\lambda} + f(C_{r-1}^{\lambda+1})$ we have $C^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(C^{\lambda+1})$ and similarly

862

 $\Gamma^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(\Gamma^{\lambda+1})$. Now since the sequence is not (p-1)-terminating, for every λ there is a $c_{\lambda+\mu} \leq p-2$. Moreover, $\Gamma_{\mu+\nu}^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(c_{\lambda+1} + \cdots + f(\Gamma^{\lambda+\mu}))$, and $\Gamma^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(c_{\lambda+1} + \cdots + f(\Gamma^{\lambda+\mu}))$. By (E), $\Gamma^{\lambda+\mu} \leq c_{\lambda+\mu} + 1 \leq p-1$, so that we have

(E')
$$c_{\lambda} \leq C^{\lambda} \leq \Gamma^{\lambda} < c_{\lambda} + 1,$$

and, as already shown,

1946]

(F)
$$C^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(C^{\lambda+1}), \quad \Gamma^{\lambda} = c_{\lambda} + f(\Gamma^{\lambda+1}).$$

But (E', F) imply that, under (A), the numbers C^0 and Γ^0 yield the original sequence $\{c_\nu\}$. We call these the lower and upper limit numbers of the sequence.

If f(t) satisfies (D'), the correspondence (B) maps all reals $\gamma_0 \ge 0$ onto all non-(p-1)-terminating sequences. Every such sequence is indeed the map of its limit numbers C^0 , Γ^0 .

Now if γ_0 yields $\{c_{\nu}\}$ under (A), then

(G)
$$C_{\nu}^{0} \leq \gamma_{0} = c_{0} + f(c_{1} + \cdots + f(c_{\nu} + f(\gamma_{\nu+1}) < \Gamma_{\nu}^{0})$$
 all ν ,

and hence $C^0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq \Gamma^0$.

Also, if γ_0' and γ_0'' yield $\{c_r\}$ under (A), and if $\gamma_0' \leq \gamma_0 \leq \gamma_0''$, then γ_0 yields $\{c_r\}$. For

$$c_0 \leq \gamma_0' = c_0 + f(\gamma_1') \leq \gamma_0 \leq \gamma_0'' = c_0 + f(\gamma_1') < c_0 + 1,$$

hence $\gamma_0 = c_0 + f(\gamma_1)$ and $\gamma'_1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma'_1$, and so on.

It follows that γ_0 yields $\{c_r\}$ under (A) if and only if $C^0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq \Gamma^0$. Thus the correspondence (B) is actually a mapping of disjoint closed sets $[C^0, \Gamma^0]$ on all non-(p-1)-terminating sequences. The sequences $\{c_r\}$ fall into two classes according as $C^0 < \Gamma^0$ or $C^0 = \Gamma^0$. The correspondence (B) thus splits into two parts:

$$(\mathbf{B}') \qquad \qquad [C^0, \Gamma^0] \to \{c_r\}, \qquad \qquad C^0$$

$$(\mathbf{B}'') \qquad \qquad C^0 = \Gamma^0 \to \left\{ c_\nu \right\}$$

In the case (B'') the C_{ν}^{0} and Γ_{ν}^{0} converge to $C^{0} = \Gamma^{0} = \gamma_{0}$ with errors thus (see G):

(H)
$$0 \leq \gamma_0 - C_{\nu}^0 < \Gamma_{\nu}^0 - C_{\nu}^0; \quad 0 < \Gamma_{\nu}^0 - \gamma_0 \leq \Gamma_{\nu}^0 - C_{\nu}^0.$$

We note here two properties of the sequence $\{p-1, p-1, \dots\}$ of later use. Although this sequence does not appear under the algorithm, nevertheless the lim C_{p^0} exists and is p. For $p-1 < C_{p^0} < C_{p+1^0}$ < p and $t_0 = \lim C_{p^0}$ satisfies $p-1 < t_0 \le p$. But $C_{p^0} = p-1+f(C_{p-1^1})$ $= p-1+f(C_{p-1^0})$. Hence $t_0 = p-1+f(t_0)$, and by (D'), $t_0 = p$.

< Γ°,

Also, $p-1+f(p-1+\cdots+f(p-1+f(p-2) \ge C_{p-1})^{\circ}$ where the first expression contains ν (p-1)'s. Thus the sequence p-1+f(p-2), $p-1+f(p-1+f(p-2),\cdots$ has limit p.

5. Terminating sequences. We call a sequence $\{c_{\nu}\}$ with $c_{\nu}=0$, $\nu > N$ for some N, terminating. There exist numbers $\gamma_0 > 0$ yielding $\{0, 0, \cdots\}$ under (A) if and only if f(t) has the property:

(I) There exists a $\gamma_0 = f(\gamma_0), 0 < \gamma_0 < 1$.

Clearly such a γ_0 yields $\{0, 0, \cdots\}$ under (A). Suppose that $\gamma_0 > 0$ yields $\{0, 0, \cdots\}$ and that (I) is false. By continuity of f(t) we have

(J)
$$f(t) < t$$
 for all t on $0 < t \le 1$,

and $0 < \gamma_0 = f(\gamma_1) < \gamma_1 = f(\gamma_2) < \gamma_2 \cdots$. Hence $0 < \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < 1$, and $t_0 = \lim \gamma_{\nu}$ exists with $0 < t_0 \leq 1$. But from $\gamma_{\nu} = f(\gamma_{\nu+1})$ follows $t_0 = f(t_0)$, a contradiction.

Obviously "not I" is equivalent to (J), and (J) may be restated in slope form

(J')
$$f(t) - f(0)/t < 1$$
 on $0 < t \le 1$.

If a γ_0 exists satisfying (I) then not only γ_0 but also every δ_0 on $0 \leq \delta_0 < \gamma_0$ will yield $\{0, 0, \cdots\}$ under (A). Hence for a one-one correspondence (B), (J') is necessary, and we assume from this point on that f(t) satisfies (D') and (J').

Under these restrictions, the sequence $\{0, 0, \cdots\}$ has $C^0 = \Gamma^0 = 0$, and since in any sequence $\{c_\nu\}$, $C^0 = c_0 + \cdots + f(C^{\lambda})$, $\Gamma^0 = c_0 + \cdots + f(\Gamma^{\lambda})$, it follows that every terminating sequence has $\Gamma^0 = C^0$ and falls under (B'').

We remark here that if $\{d_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ is a terminating sequence $\{d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_{\mathbf{r}}, 0, 0, \cdots\}$, then the associated limit numbers $D^0 = \Delta^0 = d_0 + f(d_1 + \cdots + f(d_{\mathbf{r}}), \text{ since } D^{\mathbf{r}+1} = 0.$

6. The many-one case. Suppose then that f(t) satisfies (D') and (J') and consider the algorithm (A) only as it applies to numbers γ_0 on the interval $[0, p) = (0 \le t < p)$. The correspondences (B', B'') then map the interval [0, p) onto all non-(p-1)-terminating sequences $\{c_{\nu}\}$ with $0 \le c_{\nu} \le p-1$.

Let L be the set of all limit numbers C^0 , Γ^0 on [0, p) (equal or not) of all such sequences, and G the complement of L in [0, p). The points of L are then the numbers $C^0 = \Gamma^0$ occurring under (B''), including the limits of all terminating sequences, together with the end points $C^0 < \Gamma^0$ of the closed intervals under (B'). The points of G are those of all the open intervals (C^0, Γ^0) in (B'). Since G is a union of (nonoverlapping, indeed, non-abutting) intervals, G is open, and L is closed.

We write [0, p) = L + G, and L = L' + L'', where L' is the set of end points under (B') and L'' the set of $C^0 = \Gamma^0$ under (B'').

Since the intervals of G are countable, so is the set L'. We now show that L is dense in itself. It then follows that L is perfect, L (hence also L'') has the power of the continuum. Since the limits of terminating sequences are countable, the set of limits of non-terminating sequences for which $C^0 = \Gamma^0$ is of the power of the continuum (cf. [3]).

Indeed, every point λ of L is a limit point of limit numbers $D^0 = \Delta^0$ of terminating sequences $\{d_r\}$. First let $\lambda = C^0 = \Gamma^0$ for $\{c_r\}$. Then $\lambda = \lim C_r^0 = \lim \Gamma_r^0$ and $C_r^0 < \Gamma_r^0$. The numbers C_r^0 are in L, being limit numbers of terminating sequences. Since the sequence $\{c_r\}$ is not (p-1)-terminating, a subsequence of $\{\Gamma_r^0\}$ has $\Gamma_r^0 = c_0 + f(c_1 + \cdots + f(c_r+1) \text{ with } c_r+1 \leq p-1$, and these Γ_r^0 are thus in L, being limit numbers of terminating sequences $\{c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_r+1, 0, 0, \cdots\}$ in our class. Hence λ is a limit point of points of L.

Second, let $\lambda = C^0 < \Gamma^0$ for $\{c_\nu\}$. Then the sequence $\{c_\nu\}$ is not terminating, and a subsequence of $\{C_\nu^0\}$ is properly increasing to C^0 as a limit point.

Finally, let $C^0 < \Gamma^0 = \lambda$ for $\{c_\nu\}$. Since $\{c_\nu\}$ is not (p-1)-terminating, a proper subsequence of $\{\Gamma_{\nu}^0\}$ with $c_{\nu}+1 \leq p-1$ is properly decreasing to Γ^0 as a limit point. Hence L is dense in itself.

If f(t) admits a sequence $\{d_r\}$ with $D^0 < \Delta^0$, that is, if the correspondence (B) is not one-one, then the set L is nondense on [0, p). If (a, b)is a subinterval: $0 \le a < b < p$, we show that (a, b) contains a subinterval containing no point of L. If (a, b) itself contains no point of L, (a, b) will serve. However if a point λ of L is in (a, b) and if $\lambda = C^0 < \Gamma^0$ or $C^0 < \Gamma^0 = \lambda$ for some $\{c_r\}$ then the interval (a, b) intersects (C^0, Γ^0) in an interval containing only points of G. The only case remaining is $\lambda = C^0 = \Gamma^0$ in $(a, b), \lambda = \lim C_r^0 = \lim \Gamma_r^0$. But $C_r^0 < c_0 + f(c_1 + \cdots + f(c_r + f(D^0) < c_0 + f(c_1 + \cdots + f(c_r + f(\Delta^0) < \Gamma_r^0)$. The inner numbers define an interval of G, interior to (a, b) for sufficiently large ν .

7. An example. Consider for p=3 the function f(t) defined by f(0)=0, f(4/3)=1/3, f(5/3)=2/3, f(3)=1, and elsewhere by the broken line connecting these points. It is clear that 4/3 and 5/3 yield $\{1, 1, \dots \}$ under the algorithm. Moreover, for this sequence, $C^0=4/3$ and $\Gamma^0=5/3$ as is seen graphically from the sequences 1+f(1), $1+f(1+f(1), \dots$ and 1+f(2), $1+f(1+f(2), \dots$. Imagine that we blacken the intervals $(i+f(C^0), i+f(\Gamma^0)), i=0, 1, 2$. The first of these defines three intervals $(j+f(0+f(C^0), j+f(0+f(\Gamma^0)), j=0, 1, 2, and the last similarly, all of which we blacken. (Graphically, the$

1946]

process amounts to projecting the function values above each black interval onto the three 45° lines and thence down to the *t*-axis.) Repetition of this process yields a set of open intervals of total length $1/3+2/3+3(2/3)(1/4)+\cdots=1/3+2/3(1+3/4+(3/4)^2+\cdots)=3$. It follows that the set of black intervals exhausts the set *G*, and the complement *L* is of measure zero, perfect, and nondense on [0, 3). While this is not quite the Cantor "middle-third" set it has precisely the same structure.

8. Sufficient conditions for one-one correspondence. Let $c_0 < \gamma_0 < \delta_0 < c_0+1$ and $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n$; $\delta_0, \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n$, be the numbers resulting from the first *n* steps of the algorithm. We say that the slopes $f(\delta_i) - f(\gamma_i)/\delta_i - \gamma_i, i=1, \cdots, n$, are connected.

In order that the correspondence (B) be one-one it is sufficient that:

(K) There exists an integer n such that the product of every n connected slopes is less than one.

Suppose that (B) is not one-one, and let X' be the class of all intervals (C^0 , Γ^0) under (B'). Then there must be in X' an interval of maximal length. For this interval, write $\Gamma^0 - C^0 = (f(\Gamma^1) - f(C^1)/\Gamma^1 - C^1)$ $\cdots (f(\Gamma^n) - f(C^n)/\Gamma^n - C^n)(\Gamma^n - C^n)$. The interval (C^n , Γ^n) is in X', hence these *n* connected slopes have product not less than 1, contradicting (K).

Stronger sufficient conditions are:

(K')
$$f(t_2) - f(t_1)/t_2 - t_1 < 1, \qquad 0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le p.$$

(K'') There exists a β such that $0 < \beta < 1$, $f(t_2) - f(t_1)/t_2 - t_1 \leq \beta$ on $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq p$.

In case (K'') obtains, we note that $\Gamma_{\nu}^{0} - C_{\nu}^{0} = (f(\Gamma_{\nu-1}^{1}) - f(C_{\nu-1}^{1}))/\Gamma_{\nu-1}^{1} - C_{\nu-1}^{1}) \cdots (f(\Gamma_{1}^{\nu-1}) - f(C_{1}^{\nu-1})/\Gamma_{1}^{\nu-1} - C_{1}^{\nu-1}) (f(c_{\nu}+1) - f(c_{\nu})/(c_{\nu}+1) - c_{\nu}) \leq \beta^{\nu}$, so that from (H) the error in the Γ_{ν}^{0} and C_{ν}^{0} approximations to $\gamma_{0} = C^{0} = \Gamma^{0}$ is not greater than β^{ν} .

Although the slope condition (K') is sufficient for one-one (B), it is far from necessary. We shall construct functions of arbitrarily great slope for which (B) is one-one.

Consider the set of all ratios (note: not slopes) f(b+f(a+1) - f(b+f(a))/f(b+1) - f(b) where a, b are arbitrary integers on 0, 1, \cdots , p-1. Of these there are only a finite number, each less than one, since the numerator is the difference of function values on a proper subinterval of (b, b+1). Let M be the maximum of these ratios, M < 1.

Now consider the intervals (b+f(a), b+f(a+1)) and suppose that the ratio of inner to outer slope of f(t) on each of these intervals is bounded above from 1/M, that is:

$$(f(t_2) - f(t_1)/t_2 - t_1)/(f(b + f(a+1) - f(b + f(a))/f(a+1) - f(a)) \le k$$

for all t_1 , t_2 on

$$b + f(a) \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq b + f(a + 1),$$

or equivalently:

(L') There exists a k < 1/M such that whenever

$$b+f(a) \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq b+f(a+1)$$
 and $t_2-t_1 \leq \tau \cdot (b+f(a+1)-(b+f(a)))$,

we must have $f(t_2) - f(t_1) \le k\tau(f(b+f(a+1) - f(b+f(a))))$.

The condition (L') is sufficient for one-one (B).

For, by definition of M, $f(b+f(a+1)-f(b+f(a) \leq M(f(b+1)-f(b)))$ and $c+f(b+f(a+1)-(c+f(b+f(a)) \leq M((c+f(b+1)-(c+f(b))))$. Now use (L') on the interval (c+f(b), c+f(b+1)) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(c+f(b+f(a+1)-f(c+f(b+f(a) \leq kM(f(c+f(b+1)-f(c+f(b)) \\ \leq kM^2(f(c+1)-f(c)). \end{aligned}$$

By iteration of this process, one obtains

$$\begin{array}{l} f(c_1 + \cdots + f(c_{\nu} + 1) - f(c_1 + \cdots + f(c_{\nu}) \\ \leq k^{\nu-2}M^{\nu-1}(f(c_1 + 1) - f(c_1)) \leq (kM)^{\nu-2}M, \end{array}$$

which approaches zero since k < 1/M.

While this discussion is cumbersome, it nevertheless shows that a function f(t) defined arbitrarily (consistent with monotonicity) at $t=0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1, p$, and then at all t=b+f(a), a, b on $0, \dots, p-1$, and elsewhere by the broken line connecting these points, must satisfy (L) with k=1, since the ratio of inner to outer slope on the straight segments is unity.

Thus the broken line function connecting f(0)=0, f(1)=e>0, (*e* arbitrarily small constant), f(1+e)=1-e, f(2)=1 (for p=2) yields a one-one (B). The slope on (1, 1+e) however is (1-2e)/e, which may be arbitrarily large.

9. Algebraic examples of the one-one case. Example 1. Let $f(t) = t^n/p^n$ for an integer $p \ge 2$ and an integer n on $1 \le n < p$. One verifies the properties of §2, and condition (K'') with $\beta = n/p$. For n=1, our theory reduces to the classical decimals with base p. In the general case let q be an integer not greater than p-2, and let C^0 be the limit for sequence $\{q, q, \cdots\}$. Then $C^0 = q + f(C^0)$, and the number $\alpha = C^0/p$ satisfies $p\alpha = q + \alpha^n$ or $\alpha^n - p\alpha + q = 0$, where $0 \le q/p \le \alpha < (q + 1)/p \le p - 1/p$. Thus the equation $x^n - px + q = 0$, $1 \le n < p$, $0 \le q$

1946]

 $\leq p-2$, has exactly one real root on [0, 1), namely $\alpha = (1/p)$ $\cdot (q+f(q+f(q+\cdots))$

In particular, for n = 2, p = 3, q = 1, $x^2 - 3x + 1 = 0$, $\alpha = (3 - 5^{1/2})/2$ is approximately

$$(1/3)C_8^0 = (1/3)\left(1 + \frac{1}{9}\left(1 + \frac{1}{9}\left(1 + \frac{1}{9}\left(1 + \frac{1}{9}\right)^2\right)^2\right),$$

with error not greater than $\beta^3 = (2/3)^3$.

Example 2. For $f(t) = (1+t)^{1/n}-1$, $p = 2^n - 1$, n > 1, one has slope on (0, p) not greater than 1/n. We consider $\gamma = 1 + f(q + f(q + \cdots))$ where $0 < q \le 2^n - 2$. We have $\gamma = 1 + f(q + \gamma - 1) = 1 + (q + \gamma)^{1/n} - 1$ or $\gamma^n - \gamma - q = 0$. Thus, the equation $x^n - x - q = 0$, n > 1, $0 < q \le 2^n - 2$, has only one real root γ on (1, 2], namely the number γ above.

For instance, n = 2, p = 3, q = 1, $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$, $\gamma = 1 + f(1 + f(1 + \cdots + f(1 + 1)))$. The successive C_r^0 are $1 + f(1) = 2^{1/2} = (1 + 1^{1/2})^{1/2}$ (from here on radicals are "nested"), $1 + f(1 + f(1)) = (1 + (1 + 1^{1/2})^{1/2})^{1/2}$, and so on. Hence $(1 + 5^{1/2})/2 = (1 + (1 + (1 + \cdots + 1))^{1/2})$.

Recalling the remark at the end of §4, and using n = 2, p = 3, q = 2, $x^2 - x - 2 = 0$, $\gamma = 2 = 1 + f(2 + f(2 + \cdots))$, the successive approximations being $1 + f(2) = 3^{1/2}$, $1 + f(2 + f(2)) = (2 + 3^{1/2})^{1/2}$, $1 + f(2 + f(2) + f(2)) = (2 + (2 + 3^{1/2})^{1/2})^{1/2}$, and so on. But using the sequence $p - 1 + f(p - 1 + \cdots + f(p - 2))$, we have $3 = 2 + f(2 + f(2) + f(2))^{1/2}$, with approximations $2 + f(1) = 1 + 2^{1/2}$, $2 + f(2 + f(2)) = 1 + (2 + 2^{1/2})^{1/2}$, whence $2 = (2 + (2 + (2 + \cdots))^{1/2})^{1/2}$ which is the classical limit occurring in the inscribed polygon theory [2].

Finally, for n=3, $p=2^{n}-1=7$, q=6, $x^{3}-x-6=0$, $\gamma=2=1+f(6+f(6+\cdots), the approximations being <math>1+f6=7^{1/3}, 1+f6+f6=[6+7^{1/3}]^{1/3}$, or again using the (p-2)-terminating approximations, $2=\{6+[6+(6+\cdots)^{1/3}]^{1/3}\}^{1/3}$.

10. "Spectra" of the topological maps of the unit interval. Let $T = \{F_1(t)\}$ be the class of all continuous increasing functions on $0 \le t \le 1$ with $F_1(0) = 0$, $F_1(1) = 1$. These are the topological mappings of the unit interval onto itself [5]. If p is any integer not less than 2 and f(t) is of the type in §2:

(M) f(t) continuous increasing on $0 \le t \le p$; f(0) = 0, f(p) = 1, then F(t) = f(pt) is in the class T. Thus all our functions may be regarded as magnifications of the functions of T by a factor p in the *t*-direction. Conversely, if $F_1(t)$ is in T and $p \ge 2$, then $F_p(t) = F_1(t/p)$ is a function of type (M). Hence for every $F_1(t)$ in T we regard the sequence of functions $\{F_1(t), F_2(t), F_3(t), \cdots\}$ where $F_p(t) = F_1(t/p)$ for $p \ge 2$. The associated sequence of perfect sets L_p of limit numbers of $F_p(t)$ is a curious sort of "spectrum" for F_1 .

868

[October

REPRESENTATIONS FOR REAL NUMBERS

For a fixed $F_1(t)$ in T, the correspondence $(t, F_1(t)) \leftrightarrow (nt, F_1(t))$, $0 \le t \le 1$, is a one-one correspondence of the points on the curves $y = F_1(t)$ and $y = F_n(t)$. This induces a one-one correspondence between the points of the curves F_n and F_{n+1} , namely, $(nt, F_1(t))$ $\leftrightarrow ((n+1)t, F_1(t)), 0 \le t \le 1$. The latter may be used to show that the slopes s_n, s_{n+1} of the chords at corresponding points of F_n and F_{n+1} satisfy $s_n > s_{n+1}$. Hence if some F_n satisfies (K') so do all succeeding F_n , and thus $L_p = [0, p), p \ge n$. If F_1 is of bounded slope, there will exist an F_n of slope everywhere less than one. Moreover, one can show that if F_n satisfies (D') and (J'), so does F_{n+1} . This leads to the question whether $L_p = [0, p)$ implies $L_{p+1} = [0, p+1)$. This is in fact *not* the case.

Example. The broken line function F_1 defined by $F_1(0) = 0$, $F_1(4/9) = 1/3$, $F_1(5/9) = 2/3$, $F_1(1) = 1$ has $L_2 = [0, 2)$, since the product of every two connected slopes of F_2 is less than one (condition (K) with n = 2; note that the test (L) fails). But $F_3(t)$ is the function of §7 with L_3 of measure zero. However $L_p = [0, p)$, $p \ge 4$, since the maximum slope of F_3 is one and all successors therefore have slope less than one.

11. Unsolved problems. (1) State simple necessary and sufficient conditions on f(t) such that (B) be one-one. (2) Do there exist functions f(t) which give $C^0 < \Gamma^0$ for non-terminally-periodic sequences $\{c_n\}$? (3) Do functions exist with sets L of every measure between 0 and p? (4) The limits of periodic sequences of period k are algebraic numbers of degree n^k at most for the function of Example 1, §9. Characterize algebraically all such limits.

References

1. B. H. Bissinger, A generalization of continued fractions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 50 (1944) pp. 868-876.

2. R. Courant and H. Robbins, What is mathematics? Oxford University Press, New York, 1941, p. 125.

3. E. W. Hobson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, vol. 1, 3d ed., Cambridge University Press, 1927, p. 117 ff.

4. O. Perron, Irrationalzahlen, Berlin, 1921, p. 90 ff.

5. J. Schreier and S. Ulam, Eine Bemerkung über die Gruppe der topologischen Abbildungen der Kreislinie auf sich selbst, Studia Mathematica vol. 5 (1935) pp. 156–159.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

1946]