

Resilience thinking in health protection

Matthew Castleden¹, Martin McKee¹, Virginia Murray², Giovanni Leonardi^{1,2}

¹London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Place, London WC1H 9SH, UK

²HPA Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, London, UK

Address correspondence to Matthew Castleden, E-mail: matthew.castleden@lshtm.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Background In recent years, the term ‘resilience’ has gained increasing currency in discussions of emergency preparedness. This review identifies key concepts and explores the relevance of resilience for disaster planning and public health protection.

Methods A systematic review of literature on concepts of resilience, with a narrative summary of key relevant concepts for public health.

Results The key concepts identified were community resilience, disaster resilience and social–ecological resilience. Community and disaster resilience describe a community’s intrinsic capacity to resist and recover from a disturbance, while the social–ecological interpretation stresses the importance of thresholds in a society’s capacity to adapt to crises. Important elements of resilience include communication, learning, adaptation, risk awareness and ‘social capital’.

Conclusions These concepts have clear relevance for public health and emergency planning. Resilient communities should be less dependent on external help in times of disaster. Many features of resilience also encompass the wider social and economic determinants of public health. Difficulties remain in defining and measuring resilience in the population health context.

Keywords community, disaster, health protection, planning, resilience

Background and Aim

The word ‘resilience’ is increasingly being used to describe a quality previously encompassed by terms such as ‘preparedness, business continuity or civil protection’,¹ exemplified by its use in relation to the evaluation of responses to the attacks on New York and Washington in September 2001 and Hurricane Katrina in the USA,^{2,3} as well as a range of international crises from the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami, to the ongoing HIV/AIDS epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa.^{4,5} Resilience now forms a key element of the United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR).⁶ Despite its growing use in increasingly diverse areas, what does ‘resilience’ actually mean?

Dictionary definitions of the word refer to the innate property of an object or person to bounce back after a disturbance (latin: *resilire*, to ‘leap back’). In the disaster-planning context, use of the term carries the same sense, shifting emphasis away from external emergency planning and recovery measures, and towards the intrinsic capacity of individuals, populations and infrastructure to resist and rebound from shocks.

Yet current understandings of ‘resilience’ derive from a number of additional sources. The term has a technical definition in physics, denoting the amount of energy a material can hold under elastic strain before permanent distortion or failure.⁷ Post-war psychologists adopted the word as a convenient metaphor for describing the capacity of individuals to continue functioning in the face of adversity.^{8,9} The inspiration for much of this literature can be traced back to the Holocaust, with observations that some concentration camp survivors were much more able to withstand the trauma they experienced than others, and to studies of children who survived dysfunctional family situations.^{9–12} While numerous individual protective factors contributing to resilience

Matthew Castleden, MSc Student, Public Health

Martin McKee, Professor of European Public Health

Virginia Murray, Head of Extreme Events and Health Protection

Giovanni Leonardi, Head of Epidemiology Department (Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards)

were identified, the importance of a positive overall social context has been repeatedly emphasized in this work,^{13,14} allowing the scope of the concept to expand to encompass entire communities and populations.^{15,16}

Paralleling this development, an analogous interpretation of resilience has increasingly been applied within the natural sciences. From the 1970s, ecologists have used the term to describe the properties of robustness and adaptability of entire natural systems, later broadened to include the human elements of managed ecosystems (and thus overlapping with notions of resilience from the social sciences).^{17,18} In recent years, the term has spread to an array of other disciplines, including urban planning, economics and engineering.^{3,19,20}

While a psychologist's understanding of 'resilience' will differ from that of a construction engineer or an ecologist, all of these interpretations are potentially relevant to the protection of public health. This poses a challenge to those working in the specialty, who may come across the term in a number of different settings. Fortunately, in recent years, a number of broad-based, inclusive definitions of resilience have emerged that draw on many of these diverse concepts.^{16,21} In this paper, we review these concepts and discuss their utility in the context of community disaster-preparedness and health protection.

Methods

A systematic literature review was carried out between 16th and 24th May 2010 to answer the following question:

What does 'resilience' mean in the context of health protection and disaster planning?

The following databases were searched:

CINAHL
PUBMED
WEB OF SCIENCE
SOCIAL POLICY AND PRACTICE
DESASTRES
GLOBAL HEALTH

Further relevant articles were identified from follow up of cited references. When international governmental and NGO publications referred to resilience, an up-to-date definition was sought from the relevant organization's website.

Search strategy

- (i) Initial terms—
 - (a) Resilience AND (health protection OR health security OR disaster planning OR disaster

preparedness OR emergency planning OR emergency preparedness)

- (ii) Inclusion criteria—
 - (a) English language
 - (b) The article relates to a community or population
 - (c) The article offers a definition or description of resilience
- (iii) Exclusion criteria—
 - (a) Articles concerned primarily with individual psychological or emotional resilience
 - (b) Articles that consider natural or physical systems in isolation, with little or no reference to impacts on wider human society.

Results

The initial electronic search produced 296 unique abstracts that were subsequently screened for inclusion criteria (a) and (b). A full text search of the resulting 146 articles identified 54 for inclusion in the review after the remaining criteria were applied. An additional seven articles were identified from the reference lists of included studies; so a total of 61 articles were included in this review.

Resilience concepts identified in the review

The following concepts of resilience were identified repeatedly in the articles reviewed. Table 1 provides representative definitions for these concepts and indicates their relevance to public health.

- (i) 'Disaster resilience' describes the capability of a community or society to resist and recover from a disaster.²¹
- (ii) 'Community resilience' describes the capability (or process) of a community adapting and functioning in the face of disturbance.¹⁶
- (iii) 'Ecosystem' or 'social-ecological resilience' describes the capacity of natural and social systems to absorb disturbance while remaining within the same functional state.²²
- (iv) 'Infrastructure resilience' describes the capacity of built infrastructure to continue functioning during disasters. This might include roads, buildings and bridges.²³
- (v) 'Individual' or 'psychological resilience' describes the capacity of individuals or groups of people to cope with adversity and continue functioning.²⁴

A number of other usages of the term were identified in a smaller number of papers, often applied in narrower contexts:

Table 1 Resilience concepts

Concept	Representative definition	Relevance to public health protection	Study	Total
Community resilience	'... a process linking a set of adaptive capacities to a positive trajectory of functioning and adaptation after a disturbance.' Norris <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶	The overall physical and mental health of a population partly determines its resilience. Many social and economic determinants of health also influence community resilience.	Cabinet Office ¹ Chandra <i>et al.</i> ⁴³ Wood and Soulard ³⁶ Plodinec ⁴⁹ Edwards ⁵⁰ Mendes and Tavares ³³ Leonard <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹ Schoch-Spana ^{39,52} Norris <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶ Ebi and Semenza ⁵³ Gilbert ⁵⁴ Reissman <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁵ Coles and Buckle ⁵⁶ Dawes <i>et al.</i> ² Bruneau <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁷ Paton <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁸ Buckle <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁹	18
Disaster resilience	'The ability of a system, community or society exposed to hazards to resist, absorb, accommodate to and recover from the effects of a hazard in a timely and efficient manner, including through the preservation and restoration of its essential basic structures and functions... [comment] determined by the degree to which the community has the necessary resources and is capable of organizing itself both prior to and during times of need.' UNISDR ⁶	Health aspects of emergency planning and disaster management (including emergency medical services, health service business continuity, sanitation).	Stevens <i>et al.</i> ⁶⁰ Jang and Wang ⁶¹ Chhibber and Laajaj ³⁵ Chen <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁷ Fundter <i>et al.</i> ³⁴ Keim ⁴⁴ Twigg ⁴⁰ De Haen and Hemrich ⁴¹ O'Brien ⁶² Sapountzaki ⁶³ UNISDR ^{6,21}	12
Social–ecological resilience	'Resilience is the capacity of a system to absorb disturbance and reorganize while undergoing change so as to still retain essentially the same function, structure, identity, and feedbacks.' Walker <i>et al.</i> ²²	The capacity of public health systems to cope with broad societal and environmental changes; links between biodiversity and health, and climate change and health.	Webler ⁶⁴ Longstaff and Yang ³² Baker and Refsgaard ³¹ DeFur <i>et al.</i> ³⁸ Wallace <i>et al.</i> ⁶⁵ Bauer ⁶⁶ Adger <i>et al.</i> ¹⁵ Walker <i>et al.</i> ²²	8

Continued

Table 1 Continued

Concept	Representative definition	Relevance to public health protection	Study	Total
Infrastructure resilience	'Resilience can be achieved by enhancing the ability of a community's infrastructure, e.g. lifelines and structures, to perform during and after a hazard, as well as . . . strategies that enable communities to return to levels of pre-disaster functioning.' McDaniels <i>et al.</i> ¹¹	Public health aspects of infrastructure design and function: safety legislation, building codes etc.	Bosher <i>et al.</i> ⁶⁷ McKay ³⁰ Dynes ⁶⁸ McDaniels <i>et al.</i> ²³ Pitt ²⁸	5
Individual resilience (psychological resilience)	' . . . the processes of, capacity for, or patterns of positive adaptation during or following exposure to adverse experiences that have the potential to disrupt or destroy the successful functioning or development of the person.' Masten <i>et al.</i> ⁸	Maintaining community mental health before, during, and after disasters.	Masten and Obradovic ²⁴ Paton <i>et al.</i> ⁵ Larkin and Id ⁶⁹ Paton <i>et al.</i> ⁷⁰	4
Organizational resilience (3), network resilience (2), urban resilience (1), system resilience or other/unclear (8)		Sustaining hospital and health care system functions during crises, including IT and communication systems; promoting healthy urban environments	Gifun and das ¹⁹ Frattoni <i>et al.</i> ²⁹ Xie <i>et al.</i> ²⁵ Batniji <i>et al.</i> ³⁷ Corfield ⁴² Qiao <i>et al.</i> ⁷¹ MOD ²⁷ Lambert ⁷² Campanella ³ Rodriguez and rre ⁷³ Bland ⁷⁴ Griekspoor <i>et al.</i> ⁷⁵ Kendra and tendorf ⁷⁶ Sternberg ⁷⁷	14
			Total	61

- (i) ‘Network resilience’ describes fault tolerance in a physical network—such as communications, water or power systems.²⁵
- (ii) ‘Urban resilience’ and ‘organizational resilience’, respectively, describe the capacity of a city/urban area, or of organizations, to resist and recover from disturbances.^{3,19}
- (iii) Other usages vary according to context; ‘engineering resilience’ in the ecological literature describes the rapidity of a system’s return to equilibrium after a disturbance;²² a number of articles applied resilience to specific circumstances or settings (flood resilience, healthcare resilience and military resilience)^{26–28} and the term system resilience was frequently applied alongside the aforementioned resilience concepts, highlighting the complex, interdependent character of communities and their infrastructure.^{23,29,30}

Common elements in descriptions of resilience

There is a striking degree of commonality in the terms used to describe the different concepts of resilience, even across very different disciplines.

The importance of ‘communication’ was stressed in most articles, whether in the form of physical telecommunication systems, organizational lines of communication between people and agencies involved in disaster recovery, or in the social networks that promote community cohesion.^{3,31–33}

‘Learning’ and ‘adaptation’ were frequent themes. This ‘adaptive capacity’ takes many forms, from education on disaster risk in schools,³⁴ to the flexibility to reprogram computers in a network to rapidly respond to malicious ‘denial-of-service’ attacks.²⁵

Other recurrent elements include public ‘risk awareness’,^{33–36} ‘trust’ and ‘social cohesion’ (‘social capital’),^{31,32,37–39} ‘good governance’,^{6,33,36,40} adequate ‘planning and preparation’,^{19,31,33,40,41} ‘redundancy’ of critical systems,^{2,3,15,42} regional ‘economic capacity’ and ‘economic diversification’,^{3,16,31,35,43} and the state of the ‘population’s underlying physical and mental health’.^{16,31,39,43,44}

Examples of these components of resilience are found in Table 2.

Key concepts

Community and disaster resilience are, in practice, largely synonymous terms. Both are inclusive and holistic concepts, capable of incorporating most other descriptions of resilience identified in the review. The notion of community resilience developed, in part, from the recognition that many of the protective factors that enhance the psychological

resilience of key groups of individuals facing adversity, such as vulnerable adolescents, operate at the community level;^{13,16,24} yet the concept can be readily extended to include elements from different disciplines concerned with managing crises. These might include the fault tolerance of power, water and communications networks; the survivability of buildings, bridges and other physical infrastructure; organizational preparedness and good governance; and less tangible but important factors such as public trust and social cohesiveness. These components contribute to a desirable (normative) ideal of ‘community resilience’ to potential disasters.

Social–ecological resilience overlaps with the previous concepts, but places human society firmly in the context of its wider habitat. It reflects the buffering capacity of the physical and natural environment, combined with the adaptive capacity of the people within it. Resilience is the degree to which a social/ecological system can sustain itself in the face of disturbance before crossing a critical threshold, leading to failure and loss of structure and identity.²² Originally derived from empirical observations and mathematical modelling of natural ecosystems, it conveys the properties of both resistance and adaptation to those external forces acting to change the status quo. It does not include the process of recovery from a disaster, and is neutral in relation to the desirability of a society’s current functional state.

These concepts can be applied in many diverse settings. Inclusive notions of resilience provide a framework for crisis planning that includes consideration of the wider physical, psychosocial and economic factors that help populations resist and adapt to both acute dangers—such as disease outbreaks, acts of terrorism, chemical incidents, extreme weather events or natural hazards—and to more gradual ‘rising tide’ public health threats, such as emerging infectious diseases or climate change.

Discussion

Main finding of this study

Many concepts of resilience share common features, despite their origins in diverse disciplines. Many of the factors contributing to resilience will already be familiar to public health practitioners, as they also contribute to the wider social and economic determinants of health.

What is already known on this topic

There has been some convergence of the range of elements that constitute ‘resilience thinking’ in recent years,

Table 2 Components of resilience

<i>Component of resilience</i>	<i>Illustrative example</i>	<i>Number of articles</i>
Communication	The United States Coast Guard was perceived to have responded effectively to Hurricane Katrina, in contrast to other US state and federal agencies. This has been attributed, in part, to its attitude towards communication. It made clear its expectations of local commanders prior to the hurricane's arrival. It established multiple communication channels in case of failure of a single system. The pre-existing policy of maintaining links with all levels of government, and encouraging personal contacts with emergency response leaders, allowed it to coordinate effectively with other agencies involved in the response ³¹	>25
Learning (education, knowledge)	Widespread knowledge of basic life support (BLS) techniques in the population was cited as one reason why the 1993 California earthquake caused 61 fatalities, compared with the 25 000 fatalities in the 1989 Armenia earthquake, which was the same magnitude ⁵⁴	>20
Adaptation	When the municipal water supply failed in the 1994 Los Angeles earthquake, hospital authorities in Northridge maintained essential services by emptying their swimming pool (normally used for patient rehabilitation exercises) and securing access to a nearby brewery's off-grid drinking water supply ²³	>25
Risk awareness	Successive years of floods, landslides and forest fires in central Portugal over the years 2000–07 raised public and government awareness of risks from natural hazards in the area. This prompted a detailed vulnerability analysis of the region, and stimulated a number of community hazard awareness initiatives, such as primary and secondary school Civil Protection Clubs ³³	>10
Social capital (trust, social cohesion)	The delay in US government recovery efforts after Hurricane Katrina and the consequent loss of trust in the authorities, led to a number of 'bottom-up' community initiatives in New Orleans. One notable example is the Common Ground Collective, which started digging out the Lower 9th ward within a week of the immediate disaster ending. It claims to have hosted 10 000 volunteers, and later became involved in representing residents in government hearings and planning decisions ³¹	>15
Good governance	Decentralization of emergency planning in the Netherlands has been identified as a positive example of good governance; the establishment of local and regional resilience forums in the UK reflects the same principle of 'subsidiary function' (devolving decision-making to the most local level feasible). Examples of poor governance are more frequent in the literature. A key element of the New Orleans evacuation plan was neglected when public buses were not moved to higher ground before Hurricane Katrina struck ^{1,31,34}	>5
Planning/preparedness	An assessment of disaster resilience in hillside communities in Taiwan explored correlations between vulnerability to landslides in different regions and the degree of planning and preparedness in the local communities concerned. Measures such as warning systems, relief teams, prepared evacuation routes and regular hazard drills were important components contributing to resilience in potentially vulnerable communities ⁴⁷	>25
Redundancy	The September 2001 terrorist attacks in New York demonstrated the importance of redundancy in communication systems. Organizations' planned redundancy based on the use of several different providers proved to be false, as many systems were actually using the same physical infrastructure. When a major telecommunications hub was destroyed, many systems failed—but the internet (a distributed network with built-in redundancies) continued to function ²	>5
Economic capacity and diversification	Resource-poor rural regions in the Sahel were vulnerable to the effects of the 1972–73 drought, leading to widespread famine. Prior to the drought government policy had an urban bias, and farmers were encouraged to grow cash crops for export rather than food for local consumption. However, agricultural diversification in the region since the 1970s has helped restore its resilience ³⁵	>10
Population physical and mental health	The situation in the Israeli-occupied Palestinian territories over the period 2000–09 demonstrates the links between population health, poverty, and social cohesion in a community facing sustained disturbances. The effect of the uprising and Israel's 2008 attacks on Gaza was detrimental to the physical and mental health of the population: lack of effective sewage treatment threatened sanitation, hospitals lacked electricity, and security checkpoints limited access to healthcare ³⁷	>10

increasingly encompassing the importance of engaging with a community's own economic and environmental resources, social cohesion, interconnectedness and adaptability in the face of crisis. The UNISDR definition is noteworthy in capturing concisely most of the elements of resilience described in this review; it shares the inclusive nature of proposed 'community' definitions,^{16,43} yet also references the idea of system stability, which is an important feature of social-ecological interpretations.

What this study adds

We propose that inclusive definitions of resilience, such as that used by the UNISDR, have value for emergency planners. While authorities still need to maintain their own readiness and plan for the resources they control, disaster response and recovery should be more effective when communities are resilient and able to contribute more from their internal resources. Conversely, the process of identifying communities that lack resilience should help planners match external resources to the areas of highest need—even if this is an inexact science.

For the communities themselves, promotion of resilience has significant rewards: disaster mitigation and avoidance are hardly the only benefits that follow from a robust and diversified economy, a healthy, well-educated and cohesive population, and a widespread readiness to adapt to the unexpected.

Limitations of this study

One problem that emerges from this review is the difficulty in determining how to evaluate resilience. Developing and then applying a universally agreed definition consistently across populations will be problematic when communities vary so widely in their size, structure and capacities, and in the range of potential health crises they face. These difficulties exist both within and across disciplines: differing approaches to quantifying individual resilience in the field of adolescent psychology point towards significant future challenges in evaluating the multidimensional aspects of resilience at the community and population levels.^{45,46} To date, this problem has been addressed by maintaining a narrow focus and considering specific regions facing known threats.^{29,47} Although promising qualitative and quantitative methodologies for determining community resilience have emerged,^{40,48} their generalizability remains unclear. Without some degree of standardization of methods and outcomes for assessing resilience, it will remain difficult to make meaningful comparisons between diverse communities

facing potential crises, and there remains significant scope for further research in this area.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Tracey Koehlmoos for critiquing an early draft of the manuscript.

References

- 1 Cabinet Office. *Draft Strategic National Framework on Community Resilience*. London: Cabinet Office, 2010,1–25.
- 2 Dawes S, Cresswell A, Cahan B. Learning from crisis: lessons in human and information infrastructure from the World Trade Center response. *Soc Sci Comput Rev* 2004;**22**:52.
- 3 Campanella T. Urban resilience and the recovery of New Orleans. *J Am Plann Assoc* 2006;**72**:141–6.
- 4 Gillespie S. Poverty, food insecurity, HIV vulnerability and the impacts of AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. *IDS Bull* 2008;**39**:10–8.
- 5 Paton D, Gregg C, Houghton B *et al*. The impact of the 2004 tsunami on coastal Thai communities: assessing adaptive capacity. *Disasters* 2008;**32**:106–19.
- 6 United Nations. Report of the World Conference on Disaster Reduction. In: *International Strategy for Disaster Reduction*. Geneva: UN, 2005;1–42.
- 7 Avallone EA, Baumeister T, Sadegh AM. *Marks' Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2007.
- 8 Garmezy N. Competence and adaptation in adult schizophrenic patients and children at risk. In: Dean SR (ed). *Schizophrenia: The First Ten Dean Award Lectures*. New York: MSS Information Corp, 1973,163–204.
- 9 Werner EE, Bierman JM, French FE. *The Children of Kauai: A Longitudinal Study from the Prenatal Period to Age Ten*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1971.
- 10 Antonovsky A. *Unraveling the Mystery of Health: How People Manage Stress and Stay Well*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1987.
- 11 Bettelheim B. *The Informed Heart: Autonomy in a Mass Age*. Glencoe: Free Press, 1960.
- 12 Coles R. *The Political Life of Children*. Boston: Atlantic Monthly Press, 1986.
- 13 Resnick M. Resilience and protective factors in the lives of adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 2000;**27**:1.
- 14 Sameroff A. Early resilience and its developmental consequences. In: Tremblay RE, Barr RG, Peters RDeV (eds). *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development*. Montreal, QC: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development, 2005,1–6.
- 15 Adger WN, Hughes TP, Folke C *et al*. Social-ecological resilience to coastal disasters. *Science* 2005;**309**:1036–9.
- 16 Norris F, Stevens S, Pfefferbaum B *et al*. Community resilience as a metaphor, theory, set of capacities, and strategy for disaster readiness. *Am J Community Psychol* 2008;**41**:127–50.

- 17 Holling C. Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 1973;**4**:1–23.
- 18 Walker B, Salt D, Reid W. *Resilience Thinking: Sustaining People and Ecosystems in a Changing World*. Washington, DC: Island Press, 2006.
- 19 Gifun J, Karydas D. Organizational attributes of Highly Reliable complex systems. *Qual Reliab Engng Int* 2010;**26**:53–62.
- 20 Rose A. Defining and measuring economic resilience to disasters. *Disaster Prev Manage* 2004;**13**:307–14.
- 21 United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction. UNISDR Terminology on Disaster Risk Reduction. 2009. <http://www.unisdr.org/eng/terminology/terminology-2009-eng.html>. (7 October 2010, date last accessed).
- 22 Walker B, Holling C, Carpenter S *et al*. Resilience, adaptability and transformability in social—ecological systems. *Ecol Soc* 2004;**9**:5.
- 23 McDaniels T, Chang S, Cole D *et al*. Fostering resilience to extreme events within infrastructure systems: characterizing decision contexts for mitigation and adaptation. *Glob Environ Change* 2008;**18**: 310–18.
- 24 Masten A, Obradovic J. Disaster preparation and recovery: lessons from research on resilience in human development. *Ecol Soc* 2008;**13**:9.
- 25 Xie L, Smith P, Banfield M *et al*. Towards resilient networks using programmable networking technologies. *Active Programmable Networks* 2009;**4388**:83–95.
- 26 Devlen A. How to build a comprehensive business continuity programme for a healthcare organisation. *J Bus Contin Emer Plan* 2009;**4**:47–61.
- 27 Ministry of Defence. *Operations in the UK: The Defence Contribution to Resilience*. London: MOD 2007;1–317. http://www.mod.uk/NR/rdonlyres/4F984DE3-6CA0-42D9-8BFF-FC90A07C04BF/0/jdp02_2nd_edition.pdf. (7 October 2010, date last accessed).
- 28 Pitt M. *The Pitt Review: Learning Lessons from the 2007 Floods*. London: Cabinet Office, 2007;1–165.
- 29 Frattini P, Lari S, Crosta G. Integration of natural and technological risks in Lombardy, Italy. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci* 2009;**9**:2085–106.
- 30 McKay J. Food and health considerations in Asia-Pacific regional security. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2009;**18**:654–63.
- 31 Baker D, Refsgaard K. Institutional development and scale matching in disaster response management. *Ecol Econ* 2007;**63**:331–43.
- 32 Longstaff P, Yang S. Communication and trust: keys for building resilience to ‘surprises’ such as natural disaster, pandemic flu, and terrorism. *Ecol Soc* 2008;**13**:3.
- 33 Mendes J, Tavares A. Building resilience to natural hazards. Practices and policies on governance and mitigation in the central region of Portugal. In: Martorell S, Soares CG, Barnett J (eds). *Safety, Reliability and Risk Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. London: Taylor and Francis, 2009;1577–84.
- 34 Fundter D, Jonkman B, Beerman S *et al*. Health impacts of large-scale floods: governmental decision-making and resilience of the citizens. *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2008;**23**:s70–3.
- 35 Chhibber A, Laajaj R. Disasters, climate change and economic development in sub-saharan Africa: lessons and directions. *J Afr Econ* 2008;**17**(Suppl. 2):ii7–ii49.
- 36 Wood N, Souldard C. Variations in population exposure and sensitivity to lahar hazards from Mount Rainier, Washington. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 2009;**188**:367–78.
- 37 Batniji R, Rabaia Y, Nguyen–Gillham V *et al*. Health as human security in the occupied Palestinian territory. *Lancet* 2009;**373**:1133–43.
- 38 DeFur P, Evans G, Hubal E *et al*. Vulnerability as a function of individual and group resources in cumulative risk assessment. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007;**115**:817–24.
- 39 Schoch-Spana M, Courtney B, Franco C *et al*. Community resilience roundtable on the Implementation of Homeland Security Presidential Directive 21 (HSPD-21). *Biosecur Bioterror* 2008;**6**:269–78.
- 40 Twigg J. Characteristics of a disaster-resilient community: a guidance note. *DFID Disaster Risk Reduction Interagency Coordination Group 2007*. http://tilz.tearfund.org/webdocs/Tilz/Topics/DRR/community_characteristics_en_lowres.pdf (7 October 2010, date last accessed).
- 41 De Haen H, Hemrich G. The economics of natural disasters: implications and challenges for food security. *Agric Econ* 2007;**37**:31–45.
- 42 Corfield L. Planning for the unforeseen. *Health Estate* 2008;**62**:29–31.
- 43 Chandra A, Acosta J, Meredith L *et al*. Understanding Community Resilience in the Context of National Health Security: A Literature Review. RAND Health working paper, 2010. http://www.randproject.com/pubs/working_papers/2010/RAND_WR737.pdf (7 October 2010, date last accessed).
- 44 Keim M. Building human resilience: the role of public health preparedness and response as an adaptation to climate change. *Am J Prev Med* 2008;**35**:508–16.
- 45 Constantine N, Benard B, Diaz M. Measuring protective factors and resilience traits in youth: the Healthy Kids Resilience Assessment. In: Seventh Annual Meeting of the Society for Prevention Research, New Orleans, LA 1999.
- 46 Smith B, Dalen J, Wiggins K *et al*. The brief resilience scale: assessing the ability to bounce back. *Int J Behav Med* 2008;**15**:194–200.
- 47 Chen S, Ferng J, Wang Y *et al*. Assessment of disaster resilience capacity of hillslope communities with high risk for geological hazards. *Eng Geology* 2008;**98**:86–101.
- 48 Cutter S, Burton C, Emrich C. Disaster Resilience Indicators for Benchmarking Baseline Conditions. *J Homeland Secur Emergency Manage* 2010;**7**:1–24.
- 49 Plodinec M. *Definitions of Resilience: An Analysis*. Community and Regional Resilience Institute, 2009;1–17. http://www.resilientus.org/library/CARRI_Definitions_Dec_2009_1262802355.pdf. (7 October 2010, date last accessed).
- 50 Edwards C. Resilient nation. *Demos* 2009;**99**:11–23.
- 51 Leonard G, Johnston D, Paton D *et al*. Developing effective warning systems: ongoing research at Ruapehu volcano, New Zealand. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 2008;**172**:199–215.
- 52 Schoch-Spana M. Community resilience for catastrophic health events. *Biosecur Bioterror* 2008;**6**:129–30.
- 53 Ebi K, Semenza J. Community-based adaptation to the health impacts of climate change. *Am J Prev Med* 2008;**35**:501–7.

- 54 Gilbert M. Bridging the gap: building local resilience and competencies in remote communities. *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2008;**23**: 297–300.
- 55 Reissman D, Spencer S, Tanielian T *et al*. Integrating behavioral aspects into community preparedness and response systems. *J Aggress Maltreat Trauma* 2005;**10**:707–20.
- 56 Coles E, Buckle P. Developing community resilience as a foundation for effective disaster recovery. *Aust J Emergency Manage* 2004;**19**:6.
- 57 Bruneau M, Chang S, Eguchi R *et al*. A framework to quantitatively assess and enhance the seismic resilience of communities. *Earthquake Spectra* 2003;**19**:733.
- 58 Paton D, Millar M, Johnston D. Community resilience to volcanic hazard consequences. *Nat Hazards* 2001;**24**:157–69.
- 59 Buckle P, Mars G, Smale S. New approaches to assessing vulnerability and resilience. *Aust J Emergency Manage* 2000;**15**:8.
- 60 Stevens MR, Berke PR, Song Y. Creating disaster-resilient communities: Evaluating the promise and performance of new urbanism. *Landscape Urban Plann* 2010;**94**:105–15.
- 61 Jang L, Wang J. Disaster resilience in a Hakka community in Taiwan. *J Pacific Rim Psychol* 2009;**3**:55–65.
- 62 O'Brien G, O'Keefe P, Rose J *et al*. Climate change and disaster management. *Disasters* 2006;**30**:64–80.
- 63 Sapountzaki K. Coping with seismic vulnerability: small manufacturing firms in western Athens. *Disasters* 2005;**29**:195–212.
- 64 Weblor T, Lord F. Planning for the human dimensions of oil spills and spill response. *Environ Manage* 2010;**45**:723–38.
- 65 Wallace R, Fullilove M, Fullilove R *et al*. Collective consciousness and its pathologies: Understanding the failure of AIDS control and treatment in the United States. *Theor Biol Med Model* 2007;**4**:10.
- 66 Bauer M. (ed). *Harmful Algal Research and Response: A Human Dimensions Strategy*. Woods Hole, MA: National Office for Marine Biotoxins and Harmful Blooms, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. 2006;1–72.
- 67 Boshier L, Dainty A, Carrillo P *et al*. Attaining improved resilience to floods: a proactive multi-stakeholder approach. *Disaster Prevention and Management* 2009;**18**:9–22.
- 68 Dynes S. Emergent risks in critical infrastructures. *Critical Infrastructure Protection II* 2009;**290**:3–16.
- 69 Larkin G, Arnold J. Ethical considerations in emergency planning, preparedness, and response to acts of terrorism. *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2003;**18**:170–8.
- 70 Paton D, Johnston D, Smith L *et al*. Responding to hazard effects: promoting resilience and adjustment adoption. *Aust J Emergency Manage* 2001;**16**:47.
- 71 Qiao J, Jeong D, Lawley M *et al*. Allocating security resources to a water supply network. *IIE Trans* 2007;**39**:95–109.
- 72 Lambert JH. Risk-cost-benefit analysis for port environmental security investments. In: Linkov I, Wenning R, Kiker G (eds). *Managing Critical Infrastructure Risks*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2007, 299–307.
- 73 Rodríguez H, Aguirre BE. Hurricane Katrina and the healthcare infrastructure: a focus on disaster preparedness, response, and resiliency. *Front Health Serv Manage* 2006;**23**:13–23.
- 74 Bland SA, Lockey DJ, Davies GE *et al*. Military perspective on the civilian response to the London bombings July 2005. *J R Army Med Corps* 2006;**152**:13–6.
- 75 Griekspoor A, Spiegel P, Aldis W *et al*. The health sector gap in the southern Africa crisis in 2002/2003. *Disasters* 2004;**28**:388–404.
- 76 Kendra JM, Wachtendorf T. Elements of resilience after the World Trade Center disaster: reconstituting New York City's Emergency Operations Centre. *Disasters* 2003;**27**:37–53.
- 77 Sternberg E. Planning for resilience in hospital internal disaster. *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2003;**18**:291–300.