# **Original Research**

# Journal Home page : www.jeb.co.in \* E-mail : editor@jeb.co.in Journal of Environmental Biology



DOI: http://doi.org/10.22438/jeb/40/2/MRN-848





# Response of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi along with *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on the growth, biochemical attributes and vase life of *Chrysanthemum indicum*

Paper received: 03.02.2018 Revised received: 30.05.2018 Re-revised received: 09.07.2018 Accepted: 11.07.2018 Abstract **Authors Info** Aim : The present study aimed to investigate the effects of two dominant arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi I. Saini<sup>1</sup>, K. Yadav<sup>2</sup> and along with Trichoderma viride and Pseudomonas fluorescens on the growth and vase life of A. Aggarwal<sup>1</sup>\* Chrysanthemum indicum ('Garden Mum'- Kathleen Dark Red). <sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Methodology : An experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of G. mosseae (G) and A. laevis (A) Kurukshetra University, along with T. viride (T) and Pseudomonas fluorescens (P) on the growth and vase life of C. indicum L. under Kurukshetra-136 119, India polyhouse conditions. The experiment was laid in a randomized block design with five replicates. <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Gandhi Results : AM fungi along with other bioinoculants showed maximum root colonization leading to increased Memorial National College, Ambala water absorption and various important nutrients, especially phosphorous, thereby enhancing the growth Cantt-133 001, India and different biochemical attributes. For the vase life experiment, bioinoculants treated plants showed better result with minimum peroxidase activity, thereby delaying flower senescence. Chrysanthemum indicum L. treated with \*Corresponding Author Email : Acaulospora laevis Trichoderma viride Pseudomonas fluorescence Glomus mosseae ashokbotanykuk@gmail.com After 90 days DOI **Edited by** Т9 T10 Dr. R. B. Raizada T1: Control, T2: G. mosseae., T3: A. laevis., T4: T. viride., T5: P. fluorescence., T6: G+A, T7: G-T, T8: GIP, T9: A+T, T10: A+P, T11: T+P, T12: G+A+T, T13: G+T+P, T14: G+P+A, T15: A+T+P and T16: G+A+T+P **Reviewed by** Interpretation : AMF inoculation should be recommended at nursery level as biofertilizers are cost effective Dr. Shailesh K. Singh and also a substitute for chemical fertilizers. Dr. Prashant P Jambhulkar Key words: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Growth parameters, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Vase life How to cite: Saini, I., K. Yadav and A. Aggarwal: Response of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi along with Trichoderma viride and Pseudomonas fluorescens on the growth, biochemical attributes and vase life of Chrysanthemum indicum. J. Environ. Biol., 40, 183-191 (2019). © Triveni Enterprises, Lucknow (India) Journal of Environmental Biology March 2019 Vol. 40 183-191

## Introduction

Flowers not only beautify the landscape where they are grown but are used in various ceremonial activities like worship, marriages, social and religious functions. Flowers and floral products are also used as a source of medicine, fragrance and as a flavouring agent. Floriculture has become a source of livelihood for millions of people all over the world. Demand of cut flowers including Chrysanthemum, Rose, Gladiolus, Tulip, Marigold, Carnation, Lily, etc. are increasing day by day at international level (Zaidi et al., 2016). To meet this demand, growers use chemical fertilizers to increase the productivity. Prolonged use of chemical fertilizers have resulted in uneven distribution of nutrients in the soil affecting the growth of non-target microorganisms (Younis et al., 2013). Thus, the floriculture industry is developing into a specialized profession and has made ample progress in last few years. In India, commercial cultivation of cut flower, especially Chrysanthemum is very expensive due to high input of chemical fertilizers for increasing vase life of cut flowers. Similarly, large number of chemical growth regulators are also used in enhancing the vase life of cut flowers. Nearly 20% of fresh flowers decline in quality during harvesting, packaging, transportation and marketing and a large deal of remaining flowers are sold at low quality conditions, dissatisfying the consumer (Asfanani et al., 2008).

The vase life of cut flowers is a commercially important trait. When flowers are cut from the mother plant, they undergo water stress due to an imbalance between transpiration and water uptake affecting the vase life. There are number of growth regulators *viz.*, silver thiosulphate, gibberellins and cytokinins, accel, thidiazuron, salicylic acid and malic acid used to increase the vase life and to maintain good quality of cut flowers (Ferrante *et al.*, 2002; Mutui *et al.*, 2003; Jamshidi *et al.*, 2012). There is an urgent need to develop a low cost technology which may be eco-friendly and sustainable.

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) have been recognized as a promising biofertilizers to improve the growth yield, flowering and vase life of majority of flowering plants (Karishma et al., 2013). Trichoderma viride and Pseudomonas fluorescens also act as promising growth enhancers in some plants such as Dianthus and Gladiolus (Lo and Lin, 2002). The use of AMF to enhance growth has been reported on many flowers namely China aster (Nowak, 2009), Zantedeschia (Janowska et al., 2013), Tagetes patula and Salvia splendens (Janowska and Andrzejak, 2017) etc. There is little information available regarding the use of AMF, T. viride and P. fluorescens as biofertilizers either alone or in combination on growth and vase life aspects of 'Garden Mum-Kathleen Dark Red' variety of Chrysanthemum indicum.

Keeping in view the above information, the present investigation was carried out to compare the efficiency of different bioinoculants (*G.mosseae, A. laevis, T. viride* and *P. fluorescence*) alone and in combination on growth and floral response of *C.* 

*indicum.* The effect of these bioinoculants and other growth regulators was also compared on the vase life of *C. indicum.* 

#### **Materials and Methods**

**Soil preparation:** A pot experiment was conducted in the polyhouse of Department of Botany, Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra, Haryana, India at  $25\pm5^{\circ}$ C and 50-70% relative humidity. The soil used in this experiment consisted of 64.2% sand, 21.8% silt, 3.9% clay, 0.042% N, 0.017% available P, 0.06% organic carbon and pH 6.5. A ratio of sand and soil (3:1) was sieved through a 2 mm sieve and autoclaved (twice) at 121°C to eliminate indigenous microflora.

### **Experimental design**

**Growth and biochemical attributes:** Inoculum preparation-Pure culture of *G. mosseae* (Nicol. & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe and *A. laevis* Gerd. & Trappe were used. Spores of selected AM fungi species were isolated from the rhizosphere of *C. indicum* plants grown in Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra. Identification of spores was done by using the key of Walker (1983). After preparation of a starter inoculum using the Funnel technique of Menge and Timmer (1982), these spores (*Glomus mosseae* and *Acaulospora laevis*) were multiplied in standard pot culture using maize as a host because AMF are obligate biotrophs.

Mass multiplication of *T. viride* Pers. was done by a modified method using wheat bran-saw dust medium (Mukhopadhyay *et al.*, 1986), which was earlier isolated by using Soil Dilution Plate method (Johnson *et al.*, 1959) on Potato Dextrose Agar medium and identified using the manual of Leslie and Summerell (2006). *P. fluorescens* (MTCC No. 103) culture was procured from Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh, India and multiplied using a nutrient broth medium which was then incubated at 32°C for 48 hrs to obtain a concentration of 1×10<sup>9</sup> colony ml<sup>-1</sup>.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with five replicates of each treatment. The earthenware pots were taken and filled with 2 kg soil: sand. To each pot, 10% (w/w) of AMF alone or in combination was added to the soil. The roots of maize with about 85% AM colonization were chopped up along with soil containing AM spores (650-680 per 10 g of inoculum) as AM inoculum. *T. viride* with density  $3.4 \times 10^8$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> inoculum was added per treatment. Treatment of *P. fluorescens* was given by dipping the roots of *C. indicum* in *P. fluorescens* medium having cfu  $1 \times 10^9$  ml<sup>-1</sup>. The seedlings of *C. indicum* ('Garden Mum- Kathleen Dark Red') were transplanted in each pot. Pots were regularly watered to maintain the moisture. After every 15 days, Hoagland solution (without KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> source) was also given to the plants.

The following treatments (Tt) were maintained for growth experiment: Tt,: Control (without any bio-inoculants); Tt<sub>2</sub>: *G.* mosseae (G); Tt<sub>3</sub>: *A. laevis* (A); Tt<sub>4</sub>: *T. viride* (T); Tt<sub>5</sub>: *P. fluorescens* 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{P}); \mathsf{Tt}_{6}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{A. laevis} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{A}); \mathsf{Tt}_{7}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{T. viride} \\ (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{T}); \mathsf{Tt}_{8}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{P}); \mathsf{Tt}_{9}: \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{T. viride} \\ (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{A}); \mathsf{Tt}_{10}: \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{P}); \mathsf{Tt}_{11}: \textit{T. viride} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{T}+\mathsf{P}); \mathsf{Tt}_{12}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{T. viride} \\ (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{T}); \mathsf{Tt}_{13}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{P}); \\ \mathsf{Tt}_{14}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{T. viride} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{T}+\mathsf{P}); \mathsf{Tt}_{15}: \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{T. viride} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{T}+\mathsf{P}); \\ \mathsf{Tt}_{16}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{C. posseae} + \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{C. posseae} + \textit{A. laevis} \\ \mathsf{A} = \mathsf{viride} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{P}); \\ \mathsf{Tt}_{16}: \textit{G. mosseae} + \textit{A. laevis} + \textit{C. posseae} + \textit{A. laevis} \\ \mathsf{A} = \mathsf{viride} + \textit{P. fluorescens} (\mathsf{G}+\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{T}+\mathsf{P}). \\ \end{array}$ 

**For vase life study:** In the second set of experiment, the flowers were assessed for 'vase life'. When all the pots were at flowering stage, flowers head were harvested with a sharp blade in the morning and then the scapes were put in bucket water immediately to avoid cavitation. These scapes were given a slant cut again to make all scapes almost of equal length. Scapes of  $Tt_1-Tt_{16}$  were immersed in conical flask containing 100 ml of distilled water. For treatment  $Tt_{17}-Tt_{22}$  flowers from the control plants were placed in conical flask containing 100 ml of different solutions of nutrient and growth regulators *viz.*, kinetin (37.5 µM and 3.75 µm), salicylic acid (37.5 µM and 3.75 µM), sucrose (0.1mM) and sodium chloride (0.1mM).

The following treatments (Tt) were for vase life experiment: Tt<sub>1</sub>: Control (without any bio-inoculants); Tt<sub>2</sub>: *G.* mosseae (G); Tt<sub>3</sub>: *A.* laevis (A); Tt<sub>4</sub>: *T.* viride (T); Tt<sub>5</sub>: *P.* fluorescens (P); Tt<sub>6</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *A.* laevis (G+A); Tt<sub>7</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *T.* viride (G+T); Tt<sub>8</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *P.* fluorescens (G+P); Tt<sub>9</sub>: *A.* laevis + *T.* viride (A+T); Tt<sub>10</sub>: *A.* laevis + *P.* fluorescens (A+P); Tt<sub>11</sub>: *T.* viride + *P.* fluorescens (T+P); Tt<sub>12</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *A.* laevis + *T.* viride (G+A+T); Tt<sub>13</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *A.* laevis + *P.* fluorescens (G+A+P); Tt<sub>14</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *T.* viride + *P.* fluorescens (G+T+P); Tt<sub>15</sub>: *A.* laevis + *T.* viride + *P.* fluorescens (A+T+P); Tt<sub>16</sub>: *G.* mosseae + *A.* laevis + *T.* viride + *P.* fluorescens (G+A+T+P); Tt<sub>17</sub>: Kinetin (37.5 µM); Tt<sub>18</sub>: Kinetin (3.75 µM); Tt<sub>13</sub>: Salicylic acid (37.5 µM); Tt<sub>20</sub>: Salicylic acid (3.75 µM); Tt<sub>21</sub>: Sucrose (0.1mM); Tt<sub>22</sub>: NaCl (0.1mM).

#### **Experimental analysis**

For growth and biochemical parameters: Plants were harvested after 90 days and length of shoot and root were measured with a measuring tape(cm). Their fresh shoot and root weight was measured in grams (g). For dry weight (g), samples were oven dried at 70°C overnight until a constant dry weight was obtained. Leaf area was measured by using leaf area meter (Systronics 21, Ahmedabad, India). When all the plants were in flowering stage, flowers were harvested and head diameter was measured in centimeter (cm). Harvested flower heads were also weighed (g) using a simple balance. Life span of flowers is the time (in days) between flower bloom and the day when flowers dies. The numbers of buds per plant were counted per treatment.

Chlorophyll and carotenoid content were estimated following the method of (1949) and expressed in mg g<sup>-1</sup> f.wt. Anthocyanin content was analyzed by Tsushida and Suzuki

(1995) method in mg per 100g<sup>-1</sup>. f.wt. Total leaf protein was analysed by Bradford method (1976) in mg per 100mg<sup>-1</sup>. f.wt. Acid and alkaline phosphatase activity was analysed using the method of Tabatabai and Bremner (1969) in IU g<sup>-1</sup>. Phosphorous (P) content of shoot and root was estimated by 'vanadomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour method' (Jackson, 1973). Total sugar content was analyzed by the method of Hart and Fisher (1971). Quantification of AM spore number was done by Grid Line Intersect Method of Adholeya and Gaur (1994). Mycorrhizal root colonization was done by using 'Rapid Clearing and Staining Method' of Phillips and Hayman (1970).

**For vase life analysis** : During the course of vase life experiment, freshness and morphological symptoms of flowers were noticed daily. Head diameter was calculated as mean of two perpendicular measurements across 0<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> day. The vase life of flower was counted from the day of transfer of scapes in conical flask to the day of termination, when senescence symptoms were visible. The volume of water absorbed by the scapes was calculated by measuring the absorbed volume at the end of experiment and subtracting it from the initial volume *i.e.*, 100 ml. The weight of the flower was measured by electrical weighing balance on 0<sup>th</sup> day and at the end when the last flower showed senescence (21<sup>st</sup> day). The peroxidase activity was measured at 0<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> day by the method of Maehly (1954).

**Statistical analysis:** For statistical analysis of data, one-way ANOVA was performed using Duncan's Multiple Range Test for comparison of variance and means separated with Least Significant Difference test.

### **Results and Discussion**

After 90 days of inoculation with AMF, T. viride and P. fluorescens (alone or in combination) on C. indicum, a significant increase in the morphological, floral, biochemical parameters as well as mycorrhization pattern was observed (Table 1-3), Among the different treatments, G+A+T+P bloomed first followed by G+A+T, G+A+P and G+A. The consortium treatment was found to be the best treatment for enhancing different morphological parameters. These augmentations may be attributed with the enhancement of nutrients uptake such as N, P, K, Mg and Zn by AMF and PSB (Bhatia et al., 2016). P. fluorescence also has a synergistic effect with AMF in better water absorption and enriching different quality parameters of host plant (Imperiali et al., 2017). Combined application of G+A+T treatment proved outstanding for increasing the life span of flower (Table1). Maximum number of buds were encountered with G+A+T+ P treatment, which could be due to synthesis of more hormones (Bhatia et al., 2016).

Among the different biochemical attributes studied, total chlorophyll and carotenoid content were apparently highest in the G+A+P treatment (Table 2). Rani and Mahesh (2015) also noticed more accumulation of total chlorophyll content in AMF treated *Centella asiatica*. Anthocyanin content was found to be maximum

		-	-	`							
Treatments	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Fresh shoot weight (g)	Dry shoot weight (g)	Fresh root weight (g)	Dry root weight (g)	Leaf area (cm²)	Number of buds	Life span of flower on plant (days)	Head diameter (cm)	Head dry weight (g)
Control	28.99±0.77	18.04±0.32 <sup>k</sup>	8.4±0.47 <sup>m</sup>	3.8±0.57 <sup>*</sup>	0.19±0.001	0.09±0.005	12.19±0.16 <sup>1</sup>	7.2±0.83 <sup>1</sup>	20.6±1.14 <sup>m</sup>	2.6±0.008	0.365±0.038 <sup>h</sup>
Glomus mosseae (G)	34.01±0.149	26.35±0.31 <sup>9</sup>	18.2±0.45 <sup>f</sup>	13.6±0.63 <sup>d</sup>	1.02±0.043°	0.91±0.023°	26.36±0.23 <sup>b</sup>	21.4±2.3 <sup>f</sup>	30±0.7 <sup>tef</sup>	4.19±0.008 <sup>f</sup>	$1.112\pm0.124^{ab}$
Acaulospora laevis (A)	40±0.13 <sup>f</sup>	20.68±0.25	19.2±0.48 <sup>cd</sup>	14.6±0.63°	0.81±0.01 <sup>h</sup>	0.7±0.004 <sup>9</sup>	$24.05\pm0.04^{\circ}$	16.6±1.14 <sup>h</sup>	29.4±0.54 <sup>eb</sup>	3.59±0.008 <sup>h</sup>	$0.705\pm0.083^{\circ}$
Trichoderma viride (T)	32.17±0.27 <sup>i</sup>	22.81±0.22 <sup>h</sup>	12±0.42 <sup>k</sup>	7.8±0.47	$0.56\pm0.016^{k}$	0.45±0.075	23.78±1.67°	22.2±1.3 <sup>ef</sup>	27.8±0.83 <sup>°</sup>	$3.29\pm0.008$	$0.402\pm0.056^{\circ}$
Pseudomonas	31.84±0.26	19.4±0.48	10±0.2	5.6±0.62 <sup>1</sup>	$0.52\pm0.009^{ }$	0.42±0.027	23.55±0.05°	12±1.58	23.4±0.54	2.8±0.008 <sup>k</sup>	$0.444\pm0.123^{9}$
fluorescence (P)											
GA	51.2±0.21 <sup>d</sup>	38.67±0.48 <sup>b</sup>	19.9±0.15°	14.9±0.37°	$1.72\pm0.008^{\circ}$	1.62±0.005 <sup>b</sup>	19.07±0.04	$24.2\pm0.83^{d}$	35±0.7⁵	5.11±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.989±0.187 <sup>bc</sup>
GT	55.03±0.09°	34.32±0.6°	22.2±0.87 <sup>b</sup>	17.7±0.55 <sup>b</sup>	$1.06\pm0.006^{d}$	0.97±0.023 <sup>d</sup>	23.5±0.01°	39.2±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	28.6±0.89 <sup>9hi</sup>	4.6±0.01°	1.08±0.115 <sup>abc</sup>
GP	$41.24\pm0.33^{46}$	35.98±0.69°	15.4±0.64	10.7±0.29 <sup>9</sup>	0.84±0.016 <sup>°</sup>	0.73±0.04 <sup>9</sup>	21.24±0.03"	$23.2\pm0.83^{def}$	27.4±1.14	3.6±0.01 <sup>h</sup>	0.77±0.106 <sup>te</sup>
AT	55.37±0.27°	27.9±0.69 <sup>f</sup>	16.2±0.77 <sup>h</sup>	11.2±0.58 <sup>f</sup>	0.65±0.006	$0.55\pm0.041$	20.07±0.06 <sup>9</sup>	$24.8\pm0.83^{d}$	33.8±1.3°	3.7±0.012 <sup>g</sup>	0.714±0.106°
AP	57.79±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	27.56±0.46	$16.9\pm0.59^{\circ}$	12.2±0.36 <sup>e</sup>	0.65±0.006	0.56±0.012	22.33±0.02 <sup>d</sup>	$15.8\pm0.83^{h}$	29±0.7 <sup>1</sup> 9	3.69±0.019 <sup>g</sup>	0.789±0.126 <sup>%</sup>
ПР	33.93±0.12 <sup>h</sup>	26.3±0.46 <sup>9</sup>	16±0.14 <sup>hi</sup>	11±0.58 <sup>fg</sup>	0.31±0.009"	0.218±0.007 <sup>k</sup>	21.38±0.01"	$32.4\pm1.51^{\circ}$	28.2±0.44 <sup>hij</sup>	3.1±0.017 <sup>0</sup>	0.666±0.169 <sup>f</sup>
GAT	39.24±0.3 <sup>f</sup>	36.48±0.49°	22±0.64 <sup>b</sup>	17.3±0.42 <sup>b</sup>	1.66±0.01c	1.55±0.03°	$25.85\pm0.03^{\circ}$	22.2±1.3 <sup>ef</sup>	36±0.7ª	5.11±0.013 <sup>b</sup>	1.067±0.246 <sup>abc</sup>
GAP	40.82±0.77 <sup>e</sup>	$36.22\pm0.64^{\circ}$	19.1±0.74 <sup>d</sup>	$14.3\pm0.41^{\circ}$	0.88±0.005 <sup>f</sup>	0.77±0.007	20.93±0.1 <sup>f</sup>	15±0.7 <sup>h</sup>	29.2±0.44 <sup>tgh</sup>	5.09±0.011°	$1.122\pm0.246^{30}$
GTP	41.66±0.37 <sup>d</sup>	20.28±0.64	17.8±0.54 <sup>f</sup>	12.6±0.44°	0.81±0.006 <sup>th</sup>	0.7±0.007 <sup>9</sup>	21.6±0.08 <sup>e</sup>	19.6±1.14 <sup>9</sup>	$30.6\pm0.54^{d}$	4.9±0.007 <sup>d</sup>	$1.115\pm0.16^{ab}$
ATP	57.98±0.22 <sup>b</sup>	30.48±0.67°	$14.7\pm0.44^{1}$	9.7±0.35 <sup>h</sup>	0.71±0.01	0.61±0.008 <sup>h</sup>	19.67±0.03 <sup>h</sup>	23.4±1.51d <sup>e</sup>	$26.4\pm0.54^{k}$	$4.1\pm0.008^{9}$	$0.882\pm0.148^{cd}$
GATP	60.72±0.53 <sup>ª</sup>	40.3±0.56 <sup>ª</sup>	24.2±0.71 <sup>ª</sup>	18.5±0.57 <sup>ª</sup>	2.29±0.011ª	2.13±0.008 <sup>ª</sup>	27.56±0.34ª	47.8±2.38 <sup>ª</sup>	30.4±0.54d <sup>e</sup>	5.59±0.008 <sup>ª</sup>	1.23±0.159 <sup>ª</sup>
LSD (P≤0.05)	0.2359	0.6627	0.7089	0.6367	0.0183	0.0359	0.502	1.7243	0.9868	0.0138	0.18924
ANOVA (15, 64)	4166.35	995.29	298.61	341.77	7220.23	1788.57	3 <b>3</b> 9.13	270.87	124.83	3482.89	17.24
Values are mean of repli	cates ±SD; Value	es in column follo	wed by same le	etter are not sig	Inificantly differe	ent, p≤0.05, LSE					

Table 1 : Effect of different bioinoculants on various morphological parameters of Chrysanthemum indicum

\* Journal of Environmental Biology, March 2019 \*

# I. Saini et al.: Effect of AMF on Chrysanthemum indicum

Treatments	Chlorophyll a (mg g <sup>·1</sup> f.wt.)	Chlorophyll b (mg g <sup>-1</sup> f.wt.)	Total chlorophyll (mg g¹f.wt.)	Total carotenoids (mg g <sup>-1</sup> f.wt.)	Total anthocyanin (mg 100g¹f.wt.)	AM spore number	AM root colonization (%)
Control	0.68±0.01 <sup>m</sup>	0.19±0.005 <sup>1</sup>	0.877±0.016 <sup>1</sup>	0.017±0.002 <sup>1</sup>	40.55±0.65 <sup>t</sup>	0±0 <sup>i</sup>	0±0 <sup>h</sup>
Glomus mosseae (G)	1.01±0.008 <sup>h</sup>	0.513±0.007 <sup>9</sup>	1.528±0.015 <sup>9</sup>	0.058±0.004 <sup>9</sup>	47.32±0.23 <sup>f</sup>	67.2±2.07 <sup>d</sup>	75.2±3.96 <sup>b</sup>
Acaulospora laevis (A)	0.952±0.007 <sup>i</sup>	0.553±0.008 <sup>f</sup>	1.505±0.015 <sup>9</sup>	0.051±0.006 <sup>h</sup>	45.4±0.24 <sup>h</sup>	70.8±1.64 <sup>c</sup>	72±2.54 <sup>bc</sup>
Trichoderma viride (T) Pseudomonas fluorescence (P)	0.86±0.011 <sup>k</sup> 0.8±0.008 <sup>l</sup>	0.363±0.006 <sup>i</sup> 0.351±0.006 <sup>k</sup>	1.229±0.017 <sup>i</sup> 1.152±0.014 <sup>k</sup>	0.039±0.004 <sup>jk</sup> 0.035±0.006 <sup>k</sup>	42.35±0.15 <sup>i</sup> 41.84±0.62 <sup>k</sup>	0±0 <sup>i</sup> 0±0 <sup>i</sup>	$0\pm0^{h}$ $0\pm0^{h}$
GA	1.12±0.006 <sup>f</sup>	0.516±0.007 <sup>g</sup>	1.627±0.014 <sup>f</sup>	0.165±0.004 <sup>a</sup>	49.77±0.62 <sup>d</sup>	44.2±2.58 <sup>h</sup>	70±4.3 <sup>cd</sup>
GT	1.18±0.006 <sup>d</sup>	0.817±0.008 <sup>c</sup>	2.172±0.015 <sup>d</sup>	0.077±0.004 <sup>de</sup>	48.33±0.37 <sup>e</sup>	78±2.54 <sup>b</sup>	66±3.16 <sup>de</sup>
GP	0.81±0.006 <sup>'</sup>	0.582±0.006 <sup>e</sup>	1.398±0.012"	0.071±0.004 <sup>™</sup>	48.28±0.31°	60±2.54°	84.2±3.76 <sup>ª</sup>
AT	0.91±0.007 <sup>'</sup>	0.373±0.007 <sup>i</sup>	1.288±0.015 <sup>i</sup>	0.098±0.005°	45.72±0.14 <sup>h</sup>	59.4±0.89°	71±4.12 <sup>bc</sup>
AP	1.04±0.005 <sup>g</sup>	0.434±0.005 <sup>h</sup>	1.476±0.011 <sup>sh</sup>	0.047±0.004h <sup>i</sup>	45.65±0.43 <sup>h</sup>	55±2.91 <sup>f</sup>	62±3.16 <sup>ef</sup>
TP	1.11±0.007 <sup>f</sup>	0.521±0.006 <sup>9</sup>	1.634±0.011 <sup>f</sup>	0.042±0.007 <sup>ij</sup>	43.04±0.19 <sup>1</sup>	0±0 <sup>i</sup>	0±0h
GAT	1.22±0.007 <sup>c</sup>	0.991±0.009 <sup>a</sup>	2.211±0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.108±0.004 <sup>b</sup>	51.93±0.52 <sup>b</sup>	81.2±1.92 <sup>a</sup>	85±4.18°
GTP ATP	1.13±0.007° 1.149±0.006° 1.262+0.007°	0.821±0.009 0.819±0.006° 0.672±0.009 <sup>d</sup>	2.81±0.013 1.96±0.018 <sup>d</sup> 1.811±0.015 <sup>e</sup>	0.08±0.005 <sup>d</sup> 0.068±0.005 <sup>f</sup> 0.112+0.005 <sup>b</sup>	50.87±0.34 48.13±0.26° 46.44±0.35°	40.4±2.07 56±1.58 <sup>f</sup> 48.4±2.07 <sup>g</sup>	$52\pm3.16^{\circ}$ $60.2\pm3.96^{\circ}$ $55\pm4.3^{\circ}$ $61\pm2.67^{\circ}$
LSD (P≤0.05)	0.0101	0.00805	0.01605	0.0068	0.4812	2.4324	4.0831
ANOVA (15, 64)	6776.43	4521.34	5497.68	249.3	444.75	1124.61	478.66

Table 2 : Effect of different bioinoculants on chlorophyll, carotenoids, anthocyanin and AMF colonization of Chrysanthemum indicum

Values are mean of replicates ±SD; Values in column followed by same letter are not significantly different, p≤0.05, LSD

in G+A+T+P treated plants (Table 2). AM fungi increases the secondary metabolite pathway many folds resulting in the expression of secondary metabolite contents in the flowers of *Hypercium perforatum* (Lazzara *et al.*, 2017). Total leaf protein content was noticed to be highest in G+A+T followed by G+A (Table 3). AMF colonized plants expand the extra-radical hyphae in the soil around roots, providing many important nutrients (P, Zn, Cu, Mn, Fe, Ca, K and NH<sub>4</sub>), accelerating protein synthesis in leaves (Smith and Read, 2008). Ratti *et al.* (2010) also noticed the effect of *Glomus* sp. on *Catharanthus roseus* in increasing the protein content along with phosphatase activity. Acid and alkaline phosphatase activity were found to be maximum in G+A+T+P followed by G+A+P (Table 3). Karishma *et al.* (2013) observed the positive effect of AMF and *P. fluorescens* in increasing the acid, as well as alkaline phosphatase in *Gerbera*.

Phosphorous content (both shoot and root) was examined maximal in G+A+T (Table 3). Phosphorous is one of the diffusion limited essential nutrient for plant growth, less available for roots to absorb from the soil (Smith and Smith, 2011). Application of AMF and PSB increases the absorption of phosphorous. On solubilisation, PSB release  $H_2PO_4$  ions from unsolubilized form, expanding the root area resulting in greater absorption of nutrients (Bagyaraj *et al.*, 2015). Total sugar content was found utmost in A+T+P (Table 3). As discussed above, AMF inoculated plants accumulate more chlorophyll content leading to increased photosynthesis, which eventually leads to high total sugar in plants (Rani and Mahesh, 2015). Highest AM spore number and percent AM colonization were observed to be best in G+A+T treatment. AMF infected plant increase the production of AMF infectious propagules in Zinnia, when inoculated with Gigaspora and Glomus (Long et al., 2010). During vase life study, shrinkage in flower diameter was first observed in control on 7th day followed by NaCl on 10<sup>th</sup> day (Table 4). After 14 days, almost all treatments showed drooping, except for G+A+T, G+A+P and A+P treatments. Flowers of G+A+T showed very little shrinkage and showed delayed senescence and hence proved to be the best treatment for increasing the vase life of Chrvsanthemum in terms of days. Mycorrhizal symbiosis significantly increased the flower vase life by decreasing ethylene production (Besmer and Koide, 1999). As the number of days increased, senescence increased but the diameter of flower heads decreased (Table 4). AMF pre-treated flower heads showed slower rate of senescence over growth regulators and control. After 14th day. G+A+T+P showed maximum head diameter followed by G+A+T and G+A+P. The flower head diameter and stem diameter of Chrysanthemum decreased gradually with an increase in the number of days using various holding solutions due to loss of moisture content and tissue degradation (Karishma et al., 2011).

In general, *Chrysanthemum* had a vase life of 14±2 days but it can be increased by giving some extra treatments (Table 5). In the present study, G+A+T proved to be the best treatment for increasing the vase life followed by G, Kinetin (37.5  $\mu$ M) and A alone. Bhalla *et al.* (2006) also observed an improvement in the overall vase life in the flowers of *Gladiolus* using AMF, PSB and

188

# I. Saini et al.: Effect of AMF on Chrysanthemum indicum

Treatments	P content % Shoot	Root	Total sugar (mg 100mg <sup>-1</sup> f.wt.)	Total protein (mg 100mg <sup>-1</sup> f.wt.)	Phosphatase (IU g <sup>-1</sup> f.wt.)	Alkaline Acidic
Control	0.015±0.005 <sup>9</sup>	0.022±0.005 <sup>i</sup>	0.107±0.01 <sup>i</sup>	1.155±0.003°	0.115±0.019 <sup>k</sup>	0.288±0.024 <sup>k</sup>
Glomus mosseae (G)	0.045±0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.077±0.005 <sup>⁵</sup>	0.24±0.005 <sup>h</sup>	1.762±0.002°	0.506±0.025 <sup>f</sup>	0.6±0.02 <sup>f</sup>
Acaulospora laevis (A)	0.036±0.04 <sup>cde</sup>	0.072±0.003°	0.257±0.005 <sup>°</sup>	1.706±0.003 <sup>f</sup>	0.427±0.03 <sup>9</sup>	0.518±0.021 <sup>°</sup>
Trichoderma viride (T)	0.031±0.002°	0.06±0.003°	0.274±0.011 <sup>f</sup>	1.595±0.003 <sup>h</sup>	0.297±0.037 <sup>i</sup>	0.401±0.019 <sup>i</sup>
Pseudomonas fluorescence (P)	0.035±0.004 <sup>de</sup>	0.066±0.003 <sup>d</sup>	0.324±0.004°	1.495±0.003 <sup>1</sup>	0.244±0.057 <sup>i</sup>	0.361±0.03 <sup>i</sup>
GA	$0.04 \pm 0.006^{bcd}$	0.08±0.005 <sup>⁵</sup>	0.315±0.005 <sup>∞</sup>	2.065±0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.623±0.026 <sup>d</sup>	$0.762 \pm 0.026^{d}$
GT	$0.042 \pm 0.005^{bc}$	0.075±0.003 <sup>bc</sup>	0.237±0.005 <sup><sup>gh</sup></sup>	1.623±0.003 <sup>9</sup>	0.533±0.02 <sup>ef</sup>	0.649±0.026°
GP	0.033±0.003°	0.045±0.003 <sup>gh</sup>	0.325±0.004°	1.585±0.003 <sup>°</sup>	0.56±0.037°	0.677±0.024°
AT	0.022±0.004 <sup>f</sup>	0.043±0.002 <sup>h</sup>	0.357±0.006 <sup>⁵</sup>	1.577±0.003 <sup>i</sup>	0.443±0.018 <sup>9</sup>	0.542±0.015 <sup>9</sup>
AP	0.029±0.004°	0.05±0.004 <sup>fg</sup>	0.366±0.006 <sup>b</sup>	1.626±0.003 <sup>°</sup> 📐	0.521±0.027 <sup>ef</sup>	0.644±0.026°
TP	0.035±0.004 <sup>de</sup>	0.044±0.003 <sup>h</sup>	0.305±0.006 <sup>d</sup>	1.527±0.003 <sup>k</sup>	0.355±0.032 <sup>h</sup>	0.476±0.019 <sup>h</sup>
GAT	0.056±0.004 <sup>ª</sup>	0.085±0.002 <sup>ª</sup>	0.225±0.003 <sup>h</sup>	2.217±0.003°	0.704±0.014°	0.9±0.028°
GAP	0.022±0.005 <sup>f</sup>	0.042±0.002 <sup>h</sup>	0.369±0.006 <sup>b</sup>	1.373±0.003 <sup>m</sup>	0.748±0.041 <sup>b</sup>	0.941±0.027⁵
GTP	0.032±0.002°	0.054±0.002 <sup>f</sup>	0.386±0.005°	1.778±0.004 <sup>d</sup>	0.528 <mark>±</mark> 0.025 <sup>ef</sup>	0.646±0.019 <sup>°</sup>
ATP	0.03±0.006°	0.062±0.003d°	0.387±0.026 <sup>a</sup>	1.356±0.004"	0.55±0.039 <sup>ef</sup>	0.662±0.046°
GATP	0.041±0.006 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.065±0.003d°	0.291±0.022°	1.909 <mark>±0</mark> .011°	0.88±0.05°	1.032±0.038°
LSD (P≤0.05)	0.0061	0.0049	0.0134	0.005	0.0425	0.0343
ANOVA (15, 64)	20.66	98.46	154.13	18209.33	163.55	289.61

Table 3 : Effect of bioinoculants on different biochemical processes of Chrysanthemum indicum

Values are mean of replicates ±SD; Values in column followed by same letter are not significantly different, p≤0.05, LSD

Table 4 : Effect of senescence on flower head diameter and peroxidase activity of Chrysanthe	mum indic	um

	Flower head diameter (cm)				Peroxidase activity (mg min <sup>-10</sup> )				
Treatments	0 day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day ́	21 <sup>st</sup> day	0 <sup>th</sup> Day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	Í14 <sup>th</sup> day Ú	21 <sup>st</sup> day	
Control	2.6±0.008l	2.47±0.015 <sup>°</sup>	2.13±0.054l	1.84±0.089°	0.276±0.002 <sup>ik</sup>	0.445±0.002 <sup>1</sup>	0.633±0.012 <sup>n</sup>	0.749±0.058 <sup>i</sup>	
Glomus	4.19±0.008 <sup>fg</sup>	4.17±0.015°	4.03±0.032°	4±0.07°	0.065±0.003°	0.111±0.005 <sup>de</sup>	0.251±0.01 <sup>f</sup>	0.297±0.023°	
mosseae (G)									
Acaulospora	3.59±0.008 <sup>h</sup>	3.57±0.016 <sup>h</sup>	3.36±0.114 <sup>f</sup>	3.36±0.089 <sup>h</sup>	0.066±0.004°	0.14±0.022 <sup>9</sup>	0.34±0.014 <sup>i</sup>	$0.567 \pm 0.038^{hi}$	
laevis (A)									
Trichoderma viride (T)	2.802±0.008 <sup>k</sup>	2.77±0.015 <sup>k</sup>	2.59±0.072 <sup>™</sup>	2.5±0.007	0.081±0.002 <sup>h</sup>	0.143±0.002 <sup>9</sup>	0.272±0.009 <sup>9h</sup>	0.333±0.038°	
Pseudomonas fluorescence (P)	3.29±0.01 <sup>i</sup>	3.27±0.015 <sup>°</sup>	3.1±0.075 <sup>9</sup>	3±0.07 <sup>i</sup>	0.057±0.004 <sup>d</sup>	0.124±0.002 <sup>ef</sup>	0.164±0.015°	0.204±0.024 <sup>bc</sup>	
GA	4.6±0.01°	5.05±0.02 <sup>⁵</sup>	5±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	4.94±0.054 <sup>b</sup>	0.082±0.003 <sup>h</sup>	0.172±0.003 <sup>h</sup>	0.181±0.01 <sup>cde</sup>	0.252±0.015 <sup>d</sup>	
GT	3.6±0.01 <sup>h</sup>	4.57±0.015 <sup>d</sup>	4.34±0.089 <sup>d</sup>	4.3±0.07 <sup>d</sup>	0.079±0.004 <sup>gh</sup>	0.103±0.001 <sup>cd</sup>	0.119±0.013°	0.155±0.026 <sup>ª</sup>	
GP	3.71±0.012 <sup>g</sup>	3.57±0.015 <sup>h</sup>	3.34±0.114 <sup>t</sup>	3.2±0.07 <sup>i</sup>	0.074±0.002 <sup>f</sup>	$0.098 \pm 0.003^{cd}$	0.165±0.013°	0.215±0.016 <sup>∞</sup>	
AT	3.69±0.019 <sup>9</sup>	3.68±0.013 <sup>9</sup>	3.38±0.083 <sup>f</sup>	3.5±0.07 <sup>9</sup>	0.052±0.003 <sup>de</sup>	0.113±0.003 <sup>de</sup>	0.189±0.013 <sup>de</sup>	0.261±0.016 <sup>d</sup>	
AP	3.7±0.019 <sup>9</sup>	3.67±0.015 <sup>°</sup>	3.37±0.048 <sup>t</sup>	3.46±0.054 <sup>9</sup>	0.047±0.003°	0.105±0.004 <sup>cd</sup>	0.127±0.012 <sup>♭</sup>	0.199±0.027 <sup>⁵</sup>	
TP	3.1±0.017 <sup>i</sup>	3.07±0.01 <sup>i</sup>	3±0.07 <sup>9</sup>	2.8±0.07 <sup>k</sup>	0.044±0.003°	0.131±0.005 <sup>fg</sup>	0.194±0.016 <sup>de</sup>	0.241±0.015 <sup>∞</sup>	
GAT	5.11±0.013 <sup>⁵</sup>	5.06±0.02 <sup>⁵</sup>	5.01 <mark>±0.007<sup>b</sup></mark>	4.96±0.068 <sup>b</sup>	0.032±0.003 <sup>ª</sup>	0.041±0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.103±0.019ª	0.127±0.022 <sup>ª</sup>	
GAP	5.09±0.011°	5.05±0.02 <sup>⁵</sup>	4.98 <mark>±</mark> 0.044⁵	4.89±0.008 <sup>b</sup>	0.036±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.067±0.008 <sup>♭</sup>	0.2±0.013°	0.251±0.021 <sup>d</sup>	
GTP	4.9±0.007 <sup>d</sup>	4.87±0.015°	4.66±0.296°	4.69±0.016°	0.075±0.002 <sup>fg</sup>	0.091±0.006°	0.173±0.018 <sup>∞</sup>	0.231±0.015 <sup>bcd</sup>	
ATP	4.2±0.008 <sup>f</sup>	4.07±0.015 <sup>f</sup>	4±0.01°	3.9±0.001 <sup>f</sup>	0.091±0.005 <sup>i</sup>	0.133±0.006 <sup>fg</sup>	0.259±0.011 <sup>fg</sup>	0.304±0.027°	
GATP	5.59±0.008°	5.56±0.039°	5.38±0.13°	5.4±0.07 <sup>ª</sup>	0.082±0.006 <sup>h</sup>	0.102±0.012 <sup>cd</sup>	0.281±0.015 <sup>h</sup>	0.371±0.029 <sup>f</sup>	
Kinetin-1 (37.5µM)	2.44±0.005°	2.43±0. <mark>00</mark> 8 <sup>™</sup>	2.16±0.054 <sup>k</sup>	2.15±0.07 <sup>°</sup>	0.282±0.001 <sup>™</sup>	0.297±0.005	0.31±0.011	0.376±0.026	
Kinetin-2 (3.75µM)	2.49±0.005°	2.48±0. <mark>01</mark> 3 <sup>™</sup>	2.26±0.054 <sup>k</sup>	2.2±0.007 <sup>mn</sup>	0.283±0.001	0.304±0.003	0.398±0.019 <sup>k</sup>	0.436±0.009 <sup>h</sup>	
Salicylic acid-1	2.54±0.005 <sup>°</sup>	2.46±0.013 <sup>m</sup>	2.24±0.089	2.26±0.017 <sup>™</sup>	0.285±0.003 <sup>™</sup>	0.327±0.004 <sup>1</sup>	0.381±0.023 <sup>k</sup>	0.452±0.02 <sup>n</sup>	
(37.5µM)								,	
Salicylic acid-2 (3.75µM)	2.47±0.004	2.46±0.008 <sup>m</sup>	2.32±0.047 <sup>⊮</sup>	2.2±0.07 <sup>mn</sup>	0.275±0.002 <sup>⊮</sup>	0.305±0.003'	0.378±0.01 <sup>*</sup>	0.401±0.028 <sup>°</sup>	
Sucrose (0.1M)	2.56±0.005 <sup>°</sup>	2.54±0.008 <sup>Im</sup>	2.4±0.004 <sup>ik</sup>	2.26±0.049 <sup>m</sup>	0.28±0.003k <sup>1</sup>	0.37±0.007 <sup>j</sup>	0.461±0.02 <sup>i</sup>	0.51±0.033 <sup>⁵</sup>	
NaCl(0.1M)	2.57±0.005 <sup>m</sup>	2.41±0.044 <sup>m</sup>	2.22±0.044 <sup>i</sup>	2.08±0.089 <sup>no</sup>	0.279±0.001 <sup>ikl</sup>	0.465±0.039 <sup>k</sup>	0.515±0.021 <sup>m</sup>	0.625±0.024 <sup>i</sup>	
LSD (P≤0.005)	0.0246	0.0438	0.236	0.1542	0.0109	0.0276	0.0386	0.069	
ANOVA (21, 88)	57822.01	18131.65	663.59	1661.96	4184.97	666.74	405.02	188.05	

Values are mean of replicates ±SD; Values in column followed by same letter are not significantly different, p≤0.05, LSD

\* Journal of Environmental Biology, March 2019 \*

Treatments	Vase life	Volume/Solution uptake	Weight of flower head (g)		
	(days)	(ml 100ml <sup>-1</sup> used)	0 day	21 <sup>st</sup> day	
Control	16±1.22 <sup>°</sup>	9.22±0.549	0.56±0.01 <sup>1</sup>	0.341±0.015 <sup>m</sup>	
Glomus mosseae (G)	28.6±0.54 <sup>b</sup>	12.01±0.048 <sup>a</sup>	1.28±0.062 <sup>⁵</sup>	1.054±0.004 <sup>b</sup>	
Acaulospora laevis (A)	27.6±0.54 <sup>bc</sup>	11.68±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	0.81±0.027 <sup>e</sup>	0.604±0.019 <sup>f</sup>	
Trichoderma viride (T)	23±0.7 <sup>⊮</sup>	9.88±0.077 <sup>h</sup>	0.62±0.015 <sup>h</sup>	0.402±0.001 <sup>i</sup>	
Pseudomonas fluorescence (P)	20±0.7 <sup>m</sup>	10.96±0.167°	0.68±0.025 <sup>9</sup>	0.492±0.019 <sup>h</sup>	
GA	25.6±0.89 <sup>def</sup>	10.16±0.103 <sup>efg</sup>	0.77±0.023 <sup>f</sup>	0.564±0.005°	
GT	26.4±1.14°	11.86±0.013 <sup>∞</sup>	0.76±0.025 <sup>f</sup>	0.557±0.003 <sup>°</sup>	
GP	25±0.7 <sup>efg</sup>	11.45±0.013 <sup>b</sup>	0.75±0.02 <sup>f</sup>	0.562±0.015°	
AT	25±0.7 <sup>efg</sup>	10.33±0.015 <sup>def</sup>	0.77±0.012 <sup>f</sup>	0.563±0.016 <sup>9</sup>	
AP	24.8±1.09 <sup>fgh</sup>	10.36±0.013 <sup>def</sup>	0.78±0.01 <sup>ef</sup>	0.569±0.011°	
TP	24.4±0.89	10.61±0.123 <sup>cd</sup>	0.7±0.019 <sup>°</sup>	0.472±0.009 <sup>i</sup>	
GAT	30.4±0.54°	12.06±0.134°	1.22±0.015°	1.011±0.018°	
GAP	24.4±0.54 <sup>gh</sup>	11.47±0.071 <sup>b</sup>	1.18±0.022 <sup>d</sup>	0.964±0.023 <sup>de</sup>	
GTP	26±0.7 <sup>cde</sup>	10.64±0.042 <sup>cd</sup>	1.19±0.022 <sup>∞d</sup>	0.979±0.016 <sup>d</sup>	
ATP	23.2±0.44 <sup>ij</sup>	9.98±0.109g <sup>h</sup>	1. <mark>17±</mark> 0.15 <sup>⁴</sup>	0.962±0.016°	
GATP	26.2±0.44 <sup>cd</sup>	10.09±0.255 <sup>fg</sup>	1.32±0.012 <sup>a</sup>	1.121±0.004°	
Kinetin-1 (37.5µM)	28.2±0.83 <sup>b</sup>	11.76±0.181 <sup>∞</sup>	0.55±0.015 <sup>1</sup>	0.45±0.006i <sup>i</sup>	
Kinetin-2 (3.75µM)	23.8±0.83 <sup>hi</sup>	10.66±0.008 <sup>cd</sup>	0.58±0.015 <sup>hi</sup>	0.387±0.003 <sup>ik</sup>	
Salicylic acid-1 (37.5µM)	24.8±1.3 <sup>fgh</sup>	10.8±0.836°	0.59±0.016 <sup>hi</sup>	0.399±0.004 <sup>i</sup>	
Salicylic acid-2 (3.75µM)	23±0.7 <sup>⊮</sup>	10.6±0.34 <sup>°d</sup>	0.6±0.015 <sup>hi</sup>	0.389±0.004 <sup>jk</sup>	
Sucrose (0.1M)	22.8±0.44	10.13±0.09 <sup>efg</sup>	0.57±0.016 <sup>hi</sup>	0.361±0.014	
NaCl (0.1M)	19.6±0.54 <sup>m</sup>	10.4±0.547 <sup>de</sup>	0.59±0.015 <sup>hi</sup>	0.379±0.005k <sup>1</sup>	
LSD (P≤0.005)	1.989	0.686	0.0 <mark>568</mark>	0.0324	
ANOVA (21, 88)	80.34	40.89	697.85	2134.81	

Table 5 : Effect of senescence on vase life, holding solution uptake and weight of flower head of Chrysanthemum indicum L.

Values are mean of replicates ±SD; Values in column followed by same letter are not significantly different, p≤0.05, LSD

Azospirilum to the growing media through better nutrient uptake. AMF act as bio-stimulant, thereby increasing the production of several plant hormones like auxin, zeatin, gibberellin and jasmonic acid (He *et al.*, 2017). An increased vase life could also be due to increase in water use efficiency through better vascular tissues formation (Shekoofeh *et al.*, 2012). Mycorrhizal root systems influence the source to sink balance by utilizing photosynthate supplied as a results higher photosynthesis in leaves and a considerable proportion of carbon assimilation (Smith and Read, 2008).

AMF pre-treated flowers showed more water absorption over other holding solutions *viz.*, kinetin, salicylic acid, sugar and salt. Water absorption was more during initial days of experiment but it decreased consistently as the number of days passed, which might be due to blockage in vascular tissues. Ghaderi and Nazarideljou (2018) reported an increased shelf life of *Gerbera jamesonii* due to reduced transpiration using *G. mosseae* and *G. intradices*. A consistent decrease in flower heads weight was also observed using different treatments as the number of days passed (Table 5). After 21 days, G+A+T+P and G treatments showed lowest decrease in the head weight over other treatments. The larger size of florets and higher number of floret layers in AMF treated plants might be the reason for increased weight. It was due to more absorption of water and nutrients, especially P along with N, Zn, Mg and Ca that plays an important role in enhancing photosynthesis rate resulting in an increased accumulation of photosynthate (Sikes et al., 2010; Syafruddin and Arabia, 2016). AM fungi influences both primary and secondary metabolites in host plant leading to accumulation of carotenoids and polyphenols (Schliemann et al., 2008). Chaudhary et al. (2013) also observed a significant improvement in the floral characters of Gladiolus inoculated with PSB and Azotobacter. Increase in peroxidase activity is one of the most reliable indicators of maturity in plants, as peroxidase activity increases, the level of peroxides and free radicals that react with cellular constituent also increases, ultimately leading to senescence (Vranova et al., 2002). A fast increase in peroxidase activity was observed in control. Among all the holding solution, kinetin was found to be the most effective hormone in decreasing the peroxidase activity, and among AMF pre-treated plants G+A+T proved to the be best in decreasing peroxidase activity (Table 4). AM fungi accelerates several scavenging enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, dehvdroascorbate reductase, catalase, monodehydroascorbate reductase and guaiacol peroxidase as free radicals increase, which can be regarded as antioxidants (Essahibi et al., 2018). The roots colonized with AMF accumulate these antioxidative enzymes and carotenoids (Fester and Hause, 2005).

It can be concluded that all bioinoculants enhanced plant growth and floral response and vase life of *Chrysanthemum indicum* over control by enriching nutrient uptake, especially phosphorous, enhancing the growth hormones and resistant to root-borne pathogens. Therefore, it is advised that use of bioinoculants, especially the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are more productive and eco-friendly.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, India for providing laboratory facilities and other institutional support to complete this study. Thanks are also extended to CSIR, New Delhi for providing financial assistance to one of the author (I.S.) in the form of JRF.

#### References

- Adholeya, A. and A. Gaur: Estimation of VAM fungal spores in soil. *Mycorrhiza News*, **6**, 10-11 (1994).
- Arnon, D.I.: Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol.*, **24**, 1-15 (1949).
- Asfanani, M., G.H. Davarynejad and A. Tehranifar: Effects of pre-harvest calcium fertilization on vase life of rose cut flowers cv. Alexander. *Acta Hortic.*, **804**, 217-221 (2008).
- Bagyaraj, D.J., M.P. Sharma and D. Maiti: Phosphorus nutrition of crops through arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Curr. Sci.*, **108**, 1288-1293 (2015).
- Besmer, Y.L. and R.T. Koide: Effect of mycorrhizal colonization and P on ethylene production by snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus* L.) flower. *Mycorrhiza*, 9, 161-166 (1999).
- Bhalla, R., P. Kanwar, P. Dhiman and R. Jain: Effect of biofertilizers and biostimulants on growth and flowering in *Gladiolus. J. Ornam. Hortic.*, 9, 248-252 (2006).
- Bhatia, S., Y.C. Gupta and S.R. Dhiman: Influence of biofertilizers on leaf nutrient status and flower quality of carnation cv. Sunrise. Int. J. Farm Sci., 6, 1-6 (2016).
- Bradford, M.M.: A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.*, **72**, 248-254 (1976).
- Chaudhary, N., K. Swaroop, T. Janakiram, D.R. Biswas and G. Singh: Effect of integrated nutrient management on vegetative growth and flowering characters of *Gladiolus*. Indian J. Hortic., **70**, 156-159 (2013).
- Essahibi, A., L. Benhiba, M.A. Babram, C. Ghoulam and A. Qaddoury: Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the functional mechanisms associated with drought tolerance in carob (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.). *Trees*, **32**, 87-97 (2018).
- Ferrante A., D.A. Hunter, W.P. Hackett and M.S. Reid: Thidiazuron A potent inhibitor of leaf senescence in Alstroemeria. Posthar. Biol. Technol., 25, 333-338 (2002).
- Fester, T. and G. Hause: Accumulation of reactive oxygen species in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots. *Mycorrhiza*, **15**, 373-379 (2005).
- Ghaderi, K. and M.J. Nazarideljou: Morpho-physiological and quality attributes of gerbera (*Gerberaj amesonii*) cut flower under inoculated substrate with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soilless system. J. Sci. Technol., 8, 27-39 (2018).
- Hart, F.L. and H.S. Fisher: Modern Food Analysis. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1971).
- He, L., C. Li and R. Liu: Indirect interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Spodoptera exigua* alter photosynthesis and plant endogenous hormones. *Mycorrhiza*, 27, 525-535 (2017).
- Imperiali, N., X.M. Chiriboga, K. Schlaeppi, M. Fesselet, D. Villacrés, G. Jaffuel, S.F. Bender, F. Dennert, R. Blanco-Pérez, M.G.A. van der

Heijden, M. Maurhofer, F. Mascher, T.C.J. Turlings, C. Keel and R. Campos-Herrera: Combined field inoculations of *Pseudomonas* bacteria, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and entomopathogenic nematodes and their effects on wheat performance. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **8**, 1809 (2017).

- Jackson, M.L.: Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India (1973).
- Jamshidi, M., E. Hadavi and R. Naderi: Effects of salicylic acid and malic acid on vase life and bacterial and yeast populations of preservative solution in cut Gerbera flowers. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, **2**, 671-674 (2012).
- Janowska, B. and R. Andrzejak: Effect of mycorrhizal inoculation on development and flowering of *Tagetes patula* L. 'Yellow Boy' and *Salvia splendens* Buc'hoz ex Etl. 'Saluti Red'. Acta Agrobot., **70**, 1703 (2017).
- Janowska, B., R. Andrzejak, T. Kosiada, T. Trelka and B. Frąszczak: Effect of mycorrhization on the flowering of the Zantedeschia albomaculata/Hook./ Baill. cv. Albomaculata. Hortic. Sci., 40, 126-130 (2013).
- Johnson, L.E., C.J. Bond and H. Fribourg: Methods for studying soil microflora-plant disease relationships. Minneapolis: Burgess Publishing Company, London (1959).
- Karishma, A., Aggarwal, A. Tanwar and Neetu: Efficacy of bioinoculants, plant growth regulators and nutrients in prolonging vase life of *Chrysanthemum indicum* L. *Am. Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, **11**, 593-599 (2011).
- Lazzara, S., M. Militello, A. Carrubba, E. Napoli and S. Saia: Arbuscular mycorrhizal, fungi altered the hypericin, pseudohypericin, and hyperforin content in flowers of *Hypericum perforatum* grown under contrasting P availability in a highly organic substrate. *Mycorrhiza*, **27**, 345-354 (2017).
- Leslie, J.F. and B.A. Summerell: The *Fusarium* laboratory manual. Blackwell Publishing Professional, Lowa, USA (2006).
- Lo, C.T. and C.Y. Lin: Screening strains of *Trichoderma* spp. for plant growth enhancement in Taiwan. *Plant Pathol. Bull.*, **11**, 215-220 (2002).
- Long, L.K., Q. Yao, Y.H. Huang, R.H. Yang, J. Guo and H.H. Zhu: Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on zinnia and different colonization between *Gigaspora* and *Glomus. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **26**, 1527-1531 (2010).
- Maehly, A.C.: Determination of peroxidase activity. In: Methods of Biochemical Analysis (Ed.: D. Glick). Interscience Publication Inc., New York, p. 385 (1954).
- Menge, J.A. and L.W. Timmer: Procedure for inoculation of plants with VAM in the laboratory, greenhouse and field. In: Methods and Principles of Mycorrhizal Research (Ed.: N.C. Schenck). American Phytopathology Society, St. Paul, Minn, USA, pp. 59-67 (1982).
- Mukhopadhyay, A.N., A. Brahmbhatt and A.L. Patel: *Trichoderma harzianum*, a potential biocontrol agent of tobacco damping-off. *Tob. Res.*, **12**, 26-35 (1986).
- Mutui, T.M., V.E. Emongor and M.J. Hutchinson: Effect of benzyladenine on the vase life and keeping quality of alstroemeria cut flowers. J. Agric.Sci. Technol., 5, 91-105 (2003).
- Nowak, J.: Effects of mycorrhization and phosphorus nutrition on nutrient uptake, growth and flowering of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees) cultivated on ebb-and-flow benches. Acta Agrobot., **62**, 77-81 (2009).
- Phillips, J.M. and D.S. Hayman: Improved produces for clearing roots and staining parasitic and VAM fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.*, **55**, 158-161 (1970).
- Rani, A.S. and V. Mahesh: Impact of AM fungi and their growth of *Centella* asiactica L. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci., **3**, 142-146 (2015).
- Ratti, N., H.N. Verma and S.P. Gautam: Effect of *Glomus* species on physiology and biochemistry of *Catharanthus roseus*. *Indian J.*

Microbiol., 50, 355-360 (2010).

- Schliemann, W., C. Ammer and D. Strack: Metabolic profiling of mycorrhizal roots of *Medicago truncatula*. *Phytochemistry*, **69**, 112-146 (2008).
- Shekoofeh, E., H. Sepideh and R. Roya: Role of mycorrhiza fungi and salicylic acid in salinity tolerance of Ocimum basilicum resistance to salinity. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 11, 2223-2235 (2012).
- Sikes, B.A., J.R. Powell and M.C. Rillig: Deciphering the relative contributions of multiple functions within plant-microbe symbioses. *Ecology*, **91**, 1591-1597 (2010).
- Smith, S.E. and D.J. Read: Mycorrhizal Symbioses. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn., Academic Press, UK (2008).
- Smith, S.E. and F.A. Smith: Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and growth: New paradigms from cellular to ecosystems scales. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 63, 227-250 (2011).
- Syafruddin, S. and T. Arabia: Propagation techniques of mycorrhizal biofertilizer with different types of mycorrhiza inoculant and host plant in Entisol Aceh. *Int. J. Agric. Res.*, **11**, 69-76 (2016).
- Tabatabai, M.A. and J.M. Bremner: Use of p-nitrophenyl phosphate for assay of soil phosphatase activity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 1, 301-307 (1969).

- Tsushida, T. and M. Suzuki: Flavonoid in fruits and vegetables. I. Isolation of flavonoids glucosides in onion and identification by chemical synthesis of the glycosides. J. Jpn. Soc. Food Sci., 42, 100-108 (1995).
- Vranova, E., D. Inz´e and F. Van Breusegem: Signal transduction during oxidative stress. J. Exp. Bot., 53, 1227-1236 (2002).
- Walker, C.: Taxonomic concepts in the endogonaceae spore wall characteristics in species description. *Mycotaxon*, **18**, 443-445 (1983).
- Yadav, K. K., A. Tanwar and A. Aggarwal: Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* with various levels of superphosphate on growth enhancement and flowering response of *Gerbera. J. Ornam. Hortic. Plants*, **3**, 161-170 (2013).
- Younis, A., A. Riaz, S. Ikram, T. Nawaz, M. Hameed, S. Fatima, R. Batool and F. Ahmad: Salinity-induced structural and functional changes in three cultivars of *Alternanthera bettzikiana*. (Regel) G. Nicholson. *Turk. J. Agric. For.*, **37**, 674-687 (2013).
- Zaidi, A., M.S. Khan, E. Ahmad, S. Saif, A. Rizvi and M. Shahid: Growth stimulation and management of diseases of ornamental plants using phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: Current perspective. *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, **38**, 117 (2016).

\* Journal of Environmental Biology, March 2019 \*