

Respiratory carbon losses and the carbon-use efficiency of a northern hardwood forest, 1999–2003

P. S. Curtis¹, C. S. Vogel², C. M. Gough¹, H. P. Schmid³, H.-B. Su⁴ and B. D. Bovard⁵

¹Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Organismal Biology, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA; ²University of Michigan Biological Station, Pellston, MI 49769, USA; ³Department of Geography, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA; ⁴Department of Geography, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858, USA; ⁵Department of Environmental Studies, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

Summary

Author for correspondence: Peter S. Curtis Tel: +1 614 292 0835 Fax: +1 614 292 2030 Email: curtis.7@osu.edu

Received: 15 January 2005 Accepted: 28 February 2005 • Quantitative assessment of carbon (C) storage by forests requires an understanding of climatic controls over respiratory C loss. Ecosystem respiration can be estimated biometrically as the sum (R_{Σ}) of soil (R_s) , leaf (R_l) and wood (R_w) respiration, and meteorologically by measuring above-canopy nocturnal CO₂ fluxes (F_{cn}).

• Here we estimated R_{Σ} over 5 yr in a forest in Michigan, USA, and compared R_{Σ} and F_{cn} on turbulent nights. We also evaluated forest carbon-use efficiency ($E_{c} = P_{NP}/P_{GP}$) using biometric estimates of net primary production (P_{NP}) and R_{Σ} and F_{cn} -derived estimates of gross primary production (P_{GP}).

• Interannual variation in R_{Σ} was modest (142 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹). Mean annual R_{Σ} was 1425 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; 71% from $R_{s'}$, 18% from $R_{l'}$, and 11% from R_{w} . Hourly R_{Σ} was well correlated with F_{cn} , but 11 to 58% greater depending on the time of year. Greater R_{Σ} compared with F_{cn} resulted in higher estimated annual P_{GP} and lower annual E_{c} (0.42 vs 0.54) using biometric and meteorological data, respectively.

• Our results provide one of the first multiyear estimates of R_{Σ} in a forested ecosystem, and document the responses of component respiratory C losses to major climatic drivers. They also provide the first assessment of E_c in a deciduous forest using independent estimates of P_{GP} .

Key words: carbon cycle, ecosystem, eddy covariance, gross primary productivity (GPP), leaf, respiration, soil, wood.

New Phytologist (2005) 167: 437-456

© New Phytologist (2005) doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01438.x

Introduction

Carbon cycling by terrestrial vegetation directly affects the chemical and biological properties of an ecosystem's solid, aqueous and gas-phase components, as well as sustaining human requirements for terrestrial sources of food, fuel and fiber. Elements of this cycle have been studied for many years, and for most widespread vegetation types the essential components of the C cycle are well understood and at least qualitatively well described (Geider *et al.*, 2001). However, a quantitative and temporally dynamic assessment of the terrestrial C cycle is of increasing interest because of concerns over anthropogenic alterations of atmospheric CO₂ concentration and the possibility of managing natural vegetation for enhanced C storage (Malhi *et al.*, 2002). Importantly, advancements

www.newphytologist.org

in sensor technology over the past 20 yr have enabled measurements of CO_2 exchange at multiple scales and with a precision and speed that allow such an assessment (Baldocchi *et al.*, 1996).

A prominent part of current discussions of the terrestrial C cycle is how climate and ecosystem characteristics interact to affect the potential of vegetation and associated soils to store C and help mitigate anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ or, conversely, how these interactions might stimulate C loss and accelerate the rate of atmospheric CO₂ buildup (Melillo *et al.*, 2002; Pendall *et al.*, 2004; Xiao & Moody, 2004). An ecosystem's short-term C storage or loss rate in large part represents the difference between gross primary production ($P_{\rm GP}$) and the combined release of CO₂ from the respiratory metabolism of autotrophs ($R_{\rm a}$) and heterotrophs ($R_{\rm b}$). Ecosystem respiration

 $(R_{\rm e})$ is the sum of $R_{\rm a}$ and $R_{\rm h}$ (see Table 1 for a list of variables used). While the accurate measurement of either $P_{\rm GP}$ or $R_{\rm e}$ presents formidable challenges, quantification of $R_{\rm e}$ has proven particularly difficult because of gaps in our understanding of the regulatory biochemistry of respiration, and the spatially complex and interdependent array of component sources of respiratory CO₂ release, including leaves, stems, roots, soil invertebrates, fungi and bacteria (Gifford, 2003).

Several different approaches have been used for estimating $R_{\rm e}$: the biometric approach, in which measurements of respiratory fluxes from individual ecosystem components are scaled to a common land surface area basis and summed (R_{Σ}) ; the meteorological approach, which is based on eddy covariance measurements of nocturnal CO₂ fluxes (F_{cn}) including canopy air-layer storage fluxes; and diverse modeling approaches, which generally involve a combination of basic physiological principles and empirical relationships (Mäkelä et al., 2000). While there is now a substantial database of short-term R_o estimates (e.g. Sanderman et al., 2003), only rarely have annual measurements, or intercomparison of results from different measurement methods, been made. Ryan et al. (1997) used a biometric approach in several Canadian forests and estimated that annual R_a ranged from a low of 535 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ in *Pinus banksiana* forests to a high of 908 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ in Populus tremuloides stands. However, growing-season R_{Σ} was poorly correlated with F_{cn} and, on average, 36% higher (Lavigne et al., 1997). Law et al. (1999b) reported the first full annual assessment of R_{Σ} . In the mixedage *Pinus ponderosa* forest they studied, R_{Σ} was moderately correlated with F_{cn} and of similar magnitude during calm nights, but poorly correlated and up to 50% greater on turbulent nights. Bolstad et al. (2004) reported comparatively high annual R_{Σ} (up to 1469 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) in mature *P. tremuloides* stands and, although R_{Σ} also was moderately correlated with F_{cn} , it was up to 300% higher. Wang *et al.* (2004) estimated annual Re in a Finnish Pinus sylvestris forest using both modeling and meteorological approaches. Their biophysical model, parameterized with respiratory data from the same site, showed an average R_e of 611 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, which did not differ significantly from F_{cn} -based estimates. These results indicate continued uncertainty regarding the accuracy of $R_{\rm a}$ estimates, and clearly support the suggestion of Canadell et al. (2000) that multiple approaches to estimating C-cycle components is a necessary element of climate-change research.

An ecosystem's C-storage potential is also reflected in the carbon-use efficiency (E_c) of its plant community, or the fraction of $P_{\rm GP}$ converted to net primary production $(P_{\rm NP})$. That is, $E_c = P_{\rm NP}/P_{\rm GP}$. As $P_{\rm GP} = P_{\rm NP} + R_a$, E_c is inherently sensitive to factors affecting plant respiration. For forests, determination of E_c is made difficult primarily by uncertainties surrounding measurements of $P_{\rm GP}$ (Mäkelä *et al.*, 2000). Biometric, meteorological and modeling approaches have each been used, and $P_{\rm GP}$ estimates of similar forest types may

vary considerably. For example, Janssens et al. (2001) summarized $P_{\rm GP}$ estimates from eddy covariance data above European forests and found an average uptake of 1340 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for less disturbed stands, including both evergreen and deciduous forests. However, the 'Pipestem' model of Mäkelä & Valentine (2001) predicted a minimum of $\approx 4000 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for mature Scots pine growing in northern Europe, well outside the range of values reported by Janssens et al. (2001). Modeled $P_{\rm GP}$ for eastern North American forests (2000-2900 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, White *et al.*, 1999) was also substantially higher than meteorological estimates from forests in this region (900–1500 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, Falge *et al.*, 2002). However, biometric estimates of PGP from old growth Pseudotsuga menziesii (Harmon et al., 2004) were within 25% of meteorological estimates from the same site (Paw et al., 2004). Differences among $P_{\rm GP}$ estimates of the order 20–50%, not unreasonable given different estimation approaches, would translate into proportional differences in estimated E_c . Independent estimations and comparisons among sites and years will be necessary to resolve these differences and improve the utility of this measure in assessing forest C-storage potential.

Our objectives were to quantify R_e within an aspendominated mixed hardwood forest typical of the northern Great Lakes region of continental North America, and to partition this respiratory CO₂ flux into its primary source components of soil respiration (R_s) , leaf respiration (R_l) and above-ground live wood respiration (R_w) . The hardwood forests of this region cover $\approx 29 \times 10^6$ ha in the USA alone (USDA, 2001) and support a diverse forest products and recreational economy, as well as providing important ecological goods and services. Among the latter, C sequestration has received increased attention, and these ecosystems may play an important role in the suspected North American C sink (Fan et al., 1998). We were interested in how different climatic factors affected these sources of respiratory CO2 and how these fluxes varied interannually. We applied both biometric and meteorological approaches to estimate R_{e} and used these results to quantify forest E_c . Our results also contribute to the comparative database on ecosystem C-cycle dynamics that is a central objective of the multinational Fluxnet program (Baldocchi et al., 2001).

Materials and Methods

Study site

Our study was conducted at the University of Michigan Biological Station (UMBS) in northern Michigan, USA (45°35′35.4″ N, 84°42′46.8″ W), in the transition zone between the mixed hardwood and boreal forests. The study site lies on a gently sloping high outwash plain with well drained spodosolic soils (92.9% sand, 6.5% silt, 0.6% clay, pH 4.8) derived from glacial drift and classified as entic haplorthods. Mean (1942–2003) annual temperature is

 Table 1
 Variables used and their description

Variable	Description
A _b	Bole basal area (m ² ha ⁻¹)
A _I	Leaf area index ($m^2 m^{-2}$)
A _{lmax}	Maximum annual A_1 (m ² m ⁻²)
C _{af}	CO_2 concentration immediately above the forest floor (µl l^{-1})
D	Bole diameter at 1.3 m (cm)
E _c	Carbon-use efficiency (dimensionless)
E _{cb}	Biometric annual E_c (dimensionless)
E _{cm}	Meteorological annual E_c (dimensionless)
F _c	Above-canopy net CO_2 mix (µmol CO_2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
r _{cd}	Dayline F_c (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
r _{cn} F'	Estimated daytime R based on measured E (umol CO m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
' сп ЛЛ	Above-ground wood mass (g m ⁻²)
Maw M	Fine litter C mass (g m ⁻²)
n	Proportional contribution of a species to A.
Р Р.	Annual fine root mass production ($g m^{-2} vr^{-1}$)
P ₁	Annual leaf mass production (g m^{-2} vr ⁻¹)
P	Annual above- and below-ground wood mass production (g m ⁻² vr ⁻¹)
PND	Net annual primary production (g C m^{-2} yr ⁻¹)
PCD	Gross annual primary production (g C m^{-2} vr^{-1})
Q ₁₀	Temperature-response coefficient (dimensionless)
R,	Autotrophic respiration rate (μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
R	Ecosystem respiration rate (μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
R _h	Heterotrophic respiration rate (μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
R	Leaf respiration rate, land surface area basis (μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ ,
	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
R _{li}	Mean hourly R_1 (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _{la}	Leaf respiration rate, leaf area basis (μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _{lai}	Mean hourly R _{la} (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _{I15}	$R_{\rm la}$ normalized to 15°C (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _{lg}	Leaf growth respiration rate (g C $m^{-2} h^{-1}$)
R _s	Soil respiration rate, land surface area basis (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
R _{s10}	$R_{\rm s}$ normalized to 10°C (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _{si}	Mean hourly R _s (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _w	Above-ground wood respiration rate, land surface area basis (μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
R _{wi}	Mean hourly R _w (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
R _{wv}	Wood respiration rate on a sapwood volume basis (μ mol CO $_2$ m ⁻³ s ⁻¹)
R _{wvi}	Mean hourly R_{wv} (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻³ s ⁻¹)
R _{w15}	$R_{\rm wv}$ normalized to 15°C (µmol m ⁻³ s ⁻¹)
R_{Σ}	Sum of R_{s} , R_{l} , and R_{w} (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ , g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)
T _a	Air temperature (°C)
T _{amin}	Minimum air temperature at which R _{la} measurements were made (°C)
T _{lref}	Leaf reference temperature (15°C)
T_{s}	Soil temperature (°C)
T _{si}	Mean hourly T_s (°C)
I _{sref}	Soil reference temperature (10°C)
I _w	Wood temperature (°C)
I _{wi}	Mean nourly 7 (°C)
I _{wmin}	Minimum wood temperature at which R_{wv} measurements were made (°C)
/ wref	Fiction velocity ($m c^{-1}$)
u" V	Fiction velocity (III S $^{-1}$) Sapwood volume (m ³ ha ⁻¹)
v _{sw}	Sapwood volume (m. ma.) Standard deviation of daily means
о С-	Standard error of \overline{R}
С _Ŕ	Regression coefficients (dimensionless)
Por P1 A	Volumetric soil water content (%)
θ.	Mean hourly A (%)
~vi	

Table 2 Stand characteristics of the 1.1 ha study plot detailing the abundance, mean height, mean diameter at breast height (*D*), bole basal area (A_b), above-ground mass (M_{aw}), sapwood volume (V_{sw}) and proportional contribution to maximum leaf area (*p*) of the dominant canopy tree species

Species	Stems (ha ⁻¹)	Height (m)	D (cm)	A _b (m ² ha ⁻¹)	M _{aw} (Mg ha ⁻¹)	V _{sw} (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	р
Populus grandidentata	266	19.0 (0.2)	23.9 (0.3)	12.6	70	101	0.31
Pinus strobus	1373	5.7 (0.1)	6.7 (0.1)	7.1	17	26	0.09
Quercus rubra	124	12.1 (0.6)	15.7 (1.2)	4.3	33	11	0.24
Acer rubrum	300	11.2 (0.2)	10.7 (0.3)	3.4	11	19	0.22
Betula papyrifera	114	12.8 (0.4)	13.4 (0.6)	2.0	9	16	0.08
Fagus grandifolia	36	7.3 (0.5)	9.4 (0.7)	0.3	2	3	0.06
Total	2214			29.7	142	176	

All measures are from 2003 except height (1997) and p (mean across years). Standard errors for height and D are in parentheses.

5.5°C and annual rainfall 817 mm. The presettlement forest dominated by *Pinus strobus* L., *Pinus resinosa* Aiton. and *Tsuga canadensis* L. was cut starting in 1880 and disturbed repeatedly by subsequent cutting and fire until 1923 (Kilburn, 1960).

The forest within the 1.1 ha study plot surrounding our meteorological tower was dominated by Populus grandiden*tata* Michx. (42% of total basal area, $A_{\rm b}$), *P. strobus* (24% of total $A_{\rm h}$), Quercus rubra L. (14% of total $A_{\rm h}$), Acer rubrum L. (11% of total $A_{\rm h}$), and *Betula papyrifera* Marsh. (7% of total $A_{\rm b}$) (Table 2). Understory vegetation was primarily bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum L.) and seedlings and saplings of P. strobus and A. rubrum. We used allometric equations to estimate above-ground (bole plus branch) wood mass (M_{aw}) from measurements of diameter at 1.3 m(D) of all individuals >3.0 cm D in the 1.1 ha plot (Curtis et al., 2002). Annual above- and below-ground wood mass production (P_w) was estimated by measuring change in D using band dendrometers. Allometric equations were developed from on-site harvests (Cooper, 1981, A. W. Cooper, personal communication; Koerper, 1977) or from general allometries for north-eastern trees (Wiant et al., 1977; Ker, 1980; Young et al., 1980; Schmitt & Grigal, 1981; Crow & Erdmann, 1983; Hocker & Early, 1983; Perala & Alban, 1994; Ter-Michaelian & Korzukhin, 1997). Annual fine root mass production $(P_{\rm fr})$ was calculated from estimates of fine root turnover from minirhizotron images and fine root standing stock from soil cores, and is described in more detail by Gough et al. (2005). Whole-tree sapwood volume (V_{sw}) was estimated on an annual basis from species-specific equations relating D to sapwood area described by Bovard et al. (2005), M_{aw} , and wood density measurements made on site or as reported by Perala & Alban (1994).

Changes in leaf area index (A_1) from leaf expansion through leaf abscision were monitored using an LAI-2000 Plant Canopy Analyzer (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). Readings were taken every 3 m along seven transects in the 1.1 ha plot for an average of 120 samples on each of \approx 12 sampling dates from May to November. Maximum A_1 (A_{Imax}), the proportional contribution to A_{lmax} by each tree species (*p*), and annual leaf mass production (P_1) was measured each year using 20 litter traps (0.179 or 0.264 m²) placed in a stratified random sample throughout the 1.1 ha plot. *Pinus strobus* retains its needles for 2 yr, dropping its oldest needles during the early summer of their third year (\approx 3 months after new needle expansion initiated), so its contribution to A_{lmax} and P_1 was estimated as 2.25 times that recovered in litter traps. The contribution of *P. aquilinum* to A_{lmax} was estimated from a census of frond density and area in 60 1 m² subplots distributed randomly within the 1.1 ha plot.

In addition to the 1.1 ha plot, we established 60 0.1 ha plots located at 100 m intervals along radial transects extending up to 1000 m from the center of the 1.1 ha plot. Transects were located 20° apart from 255° to 15°, the primary wind direction in this area. Thus these plots allowed periodic sampling more extensively within the meteorological tower source footprint area (Schmid, 1997). Vegetation in the 0.1 ha plots was measured as described above, and was very similar in species composition to that in the 1.1 ha plot, again dominated by P. grandidentata (37% of A_b), P. tremuloides $(17\% \text{ of } A_{\rm b}), B. papyrifera (9\% \text{ of } A_{\rm b}), Q. rubra (9\% \text{ of } A_{\rm b}),$ and A. rubrum (18% of $A_{\rm b}$), but with relatively less P. strobus $(3\% \text{ of } A_h)$. Site index (base age 50 yr) of the 1.1 ha plot and eight of the 0.1 ha plots was calculated for *P. grandidentata* using equations from Lundgren & Dolid (1970) where the age of dominant overstory trees was estimated from growth rings.

Soil respiration

Point measurements Point measurements of soil respiration $(R_s, \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$ were made using an LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system and LI-6400-09 soil CO₂ flux chamber (Li-Cor). In the absence of snow cover, the chamber was placed on 0.10 m diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) collars inserted ≈ 0.02 m into the forest floor. These collars were put in place in 1998. Within the 1.1 ha plot there were eight R_s measurement stations, and at each station there were three

collars spaced 1 m apart. Stations were placed randomly within each of eight quadrats covering the entire plot (stratified random sampling). Leaf litter was left in the collars, although any woody debris was removed. During periods of snow cover the existing soil respiration collars were incrementally increased in length as snow depth increased, with interlocking PVC rings, such that soil respiration was measured through the existing snow pack. Measurement protocol followed standard operating procedure for this instrument: ambient CO_2 concentration just above the forest floor (C_{af}) was measured and, following manual placement of the chamber on the collar, the internal chamber CO₂ concentration was lowered 5–25 ppm below $C_{\rm af}$ and then allowed to rise the same amount above $C_{\rm af}$. Recorded values of $R_{\rm s}$ represent the last of three cycles of CO2 accumulation and lowering within the chamber. Measurements were made at varying times throughout the year: during the summer $R_{\rm s}$ typically was measured twice per week, but during the winter only twice per month. On a measurement day, one measurement was taken at each station, with the specific collar used alternating at random among measurement days. At each measurement station there were thermocouples inserted at 0.02 and 0.075 m into the soil, and one 0.30 m time domain reflectometry (TDR) probe (ESI model MP-917, ESI, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada). Point measurements of soil temperature (T_s) and volumetric soil water content (θ_{v}) were recorded immediately following $R_{\rm s}$ measurements. $R_{\rm s}$ was also measured four times during the 2000 growing season in 30 0.1 ha plots.

Exponential functions of the form:

$$\bar{R}_{s} = \beta_{0} * e^{\beta_{1} * \bar{T}_{s}}$$
 Eqn 1

were fitted to point measurements from the 1.1 ha plot using SIGMAPLOT (Systat Software, Inc., Richmond, CA USA), where \bar{R}_s and \bar{T}_s are the means (n = 8) of R_s and T_s , respectively, across measurement stations on a single day. Using this expression, the temperature coefficient, $Q_{10} = e^{\beta_1 * 10}$. Note that for soil, leaves and wood, equation 1 was developed from temperature measurements made over the course of weeks to months. Hence estimates derived from this equation necessarily reflect long-term rather than short-term temperature responses.

Curves were fitted separately for three phenological periods each year: winter, between day 280 (approximate beginning of leaf abscision) in 1 yr and day 129 (approximate beginning of leaf expansion) the following year; early season, between day 130 and day 200 (approximate mid-growing season); and late season, between days 201 and 279. Residuals from these regressions were analyzed further as either linear or logarithmic functions (based on r^2) of θ_v using SIGMAPLOT. Soil respiration at a soil reference temperature (T_{sref}) of 10°C (R_{s10}) was estimated from equation 1, and its standard error, $\sigma_{\bar{R}_{s10}} = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$, where *n* is the number of days R_s was measured during each phenological period and σ is the standard deviation among daily means. Statistical comparisons among temperature-normalized respiration rates were made using Tukey's test at P < 0.05.

To assess spatial variability in R_s within the eddy covariance tower footprint, we compared predicted values in the 1.1 ha plot with point measurements made in plots located up to 1000 m from the tower in the direction of the prevailing north-west winds. For this analysis, R_s , T_s and θ_v were measured in 30 0.1 ha plots on four dates in late summer 2000 (days 214–259). Predicted R_s values were generated using the late-season 2000 R_s model specific to the 1.1 ha plot, and T_s and θ_v input values from 0.1 ha plots. Predicted R_s values were compared directly with actual point measurements made in the 0.1 ha plots to evaluate the agreement between R_s in the 1.1 and 0.1 ha plots at common T_s and θ_v . Confidence intervals for predicted R_s values in the 1.1 ha plot were generated using the PROC NLIN procedure in sas (SAS ver. 8.2; SAS Institute; Cary, NC, USA).

Scaling Point measurements of all respiratory components were scaled to a common soil surface area basis following the methods of Ryan *et al.* (1997). Mean hourly R_s (R_{si} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for the *i*th hour) throughout the year was estimated from mean hourly T_s (T_{si}) and θ_v (θ_{vi}) by:

$$R_{\rm si} = R_{\rm s10} \times Q_{10}^{(T_{\rm si}-T_{\rm sref})/10} + f(\theta_{\rm vi})$$
 Eqn 2

where $f(\theta_{vi})$ was the linear or logarithmic function from the residual analysis described above. The standard error of R_{si} , $\sigma_{\bar{R}_{si}}$, was estimated as $\sigma_{\bar{R}_{si0}} \times Q_{10}^{(T_{si}-T_{sref})/10}$. This ignores any effects of $f(\theta_{vi})$ on $\sigma_{\bar{R}_{si}}$ and hence is a conservative error estimate as $f(\theta_{vi})$, where significant, increases the precision of R_{si} estimates. Soil temperature was measured continuously at 0.075 m depth in three locations spaced ≈ 10 m apart. Soil water content was continuously measured at one location in 1999 and at four locations in all other years using a CS616 soil moisture probe (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). Output from the CS616 probes was calibrated against the TDR probes used for point measurements. Our T_s and θ_v point measurements encompassed the full range of continuous T_s and θ_v measurements. Daily and annual R_s are the sums of estimated hourly fluxes across 24 h and 1 yr, respectively. The standard error of annual R_s was estimated as the sum of hourly $\sigma_{\bar{R}_s}$.

Leaf respiration

Point measurements Point measurements of leaf dark respiration (R_{la} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ expressed on a leaf area basis) for all tree species were measured at night on fully expanded detached leaves using an LI-6400. For *P. aquilinum*, R_{la} was measured at night on attached fronds and during the day on attached, darkened fronds for ambient air temperature (T_a) > 20°C. Leaf temperature in the cuvette was maintained to within ≈0.5°C of T_a . Measurements on all species except *P. strobus* were corrected for overestimation of R_{la} caused by

gas flow beneath the gaskets of the LI-6400 cuvette (Pons & Welschen, 2002; unpublished data).

Measurements were conducted in the 1.1 ha plot over multiple days in 1999 and 2001. For the four canopy-level hardwood species, R_{la} was typically measured in six uppercanopy and six lower-canopy leaves per night, although in some cases the sample size was less. These leaves came from the two or three trees of each species we could reach from our two canopy access towers. Understory *P. strobus* and *P. aquilinum* leaves were accessed from the ground. The number of nights that measurements were taken varied among species, ranging from one for *B. papyrifera* to nine for *P. aquilinum*. As measurements were made on fully expanded tissue, R_{la} was assumed to represent primarily local maintenance respiration plus some additional growth-dependent costs such as phloem loading (Amthor, 2000).

Measurements were averaged across leaves within a species and canopy position to yield mean daily point values (\bar{R}_{la} and \bar{T}_{a}). These data were combined into three groups that showed similar absolute magnitude of \bar{R}_{la} and responses to temperature: *P. grandidentata* and *Q. rubra*; *A. rubrum* and *B. papyrifera*; and *P. strobus* and *P. aquilinum*. Exponential functions as in equation 1 were fitted to these mean daily values to derive estimates for regression coefficients β_0 and β_1 for each group.

Scaling Leaf respiration at a leaf reference temperature (T_{lref}) of 15°C (R_{l15}) was estimated from equation 1. Mean hourly R_{la} (R_{lai} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) throughout the year was estimated from R_{l15} and mean hourly T_{a} (T_{ai}) as in equation 2 but with no θ_{v} effects. Air temperature was measured continuously at one location 21 m above the forest floor. The minimum air temperature at which R_{la} measurements were made (T_{amin}) generally was consistent with minimum T_{ai} during the leaf expansion period for the deciduous species, and the fitted exponential function was used for all T_{ai} without modification. This was not true, however, for the evergreen *P. strobus*. For that species we assumed a linear decline in $R_{\text{lai}} = 0$ when $T_{\text{ai}} \leq 0^{\circ}$ C.

Mean hourly leaf respiration on a leaf area basis was scaled to a land surface area basis (R_{li} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) by:

$$R_{\rm li} = R_{\rm lai} \times p \times A_{\rm l}$$
 Eqn 3

For the deciduous species, A_1 was assumed to increase linearly during leaf expansion and decline linearly during leaf abscision. Leaf growth respiration (R_{lg}) was estimated from P_1 and a massbased model that assumes 0.25 g respiratory CO₂ produced per g tissue constructed (Cannell & Thornley, 2000), and this respiratory cost was evenly distributed across days during leaf expansion. Daily and annual R_1 are the sums of R_{li} across 24 h and 1 yr, respectively, except during leaf expansion when R_{lg} was added. Standard errors of R_{115} , R_{li} and annual R_1 were estimated as for R_c .

Above-ground wood respiration

Point measurements Point measurements of above-ground wood respiration expressed on a sapwood volume basis $(R_{uv}, \mu mol m^{-3} s^{-1})$ were measured in the 1.1 ha plot using a custom cuvette attached to an LI-6400. The cuvette was similar to that described by Xu et al. (2000), fashioned from opaque PVC, and its operation was analogous to that of the LI-6400-09 soil CO₂ flux chamber. Plastic collars, 0.10 m in diameter, were sealed to boles at ≈ 1.3 m above ground using silicone caulk, and left in place. For smaller diameter trees 0.052 m collars were used. The cuvette was attached to the collar with wire springs and respiratory CO₂ was allowed to accumulate within the cuvette. Cuvette air was stirred with a small fan and circulated in a closed loop to the infrared gas analyzer of the LI-6400. The volume of the cuvette, tree collar, and associated tubing averaged 0.40 l for the large tree cuvette and 0.15 l for the small tree cuvette. Bole respiration was calculated from the rate of increase in cuvette air CO₂ concentration as described above for $R_{\rm s}$. Adjacent to each collar, a thermocouple was inserted to 0.01 m depth and wood temperature (T_w) was recorded during each R_{wv} measurement. Because D increases throughout the growing season, early and late-season R_{wv} included both growth and maintenance respiration, while winter R_{wv} was primarily maintenance respiration (Nelson, 1994). Respiratory CO₂ deriving from above-ground dead wood (coarse woody debris), either standing or down, was not considered in this analysis.

Wood respiration was measured on five tree species over multiple days in 1999–2001. Generally, only one or two species were measured on a given day. For the majority of days, at least three individuals per species were measured although this number ranged from one to nine. Measurements across individuals within a species were averaged to yield mean values for a given day (\bar{R}_{wv} and \bar{T}_{w}). Exponential functions as in equation 1 were fitted to these mean daily values to derive estimates of regression coefficients β_0 and β_1 for each species. Curves were fitted separately for the three phenological periods in each year as described above.

Scaling Wood respiration at a reference temperature (T_{wref}) of 15°C (R_{w15}) was estimated from equation 1. Mean hourly R_{wv} (R_{wvi} , µmol m⁻³ s⁻¹) throughout the year was estimated from mean hourly T_w (T_{wi}) as in equation 2, but with no θ_v effects. Bole temperature was measured continuously on four trees throughout the year. The minimum bole temperature at which R_{wv} measurements were made (T_{wmin}) was not below \approx 6°C for any species, although winter T_{wi} was often well below 0°C. Rather than extrapolating the fitted temperature relationship beyond T_{wmin} , we assumed a linear decline in R_{wvi} between $T_{wi} = T_{wmin}$ and $T_{wi} = 0$ °C, and that $R_{wvi} = 0$ when $T_{wi} \leq 0$ °C.

Mean hourly bole respiration was scaled to a land surface area basis (R_{wi} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) by:

$$R_{\rm wi} = R_{\rm wvi} \times \Sigma V_{\rm sw}$$
 Eqn 4

where ΣV_{sw} is the summed individual tree V_{sw} within the 1.1 ha plot expressed per m² land area and incremented annually based on changes in *D*. Daily and annual R_w are the sums of R_{wi} across 24 h and 1 yr, respectively. Standard errors of R_{w15} , R_{wi} , and annual R_w were estimated as for R_s .

Above-canopy nocturnal CO₂ flux

We used eddy covariance methods to directly measure CO_2 exchanges between forest and atmosphere. Measurements were made at 46 m (approximately twice canopy height). Turbulent velocities were measured with a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (model CSAT-3, Campbell Scientific) and CO_2 concentrations were measured by a closed-path infrared gas analyzer (IRGA model Li-6262, LiCor). The anemometer and IRGA data were sampled at 10 Hz for calculation of above-canopy net CO_2 flux (F_c). As described by Schmid *et al.* (2003), hourly block averages of F_c were calculated from raw 10 Hz data from the anemometer and IRGA using Reynolds decomposition.

Nocturnal F_c (F_{cn}) was calculated for nights showing sustained periods of adequate turbulent mixing, defined as ≥ 4 h when the friction velocity (u^*) > 0.35 m s⁻¹. For nights meeting these criteria, we averaged F_c from all hours where $u^* > 0.35$ m s⁻¹ to yield a mean F_{cn} (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). A total of 485 nights in years 1999–2001 met these criteria and were used in this analysis.

Ecosystem carbon-use efficiency

We calculated annual ecosystem E_c using biometrically and meteorologically derived estimates of P_{GP} . In both cases, P_{NP} was calculated as:

Biometric annual E_{c} (E_{cb}) was calculated as:

$$E_{\rm cb} = P_{\rm NP} / (P_{\rm NP} + |R_a|)$$
 Eqn 6

where annual autotrophic respiration, $R_a = R_r + R_l + R_w$. Root respiration, R_r , was estimated as $0.5 \times R_s$ based on our analysis of root-free mineral soil and O-horizon respiration compared with total R_s (Gough *et al.*, 2005). This partitioning of soil autotrophic and heterotrophic components matches the average value reported by Hanson *et al.* (2000) but ignores likely seasonal variation in root compared with soil microbial respiration.

Meteorological E_{c} (E_{cm}) was calculated as:

$$E_{\rm cm} = P_{\rm NP} / \Sigma (F_{\rm cd} + |F_{\rm cn}'|)$$
 Eqn 7

where $\Sigma(F_{cd} + | F'_{cn} |)$ is the annual sum of hourly daytime ecosystem CO_2 flux (F_{cd}) plus the absolute value of estimated

daytime ecosystem respiration for each hour based on measured nocturnal CO₂ fluxes (F'_{cn}). F'_{cn} was estimated from exponential functions as in equation 1 where hourly F_{cn} having $u^* > 0.35$ m s⁻¹ was regressed against T_s measured at 0.02 m. Separate regressions were fitted for early season, late season and winter periods in each year. Gap-filling procedures for missing F_{cd} values were as described by Schmid *et al.* (2003).

Results

Climate and phenology

Patterns of T_a and T_s across the study period were typical for the upper Great Lakes region, with daily average T_a rarely exceeding 25°C during the summer, but remaining below 0°C for extended periods during the winter (Fig. 1a). Persistent snow cover during the winter effectively insulated the soil, with soil at 0.075 m rarely freezing (Fig. 1b). The winter of 2002/03 was exceptionally cold, however, resulting in $T_c < 0^{\circ}$ C for 90 d. Low T_a during this period resulted in 2003 having the lowest mean annual T_a and T_s of the 5 yr studied. Mean growing season (day 130-279) T_s was similar in 2000 and 2003, and highest in 1999. One late-winter thaw was recorded in 2000 before leaf expansion, when high T_a and a lack of snow cover resulted in increased T_s , followed thereafter by a return to colder temperatures before a sustained warming in the spring. Patterns of θ_v were also typical for this region and soil type, with rapid declines in θ_{y} in the absence of rainfall during the summer, but with few periods of $\theta_v < 10\%$ lasting longer than ≈ 10 d (Fig. 1c).

The initiation of leaf expansion and leaf abscision was similar for years 1999–2001, which as a group were \approx 15 d advanced in both measures relative to 2002–03 (Fig. 2). Maximum A_1 measured from litter traps or assessed optically varied \approx 20% during these years, being relatively higher in 2002 and 2003, and lower in 1999 and 2001. The majority of this leaf area was contributed by *P. grandidentata*, *Q. rubra* and *A. rubrum* (Table 2). The understory fern *P. aquilinum* contributed an additional 0.5 m² m⁻² leaf area, and showed similar phenological timing to the canopy tree species.

Soil respiration

Soil respiration was well explained by seasonal variation in T_s and θ_v although the magnitude of R_s responses to these climate drivers varied across years (Table 3; Fig. 3). Winter R_s was never responsive to θ_v and showed little interannual variation in Q_{10} (data not shown), so a common temperature-response function was used during winter for all years. There was considerable interannual variation in the influence of θ_v on R_s during the growing season, however. During 1999, θ_v was a significant factor (P < 0.05) both early and late in the season, in 2003 θ_v was never significant, and in the remaining years



Fig. 1 Major environmental variables recorded in the 1.1 ha plot across the 5 yr study period: air temperature at 21 m (T_a , a), soil temperature at 0.075 m (T_s , b), and volumetric soil water content (θ_v , c). Mean annual and mean growing season (italic) T_a and T_s are shown for each year.



its significance alternated among seasons (Table 3). Q_{10} varied between ≈ 2 and 3 across years, but there was no consistent rank order among seasons.

Soil respiration rates normalized to 10°C showed significant seasonal variation as well, but exhibited more consistent relationships across seasons (Table 3). Winter R_{s10} were consistently the lowest, averaging 2.3 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ across years. Late-season R_{s10} was typically the highest, averaging 3.1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ across years compared with an average of 2.6 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹

Fig. 2 Vegetation area index (A_v), measured optically and recorded between leaf expansion and leaf abscision of the deciduous canopy species in the 1.1 ha plot. Maximum leaf area index (A_{lmax}) for each year was measured from litter traps after leaf abscision.

Table 3 Seasonal soil respiration rates (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) normalized to 10°C (R_{s10}), temperature response coefficients (Q_{10}) and the significance of soil water content [$f(\theta_v)$] in explaining residual variation in soil respiration across 5 yr in the 1.1 ha plot

Year	Season	R _{s10}	Q ₁₀	$f(\theta_v)$	п
1999	Wintert	2.3 (0.08)-	2.87	ns‡	_
	Early	2.6 (0.10) ^a	3.14	* *	28
	Late	3.5 (0.12) ^b §	2.12	* *	18
2000	Winter	2.4 (0.10) ^a	2.87	ns	18
	Early	3.0 (0.10) ^b	1.96	ns	19
	Late	2.6 (0.10) ^{ab}	2.85	* * *	18
2001	Winter	2.4 (0.09) ^a	2.87	ns	19
	Early	2.7 (0.08) ^b	2.11	*	26
	Late	3.2 (0.09) ^c	2.06	ns	19
2002	Winter	2.2 (0.15) ^a	2.87	ns	8
	Early	2.4 (0.15) ^a	2.38	+	8
	Late	2.6 (0.16) ^a	2.66	* * *	7
2003	Winter	2.3 (0.21) ^a	2.87	ns	3
	Early	2.4 (0.11) ^a	3.16	ns	11
	Late	3.5 (0.12) ^b	2.02	ns	9
	Winter ¹	2.3 (0.08)-	2.87	ns	-

Early growing season was day 130–200; late growing season, day 201–279; winter, day 280 in year x - 1 through day 129 in year x, except in 1999 when winter began on day 1, and for the second winter period in 2003 which ended on day 365.

Standard error of $R_{\rm s10}$ shown in parentheses; *n* is the number of daily means included in the regressions.

+Generic models based on combined values across all winters. ++, P < 0.1; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ns, P > 0.1. §Similar superscripts within years indicate no significant difference, P < 0.05.



Fig. 3 Goodness of fit of modeled soil respiration (R_s) to observed R_s across seasons and years. Modeled values were derived from parameters shown in Table 2; observed values are daily means. Solid line, linear regression (y = 0.48 + 0.90x, $r^2 = 0.76$, n = 211); dashed line, 1 : 1 relationship.





Fig. 4 Response of leaf respiration (R_1) to changes in ambient air temperature (T_a) in three groups of canopy species: (a) *Populus grandidentata* (Pgr) and *Quercus rubra* (Qru); (b) *Acer rubrum* (Aru) and *Betula papyrifera* (Bpa); (c) *Pteridium aquilinum* (Paq) and *Pinus strobus* (Pst). Symbols are nightly means and ±1 SE error in each variable. Open symbols, upper canopy leaves; crossed symbols, lower canopy leaves.

early in the season. Modeled R_s , based on these T_s and θ_v relationships, was well correlated with observed R_s (Fig. 3), with the slope of this relationship not differing significantly from 1 (P = 0.76; two-tailed *t*-test). There was a tendency for modeled R_s to overestimate observed R_s below 2 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and the model goodness-of-fit decreased with increasing R_s .

Leaf respiration

The temperature response of R_1 was best characterized in three species: *P. grandidentata*, *A. rubrum* and *P. aquilinum* (Fig. 4),

	Season	Species+									
		Pgr	Qru	Aru	Вра	Pst	Paq				
Leaf											
R_{115}	E,L	0.6 (0.02) ^a †	0.6 (0.05) ^a	0.4 (0.02) ^b	0.3 (0.01) ^{bc}	0.2 (0.01) ^c	0.3 (0.04) ^c				
Q ₁₀	E,L	1.78	1.78	1.50	1.50	1.97	1.97				
Bole											
R_{w15}	W	19.8 (2.10) ^a	101.8 (8.96) ^a	17.4 (1.85) ^a	29.5 (1.76) ^a	29.6 (2.47) ^a					
015	E	41.4 (2.86) ^b	175.9 (10.05) ^b	37.4 (3.71) ^b	52.9 (4.05) ^b	48.4 (3.13) ^{ab}					
	L	42.1 (2.27) ^b	180.0 (8.94) ^b	26.0 (2.22) ^b	48.0 (3.28) ^b	42.4 (1.92) ^b					
Q ₁₀	W	1.43	1.67	1.53	1.32	1.65					
10	E	2.72	2.10	2.85	3.11	1.50					
	L	1.66	1.59	2.11	1.90	1.71					

Table 4 Species-specific leaf respiration (R_{115} , µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), above-ground wood respiration (R_{w15} , µmol m⁻³ s⁻¹), and their temperature response coefficients (Q_{10}) measured early (E) or late (L) in the growing season, or during winter (W)

Respiration rates are normalized to 15°C. Standard errors in parentheses.

+Similar superscripts indicate no significant difference, P < 0.05. Comparisons across species for R_{115} , and across seasons within a species for R_{w15} . +Abbreviations as in Fig. 4.

which together accounted for >50% of A_{lmax} . More limited data were available for the remaining species, therefore each was combined with one of the first group based on similarity of response within the measured temperature range. *Populus* grandidentata and Q. rubra also had comparatively high leaf [N] (2.0 and 2.4%, respectively) relative to A. rubrum and B. papyrifera (1.5 and 1.8%, respectively). Pinus strobus and P. aquilinum were less similar in this regard (1.3 and 2.2% leaf [N], respectively) but both primarily grew in the understory and had similar R_1 at $T_a \approx 18^{\circ}$ C. For *P. grandidentata* and A. rubrum, upper canopy leaves had higher R_1 compared with lower canopy leaves at similar T_a . Leaf respiration rates normalized to 15°C reflected these groupings (Table 4). Populus grandidentata and Q. rubra had significantly higher R_{115} than all other species, A. rubrum was intermediate, followed by B. papyrifera, P. strobus and P. aquilinum. Temperature-response coefficients were fairly similar across species groups, averaging 1.75.

Wood respiration

There was considerable seasonal and interspecific variation in R_w (Fig. 5; Table 4). For all four deciduous species, Q_{10} and R_{w15} were highest early in the growing season and lowest during the winter. *Pinus strobus* showed little seasonal variation in Q_{10} but also lower R_{w15} during the winter. Note that R_{wv} was measured on only 2 d during the winter, but these days differed in T_w by >10°C. Among the diffuse porous deciduous species, *B. papyrifera* had the highest R_{w15} in each season and the highest mean annual R_{w15} (*P* < 0.05, Tukey's test), followed by *P. grandidentata* and *A. rubrum*. The high absolute R_{w15} in *Q. rubra* was caused by the comparatively small volume of sapwood in this ring-porous species.

Table 5 Yearly variation in total respiratory carbon loss (R_{Σ}) and its 5 yr mean in the 1.1 ha plot

Year	R _s	%	R _I	%	R _w	%	R _Σ
1999	1116 (43)	73	251 (11)	16	172 (23)	11	1538 (50)
2000	987 (37)	71	251 (11)	16	157 (21)	10	1396 (44)
2001	1005 (37)	71	237 (10)	17	171 (23)	12	1412 (45)
2002	946 (34)	67	292 (13)	21	165 (22)	12	1404 (43)
2003	960 (32)	70	250 (11)	18	165 (23)	12	1375 (41)
Mean	1003 (37)	71	256 (11)	18	166 (22)	11	1425 (45)

Absolute and percentage contribution of soil (R_s), leaf (R_l) and wood (R_w) respiration to R_Σ are shown together with the standard error in parentheses. The standard error of R_Σ was calculated as the quadratic sum of respiratory component standard errors. All units are g C m⁻² yr⁻¹.

Daily and cumulative respiratory carbon losses

Continuous measurements of T_s , T_b , T_a and θ_v and the coefficients presented in Tables 3 and 4 were used to estimate daily respiratory C losses across years from soil, leaves and wood in the 1.1 ha plot (Table 5). In all years, R_s was the dominant component of R_{Σ} , contributing as much as 73% of the total flux (1999), with a 5 yr mean of 71%. Leaf respiration contributed on average 18%, and R_w 11% of R_{Σ} . There was relatively modest interannual variation in R_{Σ} , with 164 g C m⁻², or ≈10% of the 5 yr average, separating the lowest (2003) from the highest (1999) respiratory C-loss year. The largest difference in R_{Σ} between consecutive years was 142 g C m⁻², separating 1999 and 2000.

Within a year there was considerable variation in absolute rates of respiratory C loss, and the proportional contribution of soil, leaves and wood to that loss (Fig. 6). Considering



Fig. 5 Seasonal responses of above-ground wood respiration (R_{wv}) to changing wood temperature (T_w) in five tree species: Quercus rubra (a); Populus grandidentata (b); Acer rubrum (c); Pinus strobus (d); Betula papyrifera (e).

2001 as a typical year, R_s was >90% of R_{Σ} for most of the winter, with R_w contributing 10–20% in early spring or late autumn during periods of relatively warm T_a but outside the period of deciduous tree leaf development. Leaf respiration from the evergreen *P. strobus* was a negligible component of R_{Σ} during this period. Winter R_{Σ} averaged 1.5 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 7). In 2001, leaf expansion began on day 128 with 95% full leaf expansion observed on day 151. During this period, R_{Σ} rose dramatically and the relative contribution of R_s dropped to ~60% (Fig. 6). The abruptness of the increase in R_1 during leaf expansion reflects the combined inputs of

annual growth and maintenance respiration. The relative contribution of $R_{\rm s}$ to R_{Σ} increased gradually during the growing season as soils warmed, reaching \approx 75% at the time of leaf abscision in the autumn. Consequently, late-season R_{Σ} was typically higher than early season R_{Σ} (5 yr means, 8.5 and 6.9 g C m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively, Fig. 7)

Comparison with eddy covariance measures

Measurement of F_{cn} using eddy covariance methods offers the opportunity for an independent assessment of R_{Σ} . However,



Fig. 6 Daily respiratory carbon loss in 2001 from soil (R_s), leaves (R_l), boles (R_w), and their sum (R_{Σ}) in the 1.1 ha plot (upper panel). Lower panel, percentage contribution of R_s , R_l and R_w to R_{Σ} .



Fig. 7 Daily mean total respiratory carbon loss (R_{Σ}) across seasons and years in the 1.1 ha plot. Winter was day 1–129 and 280–365; early season, day 130–200; late season, day 201–279.

only a subset of our F_{cn} measurements was suitable for direct intercomparison. Of 1096 possible nights (1999–2001), 485 (44%) had \geq 4 h of turbulent conditions ($u^* > 0.35 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) from which a robust average F_{cn} could be calculated. Overall, F_{cn} and R_{Σ} were well correlated (Fig. 8, $r^2 = 0.77$). The relationship between the two variables departed significantly from 1 : 1, however, with R_{Σ} being greater than F_{cn} on



Fig. 8 Correlation between mean nighttime net ecosystem CO_2 flux (F_{cn}) measured using eddy covariance methods and total ecosystem respiration (R_{Σ}) estimated as the sum of soil, leaf and bole respiration. Only nights having $\ge 4 \text{ h} F_{cn}$ with friction velocity (u^*) > 0.35 m s⁻¹ were used. Solid line, linear relationship between variables (y = 0.15 + 1.26x, $r^2 = 0.77$); broken line, 1 : 1 relationship.

most nights. The relative magnitude of the difference was not uniform across seasons, being smallest during the winter (11% greater R_{Σ}), intermediate early in the season (28% greater R_{Σ}), and largest late in the season (58% greater R_{Σ}).

We examined whether a systematic difference between $R_{\rm e}$ in the 1.1 ha plot compared with that across the much larger eddy covariance footprint could help explain these differences. For this analysis, R_s , T_s and θ_v measurements were made on four dates in 30 0.1 ha plots located up to 1000 m from the eddy covariance tower. We then predicted R_s in the 1.1 ha plot based on the R_{s10} , $f(\theta_v)$ and Q_{10} values shown in Table 2. Most of the observed R_s measurements from the 0.1 ha plots (59) were within the 95% confidence interval of modeled $R_{\rm e}$ from the 1.1 ha plot; 55 were greater than the 95% CI of modeled values; and only six values were lower (Fig. 9). This suggests that R_{c} in the 1.1 ha plot was equivalent to, or less than, what would be expected across the flux tower footprint. We also found that R_s was well correlated with site index (Fig. 9, insert) and that the 1.1 ha plot site index (14.4 m) was significantly less than the mean 0.1 ha plot site index (17.6 m) (P = 0.03, one-tailed t-test).

Ecosystem carbon-use efficiency

Gross primary production estimated biometrically (P_{GPb}) as $P_{\text{NP}} + |R_a|$ showed similar interannual variation as seen in R_{Σ} , but with the highest year (1999) separated from the lowest year (2003) by only 92 g C m⁻² (Table 6). Biometric E_c was





Table 6 Ecosystem carbon-use efficiency estimated biometrically (E_{cb}) or meteorologically (E_{cm}) in the 1.1 ha plot across years

Year	P _{NP} †	R _a	P _{GPb}	E _{cb}	P _{GPm}	E _{cm}
1999	656	981	1637	0.40	1323	0.50
2000	678	902	1580	0.43	1235	0.55
2001	704	910	1614	0.44	1178	0.60
2002	618	931	1549	0.40	1240	0.50
2003	650	895	1545	0.42	1127	0.58
Mean	661	924	1585	0.42	1221	0.54

Annual gross primary production was estimated biometrically (P_{GPb}) as the sum of net primary production (P_{NP}) and autotrophic respiration (R_a) or meteorologically (P_{GPm}) from eddy covariance data. All production units are g C m⁻² yr⁻¹. +Data from Gough *et al.* (2005).

quite uniform across years (coefficient of variation of 2.5%), with a 5 yr mean of 0.42. Gross primary production estimated meteorologically ($P_{\rm GPm}$) as $P_{\rm NP}/\Sigma(F_{\rm cd} + |F_{\rm cn}'|)$ was weakly correlated with $P_{\rm GPb}$ (r = 0.59), and on average 23% lower. The spread between years also was somewhat greater (196 g C m⁻² separating 1999 from 2003). The lower $P_{\rm GPm}$ compared with $P_{\rm GPb}$ estimates resulted in correspondingly higher meteorologically based E_c estimates, averaging 0.54 over 5 yr (coefficient of variation 6.0%).

Discussion

Soil respiration

The sensitivity of R_s to T_s and θ_v that we observed was typical for forest soils, with our overall mean Q_{10} across seasons and years of 2.7 comparing well with the global mean of 2.4 estimated by Raich & Schlesinger (1992). The coarse textured, well drained soils at UMBS are susceptible to episodic drought, and θ_v was often an important explanatory factor in modeling R_s , as has been observed in other eastern deciduous forests (Davidson *et al.*, 1998; Ehman *et al.*, 2002; Bolstad *et al.*, 2004). By incorporating both seasonal and interannual variation in sensitivity to T_s and θ_v , our model explained \approx 75% of the variation in measured R_s across 5 yr, comparable in accuracy to other empirical models of R_s from diverse forest ecosystems (Hibbard *et al.*, 2005).

Although the observed pattern of R_s response to climate drivers was typical, hourly and cumulative annual R_s at UMBS was high compared with some other forests of similar $P_{\rm NP}$. Raich & Nadelhoffer (1989) proposed an empirical relationship that suggested annual R_s C losses of approximately three times the mass of annual above-ground fine litterfall C ($M_{\rm cl}$). Davidson *et al.* (2002a) confirmed this general relationship with an independent data set drawn only from studies using infrared CO₂ detection methods. Their analysis included 1 yr (1999) of data from UMBS, which was a notable outlier showing annual $R_{\rm s} > 7 \times M_{\rm cl}$. This suggested the possibility of nonsteady-state root or soil C stocks or above-average total below-ground C allocation at UMBS. Our present results, based on 5 yr of data and with an improved $R_{\rm s}$ model, show more congruence with other temperate deciduous forests, particularly those dominated by Populus. Our 5 yr mean annual R_s was 1044 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, or 5.6 times our mean M_{cl} of 185 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Gough *et al.*, 2005). Mature deciduous forests in Tennessee, Wisconsin and New Zealand all showed single-year annual $R_s: M_{cl}$ ratios >5 (Davidson et al., 2002a). In a further analysis of the Wisconsin site, Bolstad et al. (2004) reported a 4 yr mean annual R_s of 1116 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ from a *P. tremuloides*-dominated stand $(A_{\text{lmax}} \approx 4.7)$, while Russell & Voroney (1998) reported a 2 yr mean annual $R_{\rm s}$ of 887 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ from a *P. tremuloides* forest in Saskatchewan, Canada ($A_{\text{lmax}} \approx 3.3$). We cannot rule out declining stocks of soil C below 10 cm, but neither soil C from 0 to 10 cm (Schaetzl, 1994) nor total root length density (Gough et al., 2005) appears out of steady state on a 1-5 yr time frame at our site. Both P. grandidentata and *P. tremuloides* are early successional, rapidly growing species, and may have higher specific root respiration rates than later successional or slower growing species (Desrochers et al., 2002; Burton & Pregitzer, 2003), perhaps contributing to relatively high R_s in Populus stands. Given the importance of $R_{\rm s}$ in determining $R_{\rm s}$, resolving the underlying mechanisms responsible for variation in R_s across forest types remains an important challenge in climate change research.

Leaf respiration

There are both methodological and conceptual issues of importance in evaluating the accuracy of annual R_1 estimates. We established R_1 temperature response functions by measuring nocturnal R_1 at different ambient temperatures over an entire growing season, rather than by exposing leaves to short-term temperature changes within the gas-exchange cuvette. There is considerable evidence that temperature acclimation of R_1 occurs in temperate tree species (Atkin et al., 2000; Bolstad et al., 2003; Gifford, 2003), resulting in a relatively rapid lowering of respiratory capacity with increasing ambient temperature. As the majority of our gas-exchange measurements were made over the course of 100 d, it is very likely that temperature acclimation occurred in the individual trees we measured. One result of such an acclimatory response would be a flattening of the temperature response function and a reduction in Q_{10} relative to that obtained from short-term temperature manipulations (Gifford, 2003), and a consequent reduction in estimated annual R_1 . Our Q_{10} values were at the low end of the 1.4-4.0 range for leaves reported by Amthor (1984), although comparable with those reported by Turnbull et al. (2001) for unacclimated Q. rubra (1.78-1.93) and A. rubrum (1.46–1.53). While accounting for temperature

acclimation over time, our use of Q_{10} values derived from seasonal changes in T_a might fail to correctly describe short-term responses to diurnal temperature fluctuations. At UMBS these temperature fluctuations average $\approx 9^{\circ}$ C during the growing season. On such a day, if we assume a uniform Q_{10} of 2.50 across species, more typical of values from unacclimated woody plants, R_1 would be $\approx 10\%$ higher than estimated using the 'acclimated' Q_{10} s in Table 3. Thus, to a first approximation, the short- vs long-term effects on estimated annual R_1 of measuring acclimated vs unacclimated leaves will tend to offset each other.

We used three different Q_{10} functions to describe all R_1 temperature responses, pooling sun and shade leaves and aggregating species based on similarity in R_{115} and leaf [N]. While obscuring some variation present at the individual tree level, these simplifications probably had little impact on our ecosystem-level estimates. In a detailed study of 18 deciduous North American tree species, Bolstad et al. (1999) concluded that most interspecific and intracanopy variation in R_1 was reflected in differences in R_{lref} , rather than Q_{10} . Furthermore, whole-canopy respiration predicted using the lumped parameter model PnET-II agreed well with results obtained by aggregating individual species-response curves (Vose & Bolstad, 1999). Our R_{115} values are comparable with those for other deciduous and evergreen species (Bolstad et al., 1999; Law et al., 1999b), although we also would argue that between-study differences in estimated R_{115} of <50% are effectively within current measurement error given the inaccuracy of standard leaf cuvettes (e.g. poorly quantified gasket effects) and most commercially available infrared gas analyzers working near their differential CO₂ concentration detection limits. Lastly, in calculating daily and annual R_1 we assumed that dark respiration continued during the day at a rate unaffected by light. This is a common, though not universal (Bolstad et al., 2004; Harmon et al., 2004), assumption in C-cycle studies, and is supported by the results of Pinelli & Loreto (2003) who found, using isotope-sensitive infrared gas analysis, that mitochondrial respiration was unaffected by light in several herbaceous and woody species. Other evidence, however, has suggested substantial reductions in R_1 during the day (Brooks & Farquhar, 1985) which, if correct, would substantially reduce estimated annual R_1 .

There have been only two previous reports of annual R_1 in temperate deciduous forests, both including mature, *Populus*-dominated ecosystems. Bolstad *et al.* (2004), working in a northern Wisconsin aspen forest with A_{lmax} of 4.7, mean annual T_a of 4.8°C and leaf-out period of ≈150 d, reported a 4 yr mean R_1 of 110 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, summed over nocturnal periods only (P. Bolstad, personal communication). This estimate aligns very well with ours. We estimated a 5 yr average R_1 of 256 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ summed over 24 h, or 95 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ summed over nocturnal periods only (mean A_{lmax} 4.0 including *P. aquilinum*, mean annual T_a 7.3°C, leaf-out period ≈160 d). Ryan *et al.* (1997) reported a considerably higher single-year

 R_1 of 464 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, assuming 24 h foliage respiration, in a southern Canadian aspen forest with $A_{\rm Imax}$ 3.3, mean annual T_a –0.4°C, and leaf-out period ≈120 d. However, they did not record making gasket corrections and therefore may have overestimated base R_1 rates. Clearly, an analysis of biological processes that might lead to such differences must be combined with an improved understanding of the accuracy of these estimates. Independent estimates of R_1 using meteorological methods (Law *et al.*, 1999a) or the ¹³C signature of different sources of respiratory CO₂ (Dawson *et al.*, 2002) may provide important comparative data in this regard.

Above-ground wood respiration

Accurate assessment of annual R_{w} also can be a problematic element in the biometric analysis of forest R_{e} . There are two primary reasons for this. First, CO₂ fluxes measured at the stem surface may not accurately reflect the net exchange of respiratory CO₂ derived from cells lying beneath the gasexchange cuvette itself. On the one hand, vertical transport of respiratory CO₂ in the xylem sap or storage in sapwood tissues will affect the magnitude of surface fluxes, generally leading to an underestimation of R_w (McGuire & Teskey, 2004). On the other hand, failure to account for refixation of respiratory CO₂ via corticular photosynthesis (Strain & Johnson, 1963) can lead to an overestimation of R_{w} . Second, scaling point measurements to the whole-tree or stand level introduces additional, often poorly defined errors. For example, measurements made at 1.3 m may not be representative of respiratory rates at other heights or in branches, due both to variation in the density and activity of living sapwood cells (Pruyn et al., 2002) and to potentially large radial and vertical gradients in stem temperature (Stockfors, 2000). Additionally, stand-level estimates of total sapwood volume also carry with them large uncertainties (Oren et al., 1998).

Our methods were similar to those of numerous other workers, and our calculated R_{w15} and Q_{10} values compare well with published reports (Edwards & Hanson, 1996; Ryan et al., 1996; Ryan et al., 1997; Bolstad et al., 2004). Although we did not directly measure the effects of sap flow on $R_{\rm w}$, an examination of meteorological data on days during the growing season when measurements were taken showed no clear relationship between R_w and vapor pressure deficit (unpublished data), the primary determinant of sap-flow velocity for the canopy species at UMBS (Bovard et al., 2005). We also have not accounted for corticular photosynthesis, which certainly is present in several of our species. However, in P. grandidentata the thick bark characteristic of the mature trees at our site may act to reduce the magnitude of this effect (Cernusak & Marshall, 2000). Although we cannot rule out these potential artifacts as sources of error, the temporal variation we observed in R_{wv} was consistent with expectations based on the seasonality of growth and maintenance respiration in trees at our site. Winter R_{w15} and Q_{10} were lowest,

reflecting the predominance of maintenance respiration at this time (Nelson, 1994). Bole radial growth (Gough *et al.*, 2005) and hence growth respiration generally was greatest early in the growing season, which showed the highest R_{w15} and Q_{10} , with both radial growth and R_{wv} then declining after day 200.

Recognizing these potential sources of error, estimated annual R_w was, perhaps surprisingly, quite similar in the three aspen forests studied to date. In the Canadian old aspen site, with a basal area of 27 m⁻² ha⁻¹ and height \approx 20 m, single-year annual R_w was 123 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Ryan *et al.*, 1997). In the Wisconsin mature aspen site of basal area 28 m⁻² ha⁻¹ and height \approx 22 m, 4 yr mean R_w was 154 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Bolstad *et al.*, 2004), while our 5 yr mean R_w was 166 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (basal area 30 m⁻² ha⁻¹, height \approx 19 m). This degree of congruence across forests of similar composition, structure and climate regime lends a measure of confidence to the accuracy of these estimates.

Summed respiratory components

At UMBS, R_{Σ} was dominated by $R_{\rm s}$ at all times of year, varying from a high of 100% during winter to a low of ~60% during early summer. Leaf respiration was the second greatest contributor, representing ~30% of R_{Σ} during leaf expansion and ~18% on an annual basis. Above-ground wood contributed as much as 20% of R_{Σ} during early or late winter, and ~11% overall. This pattern of partitioning of R_{Σ} among forest ecosystem components appears fairly typical (Lavigne *et al.*, 1997; Law *et al.*, 1999b; Wang *et al.*, 2004). Hence the relatively high R_{Σ} at UMBS compared with other deciduous forests was driven primarily by high annual $R_{\rm s}$ rather than large differences in component contributions.

The interannual variation we observed in R_{Σ} was modest, with the highest R_{Σ} year (1999) differing from the lowest (2003) by <15%. The largest difference between any two consecutive years was 142 g C m⁻², between 1999 and 2000, which differed in growing season air and soil temperatures by \approx 1°C. This difference in R_{Σ} , while small relative to annual R_{Σ} (10% of the 5 yr mean) is nonetheless 50–100% of annual C storage in this, and other, northern hardwood forests (Lee *et al.*, 1999; Barford *et al.*, 2001; Curtis *et al.*, 2002; Schmid *et al.*, 2003; Gough *et al.*, 2005). This result supports the conclusions of Law *et al.* (1999b) that small changes in respiratory fluxes driven by small differences in temperature can have important effects on the overall magnitude of ecosystem C storage.

Biometric and meteorological comparison

Both biometric and meteorological approaches to the measurement of $R_{\rm e}$ carry with them significant sources of uncertainty. One benefit of colocating research using both strategies is the possibility of intercomparison of results from methods with independent errors, and thus the potential

for assessment of accuracy and constraining flux estimates (Baldocchi, 2003). The direct intercomparison of R_{Σ} and F_{cn} has been reported only rarely (Lavigne *et al.*, 1997; Law *et al.*, 1999b; Bolstad *et al.*, 2004) and with varying results. However, with one exception (Law *et al.*, 1999b, when $u^* < 0.2$), R_{Σ} was greater than F_{cn} , often considerably. Our results fit this pattern as well. We found good correlation between average nightly R_{Σ} and F_{cn} on nights with sustained turbulence, but also a systematic offset of between +11% in the winter and +58% late in the growing season.

One possible reason for incongruence between R_{Σ} and F_{cn} is differences in the 'footprints' of the two methods. Perhaps the 1.1 ha plot, which surrounds our meteorological tower, had significantly higher R_{Σ} than those landscape elements contributing to the eddy covariance signal on turbulent nights. Two lines of evidence suggest this was not the case. First, $R_{\rm s}$ measured in 30 0.1 ha plots distributed throughout the likely tower footprint was almost always similar to, or higher rather than lower than, what would be expected under similar T_s and θ_v conditions in the 1.1 ha plot. Second, we found a strong correlation between site index and R_s , with the 1.1 ha plot having a relatively low site index compared with plots in the tower footprint. Although we cannot rule out abnormally high R_1 or R_w in the 1.1 ha plot, the much smaller contribution of these components to R_{Σ} compared with R_{s} argues against footprint incongruity being the cause of the quantitative offset we observed in R_{Σ} and F_{cn} .

A second possibility is a systematic positive bias in chamber measurements relative to the true respiratory flux. Davidson et al. (2002b) considered this possibility at length for $R_{\rm s}$ measurements and concluded that most identifiable sources of error in both closed and open-chamber systems would tend to produce negative biases, not positive ones. Butnor & Johnsen (2004) evaluated the accuracy of the LI-6400-09 over inert media with a known CO₂ efflux, and also found small-tomoderate underestimations of the true flux. Failure to account for gasket effects in measuring R_1 can lead to overestimation of R_1 by as much as 50% (Pons & Welschen, 2002). While we corrected for this error, some degree of positive bias might have remained. In our system, reducing R_1 by 50% results in a $\approx 12\%$ reduction in R_{Σ} and therefore cannot fully account for the offset. Finally, biases in chamber measurements of $R_{\rm w}$ appear as likely to be negative (vertical CO₂ transport, sapwood temperature and specific activity variation) as positive (corticular photosynthesis). Hence we find no clear evidence of systematic, positive biases in the biometric estimate of R_{Σ} . Failure to include other possible sources of respiratory CO₂ in R_{Σ} , such as that from coarse woody debris, would also cause us to underestimate R_e .

Finally, eddy covariance measurements of F_{cn} may underestimate R_e by several mechanisms. Based on long-term flux measurements over Harvard Forest and UMBS, respectively, Goulden *et al.* (1996) and Schmid *et al.* (2003) showed that underestimation occurs during weak turbulent mixing periods (low u^*). The use of a u^* filter inevitably creates more data gaps, however, leading to questions regarding the validity of various gap-filling methods and their effects on annual F_c estimates (Falge *et al.*, 2001; Schmid *et al.*, 2003). Additionally, based on principles of mass balance, both vertical (Lee, 1998; Finnigan, 1999) and horizontal (Finnigan *et al.*, 2003) advection occurring under various atmospheric conditions and over nonflat terrain can lead to underestimation of R_c . Resolving these issues and understanding their relative importance remain important research questions within the Fluxnet community.

Ecosystem carbon-use efficiency

Our biometric estimates of P_{GP} are the first using modern gas-exchange and scaling methods published for a deciduous forest, and the second comparison of biometric and eddy covariance-based estimates of P_{GP} – the first, by Harmon *et al.* (2004), being from an old-growth P. menziesii stand. Earlier estimates of forest P_{GP} dating from the International Biological Program are summarized by Kira (1975) and Harris et al. (1975). As noted previously, $P_{\rm GP}$ estimates from similar forest types can vary considerably, depending on the methods used. There is thus little benefit in a comparative analysis for narrowly constraining $P_{\rm GP}$ estimates from our site. Both $P_{\rm GPb}$ and PGPm estimates are easily accommodated within the range reported for temperate forests (Sanderman et al., 2003). Carbon-use efficiency, however, may be a more sensitive comparative index. Amthor (2000) argued for a fundamental constraint on $E_{\rm c}$ between 0.20 and 0.65, but noted there was little empirical basis for constraints within the range 0.40–0.65. Crop plants growing under controlled conditions approach E_c values above 0.55, while forests are well represented by E_c values below 0.45 (Gifford, 2003). Waring *et al.* (1998) argued for the constancy of forest E_c at ≈ 0.47 across stand types and ages (but see Mäkelä & Valentine, 2001).

Our biometric and meteorological E_c estimates span the 0.47 value of Waring et al. (1998), suggesting either a forest of below-average E_{c} (≈ 0.40), perhaps connected with agerelated declines, or one of above-average efficiency, in good years approaching that of crop plants (e.g. 0.60 in 2001). Studies of forest succession at UMBS indicate a maximum age of *P. grandidentata* stands of ≈ 90 yr, after which composition shifts to a dominance by Q. rubra, Acer spp. and P. strobus (Cooper, 1981). The forest within the tower footprint is a mosaic of even-aged *P. grandidentata* stands, with a mean age across 12 of the 0.1 ha plots of 70 yr, but with some plots as young as 30 yr, and the 1.1 ha plot being 81 yr old. This element of the canopy clearly is mature and would suggest a relatively low E_c . Other elements of the canopy, however, are of mixed age, and active recruitment of Q. rubra, A. rubrum and P. strobus is under way across the landscape. It therefore does not appear possible strictly to favor one estimate over the other based on purely biological criteria. Rather, present uncertainty in $P_{\rm GP}$ estimates from this and most other forests may make it impossible to resolve differences in $E_{\rm c}$ to better than ± 0.1 units.

Conclusions

Respiratory C losses are important components of the forest C cycle and are sensitive to changing climatic conditions. In the aspen-dominated, mixed deciduous forest at UMBS, C losses from soils predominated, accounting for >70% of the estimated 1425 g C m⁻² respired from the ecosystem each year. Maximum interannual variation in this loss (142 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹), while modest compared with total R_e , was of a similar magnitude to overall annual ecosystem C storage. Our estimates of the carbon-use efficiency of this forest ranged from 0.40 based on biometric data and consistent with an aging aspen stand, to 0.60 based on meteorological data and consistent with a more productive, multi-aged forest.

Quantitative R_{e} assessments such as ours include many poorly constrained sources of error. Independent estimates of $R_{\rm a}$ from the same site and comparisons with other ecologically similar sites therefore are critical to assessing the accuracy of these R_{e} measurements. Our meteorologically based estimates of R_{e} provided important confirmation that our physiological measurements and scaling protocols could reproduce much of the short-term (hourly) and seasonal variation in R_{e} evidenced in above-canopy nocturnal CO₂ fluxes. They also showed a consistent positive offset between hourly biometric and meteorological estimates. The broad agreement of our multiyear R_e estimates with those from two other North American aspen-dominated forests supported the general robustness of our annual sums. Further improvements in our confidence in R_{a} estimates in this forest, as well as in others, is necessarily linked to continued research in these two areas: the intercomparison of well matched biometric and meteorological data and the development of high-quality, long-term data sets in comparable ecosystems. These goals present a substantial and continuing challenge to the international C-cycle community.

Acknowledgements

We thank Karen Brantman, Kyle Jones, Peter Cowan, Kim Hunter, Ann Singsaas, Shane Lishawa and Chris Sutterly for assistance in data collection, Kim Pilegaard and Andreas Ibrom for comments on the manuscript, and the Plant Research Department of the Danish National Laboratory, Risø and the Danish National Bank for providing space and resources to P.S.C. during manuscript preparation. This research was supported in part by the Office of Science, US Department of Energy, through the Midwestern Regional Center of the National Institute for Global Environmental Change under Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC03-90ER610100.

References

- Amthor JS. 1984. The role of maintenance respiration in plant-growth. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 7: 561–569.
- Amthor JS. 2000. The McCree–de Wit–Penning de Vries–Thornley respiration paradigms: 30 years later. *Annals of Botany* 86: 1–20.
- Atkin OK, Holly C, Ball MC. 2000. Acclimation of snow gum (*Eucalyptus pauciflora*) leaf respiration to seasonal and diurnal variations in temperature. the importance of changes in the capacity and temperature sensitivity of respiration. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 23: 15–26.
- Baldocchi DD. 2003. Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: past, present and future. *Global Change Biology* 9: 479–492.
- Baldocchi D, Valentini R, Running S, Oechel W, Dahlman R. 1996. Strategies for measuring and modelling carbon dioxide and water vapour fluxes over terrestrial ecosystems. *Global Change Biology* 2: 159–168.
- Baldocchi D, Falge E, Gu LH, Olson R, Hollinger D, Running S, Anthoni P, Bernhofer C, Davis K, Evans R, Fuentes J, Goldstein A, Katul G, Law B, Lee XH, Malhi Y, Meyers T, Munger W, Oechel W, Paw U KT, Pilegaard K, Schmid HP, Valentini R, Verma S, Vesala T, Wilson K, Wofsy S. 2001. FLUXNET. A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 82: 2415–2434.
- Barford CC, Wofsy SC, Goulden ML, Munger JW, Pyle EH, Urbanski SP, Hutyra L, Saleska SR, Fitzjarrald D, Moore K. 2001. Factors controlling long- and short-term sequestration of atmospheric CO₂ in a mid-latitude forest. *Science* 294: 1688–1691.
- **Bolstad PV, Mitchell K, Vose JM. 1999.** Foliar temperature–respiration response functions for broad-leaved tree species in the southern Appalachians. *Tree Physiology* **19**: 871–878.
- Bolstad PV, Reich P, Lee T. 2003. Rapid temperature acclimation of leaf respiration rates in *Quercus alba* and *Quercus rubra*. *Tree Physiology* 23: 969–976.
- Bolstad PV, Davis KJ, Martin J, Cook BD, Wang W. 2004. Component and whole-system respiration fluxes in northern deciduous forests. *Tree Physiology* 24: 493–504.
- Bovard BD, Curtis PS, Vogel CS, Su H-B, Schmid HP. 2005. Environmental controls on sap flow in a northern hardwood forest. *Tree Physiology* 25: 31–38.
- **Brooks A, Farquhar GD. 1985.** Effect of temperature on the CO₂/O₂ specificity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase and the rate of respiration in the light estimates from gas-exchange measurements on spinach. *Planta* **165**: 397–406.
- Burton AJ, Pregitzer KS. 2003. Field measurements of root respiration indicate little to no seasonal temperature acclimation for sugar maple and red pine. *Tree Physiology* 23: 273–280.
- Butnor JR, Johnsen KH. 2004. Calibrating soil respiration measures with a dynamic flux apparatus using artificial soil media of varying porosity. *European Journal of Soil Science* 55: 639–647.
- Canadell JG, Mooney HA, Baldocchi DD, Berry JA, Ehleringer JR, Field CB, Gower ST, Hollinger DY, Hunt JE, Jackson RB, Running SW, Shaver GR, Steffen W, Trumbore SE, Valentini R, Bond BY. 2000. Carbon metabolism of the terrestrial biosphere: a multitechnique approach for improved understanding. *Ecosystems* 3: 115–130.
- Cannell MGR, Thornley JHM. 2000. Modelling the components of plant respiration: some guiding principles. *Annals of Botany* 85: 45–54.
- Cernusak LA, Marshall JD. 2000. Photosynthetic refixation in branches of Western White Pine. *Functional Ecology* 14: 300–311.
- Cooper AW. 1981. Above-ground biomass accumulation and net primary production during the first 70 years of succession in *Populus grandidentata* stands on poor sites in northern lower Michigan. In: West DC, Shugart HH, Botkin DB, eds. *Forest Succession: Concepts and Application*. New York, USA: Springer-Verlag.

Crow TR, Erdmann GG. 1983. Weight and volume equations and tables for red maple in the Lake States. US Forest Service Research Paper NC-242: 14. St Paul, MN, USA: North Central Forest Experiment Station.

Curtis PS, Hanson PJ, Bolstad P, Barford C, Randolph JC, Schmid HP, Wilson KB. 2002. Biometric and eddy-covariance based estimates of annual carbon storage in five eastern North American deciduous forests. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 113: 3–19.

Davidson EA, Belk E, Boone RD. 1998. Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. *Global Change Biology* 4: 217–227.

Davidson EA, Savage K, Bolstad P, Clark DA, Curtis PS, Ellsworth DS, Hanson PJ, Law BE, Luo Y, Pregitzer KS, Randolph JC, Zak D. 2002a. Belowground carbon allocation in forests estimated from litterfall and IRGA-based soil respiration measurements. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 113: 39–51.

Davidson EA, Savage K, Verchot LV, Navarro R. 2002b. Minimizing artifacts and biases in chamber-based measurements of soil respiration. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 113: 21–37.

Dawson TE, Mambelli S, Plamboeck AH, Templer PH, Tu KP. 2002. Stable isotopes in plant ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 33: 507–559.

Desrochers A, Landhausser SM, Lieffers VJ. 2002. Coarse and fine root respiration in aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). *Tree Physiology* 22: 725–732.

Edwards NT, Hanson PJ. 1996. Stem respiration in a closed-canopy upland oak forest. *Tree Physiology* 16: 433–439.

Ehman JL, Schmid HP, Grimmond CSB, Randolph JC, Hanson PJ, Wayson CA, Cropley FD. 2002. An initial intercomparison of micrometeorological and ecological inventory estimates of carbon exchange in a mid-latitude deciduous forest. *Global Change Biology* 8: 575–589.

Falge E, Baldocchi D, Olson R, Anthoni P, Aubinet M, Bernhofer C, Burba G, Ceulemans R, Clement R, Dolman H, Granier A, Gross P, Grunwald T, Hollinger D, Jensen NO, Katul G, Keronen P, Kowalski A, Lai CT, Law BE, Meyers T, Moncrieff H, Moors E, Munger JW, Pilegaard K, Rannik U, Rebmann C, Suyker A, Tenhunen J, Tu K, Verma S, Vesala T, Wilson K, Wofsy S. 2001. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 107: 43–69.

Falge E, Baldocchi D, Tenhunen J, Aubinet M, Bakwin P, Berbigier P, Bernhofer C, Burba G, Clement R, Davis KJ, Elbers JA, Goldstein AH, Grelle A, Granier A, Guomundsson J, Hollinger D, Kowalski AS, Katul G, Law BE, Malhi Y, Meyers T, Monson RK, Munger JW, Oechel W, Paw U KT, Pilegaard K, Rannik U, Rebmann C, Suyker A, Valentini R, Wilson K, Wofsy S. 2002. Seasonality of ecosystem respiration and gross primary production as derived from FLUXNET measurements. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 113: 53–74.

Fan S, Gloor M, Mahlman J, Pacala S, Sarmiento J, Takahashi T, Tans P. 1998. A large terrestrial carbon sink in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models. *Science* 282: 442–446.

Finnigan JJ. 1999. A comment on the paper by Lee (1998) on micrometeorological observations of surface-air exchange over tall vegetation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 97: 55–64.

Finnigan JJ, Clements R, Malhi Y, Leuning R, Cleugh HA. 2003. A reevaluation of long-term flux measurement techniques. Part I: averaging and coordinate rotation. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 107: 1–48.

Geider RJ, Delucia EH, Falkowski PG, Finzi AC, Grime JP, Grace J, Kana TM, La Roche J, Long SP, Osborne BA, Platt T, Prentice IC, Raven JA, Schlesinger WH, Smetacek V, Stuart V, Sathyendranath S, Thomas RB, Vogelmann TC, Williams P, Woodward FI. 2001. Primary productivity of planet earth. biological determinants and physical constraints in terrestrial and aquatic habitats. *Global Change Biology* 7: 849–882.

Gifford RM. 2003. Plant respiration in productivity models: conceptualisation, representation and issues for global terrestrial carbon-cycle research. *Functional Plant Biology* **30**: 171–186.

- Gough CM, Vogel CS, Schmid HP, Su H-B, Curtis PS. 2005. Multi-year convergence of biometric and meteorological estimates of forest carbon storage. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. (In press.)
- Goulden ML, Munger JW, Fan SM, Daube BC, Wofsy SC. 1996. Measurements of carbon sequestration by long-term eddy covariance: methods and a critical evaluation of accuracy. *Global Change Biology* 2: 169–182.

Hanson PJ, Edwards NT, Garten CT Jr, Andrews JA. 2000. Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: a review of methods and observations. *Biogeochemistry* 48: 115–146.

Harmon ME, Bible K, Ryan MG, Shaw DC, Chen H, Klopatek J, Li X. 2004. Production, respiration, and overall carbon balance in an oldgrowth *Pseudotsuga–Tsuga* forest ecosystem. *Ecosystems* 7: 498–512.

Harris WF, Sollins P, Edwards NT, Dinger BE, Shugart HH. 1975. Analysis of carbon flow and productivity in a temperate deciduous forest ecosystem. In: *Productivity of World Ecosystems*. Washington, DC: Special Committee for the International Biological Program, National Academy of Sciences.

Hibbard KA, Law BE, Reichstein M, Sulzman J, Aubinet M, Baldocchi D, Bernhofer C, Bolstad P, Bosc A, Campbell JL, Cheng Y, Yuste JC, Curtis P, Davidson EA, Epron D, Granier A, Grünwald T, Hollinger D, Janssens IA, Longdoz B, Loustau D, Martin J, Monson R, Oechel W, Pippen J, Ryel R, Savage K, Schlesinger B, Scott-Denton L, Subke J-A, Tang J, Tenhunen J, Turcu V, Vogel C. 2005. An analysis of soil respiration across northern hemisphere temperate ecosystems. *Biogeochemistry*. (In press.)

Hocker HW Jr, Early DJ. 1983. *Biomass and leaf area equations for northern forest species*. New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station University of New Hampshire Research Report 102: 27. Durham, NH, USA: New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station.

Janssens IA, Lankreijer H, Matteucci G, Kowalski AS, Buchmann N, Epron D, Pilegaard K, Kutsch W, Longdoz B, Grunwald T, Montagnani L, Dore S, Rebmann C, Moors EJ, Grelle A, Rannik U, Morgenstern K, Oltchev S, Clement R, Gudmundsson J, Minerbi S, Berbigier P, Ibrom A, Moncrieff J, Aubinet M, Bernhofer C, Jensen NO, Vesala T, Granier A, Schulze ED, Lindroth A, Dolman AJ, Jarvis PG, Ceulemans R, Valentini R. 2001. Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests. *Global Change Biology* 7: 269–278.

Ker MF. 1980. Tree biomass equations for seven species in southwestern New Brunswick. Canadian Forest Service Maritime Forest Research Center Information Report M-X-114. Fredericton, NB, Canada: Environment Canada, Maritime Forest Research Center.

Kilburn PD. 1960. Effect of settlement on the vegetation of the University of Michigan Biological Station. *Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science*, *Arts, and Letters* 45: 77–81.

Kira T. 1975. Primary productivity of forests. In: Cooper JP, ed. *Photosynthesis and Productivity in Different Environments*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Koerper G. 1977. The aboveground biomass and annual net production of bigtooth aspen (*Populus grandidentata* Michx.) on three soil types in northern lower Michigan. Ann Arbor, MI, USA: University of Michigan, MSc thesis.

Lavigne MB, Ryan MG, Anderson DE, Baldocchi DD, Crill PM, Fitzjarrald DR, Goulden ML, Gower ST, Massheder JM, McCaughey JH, Rayment M, Striegl RG. 1997. Comparing nocturnal eddy covariance measurements to estimates of ecosystem respiration made by scaling chamber measurements at six coniferous boreal sites. *Journal* of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres 102: 28977–28985.

Law BE, Baldocchi DD, Anthoni PM. 1999a. Below-canopy and soil CO₂ fluxes in a ponderosa pine forest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 94: 171–188.

Law BE, Ryan MG, Anthoni PM. 1999b. Seasonal and annual respiration of a ponderosa pine ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* 5: 169–182.

Lee X. 1998. On micrometeorological observations of surface-air exchange over tall vegetation. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 91: 39–49.

Lee XH, Fuentes JD, Staebler RM, Neumann HH. 1999. Long-term observation of the atmospheric exchange of CO₂ with a temperate deciduous forest in southern Ontario, Canada. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 104: 15975–15984.

Lundgren AL, Dolid WA. 1970. *Biological growth functions describe published site index curves for Lake States timber species*. US Forest Service Research Paper. NC-36: 12. St Paul, MN, USA: North Central Forest Experiment Station.

Mäkelä A, Landsberg J, Ek AR, Burk TE, Ter-Mikaelian M, Ågren GI, Oliver CD, Puttonen P. 2000. Process-based models for forest ecosystem management: current state of the art and challenges for practical implementation. *Tree Physiology* 20: 289–298.

Mäkelä A, Valentine HT. 2001. The ratio of NPP to GPP: evidence of change over the course of stand development. *Tree Physiology* 21: 1015–1030.

Malhi Y, Meir P, Brown S. 2002. Forests, carbon and global climate. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A* 360: 1567–1591.

McGuire MA, Teskey RO. 2004. Estimating stem respiration in trees by a mass balance approach that accounts for internal and external fluxes of CO₂. *Tree Physiology* 24: 571–578.

Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Aber JD, Newkirk K, Lux H, Bowles FP, Catricala C, Magill A, Ahrens T, Morrisseau S. 2002. Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to the climate system. *Science* 298: 2173–2176.

Nelson CJ. 1994. Apparent respiration and plant productivity. In: Boote KJ, Bennett JM, Sinclair TR, Paulson GM, eds. *Physiology and Determination* of Crop Yield. Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Society of America, 251–258.

Oren R, Phillips N, Katul G, Ewers BE, Pataki DE. 1998. Scaling xylem sap flux and soil water balance and calculating variance: a method for partitioning water flux in forests. *Annales des Sciences Forestieres* **55**: 191–216.

Paw U KT, Falk M, Suchanek TH, Ustin SL, Chen JQ, Park YS, Winner WE, Thomas SC, Hsiao TC, Shaw RH, King TS, Pyles RD, Schroeder M, Matista AA. 2004. Carbon dioxide exchange between an old-growth forest and the atmosphere. *Ecosystems* 7: 513–524.

Pendall E, Bridgham S, Hanson PJ, Hungate B, Kicklighter DW, Johnson DW, Law BE, Luo YQ, Megonigal JP, Olsrud M, Ryan MG, Wan SQ. 2004. Below-ground process responses to elevated CO₂ and temperature. a discussion of observations, measurement methods, and models. *New Phytologist* 162: 311–322.

Perala DA, Alban DH. 1994. Allometric biomass estimators for aspendominated ecosystems in the Upper Great Lakes. US Forest Service Research Paper. NC-314: 38. St Paul, MN, USA: North Central Forest Experiment Station.

Pinelli P, Loreto F. 2003. ¹²CO₂ emission from different metabolic pathways measured in illuminated and darkened C₃ and C₄ leaves at low, atmospheric and elevated CO₂ concentration. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 54: 1761–1769.

Pons TL, Welschen RAM. 2002. Overestimation of respiration rates in commercially available clamp-on leaf chambers. Complications with measurement of net photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 25: 1367–1372.

Pruyn ML, Gartner BL, Harmon ME. 2002. Within-stem variation of respiration in *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Douglas-fir) trees. *New Phytologist* 154: 359–372.

Raich JW, Nadelhoffer KJ. 1989. Belowground carbon allocation in forest ecosystems – global trends. *Ecology* 70: 6–1354.

Raich JW, Schlesinger WH. 1992. The global carbon-dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus Series B* 44: 81–99.

Russell CA, Voroney RP. 1998. Carbon dioxide efflux from the floor of a boreal aspen forest. I. Relationship to environmental variables and estimates of C respired. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 78: 301–310. Ryan MG, Hubbard RM, Pongracic S, Raison RJ, McMurtrie RE. 1996. Foliage, fine-root, woody-tissue and stand respiration in *Pinus radiata* in relation to nitrogen status. *Tree Physiology* 16: 333–343.

Ryan MG, Lavigne MB, Gower ST. 1997. Annual carbon cost of autotrophic respiration in boreal forest ecosystems in relation to species and climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 102: 28871– 28883.

Sanderman J, Amundson RG, Baldocchi DD. 2003. Application of eddy covariance measurements to the temperature dependence of soil organic matter mean residence time. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 17: 1061.

Schaetzl RJ. 1994. Changes in O-horizon mass, thickness and carbon content following fire in northern hardwood forests. *Vegetatio* 115: 41–50.

Schmid HP. 1997. Experimental design for flux measurements: matching scales of observations and fluxes. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 87: 179–200.

Schmid HP, Su HB, Vogel CS, Curtis PS. 2003. Ecosystem-atmosphere exchange of carbon dioxide over a mixed hardwood forest in northern lower Michigan. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres* 108: 4417.

Schmitt MDC, Grigal DF. 1981. Generalized biomass estimation equations for *Betula papyrifera* Marsh. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 11: 837–840.

Stockfors J. 2000. Temperature variations and distribution of living cells within tree stems. implications for stem respiration modeling and scale-up. *Tree Physiology* 20: 1057–1062.

Strain BR, Johnson PL. 1963. Corticular photosynthesis and growth in *Populus tremuloides. Ecology* 44: 581–584.

Ter-Michaelian MT, Korzukhin MD. 1997. Biomass equations for sixty-five North American tree species. *Forest Ecology and Management* 97: 1–24.

Turnbull MH, Whitehead D, Tissue DT, Schuster WSF, Brown KJ, Griffin KL. 2001. Responses of leaf respiration to temperature and leaf characteristics in three deciduous tree species vary with site water availability. *Tree Physiology* 21: 571–578.

Vose JM, Bolstad PV. 1999. Challenges to modelling NPP in diverse eastern deciduous forests: species-level comparisons of foliar respiration responses to temperature and nitrogen. *Ecological Modelling* 122: 165–174.

Wang KY, Kellomaki S, Zha T, Peltola H. 2004. Seasonal variation in energy and water fluxes in a pine forest. an analysis based on eddy covariance and an integrated model. *Ecological Modelling* 179: 259–279.

Waring RH, Landsberg JJ, Williams M. 1998. Net primary production of forests: a constant fraction of gross primary production? *Tree Physiology* 18: 129–134.

White MA, Running SW, Thornton PE. 1999. The impact of growingseason length variability on carbon assimilation and evapotranspiration over 88 years in the eastern US deciduous forest. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 42: 139–145.

Wiant HV, Sheetz CE, Colaninno A, DeMoss JC, Castaneda F. 1977. Tables and procedures for estimating weights of some Appalacian hardwoods. West Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 659: 36.

Xiao JF, Moody A. 2004. Photosynthetic activity of US biomes: responses to the spatial variability and seasonality of precipitation and temperature. *Global Change Biology* 10: 437–451.

Xu M, DeBiase TA, Qi Y. 2000. A simple technique to measure stem respiration using a horizontally oriented soil chamber. *Canadian Journal* of Forest Research 30: 1555–1560.

Young HE, Ribe JH, Wainwright K. 1980. Weight Tables for Tree and Shrub Species in Maine. Miscellaneous Report no. 230. Orono, ME, USA: University of Maine.

USDA Forest Service. 2001. Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA). Washington, DC, USA: USDA Forest Service.

About New Phytologist

- New Phytologist is owned by a non-profit-making charitable trust dedicated to the promotion of plant science, facilitating projects from symposia to open access for our Tansley reviews. Complete information is available at www.newphytologist.org.
- Regular papers, Letters, Research reviews, Rapid reports and both Modelling/Theory and Methods papers are encouraged. We are committed to rapid processing, from online submission through to publication 'as-ready' via *OnlineEarly* – the 2004 average submission to decision time was just 30 days. Online-only colour is **free**, and essential print colour costs will be met if necessary. We also provide 25 offprints as well as a PDF for each article.
- For online summaries and ToC alerts, go to the website and click on 'Journal online'. You can take out a **personal subscription** to the journal for a fraction of the institutional price. Rates start at £109 in Europe/\$202 in the USA & Canada for the online edition (click on 'Subscribe' at the website).
- If you have any questions, do get in touch with Central Office (newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk; tel +44 1524 592918) or, for a local contact in North America, the US Office (newphytol@ornl.gov; tel +1 865 576 5261).