



RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON LAW ET AL.

Suboptimal Nocturnal Glucose Control Is Associated With Large for Gestational Age in Treated Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:810–815

Diabetes Care 2019;42:e123–e124 | <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0018>

We thank Foussard et al. (1) for their insightful comments on our recent article (2). We demonstrated that women with gestational diabetes mellitus who subsequently have a large for gestational age (LGA) infant run significantly higher glucose overnight, detectable by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), at 32 weeks' gestation than those women who do not go on to have an LGA infant (2). We speculate that there may be several reasons why this is observed.

Foussard et al. (1) suggest that, irrespective of the cause, NPH insulin administered in the evening should be considered the treatment of choice because its peak action coincides with the relative nocturnal hyperglycemia we demonstrated. Our own clinical practice has been to use a long-acting insulin analog (e.g., detemir or glargine) overnight to target a raised fasting self-monitored blood glucose (SMBG) and quick-acting analog insulin with meals to specifically target 1-h postprandial SMBG.

None of the women in the present study were therefore treated with NPH insulin, so we are unable to evaluate Foussard's valid hypothesis regarding the potential efficacy of NPH in targeting nocturnal hyperglycemia. It is worth noting that in our study, fewer women in the LGA group were being treated with

insulin ($n = 1$ [7%]) at the time of CGM, compared with the women who subsequently did not have an LGA infant ($n = 20$ [14%]). There were similar numbers of women with and without an LGA infant on metformin (57% vs. 54%). Although we are clearly underpowered to draw definitive conclusions, this perhaps suggests that long-acting analog insulin was effective at preventing a higher glucose overnight.

The effects of different insulins on glucose control and outcomes have been studied in pregnancies affected by diabetes. It is interesting that when NPH and detemir were compared in pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus and type 2 diabetes, NPH was associated with more hypoglycemia (3). In pregnant women with type 1 diabetes, detemir was shown to be more effective at lowering fasting SMBG than NPH (4), and when detemir and glargine were compared, glargine was associated with a lower prevalence of LGA in type 1 diabetes, with no difference observed in glucose control (5). None of these studies included CGM, and while their impact on nocturnal glucose control alone cannot be specifically addressed, they illustrate that the effect of any insulin needs to be considered in the context of managing the whole pregnancy.

Graham R. Law,¹ Alia Alnaji,² Lina Alrefaii,² Del Endersby,³ Sarah J. Cartland,^{2,3} Stephen G. Gilbey,³ Paul E. Jennings,⁴ Helen R. Murphy,⁵ and Eleanor M. Scott^{2,3}

We believe the real challenge lies not in which treatment to choose but in detecting the need for additional treatment in the first place. Only then can we personalize therapy to the glucose profile in the right woman at the right time.

Funding. E.M.S. and G.R.L. were funded by the Higher Education Funding Council for England. A.A. was funded by the Saudi Arabian Government. L.A. was funded by a University of Leeds International Studentship. H.R.M. was funded by the National Institute for Health Research (CDF-2013-06-035).

Duality of Interest. E.M.S. serves on the Abbott Diabetes Care Global Advisory Panel and has received honoraria. H.R.M. serves on the Medtronic European Scientific Advisory Board. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. Foussard N, Cambos S, Poupon P, et al. Comment on Law et al. Suboptimal nocturnal glucose control is associated with large for gestational age in treated gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:810–815 (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:e122. DOI: 10.2337/dci19-0446
2. Law GR, Alnaji A, Alrefaii L, et al. Suboptimal nocturnal glucose control is associated with large for gestational age in treated gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:810–815
3. Herrera KM, Rosenn BM, Foroutan J, et al. Randomized controlled trial of insulin detemir versus NPH for the treatment of pregnant

¹School of Health and Social Care, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K.

²Division of Clinical and Population Sciences, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, U.K.

³Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, U.K.

⁴York NHS Foundation Trust, York, U.K.

⁵Division of Maternal Health, St Thomas' Hospital, King's College London, London, U.K.

Corresponding author: Eleanor M. Scott, e.m.scott@leeds.ac.uk

© 2019 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>.

women with diabetes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2015;213:426.e1–426.e7

4. Mathiesen ER, Hod M, Ivanisevic M, et al.; Detemir in Pregnancy Study Group. Maternal efficacy and safety outcomes in a randomized,

controlled trial comparing insulin detemir with NPH insulin in 310 pregnant women with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:2012–2017

5. Callesen NF, Damm J, Mathiesen JM, Ringholm L, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. Treatment

with the long-acting insulin analogues detemir or glargine during pregnancy in women with type 1 diabetes: comparison of glycaemic control and pregnancy outcome. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2013;26:588–592