

1 **Response of London's urban heat island to a marine air**
2 **intrusion in an easterly wind regime**

3 **Charles Chemel · Ranjeet S. Sokhi**

4

5 Submitted: 23 May 2011 / Revised: R1 2 December 2011, R1 2 February 2012

6 **Abstract** Numerical simulations are conducted using the Weather Research and Forecast
7 (WRF) numerical model to examine the effects of a marine air intrusion (including a sea-
8 breeze front), in an easterly wind regime on 7 May 2008, on the structure of London's urban
9 heat island (UHI). A sensitivity study is undertaken to assess how the representation of
10 the urban area of London in the model, with a horizontal grid resolution of 1 km, affects
11 its performance characteristics for the near-surface air temperature, dewpoint depression,
12 and wind fields. No single simulation is found to provide the overall best or worst perfor-
13 mance for all the near-surface fields considered. Using a multilayer (rather than single layer
14 or bulk) urban canopy model does not clearly improve the prediction of the intensity of
15 the UHI but it does improve the prediction of its spatial pattern. Providing surface-cover
16 fractions leads to improved predictions of the UHI intensity. The advection of cooler air
17 from the North Sea reduces the intensity of the UHI in the windward suburbs and displaces

C. Chemel (✉) · R. S. Sokhi

National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), Centre for Atmospheric & Instrumentation Research
(CAIR), University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK

e-mail: c.chemel@herts.ac.uk

18 it several kilometres to the west, in good agreement with observations. Frontal advection
19 across London effectively replaces the air in the urban area. Results indicate that there is a
20 delicate balance between the effects of thermal advection and urbanization on near-surface
21 fields, which depend, inter alia, on the parametrization of the urban canopy and the urban
22 land-cover distribution.

23 **Keywords** Numerical simulations · Sea breeze · Sensitivity experiments · Urban
24 parametrization schemes · Urban heat island

25 **1 Introduction**

26 London is long known to develop a pronounced heat island ([Chandler 1962](#)), resulting pri-
27 marily from the storage of heat in the urban fabric during the day and released during the
28 night, the differences in thermal and radiative properties of the surface between urban and
29 rural areas, and reduced evapotranspiration in urban areas (e.g., [Oke 1982](#); [Arnfield 2003](#)).
30 Under calm, clear and dry weather conditions, the difference in near-surface air temperature
31 between a representative urban centre and rural location at a given time, referred to as the
32 urban heat island (UHI) intensity hereafter, typically reaches several K during the night and
33 can be negative during the day.

34 Although limited, several studies have reported observations of London's heat island.
35 Analysis of differences in daily minimum and maximum air temperatures during 1959 be-
36 tween central London at Kensington and Wisley, a rural site on the south-west outskirts,
37 indicated that values of minimum temperature most frequently differed by 0.8 K, with a me-
38 dian of 1.7 K and a maximum of 6.1 K ([Chandler 1962](#)). The area of highest temperatures
39 (referred to as the thermal centre) was found to usually lie north-east of central London,
40 reflecting the density of urban development (see Fig. 2b) and the displacement of the heat

41 island by prevailing light south-westerly winds. [Watkins et al. \(2002\)](#) measured the London
42 UHI intensity for summer 1999, with the intensity reaching 7 K on some days and averaged
43 2.8 K in August. The nighttime intensity tended to decrease with the radial distance from the
44 thermal centre. This thermal centre was found to be located in the City of London borough,
45 which is characterized by tall buildings and high anthropogenic heat release. This finding is
46 supported by the earlier surveys of London's heat island by [Chandler \(1962\)](#), which indi-
47 cated that the thermal centre is most frequently located north-east of central London. While
48 its location is usually well defined for calm, clear and dry nights, it can move by several kilo-
49 metres in relation to shifts in wind direction and the presence of clouds (see, for instance,
50 [Kolokotroni and Giridharan 2008](#); [Giridharan and Kolokotroni 2009](#)).

51 As with the large majority of megacities in the world, London is located in a coastal
52 area. On certain occasions cooler marine air is advected across London by a sea-breeze front
53 (SBF) from the North Sea or the English Channel. SBFs develop mostly from late spring
54 through the summer, when the surface of the land heats up more rapidly than that of the
55 sea. Their characteristics depend not only on the differential heating but also on the large-
56 scale weather conditions (e.g., [Estoque 1962](#); [Bechtold et al. 1991](#); [Arritt 1993](#); [Zhong and
57 Takle 1993](#); [Atkins and Wakimoto 1997](#)). Anticyclonic conditions in the North Sea or Baltic
58 Sea regions, leading to easterly winds, are most favourable to the development of SBFs
59 around the English Channel and the southern North Sea ([Sumner 1977](#)). Such anticyclonic
60 conditions tend to occur more frequently in spring than in summer when the sea surface is
61 cooler.

62 [Marshall \(1950\)](#) described a SBF that originated at the east coast, traversed London, and
63 penetrated 150 km inland under relatively weak (3 m s^{-1}) easterly winds. The SBFs that
64 develop on the south coast can penetrate to over 100 km from the coast, although such deep
65 penetration inland is not frequent ([Simpson et al. 1977](#)). [Damato et al. \(2003\)](#) analyzed the

66 occurrence of SBFs around the English Channel and the southern North Sea during the warm
67 season (between May and September) of 2000 and found that the inland penetration was
68 usually in the range 20 – 40 km from the coast in southern England. No SBF was observed to
69 cross the North Downs (see Fig. 1b). Hence, we may conclude that the arrival of such SBFs
70 in London is scarce. The analysis also revealed a higher occurrence of SBFs eastward along
71 the English Channel but with a lesser inland penetration. The south-westward retreat of these
72 SBFs was suggested to be the result of the convergence between the SBFs originating from
73 the English Channel and the Thames Estuary. Similar cases of convergence were reported
74 by [Eastwood and Rider \(1961\)](#), [Findlater \(1964\)](#) and [Simpson et al. \(1977\)](#).

75 Several studies have reported complex interactions between a SBF and UHI (see, for
76 instance, [Miller et al. 2003](#); [Crosman and Horel 2010](#)). Interestingly, most of these studies
77 focused on the influence of urban areas on the evolution of the SBF, whose characteristics
78 may be weakened or strengthened by interactions with the UHI. The presence of the UHI
79 intensifies the SBF and delays its penetration inland ([Yoshikado 1990, 1992](#); [Kusaka et al.](#)
80 [2000](#); [Freitas et al. 2007](#); [Dandou et al. 2009](#)). The speed of the SBF increases as the size
81 of the urban area increases ([Ohashi and Kida 2002](#)). In addition, surrounding topographic
82 features and complex coastline geometries can lead to complicated interactions between a
83 SBF and UHI ([Ohashi and Kida 2004](#); [Lemonsu et al. 2006](#)).

84 Less attention has been paid to the modulation of the UHI intensity by the advection of
85 cooler marine air by the SBF and to the contribution of the SBF to boundary-layer venti-
86 lation in the urban area. [Gedzelman et al. \(2003\)](#) analyzed surface weather observations in
87 the Greater New York City Metropolitan area for the years 1997 and 1998 and found that
88 SBFs typically delay the UHI of New York City for several hours and displace it about
89 10 km inland during spring and summer. In a numerical modelling case study of a SBF in
90 the New York City area, [Thompson et al. \(2007\)](#) found that the SBF had a large impact on

91 the transport and diffusion of passive tracer plumes. The study showed that the SBF not
92 only changed the direction of plume motion but also redistributed the tracers in the vertical.
93 As the SBF passed a release location, upward motion at the front, resulting in boundary-
94 layer ventilation, led to a decrease in near-surface tracer concentration. After the passage
95 of the SBF, tracers were released and confined into the shallow sea-breeze flow, increasing
96 near-surface tracer concentration.

97 [Thompson et al. \(2007\)](#) also pointed out that the local effects of SBFs in an urban
98 environment are sensitive to the level of urbanization. Detailed case studies of these effects
99 in urban areas with heterogenous land cover are essential to investigate such sensitivity.
100 In the present study, we use numerical simulations to examine the effects of a marine air
101 intrusion (including a sea-breeze front), in an easterly wind regime on 7 May 2008, on
102 the structure of London's UHI. The simulations are performed with the Weather Research
103 and Forecast (WRF) numerical model ([Skamarock et al. 2008](#)) for multiple nested domains
104 with the innermost domain covering London and its rural surroundings with a horizontal
105 grid resolution of 1 km. In order to evaluate the model performance, we also investigate
106 the sensitivity of the simulated near-surface air temperature, dewpoint depression and wind
107 fields to the representation of the urban area of London in the model. In the next section, we
108 detail the set-up of the model and the design of the numerical experiments, with the model
109 evaluation presented in Sect. 3. The response of London's UHI to the marine air intrusion
110 is analyzed in Sect. 4 and concluding remarks are given in Sect. 5.

111 **2 Design of the numerical experiments**

112 Numerical simulations are conducted for a case study of 7 May 2008, which presents rele-
113 vant features ([Bohnenstengel et al. 2011](#)). The synoptic-scale surface pressure distribution

114 on this day exhibited a typical pattern for late spring, with an anticyclone located over north-
115 ern Europe and extending between the British Isles and the Baltic States. As indicated in the
116 Introduction, this situation is favourable to the development of SBFs around the English
117 Channel and the southern North Sea (Sumner 1977). The sky was clear over south-east Eng-
118 land.

119 The WRF model, version 3.2.1, was run on multiple grids using one-way nesting with
120 the innermost domain covering London and its rural surroundings at a horizontal resolution
121 of 1 km. Table 1 gives the spatial coverage and horizontal resolution of the nested grids used
122 for the simulations. The domain covering the UK and the Republic of Ireland using a 4-km
123 horizontal resolution (Domain 3) is displayed in Fig. 1a. The calculations were made on 53
124 vertical levels up to 50 hPa (about 20 km). The grid mesh was stretched along the vertical
125 axis to accommodate a high vertical resolution close to the ground surface (i.e., 15 layers
126 below 2000 m with the first layer approximately 5 m deep).

127 The simulations commenced on 6 May 2008 at 1200 UTC and were run for 42 h (i.e.,
128 until 8 May 2008 at 0600 UTC). Initial and lateral boundary conditions of the outer domain
129 (Domain 1) were derived from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
130 (ECMWF) gridded analyses available every 6 h with a horizontal resolution of 0.5° on
131 operational pressure levels up to 50 hPa for vertically distributed data, and surface and soil
132 levels for land-surface and deep-soil data. The sea-surface temperature was prescribed at
133 the initial time using the Real-Time Global, SST High-Resolution (RTG_SST_HR) analysis
134 available daily at a resolution of $1/12^\circ$ (Gemmill et al. 2007). A grid nudging technique
135 (four-dimensional data assimilation, Stauffer and Seaman 1990) was employed for the outer
136 domain during the first 6 h of simulation in order to spin-up the model by constraining the
137 model towards the analyses. The first 6 h of simulation were discarded for the analysis.

138 Urban areas are no longer entirely subgrid-scale features when their horizontal extent is
139 much larger than that of a few model grid cells. This is the case for the Greater London area
140 (see Fig. 1b), which covers an area of more than 1500 km², in Domain 3 and Domain 4 using
141 horizontal resolutions of 4 and 1 km, respectively. However, even a horizontal resolution of
142 1 km is still too coarse to resolve the (thermo-) dynamics of the flow in the urban canopy.
143 Therefore, the urban canopy must be parametrized.

144 The urban canopy can be parametrized in numerical weather prediction (NWP) models
145 and in general circulation models (GCMs) in a number of different ways (Masson 2006).
146 Three urban parametrization schemes have been included as options in the WRF model
147 since version 3.1 (see Chen et al. 2011, for a description of the integrated urban modelling
148 system coupled to the WRF model, its evaluation, and applications): (i) a bulk parametriza-
149 tion scheme described by Liu et al. (2006), (ii) the single-layer urban canopy model (SLUCM)
150 developed by Kusaka et al. (2001) and Kusaka and Kimura (2004), and (iii) the multi-
151 layer urban canopy model developed by Martilli et al. (2002), called the building effect
152 parametrization (BEP). The building energy model (BEM) coupled to BEP, developed by
153 Salamanca and Martilli (2010), is also available as an option from the WRF model version
154 3.2 onwards. A sensitivity study was undertaken to assess how the parametrization of the
155 urban canopy (i.e., the selection of one of the options mentioned above) and the catego-
156 rization of the urban land cover in the model affect its performance characteristics for the
157 near-surface air temperature, dewpoint depression, and wind fields. Results of this sensitiv-
158 ity experiment are reported in Sect. 3.

159 The land-surface energy budget was calculated using the community Noah land-surface
160 model (Chen and Dudhia 2001). For a given grid cell, the sensible heat flux \mathcal{H} is aggregated
161 (i.e., weighted by its areal coverage), so that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{H}_n + \mathcal{F}_u \mathcal{H}_u$, where \mathcal{F}_n and \mathcal{H}_n , and
162 \mathcal{F}_u and \mathcal{H}_u are the fractional areas and sensible heat fluxes for natural (i.e., non-urban) and

163 urban surfaces, respectively. \mathcal{H}_n is calculated by the Noah land-surface model, and \mathcal{H}_u is
164 calculated by the urban parametrization scheme. The latent heat flux, longwave radiation
165 flux, albedo and emissivity are estimated in the same way. Land-cover types were assigned
166 to the grid cells for Domain 1 and Domain 2 using the modified International Geosphere-
167 Biosphere Programme (IGBP)/MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)
168 20-category 1-km resolution land-cover dataset, provided with the WRF preprocessing sys-
169 tem. This dataset contains a single urban land-cover category, for which the urban fraction
170 \mathcal{F}_u was set to 95% (Chen and Dudhia 2001).

171 The bulk urban parametrization scheme uses only one urban land-cover category. For
172 this urban parametrization scheme, the IGBP/MODIS urban land-cover category was also
173 used for Domain 3 and Domain 4. In the standard version of the WRF model, the SLUCM,
174 BEP and BEP + BEM urban parametrization schemes can either use a single urban land-
175 cover category or the three urban land-cover classes of the 1992 National Land Cover
176 Dataset (NLCD) for the United States, for which default parameter values for the schemes
177 are provided with the model. These classes are defined as low-intensity residential, high-
178 intensity residential and commercial/industrial/transportation including infrastructure, for
179 which \mathcal{F}_u is set in the WRF model to 0.5, 0.9 and 0.95, respectively (see Chen et al. 2011,
180 for further details). The urban grid cells for Domain 3 and Domain 4 were mapped onto these
181 three classes according to the fractional area that is built-up within each grid cell, which was
182 derived from the Landsat-based 2000 Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH) 25-m reso-
183 lution land-cover dataset. The land covers used for the simulations (i.e., IGBP/MODIS and
184 CEH + IGBP/MODIS) are illustrated in Fig. 2, and a summary of the different simulations
185 that were performed is given in Table 2.

186 A ‘very’ high vertical resolution (say in the order of 5 m) is necessary in the urban
187 canopy in order to obtain full advantage of the multilayer BEP model because it requires

188 several layers within the urban canopy (Martilli et al. 2002). In contrast to BEP, the bulk urban
189 parametrization scheme and SLUCM parametrize the urban canopy as a whole. Hence, for
190 these two parametrization schemes, the first vertical layer depth was set to about 20 m (i.e.,
191 above the mean building height).

192 We used the non-local boundary-layer parametrization scheme developed by Bougeault
193 and Lacarrère (1989), which can be used with the three urban parametrization schemes. The
194 Monin-Obukhov surface-layer scheme was coupled to the community Noah land-surface
195 model to provide surface forcing in terms of momentum, heat and moisture fluxes. Other
196 physics options that we used include the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model for GCMs (RRTMG)
197 radiation package (Iacono et al. 2008), the two-moment bulk microphysics parametrization
198 scheme developed by Morrison et al. (2009) and the ensemble cumulus parametrization
199 scheme introduced by Grell and Dévényi (2002) for the two grids with a horizontal resolu-
200 tion larger than 4 km (i.e., for Domain 1 and Domain 2). For the finer-resolved grids (i.e.,
201 for Domain 3 and Domain 4), convection was explicitly resolved.

202 3 Model evaluation

203 3.1 Observations

204 The monitoring sites used for the model evaluation are reported in Fig. 2. Site 1 (Westmin-
205 ster - Marylebone Road) is part of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN) while all the
206 other sites are part of the UK Met Office Integrated Data Archive System (MIDAS) land-
207 surface stations, including surface SYNOPTic observation (SYNOP) and METEorological
208 Aviation Report (METAR) stations. The automated stations provide data for near-surface
209 (2-m) temperature, (2-m) dewpoint depression, and (10-m) wind speed and direction, ex-
210 cept the LAQN station that does not measure the dewpoint. Systematic errors for the data

211 from the UK Met Office MIDAS land-surface stations should have been accounted for by
 212 a proper calibration of station instrumentation (UK Meteorological Office 2006). For the
 213 LAQN station, air temperature, and wind speed and direction are routinely measured using
 214 a Campbell CSAT3 sonic anemometer, maintained to quality assurance procedures. These
 215 measurements are subjected to quality control before ratification.

216 3.2 Near-surface fields

217 The predicted values for the near-surface fields (2-m temperature, 2-m dewpoint depression,
 218 10-m wind speed and 10-m wind direction) are compared to their observed counterparts. For
 219 the bulk and SLUCM urban parametrization schemes, the urban canopy is parametrized as
 220 a whole and the values for the predicted near-surface fields were inferred using the Monin-
 221 Obukhov similarity theory (see Kusaka et al. 2001; Kusaka and Kimura 2004; Liu et al.
 222 2006). The multilayer BEP model includes several layers within the urban canopy, where
 223 the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory is not valid (e.g., Rotach 1993), so that the values for
 224 the near-surface fields were set equal to those of the lowest model level (see Martilli et al.
 225 2002).

226 The mean bias (MB), mean absolute error (MAE) and hit rate (HR) are calculated for
 227 hourly mean near-surface fields for the simulations S1 to S7, considering all the sites, all
 228 the urban sites only, and all the rural sites only (see Table 3). These statistical metrics used
 229 for model evaluation have been suggested by Schlünzen and Sokhi (2008). For a set of N
 230 predicted values \mathcal{P}_i of a variable \mathcal{V} with their counterpart observed values \mathcal{O}_i , where i refers
 231 to a given time and location, MB , MAE and HR are defined as

$$232 \quad MB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{O}_i), \quad MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{O}_i|, \quad \text{and} \quad HR = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (1, |\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{O}_i| \leq DA),$$

233 where DA is the desired accuracy for the variable \mathcal{V} . MB is used to describe the overall
234 overestimation or underestimation by the modelling system, while MAE gives information
235 on the average error. HR quantifies the fraction of the predicted values that agree with their
236 counterpart observed values for a desired accuracy. Hereafter, we use the values for desired
237 accuracy reported by [Cox et al. \(1998\)](#), namely 2 K for air temperature and dewpoint de-
238 pression, 1 and 2.5 m s⁻¹ for wind speed less than and greater than 10 m s⁻¹, respectively,
239 and 30° for wind direction. These values were established by the United States Air Force
240 (USAF) and Defence Special Weapons Agency (DSWA) for mesoscale model applications
241 over five very different regions of the world and during different seasons of the year and,
242 therefore, are expected to be applicable to a wide range of applications, including this one.
243 Since there are no universal model performance criteria for MB , MAE , and HR , we set the
244 criteria as follows:

- 245 • air temperature: $|MB| \leq 0.5$ K, $MAE \leq 2$ K, and $HR \geq 90\%$
- 246 • dewpoint depression: $|MB| \leq 1$ K, $MAE \leq 2$ K, and $HR \geq 70\%$
- 247 • wind speed: $|MB| \leq 1$ m s⁻¹, $MAE \leq 2$ m s⁻¹, and $HR \geq 50\%$
- 248 • wind direction: $|MB| \leq 10^\circ$, $MAE \leq 30^\circ$, and $HR \geq 70\%$

249 Table 3 indicates that no single simulation provides the overall best or worst performance
250 for all the near-surface fields considered in our work. This finding is consistent with that of
251 [Grimmond et al. \(2010\)](#), which reports on an international effort to understand the complex-
252 ity required to model the surface energy balance in urban areas. [Grimmond et al. \(2010\)](#)
253 compared 33 urban energy balance models with varying degrees of complexity against site
254 observations. One striking conclusion of this comparison is that, overall, the simpler models
255 perform as well as the more complex models.

256 Generally, the simulations reproduce better 2-m temperature and dewpoint depression
257 than 10-m wind speed and direction, for the criteria that we set in this work. The simpler
258 urban parametrization schemes perform as well as the more sophisticated schemes when
259 considering all the statistical metrics, whether all the sites, all the urban sites only, or all
260 the rural sites only are considered. The only significant difference between the different
261 schemes that can be identified in Table 3 is for wind speed in urban areas, for which BEP
262 performs best. The wind speed in urban areas is overestimated when using the bulk urban
263 parametrization scheme and SLUCM while it is slightly underestimated when using BEP. A
264 similar finding was reported by [Salamanca et al. \(2011\)](#). This suggests that the drag effects of
265 buildings are better captured with a multilayer (rather than single layer or bulk) urban canopy
266 model. Interestingly, the inclusion of building anthropogenic fluxes in BEP + BEM does not
267 improve overall model performance compared with BEP. This may be due to inappropriate
268 default parameter values for BEM.

269 The categorization of the urban land cover, according to the fractional area that is built-
270 up within each grid cell, improves the overall performance for SLUCM while it results in
271 similar performance for BEP. When considering the urban sites for SLUCM, *HR* increases
272 by approximately 9, 9, and 13% for 2-m temperature, 2-m dewpoint depression, and 10-
273 m wind speed, respectively, while it decreases by less than 2% for 10-m wind direction
274 (see Table 3). As part of the international urban energy balance model comparison, [Grim-
275 mond et al. \(2011\)](#) also reported that providing surface-cover fractions generally results in
276 better performance, even though a poor choice of parameter values can affect dramatically
277 the performance of models that otherwise perform well.

278 3.3 UHI intensity

279 The UHI intensity is calculated as the difference in 2-m temperature between Westminster -
280 Marylebone Road and Wisley (see sites 1 and 11 in Fig. 2) at a given time. The site at West-
281 minster - Marylebone Road is located in central London in a densely built-up area, which is
282 categorized in the model as low-intensity residential (see Sect. 2). As for the site at Wisley, it
283 is situated in a rural landscape, which is categorized in the model as crop land. Times series
284 of observed and predicted UHI intensity are presented in Fig. 3, where the maximum ob-
285 served UHI intensity is in the range 3 – 5 K. This range of values is similar to that reported
286 for similar conditions and time of the year in London (Bohnenstengel et al. 2011) and other
287 megacities, such as Paris, France (Sarkar and De Ridder 2011). The predicted UHI inten-
288 sity has a similar temporal variability for all the model simulations (S1 to S7). Overall, the
289 model simulations reproduce reasonably well the increase in the UHI intensity after sunset
290 and its decrease before sunrise. There is no clear evidence that using a multilayer or single
291 layer (rather than bulk) urban canopy model improves the representation of the intensity of
292 the UHI. The categorization of the urban land cover, according to the fractional area that is
293 built-up within each grid cell, leads to improved predictions of the UHI intensity.

294 The UHI intensity is underpredicted by the model by 2 – 3 K from 0300 to 0600 UTC
295 on 7 May 2008 for all the model simulations. The predicted UHI intensity peaks at the
296 same time as the observed UHI intensity. The predicted 2-m temperature at the rural site
297 (Wisley) decreases by less than 1 K from 0300 to 0500 UTC, while its observed counterpart
298 decreases by more than 2 K (not shown). From the model predictions and the limited ob-
299 servations available, there is no indication of any large-scale feature that could be the cause
300 for this discrepancy. This positive 2-m temperature bias in the model during this period was
301 found for only a few sites in low-lying rural areas. For these sites and during this period, the

302 observations indicate that the 2-m dewpoint depression was near to zero (i.e, the near-surface
303 air was close to saturation). Since the sky was clear and the wind was light, it is probable
304 that ground fog had formed. The predicted 2-m dewpoint depression was overestimated by
305 about 1 K when compared to its observed counterpart. The discrepancies for the predicted
306 2-m temperature and dewpoint depression are likely to be the result of local subgrid-scale
307 topographic effects, in relation to soil type, vegetation type and orography, that are not in-
308 cluded in the model. Having said that, we cannot rule out the possible impact of the initial
309 conditions for the soil moisture and temperature.

310 **4 Effects of the marine air intrusion on London's UHI**

311 A caveat is worth noting here. The model results discussed in Sect. 3 are inevitably limited
312 to particular times and sites. It is difficult to assess thoroughly the generality of our results.
313 Even though using a multilayer (rather than single layer or bulk) urban canopy model does
314 not clearly improve the prediction of the intensity of the UHI, it does improve the prediction
315 of its spatial pattern (i.e., similar performance for urban and rural sites) as can be seen
316 in Table 3. Since BEP + BEM does not significantly improve results compared to using
317 BEP alone, we focus our attention in the following to results of simulation S6 (CEH +
318 IGBP/MODIS and BEP, see Table 2).

319 The time evolution of the spatial distribution of predicted and observed 2-m temperature
320 in the subset of Domain 4 used for analysis of model results (see Fig. 1b) for simulation S6
321 (CEH + IGBP/MODIS and BEP, see Table 2) is presented on 7 May 2008 at 0900, 1200,
322 1500, 1800, and 2100 UTC in Fig. 4. The signature of London's UHI is clearly discernible,
323 and predicted near-surface temperatures are in good agreement with their observed coun-
324 terparts. Topographic influences are evident in Fig. 4, where air is cooler above the higher

325 orographic features than in the low-lying areas. Such thermal gradients induced by topo-
326 graphic effects in the London area were noted by [Chandler \(1962\)](#). The advection of cooler
327 air from the North Sea reduces the intensity of the UHI in the windward suburbs and dis-
328 places it 5 to 10 km to the west, in good agreement with observations. The cooling effect of
329 the marine air intrusion diminishes progressively over the course of the night. The thermal
330 centre gradually shifts back toward the City of London borough shortly after midnight (not
331 shown). A similar effect was reported by [Gedzelman et al. \(2003\)](#) for the UHI of New York
332 City during strong sea breezes.

333 During this period of easterly winds, the airflow is channelled through the Weald, the
334 North Downs and Medway Gap (see also Fig. 1b). During daytime, the air temperature
335 rises more over land than over the sea. A baroclinic zone organized as a SBF develops
336 at the transition between the continental and marine air masses. From 0900 to 1200 UTC,
337 as the marine air penetrates inland toward the west-south-west sector, the SBF crosses the
338 North Downs east of Medway Gap and interacts with the south-easterly flow, creating a
339 convergence zone (perpendicular to the flow direction), which propagates westward. The air
340 is lifted along the convergence line. This convergence line was also noted by [Bohnenstengel
341 et al. \(2011\)](#) in a numerical simulation of London's UHI on that day.

342 A (passive) tracer was released within the first model layer above the ground surface to
343 investigate the impact of the marine air intrusion on transport characteristics above London's
344 atmosphere. It was initialized at the beginning of the model calculation with a zero mixing
345 ratio everywhere in the atmosphere, except within the first model layer, where its volume
346 mixing ratio was set to 1 ppbv. The time evolution of a west-east vertical cross-section of
347 tracer volume mixing ratio across South London, just north of the North Downs (see Fig. 1b)
348 is shown on 7 May 2008 at 0900, 1200, 1500, 1800 and 2100 UTC in Fig. 5.

349 At 0900 UTC, the tracer is mixed in the growing boundary layer over land. Over the sea,
350 it is confined near the surface into a shallow density current. The leading edge of the den-
351 sity current (i.e., the SBF) is clearly visible, with a tilting of the isolines of virtual potential
352 temperature. At 1200 UTC, the SBF is well developed. Values of the gradient Richardson
353 number at the rear of the leading edge are less than the critical value of 0.25, the condition
354 required for Kelvin-Helmoltz instabilities to develop (Drazin 1958). Even though the gradi-
355 ent Richardson number is required to be less than 0.25 for instabilities to develop, there is
356 evidence that turbulence can exist up to a gradient Richardson number in the order of unity
357 (e.g., Galperin et al. 2007). Kelvin-Helmoltz billows (KHBs) form at the upper boundary
358 of the sea-breeze density current. Trailing KHBs are noticeable at 1200, 1500 and 1800
359 UTC. The existence of well-developed KHBs in the present case study is supported in the
360 observational study of Plant and Keith (2007), which indicates that the formation of distinct
361 KHBs is enhanced for propagation of the SBF with a tail wind and for strong ambient wind
362 speeds.

363 The tracer is lifted by the SBF and vented out of the boundary layer into the free tro-
364 posphere (see for instance Fig. 5d), where the tracer can be transported over long distances.
365 The tracer lifted up by the SBF is also mixed by the KHBs seaward thereby increasing tracer
366 volume mixing ratio above the sea-breeze density current. Cool air advection across London
367 efficiently cleanses the urban area of tracer, increasing tracer concentration downwind.

368 The above description of the marine air intrusion event is the same for all the sensitivity
369 simulations (S1 to S7). However, there are subtle differences related to different parametriza-
370 tions of the urban canopy. As pointed out in Sect. 3, the predicted 10-m wind speed in urban
371 areas tends to be overestimated, when compared to observations, for the simulations using
372 the bulk urban parametrization scheme and SLUCM, while it is generally underestimated
373 for the simulations using BEP. Times series of observed and predicted 10-m wind speed and

374 2-m temperature at London City (see site 22 in Fig. 2) are presented in Fig. 6. The predicted
375 10-m wind speed is systematically underestimated at this site when using BEP while it is
376 reasonably well captured when using the bulk urban parametrization scheme and SLUCM.
377 The underestimation of the 10-m wind speed when using BEP is more pronounced during
378 the marine air intrusion event when it reaches about 3 m s^{-1} . The differences in terms of
379 predicted 2-m temperature between the simulations using different urban parametrization
380 schemes, at this site, are not as marked as those for the 10-m wind speed. The predicted
381 2-m temperature is within $1 - 2 \text{ K}$ of its observed counterpart for all the sensitivity simula-
382 tions. Interestingly, the agreement remains good during the marine air intrusion event. This
383 indicates that there is a delicate balance between the effects of thermal advection and urban-
384 ization on near-surface fields, which depend, inter alia, on the parametrization of the urban
385 canopy and the urban land-cover distribution. A quantification of these effects requires a
386 carefully designed idealized case study, which is kept in mind for future work. For instance,
387 in order to quantify the effects of thermal advection, one could consider London as a series
388 of strips perpendicular to the wind direction, and investigate the effects of sequentially re-
389 placing the strips at the upwind edge of the city by non-urban strips until it consists of only
390 non-urban strips.

391 **5 Concluding remarks**

392 This modelling work documented the response of London's UHI to a marine air intrusion
393 (including a sea-breeze front), in an easterly wind regime, for a case study of 7 May 2008.
394 Simulations were performed with the WRF model, version 3.2.1, on multiple grids using
395 one-way nesting with the innermost domain covering London and its rural surroundings
396 with a horizontal grid resolution of 1 km.

397 A sensitivity study was undertaken to assess how the categorization of the urban land
398 cover and the parametrization of the urban canopy in the WRF model affect its performance
399 characteristics for the near-surface air temperature, dewpoint depression, and wind fields
400 (see Sect. 3). It was demonstrated that the WRF model is capable of reproducing those
401 fields with a horizontal grid resolution of 1 km, for this case study and at the locations of
402 the considered monitoring sites. It was shown that no single simulation provides the overall
403 best or worst performance for all the near-surface fields considered. The categorization of
404 the urban land cover, according to the fractional area that is built-up within each grid cell,
405 resulted in better performance for SLUCM and similar performance for BEP. Using a mul-
406 tilayer (rather than single layer or bulk) urban canopy model did not clearly improve the
407 prediction of the intensity of the UHI. Having said that, it did improve the prediction of its
408 spatial pattern (i.e., similar performance for urban and rural sites) as can be seen in Table 3.
409 Providing surface-cover fractions led to improved predictions of the UHI intensity.

410 From our results, we clearly saw evidence of the interaction of the marine air intrusion,
411 in an easterly wind regime, with London's UHI (see Sect. 4). This is a two-way interaction
412 in the sense that the UHI acts to intensify the differential heating between the continental
413 and marine air masses and thus the SBF. The advection of cooler air from the North Sea
414 reduced the intensity of the UHI in the windward suburbs and displaced it 5 to 10 km to
415 the west, in good agreement with observations. Frontal advection across London effectively
416 replaced the air in the urban area as indicated by the tracer experiment. The redistribution of
417 the tracer in the vertical did have a significant impact on near-surface concentration. SBFs
418 may be an important contributor to boundary-layer ventilation in the London area. Marine
419 air intrusions will also affect the behaviour of pollutants downwind, thereby impacting air
420 quality (see also Miller et al. 2003). Results also indicated that there is a delicate balance
421 between the effects of thermal advection and urbanization on near-surface fields, which

422 depend, inter alia, on the parametrization of the urban canopy and the urban land-cover
423 distribution.

424 The UHI intensity varies seasonally, so it would be interesting to evaluate whether the
425 model performs in a similar way for a contrasting winter case study. Further work will
426 include a detailed comparison with field observations to be collected in 2012, such as the
427 comparison by [Lee et al. \(2011\)](#).

428 **Acknowledgements** This study has been conducted as part of the Clean Air for London (ClearfLo) project,
429 funded by the UK National Environment Research Council (NERC). The authors benefited from fruitful
430 discussion with S. I. Bohnenstengel and Prof. S. E. Belcher. MIDAS data was provided by the UK Met
431 Office through the British Atmospheric Data Centre (BADC).

432 **References**

- 433 Arnfield AJ (2003) Two decades of urban climate research: a review of turbulence, exchanges of energy and
434 water, and the urban heat island. *Int J Climatol* 23:1–26
- 435 Arritt RW (1993) Effects of the large-scale flow on characteristics features of the sea breeze. *J Appl Meteorol*
436 32:116–125
- 437 Atkins NT, Wakimoto RM (1997) Influence of the synoptic-scale flow on sea breezes observed during CaPE.
438 *Mon Weather Rev* 125:2112–2130
- 439 Bechtold P, Pinty JP, Mascart P (1991) A numerical investigation of the influence of large-scale winds on
440 sea-breeze- and inland-breeze-type circulations. *J Appl Meteorol* 30:1268–1279
- 441 Bohnenstengel SI, Evans S, Clark PA, Belcher SE (2011) Simulations of the London urban heat island.
442 *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 137:1625–1640
- 443 Bougeault P, Lacarrère P (1989) Parameterization of orography-induced turbulence in a mesobeta-scale
444 model. *Mon Weather Rev* 117:1872–1290
- 445 Chandler TJ (1962) London's urban climate. *Geogr J* 128:279–298
- 446 Chen F, Dudhia J (2001) Coupling an advanced land-surface/hydrology model with the Penn State/NCAR
447 MM5 modeling system. Part I: model implementation and sensitivity. *Mon Weather Rev* 129:569–585

- 448 Chen F, Kusaka H, Bornstein R, Ching J, Grimmond CSB, Grossman-Clarke S, Loridan T, Manning KW,
449 Martilli A, Miao S, Sailor D, Salamanca FP, Taha H, Tewari M, Wang X, Wyszogrodzki AA, Zhang C
450 (2011) The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development, evaluation, and applications to urban
451 environmental problems. *Int J Climatol* 31:273–288
- 452 Cox R, Bauer BL, Smith T (1998) A mesoscale model intercomparison. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 79:265–283
- 453 Crosman ET, Horel JD (2010) Sea and lake breezes: a review of numerical studies. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol*
454 137:1–29
- 455 Damato F, Planchon O, Dubreuil V (2003) A remote-sensing study of the inland penetration of sea-breeze
456 fronts from the English Channel. *Weather* 58:219–226
- 457 Dandou A, Tombrou M, Soulakellis N (2009) The influence of the City of Athens on the evaluation of the sea
458 breeze front. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 131:35–51
- 459 Drazin PG (1958) The stability of a shear layer in an unbounded heterogeneous inviscid fluid. *J Fluid Mech*
460 4:214–224
- 461 Eastwood E, Rider GC (1961) A radar observation of a sea-breeze front. *Nature* 189:978–980
- 462 Estoque MA (1962) The sea breeze as a function of the prevailing synoptic situation. *J Atmos Sci* 19:244–250
- 463 Findlater J (1964) The sea-breeze and inland convection. *Meteorol Mag* 93:82–89
- 464 Freitas ED, Rozoff CM, Cotton WM, Silvia Dias PL (2007) Interactions of an urban heat island and sea
465 breeze circulations during winter over the metropolitan area of Sao Paulo. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol*
466 122:43–65
- 467 Galperin B, Sukoriansky S, Anderson PS (2007) On the critical Richardson number in stably stratified turbu-
468 lence. *Atmos Sci Lett* 8:65–69
- 469 Gedzelman SD, Austin S, Cermak R, Stefano N, Partridge S, Quesenberry S, Robinson DA (2003) Mesoscale
470 aspects of the Urban Heat Island around New York City. *Theor Appl Climatol* 75:29–42
- 471 Gemmill W, Katz B, Li X (2007) Daily Real-Time Global Sea Surface Temperature - High-Resolution anal-
472 ysis: RTG_SST_HR. NCEP/EMC Office Note No. 260, NOAA/NCEP, Camp Springs, MD, USA, 39
473 pp.
- 474 Giridharan R, Kolokotroni M (2009) Urban heat island characteristics in london during winter. *Sol Energy*
475 83:1668–1682
- 476 Grell GA, Dévényi D (2002) A generalized approach to parameterizing convection combining ensemble and
477 data assimilation techniques. *Geophys Res Lett* 121:D14/I693

- 478 Grimmond CSB, Blackett M, Best MJ, Barlow J, 30 co-authors (2010) The international urban energy balance
479 models comparison project: first results from Phase 1. *J Appl Meteorol Climatol* 49:1268–1292
- 480 Grimmond CSB, Blackett M, Best MJ, 33 co-authors (2011) Initial results from Phase 2 of the international
481 urban energy balance model comparison. *Int J Climatol* 31:244–272
- 482 Iacono MJ, Delamere JS, Mlawer EJ, Shephard SA, Clough SA, Collins WD (2008) Radiative forcing
483 by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models. *J Geophys Res*
484 113:D13,103, DOI 10.1029/2008JD009944
- 485 Kolokotroni M, Giridharan R (2008) Urban heat island intensity in London: An investigation of the impact of
486 physical characteristics on changes in outdoor air temperature during summer. *Sol Energy* 82:986–998
- 487 Kusaka H, Kimura F (2004) Coupling a single-layer urban canopy model with a simple atmospheric model:
488 impact on urban heat island simulation for an idealized case. *J Meteorol Soc Jpn* 82:67–80
- 489 Kusaka H, Kimura F, Hirakuchi H, Mizutori M (2000) The effects of land-use alteration on the sea breeze
490 and daytime heat island in the Tokyo metropolitan area. *J Meteorol Soc Jpn* 78:405–420
- 491 Kusaka H, Kondo H, Kikegawa Y, Kimura F (2001) A simple single-layer urban canopy model for atmo-
492 spheric models: comparison with multi-layer and slab models. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 101:329–358
- 493 Lee SH, Kim SW, Angevine WM, Bianco L, McKeen SA, Senff CJ, Trainer M, Tucker SC, Zamora RJ (2011)
494 Evaluation of urban surface parameterizations in the WRF model using measurements during the Texas
495 Air Quality Study 2006 field campaign. *Atmos Chem Phys* 11:2127–2143
- 496 Lemonsu A, Bastin S, Masson V, Drobinski P (2006) Vertical structure of the urban boundary layer over
497 Marseille under sea-breeze conditions. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 118:477–501
- 498 Liu Y, Chen F, Warner T, Basara J (2006) Verification of a mesoscale data-assimilation and forecasting system
499 for the Oklahoma City area during the Joint Urban 2003 field project. *J Appl Meteorol Climatol* 45:912–
500 929
- 501 Marshall WAL (1950) Sea-breeze across London. *Meteorol Mag* 79:165–168
- 502 Martilli A, Clappier A, Rotach MW (2002) An urban surface exchange parameterization for mesoscale mod-
503 els. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 104:261–304
- 504 Masson V (2006) Urban surface modeling and the meso-scale impact of cities. *Theor Appl Climatol* 84:35–45
- 505 Miller STK, Keim BD, Talbot RW, Mao H (2003) Sea breeze: Structure, forecasting, and impacts. *Rev Geo-*
506 *phys* 41:1011, DOI 10.1029/2003RG000124

- 507 Morrison H, Thompson G, Tatarskii V (2009) Impact of cloud microphysics on the development of trail-
508 ing stratiform precipitation in a simulated squall line: Comparison of one and two-moment schemes.
509 *Mon Weather Rev* 137:991–1006
- 510 Ohashi Y, Kida H (2002) Local circulations developed in the vicinity of both coastal and inland urban areas:
511 A numerical study with a mesoscale atmospheric model. *J Appl Meteorol* 41:30–45
- 512 Ohashi Y, Kida H (2004) Local circulations developed in the vicinity of both coastal and inland urban areas.
513 Part II: Effects of urban and mountain areas on moisture transport. *J Appl Meteorol* 43:119–133
- 514 Oke TR (1982) The energetic basis of the urban heat island. *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 108:1–24
- 515 Plant RS, Keith GJ (2007) Occurrence of Kelvin-Helmholtz billows in sea-breeze circulations. *Boundary-*
516 *Layer Meteorol* 122:1–15
- 517 Rotach MW (1993) Turbulence close to a rough urban surface, Part II: Variances and gradients. *Boundary-*
518 *Layer Meteorol* 66:75–92
- 519 Salamanca F, Martilli A (2010) A new Building Energy Model coupled with an Urban Canopy Parame-
520 terization for urban climate simulations – part II: Validation with one dimension off-line simulations.
521 *Theor Appl Climatol* 99:345–356
- 522 Salamanca F, Martilli A, Tewari M, Chen F (2011) A study of the urban boundary layer using different urban
523 parameterizations and high-resolution urban canopy parameters with wrf. *J Appl Meteorol Climatol*
524 50:1107–1128
- 525 Sarkar A, De Ridder K (2011) The urban heat island intensity of paris: a case study based on a simple urban
526 surface parametrization. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 138:511–520
- 527 Schlünzen KH, Sokhi RS (2008) Overview of tools and methods for meteorological and air pollution
528 mesoscale model evaluation and user training. Joint Report of COST Action 728 and GURME, GAW
529 Report No. 181, WMO/TD-No. 1457, WMO, Geneva, Switzerland, 121 pp.
- 530 Simpson JE, Mansfield DA, Milford JR (1977) Inland penetration of sea-breeze fronts. *Q J R Meteorol Soc*
531 103:47–76
- 532 Skamarock WC, Klemp JB, Dudhia J, Gill DO, Barker DM, Duda MG, Huang XY, Wang W, Powers JG
533 (2008) A description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3. NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-
534 475+STR, NCAR, Boulder, CO, USA, 125 pp.
- 535 Stauffer DR, Seaman N (1990) Use of Four-Dimensional Data Assimilation in a limited-area mesoscale
536 model. Part I: Experiments with synoptic-scale data. *Mon Weather Rev* 118:1250–1277

- 537 Sumner GN (1977) Sea breeze occurrence in hilly terrain. *Weather* 32:200–208
- 538 Thompson WT, Holt T, Pullen J (2007) Investigation of a sea breeze front in an urban environment. *Q J R Me-*
539 *eteorol Soc* 133:579–594
- 540 UK Meteorological Office (2006) MIDAS Land Surface Stations data (1853–current). NCAS British Atmo-
541 *spheric Data Centre*. http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/view/badc.nerc.ac.uk__ATOM__dataent_ukmo-midas. Ac-
542 *cessed 2 February 2012*
- 543 Watkins R, Palmer J, Kolokotroni M, Littlefair P (2002) The London Heat Island: results from summertime
544 *monitoring*. *Build Serv Eng Res Technol* 23:97–106
- 545 Yoshikado H (1990) Vertical structure of the sea breeze penetrating through a large urban complex.
546 *J Appl Meteorol* 29:878–891
- 547 Yoshikado H (1992) Numerical study of the daytime urban effect and its interaction with the sea breeze.
548 *J Appl Meteorol* 31:1146–1164
- 549 Zhong S, Takle ES (1993) The effects of large-scale winds on the sea-land-breeze circulations in an area of
550 *complex coastal heating*. *J Appl Meteorol* 32:1181–1195

551 **List of Figures**

552	1	(a) Orography of Domain 3 (see the text and Table 1). The solid and dashed	
553		polylines represent the areas of Domain 4 and a subset of it (see plot b),	
554		respectively. (b) Subset of Domain 4 used for analysis of model results. The	
555		polylines delineate the administrative areas. The red polyline represents the	
556		Greater London area, which encompasses the City of London and the Lon-	
557		don boroughs. Orographic features are shown using contours with shaded	
558		patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled patterns for terrain elevation greater than	
559		100 and 150 m a.m.s.l., respectively)	30

- 560 2 Spatial distribution of the dominant land-cover type in the subset of Domain
 561 4 used for analysis of model results (see Fig. 1b) for (a) the IGBP/MODIS
 562 dataset and (b) the CEH + IGBP/MODIS dataset. The monitoring sites used
 563 for the model evaluation presented in Sect. 3 are indicated by open circles:
 564 1 – Westminster - Marylebone Road, 2 – Woburn, 3 – Luton, 4 – Rotham-
 565 sted, 5 – Stansted, 6 – Shoeburyness, Landwick, 7 – Benson, 8 – St James
 566 Park, 9 – Heathrow, 10 – Northolt, 11 – Wisley, 12 – Kew (Royal Botanic
 567 Gardens), 13 – Gatwick, 14 – Kenley Airfield, 15 – East Malling, 16 – Lydd-
 568 Ashford Airport, 17 – Odiham, 18 – South Farnborough, 19 – Gravesend,
 569 Broadness, 20 – High Wycombe HQSTC, 21 – Biggin Hill, 22 – London
 570 City, 23 – Southend Airport, 24 – London Weather Centre, 25 – Andrews-
 571 field, 26 – Charlwood, 27 – Eton Dorney, and 28 – Heathrow2 (see text for
 572 details). The polylines delineate the administrative areas. Orographic fea-
 573 tures are shown using contours with shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-
 574 filled patterns for terrain elevation greater than 100 and 150 m a.m.s.l., re-
 575 spectively) 31
- 576 3 Time series of observed (● symbols) and predicted (solid/dashed lines) ur-
 577 ban heat island (UHI) intensity, defined as the difference in 2-m temperature
 578 between Westminster - Marylebone Road and Wisley (see sites 1 and 11 in
 579 Fig. 2) at a given time, for the simulations S1 to S7 (see Table 2) for the
 580 period from 6 May 2008 at 1800 UTC to 8 May 2008 at 0600 UTC 32

- 581 4 Spatial distribution of the predicted 2-m temperature in the subset of Do-
 582 main 4 used for analysis of model results (see Fig. 1b) for simulation S6
 583 (CEH + IGBP/MODIS and BEP, see Table 2) on 7 May 2008 at (a) 0900
 584 UTC, (b) 1200 UTC, (c) 1500 UTC, (d) 1800 UTC and (e) 2100 UTC. The
 585 observed 2-m temperatures from the monitoring sites used for the model
 586 evaluation presented in Sect. 3 (see Fig. 2) are reported as filled circles. Pre-
 587 dicted 10-m horizontal wind vectors are superimposed. The polylines delin-
 588 eate the administrative areas. Orographic features are shown using contours
 589 with shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled patterns for terrain elevation
 590 greater than 100 and 150 m a.m.s.l., respectively) 33
- 591 5 West-east vertical cross-section of tracer volume mixing ratio across South
 592 London, just north of the North Downs (see Fig. 1b), for simulation S6 (CEH
 593 + IGBP/MODIS and BEP, see Table 2) on 7 May 2008 at (a) 0900 UTC, (b)
 594 1200 UTC, (c) 1500 UTC, (d) 1800 UTC and (e) 2100 UTC. Predicted two-
 595 dimensional wind vectors in that vertical cross-section are superimposed.
 596 Isolines of virtual potential temperature are indicated as solid lines with 1 K
 597 interval contours. Richardson number values are shown using contours with
 598 shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled for values lesser than 0.5 and
 599 0.25, respectively). The black strip along the ground surface indicates the
 600 urban area of London 34
- 601 6 Time series of observed (● symbols) and predicted (solid/dashed lines) 10-
 602 m wind speed (a) and 2-m temperature (b) at London City (see site 22 in
 603 Fig. 2), for the simulations S1 to S7 (see Table 2) for the period from 6 May
 604 2008 at 1800 UTC to 8 May 2008 at 0600 UTC 35

Tables**Table 1** Spatial coverage and horizontal resolution of the grids used for the simulations

Domain	Typical extent	Grid points (E-W \times N-S)	Grid size (km)
Domain 1	North Atlantic, Europe, and North Africa	192 \times 128	48
Domain 2	Europe	321 \times 257	12
Domain 3	UK and Republic of Ireland	256 \times 256	4
Domain 4	South-east England	257 \times 257	1

Table 2 Description of the simulations used for the sensitivity experiments

Run	Land-cover dataset	Urban parametrization scheme
S1	IGBP/MODIS	Bulk parametrization
S2	IGBP/MODIS	SLUCM
S3	IGBP/MODIS	BEP
S4	IGBP/MODIS	BEP + BEM
S5	CEH + IGBP/MODIS	SLUCM
S6	CEH + IGBP/MODIS	BEP
S7	CEH + IGBP/MODIS	BEP + BEM

Table 3 Domain-wide statistics for hourly mean near-surface fields (2-m temperature, 2-m dewpoint depression, 10-m wind speed, and 10-m wind direction), considering all predicted/observed pairs of values from the sites reported in Fig. 2 for the period from 6 May 2008 at 1800 UTC to 8 May 2008 at 0600 UTC. The statistical metrics that are reported here, and defined in the text, namely mean bias (*MB*), mean absolute error (*MAE*) and hit rate (*HR*), are given for the simulations S1 to S7 (see text), considering all the sites, all the urban sites only, and all the rural sites only. The values that are reported in bold font do not fulfill the performance criteria set in Sect. 3.2

2-m temperature									
Run	<i>MB</i> (K)			<i>MAE</i> (K)			<i>HR</i> (%)		
	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural
S1	0.21	0.07	0.50	0.81	0.80	0.95	93.97	95.48	89.45
S2	-0.39	-0.89	0.05	0.95	1.29	0.92	89.95	83.42	87.94
S3	0.05	-0.37	0.37	0.80	0.91	0.90	93.97	92.46	90.96
S4	0.07	-0.30	0.40	0.80	0.88	0.91	93.97	91.96	90.96
S5	-0.29	-0.43	0.17	0.93	1.06	0.95	89.95	92.46	85.43
S6	0.19	0.20	0.44	0.81	0.81	0.94	94.47	94.47	89.45
S7	0.22	0.27	0.46	0.83	0.83	0.96	93.47	92.97	89.45

2-m dewpoint depression									
Run	<i>MB</i> (K)			<i>MAE</i> (K)			<i>HR</i> (%)		
	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural
S1	0.67	0.93	0.64	1.46	1.84	1.35	73.87	63.82	77.39
S2	-0.09	-0.84	0.14	1.22	1.62	1.17	80.91	70.85	79.90
S3	0.45	-0.29	0.49	1.34	1.62	1.26	76.88	73.37	78.39
S4	0.47	-0.19	0.51	1.36	1.63	1.28	75.38	72.86	77.39
S5	0.04	-0.28	0.24	1.23	1.40	1.19	79.90	79.90	78.89
S6	0.62	0.39	0.56	1.41	1.60	1.28	74.87	71.86	79.40
S7	0.65	0.46	0.58	1.45	1.63	1.30	73.87	71.86	78.89

10-m wind speed									
Run	<i>MB</i> (K)			<i>MAE</i> (K)			<i>HR</i> (%)		
	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural
S1	2.05	2.47	1.63	2.36	2.64	1.94	16.08	17.09	23.62
S2	1.76	1.82	1.54	2.12	2.12	1.90	21.61	22.61	25.13
S3	1.28	-0.01	1.59	1.75	1.30	1.90	28.64	46.23	25.63
S4	1.28	0.01	1.59	1.75	1.29	1.91	28.14	46.23	25.13
S5	1.77	1.34	1.53	2.13	1.78	1.89	22.11	35.18	26.63
S6	1.11	-0.44	1.55	1.68	1.38	1.87	33.17	42.71	29.65
S7	1.12	-0.42	1.55	1.68	1.38	1.87	32.66	42.21	29.65

10-m wind direction									
Run	<i>MB</i> (K)			<i>MAE</i> (K)			<i>HR</i> (%)		
	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural
S1	-6.26	2.59	-11.62	27.64	26.79	38.93	84.42	81.91	76.38
S2	-4.06	1.99	-4.10	26.33	27.88	35.48	84.42	79.90	75.88
S3	-8.29	-0.21	-12.44	27.31	26.47	38.57	84.42	70.90	76.38
S4	-8.19	0.08	-12.37	27.30	26.46	38.58	84.42	79.90	76.38
S5	-2.26	-5.45	0.09	25.18	35.80	29.33	85.43	78.39	76.88
S6	-8.52	-15.64	-1.67	27.33	38.47	30.34	84.42	76.88	76.88
S7	-8.40	-15.39	-1.64	27.35	38.47	30.40	84.93	77.39	76.88

Figures




Fig. 1 (a) Orography of Domain 3 (see the text and Table 1). The solid and dashed polylines represent the areas of Domain 4 and a subset of it (see plot b), respectively. (b) Subset of Domain 4 used for analysis of model results. The polylines delineate the administrative areas. The red polyline represents the Greater London area, which encompasses the City of London and the London boroughs. Orographic features are shown using contours with shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled patterns for terrain elevation greater than 100 and 150 m a.m.s.l., respectively)




Fig. 2 Spatial distribution of the dominant land-cover type in the subset of Domain 4 used for analysis of model results (see Fig. 1b) for (a) the IGBP/MODIS dataset and (b) the CEH + IGBP/MODIS dataset. The monitoring sites used for the model evaluation presented in Sect. 3 are indicated by open circles: 1 – Westminster - Marylebone Road, 2 – Woburn, 3 – Luton, 4 – Rothamsted, 5 – Stansted, 6 – Shoeburyness, Landwick, 7 – Benson, 8 – St James Park, 9 – Heathrow, 10 – Northolt, 11 – Wisley, 12 – Kew (Royal Botanic Gardens), 13 – Gatwick, 14 – Kenley Airfield, 15 – East Malling, 16 – Lydd-Ashford Airport, 17 – Odiham, 18 – South Farnborough, 19 – Gravesend, Broadness, 20 – High Wycombe HQSTC, 21 – Biggin Hill, 22 – London City, 23 – Southend Airport, 24 – London Weather Centre, 25 – Andrewsfield, 26 – Charlwood, 27 – Eton Dorney, and 28 – Heathrow2 (see text for details). The polylines delineate the administrative areas. Orographic features are shown using contours with shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled patterns for terrain elevation greater than 100 and 150 m a.m.s.l., respectively)




Fig. 3 Time series of observed (● symbols) and predicted (solid/dashed lines) urban heat island (UHI) intensity, defined as the difference in 2-m temperature between Westminster - Marylebone Road and Wisley (see sites 1 and 11 in Fig. 2) at a given time, for the simulations S1 to S7 (see Table 2) for the period from 6 May 2008 at 1800 UTC to 8 May 2008 at 0600 UTC




Fig. 4 Spatial distribution of the predicted 2-m temperature in the subset of Domain 4 used for analysis of model results (see Fig. 1b) for simulation S6 (CEH + IGBP/MODIS and BEP, see Table 2) on 7 May 2008 at (a) 0900 UTC, (b) 1200 UTC, (c) 1500 UTC, (d) 1800 UTC and (e) 2100 UTC. The observed 2-m temperatures from the monitoring sites used for the model evaluation presented in Sect. 3 (see Fig. 2) are reported as filled circles. Predicted 10-m horizontal wind vectors are superimposed. The polylines delineate the administrative areas. Orographic features are shown using contours with shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled patterns for terrain elevation greater than 100 and 150 m a.m.s.l., respectively)




Fig. 5 West-east vertical cross-section of tracer volume mixing ratio across South London, just north of the North Downs (see Fig. 1b), for simulation S6 (CEH + IGBP/MODIS and BEP, see Table 2) on 7 May 2008 at (a) 0900 UTC, (b) 1200 UTC, (c) 1500 UTC, (d) 1800 UTC and (e) 2100 UTC. Predicted two-dimensional wind vectors in that vertical cross-section are superimposed. Isolines of virtual potential temperature are indicated as solid lines with 1 K interval contours. Richardson number values are shown using contours with shaded patterns (hashed- and stipple-filled for values lesser than 0.5 and 0.25, respectively). The black strip along the ground surface indicates the urban area of London




Fig. 6 Time series of observed (\bullet symbols) and predicted (solid/dashed lines) 10-m wind speed (**a**) and 2-m temperature (**b**) at London City (see site 22 in Fig. 2), for the simulations S1 to S7 (see Table 2) for the period from 6 May 2008 at 1800 UTC to 8 May 2008 at 0600 UTC