university students

Research on sportswear buying behavior of

DOI: 10.1051/shsconf/20162601113

Ziynet Öndoğan^{1a}, Arzu Şen Kılıç², Serkan Boz³, Derya Tama¹, Berna Cüreklibatır Encan³, Özlem Kurtoğlu Necef⁸

Abstract. Sport is a necessary element for maintaining life in a healthier and more balanced way. There has been a rising trend of wearing sportswear in daily life besides sports. Developments in technology have raised expectations from sportswear. Individuals expect not only durability, design and being fashionable, but also demand performance and clothing comfort. This study aims to investigate university students' awareness while buying sportswear. Professional sportsmen from Physical Education and Sports School students and Textile Engineering students having technical knowledge about clothes are included in this study. 100 students from each group were interviewed face to face. Results showed that Textile Engineering students pay more attention to technical characteristics due to their knowledge, however, aesthetic properties are revealed to be another important factor affecting buying decision. Buying decision of Physical Education and Sports School students is expected to be positively affected if they are given a seminar about raw material properties.

Keywords. Sports; sportswear; clothing comfort; buying behaviour.

1 Introduction

Individuals' need to be covered has been easily fulfilled for several years as ready-to-wear has become widespread, however, due to the developments in technology various benefits besides covering function is expected from clothes and different wardrobes for different parts of life such as work, sports and daily wear are required.

People living in cities have been drawn to exercise to handle stress but without getting away from the city. It is known that lately, exercising provides status and contributes to one's public image which is an important element in social life. There has been a rising

¹Ege University Textile Engineering Dept., Bornova, İzmir, 35100, Turkey

²Ege University Bayındır Vocational Training School, Bayındır, İzmir, 35840, Turkey

³Ege University Emel Akın Vocational Training School, Bornova, İzmir, 35100, Turkey

^a Corresponding author: ziynet.ondogan@ege.edu.tr

[©] The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.1051/shsconf/20162601113

trend of wearing sportswear in daily life besides sports. Thus, target group of sportswear companies has expanded from people working out to an additional group who are young and following trends.

Nowadays, sports are a necessary element for maintaining life in a healthier and more balanced way. It is accepted that sports prepare individuals psychologically for daily life together with cultural activities [2].

Developments in technology and escalating standards of life have raised expectations from sportswear. Individuals expect not only durability, design and being fashionable, but also demand performance and clothing comfort [1].

In textile industry, active sportswear's market has grown globally. Competition in this sector compels manufacturers to fulfill consumers' demands of clothing comfort besides aesthetics, therefore, clothing comfort of sportswear researches have become more important [3].

Clothing comfort is related to raising standards of life, thus researches on this subject has drawn attention of both researchers and manufacturers [5]. Clothing comfort is defined by Slater (1985) as "a pleasant state of physiological, psychological and physical harmony between a human being and the environment" [4].

Characteristics that are expected from sportswear are stated on below according to the researches on clothing comfort:

- · Efficiently moving away sweat from the skin,
- Quick drying,
- Breathability,
- Balancing body heat by moving away moisture and ventilating body's critical heat areas,
 - Not charging electrostatically,
 - · Having high strength and abrasion resistance,
 - · Not limiting body movements,
 - Being soft and durable,
 - Stitches not causing discomfort,
 - Having a good handle,
 - Having an aesthetic appearance,
 - Improving mental motivation,
 - Providing easy care,
- Occupying a small place and being light, for both wearing while working out and carrying around.

In this study, it was aimed to assess university students' awareness while making buying decisions for casual and active sportswear. In literature, sportswear is divided into three subgroups as casual sportswear, professional sportswear and sportswear for hobby and health purposes [3]. These groups were taken into consideration while determining the sample group.

2 Material and method

This research was conducted in Ege University which is considered to have a homogeneous structure in terms of students. Professional sportsmen from Physical Education and Sports School students and Textile Engineering students having technical knowledge about clothes are included in this study. 100 students from each group were interviewed face to face on university campus. A preliminary examination showed that 183 surveys are viable.

The survey used in the study consists of 13 questions and 2 parts. First part includes 7 questions of demographics and the second part includes 6 questions about students' buying

behaviors of daily and active sportswear. Likert type scale was used in the survey to ease expressing different opinions.

Results were evaluated by using PASW Statistics 18. Mean value, frequency, percentage, chi2 test, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and t-test were used in evaluation.

3 Results and discussion

Regarding to the obtained data, 51,4% of participants are studying in Textile Engineering, while 48,6% of them studying in Physical Education and Sports School at University (Table 1).

Table 1. Distributions of university students participating in the survey by their departments

Department	Frequency	Percent	Valid
			Percent
Textile Engineering	94	51,4	51,4
Physical Education and Sports School	89	48,6	48,6

The women participants' average age was 22, height 168,6 cm and weight 57,7 kg. The men participants' average age was 23, height 179,2 cm and weight 77,8 kg (Table 2).

Table 2. The averages of age, weight and height of participant female and male university students

Gender	Age/ Height/ Weight	N	Average	Standard Deviation
Woman	Age	88	22	1,347
	Height (cm)	86	167,57	6,44
	Weight (kg)	86	57,69	8,182
Man	Age	95	23	2,167
	Height (cm)	95	179,18	6,793
	Weight (kg)	93	77,81	11,893

When the distribution of university students participating in the survey by their demographics were analyzed, it was obtained that, 48,1% of participants are women and 51,9% of participants are men. 58,5% of university students had been lived in Metropolitan before their university life. When the monthly average spending for wear was evaluated, it was obtained that, 27,3% of participants spend 251-500 Turkish Liras, 24% of participants spend 501 to 750 Turkish Liras and 16,4% of participants spend 751 to 1000 Turkish Liras (Table 3).

Table 3. Distribution of university students participating in the survey by their demographics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid
				Percent
Gender	Woman	88	48,1	48,1
	Man	95	51,9	51,9
	Missing	0	0	-
Habitat Before University	Village	2	1,1	1,1
	Town	3	1,6	1,6
	County	34	18,6	18,6
	City	37	20,2	20,2
	Metropolitan	107	58,5	58,5
	Abroad	0	0	0
	Missing	0	0	-
Monthly Average Spending for Wear	0-250 TL	18	9,8	9,8
	251-500 TL	50	27,3	27,3
	501-750 TL	44	24	24
	751-1000TL	30	16,4	16,4
	1001-1250	15	8,2	8,2
	TL			
	1251-1500TL	10	5,5	5,5
	1501-1750TL	7	3,8	3,8
	1751-2000	4	2,2	2,2
	TL			
	2001 TL and	5	2,7	2,7
	more			
	Missing	0	0	-

The distribution of monthly average spending for casual (Table 4) and active (Table 5) sportswear of participant female and male students were analyzed, it can be said that in casual sportswear, men spend less money than women. It is opposite in active sportswear; men spend more money than women in active sportswear.

Table 4. Distribution of monthly average spending for casual sportswear of participant female and male students

		Month	ıly Avera	ge Spend	ing for C	asual Spor	ts Wear	Total
		100	101-	201-	301-	401-	501	
		TL	200	300	400	500	TL	
		and	TL	TL	TL	TL	and	
		less					more	_
Gender	Woman	52	22	11	1	1	0	87
	Man	60	16	12	3	3	1	95
Total		112	38	23	4	4	1	182

Table 5. Distribution of monthly average spending for active sportswear of participant female and male students

		Month 100 TL and	ly Averag 101- 200 TL	ge Spendi 201- 300 TL	ng for Ac 301- 400 TL	tive Sport 401- 500 TL	ts Wear 501 TL and	Total
		less					more	_
Gender	Woman	62	15	7	2	0	0	86
	Man	57	25	6	5	1	1	95
Total		119	40	13	7	1	1	181

A significant correlation was not detected between monthly spending average for casual sportswear and the gender of the participating students (χ =4,219; df=5; p=0,518). Also a significant correlation was not detected between monthly spending average for active sportswear and the gender of the participating students (χ =5,639; df=5; p=0,343). A significant correlation was not detected between monthly spending average for casual sportswear and the study departments of the participating students (χ =10,115; df=5; p=0,072). However, A significant correlation was detected between monthly spending average for active sportswear and the study departments of the participating students (χ =26,432; df=5; p=0,00).

Table 6. Distribution of factors affecting sportswear buying decision of university students

	N	Average	Standard Deviation
Fitting	178	1,24	0,442
Comfort	179	1,32	0,577
Color	179	1,38	0,531
Design/ Model	180	1,38	0,562
Quality	180	1,45	0,628
Fabric Properties	181	1,67	0,692
Figure	178	1,69	0,795
Price	180	1,69	0,777
Raw Material (Fiber) Properties	178	2,15	0,977
Brand	180	2,27	1,369
Sewing properties	179	2,35	1,072
Accessory properties	179	2,4	1,052
Fashion	179	2,83	1,28
Advertisement/ Promotion	176	3,07	1,193

14 different statements, regarding the factors affecting the sportswear buying decision, are given to the participant university students and they were asked to mark the significance of these statements (Table 6). In the five-point Likert scale, "Very important" 1, "Important" 2, "Moderately important" 3, "Somewhat important" 4 and "Does not matter" were coded as 5. When the factors, which affect the sportswear buying decision of university students, were analyzed, it's observed that fitting and comfort factors take first

places. Also the color, design and model factors are significant for buying decision. The review also showed that advertising and promotional efforts are less important factors affecting the sportswear buying decision of students.

When the casual sportswear usage of participant students was evaluated; 82% of students wear T-shirts very often casually. 29% of students prefer to wear shorts very often and 43% prefer often. 41% of students use sport trousers very often and 32% use sport trousers often casually.

When the active sportswear usage of participant students was evaluated; 74% of students prefer to wear t-shirts very often, 23% of students prefer to wear t-shirts often during active sport life. 44% of students use shorts very often, 36% of student use shorts often during active sport life. The sport trousers which are preferred casually are used 18% very often, 22% often and 40% rarely by university students during active sport.

Table 7. The differences between the garment buying decision of university students and their monthly average spending for casual sportswear

	Monthly	N	Average	Std.	F	dfl	df2	p
	Average			Deviation				
	Spending							
	for Wear							
Comfort	100 TL and	109	1,32	0,525	5,271	5	172	0.00
	less							
	101 - 200	37	1,35	0,588				
	TL							
	201 - 300	23	1,17	0,491				
	TL							
	301 - 400	4	1,25	0,5				
	TL							
	401 - 500	4	1,5	1				
	TL							
	501 TL and	1	4	-				
	more					_		
Price	100 TL and	111	1,69	0,818	2,293	5	173	0,04
	less	2=	. =0	0.650				
	101 - 200	37	1,73	0,652				
	TL			0.670				
	201 - 300	22	1,5	0,673				
	TL	4	1.5	0.577				
	301 - 400	4	1,5	0,577				
	TL	4	2	0.016				
	401 - 500	4	2	0,816				
	TL	1	4					
	501 TL and	1	4	-				
Advertisement/	more 100 TL and	108	3,03	1,131	2,538	5	169	0,03
Promotion		108	3,03	1,131	2,336	3	109	0,03
Promotion	less 101 - 200	37	3,24	1,164				
	TL	31	3,24	1,104				
	201 - 300	21	2,52	1,401				
	7L	21	2,32	1,401				
	301 - 400	4	3,5	1,291				
	TL	7	3,3	1,271				
	401 - 500	4	4,5	0,577				
	TL	•	1,5	0,577				
	501 TL and	1	4	_				
	more	1	r					
	111010							

When the differences between the garment buying decision of university students and their monthly average spending for casual (Table 7) and active (Table 8) sportswear were evaluated. It was determined that, there is a statistical significant relationship between their monthly average spending for wear and comfort, price and advertisement/ promotion factors for casual sportswear. It has also obtained that, there is a statistical significant relationship between their monthly average spending for wear and comfort and brand factors.

Table 8. The differences between the garment buying decision of university students and their monthly average spending for active sportswear.

	Monthly Average Spending for	N	Average	Std. Deviation	F	dfl	df2	p
	Wear							
Comfort	100 TL and less	117	1,37	0,551	6,461	5	171	0.00
	101 - 200 TL	38	1,16	0,437				
	201 - 300 TL	13	1,38	0,768				
	301 - 400 TL	7	1	0				
	401 - 500 TL	1	1	-				
	501 TL and more	1	4	-				
Brand	100 TL and less	118	2,52	1,495	2,664	5	172	0,04
	101 - 200 TL	38	1,89	0,953				
	201 - 300 TL	13	1,92	1,115				
	301 - 400 TL	7	1,29	0,488				
	401 - 500 TL	1	1	-				
	501 TL and more	1	1	-				

Table 9. The differences between the factors affecting garment buying decision of university students and their study departments

	Department	N	Average	Std.	t	df	p
				Deviation			
Colour	Textile Eng.	93	1,37	0,484	-0,37	177	0,709
	Physical Edu.	86	1,4	0,58			
	and Sports Sch.						
Design/Model	Textile Eng.	94	1,34	0,476	-1,07	178	0,286
	Physical Edu.	86	1,43	0,642			
	and Sports Sch.						
Figure	Textile Eng.	93	1,6	0,662	-1,57	176	0,119
	Physical Edu.	85	1,79	0,914			
	and Sports Sch.						
Fabric Properties	Textile Eng.	94	1,69	0,656	0,464	179	0,643
•	Physical Edu.	87	1,64	0,731			
	and Sports Sch.						
Accessory	Textile Eng.	94	2,47	0,991	0,88	177	0,38
Properties	Physical Edu.	85	2,33	1,117			
•	and Sports Sch.						
Sewing Properties	Textile Eng.	94	2,45	1,033	1,321	177	0,188
0 1	Physical Edu.	85	2,24	1,109			
	and Sports Sch.		•				
Raw Material	Textile Eng.	93	2,15	0,999	-0,02	176	0,987

(Fiber) Properties Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 85 2,15 0,958 Comfort Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 86 1,36 0,631 -0,02 177 0,418 Fitting Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 93 1,22 0,413 -0,81 176 0,404 Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 85 1,27 0,473 -0,81 176 0,404 Quality Textile Eng. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 86 1,45 0,68 -0,68 -0,07 178 0 Price Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 86 1,85 0,927 -0,07 178 0,366 Price Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 86 1,64 0,781 -0,006 177 0,279 Fashion Textile Eng. 94 2,93 1,211 0,906 177 0,279								
Comfort Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 93 1,29 0,523 -0,02 177 0,418 Fitting Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 93 1,22 0,413 -0,81 176 0,404 Quality Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Brand Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Price Textile Eng. Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. 86 1,64 0,781 4,143 178 0,366	(Fiber) Properties	Physical Edu.	85	2,15	0,958			
Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. Fitting Textile Eng. 93 1,22 0,413 -0,81 176 0,404 Physical Edu. 85 1,27 0,473 and Sports Sch. Quality Textile Eng. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						
Fitting Textile Eng. 93 1,22 0,413 -0,81 176 0,404 Physical Edu. 85 1,27 0,473 and Sports Sch. Quality Textile Eng. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.	Comfort	Textile Eng.	93	1,29	0,523	-0,02	177	0,418
Fitting Textile Eng. 93 1,22 0,413 -0,81 176 0,404 Physical Edu. 85 1,27 0,473 and Sports Sch. Quality Textile Eng. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		Physical Edu.	86	1,36	0,631			
Physical Edu. and Sports Sch. Quality Textile Eng. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						
And Sports Sch. Quality Textile Eng. 94 1,45 0,58 -0,84 178 0,943 Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.	Fitting	Textile Eng.	93	1,22	0,413	-0,81	176	0,404
Quality Textile Eng. Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. 94 1,45 0,68 0,68 and Sports Sch. -0,84 178 0,943 0,943 0,68 0,68 0,68 0,68 0,68 0,68 0,68 0,68		Physical Edu.	85	1,27	0,473			
Physical Edu. 86 1,45 0,68 and Sports Sch. Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						
and Sports Sch. Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.	Quality	Textile Eng.	94	1,45	0,58	-0,84	178	0,943
Brand Textile Eng. 94 2,66 1,583 -0,07 178 0 Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 0,927 0,075		Physical Edu.	86	1,45	0,68			
Physical Edu. 86 1,85 0,927 and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						
and Sports Sch. Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.	Brand	Textile Eng.	94	2,66	1,583	-0,07	178	0
Price Textile Eng. 94 1,74 0,775 4,143 178 0,366 Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		Physical Edu.	86	1,85	0,927			
Physical Edu. 86 1,64 0,781 and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						
and Sports Sch.	Price	Textile Eng.	94	1,74	0,775	4,143	178	0,366
<u> </u>		Physical Edu.	86	1,64	0,781			
Fashion Textile Eng. 94 2,93 1,211 0,906 177 0,279		and Sports Sch.						
	Fashion	Textile Eng.	94	2,93	1,211	0,906	177	0,279
Physical Edu. 85 2,72 1,351		Physical Edu.	85	2,72	1,351			
and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						
Advertisement/ Textile Eng. 92 3,15 1,068 1,086 174 0,33	Advertisement/	Textile Eng.	92	3,15	1,068	1,086	174	0,33
Promotion Physical Edu. 84 2,98 1,317	Promotion	Physical Edu.	84	2,98	1,317			
and Sports Sch.		and Sports Sch.						

When the differences were analyzed between the factors affecting garment buying decision of university students and their study departments; it's observed that, there is only one significant difference in terms of brand factor between the departments (Table 9). The students of Physical Education and Sports School care more about the garment brand according to the Textile Engineering students.

Table 10. The factors affecting garment buying decision of university students.

	N	Average	Standart
			Deviation
When new fashionable garments offered for	75	2,24	0,803
sale			
When the used garments are worn or unable to	120	1,79	0,819W
wear again			
When the used garments are boring	133	2,05	0,791
When I encounter with a product that I like	124	1,95	0,805
randomly			
Other reasons	3	1,67	1,155

When the factors, which affect garment buying decision of university students, were investigated, it is notable as the most important factor "Decide to buy a new garment when the used garments are worn or unable to wear" (Table 10). Additionally the second important factor is "" to decide buying a new garment. Fashion is the least affective factor for Textile Engineering students as well as Physical Education and Sports School students to decide buying a new sportswear.

4 Conclusions and recommendations

The results of study show that the university students behave conscious when buying new garments. When the study data evaluated it's seen that the university students care about comfort of casual wear and T-shirt has become inevitable for casual sportswear and active sportswear of university students. When the factors, which affect the sportswear buying decision of university students, were analyzed, it is observed that fitting and comfort factors take first places. Also the color, design and model factors are significant for buying decision.

Results showed that Textile Engineering students pay more attention to technical characteristics due to their knowledge, however, aesthetic properties are revealed to be another important factor affecting buying decision. Buying decision of Physical Education and Sports School students are expected to be positively affected if they were given a seminar about raw material properties.

When the data, obtained from the study results were analyzed; fashion was found the least affective factor for university students to decide buying a new sportswear. This situation occurs owing to functionality of sportswear being predominant according to its aesthetics.

References

- 1. Çivitci, Ş. ve Dengin, S. Koşu Giysileri Konforunun Kullanıcılar Tarafından Değerlendirilmesi Üzerine Bir Araştırma, International Journal of Science Culture ad Sport, SI(1):553-569, 2014.
- Dağlı Ekmekçi, Y.A., Ekmekçi, R. ve İrmiş, A. Küreselleşme ve Spor Endüstrisi, Pamukkale Journal of Sport Sciences, 4 (1): 91-117, 2013.
- 3. Güneşoğlu, S. Sportif Amaçlı Giysilerin Konfor Özelliklerinin Araştırılması, Doktora Tezi, Uludağ Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 208s., 2005.
- 4. Okur, A., Küçüka, S. ve Kaplan, S. Giysi termal konforunun belirlenmesine yönelik bir yöntem geliştirilmesi, TÜBİTAK, 2008.
- Öner, E. ve Okur, A. Materyal, üretim teknolojisi ve kumaş yapısının termal konfora etkileri, Tekstil veMühendis, 17(80): 20-29, 2010.