# University of Massachusetts Amherst ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst

Mathematics and Statistics Department Faculty Publication Series

Mathematics and Statistics

1997

# Residues in toric varieties

E Cattani University of Massachusetts - Amherst, cattani@math.umass.edu

D Cox

A Dickenstein

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/math\_faculty\_pubs

### **Recommended** Citation

Cattani, E; Cox, D; and Dickenstein, A, "Residues in toric varieties" (1997). *COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA*. 244. Retrieved from https://scholarworks.umass.edu/math\_faculty\_pubs/244

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Department Faculty Publication Series by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

## **Residues in Toric Varieties**

June 22, 1995

Eduardo Cattani Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003, U.S.A.

David Cox Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Amherst College Amherst, MA 01002, U.S.A.

Alicia Dickenstein Departamento de Matemática, F.C.E. y N. Universidad de Buenos Aires Ciudad Universitaria - Pabellón I 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

#### Introduction

Toric residues provide a tool for the study of certain homogeneous ideals of the homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety—such as those appearing in the description of the Hodge structure of their hypersurfaces [BC]. They were introduced in [C2], where some of their properties were described in the special case when all of the divisors involved were linearly equivalent. The main results of this paper are: an extension of the Isomorphism Theorem of [C2] to the case of non-equivalent ample divisors, a global transformation law for toric residues, and a theorem expressing the toric residue as a sum of local (Grothendieck) residues.

Let us first establish the notation we will use. We will assume that X is a complete toric variety of dimension n. As such, X is determined by a fan  $\Sigma$  in an n-dimensional real vector space  $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ . There is a distinguished lattice of maximal rank  $N \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$  and we let M denote the dual lattice. The N-generators of the 1-dimensional cones in  $\Sigma$  will be denoted  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n+r}$ . This means that r is the rank of the Chow group  $A_{n-1}(X)$ . We will make frequent use of the homogeneous coordinate ring S of X, which is the polynomial ring  $S = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+r}]$ . Here, each variable  $x_i$  corresponds to the generator  $\eta_i$  and hence to a torus-invariant irreducible divisor  $D_i$  of X. As in [C1], we grade S by declaring that the monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+r} x_i^{a_i}$  has degree  $[\sum_{i=1}^{n+r} a_i D_i] \in A_{n-1}(X)$ .

We will let  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} \deg(x_i) \in A_{n-1}(X)$  denote the sum of the degrees of the variables. As is well known,  $\beta$  is the anticanonical class on X. Then, given homogeneous

polynomials  $F_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$  for i = 0, ..., n, we define their *critical degree* to be

$$\rho = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i\right) - \beta \in A_{n-1}(X).$$

As in [C2], each  $H \in S_{\rho}$  determines a meromorphic *n*-form on X

$$\omega_F(H) = \frac{H\,\Omega}{F_0\cdots F_n}$$

where F stands for the vector  $(F_0, \ldots, F_n)$  and  $\Omega$  is a choice of an Euler form in X [BC]. If the  $F_i$  don't vanish simultaneously on X, then relative to the open cover  $U_i = \{x \in X : F_i(x) \neq 0\}$  of X, this gives a Čech cohomology class  $[\omega_F(H)] \in H^n(X, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n)$ . Here,  $\widehat{\Omega}_X^n$  is the sheaf of Zariski *n*-forms on X, i.e.,  $\widehat{\Omega}_X^n = j_* \Omega_{X_0}^n$ , where  $X_0$  is the smooth part of X and  $j: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  is the natural inclusion.

It is not hard to see that  $[\omega_F(H)]$  is alternating on the order of  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  and that if H is in the ideal  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ , then  $\omega_F(H)$  is a Čech coboundary. Thus,  $[\omega_F(H)]$  depends only on the equivalence class of H modulo the ideal generated by  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$ . Then the *toric residue* 

$$\operatorname{Res}_F: S_{\rho}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

is given by the formula

$$\operatorname{Res}_F(H) = \operatorname{Tr}_X([\omega_F(H)])_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where  $\operatorname{Tr}_X : H^n(X, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X) \to \mathbb{C}$  is the trace map. When there is no danger of confusion, we will write  $\operatorname{Res}(H)$  instead of  $\operatorname{Res}_F(H)$ .

Our first main result is the following *Global Transformation Law*.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $F_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$  and  $G_i \in S_{\beta_i}$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ . Suppose

$$G_j = \sum_{i=0}^n A_{ij} F_i,$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is homogeneous of degree  $\beta_j - \alpha_i$ , and assume the  $G_i$  don't vanish simultaneously on X. Let  $\rho$  be the critical degree for  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$ . Then, for each  $H \in S_\rho$ ,  $H \det(A_{ij})$  is of the critical degree for  $G_0, \ldots, G_n$ , and

$$\operatorname{Res}_F(H) = \operatorname{Res}_G(H \det(A_{ij})).$$

The proof uses a Čech cochain argument. One application of this transformation law is that in certain cases, we can describe explicit elements of  $S_{\rho}$  with nonzero residue. For this purpose, assume X is complete and its fan  $\Sigma$  contains a n-dimensional simplicial cone  $\sigma$ . Then denote the variables of the coordinate ring as  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, z_1, \ldots, z_r$ , where  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  correspond to the 1-dimensional cones of  $\sigma$ . Also suppose that  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are **Q**-ample classes, which means that some multiple is Cartier and ample. In this situation, we will show that each  $F_j \in S_{\alpha_j}$  can be written in the form

$$F_j = A_{0j} z_1 \cdots z_r + \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} x_i.$$

Then the  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ -determinant  $\Delta_{\sigma} = \det(A_{ij})$  is in  $S_{\rho}$  and has the following important property.

**Theorem 0.2.** Assume X is complete and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  is simplicial and n-dimensional. Suppose that  $F_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$  for i = 0, ..., n, where  $\alpha_i$  is **Q**-ample and the  $F_i$  don't vanish simultaneously on X. Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_F(\Delta_{\sigma}) = \pm 1.$$

The Global Transformation Law allows us to reduce the proof of this theorem to the special case when  $F_0 = z_1 \cdots z_r$  and  $F_i = x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . This is done in §2. An alternate proof for simplicial toric varieties is given in §4 as an application of Theorem 0.4.

In  $\S3$  we prove the following *Residue Isomorphism Theorem*.

**Theorem 0.3.** Let X be complete and simplicial, and assume that  $F_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is ample and the  $F_i$  don't vanish simultaneously on X. Then:

(i) The toric residue map  $\operatorname{Res}_F : S_\rho / \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_\rho \to \mathbf{C}$  is an isomorphism.

(ii) For each variable  $x_i, 0 \le i \le n+r$ , we have  $x_i \cdot S_\rho \subset \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ .

In the case when all the  $\alpha_i$  are equal to a fixed ample divisor  $\alpha$ , this theorem follows from the fact that  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  are a regular sequence in the Cohen-Macaulay ring  $S_{*\alpha} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} S_{k\alpha}$  [C2, §3]. In the general case, the proof relies on the use of the Cayley trick and results of Batyrev and Cox [BC] concerning the cohomology of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties, to show that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S_{\rho}/\langle F_0,\ldots,F_n\rangle_{\rho})=1$$

when X is simplicial and the divisors  $F_i = 0$  are ample with empty intersection. Then, the first (and main) part of the Residue Isomorphism Theorem follows immediately from Theorem 0.2, and the second part is a consequence of the first using Theorem 0.2 and Cramer's Rule.

As a corollary of Theorems 0.2 and 0.3, we get a simple algorithm (see Remark 3.11) for computing toric residues in terms of normal forms. In  $\S3$ , we will also conjecture a more general form of Theorem 0.3 and give some examples in support.

The main result of §4 is a theorem stating that for simplicial toric varieties, the toric residue may be computed as a sum of local Grothendieck residues. The toric setting is not essential here and, in fact, it is convenient to work with the more general notion of a V-manifold or orbifold. The proof of the following local/global theorem is based on the theory of residual currents ([CH]).

**Theorem 0.4.** Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety of dimension n, and let  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  be homogeneous polynomials which don't vanish simultaneously on X. If H is a polynomial in  $S_{\rho}$ , where  $\rho$  is the critical degree, and  $D_k = \{x \in X : F_i(x) = 0, i \neq k\}$  is finite, then the toric residue is given by

$$\operatorname{Res}_{F}(H) = (-1)^{k} \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{k}}} \operatorname{Res}_{k,x} \left( \frac{H \Omega}{F_{0} \cdots F_{n}} \right).$$

Here, as we will explain in §4,  $\operatorname{Res}_{k,x}\left(\frac{H\Omega}{F_0\cdots F_n}\right)$  denotes the local Grothendieck residue  $\operatorname{Res}_x\left(\frac{(H/F_k)\Omega}{F_0\cdots \widehat{F_k}\cdots F_n}\right)$ . Note that the finiteness condition holds automatically

whenever the divisor  $\{F_k = 0\}$  is **Q**-ample. Under appropriate conditions, Theorem 0.4 gives a framework for the study of sums of local residues—both in the affine and toric cases—as a global residue defined in a suitable toric compactification. It is possible, for example, to interpret in this light the results of [CDS] which correspond to the case when the toric variety under consideration is a weighted projective space.

Finally, in §5, we show that, in the equal degree case, the toric residue equals a single local residue at the origin of the affine cone of X. This generalizes the observation in [PS] that toric residues on  $\mathbf{P}^n$  can be written as a residue at the origin in  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ .

Acknowledgements. Eduardo Cattani was supported by NSF Grant DMS-9404642; part of the work on this paper was done while he was visiting the Institut Fourier, Grenoble, and the University of Buenos Aires. He is grateful for their support and hospitality. David Cox was supported by NSF Grant DMS-9301161. Alicia Dickenstein was supported by UBACYT and CONICET, Argentina.

#### §1. The Global Transformation Law

This section will prove the Global Transformation Law (Theorem 0.1) for toric residues on an arbitrary *n*-dimensional complete toric variety X. Given  $F_i$  and  $G_j = \sum_{i=0}^n A_{ij} F_i$  as in the statement of the theorem, first observe that if  $G_0, \ldots, G_n$  have no common zeroes in X, then the same holds for  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$ . Thus we get open covers  $U_i = \{x \in X : F_i(x) \neq 0\}$ and  $V_j = \{x \in X : G_j(x) \neq 0\}$  of X, which we denote  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  respectively.

If the critical degrees of the  $F_i$  and  $G_j$  are  $\rho(F)$  and  $\rho(G)$  respectively, then

$$\rho(G) = \rho(F) + \deg(\det(A_{ij}))$$

follows easily since  $A_{ij}$  homogeneous and deg  $A_{ij} = \deg G_j - \deg F_i$ . Thus, if H is homogeneous of degree  $\rho(F)$ , then we get Čech cohomology classes  $[\omega_F(H)] \in H^n(\mathcal{U}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$ and  $[\omega_G(H \det(A_{ij}))] \in H^n(\mathcal{V}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$ . To prove Theorem 0.1, it suffices to show that these cohomology classes have the same image in  $H^n(X, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$ .

Consider the open covering  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  can be regarded as refinements of  $\mathcal{W}$  with obvious refinement maps, we get a commutative diagram



Then Theorem 0.1 is an immediate consequence of the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.1.** There is a cohomology class  $[\theta] \in H^n(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$  which maps to both  $[\omega_F(H)] \in H^n(\mathcal{U}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$  and  $[\omega_G(H \det(A_{ij}))] \in H^n(\mathcal{V}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$  in the above diagram.

**Proof.** We first introduce some notation for the Čech complex of  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ . Given index sets  $I = \{0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n\}$  and  $J = \{0 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n\}$  with p = |I|

and q = |J|, let  $W_{IJ} = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} V_j$ . Also, let I' denote the complement of I in  $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ , ordered increasingly.

We define a Čech cochain  $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$  by the assignment

$$W_{IJ} \mapsto \theta_{IJ} = \epsilon(I) \; \frac{H \, \det(M_{I'J}) \,\Omega}{F_I \, G_J} \in \widehat{\Omega}_X^n(W_{IJ}).$$

Here, I and J are index sets with p+q = n+1,  $M_{I'J}$  is the I'J-minor of the matrix  $(A_{ij})$ ,  $\epsilon(I)$  is the sign of the permutation (I, I'),  $F_I = F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_p}$ , and  $G_J = G_{j_1} \cdots G_{j_q}$ . When  $I = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ , both I' and J are empty and  $\theta$  assigns to the open set  $U_0 \cap$ 

When  $I = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ , both I' and J are empty and  $\theta$  assigns to the open set  $U_0 \cap \cdots \cap U_n$  the form  $H \Omega/(F_0 \cdots F_n)$ . Thus, the refinement map  $\mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n) \to \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{U}, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n)$ maps  $\theta$  to the cocycle  $\omega_F(H)$ . Similarly, when  $J = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ , the cochain  $\theta$  assigns to the open set  $V_0 \cap \cdots \cap V_n$  the form  $H \det(A_{ij}) \Omega/(G_0 \cdots G_n)$  and, hence, the refinement map  $\mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n) \to \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{V}, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n)$  maps  $\theta$  to the cocycle  $\omega_G(H \det(A_{ij}))$ . Consequently, the proposition will follow once we show that  $\theta$  is also a cocycle, i.e.,  $\delta(\theta) = 0$ , where  $\delta : \mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n) \to \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\Omega}_X^n)$  is the Čech coboundary.

To prove that  $\delta(\theta) = 0$ , let I and J be index sets with p + q = n + 2. Then set  $I_k = I - \{i_k\}, 1 \le k \le p, I'_k = I' \cup \{i_k\}, \text{ and } J_\ell = J - \{j_\ell\}, 1 \le \ell \le q$ , so that

$$(\delta\theta)_{IJ} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^{k-1} \theta_{I_kJ} + (-1)^p \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{\ell-1} \theta_{IJ_\ell}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \epsilon(I_k) \det(M_{I'_kJ}) H \Omega}{F_{I_k} G_J}$$
$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{p+\ell-1} \epsilon(I) \det(M_{I'J_\ell}) H \Omega}{F_I G_{J_\ell}}.$$

Writing the last expression with common denominator  $F_I G_J$ , it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^{k-1} \epsilon(I_k) F_{i_k} \det(M_{I'_k J}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{p+\ell-1} \epsilon(I) G_{j_\ell} \det(M_{I' J_\ell}) = 0.$$

If we substitute  $G_{j_{\ell}}$  by  $\sum_{k=1}^{p} A_{i_{k}j_{\ell}} F_{i_{k}} + \sum_{u \in I'} A_{uj_{\ell}} F_{u}$ , then the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} \left[ (-1)^{k-1} \epsilon(I_k) \det(M_{I'_k J}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{p+\ell-1} \epsilon(I) A_{i_k j_\ell} \det(M_{I' J_\ell}) \right] F_{i_k} \\ + \epsilon(I) (-1)^p \sum_{u \in I'} \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{\ell-1} A_{u j_\ell} \det(M_{I' J_\ell}) \right] F_u = 0.$$

We will show that the expressions inside the two sets of brackets are identically zero.

First, for  $u \in I'$ , note that  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{q} (-1)^{\ell-1} A_{uj_{\ell}} \det(M_{I'J_{\ell}})$  is the determinant of the matrix whose first row consists of  $A_{uj_{\ell}}$ ,  $\ell = 1, \ldots, q$  and whose remaining rows are the

same as those of the matrix  $M_{I'J}$ . But, since  $u \in I'$ , such a matrix has two identical rows and its determinant vanishes. Hence the sum inside the second set of brackets is zero.

Turning to the first set of brackets, note that expanding the determinant of the  $q \times q$ matrix  $M_{I'_k,J}$  along the row corresponding to  $i_k$  gives

$$\det(M_{I'_kJ}) = (-1)^m \sum_{\ell=1}^q (-1)^{\ell-1} A_{i_k j_\ell} \det(M_{I'J_\ell}),$$

where *m* denotes the number of elements of I' which precede  $i_k$ . However, since going from (I, I') to  $(I_k, I'_k)$  requires (m - k + p) transpositions, we see that

$$\epsilon(I_k) = (-1)^{m-k+p} \ \epsilon(I),$$

and it follows that the desired expression is zero.  $\diamond$ 

#### $\S$ **2.** Elements with Nonzero Residue

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 0.2. We begin with  $F_j \in S_{\alpha_j}$ ,  $0 \le j \le n$ , which don't vanish simultaneously on the complete toric variety X. As in the introduction, we have the coordinate ring  $S = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+r}]$  of X, where the variables  $x_i$  correspond to the **Z**-generators  $\eta_i$  of the 1-dimensional cones of  $\Sigma$ . For each n-dimensional cone  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , set  $\hat{x}_{\sigma} = \prod_{\eta_i \notin \sigma} x_i$  and let  $B(\Sigma) \subset S$  be the ideal generated by the monomials  $\hat{x}_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ .

We also assume that  $\alpha_j$  is **Q**-ample. This means that  $d\alpha_j$  is ample for some positive integer d, so that  $S_{d\alpha_j} \subset B(\Sigma)$  by Lemma 9.15 of [BC]. Then  $(S_{\alpha_j})^d \subset S_{d\alpha_j} \subset B(\Sigma)$ , and since  $B(\Sigma)$  is radical, we conclude that

$$(2.1) S_{\alpha_i} \subset B(\Sigma)$$

when  $\alpha_i$  is **Q**-ample.

To see the relevance of (2.1), fix a *n*-dimensional cone  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and, as in Theorem 0.2, assume that  $\sigma$  is *simplicial*. Then we can arrange for  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$  to be the generators of  $\sigma$ , and we make a slight notational change replacing the variable  $x_{n+a}$  by  $z_a$ ,  $a = 1, \ldots, r$ . Then each  $F_j$  can be written

$$F_j = B_j + \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} x_i,$$

where  $B_j$  depends only on  $z_1, \ldots, z_r$ . But  $F_j \in B(\Sigma)$  by (2.1) and, since  $B(\Sigma)$  is a monomial ideal, it follows easily that  $B_j$  must be divisible by  $\hat{x}_{\sigma} = z_1 \cdots z_r$ . Thus  $B_j = A_{0j} z_1 \cdots z_r$ , so that

(2.2) 
$$F_j = A_{0j} z_1 \cdots z_r + \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} x_i,$$

as claimed in the introduction. Then we define

(2.3) 
$$\Delta_{\sigma} = \det(A_{ij}), \quad 0 \le i, j \le n.$$

Note that

$$\deg(\Delta_{\sigma}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg(x_i) - \sum_{a=1}^{r} \deg(z_a) = \rho(F).$$

A direct application of the Global Transformation Law to (2.2) and (2.3) yields

$$\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma}\,\Omega}{F_0\cdots F_n}\right) = \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{\Omega}{(z_1\cdots z_r)\cdot x_1\cdots x_n}\right).$$

Thus, to prove Theorem 0.2, we need only prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** With assumptions and notations as above,

$$\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{\Omega}{(z_1\cdots z_r)\cdot x_1\cdots x_n}\right) = \pm 1.$$

**Proof.** We first study the restriction of

(2.5) 
$$\omega = \frac{\Omega}{(z_1 \cdots z_r) \cdot x_1 \cdots x_n}$$

to the affine open set  $X_{\sigma} \subset X$  determined by  $\sigma$ . To apply the construction of [C1] to the simplicial toric variety  $X_{\sigma}$ , we start with the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbf{Z}^n \longrightarrow D(\sigma) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\gamma(m) = (\langle m, \eta_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle m, \eta_n \rangle)$ . Then  $D(\sigma)$  is finite since  $\sigma$  is simplicial, and  $G(\sigma) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(D(\sigma), \mathbf{C}^*)$  is isomorphic to N/N', where N' is the sublattice of N generated by  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ . The map  $\mathbf{Z}^n \to D(\sigma)$  induces an action of  $G(\sigma)$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , and it follows from [C1] that the quotient is  $X_{\sigma}$ . In particular, we have a quotient map  $p_{\sigma} : \mathbf{C}^n \to X_{\sigma}$ .

To relate this construction to  $S = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+r}] = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, z_1, \ldots, z_r]$ , note that S is the coordinate ring of the affine space  $\mathbf{C}^{n+r}$ . Then let  $Z(\Sigma) \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+r}$  be the subvariety defined by  $B(\Sigma)$ . In [C1], a natural map  $p: \mathbf{C}^{n+r} - Z(\Sigma) \to X$  is constructed. Now consider the inclusion  $\mathbf{C}^n \to \mathbf{C}^{n+r}$  defined by

(2.6) 
$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,\ldots,x_n,1,\ldots,1).$$

One easily sees that the image of this map lies in  $\mathbf{C}^{n+r} - Z(\Sigma)$ , and the argument of Theorem 1.9 of [BC] shows that we have a commutative diagram

(2.7) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}^n & \xrightarrow{p_{\sigma}} & X_{\sigma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{C}^{n+r} - Z(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{p} & X. \end{array}$$

We now recall the Euler form  $\Omega$  from [BC]. Fix an integer basis  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  for the lattice M. Then, given a subset  $I = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n+r\}$  with n elements, define

$$\det(\eta_I) = \det(\langle m_i, \eta_{\ell_j} \rangle_{1 \le i, j \le n}).$$

Also set  $dx_I = dx_{\ell_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{\ell_n}$  and  $\hat{x}_I = \prod_{\ell \notin I} x_{\ell}$ . Then  $\Omega$  is given by the formula

(2.8) 
$$\Omega = \sum_{|I|=n} \det(\eta_I) \hat{x}_I \, dx_I$$

where the sum is over all *n*-element subsets  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n+r\}$ . Note that  $\Omega$  is well-defined up to  $\pm 1$ .

From (2.6) and (2.7), we see that  $p_{\sigma}^*(\omega)$  is computed by setting  $z_1 = \cdots = z_r = 1$  in the above formula for  $\Omega$ . Thus

(2.9) 
$$p_{\sigma}^{*}(\omega) = \pm \frac{|N/N'| \, dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n}{x_1 \cdots x_n}$$

The next step in the proof is to study what happens when we change X slightly. Suppose that  $\Sigma'$  is a refinement of the fan  $\Sigma$  such that  $\sigma$  is still a cone of  $\Sigma'$ . Then we get a birational morphism  $\pi: X' \to X$  of toric varieties which is the identity on the affine piece  $X'_{\sigma} = X_{\sigma}$ . For X', we have an Euler form  $\Omega'$ , and the analog of  $\omega$  in (2.5) is denoted  $\omega'$ . Note that  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  have the same meaning for both  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$ . Then, since  $\sigma$  is a cone for both fans and we haven't changed N, it follows from (2.9) that  $\pi^*(\omega) = \omega'$ . We also have open covers  $\mathcal{U}$  of X and  $\mathcal{U}'$  of X', and we leave it to the reader to verify that these covers are compatible under  $\pi$ . It follows that

$$\pi^*([\omega]) = [\omega']$$

as elements of  $H^n(X', \widehat{\Omega}^n_{X'})$ . Since

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{X'} \circ \pi^* = \operatorname{Tr}_X$$

when  $\pi$  is birational,  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  have the same toric residue. In particular,

(2.10) 
$$\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = \pm 1 \iff \operatorname{Res}(\omega') = \pm 1.$$

Next, instead of changing the fan, suppose we change the lattice. If  $N_1$  is a sublattice of N, then as explained in [O, Corollary 1.16], we get a toric variety  $X_1$  such that  $N/N_1$ acts on  $X_1$  with X as quotient. Let  $\pi_1 : X_1 \to X$  be the quotient map. The toric varieties X and  $X_1$  have the same coordinate ring S (though the gradings differ). Now assume that  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n+r}$  lie in  $N_1$ . Then one easily sees that the Euler forms  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  are related by the formula

$$\Omega = \pm |N/N_1| \,\Omega_1$$

so that if  $\omega_1$  is the analog of  $\omega$  for  $X_1$ , we have

$$\pi_1^*(\omega) = \pm |N/N_1| \,\omega_1.$$

However, since  $\pi_1: X_1 \to X$  is a finite map of degree  $|N/N_1|$ , we also have

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{X_1} \circ \pi_1^* = |N/N_1| \operatorname{Tr}_X$$

From here, it follows that  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$  have the same toric residue (up to  $\pm 1$ ), and hence

(2.11) 
$$\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = \pm 1 \iff \operatorname{Res}(\omega_1) = \pm 1.$$

We can now prove the proposition. Define  $\eta_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i$ , where  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$  are the generators of  $\sigma$ , and let  $\Sigma'$  be the fan whose cones are generated by proper subsets of  $\{\eta_0, \ldots, \eta_n\}$ . This gives a toric variety X', and note the  $\sigma$  is a cone of  $\Sigma'$ . Now let  $\Sigma''$  be the fan consisting of all intersections  $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$  for  $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma$  and  $\sigma_2 \in \Sigma'$ . Hence  $\Sigma''$  is a common refinement of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ , and  $\sigma$  is a cone in all three fans. The corresponding toric variety X'' maps to both X and X'. Finally, let  $N' \subset N$  be the sublattice generated by  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ . Then  $\eta_0 \in N'$ , and the toric variety determined by N' and  $\Sigma'$  is  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Putting this all together, we get a diagram of toric varieties



where the first two maps come from refinements which preserve  $\sigma$  and the third comes from a change of lattice which preserves the generators  $\eta_i$ . It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = \pm 1$$

if and only if the corresponding statement is true for  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . The latter is well known; for example, it follows from the Trace Property for  $\mathbf{P}^n$  stated in the introduction to [C2].  $\diamond$ 

**Remarks 2.12.** (i) In §4, we will use the relation between toric residues and local residues to give a second proof of Proposition 2.4 in the special case when X is simplicial.

(ii) If we replace the hypothesis that the  $\alpha_i$  are **Q**-ample with the weaker assumption (2.1), then the conclusion of Theorem 0.2 is still true. In fact, all we need to assume is that  $F_i \in B(\Sigma)$  for all *i*. This will be useful in §3.

(iii) The element  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  depends on the choice of simplicial cone  $\sigma$  of dimension n and on the choice of coefficients  $A_{ij}$  in (2.2). Once Theorem 0.3 is established, it will follow from Theorem 0.2 that when X is simplicial and the  $\alpha_i$  are ample, the class of  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  is unique up to sign modulo the ideal  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ . Moreover, if we pick a basis of M such that  $\det(\langle m_i, \eta_j \rangle) > 0$ , then one can check that  $\operatorname{Res}_F(\Delta_{\sigma}) = 1$ .

(iv) Given any decomposition

$$F_j = A_{0j} z_1 \dots z_r + \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} x_i,$$

the polynomial  $\Delta_{\sigma} = \det(A_{ij})$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Res}_F(\Delta_{\sigma}) = \pm 1$ .

(v) The definition of  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  given by (2.3) generalizes a well-known construction in the algebraic setting corresponding to projective space [KK]. Regarding  $\mathbf{P}^n$  as a toric variety, we get the usual graded ring  $S = \mathbf{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ , and the ideal  $B(\Sigma)$  is the maximal ideal  $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ . Given homogeneous polynomials  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$ , deg $(F_j) = d_j > 0$ , whose only common zero is the origin, let  $\sigma$  be the cone whose generators correspond to the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Then

$$F_j = \frac{1}{d_j} \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_0} x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_j} \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} x_i$$

and, consequently, a choice of  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  is given by

$$\Delta_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{d_0 \cdots d_n} \det\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\right).$$

#### §3. The Codimension One and Residue Isomorphism Theorems

Before we can prove the main results of this section, we need to discuss a toric version of the Cayley trick. Let X be a complete toric variety, and let  $\mathcal{L}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n$  be ample line bundles on X. Then consider

$$Y = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_n).$$

This variety has a canonical line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ , which is ample since the  $\mathcal{L}_j$  are ample (see §1 of Chapter III of [H]). Note that Y is a  $\mathbf{P}^n$ -bundle over X, so that Y has dimension 2n.

For our purposes, we need to understand Y as a toric variety. We begin with the description given in [BB]. The ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is associated to a *n*-dimensional polytope  $\Delta_j \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$  of the form

(3.1) 
$$\Delta_j = \{ m \in M_{\mathbf{R}} : \langle m, \eta_i \rangle \ge -a_{ij}, \ i = 1, \dots, n+r \}.$$

Since each  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is ample, the *facets* of  $\Delta_j$  (faces of codimension 1) correspond bijectively to the  $\eta_i$ , where  $\eta_i$  gives the facet  $F_{ij} = \{m \in \Delta_j : \langle m, \eta_i \rangle = -a_{ij}\} \subset \Delta_j$ .

Now consider  $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus M_{\mathbf{R}}$  with the integer lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^n \oplus M$ . Elements of  $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus M_{\mathbf{R}}$  can be uniquely written  $\tilde{m} = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n e_n + m$ , where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $m \in M_{\mathbf{R}}$ . We also have the dual  $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$  with lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^n \oplus N$ , and elements here are written similarly. Then define  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^n \oplus M_{\mathbf{R}}$  to be the convex hull

(3.2) 
$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \operatorname{Conv} \left( \left( \{0\} \times \Delta_0 \right) \cup \left( \{e_1\} \times \Delta_1 \right) \cup \cdots \cup \left( \{e_n\} \times \Delta_n \right) \right) \\ &= \left\{ \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n e_n + \lambda_0 m_0 + \cdots + \lambda_n m_n : \lambda_j \ge 0, \ \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j = 1, \ m_j \in \Delta_j \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is easily seen to be equivalent to the polytope  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  in Section 3 of [BB]. Since  $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  is ample, Proposition 3.2 of [BB] implies that Y is the toric variety determined by the polytope  $\Delta$ . The corresponding fan in  $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$  is called the *normal fan* of  $\Delta$ .

We next show that the generators of the 1-dimensional cones in the normal fan are given by

$$\tilde{\eta}_i = \sum_{j=0}^n (a_{ij} - a_{i0})e_j + \eta_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n + r$$
  
 $\tilde{\nu}_0 = -e_1 - \dots - e_n$ 
  
 $\tilde{\nu}_j = e_j, \quad j = 1, ..., n.$ 

The first step is to prove that  $\Delta$  is defined by the inequalities

(3.3)  

$$\langle \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\eta}_i \rangle \ge -a_{i0}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+n$$

$$\langle \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\nu}_0 \rangle \ge -1$$

$$\langle \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\nu}_j \rangle \ge 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Write  $\widetilde{m} = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n e_n + m$ , where  $m \in M_{\mathbf{R}}$ , and let  $\lambda_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j$ . Then the above inequalities are equivalent to

$$\langle m, \eta_i \rangle \ge -\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j a_{ij}, \ i = 1, \dots, n+r, \quad \lambda_j \ge 0, \ j = 0, \dots, n, \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j = 1.$$

If  $\tilde{m} \in \Delta$ , then (3.2) shows that  $m = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_j m_j$ , where  $m_j \in \Delta_j$ , and it follows easily from (3.1) that the above conditions are satisfied. Conversely, if  $\tilde{m} = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n e_n + m$  satisfies (3.3), consider the linear maps  $B(m_0, \ldots, m_n) = (-\langle m_j, \eta_i \rangle)$  and  $D(m_0, \ldots, m_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_j m_j$ . Then the above inequalities and the Farkas Lemma (as stated in Exercise 1.6 of [Z] with A = C = 0) imply that there exists  $(m_0, \ldots, m_n)$  with  $B(m_0, \ldots, m_n) \leq (a_{ij})$  and  $D(m_0, \ldots, m_n) = m$ . This shows that  $m = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_j m_j$ , where  $m_j \in \Delta_j$  by (3.1), and  $\tilde{m} \in \Delta$  follows immediately.

From the inequalities defining  $\Delta$ , we can read off the facets of  $\Delta$  as follows. First, one easily shows that

$$\{\widetilde{m} \in \Delta : \langle \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{\eta}_i \rangle = -a_{i0}\}$$

is the convex hull

$$\operatorname{Conv}((\{0\}\times F_{i0})\cup(\{e_1\}\times F_{i1})\cup\cdots\cup(\{e_n\}\times F_{in})),$$

where  $F_{ij} \subset \Delta_j$  is the facet defined by  $\eta_i$ . Since this set has dimension 2n-1, it is a facet of  $\Delta$ . Similarly, if one looks at the subsets of  $\Delta$  defined by the equations  $\langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{\nu}_0 \rangle = -1$ or  $\langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{\nu}_j \rangle = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then one gets the (2n-1)-dimensional polytopes obtained by taking the convex hulls of n of the n+1 sets  $\{0\} \times \Delta_0, \{e_1\} \times \Delta_1, \ldots, \{e_n\} \times \Delta_n$ . Hence these are also facets.

It follows  $\tilde{\eta}_i$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_j$  define facets of  $\Delta$ , and these are *all* of the facets since  $\Delta$  is given by (3.3). This proves that we have found the generators of the 1-dimensional cones of the fan of Y.

We next turn our attention to the coordinate ring of Y, which is the polynomial ring

$$R = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+r}, y_0, \ldots, y_n],$$

where  $x_i$  corresponds to  $\tilde{\eta}_i$  and  $y_j$  corresponds to  $\tilde{\nu}_j$ . To determine the grading on R, note that the  $\mathbf{P}^n$ -fibration  $p: Y \to X$  gives an exact sequence

(3.4) 
$$0 \longrightarrow A_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{p^*} A_{2n-1}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In terms of  $p: Y \to X$ , we can think of the  $x_i$  as variables coming from the base and the  $y_j$  as variables on the fiber. To make this more precise, let the torus invariant divisors on Y corresponding to  $\tilde{\eta}_i$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_j$  be  $\tilde{D}_i$  and  $\tilde{D}'_j$  respectively. Then  $\tilde{D}_i$  is the pullback of the torus invariant divisor  $D_i$  on X corresponding to  $\eta_i$ , and  $\tilde{D}'_j$  induces the hyperplane class on each fiber. In particular,  $\deg(x_i) = [\tilde{D}_i] \mapsto 0$  and  $\deg(y_j) = [\tilde{D}'_j] \mapsto 1$  in (3.4).

We next have the following important lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** For each j = 0, ..., n, we have  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\tilde{D}'_j) \otimes p^*(\mathcal{L}_j) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ .

**Proof.** The integers  $a_{ij}$  in (3.1) mean that  $\mathcal{L}_j \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} a_{ij} D_i \right)$  on X. It follows that on Y, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(\widetilde{D}'_j) \otimes p^*(\mathcal{L}_j) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(\widetilde{D}'_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} a_{ij}\widetilde{D}_i).$$

When j = 0, the polytope corresponding to this divisor is *precisely*  $\Delta$  by (3.3), which proves the lemma in this case. If j > 0, we have  $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus M$ , and the divisor of the corresponding character  $\chi^{e_j}$  is

$$\operatorname{div}(\chi^{e_j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} \langle e_j, \tilde{\eta}_i \rangle \widetilde{D}_i + \sum_{k=0}^n \langle e_j, \tilde{\nu}_k \rangle \widetilde{D}'_k$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} (a_{ij} - a_{i0}) \widetilde{D}_i - \widetilde{D}'_0 + \widetilde{D}'_j$$
$$= \left( \widetilde{D}'_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} a_{ij} \widetilde{D}_i \right) - \left( \widetilde{D}'_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} a_{i0} \widetilde{D}_i \right),$$

and the lemma follows immediately.  $\diamond$ 

To see what this lemma says about coordinate rings, let  $\alpha_j = [\mathcal{L}_j] \in A_{n-1}(X)$  and pick polynomials  $F_j \in S_{\alpha_j}$ . The  $F_j$  may may have different degrees in S (since the  $\alpha_j$ need not be equal), but Lemma 3.5 implies that the polynomials  $y_j F_j$  all have the same degree in R. Thus we can form the single homogeneous polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{n} y_j F_j \in R$  which contains all the  $F_j$  simultaneously. This is the essence of the Cayley trick.

We can now prove the first main result of this section, which gives a sufficient condition for  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle \subset S$  to have codimension one in the critical degree  $\rho$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety of dimension n, and assume  $F_j \in S_{\alpha_j}$ , for j = 0, ..., n, where  $\alpha_j$  is ample and the  $F_j$  don't vanish simultaneously on X. If  $\rho = \rho(F)$  is the critical degree of the  $F_j$ , then

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S_{\rho}/\langle F_0,\ldots,F_n\rangle_{\rho})=1.$$

**Proof.** If we pick ample line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_j$  on X such that  $\alpha_j = [\mathcal{L}_j] \in A_{n-1}(X)$ , then we get the toric variety  $Y = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_n)$  described above. As remarked after the proof of Lemma 3.5, the polynomials  $y_j F_j$  all have the same degree in the coordinate ring R of Y. This degree is the ample class  $[\mathcal{O}_Y(1)] \in A_{2n-1}(Y)$ , which we will denote by  $\gamma$ . Thus we can define the homogeneous polynomial

$$F = y_0 F_0 + \dots + y_n F_n \in R_{\gamma}$$

Let  $W \subset Y$  be the hypersurface defined by F = 0. The idea of the Cayley trick is that this hypersurface should be closely related to the complete intersection  $F_0 = \cdots = F_n = 0$  on X. Since the intersection is empty in our situation, we expect W to be especially simple.

We next check that all of the relevant hypotheses of [BC] are satisfied. We know that  $\gamma$  is ample, and Y is simplicial since it is a  $\mathbf{P}^n$ -bundle over the simplicial toric variety X. To show that W is quasi-smooth (as defined in Section 3 of [BC]), note that among the partial derivatives of F, we have

(3.7) 
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j} = F_j$$

Since the  $F_j$  don't vanish simultaneously on X, these partials of F can't vanish simultaneously on Y, which proves that W is quasi-smooth.

The *primitive cohomology* of W is defined by the exact sequence

$$H^{2n-1}(Y) \longrightarrow H^{2n-1}(W) \longrightarrow PH^{2n-1}(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

(with coefficients in **C**). To prove Theorem 3.6, we will compute  $PH^{2n-1}(W)$  topologically, using  $W \hookrightarrow Y \to X$ , and algebraically, using the Jacobian ideal of F.

In the composition  $W \hookrightarrow Y \to X$ , the fiber over a point of X with coordinates  $t_1, \ldots, t_{n+r}$  is the subset of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  defined by  $\sum_{j=0}^n y_j F_j(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+r}) = 0$ . Since the  $F_j$  don't vanish simultaneously on X, it follows that the fiber is a hyperplane  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ . Topologically, this means we have a map of fibrations

For each fibration, we get the usual spectral sequence, and the map between the spectral sequences is surjective at  $E_2$  because  $H^q(\mathbf{P}^n) \to H^q(\mathbf{P}^{n-1})$  is surjective for all q. It follows that  $H^{2n-1}(Y) \to H^{2n-1}(W)$  is surjective, so that  $PH^{2n-1}(W)$  vanishes.

We can also compute the Hodge components of  $PH^{2n-1}(W)$  using [BC]. In particular, the exact sequence from Theorem 10.13 of [BC] gives an exact sequence

(3.8) 
$$0 \to H^{2n-2}(Y) \to H^{2n}(Y) \to (R/J(F))_{(n+1)\gamma-\tilde{\beta}} \to PH^{n-1,n}(W) (= 0) \to 0,$$

where  $J(F) = \langle \partial F / \partial x_i, \partial F / \partial y_j \rangle$  is the Jacobian ideal of F and  $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} \deg(x_i) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \deg(y_j)$ . However,  $\gamma = \deg(y_j) + \alpha_j$  for all j by Lemma 3.5, so that

$$(n+1)\gamma - \tilde{\beta} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (\deg(y_j) + \alpha_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} \deg(x_i) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \deg(y_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} \deg(x_i) = \rho.$$

In the map  $A_{2n-1}(Y) \to \mathbb{Z}$  of (3.4), we know that  $\rho \mapsto 0$  and  $\deg(y_j) \mapsto 1$ . This implies  $R_{\rho} = S_{\rho}$ . Furthermore, by (3.7), the Jacobian ideal is  $J(F) = \langle F_j, \partial F / \partial x_i \rangle$ , and  $J(F)_{\rho} = \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}$  follows since  $\partial F / \partial x_i = \sum_{j=0}^n y_j \partial F_j / \partial x_i$ . Then (3.8) tells us that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S_{\rho}/\langle F_0,\ldots,F_n\rangle_{\rho}) = h^{2n}(Y) - h^{2n-2}(Y).$$

However, since the spectral sequence for the fibration  $\mathbf{P}^n \to Y \to X$  degenerates at  $E_2$  (both base and fiber have cohomology only in even degrees), we see that if  $q \leq n$ , then

$$h^{2q}(Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} h^{2k}(X) h^{2(q-k)}(\mathbf{P}^n) = h^0(X) + h^2(X) + \dots + h^{2q}(X).$$

This easily implies  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S_{\rho}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}) = h^{2n}(X) = 1$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\diamond$ 

We can now prove Theorem 0.3 from the Introduction. The first part of the theorem claims that the toric residue map

(3.9) 
$$\operatorname{Res}_F: S_{\rho}/\langle F_0, \dots, F_n \rangle_{\rho} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

is an isomorphism. Since X is simplicial, every n-dimensional  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  is simplicial, so that by Theorem 0.2, we have  $\Delta_{\sigma} \in S_{\rho}$  such that  $\operatorname{Res}_F(\Delta_{\sigma}) = \pm 1$ . Then Theorem 3.6 immediately implies that (3.9) is an isomorphism.

Turning to the second part of Theorem 0.3, we need to show that

(3.10) 
$$x_i \cdot S_\rho \subset \langle F_0, \dots, F_n \rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n + r.$$

To prove this, let  $\sigma$  be a *n*-dimensional cone of  $\Sigma$  containing  $\eta_i$ , and renumbering as in §2, we can assume that  $i \leq n$ . Then Cramer's Rule, applied to the equations (2.2), shows that  $x_i \cdot \Delta_{\sigma} \subset \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ . But the previous paragraph implies  $S_{\rho} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma} + \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}$ , and then (3.10) follows immediately. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.3.

**Remark 3.11.** As a consequence of these results, we can describe an algorithm for computing toric residues when X is complete and simplicial and  $F_j \in S_{\alpha_j}$  for  $\alpha_j$  ample. First, pick a Gröbner basis for  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$  (using a convenient monomial order on S). Given a polynomial  $H \in S$ , we can then compute its normal form, denoted *normalform*(H). Since  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho} \subset S_{\rho}$  has codimension 1, an easy argument shows that the normal forms of elements of  $S_{\rho}$  are multiples of the monomial  $x^{\alpha}$  which is the least (relative to the chosen monomial order) among the monomials of degree  $\rho$  not in  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}$ .

Then choose a *n*-dimensional cone  $\sigma$ , say with generators  $\eta_{i_j}$ , and pick a basis  $m_i$  of M such that  $\det(\langle m_i, \eta_{i_j} \rangle) > 0$ . If we use this basis to construct the Euler form  $\Omega$ , then by the remarks made at the end of §2, the determinant  $\Delta_{\sigma} \in S_{\rho}$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Res}_F(\Delta_{\sigma}) = 1$ . Finally, let  $c_{\sigma}$  be the nonzero constant such that  $normalform(\Delta_{\sigma}) = c_{\sigma} x^{\alpha}$ .

Given these "preprocessing" steps, we can now describe the algorithm: given  $H \in S_{\rho}$ , its toric residue is given by the quotient

$$\operatorname{Res}_F(H) = \frac{c}{c_{\sigma}},$$

where  $normalform(H) = c x^{\alpha}$ . This follows because  $H \equiv c x^{\alpha} \mod \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$  and  $\Delta_{\sigma} \equiv c_{\sigma} x^{\alpha} \mod \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$  imply  $H \equiv (c/c_{\sigma}) \Delta_{\sigma} \mod \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ .

In the final part of this section, we will discuss the hypotheses of the Codimension One Theorem (Theorem 3.6) and the Residue Isomorphism Theorem (Theorem 0.3). In proving both of these results, we assumed that the degrees of  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  were ample classes in  $A_{n-1}(X)$  (this was needed in order to use the results of [BC]). We suspect that these theorems should hold under the weaker hypothesis that the degrees are **Q**-ample. In fact, there is an even weaker hypothesis which leads to the following conjecture generalizing the Codimension One Theorem. **Conjecture 3.12.** If X is a complete simplicial toric variety and  $F_0, \ldots, F_n \in B(\Sigma)$  are homogeneous polynomials which don't vanish simultaneously on X, then

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S_{\rho}/\langle F_0,\ldots,F_n\rangle_{\rho})=1,$$

where as usual  $\rho$  is the critical degree of  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$ .

Recall from §2 that  $B(\Sigma)$  is the ideal generated by the monomials  $\hat{x}_{\sigma} = \prod_{\eta_i \notin \sigma} x_i$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and that  $S_{\alpha_j} \subset B(\Sigma)$  when  $\alpha_j$  is **Q**-ample (see (2.1)). Thus Theorem 3.6 is a special case of Conjecture 3.12.

One useful observation is that Conjecture 3.12 implies the conclusions of the Residue Isomorphism Theorem remain true.

**Proposition 3.13.** Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety, and let  $F_0, \ldots, F_n \in B(\Sigma)$  be homogeneous polynomials which don't vanish simultaneously on X. If Conjecture 3.12 is true for X (i.e., if dim<sub>C</sub> $(S_{\rho}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}) = 1$ ), then:

- (i) The toric residue map  $\operatorname{Res}_F: S_{\rho}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho} \to \mathbf{C}$  is an isomorphism.
- (ii) For each variable  $x_i, 0 \le i \le n+r$ , we have  $x_i \cdot S_\rho \subset \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ .

**Proof.** The argument is identical to what we used to derive Theorem 0.3 from Theorem 3.6. This is because, as we observed in Remark 2.12 (ii), Theorem 0.2 still applies under the assumption  $F_i \in B(\Sigma)$ .

As evidence for Conjecture 3.12, we present the following examples.

**Examples 3.14.** (i) If  $X = \mathbf{P}(q_0, \ldots, q_n)$  is a weighted projective space with coordinate ring  $S = \mathbf{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ , then  $B(\Sigma)$  is the ideal  $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ , so that  $F_i \in B(\Sigma)$  means that  $F_i$  has positive degree. Hence Conjecture 3.12 follows easily by standard commutative algebra because  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  form a regular sequence in S (since they don't vanish simultaneously on X).

For a specific example, consider  $X = \mathbf{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 5)$  and suppose that  $F_0, \ldots, F_6$  have degrees 3, 6, 6, 6, 6, 5, 4 respectively. The critical degree is  $\rho = 21$ , so that  $S_{21}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_6 \rangle_{21} \simeq \mathbf{C}$  in this case. Note that the  $F_i$  are not Cartier, though they are certainly **Q**-ample. This is an example from mirror symmetry which arises in connection with certain (0, 2) string theories—see [DK] for more details.

(ii) For another example where the degrees of the  $F_i$  are **Q**-ample but not Cartier, consider the toric surface X corresponding to the fan in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  determined by the vectors

$$\eta_1 = (1,0); \quad \eta_2 = (0,1); \quad \eta_3 = (-1,1); \quad \eta_4 = (-1,-1); \quad \eta_5 = (1,-1)$$

Note that X is singular since  $\eta_3$ ,  $\eta_4$  and  $\eta_4$ ,  $\eta_5$  don't span all of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , though X is certainly simplicial.

If we let the variables x, y, z, t, u correspond to  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_5$ , then the exceptional set  $Z \subset \mathbf{C}^5$  is defined by the ideal  $B(\Sigma) = \langle ztu, xtu, xyu, xyz, yzt \rangle$ , that is,

$$Z = \{x = z = 0\} \cup \{z = u = 0\} \cup \{y = u = 0\} \cup \{x = t = 0\} \cup \{y = t = 0\}$$

Thus,  $X \simeq (\mathbf{C}^5 - Z)/(\mathbf{C}^*)^3$ . Furthermore, one can show that  $A_1(X) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^3$  and that we get a grading in the polynomial ring  $S = \mathbf{C}[x, y, z, t, u]$  with

$$deg(x) = (1, 1, -1); \quad deg(y) = (-1, 1, 1); \quad deg(z) = (1, 0, 0); deg(t) = (0, 1, 0); \quad deg(u) = (0, 0, 1).$$

Thus, the sum of the degrees of the variables is  $\beta = (1, 3, 1)$ .

We next characterize ample divisors on X. First, one checks that a class  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \simeq A_1(X)$  lies in  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \subset A_1(X)$  (i.e., the divisor  $a D_3 + b D_4 + c D_5$  is Cartier) if and only if  $a \equiv b \equiv c \mod 2$ . Then it is straightforward to verify (using [F, §3.3-4]) that a Cartier class (a, b, c) is ample if and only if

(3.15) 
$$b > a > 0$$
 and  $b > c > 0$ .

For an arbitrary (a, b, c), these inequalities tell us when the corresponding class is **Q**-ample.

Now consider the polynomials

$$F_0 = xy^2z^3; \quad F_1 = x^2yu^3 + yz^2t^2u + xt^2u^3 + y^2z^3t; \quad F_2 = zt^3u^2 + xt^2u^3 + y^2z^3t.$$

They are homogeneous and deg  $F_0 = (2, 3, 1)$ , deg  $F_1 = \text{deg } F_2 = (1, 3, 2)$ . One can check that the common zeros of  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^5$  are contained in the set Z and therefore the corresponding divisors on X have empty intersection. None of these divisors are Cartier, but they are clearly **Q**-ample by (3.15), and their critical degree is given by:

$$\rho = (2,3,1) + (1,3,2) + (1,3,2) - (1,3,1) = (3,6,4).$$

There are 22 monomials of degree  $\rho$ , and computing the normalform of each monomial (as in Remark 3.11), we find that the normalforms are all multiples of the same monomial (for example, if we use graded reverse lex with x > y > z > t > u, the normalforms are all multiples of  $x^3 t^3 u^7$ ). Thus  $\langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle_{\rho}$  has codimension one in  $S_{\rho}$ .

(iii) We next give an example where  $F_i \in B(\Sigma)$  for all *i* but their degrees are not **Q**-ample classes. We use the same singular toric surface X as in (ii), but this time we consider the polynomials

$$F_0 = ztu;$$
  $F_1 = yzt + xyu;$   $F_2 = xyz + xtu.$ 

These are homogeneous with degrees deg  $F_0 = (1, 1, 1)$ , deg  $F_1 = (0, 2, 1)$ , and deg  $F_2 = (1, 2, 0)$ . One can check that  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  don't vanish simultaneously on X, and by the ampleness criterion (3.15), none of their degrees are **Q**-ample, although  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  all lie in  $B(\Sigma)$ . The critical degree is

$$\rho = (1, 1, 1) + (0, 2, 1) + (1, 2, 0) - (1, 3, 1) = (1, 2, 1).$$

Computing normalforms of the four monomials of degree  $\rho$  reveals that  $\langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle_{\rho}$  has codimension one in  $S_{\rho}$ .

(iv) Finally, we give an example to show what can go wrong if not all of the  $F_i$  are contained in  $B(\Sigma)$ . Let  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ . Here, it is well known that the homogeneous coordinate ring of X is  $S = \mathbf{C}[x, y, z, t]$ , with the usual bigrading

$$\deg(x) = \deg(y) = (1, 0); \quad \deg(z) = \deg(t) = (0, 1).$$

Also,  $B(\Sigma) = \langle xz, xt, yz, yt \rangle$ .

We now let

$$F_0 = (x+y)^2;$$
  $F_1 = xz;$   $F_3 = yt.$ 

Thus  $\deg(F_0) = (2,0)$  and  $\deg(F_1) = \deg(F_2) = (1,1)$ . It is easy to check that  $F_0, F_1, F_2$  don't vanish simultaneously on X. Moreover, the divisors defined by  $F_1, F_2$  are ample (a polynomial of degree (a, b) defines an ample divisor if and only if a > 0 and b > 0), while  $F_0 \notin B(\Sigma)$ .

The critical degree in this case is  $\rho = (2, 0)$  since the sum of the degrees of the variables is  $\beta = (2, 2)$ . There are three monomials of degree (2, 0):  $x^2$ ,  $y^2$  and xy, and any two of them are linearly independent modulo the ideal  $\langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle_{\rho}$  does not have codimension one in  $S_{\rho}$ . Note also that no monomial of degree (3, 0) is in the ideal, which shows that  $x \cdot S_{\rho} \not\subset \langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle$ . Hence the second part of Proposition 3.13 fails as well.

**Remarks 3.16.** (i) Notice that if the  $F_i$  don't all lie in  $B(\Sigma)$ , then we can no longer express the  $F_i$  as in (2.2), so that the definition of  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  makes no sense. Thus, even if  $\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}$  has codimension one in  $S_{\rho}$ , the second part of Proposition 3.13 could fail. For an example of how this can happen, consider the toric variety X of Example 3.14 (ii), this time using the polynomials

$$F_0 = ztu;$$
  $F_1 = yzt + xyu;$   $F_2 = xyz + xtu + zt^2.$ 

These are very similar to what we used in Example 3.14 (iii)—the only difference is that  $F_2$  has an extra  $zt^2$  term. As in that example, deg  $F_0 = (1, 1, 1)$ , deg  $F_1 = (0, 2, 1)$ , and deg  $F_2 = (1, 2, 0)$ , and they don't vanish simultaneously on X. Note also that  $F_0, F_1 \in B(\Sigma)$  but  $F_2 \notin B(\Sigma)$  because of the  $zt^2$  term. The critical degree is still (1, 2, 1), and an easy computation shows that  $\langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle_{\rho}$  still has codimension one in  $S_{\rho}$ . However, in this case, one can also compute that

$$x \cdot xyzu \notin \langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle.$$

Since  $xyzu \in S_{\rho}$ , we have  $x \cdot S_{\rho} \not\subset \langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle$ , so that the second part of Proposition 3.13 fails in this case.

(ii) One question we have not investigated is whether the simplicial hypothesis is needed in Conjecture 3.12 and Proposition 3.13. For example, if X is an arbitrary complete toric variety, then Conjecture 3.12 and the first part of Proposition 3.13 are true when the degrees of the  $F_i$  are the same ample class—this is Theorem 5.1 of [C2].

#### §4. Global Residues as Sums of Local Residues

In this section we will show that for simplicial toric varieties, the toric residue may be computed as a sum of local Grothendieck residues. The toric setting is not essential here and, in fact, it is convenient to work with the more general notion of a V-manifold or orbifold (see [B], [Sa]). We begin with a review of the theory of residual currents.

**Residual Currents on** V-Manifolds. We recall that, by results of Prill [P], if an *n*-dimensional complex variety X is a V-manifold, then for every  $x \in X$  there exists a finite subgroup  $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that for some neighborhood W of  $x \in X$ , we have  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$ , where U is a G-invariant neighborhood of  $0 \in C^n$ . Furthermore, G is small (no  $g \in G$  has 1 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity n-1) and is unique up to conjugacy. Such a local presentation  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$  is called a *standard model*.

A simplicial toric variety X is an example of a V-manifold. Indeed, with the notation of §2, we may cover X with open sets  $X_{\sigma} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n/G(\sigma)$  and it is easy to verify that  $G(\sigma)$  is a small subgroup (see [BC, 3.5]).

It is shown in [St, 1.8] that if X is a V-manifold and  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$  is a standard model, then

(4.1) 
$$\Gamma(W, \widehat{\Omega}_X^p) \simeq \Gamma(U, \Omega_{\mathbf{C}^n}^p)^G$$

where, as before,  $\widehat{\Omega}_X^p$  denotes the sheaf of Zariski *p*-forms on *X*, and the superscript *G* indicates the subspace of *G*-invariant forms. Similarly (see [Sa] and [B]), we consider the sheaves  $\mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}$  of  $C^{\infty}$  forms on *X* of bidegree (p,q). They are associated with the presheaves which assign to an open set  $W \subset X$ , which is part of a standard model  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$ , the group  $\mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}(W) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})^G$ , where  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}$  is the sheaf of  $C^{\infty}(p,q)$ -forms on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The restriction maps for these presheaves are defined as follows: if  $(W', x) \simeq (U'/G', 0)$  is another standard model and  $W' \subset W$  then by [P, Theorem 2], there exists a linear map  $h \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $h(U') \subset U$  and  $G' = h^{-1}Gh$ . We then set  $r_{W'}^W = h^* : \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}(W) \to \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}(W')$ . Note also that any element in  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  commutes with the differential operator  $\bar{\partial}$  acting on  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})$ , which means that we can define an operator  $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q} \to \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q+1}$ .

 $\bar{\partial}$  acting on  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})$ , which means that we can define an operator  $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q} \to \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q+1}$ . We denote by  $\Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q})$  the space of sections of  $\mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}$  with compact support in W. For a standard model  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$ , we have  $\Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}) \simeq \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})^G$ , and it carries a natural Fréchet topology as a subspace of  $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$  the sheaf of (p, q)-currents on X, i.e., the sheaf which associates to any open set W of X, the space  $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(W)$  of continuous linear functionals on  $\Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{E}_X^{n-p,n-q})$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$  is a standard model, then

$$\mathcal{D}^{p,q}_X(W) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(U)^G,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$  is the sheaf of (p,q)-currents on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the action of G on  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(U)$  is the natural one:

$$(gT)(\alpha) = T(g^*\alpha), \quad T \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(U) \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n-p,n-q}).$$

**Proof.** The space  $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(W)$  is by definition the continuous dual of  $\Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{E}_X^{n-p,n-q}) = \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n-p,n-q})^G$ , and any continuous linear map

$$T: \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n-p, n-q})^G \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

extends to a G-invariant continuous linear map defined on all of  $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n-p,n-q})$  by the formula

(4.3) 
$$T(\alpha) = T(\alpha^G), \text{ where } \alpha^G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^* \alpha.$$

Conversely, every G-invariant linear functional T on  $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n-p,n-q})$  satisfies

(4.4) 
$$T(\alpha) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (gT)(\alpha) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} T(g^*\alpha) = T(\alpha^G)$$

and, thus, is in the image of (4.3).

It will be convenient to assign to  $T \in {}^{\prime}\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(U)^G$  the element  $(1/|G|) T \in {}^{\prime}\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(W)$ . With this convention, the *G*-invariant continuus linear operator defined by integration  $\int_U : \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n,n}) \to \mathbf{C}$  gives rise, when  $(W, x) \simeq (U/G, 0)$  is a standard model, to the usual definition of integration for sections  $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{E}_X^{n,n})$ :

$$\int_W \alpha = \frac{1}{|G|} \int_U \alpha$$

It is clear that this definition is independent of the choice of standard model. Moreover, the existence of  $C^{\infty}$  partitions of unity on X (see [B]) implies that we can define the integral for compactly supported sections of  $\mathcal{E}_X^{n,n}$  over any open set of X. Similarly, given a G-invariant form  $\alpha \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})^G$ , integration against  $\alpha$  defines a

Similarly, given a G-invariant form  $\alpha \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^{p,q})^G$ , integration against  $\alpha$  defines a G-invariant current  $I(\alpha) \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(U)^G$ . Thus

$$I(\alpha)(\beta) = \int_U \alpha \wedge \beta \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \in \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{E}^{n-p, n-q}).$$

The corresponding current in  $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(W)$  will also be denoted by  $I(\alpha)$  and we have  $I(\alpha)(\beta) = (1/|G|) \int_U \alpha \wedge \beta = \int_W \alpha \wedge \beta$ .

We extend the definition of  $\bar{\partial}$  to the space of currents by the formula:

$$(\bar{\partial}T)(\beta) := (-1)^{p+q} T(\bar{\partial}\beta), \quad T \in \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}(W) \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{E}_X^{n-p,n-q-1}).$$

**Proposition 4.5.** Let X be a compact, connected V-manifold. Then:

(i) The diagram

commutes and its rows are exact.

(ii) The following diagram commutes and all maps are isomorphisms:



**Proof.** The commutativity of the first diagram is a consequence of the sign convention in the definition of  $\bar{\partial}$ . Exactness follows from the corresponding statements in the smooth case. We illustrate this for the bottom row.

Let  $\alpha \in \widehat{\Omega}_{X,x}^p$  be such that  $I(\alpha) = 0$ . We represent  $\alpha$  by a *G*-invariant holomorphic *p*-form  $\tilde{\alpha}$  on *U* where  $(U/G, 0) \simeq (W, x)$  is a standard model. By Lemma 4.2,  $I(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$ as an element in  $\mathcal{D}^{p,0}(U)^G \subset \mathcal{D}^{p,0}(U)$ . Consequently, by exactness in the smooth case,  $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$  and, a fortiori,  $\alpha = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $T \in {}^{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}^{p,q}}$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Again, we represent T by a G-invariant current  $\tilde{T} \in {}^{\mathcal{D}_{P,q}^{p,q}}(U)^G$  satisfying  $\bar{\partial}\tilde{T} = 0$ . We may replace U by a smaller G-invariant neighborhood U' of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  where  $\tilde{T} = \bar{\partial}\tilde{S}$ ,  $\tilde{S} \in {}^{\mathcal{D}_{P,q-1}}(U')$ . As in (4.4), since  $\bar{\partial}$  is a G-invariant operator,  $\tilde{T} = \bar{\partial}\tilde{S}^G$ , where  $\tilde{S}^G$  is the G-invariant current  $\tilde{S}^G = (1/|G|) \sum_{g \in G} g\tilde{S}$ . Thus  $T = \bar{\partial}S^G$ , for the induced element in  ${}^{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}^{p,q-1}}$ . To prove (ii) we note that the sheaves  $\mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}$  and  ${}^{\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}}$  are fine and, consequently, the

To prove (ii) we note that the sheaves  $\mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$  are fine and, consequently, the rows in the diagram in (i) give fine resolutions of the sheaf  $\widehat{\Omega}_X^p$ . Now, taking p = n, the usual proof of Dolbeault's Theorem gives the isomorphisms  $\eta$  and ' $\eta$ . The isomorphism I is deduced from the map at the level of sheaves and the commutativity follows from (i). Clearly I maps the cohomology class of a  $\overline{\partial}$ -closed (n, n)-form  $\alpha$  to the (n, n)-current defined by integration of compactly supported  $C^{\infty}$  functions against  $\alpha$ .

Stokes' Theorem for V-manifolds [B] implies that integration over X defines an isomorphism  $\int_X : H^{n,n}_{\bar{\partial}}(X) \to \mathbf{C}$  and the map  $\operatorname{Ev}_1 : H^n_{\bar{\partial}}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{n,\cdot}_X)) \to \mathbf{C}$  is defined by evaluation of a (global) current on the constant function  $1_X$ . The commutativity of the right triangle then follows from the relation  $\int_X \alpha = I([\alpha])(1_X), \ [\alpha] \in H^{n,n}_{\bar{\partial}}(X)$ .

We now bring into the picture Multiple Residue and Principal Value currents (as in [CH] and [Di]). Let  $D_1, \ldots, D_k$  be reduced Weil divisors on the V-manifold X. For each  $D_j$ , some multiple is a Cartier divisor (since X is a V-manifold), so that  $D_j$  may be given locally as the support of the zero set of a holomorphic function. Let  $\omega$  be a semimeromorphic (p,q)-form on X with poles on  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$ . This means that  $\omega$  can be locally written as  $\omega'/f$  with  $\omega'$  a  $C^{\infty}$  (p,q)-form and f a holomorphic function such that  $\{f = 0\} \subset D$ .

Suppose for a moment that X is smooth and we are given (not necessarily minimal) equations  $f_i \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  for each hypersurface  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , on some open subset U. For any  $C^{\infty}$  form  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) with compact support contained in U and bidegree (n-p, n-q-k) (resp. (n-p, n-q-(k-1))), we define:

$$R_D[\omega](\alpha) = R_{D_1,\dots,D_k}[\omega](\alpha) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{T_{\delta}(f)} \omega \wedge \alpha$$

and

$$RP_D[\omega](\beta) = R_{D_1,\dots,D_{k-1}} P_{D_k}[\omega](\beta) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{D_\delta(f)} \omega \wedge \beta,$$

where

$$T_{\delta}(f) = \{ x \in U : |f_i(x)| = \epsilon_i(\delta), \quad 1 \le i \le k \}$$
$$D_{\delta}(f) = \{ x \in U : |f_i(x)| = \epsilon_i(\delta), \quad 1 \le i \le k - 1, \quad |f_k(x)| > \epsilon_k(\delta) \}$$

are conveniently oriented semianalytic tubes and the k functions  $\epsilon_i : (0,1) \to \mathbf{R}_+$  are analytic and satisfy  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} (\epsilon_j(\delta)/\epsilon_{j+1}^q(\delta)) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$  and all positive integers q. We call  $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k)$  an *admissible path*.

In [CH], Coleff and Herrera show that the above limits exist for any  $\alpha, \beta$ . Moreover, these limits are independent of the admissible path and the particular equations  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ . Thus, on U, we get the *multiple residue* current  $R_D[\omega]$  of bidegree (p, q+k) and the *principal* value current  $RP_D[\omega]$  of bidegree (p, q+k-1). By means of a  $C^{\infty}$  partition of unity, these local definitions can be collected to obtain global currents on X, also denoted  $R_D[\omega]$  and  $RP_D[\omega]$ , whose supports verify

$$\operatorname{supp}(R_D[\omega]) \subset (\cap_{i=1}^k D_i) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\omega), \quad \operatorname{supp}(RP_D[\omega]) \subset (\cap_{i=1}^{k-1} D_i) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\omega).$$

Suppose now that X is a V-manifold,  $D_1, \ldots, D_k$  reduced Weil divisors as above, and  $W \simeq U/G$  is a standard model. We denote by  $\tilde{D}_1, \ldots, \tilde{D}_k$  the lifted hypersurfaces in U. For any G-invariant, semimeromorphic form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on U, with polar set contained in  $\tilde{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \tilde{D}_i$ , the currents  $R_{\tilde{D}}[\tilde{\omega}]$  and  $RP_{\tilde{D}}[\tilde{\omega}]$  are also G-invariant. Thus, given a semimeromorphic form  $\omega$  on W, we denote by  $\tilde{\omega}$  its lifting to U and then define:

$$R_D[\omega] = \frac{1}{|G|} R_{\tilde{D}}[\tilde{\omega}] \text{ and } RP_D[\omega] = \frac{1}{|G|} RP_{\tilde{D}}[\tilde{\omega}].$$

These definitions may again be globalized using a partition of unity on X. The definition of  $RP_D$  and support property stated above imply that:

(4.6) 
$$RP_D[\omega]|_{X-D_j} = 0 \text{ for } j < k, \text{ and } RP_D[\omega]|_{X-D_k} = R_{D_1,\dots,D_{k-1}}[\omega]|_{X-D_k}.$$

The mappings  $R_D$  and  $RP_D$  associating to any germ of meromorphic *p*-form  $\omega$  with poles contained in D, the germ of the residual currents  $R_D[\omega]$  and  $RP_D[\omega]$ , define sheaf morphisms making the following diagram commutative:

(4.7) 
$$\widehat{\Omega}_{X}^{p}(*D) \xrightarrow{RP_{D}} \mathcal{D}_{X}^{p,k-1} \\ R_{D} \searrow \swarrow \overline{\partial} \\ \mathcal{D}_{X}^{p,k}$$

In particular,  $\bar{\partial}R_D[\omega] = 0$  for every meromorphic form  $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}^p_X(*D)$ .

We conclude our discussion of residual currents by defining the local Grothendieck residue at a point x on a V-manifold X. Let  $(W_1, x) \simeq (U_1/G, 0)$  be a standard model, and let W be a relatively compact neighborhood of x such that  $W \subset \overline{W} \subset W_1$ . Finally, let U be a G-invariant neighborhood of 0 such that  $W \simeq U/G$  and  $U \subset \overline{U} \subset U_1$ . Suppose that  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{W})$  have x as their only common zero in  $\overline{W}$ . Pulling-back to  $\overline{U}$ , it follows that the hypersurfaces  $\tilde{D}_i = \{\tilde{f}_i = 0\}$  intersect only at 0. Given now a meromorphic n-form  $\omega$  on W with polar set contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{f_i = 0\}$ , we denote by  $\tilde{\omega}$  its pull-back to U and define

(4.8) 
$$\operatorname{Res}_{x}(\omega) = \frac{1}{|G|} \operatorname{Res}_{0}(\tilde{\omega}),$$

where, as we recall from [GH] for example, the local Grothendieck residue  $\operatorname{Res}_0(\tilde{\omega})$  is defined as

$$\operatorname{Res}_{0}(\tilde{\omega}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n} \int_{\{z \in U : |\tilde{f}_{i}(z)| = \epsilon_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}} \tilde{\omega}$$

Here  $\epsilon_i > 0$  must be chosen so that  $\{w \in \mathbf{C}^n : |w_i|_{1 \le i \le n} = \epsilon_i\}$  is contained in the open set  $\tilde{f}(U)$ ,  $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n)$ , and  $\{z \in \overline{U} : |\tilde{f}_i(z)|_{1 \le i \le n} = \epsilon_i\} \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ . Note that the tube  $\{z \in U : |\tilde{f}_i(z)| = \epsilon_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$  is compact, of real dimension n, and we orient it with the form  $d(\arg \tilde{f}_1) \land \ldots \land d(\arg \tilde{f}_n)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  function with compact support in W, which is identically equal to 1 in a neighborhood of x, for its pull-back  $\tilde{\varphi}$  we have:

$$(2\pi i)^n \operatorname{Res}_0(\tilde{\omega}) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\{|\tilde{f}_i(z)| = \epsilon_i(\delta), 1 \le i \le n\}} \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \tilde{\omega} = R_{\tilde{D}}[\tilde{\omega}](\tilde{\varphi}),$$

from which it follows that

(4.9) 
$$(2\pi i)^n \operatorname{Res}_x(\omega) = R_D[\omega](\varphi)$$

**Remark 4.10.** Given reduced Weil divisors  $D_1, \ldots, D_n$  with finite intersection in a compact V-manifold X, and a meromorphic *n*-form  $\omega$  whose polar set is contained in the divisor  $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_n$ , it follows from (4.9) and (4.7) that:

$$(2\pi i)^n \sum_{x \in D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_n} \operatorname{Res}_x(\omega) = R_D[\omega](1_X) = (\bar{\partial} RP_D[\omega])(1_X) = -RP_D[\omega](\bar{\partial} 1_X) = 0.$$

This is essentially the proof in [CH, p. 48] of the theorem on the vanishing of the sum of Grothendieck residues due to Griffiths [G].

**Global Residues.** We will now generalize the notion of toric residue to a *global* residue defined on an arbitrary n-dimensional compact V-manifold X.

Given n + 1 reduced Weil divisors  $D_0, \ldots, D_n$  on X such that

$$D_0 \cap \cdots \cap D_n = \emptyset,$$

the open sets  $U_i = X - D_i$  constitute an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X. A meromorphic *n*-form  $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X(*D))$ , with polar set contained in  $D = D_0 \cup \cdots \cup D_n$ , defines a Čech cocycle in  $\mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{U}, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$ . After passing to the direct limit we obtain a cohomology class  $[\omega] \in H^n(X, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X)$ . The Dolbeault isomorphism  $\eta$  from Proposition 4.5 (ii) assigns to  $[\omega]$  a Dolbeault cohomology class  $\eta(\omega) \in H^{n,n}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ .

**Definition 4.11.** The global residue of  $\omega$  relative to the divisors  $D_0, \ldots, D_n$  is given by:

$$\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_X \eta(\omega).$$

For a simplicial toric variety the global residue agrees with the toric residue. Indeed, we have already noted in (4.1) that for a V-manifold, our notion of holomorphic forms agrees with the Zariski differentials and, as shown in [C2, Proposition A.1]:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_X([\omega]) = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_X \eta(\omega).$$

Our next goal is to show that under very mild hypotheses, we can write the global residue as a sum of local residues. As above, let  $D_0, \ldots, D_n$  be n+1 reduce Weil divisors in X with empty intersection, and assume that for some  $k = 0, \ldots, n$ , the n-fold intersection

$$D_{\hat{k}} = D_0 \cap \dots \cap \widehat{D_k} \cap \dots \cap D_n$$

is finite. If  $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X(*D))$  and  $x \in D_k$  we can write, in a neighborhood of x,

$$\omega = \frac{\omega'}{f_0 \cdots f_n}$$

where, locally,  $\omega'$  is holomorphic and  $D_i$  is the support of  $\{f_i = 0\}$ ,  $f_i$  holomorphic. We will denote by  $\operatorname{Res}_{k,x}(\omega)$  the local Grothendieck residue:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{k,x}(\omega) = \operatorname{Res}_x\left(\frac{\omega'/f_k}{f_0\cdots \widehat{f_k}\cdots f_n}\right).$$

Note that  $x \in D_{\hat{k}}$  implies that  $f_k(x) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.12.** If  $D_0, \ldots, D_n$  are reduced Weil divisors with empty intersection on a *n*-dimensional compact V-manifold X, then for any  $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \widehat{\Omega}^n_X(*D))$ , we have:

$$\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = (-1)^k \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{k}}} \operatorname{Res}_{k,x}(\omega)$$

whenever the intersection  $D_{\hat{k}} = D_0 \cap \cdots \cap \widehat{D_k} \cap \cdots \cap D_n$  is finite.

**Proof.** There is no loss of generality in assuming k = n; the sign dependence is a consequence of the fact that the global residue is alternating on the order of the divisors.

The global residue  $\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = (-1/(2\pi i))^n \int_X \eta(\omega)$  uses the Dolbeault isomorphism  $\eta$ . However, by Proposition 4.5 (ii), we can also use the Dolbeault isomorphism  $\eta$  for currents. Thus  $\operatorname{Res}(\omega)$  equals  $(-1/(2\pi i))^n$  times the value on the constant function  $1_X$  of any current representing the image under  $\eta$  of the Čech cohomology class  $[\omega]$ . Hence, the theorem will follow from the following two assertions:

- (i)  $\eta([\omega])$  is the class of the current  $RP_D[\omega] = R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-1}}P_{D_n}[\omega]$ .
- (ii)  $RP_D[\omega](1_X) = (2\pi i)^n \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{n}}} \operatorname{Res}_{n,x}(\omega).$

Because of the definition of the Dolbeault isomorphism ' $\eta$ , to prove (i), it suffices to construct, for each i = 0, ..., n - 1, a Čech cochain  $\xi^{(i)} \in \mathcal{C}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}_X^{n, n-i-1})$  satisfying:

(a)  $\delta \xi^{(n-1)} = I(\omega)$  ( $\delta$  is the Čech coboundary). (b)  $\bar{\partial} \xi^{(i)} = \delta \xi^{(i-1)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . (c)  $\bar{\partial} \xi^{(0)} = R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-1}} P_{D_n}[\omega]$ .

We define

$$\xi_J^{(n-1)} = \begin{cases} RP_{D_0}[\omega] & \text{if } J = \{1, \dots, n\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and, for any i = 0, ..., n - 2 and any  $J \subset \{0, ..., n\}$  with cardinality i + 1,

$$\xi_J^{(i)} = \begin{cases} R_{D_0,...,D_{n-i-2}} P_{D_{n-i-1}}[\omega] & \text{if } J = \{n-i,...,n\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is understood that the above currents  $RP_{D_0}[\omega]$  and  $R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-i-2}}P_{D_{n-i-1}}[\omega]$  are restricted to the appropriate open sets  $U_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} U_j$ . We will generally not indicate the restriction when it is irrelevant or clear from the context.

To verify (a), note that  $\delta\xi^{(n-1)}$  is the cochain assigning to  $U_0 \cap \cdots \cap U_n$  the current  $RP_{D_0}[\omega]$  restricted to this open set. Since  $D_0$  is disjoint from  $U_0 \cap \cdots \cap U_n$ , the definition of  $RP_{D_0}[\omega]$  implies that it must agree with  $I(\omega)$ .

Suppose now that  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , then it follows from (4.7) that

$$(\bar{\partial}\xi^{(i)})_J = \begin{cases} R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-i-1}}[\omega] & \text{if } J = \{n-i,\dots,n\}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, clearly  $(\delta\xi^{(i-1)})_J = 0$  if J is not an index set of the form  $J = J_j = \{j, n-i+1, \ldots, n\}$  for some  $j = 1, \ldots, n-i$ . But, if j < n-i, then  $(\delta\xi^{(i-1)})_{J_j}$  also vanishes—as a consequence of (4.6)—since it is the restriction to the open set  $U_{J_j} \subset U_j$  of the current  $R_{D_0,\ldots,D_{n-i-1}}P_{D_{n-i}}[\omega]$  and j < n-i.

It remains to consider the case  $J = \{n - i, ..., n\}$ . Then,  $(\delta \xi^{(i-1)})_J$  is the restriction to  $U_J$  of  $R_{D_0,...,D_{n-i-1}}P_{D_{n-i}}[\omega]$ . But, since  $U_J \subset U_{n-i}$ , we deduce, again from (4.6), that

$$R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-i-1}}P_{D_{n-i}}[\omega]|_{U_J} = R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-i-1}}[\omega]|_{U_J}.$$

Thus, (b) is satisfied.

The final assertion (c) is proved in a similar way: the cochain  $\bar{\partial}\xi^0$  assigns the zero current to the open sets  $U_j$ , j < n and the residue current  $R_{D_0,\dots,D_{n-1}}[\omega]$  to  $U_n$ . But, then, it follows from (4.6) that  $\bar{\partial}\xi^0$  agrees with the global current  $RP_D[\omega]$ .

The verification of (ii) now reduces to the local formula (4.9). Indeed, since the support of the principal value  $RP_D[\omega]$  is contained in the finite set  $D_{\hat{n}} = D_0 \cap \cdots \cap D_{n-1}$ , its value on the constant function  $1_X$  is the same as the value on any function  $\psi$  which is equal to one on a neighborhood of each of the points in  $D_{\hat{n}}$ . We may choose such a function  $\psi$  of the form  $\psi = \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{n}}} \psi_x$ , where  $\psi_x$  is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of x and the supports of the  $\psi_x$ 's are mutually disjoint and disjoint from  $D_n$  as well. Then

$$RP_{D}[\omega](1_{X}) = \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{n}}} RP_{D}[\omega]|_{U_{n}}(\psi_{x}) = \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{n}}} R_{D_{0},\dots,D_{n-1}}[\omega]|_{U_{n}}(\psi_{x})$$

where the last equality follows from (4.6). But now, (4.9) yields

$$RP_D[\omega](1_X) = (2\pi i)^n \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{n}}} \operatorname{Res}_x(\omega).$$

**Remarks 4.13.** (i) To understand why we need currents in the proof of Theorem 4.12, we will sketch a proof for the case n = 2 using forms rather than currents. The argument will be less than rigorous.

We have  $D_0 \cap D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$  in X. Let  $T_j(\epsilon)$  be a fundamental system of (open) tubular neighborhoods of  $D_j$ , and let  $S_j(\epsilon) = \partial T_j(\epsilon)$  and  $E_j(\epsilon) = X - T_j(\epsilon)$ . Also, for i, j, kdistinct indices from 0 to 2, consider the intersections  $C_{ijk}(\epsilon) = E_i(\epsilon) \cap E_j(\epsilon) \cap E_k(\epsilon)$ ,  $C_{ij}(\epsilon) = E_i(\epsilon) \cap E_j(\epsilon) \cap S_k(\epsilon)$  and  $C_i(\epsilon) = E_i(\epsilon) \cap S_j(\epsilon) \cap S_k(\epsilon)$ . We will assume that these sets are homology chains of (real) codimension 0, 1, and 2 respectively and that their boundaries behave as one would expect.

Next recall the procedure to define  $\eta(\omega)$ . Let  $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$  be a partition of unity subordinated to the covering  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, beginning with  $\omega \in \Gamma(U_0 \cap U_1 \cap U_2, \widehat{\Omega}_X^2)$ , define  $\xi_{ij} = (-1)^k \sigma_k \omega \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{E}_X^{2,0})$ , which implies  $\omega = \delta(\xi_{ij}) = \xi_{12} - \xi_{02} + \xi_{01}$ . Next, define  $\xi_i = \pm \sigma_j \bar{\partial} \xi_{ij} \pm \sigma_k \bar{\partial} \xi_{ik}$ , with the signs chosen so that  $\bar{\partial} \xi_{ij} = \delta(\xi_i) = \xi_j - \xi_i$ . Finally,  $\eta(\omega)$ is defined to be the global (2, 2)-form  $\bar{\partial} \xi_i$  in  $U_i$ .

To compute the global residue  $(2\pi i)^{-2} \int_X \eta(\omega)$ , we first observe

$$\int_X \eta(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_{012}(\epsilon)} \eta(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_{012}(\epsilon)} \bar{\partial}\xi_0.$$

Since  $\xi_0$  has bidegree (2, 1),  $d\xi_0 = \bar{\partial}\xi_0$ , so we can apply Stokes' Theorem to write

$$\int_{C_{012}(\epsilon)} \bar{\partial}\xi_0 = \int_{C_{12}(\epsilon)} \xi_0 + \int_{C_{02}(\epsilon)} \xi_0 + \int_{C_{01}(\epsilon)} \xi_0.$$

On the other hand,  $\xi_0 = \pm \sigma_1 \,\bar{\partial} \sigma_2 \wedge \omega \pm \sigma_2 \,\bar{\partial} \sigma_1 \wedge \omega$ , and therefore  $\xi_0 = 0$  in  $S_1(\epsilon)$  and  $S_2(\epsilon)$  for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ . Consequently,

$$\int_X \eta(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_{12}(\epsilon)} \xi_0 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_{12}(\epsilon)} \xi_1 - \bar{\partial}\xi_{01}.$$

Once again,  $\int_{C_{12}(\epsilon)} \xi_1$  vanishes for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small and, using Stokes' Theorem, we write

$$\int_X \eta(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_2(\epsilon)} \xi_{01} + \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_1(\epsilon)} \xi_{01}.$$

Since  $\xi_{01} = \sigma_2 \omega$  vanishes on  $S_2(\epsilon)$  for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\int_X \eta(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_2(\epsilon)} \sigma_2 \, \omega.$$

Finally, for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small,  $\sigma_2$  is identically 1 in  $S_0(\epsilon) \cap S_1(\epsilon)$ , so that

$$\int_X \eta(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{C_2(\epsilon)} \omega = (2\pi i)^2 \sum_{x \in D_0 \cap D_1} \operatorname{Res}_x(\omega),$$

which gives the desired formula for the global residue.

The use of residual currents in making the above argument rigorous is twofold: first of all, the local nature of the residual currents definition obviates the need to construct global cycles of integration—a step which is not always possible; moreover, the concept of admissible paths explains the passage to the limit necessary for the vanishing of the various integrals.

(ii) For an example of how  $D_0, \ldots, \widehat{D_k}, \ldots, D_n$  can fail to satisfy the finiteness condition in Theorem 4.12, let  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ , and consider the divisors  $D_0 = \{0\} \times \mathbf{P}^1$ ,  $D_1 = (\{\infty\} \times \mathbf{P}^1) \cup (\mathbf{P}^1 \times \{\infty\})$  and  $D_2 = (\{\infty\} \times \mathbf{P}^1) \cup (\mathbf{P}^1 \times \{0\})$ . Then  $D_0 \cap D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$ , yet  $D_1 \cap D_2$  is infinite since it contains  $\{\infty\} \times \mathbf{P}^1$ .

In light of the last remark, it would be useful to know when the *n*-fold intersection  $D_{\hat{k}} = D_0 \cap \cdots \cap \widehat{D_k} \cap \cdots \cap D_n$  is finite. Here is one criterion.

**Lemma 4.14.** Let  $D_0, \ldots, D_n$  be reduced Weil divisors with empty intersection on a *n*-dimensional projective variety X. If  $D_k$  is the support of an ample divisor, then  $D_{\hat{k}}$  is finite.

**Proof.** This is immediate since  $D_{\hat{k}} \cap D_k = \emptyset$  implies that  $D_{\hat{k}}$  is a complete subvariety of the affine variety  $X - D_k$ .

When applied to toric residues, these results yield Theorem 0.4 which, in turn, may be used to give new proofs of some basic results concerning toric residues. We will conclude this section with three such applications of Theorem 0.4:

**Res** =  $\pm 1$ . The first application is an alternate proof of Proposition 2.4 when the toric variety X is simplicial. We resume the notation of §2, where we have a *n*-dimensional cone  $\sigma$  and the variables are labelled  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  (corresponding to the generators of  $\sigma$ ) and  $z_1, \ldots, z_r$  (corresponding to the other generators). Our goal is to prove that

$$\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{\Omega}{(z_1\cdots z_r)\cdot x_1\cdots x_n}\right) = \pm 1$$

using Theorem 0.4. Since the divisors

$$D_0 = \{z_1 \cdots z_r = 0\}, \quad D_i = \{x_i = 0\}, \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

have empty intersection and  $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_n = \{p\}$  is a single point, the hypotheses of Theorem 0.4 are satisfied, so that

$$\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{\Omega}{(z_1\cdots z_r)\cdot x_1\cdots x_n}\right) = \operatorname{Res}_p(\omega_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\omega_{\sigma}$  is the restriction of  $\Omega/((z_1 \cdots z_r) \cdot x_1 \cdots x_n)$  to the affine open set  $X_{\sigma} \subset X$ . But we have seen that  $X_{\sigma} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n/G(\sigma)$ , and since  $G(\sigma)$  is a small subgroup, this defines a standard model. Moreover, as noted in (2.9), the pullback to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of  $\omega_{\sigma}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma} = \pm \frac{|G(\sigma)| \, dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n}{x_1 \cdots x_n}.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Res}_{p}(\omega_{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{|G(\sigma)|} \operatorname{Res}_{0}(\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma}) = \pm \operatorname{Res}_{0}\left(\frac{dx_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n}}{x_{1} \cdots x_{n}}\right) = \pm 1,$$

which proves Proposition 2.4 when X is simplicial.

Sums of Residues in a Torus. Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  be *n*-variate Laurent polynomials with a finite set of common zeroes  $Z = Z(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  in the torus  $T = (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ . Given a Laurent polynomial q, we get the differential form

$$\phi = \frac{q}{f_1 \cdots f_n} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dt_n}{t_n}.$$

The operator which assigns to q the sum of local residues  $\sum_{x \in Z} \operatorname{Res}_x(\phi)$  has interesting applications in a number of different contexts. In certain cases, it is possible to use Theorem 0.4 to give a global interpretation of this sum.

We assume that there exists a simplicial toric compactification X of T such that if  $D_i$ is the closure in X of the hypersurface  $\{f_i = 0\} \subset (\mathbf{C}^*)^n$  and  $D_0 = X - T$  is the "divisor at infinity", then

$$D_0 \cap D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_n = \emptyset.$$

Such a (smooth) compactification exists, for example, if the polynomials  $f_i$  are nondegenerate in the sense of Khovanskii [K1].

In this situation, the meromorphic form  $\phi$  has an extension to X which can be written as

$$\Phi = \frac{Q\,\Omega}{F_0\cdots F_n},$$

where  $Q, F_0, \ldots, F_n$  are homogeneous polynomials in the coordinate ring of X such that  $D_i = \{F_i = 0\}$ , and  $\Omega$  is the Euler form of X. Then it follows from Theorem 0.4 that

$$\sum_{x \in Z} \operatorname{Res}_x(\phi) = \operatorname{Res}_F(Q).$$

If we assume, in addition, that the Newton polyhedron of q is contained in the interior of the Minkowski sum of the Newton polyhedra corresponding to  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ , then one may show that Q is a multiple of  $F_0$  and hence  $\operatorname{Res}_F(Q) = 0$  which gives the classical Euler-Jacobi Theorem in this setting [K2]. In fact, as in this case  $\Phi$  has poles only on the union of the n divisors  $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_n$ , whose intersection is contained in the torus, the vanishing of the sum of the local residues of  $\phi$  follows directly from the result of Griffiths recalled in Remark 4.10.

**Toric Jacobians.** For our third application, we use Theorem 0.4 to give an alternate proof of Theorem 5.1 (ii) of [C2] for a simplicial toric variety. This result asserts that the *toric Jacobian J* of  $F_0, \ldots, F_n \in S_\alpha$  (as defined in [C2, Proposition 4.1]) has nonzero toric residue. More precisely, if  $\alpha$  is ample and the  $F_i$  don't vanish simultaneously on X, then we will show that the equality

$$\operatorname{Res}_F(J) = (D^n)$$

follows from Theorem 0.4. Here,  $(D^n)$  is the *n*-fold intersection number of any divisor D with  $[D] = \alpha$ . Note that  $J \in S_{\rho}$ , where  $\rho = (n+1)\alpha - \beta$  is the critical degree for the  $F_i$ . To prove this, let  $\omega_F(J) = J \Omega/(F_0 \cdots F_n)$ . Then Theorem 0.4 implies

(4.15) 
$$\operatorname{Res}_{F}(J) = \sum_{x \in D_{\hat{0}}} \operatorname{Res}_{0,x}(\omega_{F}(J)) = \sum_{x \in D_{1} \cap \dots \cap D_{n}} \operatorname{Res}_{x}\left(\frac{(J/F_{0})\Omega}{F_{1} \cdots F_{n}}\right)$$

We will show that each local residue  $\operatorname{Res}_{0,x}(\omega_F(J))$  is a local intersection multiplicity of  $D_1, \ldots, D_n$  at x, which will prove that  $\operatorname{Res}_F(J)$  is the intersection number  $(D_1 \cdots D_n) = (D^n)$ .

Given  $x \in D_{\hat{0}}$ , let  $\sigma$  be a *n*-dimensional cone such that x lies in the affine open set  $X_{\sigma}$ . Since  $\sigma$  is simplicial, we write the variables as  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, z_1, \ldots, z_r$ . Then the form  $\omega_F(J)$ , restricted to  $X_{\sigma}$ , may be written in appropriate coordinates as:

$$\frac{k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\,dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_n}{f_0\cdots f_n}$$

where

$$k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & \cdots & f_n \\ \partial f_0 / \partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial f_n / \partial x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial f_0 / \partial x_n & \cdots & \partial f_n / \partial x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is the function obtained from  $F_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n, z_1, \ldots, z_r)$  by setting  $z_j = 1$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, r$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{0,x}(\omega_F(J)) = \operatorname{Res}_x\left(\frac{(k/f_0)\,dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_n}{f_1\cdots f_n}\right)$$

However, expanding the determinant for k along the first row and using  $f_0(x) \neq 0$ , we see that

$$k/f_0 \equiv \det(\partial f_i/\partial x_j : 1 \le i, j \le n) \mod \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$$

in the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Res}_{0,x}(\omega_F(J)) = \operatorname{Res}_x\left(\frac{\det(\partial f_i/\partial x_j)\,dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_n}{f_1\cdots f_n}\right),$$

and this last residue equals the local intersection multiplicity of  $D_1, \ldots, D_n$  at x (this is well-known in the smooth case and is easy to prove for V-manifolds). By (4.15), it follows that the toric residue of the toric jacobian equals the intersection number  $(D_1 \cdots D_n)$ .

**Remarks 4.16.** (i) Since all the divisors  $D_i$  have the same degree, we can write  $\operatorname{Res}_F(J) = (D_0 \cdots \widehat{D_k} \cdots D_n)$  for any  $k = 0, \ldots, n$ .

(ii) The intersection number  $(D_1 \cdots D_n)$  can also be interpreted as the degree of the map  $F = (F_0, \ldots, F_n) : X \to \mathbf{P}^n$  (see [C2, Theorem 5.1] for a careful proof). Thus the toric Jacobian has the property that its toric residue is given by  $\operatorname{Res}_F(J) = \operatorname{deg}(F)$ . This will be useful in §5.

#### §5. Toric Residues as Point Residues in the Equal Degree Case

When  $X = \mathbf{P}^n$  and  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  all have the same degree, the toric residue  $\operatorname{Res}_F(H)$  equals the classical Grothendieck residue at  $0 \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Res}_F(H) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+1}} \int_{|F_i|=\epsilon} \frac{H \, dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n}{F_0 \cdots F_n}$$

(see [PS, 12.10]). Thus, in the projective case, the toric residue is a point residue computed on the related space  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ . In Theorem 5.8 below, we will generalize this result to a complete simplicial toric variety X, assuming that the  $F_i$  have the same degree  $\alpha$  in the homogeneous coordinate ring S.

We first describe the space we will use for computing toric residues on X. Given  $\alpha \in A_{n-1}(X)$ , let  $S_{*\alpha} = \bigoplus_{k>0} S_{k\alpha}$  and set

$$X_{\alpha} = \operatorname{Spec}(S_{*\alpha}).$$

Note that the natural grading of  $S_{*\alpha}$  induces a  $\mathbf{C}^*$  action on  $X_{\alpha}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** If X is a complete simplicial toric variety and  $\alpha$  is ample, then  $X_{\alpha}$  has the natural structure of an affine toric variety. Furthermore, if  $0 \in X_{\alpha}$  is the unique fixed point of the torus action, then  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  is simplicial and  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  with finite stabilizers and X as geometric quotient.

**Proof.** Consider  $\mathbf{R} \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$  with the lattice  $\mathbf{Z} \oplus N$ . Elements of  $\mathbf{R} \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$  will be written  $\lambda e_0 + v$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $v \in N_{\mathbf{R}}$ . Now let  $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$  (where  $\sum_i \text{ denotes } \sum_{i=1}^{n+r}$ ) be a divisor on X whose class is  $\alpha$ , and let  $\psi : N_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$  be its support function. This means  $\psi(\eta_i) = -a_i$ , where the  $\eta_i$  generate the 1-dimensional cones of the fan of X. Given this data, let  $\tilde{\sigma} \subset \mathbf{R} \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$  be the cone generated by the vectors  $\tilde{\eta}_i = a_i e_0 + \eta_i$ . Equivalently,  $\tilde{\sigma}$ 

is generated by the graph of  $-\psi$  in  $\mathbf{R} \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}}$ . Since  $\psi$  is strictly upper convex (*D* is ample), we see that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone.

We next observe that the semigroup ring  $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{\sigma}^{\vee} \cap (\mathbf{Z} \oplus M)]$  is naturally isomorphic to  $S_{*\alpha}$ . To prove this, first note that

$$ke_{0} + m \in \widetilde{\sigma}^{\vee} \cap (\mathbf{Z} \oplus M) \iff \langle ke_{0} + m, \widetilde{\eta}_{i} \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i$$
$$\iff \langle m, \eta_{i} \rangle + k \, a_{i} \geq 0 \text{ for all } i$$
$$\iff \Pi_{i} x_{i}^{\langle m, \eta_{i} \rangle + k \, a_{i}} \in S_{k\alpha}$$

(where  $\Pi_i$  denotes  $\Pi_{i=1}^{n+r}$ ). Since all monomials in  $S_{k\alpha}$  can be described in this form (see §1 of [C1]), the observation follows easily. Thus  $X_{\alpha}$  is an affine toric variety.

The torus action on  $X_{\alpha}$  has a unique fixed point which we denote by 0. Furthermore, the complement  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  is the toric variety whose fan is the boundary of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . This fan is the graph of  $-\psi$ , so the strict convexity of  $\psi$  implies that under the projection  $\pi : \mathbf{R} \oplus N_{\mathbf{R}} \to N_{\mathbf{R}}$ , each cone of the boundary fan maps naturally to the corresponding cone in the fan of X. Thus the projection  $\pi$  induces a map of toric varieties  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\} \to X$ . We leave to the reader the straightforward proof that  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  is simplicial since X is.

Since X is simplicial, we can write

(5.2) 
$$X = (\mathbf{C}^{n+r} - Z)/G,$$

where  $G = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A_{n-1}(X), \mathbf{C}^*)$  and the exceptional set Z is a union of coordinate subspaces determined by the fan of X (see [BC, Theorem 1.9]). The correspondence  $\eta_i \leftrightarrow \tilde{\eta}_i$ implies that X,  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  have the same homogeneous coordinate ring (though the gradings may differ), and the map  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\} \to X$  shows that the fans of X and  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$ are combinatorially equivalent. Thus X and  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  have the same exceptional set Z. Hence

(5.3) 
$$X_{\alpha} - \{0\} = (\mathbf{C}^{n+r} - Z)/H,$$

where  $H = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A_n(X_\alpha - \{0\}), \mathbf{C}^*)$ . To compare G and H, we use the commutative diagram

to conclude that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow A_{n-1}(X) \longrightarrow A_n(X_\alpha - \{0\}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  maps to  $\alpha \in A_{n-1}(X)$ . Applying  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , we can identify H with the subgroup  $\{g \in G : g(\alpha) = 1\} \subset G$ , so that  $g \mapsto g(\alpha)$  induces an isomorphism  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ .

Comparing (5.2) and (5.3), X is the quotient of  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  by  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ . Furthermore, the proof of Theorem 1.9 of [BC] shows that the *G*-action in (5.2) has finite stabilizers, and it follows that the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $X_{\alpha}$  must also have finite stabilizers. To describe this

action more explicitly, note that G acts on  $S_{k\alpha}$  by  $g \cdot F = g(k\alpha) F = g(\alpha)^k F$ . Since H acts trivially by definition, the action of  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$  is exactly the action that gives the grading of  $S_{*\alpha}$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\diamond$ 

**Remarks 5.4.** (i) When  $\alpha$  is very ample (always true when X is smooth), then  $X_{\alpha}$  is the affine cone of X in the projective embedding given by  $\alpha$ .

(ii) Besides being a geometric quotient, the map  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\} \to X$  is a *combinatorial quotient* in the sense of [KSZ, p. 645].

(iii) If we add the 1-dimensional cone generated by  $e_0$  to  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and subdivide accordingly, we get a toric variety  $\tilde{X}_{\alpha}$  which maps naturally to X. In [R, Section 3], it is proved that  $\tilde{X}_{\alpha} \to X$  is the total space of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(-\alpha)$ . Thus  $\tilde{X}_{\alpha} \to X_{\alpha}$  is a blow-up of  $0 \in X_{\alpha}$  with exceptional fiber isomorphic to X. Conversely, we can view  $X_{\alpha}$  as the variety obtained by blowing down the zero section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(-\alpha)$ .

(iv) Although  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$  is simplicial,  $0 \in X_{\alpha}$  can be very singular. For example, let  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  and  $\alpha = (1, 1)$ . The coordinate ring for X is  $S = \mathbf{C}[x, y, z, t]$ , where  $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = (1, 0)$  and  $\deg(z) = \deg(t) = (0, 1)$ . Then  $X_{\alpha}$  is the singular affine hypersurface defined by AD - BC = 0 in  $\mathbf{C}^4$  since this hypersurface is the affine cone over the Segre embedding  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ . Note that  $X_{\alpha}$  is not simplicial at the origin.

We next discuss differential forms on X and  $X_{\alpha}$ . As we saw in the proof of Proposition 5.1, X and  $X_{\alpha}$  have the same homogenous coordinate ring (though graded differently). By (2.8), X has the Euler form

$$\Omega = \sum_{|I|=n} \det(\eta_I) \, \hat{x}_I \, dx_I.$$

Now let  $\sum_i a_i D_i$  be a divisor in the class of  $\alpha$  and consider the (n+1)-form

$$\Omega_{\alpha} = \left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{dx_{i}}{x_{i}}\right) \wedge \Omega$$

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $\beta = \sum_i \deg(x_i) \in A_{n-1}(X)$  and  $\rho = (n+1)\alpha - \beta$ . Then:

- (i)  $\Omega_{\alpha}$  is the Euler form of  $X_{\alpha}$ .
- (ii) If  $\theta$  is any Euler vector field for X (which can be regarded as a map  $\theta : A_{n-1}(X) \to \mathbf{C}$ ), we have

$$\theta \, \lrcorner \, \Omega_{\alpha} = \theta(\alpha) \, \Omega$$

(iii) If  $J \in S_{\rho}$  is the toric Jacobian of  $F_0, \ldots, F_n \in S_{\alpha}$  (see [C2, §4]), then

$$J\,\Omega_{\alpha} = dF_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_n.$$

**Proof.** To define  $\Omega$ , we used a basis  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  of M. Then  $e_0$  and  $m_j$  for j > 0 form a basis of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus M$ , and from the proof of Proposition 9.5 of [BC] (which is easily seen to hold in the non-simplicial case), we see that the Euler form of  $X_{\alpha}$  is

(5.6) 
$$x_1 \cdots x_{n+r} \Big( \frac{dt_0}{t_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \Big),$$

where  $t_0 = \prod_i x_i^{\langle e_0, \tilde{\eta}_i \rangle} = \prod_i x_i^{a_i}$  and  $t_j = \prod_i x_i^{\langle m_j, \tilde{\eta}_i \rangle} = \prod_i x_i^{\langle m_j, \eta_i \rangle}$  for j > 0. Since  $dt_0/t_0 = \sum_i a_i dx_i/x_i$  and  $\Omega = x_1 \cdots x_{n+r} (dt_1/t_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_n/t_n)$  (also by Proposition 9.5 of [BC]), we see that  $\Omega_{\alpha}$  is the Euler form of  $X_{\alpha}$ .

For the second part of the lemma, first note that  $\theta \, \lrcorner \, \Omega = 0$  by Lemma 6.2 of [C2]. Thus

$$\theta \,\lrcorner\, \Omega_{\alpha} = \theta \,\lrcorner\, \left( \left( \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{dx_{i}}{x_{i}} \right) \land \Omega \right) = \left( \theta \,\lrcorner\, \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{dx_{i}}{x_{i}} \right) \cdot \Omega.$$

However, if  $\theta = \sum_i b_i x_i \partial/\partial x_i$ , then  $\theta \perp \sum_i a_i dx_i/x_i = \sum_i a_i b_i = \theta(\alpha)$ , which gives the desired formula. (For more background on Euler vector fields, see 3.8–3.10 of [BC].)

Turning to the final part of the lemma, note that each  $F_i$  lies in  $S_{\alpha}$  and hence gives a function on  $X_{\alpha} = \operatorname{Spec}(S_{*\alpha})$ . Further, the functions  $t_0, \ldots, t_n$  introduced above are coordinates on the torus  $T_{X_{\alpha}} \subset X_{\alpha}$ . Thus, if we restrict  $F_i$  to the torus, we can write  $F_i = \widetilde{F}_i(t_0, \ldots, t_n)$ . Then

$$dF_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_n = \det(\partial F_i / \partial t_j) dt_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_n$$

Comparing this to the formula (5.6) for  $\Omega_{\alpha}$ , we see that

$$dF_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dF_n = J \,\Omega_\alpha$$

for some rational function  $\widetilde{J}$ .

It remains to show that  $\widetilde{J}$  is the toric Jacobian J from [C2]. Pick an Euler formula  $\theta$  such that  $\theta(\alpha) \neq 0$ . We can find such a  $\theta$  since  $\alpha$  is ample and hence has infinite order in  $A_{n-1}(X)$  (see also Lemma 10.5 of [BC]). Then, by (ii) and the above equation for  $\widetilde{J}$ ,

$$\theta(\alpha) \cdot \widetilde{J} \Omega = \theta \, \lrcorner \, (\widetilde{J} \Omega_{\alpha}) = \theta \, \lrcorner \, (dF_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dF_n) \\ = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (\theta \, \lrcorner \, dF_i) \, dF_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dF_n \\ = \theta(\alpha) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i F_i \, dF_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dF_n,$$

where the last equality follows because  $\theta \sqcup dF = \theta(\alpha) F$  for all  $F \in S_{\alpha}$ . However, on the bottom row, the expression on the right equals  $\theta(\alpha) \cdot J\Omega$  by [C2]. Then  $\tilde{J} = J$  follows since  $\theta(\alpha) \neq 0$ , and (iii) is proved.  $\diamond$ 

Given  $F_0, \ldots, F_n \in S_\alpha$ , we next consider the integral on  $X_\alpha$ 

(5.7) 
$$\int_{\{|F_i|=\epsilon, 0\leq i\leq n\}} \frac{H\,\Omega_{\alpha}}{F_0\cdots F_n},$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$ , the cycle  $\{|F_i| = \epsilon, 0 \le i \le n\}$  is oriented using  $d(\arg F_0) \land \cdots \land d(\arg F_n)$ , and  $H \in S_{\rho}$  for  $\rho = (n+1)\alpha - \beta$ . To make sense of (5.7), first note that  $H \Omega_{\alpha}/(F_0 \cdots F_n)$ is a meromorphic form on the V-manifold  $X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$ . Furthermore, each  $F_i$  is a polynomial function on  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $\{|F_i| = \epsilon, 0 \le i \le n\} \subset X_{\alpha} - \{0\}$ . It follows that (5.7) exists whenever  $(F_0, \ldots, F_n) : X_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is finite. We can now state the main result of this section. **Theorem 5.8.** Assume that X is complete and simplicial,  $\alpha$  is ample, and  $F_0, \ldots, F_n \in S_{\alpha}$  don't vanish simultaneously on X. Then:

- (i) The map  $(F_0, \ldots, F_n) : X_\alpha \to \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  is finite.
- (ii) If  $\rho = (n+1)\alpha \beta$  is the critical degree of  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$ , then for every  $H \in S_\rho$ ,

$$\operatorname{Res}_{F}(H) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+1}} \int_{\{|F_i|=\epsilon, 0 \le i \le n\}} \frac{H \,\Omega_{\alpha}}{F_0 \cdots F_n}$$

**Proof.** By [C2, Proposition 3.2], we know that  $S_{*\alpha}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n\rangle$  has finite dimension over **C**, so that by definition,  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  is a homogeneous system of parameters for  $S_{*\alpha}$ . It follows from [BH, Theorem 1.5.17] that  $S_{*\alpha}$  is finitely generated as a module over the subring  $\mathbf{C}[F_0, \ldots, F_n]$ . Thus  $\widetilde{F} = (F_0, \ldots, F_n) : X_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  is finite, which proves (i).

To prove (ii), we first observe that each side of the identity in (ii) vanishes when  $H \in \langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle$ . This is obviously true for the toric residue, and for the integral (5.7), one uses the usual argument (see [GH, pp. 650–651]). Since we know  $S_{\rho}/\langle F_0, \ldots, F_n \rangle_{\rho}$  is one dimensional and the toric Jacobian J has nonzero toric residue (see §4), it suffices to check that (ii) holds for H = J.

By Remark 4.16, we know that  $\operatorname{Res}_F(J) = \operatorname{deg}(F)$ , where  $F = (F_0, \ldots, F_n)$ , regarded as a map  $F: X \to \mathbf{P}^n$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\frac{J\,\Omega_{\alpha}}{F_0\cdots F_n} = \frac{dF_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dF_n}{F_0\cdots F_n} = \widetilde{F}^*\left(\frac{dz_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n}{z_0\cdots z_n}\right),$$

where  $z_0, \ldots, z_n$  are coordinates on  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  and  $\widetilde{F} = (F_0, \ldots, F_n)$ , now regarded as a map  $\widetilde{F} : X_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+1}} \int_{\{|F_i|=\epsilon, 0\le i\le n\}} \frac{J\,\Omega_{\alpha}}{F_0\cdots F_n} = \frac{\deg(\widetilde{F})}{(2\pi i)^{n+1}} \int_{\{|z_i|=\epsilon, 0\le i\le n\}} \frac{dz_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n}{z_0\cdots z_n} = \deg(\widetilde{F})$$

since  $\widetilde{F}$  is finite by (i).

Thus, to prove (ii) for J, we must show that  $\deg(F) = \deg(\widetilde{F})$ . However, as noted in the proof of Proposition 5.1, the  $\mathbf{C}^* \simeq G/H$  action on  $X_{\alpha}$  satisfies  $g \cdot F_i = g(\alpha) F_i$  for  $g \in G$ . It follows that  $\widetilde{F} : X_{\alpha} - \{0\} \to \mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$  is equivariant with respect to  $\mathbf{C}^*$ , and since the quotient is  $F : X \to \mathbf{P}^n$ , one easily sees that F and  $\widetilde{F}$  have the same degree. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$ 

**Remarks 5.9.** (i) Notice that in general, the integral (5.7) is slightly different from the Grothendieck residue defined in (4.8). This is because  $X_{\alpha}$  need not be simplicial at the point  $0 \in X_{\alpha}$ .

(ii) When  $X = \mathbf{P}^n$  and  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  are homogeneous of degree d, note that the residue of Theorem 5.8 is computed not on  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ , but rather on  $X_d = \operatorname{Spec}(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \mathbf{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_{k\,d})$ , which is the quotient of  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  by the diagonal action of the dth roots of unity  $\mu_d$ . Furthermore, one can show that the Euler form of  $X_d$  is  $\Omega_d = d\,dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ .

Since  $X_d$  is simplicial at the origin, the local residue  $\operatorname{Res}_{0 \in X_d}(\omega_F(H))$  is defined, and combining Theorem 5.8 and equation (4.8), we see that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{F}(H) = \operatorname{Res}_{0 \in X_{d}}\left(\frac{H \,\Omega_{d}}{F_{0} \cdots F_{n}}\right) = \operatorname{Res}_{0 \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}}\left(\frac{H \, dx_{0} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n}}{F_{0} \cdots F_{n}}\right)$$

Thus the toric residue equals both of the local residues that can be defined in this situation, and Theorem 5.8 gives the toric generalization of the first of these equalitites.

#### References

- [B] W. Baily, The decomposition theorem for V-manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 862–888.
- [BB] V. Batyrev and L. Borisov, Dual cones and mirror symmetry for generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, to appear in Mirror Symmetry II (S. T. Yau, editor), alg-geom 9402002.
- [BC] V. Batyrev and D. Cox, On the Hodge structure of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties, Duke J. Math. 75 (1994), 293–338.
- [BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [CDS] E. Cattani, A. Dickenstein and B. Sturmfels, Computing multidimensional residues, to appear in Proceedings MEGA94, Birkhäuser, alg-geom 9404011.
- [CH] N. Coleff and M. Herrera, Les Courants Residuels Associés à une Forme Meromorphe, Lecture Notes in Math. 633, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1978.
- [C1] D. Cox, The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety, J. Algebraic Geom. 4 (1995), 17–50.
- [C2] D. Cox, *Toric residues*, Ark. Mat., to appear, alg-geom 9410017.
- [Da] V. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties, Russian Math. Surveys 33 (1978), 97– 154.
- [Di] A. Dickenstein, Residues and ideals, Aportaciones Matemáticas, Notas de Investigación 5 (1992), 3–19.
- [DK] J. Distler and S. Kachru, *Duality of* (0, 2) string vacua, hep-th 9501111.
  - [F] W. Fulton, Introduction to Toric Varieties, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
  - [G] P. Griffiths, Variations on a theorem of Abel, Inventiones Math. **35** (1976), 321–390.
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.

- [H] R. Hartshorne, Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties, Lecture Notes in Math. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1970.
- [KSZ] M. Kapranov, B. Sturmfels and A. Zelevinsky, Quotients of toric varieties, Math. Ann. 290 (1991), 643–655.
  - [K1] A. G. Khovanskii, Newton polyhedra and toroidal varieties, Functional Anal. Appl. 11 (1977), 289–296.
  - [K2] A. G. Khovanskii, Newton polyhedra and the Euler-Jacobi formula, Russian Math. Surveys 33 (1978), 237–238.
- [KK] M. Kreuzer and E. Kunz, Traces in strict Frobenius algebras and strict complete intersections, J. Reine angew. Math. 381 (1987), 181–204.
  - [O] T. Oda, Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1988.
- [PS] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink, Infinitesimal variation of Hodge structure and the generic Torelli theorem for projective hypersurfaces, in Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds (K. Ueno, editor), Progress in Math. 39, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin, 1983, 399–463.
- [P] D. Prill, Local classification of quotients of complex manifolds by discontinuous groups, Duke Math. J., 34 (1967), 375-386.
- [R] S.-S. Roan, *Picard groups of hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, preprint, 1995.
- [Sa] I. Satake, On a generalization of the notion of manifolds, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 42 (1956), 359-363.
- [St] J. Steenbrink, Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and Complex Singularities: Proceedings Nordic Summer School, Oslo 1976, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn 1977, 525–563.
- [Z] G. Ziegler, Lectures on Polytopes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995.