

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.10.14.464455

# Reproducible, high-dimensional imaging in archival human tissue by Multiplexed Ion Beam Imaging by Time-of-Flight (MIBI-TOF) — Source link

Candace C. Liu, Marc Bosse, Alex Kong, Adam Kagel ...+4 more authors Institutions: Stanford University, National Institutes of Health Published on: 16 Oct 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) Topics: Mass spectrometry imaging

#### Related papers:

- · An unsupervised MVA method to compare specific regions in human breast tumor tissue samples using ToF-SIMS
- Multiplex immunohistochemistry image cytometry
- Digital immunohistochemistry platform for the staining variation monitoring based on integration of image and statistical analyses with laboratory information system
- Automatic single cell segmentation on highly multiplexed tissue images
- Computerized methods for tissue analysis using n-gene profiling



# Reproducible, high-dimensional imaging in archival human tissue by Multiplexed Ion Beam Imaging by Time-of-Flight (MIBI-TOF)

3 Candace C. Liu<sup>1†</sup>, Marc Bosse<sup>1†</sup>, Alex Kong<sup>1</sup>, Adam Kagel<sup>1</sup>, Robert Kinders<sup>2</sup>, Stephen M. 4 Hewitt<sup>3</sup>, Sean C. Bendall<sup>1\*</sup>, Michael Angelo<sup>1\*</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94304 8 <sup>2</sup>Office of the Director, Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, National Cancer 9 Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892 <sup>3</sup>Experimental Pathology Laboratory, Laboratory of Pathology, Center for Cancer 10 11 Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892 12 <sup>†</sup>Authors contributed equally to this work 13 14 \*Corresponding Author 15 16 17 18 Abstract

19 Multiplexed ion beam imaging by time-of-flight (MIBI-TOF) is a form of mass spectrometry 20 imaging that uses metal labeled antibodies and secondary ion mass spectrometry to image 21 dozens of proteins simultaneously in the same tissue section. Working with the National Cancer 22 Institute's (NCI) Cancer Immune Monitoring and Analysis Centers (CIMAC), we undertook a 23 validation study, assessing concordance across a dozen serial sections of a tissue microarray of 24 21 samples that were independently processed and imaged by MIBI-TOF or single-plex 25 immunohistochemistry (IHC) over 12 days. Pixel-level features were highly concordant across all 16 targets assessed in both staining intensity ( $R^2 = 0.94 \pm 0.04$ ) and frequency ( $R^2 = 0.95 \pm 0.04$ ). 26 27 Comparison to digitized, single-plex IHC on adjacent serial sections revealed similar concordance 28  $(R^2 = 0.85 \pm 0.08)$  as well. Lastly, automated segmentation and clustering of eight cell populations 29 found that cell frequencies between replicates yielded an average correlation of  $R^2 = 0.92 \pm 0.06$ . 30 Taken together, we demonstrate that MIBI-TOF, with well-vetted reagents and automated 31 analysis, can generate consistent and quantitative annotations of clinically relevant cell states in archival human tissue, and more broadly, present a scalable framework for benchmarking 32 33 multiplexed IHC approaches.

## 34 Introduction

35 Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is commonly used in clinical diagnostics and basic research to visualize proteins in intact tissue using chromogenic or fluorescent reporters.<sup>1–3</sup> IHC staining is 36 37 used routinely to guide diagnoses and therapeutic selection in the vast majority of solid tissue malignancies.<sup>4–6</sup> Although it remains an indispensable tool in anatomic pathology, chromogenic 38 39 IHC has inherent limitations that hinder quantitative interpretation and prevent routine multiplexed 40 staining.<sup>1,7 8–10</sup> These drawbacks are particularly limiting in the field of cancer immunotherapy. 41 where accurate evaluation of the tumor immune microenvironment requires the simultaneous 42 mapping of dozens of proteins.<sup>11,12</sup> The emerging field of spatial-omics with a multitude of 43 analytical solutions is working towards replacement of conventional IHC-driven decision 44 making.<sup>11,13</sup> While it is clear that new technologies and assays have the potential to generate new 45 types of data, it is unclear whether they can reliably replicate the decision-making information in 46 current 'gold standard' assays.

47 Our lab has developed multiplexed ion beam imaging by time-of-flight (MIBI-TOF), which 48 avoids the limitations of optical imaging by using secondary mass spectrometry to image dozens of proteins on the same tissue section.<sup>14,15</sup> In the place of chromogenic or fluorescent reporters, 49 50 MIBI-TOF uses primary antibodies that are labeled with isotopically enriched metal reporters that 51 can be cleanly delineated and quantified using time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometry. Tissue 52 sections are treated with all metal labeled primary antibodies simultaneously using a simple 53 protocol that does not include secondary antibodies, enzymatic amplification, or cyclical staining. 54 During MIBI-TOF analysis, the tissue is sputtered by a primary ion beam in a pixel-by-pixel fashion 55 that liberates the metal tags as secondary ions that are subsequently guantified by TOF. Our lab 56 routinely quantifies 40 targets simultaneously and are currently working towards increasing this capability to 60 or more.<sup>16-18</sup> Notably, MIBI-TOF is compatible with formalin-fixed, paraffin-57 58 embedded (FFPE) samples and can detect both low and high abundant targets with a dynamic range that spans six orders of magnitude.<sup>15</sup> <sup>19–21</sup> 59

For technologies like MIBI-TOF to be used in large translational studies and ultimately for 60 61 routine clinical diagnostics, robustness and reproducibility studies are needed, as are 62 standardized workflows for interpreting these complex data. Furthermore, it is important to show 63 that new technologies are concordant with existing, established clinical assays. In collaboration 64 with the National Cancer Institute (NCI) as part of the Cancer Immune Monitoring and Analysis Centers-Cancer Immunologic Data Commons (CIMAC-CIDC) network<sup>22</sup>, we benchmarked the 65 reproducibility of multiplexed antibody staining using MIBI-TOF. To achieve this, we compared 66 67 MIBI-TOF imaging data across six independent replicates of adjacent tissue microarray (TMA) 68 serial sections. Antibody staining and MIBI-TOF imaging of each serial section were carried out independently and randomized with respect to all experimental parameters. Additionally, we 69 70 assessed MIBI-TOF concordance with single-plex IHC chromogenic staining. In keeping with the 71 goal of CIMAC-CIDC to develop comprehensive, standardized immune monitoring analysis for 72 biomarker discovery, we show that MIBI-TOF is a highly reproducible assay and concordant with 73 single-plex IHC.

74

#### 75 Materials and Methods

#### 76 Tissue microarray construction and sectioning

A tissue microarray (TMA) was constructed using human FFPE blocks from Stanford Pathology. The TMA consisted of disease-free controls as well as multiple types of carcinomas, sarcomas, and central nervous system lesions. A table of the cores included in this study can be found in Table 1 (1 mm cores). For each TMA tissue block, 13 consecutive serial sections (4 µm section thickness) were acquired. The IHC and MIBI-TOF recuts were alternated so that for the IHC concordance analysis, MIBI-TOF and IHC could be compared in adjacent sections. The assays performed on each section were as follows:

84 Section 1: H&E

85 Sections 2,4,6,8,10: Chromogenic IHC

# 86 Sections 3,5,7,9,11,13: MIBI-TOF full panel staining

## 87 Section 12: MIBI-TOF unstained control

|                                      | Replicate            | Replicate | Replicate | Replicate | Replicate | Replicate |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Slide Number                         | 1                    | 2         | 3         | 4         | 5         | 6         |
| Stain Order                          | 1                    | 2         | 6         | 5         | 3         | 4         |
| Day of Analysis                      | 5                    | 2         | 6         | 3         | 4         | 1         |
|                                      |                      |           |           |           |           |           |
| FOV                                  | Order of Acquisition |           |           |           |           |           |
| CONTROL TONSIL                       | 14                   | 4         | 17        | 1         | 19        | 1         |
| CONTROL TONSIL                       | 18                   | 20        | 21        | 7         | 20        | 2         |
| CONTROL TONSIL                       | 19                   | 10        | 6         | 5         | 13        | 3         |
| CONTROL TONSIL                       | 11                   | 1         | 2         | 18        | 5         | 4         |
| CONTROL LYMPH NODE                   | 9                    | 3         | 18        | 2         | 11        | 5         |
| CONTROL LYMPH NODE                   | 15                   | 6         | 11        | 12        | 10        | 6         |
| CONTROL LYMPH NODE                   | 3                    | 7         | 15        | 9         | 4         | 7         |
| CONTROL THYMUS                       | 7                    | 11        | 14        | 20        | 3         | 8         |
| CONTROL THYMUS                       | 5                    | 12        | 5         | 13        | 8         | 9         |
| CONTROL SPLEEN                       | 17                   | 19        | 16        | 16        | 21        | 10        |
| SALIVARY WARTHIN SALIVARY            | 2                    | 17        | 4         | 4         | 9         | 11        |
| HIGH GRADE, CARCINOMA BLADDER        | 16                   | 15        | 12        | 19        | 18        | 12        |
| CONTROL PLACENTA                     | 8                    | 9         | 20        | 14        | 7         | 13        |
| DUCTAL CARCINOMA BREAST              | 20                   | 18        | 3         | 21        | 1         | 14        |
| DUCTAL CARCINOMA BREAST              | 1                    | 2         | 13        | 15        | 12        | 15        |
| LEIOMYOSARCOMA, MET SOFT TISSUE      | 12                   | 13        | 7         | 8         | 6         | 16        |
| HIGH-GRADE MYXOFIBROSARCOMA SOFT     |                      |           |           |           |           |           |
| TISSUE                               | 6                    | 16        | 19        | 10        | 15        | 17        |
| FOREIGN BODY GIANT CELLS SOFT TISSUE | 21                   | 21        | 9         | 6         | 14        | 18        |
| ADENOCARCINOMA COLON                 | 10                   | 8         | 1         | 3         | 2         | 19        |
| PLEOMORPHIC DERMAL SARCOMA SKIN      | 13                   | 14        | 10        | 11        | 16        | 20        |
| SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA SKIN         | 4                    | 5         | 8         | 17        | 17        | 21        |

Table 1: Description of tissue cores and parameter randomization

## 88

## 89 Antibody preparation

The full MIBI-TOF panel containing 16 antibodies can be found in Table 2. The lyophilized
antibody panel was obtained from lonpath ("Cell Classification" human panel). Individual metal
labeled antibodies for IHC were also obtained from lonpath: CD3 (D7A6E), CD8 (C8/144B), CD68
(D4B9C), Pax5 (D7H5X), PanCK (AE1/AE3). Prior to staining, the lyophilized antibody panel was
suspended in antibody diluent buffer (TBS-IHC tween, Donkey serum 3%) and filtered with a 0.1

95 μm filter (Millipore).

| Target              | Clone         |  |  |
|---------------------|---------------|--|--|
| dsDNA               | 3519 DNA      |  |  |
| beta-tubulin        | D3U1W         |  |  |
| CD4                 | EPR6855       |  |  |
| CD11c               | EP1347Y       |  |  |
| CD56                | MRQ-42        |  |  |
| CD31                | EP3095        |  |  |
| CD68                | D4B9C         |  |  |
| CD8                 | C8/144B       |  |  |
| CD3                 | D7A6E         |  |  |
| Vimentin            | D21H3         |  |  |
| CD20                | L26           |  |  |
| HLA DR              | EPR3692       |  |  |
| CD45                | 2B11 & PD7/26 |  |  |
| Na-K-ATPase alpha 1 | D4Y7E         |  |  |
| Pax5                | D7H5X         |  |  |
| PanCK               | AE1/AE3       |  |  |

 Table 2: MIBI-TOF staining panel. Targets used for IHC concordance analysis are highlighted in green.

#### 96 Tissue staining

97 Tissue staining for the six MIBI-TOF recuts was carried out over six separate days,
98 randomized with respect to serial section number (Table 1). Detailed protocols can be found here:

99 dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.byzrpx56, dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bf6ajrae,

100 dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhmej43e. We used the Sequenza manual staining system for

101 both MIBI-TOF and IHC staining (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmc6k2ze).

102 Briefly, slides were baked at 70°C overnight followed by deparaffinization and rehydration 103 with successive washes of 30 seconds and 3 dips each in xylene (3 washes), 100% ethanol (2 104 washes), 95% ethanol (2 washes), 80% ethanol (1 wash), 70% ethanol (1 wash), and ddH2O (2 105 washes) with a Leica ST4020 Linear Stainer (Leica Biosystems). Tissues next underwent antigen 106 retrieval by submerging slides in 3-in-1 Target Retrieval Solution (pH 9, DAKO Agilent) and 107 incubating at 97°C for 40 minutes in a Lab Vision PT Module (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 108 cooling to room temperature, slides were assembled with a cover plate on a Sequenza rack and 109 washed with PBS wash buffer. The tissue was blocked for 1 hour at room temperature with 1x 110 TBS IHC Wash Buffer with Tween 20 with 3% (v/v) normal donkey serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% 111 (v/v) cold fish skin gelatin (Sigma Aldrich), 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, and 0.05% (v/v) sodium azide. 112 The blocking buffer was washed by adding 200  $\mu$ L of antibody diluent buffer in the Sequenza 113 upper chamber. After the diluent buffer flow through, 120  $\mu$ L of the suspended panel of antibodies 114 was added to the slides. The Sequenza rack was then placed at 4°C overnight (16 hr).

Following the overnight incubation, slides were washed twice with 1 mL of PBS wash buffer and fixed in a solution of 2% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) solution in low-barium PBS for 5 minutes. Slides were successively washed with 30 seconds and 3 dips per wash in PBS (1 wash), 0.1 M Tris at pH 8.5 (3 washes), ddH2O (2 washes), and then dehydrated by washing in 70% ethanol (1 wash), 80% ethanol (1 wash), 95% ethanol (2 washes), and 100% ethanol (2 washes). Slides were dried under vacuum prior to imaging.

121 Single-plex chromogenic IHC was performed for five targets using the same antibody 122 clone as used in the MIBI-TOF staining panel (Table 2). Dewaxing, epitope retrieval, blocking, 123 hybridization, and washing for IHC tissue sections were identical to that of MIBI, with the addition 124 of blocking endogenous peroxidase activity with 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Sigma Aldrich) in ddH2O after epitope 125 retrieval. After overnight primary antibody staining, tissues were washed twice with 1 mL wash 126 buffer and the antigen: antibody complex detected with the ImmPRESS universal (Anti-127 Mouse/Anti-Rabbit) kit (Vector labs). Chromogenic solution (DAB) was incubated for 40 s and the 128 reaction was stopped with PBS. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

H&E tissue sections were reviewed to identify missing tissue cores, cores with large areas
of necrosis, tissue folding, and any other macroscopic defect. All IHC and MIBI-TOF data were
manually reviewed for excessive background staining.

### 132 MIBI-TOF imaging

133 The order of image acquisition for each recut was randomized with respect to section 134 number and carried out on six separate days (Table 1). Within each run for each recut, the order 135 of acquisition for the TMA cores was randomized as well (Table 1). Area normalized Xe+ primary 136 ion dose of 9nA\*hr\*mm<sup>-2</sup> was used for all MIBI-TOF image acquisitions. At the end of each 137 imaging run, sensitivity and mass resolution were quantified using a molybdenum foil standard138 (Supplementary Figure 1).

#### 139 Image processing

MIBI-TOF data processing was performed using a standardized pipeline from lonpath. During data extraction, to only capture the monoatomic peaks that correspond to the monoatomic isotopic labels and avoid the polyatomic ions containing hydrogen, we integrated all peaks using a mass window of (X-0.3, X) where X is the nominal mass-to-charge (m/z) for each metal reporter tag.

Background signal arising from bare slide was removed as described previously using predefined thresholds for Ba, Ta, and Au.<sup>16,23</sup> Isobaric interferences arising from diatomic reporter adducts and polyatomic hydrocarbons were removed iteratively using empirically defined compensation coefficients (Supplementary Table 1). Lastly, we used a combination of several density metrics, including reachability density, connectivity, and Voronoi tessellation to estimate and remove noise from each channel (Supplementary Table 2). These density metrics leverage both spatial and intensity information.

Hematoxylin counterstained IHC slides were digitized with the NanoZoomer slide scanner at 40x magnification (Hamamatsu). The threshold for hematoxylin and each DAB channel was set collectively for all images in the staining batch using a DAB channel extracted from the original counterstained image via spectral unmixing. An initial threshold approximation was set with automatic image histogram thresholding. The thresholds were then confirmed by three trained users on controls and additional images for validation and adjusted until consensus was reached (Supplementary Table 3).

#### 159 Marker quantitation

160 In all MIBI-TOF images, for each marker, the frequency and mean intensity of positive 161 pixels in each tissue core was quantified. The resultant value for percent positive pixels (PPP) 162 and mean pixel intensity (MPI) for these images was then normalized with respect to the signal intensity of endogenous carbon-containing mass peaks originating from the tissue itself. Peaks at 36, 37 and 38 m/z corresponding to 3C, 3C1H, and 3C2H were integrated for each image (referred to here as *C<sub>i</sub>*) and the average of these values across all images in all six TMAs was calculated (referred here to  $C_{avg}$ ). To calculate the normalization coefficient (*C<sub>N</sub>*) for a given tissue core, *C<sub>i</sub>* for that tissue core was divided by  $C_{avg}$  (*C<sub>N</sub>* = *C<sub>i</sub>* / *C<sub>avg</sub>*). Median and standard deviation for *C<sub>N</sub>* across all tissue cores was 1.03 ± 0.3. For IHC images, the frequency of positive pixels was quantified using deconvolved and thresholded DAB images (PPP).

170 **Reproducibility and concordance** 

To assess replicate reproducibility, least squares linear regression was used to compare PPP for tissue cores in each TMA to the average PPP across all six TMAs. Similarly, least squares linear regression was used to compare MPI for tissue cores in each TMA to the average MPI across all six TMAs. For each comparison, we calculated the slope (m) and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ). To assess concordance of MIBI-TOF with single-plex IHC, we used least squares linear regression to compare values for PPP attained by MIBI-TOF and IHC in adjacent serial tissue sections.

178 Cell segmentation and phenotyping

To delineate the location of single cells in MIBI-TOF images, we performed cell segmentation using the pre-trained Mesmer convolutional neural network architecture.<sup>24</sup> We used dsDNA as the nuclear marker and HLA class I and Na-K-ATPase as the membrane markers as input to the network. The output of Mesmer is the location of each cell in the image.

After cell segmentation, the next step of the analysis pipeline was to determine the phenotype of each individual cell. Pre-processed MIBI-TOF images were first Gaussian blurred using a standard deviation of 2 for the Gaussian kernel. Pixels were normalized by their total expression, such that the total expression of each pixel was equal to 1. A 99.9% normalization was applied for each marker. Pixels were clustered into 100 clusters using FlowSOM<sup>25</sup> based on the expression of 12 phenotypic markers: CD3, CD4, CD8, CD11c, CD20, CD31, CD45, CD56,

CD68, PanCK, Pax5, and Vimentin. The average expression of each of the 100 pixel clusters was 189 190 found and the z-score for each marker across the 100 pixel clusters was computed. All z-scores 191 were capped at 3, such that the maximum z-score was 3. Using these z-scored expression values, 192 the 100 pixel clusters were meta-clustered using consensus hierarchical clustering into 12 meta-193 clusters. Next, by applying the segmentation masks that delineate the boundaries of all cells in 194 the images, we counted the number of each of the 12 pixel clusters in each cell. This resulted in 195 a pixel cluster by cell count table. These counts were then normalized by cell size. Using these 196 frequency measurements as the feature vector, the cells were clustered using FlowSOM into 100 197 cell clusters. Similarly to the pixel clusters, the average expression of each of the 100 cell clusters 198 was found and the z-score was computed. All z-scores were capped at 3, such that the maximum 199 z-score was 3. Using these z-scored values, the 100 cell clusters were meta-clustered using 200 consensus hierarchical clustering into 10 cell meta-clusters. Each of the cell meta-clusters was 201 then manually annotated with its cell phenotype by assessing marker expression, resulting in a 202 total of eight cell types: B cells, T cells, dendritic cells, macrophages, NK cells, fibroblasts, 203 endothelial cells, and epithelial cells. To assess the concordance of cell phenotyping between 204 serial sections of the same tissue core, we quantified the number of each cell type in each image, 205 then calculated the average Spearman correlation of these frequencies between replicate images 206 of each core.

207

#### 208 **Results**

#### 209 Experimental design for MIBI-TOF replicate and IHC concordance

To assess the reproducibility of MIBI as well as benchmark against single-plex chromogenic IHC, we took 13 serial sections from a TMA constructed for this study (see Methods) that included disease-free controls and multiple types of carcinomas, sarcomas, and central nervous system lesions (Figure 1). Here, every other slide was stained and processed for either MIBI-TOF analysis or single-plex chromogenic IHC for the indicated target, in addition to slides for H&E and unstained tissue control. To control for confounding factors due to batch effects resulting from day of tissue staining and imaging, the order that each TMA was stained and imaged were randomized with respect to serial sectioning order. Additionally, the order that each tissue core was acquired for each TMA was randomized as well (Table 1).



**Figure 1: MIBI-TOF validation overview**. A tissue microarray (TMA) was constructed using human FFPE tissue blocks, and the TMA was serially sectioned for analysis by single-plex chromogenic IHC and MIBI-TOF. The order that each serial section was stained and imaged was randomized. We then analyzed the concordance between IHC and MIBI-TOF, as well as assessed reproducibility of MIBI-TOF between serial sections.

219

# 220 MIBI-TOF replicate concordance

For MIBI-TOF, 21 tissue cores in six replicate TMAs were stained with a 16-plex antibody panel and analyzed for a total of 126 MIBI-TOF images (Table 2). Instrument stability was assessed prior to data acquisition using a molybdenum foil standard, for which we know the 224 expected range of ion counts. Primary ion current and mass resolution varied insignificantly over 225 the course of the study (SD = 7% and 1.8%, respectively, Supplementary Figure 1A,B). Minor 226 variations in ion detector sensitivity (SD = 22%) were within historical norms and were not found 227 to impact subsequent quantitative comparisons (Supplementary Figure 1C). Fields in each tissue 228 core were manually co-registered with respect to a slide scan of a reference H&E serial section, 229 which showed high concordance of histological features (Supplementary Figure 2). High quality 230 MIBI-TOF imaging data was obtained for all targets in the antibody staining panel in all six TMA 231 slides. Manual evaluation of each marker demonstrated robust and specific staining to the target 232 cells of interest in both normal and disease tissue cores (Figure 2).

233 Assay reproducibility was assessed by comparing marker intensity and abundance in each 234 TMA replicate. An example of co-registered fields-of-view (FOVs) from 6 serial sections of a 235 representative tissue core is shown in Figure 3A, illustrating the high degree of reproducibility 236 between the replicate sections. PPP values for CD3, a marker for T cells, and CD20, a marker for 237 B cells, in the fields for this tissue core were 22.6%  $\pm$  2.5 and 20.6%  $\pm$  8.1 (mean  $\pm$  SD), 238 respectively. The significantly higher standard deviation observed with CD20 is attributable to 239 section-to-section changes in the area occupied by two germinal centers (marked by expression 240 of the B cell marker CD20) as sections were taken from the tissue core.

241 Linear regression of normalized values for marker intensity (MPI) and marker frequency 242 (PPP) for each tissue core in each TMA replicate (see Methods) were used to determine the 243 degree of concordance with respect to the average across all six replicates. For MPI, which 244 quantifies the average marker intensity in positive pixels, the resultant slope (m) and coefficient 245 of determination ( $R^2$ ) values for all markers in all six replicates were close to 1, with a m of 0.96 ± 246 0.14 and a  $R^2$  of 0.94 ± 0.04 (mean ± SD, Figure 3B-D). MPI captures marker intensity, thus m 247 and  $R^2$  values close to 1 indicate that when a marker is present, the brightness of the marker is 248 highly concordant across replicates. Similarly, for PPP, which quantifies the total number of pixels that are positive for a given marker, m and  $R^2$  in all replicates and markers were also close to 1, 249

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.14.464455; this version posted October 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 2: Representative MIBI-TOF imaging data. High-quality MIBI-TOF imaging data was attained for 16 markers for all replicate TMA slides. Representative images of the various tissue types in the TMA are shown here.

- with a *m* of 0.98  $\pm$  0.15 and a  $R^2$  of 0.95  $\pm$  0.04 (mean  $\pm$  SD, Supplementary Figure 3). PPP *m*
- and  $R^2$  values close to 1 indicate that the number of positive pixels across replicates of the same
- 252 core is consistent. Taken together, these two metrics demonstrate that MIBI-TOF images of serial

- sections of the same tissue core that were randomized for staining and imaging day were highly
- 254 concordant, lending evidence for the reproducible nature of MIBI-TOF.



**Figure 3: Concordance of Mean Pixel Intensity by MIBI-TOF.** (A) Representative MIBI-TOF replicate images from serial sections of the same TMA core of lymph node tissue. (B) Plot of Mean Positive Intensity (MPI) of each FOV vs. the average MPI of all FOVs of the same TMA core. Each color represents a different marker. We performed least squares linear regression of the MPI of all six replicates of each TMA core vs the average MPI of the core and found the slope *m* (C) and coefficient of determination  $R^2$  (D) for all 16 markers.

## 255 Reproducibility of cell immunophenotypic assignments by MIBI-TOF

An important step in the analysis of high-dimensional imaging data is the identification of single cell lineages by immunophenotype in the images. Thus, we next assessed the reproducibility of single-cell annotations across the replicate images of each tissue core. Our lab has recently developed a cell segmentation algorithm that can accurately identify the location of cells in solid tissue without the need for manual fine-tuning or user adjustment.<sup>24</sup> We used this 261 method to delineate the location of single cells in the images, then used a pixel-clustering based 262 approach to classify the single cells into eight cell lineages: B cells, T cells, dendritic cells, 263 macrophages, NK cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and epithelial cells (Figure 4A, see 264 Methods).<sup>17</sup> After classifying the cells in each of the images, we quantified the number of each 265 cell type in each image and assessed concordance between replicates by calculating the average



**Figure 4: Reproducibility of cell phenotyping by MIBI-TOF.** (A) Cells were assigned to a phenotype using an unsupervised clustering approach and manually annotated into eight major cell types. Expression values were z-scored for each marker. High z-scores are an indicator of high marker specificity. (B) The Spearman correlation between all serial sections of each TMA core using the frequency of cell types in each FOV. (C) Representative images of six serial sections of the same TMA core of tonsil tissue. Top row: Cell phenotype map colored according to the eight cell types shown in (A). Middle and bottom rows: MIBI-TOF images showing CD20 and PanCK expression.

266 Spearman correlation of these frequencies between the replicate images of each tissue core 267 (Figure 4B). We expected variation in these Spearman correlation values, since the replicate 268 sections of the same tissue core are serial sections of 4 µm each (with additional serial sections 269 taken for IHC concordance analysis). In total, 13 serial sections were obtained for each tissue for 270 MIBI-TOF or IHC (see Methods). Therefore, we would expect true biological differences when 271 comparing serial sections up to 48 µm apart. When comparing these replicate MIBI-TOF images. 272 we observed that broad histological features were conserved, such as germinal centers or vessels 273 (Figure 3A, 4C, Supplementary Figure 4). The average Spearman correlation was high, 0.92 ± 274 0.06 (mean  $\pm$  SD), demonstrating that cell phenotyping, a necessary step in high-dimensional 275 image analysis, was reproducible between MIBI-TOF images of the same tissue core.

276

#### 277 Concordance of MIBI-TOF with single-plex chromogenic IHC

278 Chromogenic IHC is the standard modality for disease prognosis and therapeutic selection 279 in the vast majority of solid tumors. Therefore, we assessed concordance to determine if 280 multiplexed imaging by MIBI-TOF can quantitatively recapitulate single-plex immunoassays. 281 Similar to the analyses described above that were used to compare MIBI-TOF data across 282 replicates, the frequency of marker positive pixels in MIBI-TOF images co-registered with single-283 plex chromogenic IHC stains were compared by linear regression across all 21 tissue cores. 284 Because we alternated recuts for MIBI-TOF and IHC, we could compare MIBI-TOF and IHC on 285 adjacent serial sections. Single-plex chromogenic IHC images were acquired for five targets: 286 CD3, CD8, Pax5, PanCK, and CD68. Marker positivity by single-plex IHC for CD3, CD8, Pax5, 287 PanCK, and CD68 in adjacent serial sections were compared with the respective values found by 288 MIBI-TOF using linear regression (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 5). For all five targets, concordance of MIBI-TOF and IHC was high, with an  $R^2$  of 0.85 ± 0.08 (mean ± SD, all  $R^2 > 0.7$ ), 289 290 demonstrating that MIBI-TOF data is consistent with single-plex chromogenic IHC data.



**Figure 5: Concordance of MIBI-TOF with single-plex chromogenic IHC.** Representative images of the comparison of MIBI-TOF images co-registered with single-plex chromogenic IHC stains. Each data point represents the PPP by MIBI-TOF and IHC for a single tissue core. Shaded area represents 95% confidence interval. Additional markers are shown in Supplementary Figure 5.

291

## 292 Discussion

293 In this study, we assessed the reproducibility of MIBI-TOF by evaluating assay 294 concordance on six serial sections from a TMA, including disease-free tonsil, lymph node, thymus, 295 and spleen, in addition to multiple types of carcinomas, sarcomas, and central nervous system 296 lesions. Importantly, each serial section was stained independently on six separate days with a 297 16-marker staining panel and subsequently analyzed on six different days. 21 tissue cores were 298 analyzed in each serial section for a total of 126 MIBI-TOF images. The staining intensity and 299 frequency of positive pixels for each serial section (MPI and PPP, respectively) were compared 300 against average values across all runs using least squares linear regression. In all comparisons, 301 concordance between serial sections of the same tissue core was high, with m of  $0.96 \pm 0.14$  and 302  $R^2$  of 0.94 ± 0.04 when assessing MPI and m of 0.98 ± 0.15 and  $R^2$  of 0.95 ± 0.04 when assessing 303 PPP (mean ± SD). The staining pattern of each marker was manually inspected to confirm, where 304 relevant, appropriate subcellular localization and histologic distribution. For example, CD20 305 staining in positive regions of tonsil and lymph node in all replicates was verified to be membrane-306 localized and enriched in follicles. Furthermore, we assessed the reproducibility of cell 307 phenotyping across replicates and found that across replicate sections, the location and lineage 308 of cells identified using our data analysis pipeline were highly concordant, with an average 309 Spearman correlation of cell type frequencies between replicates of  $0.92 \pm 0.06$  (mean  $\pm$  SD). 310 Importantly, the data analysis pipeline used to go from MIBI-TOF images to cell phenotypes was 311 nearly fully automated. Cell segmentation and clustering were fully automated with no user input 312 and only minimal user intervention was needed when labelling cell clusters with cell annotations. 313 demonstrating that the biological annotation of MIBI-TOF images is robust without the need for 314 significant researcher intervention. In addition, we assessed concordance of PPP by MIBI-TOF 315 for five markers with single-plex IHC and found that MIBI-TOF and single-plex IHC were highly concordant with an average  $R^2$  of 0.85. Taken together, the combination of consistent staining, 316 317 imaging, and feature extraction illustrates the highly reproducible nature of MIBI-TOF.

318 One limitation of validation studies involving serial tissue sections is that exact biological 319 replicates cannot be obtained since each tissue section is somewhat different and is not a 320 homogenized bulk sample. In this study, since the tissue sections are 4 µm each and a total of 321 13 serial sections were acquired, the first and last tissue section were separated axially by 48 µm. 322 Also, when balancing assay replication versus concordance with an orthogonal assay, like single-323 plex IHC here, one needs to prioritize what physically adjacent slides are used for. In this case, 324 we selected physically adjacent slides for benchmarking single-plex IHC since any one marker's 325 distribution would be heavily dependent on cell composition. Lymphocytes that are approximately 326 ~10 µm would be best preserved in adjacent sections. Although there will be true biological 327 differences in this volume of tissue, this study represents the next best situation, in which we 328 compared adjacent serial sections as a proxy for true replicates. Furthermore, this was a single-329 site study, in which all staining and imaging was performed in our laboratory. We are currently 330 planning a multi-site study to assess inter-institutional differences in instrument performance and 331 tissue staining. Still, we believe the overall metrics for benchmarking outlined here will be 332 sufficient to assess these future efforts.

333 This study was performed as a part of the Cancer Immune Monitoring and Analysis 334 Centers Cancer Immunology Data Commons (CIMAC-CIDC) network<sup>22</sup>, which is a NCI Cancer 335 Moonshots initiative to provide the technology and expertise for immunotherapy clinical trials. 336 Multiplexed tissue imaging is an integral assay for fully characterizing the tumor immune 337 microenvironment, which requires the simultaneous profiling of multiple tumor and immune cell 338 types. MIBI-TOF can routinely provide quantitative, multiplexed imaging data that is back 339 compatible with archival FFPE tissue and conventional anatomic pathology workflows. Technical 340 innovations in reagents and instrumentation will further increase the throughput and multiplexing 341 ability of MIBI-TOF. In the future, we envision that MIBI-TOF will not only be used for reproducible 342 basic science research, but also enable the adoption of quantitative spatial signatures in the clinic 343 for more accurate diagnosis and therapeutic selection. At the same time, as efforts to develop 344 other IHC-centric spatial imaging technologies evolve, the analytical framework and approach laid 345 out in this study should serve as a guide for their assessment.

346

## 347 Data Availability

348 The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in Zenodo:

349 10.5281/zenodo.5542727.

350

## 351 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Sushama Varma and Matt van de Rijn for creating the tissue microarray for this study.

354

## 355 Conflict of Interest

356 M.A. and S.C.B. are inventors on patents related to MIBI technology. M.A. and S.C.B. are 357 consultants, board members and shareholders in lonpath Inc.

358

## 359 Author Contributions

- 360 M.B. performed experiments and imaging. A.K., A.K., and C.C.L. performed data analysis. C.C.L.
- and M.A. wrote the manuscript. S.C.B., R.K., and S.M.H. reviewed the manuscript. S.C.B. and
- 362 M.A. supervised the work.

363

## 364 Funding

This work was supported by DOD EOH W81XWH2110143, 1-DP5-864 OD019822, 1R01AG056287, 1R01AG057915, R01AG068279, 1UH3CA246633, 1U24CA224309, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

# 368 **References**

- 369
- 370 1. Levenson, R. M., Borowsky, A. D. & Angelo, M. Immunohistochemistry and mass spectrometry
- for highly multiplexed cellular molecular imaging. *Lab Invest* **95**, 397–405 (2015).
- 372 2. Matos, L. L. de, Trufelli, D. C., de Matos, M. G. L. & da Silva Pinhal, M. A.
- 373 Immunohistochemistry as an important tool in biomarkers detection and clinical practice.
  374 *Biomark Insights* 5, 9–20 (2010).
- 375 3. Chlipala, E. A. *et al.* An Image Analysis Solution For Quantification and Determination of 376 Immunohistochemistry Staining Reproducibility. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* **28**, 428–
- 377 436 (2020).
- 4. Thunnissen, E. et al. The Use of Immunohistochemistry Improves the Diagnosis of Small Cell
- Lung Cancer and Its Differential Diagnosis. An International Reproducibility Study in a
   Demanding Set of Cases. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology* **12**, 334–346 (2017).
- 381 5. Yatabe, Y. *et al.* Best Practices Recommendations for Diagnostic Immunohistochemistry in
  382 Lung Cancer. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology* 14, 377–407 (2019).
- 383 6. Zaha, D. C. Significance of immunohistochemistry in breast cancer. *World J Clin Oncol* 5, 382–
  384 392 (2014).
- 385 7. McCabe, A., Dolled-Filhart, M., Camp, R. L. & Rimm, D. L. Automated quantitative analysis
  386 (AQUA) of in situ protein expression, antibody concentration, and prognosis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*387 97, 1808–1815 (2005).
- 388 8. Taube, J. M. *et al.* The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer statement on best practices for
  389 multiplex immunohistochemistry (IHC) and immunofluorescence (IF) staining and validation. *J*390 *Immunother Cancer* 8, e000155 (2020).
- 391 9. Zimak, J., Schweller, R. M., Duose, D. Y., Hittelman, W. N. & Diehl, M. R. Programming in situ
  392 immunofluorescence intensities through interchangeable reactions of dynamic DNA
  393 complexes. *Chembiochem* 13, 2722–2728 (2012).

- Neher, R. & Neher, E. Optimizing imaging parameters for the separation of multiple labels
  in a fluorescence image. *J Microsc* 213, 46–62 (2004).
- 396 11. Patel, S. S. & Rodig, S. J. Overview of Tissue Imaging Methods. in *Biomarkers for* 397 *Immunotherapy of Cancer: Methods and Protocols* (eds. Thurin, M., Cesano, A. & Marincola,
- 398 F. M.) 455–465 (Springer New York, 2020). doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9773-2\_21.
- Binnewies, M. *et al.* Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for
  effective therapy. *Nat Med* 24, 541–550 (2018).
- 401 13. Bodenmiller, B. Multiplexed Epitope-Based Tissue Imaging for Discovery and Healthcare
  402 Applications. *Cell Systems* 2, 225–238 (2016).
- 403 14. Angelo, M. *et al.* Multiplexed ion beam imaging of human breast tumors. *Nat Med* 20, 436–
  404 442 (2014).
- 405 15. Keren, L. *et al.* MIBI-TOF: A multiplexed imaging platform relates cellular phenotypes and
  406 tissue structure. *Sci Adv* 5, eaax5851 (2019).
- 407 16. Keren, L. *et al.* A Structured Tumor-Immune Microenvironment in Triple Negative Breast
  408 Cancer Revealed by Multiplexed Ion Beam Imaging. *Cell* **174**, 1373-1387.e19 (2018).
- 409 17. Risom, T. *et al.* Transition to invasive breast cancer is associated with progressive
  410 changes in the structure and composition of tumor stroma. *bioRxiv* 2021.01.05.425362 (2021)
  411 doi:10.1101/2021.01.05.425362.
- 412 18. McCaffrey, E. F. *et al.* Multiplexed imaging of human tuberculosis granulomas uncovers
  413 immunoregulatory features conserved across tissue and blood. *bioRxiv* 2020.06.08.140426
  414 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.06.08.140426.
- 415 19. Goltsev, Y. *et al.* Deep Profiling of Mouse Splenic Architecture with CODEX Multiplexed
  416 Imaging. *Cell* **174**, 968-981.e15 (2018).
- Schulz, D. *et al.* Simultaneous Multiplexed Imaging of mRNA and Proteins with Subcellular
  Resolution in Breast Cancer Tissue Samples by Mass Cytometry. *Cell Syst* 6, 25-36.e5 (2018).

- 419 21. Giesen, C. *et al.* Highly multiplexed imaging of tumor tissues with subcellular resolution by
  420 mass cytometry. *Nat Methods* **11**, 417–422 (2014).
- 421 22. Chen, H. X. et al. Network for Biomarker Immunoprofiling for Cancer Immunotherapy:
- 422 Cancer Immune Monitoring and Analysis Centers and Cancer Immunologic Data Commons
- 423 (CIMAC-CIDC). *Clin Cancer Res* **27**, 5038–5048 (2021).
- 424 23. Baranski, A. et al. MAUI (MBI Analysis User Interface)—An image processing pipeline for
- 425 Multiplexed Mass Based Imaging. *PLOS Computational Biology* **17**, e1008887 (2021).
- 426 24. Greenwald, N. F. et al. Whole-cell segmentation of tissue images with human-level
- 427 performance using large-scale data annotation and deep learning. *bioRxiv* 2021.03.01.431313
- 428 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.03.01.431313.
- 429 25. Van Gassen, S. *et al.* FlowSOM: Using self-organizing maps for visualization and
  430 interpretation of cytometry data. *Cytometry A* 87, 636–645 (2015).