# Restricted Maximum Likelihood to estimate variance components for mixed models with two random factors

### Karin MEYER

Institute of Animal Genetics, University of Edinburgh West Mains Road,
Edinburgh EH9 3JN, Scotland U.K.
and
Genetic Improvement of Livestock, Department of Animal and Poultry Science,
University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario NIG 2WI, Canada

# **Summary**

A Restricted Maximum Likelihood procedure is described to estimate variance components for a univariate mixed model with two random factors. An EM-type algorithm is presented with a reparameterisation to speed up the rate of convergence. Computing strategies are outlined for models common to the analysis of animal breeding data, allowing for both a nested and a cross-classified design of the 2 random factors. Two special cases are considered: firstly, the total number of levels of fixed effects is small compared to the number of levels of both random factors; secondly, one fixed effect with a large number of levels is to be fitted in addition to other fixed effects with few levels. A small numerical example is given to illustrate details.

Key words: Restricted Maximum Likelihood, variance component estimation, nested design, full sib family structure.

## Résumé

Estimation des composantes de la variance par le Maximum de Vraisemblance Restreint dans un modèle mixte à deux facteurs aléatoires

Une méthode d'estimation des composantes de la variance par le Maximum de Vraisemblance Restreint est décrite dans le cas d'un modèle mixte à une seule variable avec 2 facteurs aléatoires. Un algorithme de calcul du type E.M. est présenté avec une reparamétrisation pour accélérer la vitesse de convergence. Des stratégies de calcul sont abordées pour les modèles d'analyse génétique les plus courants avec 2 facteurs aléatoires hiérarchiques ou croisés. Deux cas particuliers sont décrits : premièrement, le nombre total de niveaux des effets fixés est faible comparativement à celui des facteurs aléatoires ; deuxièmement, un effet fixé avec un grand nombre de niveaux est ajouté aux précédents. Un petit exemple numérique illustre les détails.

Mots clés : Maximum de Vraisemblance Restreint, estimation des composantes de la variance, modèle hiérarchique, familles de pleins frères.

#### I. Introduction

Recently Maximum Likelihood (ML) and related procedures to estimate variance components for unbalanced data have become popular. Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML), developed by Patterson & Thompson (1971), which in contrast to ML accounts for the loss in degrees of freedom due to fitting fixed effects, has become accepted as the preferred method to estimate variance components for animal breeding data

Henderson (1973) described an EM-type ML algorithm for several uncorrelated random effects, based on the Mixed Model Equations (MME) for Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (BLUP). Its REML analogue (e.g. Harville, 1977; Henderson, 1984) is widely used although it is slower to converge than an algorithm using Fisher's Method of Scoring (Thompson, 1982). However, it is guaranteed to yield non-negative estimates (Harville, 1977). Thompson (1976) outlined an ML procedure to estimate direct and maternal variances. Using small examples Henderson (1984) illustrated REML algorithms for a variety of more complex cases, including models accommodating additive and dominance, direct and maternal effects and a three-way classification where variance component estimates for one random factor and all random interactions were required. His algorithm permits a general form of the matrix of residual errors. In a different context, Laird & Ware (1982) discussed ML and REML estimation for longitudinal data, invoking a two-stage model which accommodated both growth and repeated measurement models.

In spite of well documented theory, most applications of REML in animal breeding have been restricted to models which include only a single random factor apart from the random residual error. This paper describes a univariate REML procedure for models where three variance components are to be estimated. This encompasses cases with 2 uncorrelated random effects and situations where the variance components for one random factor and its random interaction with a fixed effect are of interest. With an appropriate coding for the interaction, the latter is a special case of the 2 random factor model. For animal breeding data, these are commonly sires and dams. Frequently, there are considerably more dams than sires, in particular with artificial insemination, and sires are used across a wider range of fixed effects than dams. The algorithm has been developed with such a data structure in mind and will be presented in terms pertaining to the animal breeding situation.

## II. The model

Let y, of length N, denote the data vector and b, of length NF, denote the vector of fixed effects including any regression coefficients for covariables to be fitted. Similarly let s, of length NS, and d, of length ND, stand for the vectors of the first (e.g. sires) and second (e.g. dams) random effect and e, of length N, stand for the random vector of residuals. X, Z and W are the corresponding design matrices for b, s and d of order N  $\times$  NF, N  $\times$  NS and N  $\times$  ND, respectively. The model of analysis can then be written as:

$$y = Xb + Zs + Wd + e \tag{1}$$

with E(y) = Xb, E(s) = 0, E(d) = 0 and E(e) = 0 and variances and covariances  $V(s) = G_s$ ,  $V(d) = G_p$ , V(e) = R, Cov(s,d') = 0, Cov(s,e') = 0 and Cov(d,e') = 0

Then  $V(y) = V = ZG_SZ' + WG_DW' + R$ . Assuming errors to be uncorrelated and variances to be homogeneous for each random factor, this simplifies to:

$$V = ZA_SZ'\sigma_S^2 + WA_DW'\sigma_D^2 + I_N\sigma_W^2$$
 (2)

where  $\sigma_s^2 = V(s_j)$ ,  $\sigma_D^2 = V(d_k)$  and  $\sigma_w^2 = V(e_m)$  for j = 1, ..., NS, k = 1, ..., ND and m = 1, ..., N.  $A_S$  and  $A_D$  describe the covariance structure among the levels of each of the 2 random effects. In animal breeding terms, assuming an additive genetic model, for sires and dams, these are the numerator relationship matrices.

The MME for (1) are then (HENDERSON, 1973):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}^{-1}} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W} + \lambda_{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{D}^{-1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{d}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

with variance ratios  $\lambda_S = \sigma_w^2/\sigma_S^2$  and  $\lambda_D = \sigma_w^2/\sigma_D^2$  (assumed to be the known parameter values).

# III. REML algorithm

To account for the loss in degrees of freedom due to fitting of fixed effects, REML, in contrast to ML, maximizes only the part of the likelihood of the data vector  $\mathbf{y}$  which is independent of the fixed effects. This is achieved by operating on a vector of so-called « error contrasts »,  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}$ , with  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$  and hence  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$ . A suitable matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  arises when absorbing the fixed into the random effects in (3) (Thompson, 1973).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}^{-1}} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{W} + \lambda_{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{D}^{-1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{d}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

with:

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_{N} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}' \tag{5}$$

Differentiating the log likelihood of Sy with respect to the variance components to be estimated then gives the general REML equations:

$$\mathbf{y'}\mathbf{P}\delta\mathbf{v}/\delta\theta_{i}\ \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} = \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}\delta\mathbf{v}/\delta\theta_{i}) \tag{6}$$

where  $\theta_i$  stands in turn for  $\sigma_s^2$ ,  $\sigma_D^2$  and  $\sigma_w^2$ . P is a projection matrix:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S} \left[ \mathbf{Z} : \mathbf{W} \right] \mathbf{C} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}' \\ \mathbf{W}' \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}$$
(7)

with:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{SS} & \mathbf{C}_{SD} \\ \mathbf{C}_{DS} & \mathbf{C}_{DD} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{S}\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{W} + \lambda_{D}\mathbf{A}_{D} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$
(8)

From (2), the derivatives of V required are:

$$\delta v/\delta\sigma_S^2=ZA_SZ'$$
,  $\delta v/\delta\sigma_D^2=WA_DW'$  and  $\delta v/\delta\sigma_W^2=I_N$ 

This gives the following estimating equations:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{S}^{2} = \left[\hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{SS})\right] / NS \text{ or }$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{S}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} / \left[ NS - \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{cS}) \right]$$
(9)

$$\hat{\sigma}_{D}^{2} = [\hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD})]/ND \text{ or}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{D}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}}/[ND - \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD})]$$
(10)

and:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{W}^{2} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}'\hat{\mathbf{e}}/[NDFW + \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS}) + \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD})]$$
(11)

where  $\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{W}\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{W}\hat{\mathbf{d}})$ ; and NDFW = N - NS - ND - rank(X) denotes the degrees of freedom for residual. Equivalent expressions to (9) to (11) have been given by Harville (1977), Searle (1979) and Henderson (1984). Estimates are usually obtained employing an iterative solution scheme. Above and in the following,  $\sigma_i^2$ , and  $\lambda_i$  (or  $\alpha_i$ ) are then thought of as starting values while a superscript « ^ » denotes estimates for the current round of iteration. These equations, (9) to (11), utilize only first derivatives of the likelihood function, resulting in an EM algorithm (Dempster *et al.*, 1977). Alternatively, the right hand side of (6) can be expanded to include second derivatives, resulting in an algorithm equivalent to Fisher's Method of Scoring. Details are given in the Appendix (A).

While the EM algorithm requires only the diagonal blocks ( $C_{\rm ss}$  and  $C_{\rm DD}$ ) of the inverse of the coefficient matrix for random effects and traces of their simple products with the corresponding inverse of the numerator relationship matrix, off-diagonal blocks and more complicated traces are required for the Method of Scoring algorithm (see (A3) in relation to (9) to (11)). Hence computational requirements per round of iteration for the latter are considerably higher. Though the EM algorithm can be slow to converge, in particular for ratios of variance components common to animal breeding data (Thompson, 1982) it is often preferred for its computational ease and the fact that it guarantees estimates in the parameter space.

## IV. Reparameterisation

Thompson & Meyer (1986) described a reparameterisation to speed up convergence of a REML algorithm based on first derivatives of the likelihood function. It was derived considering the expectations of mean squares, resulting from the orthogonal partitioning of sums of squares due to factors in the model, in a balanced design. For a model with one random factor, for instance, where the variance components within  $(\sigma_w^2)$  and between  $(\sigma_B^2)$  random groups are of interest, it was suggested to estimate parameters  $\alpha_w = \sigma_w^2$  and  $\alpha_B = \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_w^2/K$ . The latter is the variance of a group mean if K is the group size. For  $K \to \infty$ ,  $\alpha_B$  reduces to  $\sigma_B^2$ . For a balanced design with K equal to the group size, estimates of  $\alpha_B$  and  $\alpha_W$  were obtained in one round of iteration. For the unbalanced case a value of K equal to the average group size increased speed of convergence markedly over the EM algorithm on the original scale  $(K = \infty)$ , especially if  $\sigma_B^2$  was small compared to  $\alpha_W^2$ .

# A. Nested design

For a model with 2 random factors it is necessary to distinguish between a nested and a cross-classified design. If the second random factor, for instance dams (d), is nested within the first, for instance sires (s), expectations of mean squares in a balanced hierarchical analysis of variance suggest a reparameterisation to  $\alpha_{\rm w}=\sigma_{\rm w}^2$ ,  $\alpha_{\rm D}=\sigma_{\rm D}^2+\sigma_{\rm w}^2/K_{\rm D}$  and  $\alpha_{\rm s}=\sigma_{\rm s}^2+\alpha_{\rm D}/K_{\rm s}=\sigma_{\rm s}^2+\sigma_{\rm D}^2/K_{\rm s}+\sigma_{\rm w}^2/K_{\rm s}K_{\rm D}$ . Thompson & Meyer (1986) demonstrated for  $K_{\rm D}$  equal to the average dam group size and  $K_{\rm s}$  equal to the average number of dams per sire a considerable reduction in rounds of iteration required for convergence, as compared to values of  $K_{\rm s}=K_{\rm D}=\infty$ . Again, in the balanced case estimates were obtained in one round.

Differentiating the log likelihood of Sy with respect to the new parameters  $\alpha_S$ ,  $\alpha_D$  and  $\alpha_W$  and equating the resulting expressions to zero, « improved » estimates for the three variance components can be derived. The first variance component,  $\sigma_S^2$ , is derived as before, i.e. according to (9), while (10) is replaced by:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{D}^{2} = \left[\hat{\mathbf{d}}'\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{d}} - (\sigma_{D}^{2}/\sigma_{S}^{2})^{2}/\mathbf{K}_{S}\hat{\mathbf{s}}'\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{s}}\right] /$$

$$\left(ND - \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) - (\sigma_{D}^{2}/\sigma_{S}^{2}) /$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{S} \left[NS - \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS})\right]\right)$$
(12)

The residual variance is then found as:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{w}^{2} = \left[ \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Z} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{W} \hat{\mathbf{d}} - \lambda_{s} \hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{s}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - \lambda_{D} \left( 1 + \lambda_{D} / \mathbf{K}_{D} \right) \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} \right] /$$

$$\left( \mathbf{N} - \operatorname{rank} \left( \mathbf{X} \right) - \left[ \mathbf{N} \mathbf{S} - \lambda_{s} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{A}_{s}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{ss}) \right] - (1 + \lambda_{D} / \mathbf{K}_{D}) \left[ \mathbf{N} \mathbf{D} - \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right] \right)$$

$$(13)$$

Clearly, (12) and (13) reduce to (10) and (11) respectively, if  $K_S$  and  $K_D$  are  $\infty$ .

Alternatively, an estimator of the general form:

$$\hat{\theta}_{i} = \theta_{i} + [\theta_{i} (\delta L/\delta \theta_{i}) \theta_{i}]/M \tag{14}$$

can be used to determine  $\theta_i = \alpha_S$ ,  $\alpha_D$  and  $\alpha_W$ , where  $\delta L/\theta_i$  denotes the partial derivative of the log likelihood of Sy with respect to  $\theta_i$ . M stands for the number of levels or

degrees of freedom pertaining to the respective random factor (see Thompson & Meyer (1986) for a reasoning for the latter). Estimates of the variance components are then found as  $\hat{\sigma}_{w}^{2} = \hat{\alpha}_{w}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_{D}^{2} = \hat{\alpha}_{D} - \hat{\alpha}_{w}/k_{D}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{S}^{2} = \hat{\alpha}_{S} - \hat{\alpha}_{D}/K_{S}$ .

This implies that, in contrast to the scheme above (i.e. (12) and (13)), estimates of  $\sigma_w^2$  and  $\sigma_D^2$  rather than the starting values are used in back transforming from the reparameterised to the original scale. This appears to be advantageous. For  $\theta_i = \alpha_S$ ,  $\alpha_D$  and  $\alpha_W$  in turn, this gives (from 14):

$$\hat{\alpha}_{S} = \alpha_{S} + (\alpha_{S}/\sigma_{S}^{2})^{2} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - NS\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{SS}) \right] / (NS - 1)$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{D} = \alpha_{D} + (\alpha_{D}/\sigma_{D}^{2})^{2} \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} - ND\sigma_{D}^{2} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right] - (\sigma_{D}^{2}/\sigma_{S}^{2})^{2} / K_{S}$$

$$\left[ (\hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - NS\sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{SS}) \right] / (ND - NS)$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{w} = \alpha_{w} + \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{e}}' \hat{\mathbf{e}} - (NDFW + \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{SS}) + \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right) \sigma_{w}^{2} \right]$$

$$- \lambda_{D}^{2} / K_{D} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} - ND\sigma_{D}^{2} + \sigma_{w}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right] / NDFW$$

$$(17)$$
Obviously, with  $\alpha_{W} = \sigma_{W}^{2}$  rearranging (17) yields (13).

## B. Crossclassified design

Reparameterised variables for the crossclassified design are  $\alpha_W = \sigma_W^2$ ,  $\alpha_D = \sigma_D^2 + \sigma_W^2/K_D$  and  $\alpha_S = \sigma_S^2 + \sigma_W^2/K_S$  where suitable values for  $K_D$  and  $K_S$  may be the average number of records per dam and sire, respectively. From (14),

$$\hat{\alpha}_{D} = \alpha_{D} + (\alpha_{D}/\sigma_{D}^{2})^{2} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} - ND\sigma_{D}^{2} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right] / (ND - 1)$$
(18)

and

$$\hat{\alpha}_{W} = \alpha_{W} + \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{e}}' \hat{\mathbf{e}} - (NDFW + \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS}) + \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right) \sigma_{W}^{2} \right) 
- \lambda_{S}^{2} / K_{S} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - NS \sigma_{S}^{2} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS}) \right] 
- \lambda_{D}^{2} / K_{D} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} - ND \sigma_{D}^{2} + \sigma_{W}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) \right] \right) / NDFW$$
(19)

for  $\theta_i = \alpha_D$  and  $\alpha_W$ , respectively, and (15) for  $\theta_i = \alpha_S$ . Estimates of  $\sigma_W^2$  and  $\sigma_D^2$  are then determined as for the nested design and  $\sigma_S^2 = \hat{\alpha}_S - \hat{\alpha}_W/K_S$ .

# V. Computing strategy

The REML algorithm as described so far centres around the matrix S which is of order equal to the number of observations. For most applications, S cannot be calculated directly but often special features of the data structure can be exploited to obtain the required terms indirectly.

## A. Few fixed effects

Consider a model where the total number of levels of fixed effects, including any regression coefficients for covariables, is small compared to the number of levels of the first random effects.

Assume further that:

- i) there are more levels for the second than for the first random effect
- ii)  $A_D = I_{ND}$
- iii)  $A_S = I_{NS}$

The steps are then:

1) Absorb d into s and b. This gives MME

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

with  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{I}_{N} - \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W} + \lambda_{D}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{W}'$ 

If  $A_D = I_{ND}$ ,  $(W'W + \lambda_D A_D^{-1})$  is diagonal and **d** can be absorbed one level at a time.

2) Absorb s into b giving

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}\ \hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} \tag{21}$$

with 
$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_s \mathbf{A}_s^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}$$

If d is nested within s,  $\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z}$  is diagonal and, for  $\mathbf{A}_S = \mathbf{I}_{NS}$ ,  $(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_S \mathbf{A}_S^{-1})$  is easily inverted.

3) Obtain solutions for the fixed effects as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} \tag{22}$$

and backsolutions for the random effects

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1})^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}\hat{\mathbf{b}})$$
(23)

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{d}} = (\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W} + \lambda_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1})^{-1} (\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\mathbf{b}})$$
(24)

4) The REML algorithm requires traces involving the diagonal blocks,  $C_{ss}$  and  $C_{DD}$ , of the inverse of the coefficient matrix. These can be derived using partitioned matrix results, utilising inverses and matrix products arising during the absorption steps.

Let:

$$H_{\rm E} = (X'MX)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{s} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{s}\mathbf{A}_{s}^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{D}} = (\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W} + \lambda_{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$L_{xs} = X'KZH_s$$

$$L_{yp} = X'WH_p$$

and:

$$L_{SD} = Z'WH_{D}$$

Then:

$$C_{SS} = H_S + H_S Z' K X H_F X' K Z H_S$$
 (25)

and:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{DD}} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{D}} \left[ \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{Z} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{F}} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{K} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{K} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{F}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{SS}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{W} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{D}}$$
(26)

The traces are then:

$$tr(\mathbf{A}_{s}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C}_{ss}) = tr(\mathbf{A}_{s}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}_{s}) + tr(\mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{F}}\mathbf{L}_{xs}\mathbf{A}_{s}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}_{xs}')$$
(27)

and:

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{s}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{D}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}_{s}\mathbf{L}_{SD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_{SD}') + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}_{F}\mathbf{T})$$
(28)

with:

$$T = [X'W : -X'KZH_SZ'W] H_DA_D^{-1}H_D \begin{bmatrix} W'X \\ -W'ZH_SZ'KX \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [\mathbf{L}_{XD} : -\mathbf{L}_{XS}\mathbf{L}_{SD}] \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L'}_{XD} \\ -\mathbf{L'}_{SD}\mathbf{L'}_{XS} \end{bmatrix}$$
(29)

Hence, 3 additional symmetric matrices have to be determined to calculate the required traces indirectly:  $\mathbf{L}_{\text{SD}}\mathbf{A}_{\text{D}}^{-1}\mathbf{L}'_{\text{SD}}$  of order equal to the number of levels of  $\mathbf{s}$ , and  $\mathbf{L}_{\text{xs}}\mathbf{A}_{\text{s}}^{-1}\mathbf{L}'_{\text{xs}}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$ , both of order equal to the total number of levels of fixed effects including any regression coefficients. These can efficiently be calculated when absorbing the random effects.

The quadratics in the vector of random effects,  $\hat{s}'A_s^{-1}\hat{s}$  and  $\hat{d}'A_D^{-1}\hat{d}$ , can be calculated directly. The corresponding term for residuals is then determined as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}'\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{W}\hat{\mathbf{d}} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\mathbf{b}} - \lambda_{S}\hat{\mathbf{s}}'\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{s}} - \lambda_{D}\hat{\mathbf{d}}'\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{d}}$$
(30)

# B. One fixed effect with many levels

Often the model of analysis includes one fixed effect with many levels, too many to pursue the approach described above. Usually, however, there are still considerably more levels of **d** so that it appears appropriate, first to absorb **d** and then to absorb the major fixed effect into **s** and any additional fixed effects or covariables to be fitted. This strategy requires that the levels of **d** are nested within the levels of the major

TABLE 1

Data for numerical example

	Dam	L size	Treatment 1		Treatment 2		Treatment 3	
Sire			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
					Time period I			
1	1 2	4 15	118	106, 109, 125	99 124, 97, 113, 115,	130 137, 112	124 93, 95	119 130, 97
	3	8	108	115	87 106, 116, 136, 103		104	125
	4	13	109, 98	113, 111, 137	101, 116	122, 104	109, 111, 99, 107	
2	6 7	7	88	138, 123	115   116, 116	117, 106 140, 114	119, 104   100	109
3	ıί	8	123, 93, 107	107	110, 110	122, 114		114, 127
	14	11	95	101	117, 103, 107, 76	118, 118, 96	117, 87	
	15	6		91, 123, 124	98		86, 107	
4	17	4			111, 116 111, 106	100	119, 116 102	
	18 19	13	108, 116, 103	136	107, 116, 101, 107, 109	100	112, 124	117, 103
	21 22	5 11	108, 122	117	92 119, 90,	120, 107 128, 105, 111	123, 105 83, 97	
5	24	12	104, 83, 103		101	112, 108, 109, 109	81, 98	118, 120
	26 30	7° 13	102 102, 116	129 106, 117	106, 108 106, 96, 103, 127	123 129	99 116	105 134, 116 126
					Time period II			
1	5	15		108	90, 105, 92, 109, 110, 104, 118, 101, 108	106, 128, 120, 106		130
2	8	16	94	104, 95, 112, 104	89, 101, 97	97, 92, 125	81	115, 99, 105, 108
	9	14	95	85, 117, 114	95, 91, 93	116, 102, 115, 115, 117, 110	91	103, 100
	10	13	108, 93	132, 109	106, 100, 100, 90, 89, 108	118	81, 121	
3	12 13	5 15	103 111, 92, 95	122 102	104 115, 99.	114, 96, 100	85, 108	115, 119 114, 118, 104
	16	14	92	83	94, 111.	110, 108,	83, 100,	108
4	20	9	124		91 114	110, 96 132	110, 108 119, 91, 97, 116	113, 121
5	23 25	8 9	102 118	114 138	129, 96 93, 114	122, 131 140	114 118	115 125, 129, 114
	27	9	98		105, 96, 90, 100	111, 110, 103		102
	28	14		117	102, 96	119, 128, 115, 101, 129, 108, 111, 95,	102, 94	
	29	5	102	122	112, 126	129 102		

fixed effect or at least within a sufficiently small group thereof. Only then can the inverse required to absorb the fixed effect be calculated. A typical example is the analysis of dairy data where a large number of herd-year-season (HYS) effects has to be taken into account. Assuming cows do not change herds, repeated records for a cow, for instance for milking speed or calving ease, are nested within herds. Details for this case are outlined in the Appendix (B).

## VI. Numerical example

Consider records on progeny of 5 sires and 30 dams, subject to 3 treatments in 2 time periods, as summarized in table 1. Dams are nested within sires and within time periods. Let the model of analysis include the 6 time  $\times$  treatment subclasses ( $h_h$ ) and two sexes (b<sub>i</sub>) as fixed effects, litter size  $(X_{hiikl})$  as linear covariable and sires  $(s_i)$  and dams (d<sub>1</sub>) as random factors,

$$Y_{hiikt} = h_h + b_i + s_i + d_k + b_3 (X_{hiikt} - \overline{X}) + e_{hiikt}$$

where  $b_3$  denotes the regression on litter size and  $e_{hijkl}$  the residual error associated with  $Y_{hijkl}$ , the record for the l-th progeny of dam k and sire j and sex i in treatment  $\times$  time class h. Assume both sires and dams are unrelated, i.e.  $A_s = I_{NS}$  and  $A_D = I_{ND}$ 

# A. Absorption strategy for few fixed effects

For  $\sigma_s^2 = 10$ ,  $\sigma_D^2 = 12$  and  $\sigma_W^2 = 120$ , submatrices for time  $\times$  treatment classes in period I are:

$$\mathbf{B}_{1}'\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 32.651 & \text{sym.} \\ -7.952 & 50.999 & \\ -5.552 & -8.856 & 36.855 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{1}'\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{y}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 140.66 \\ 3 & 813.11 \\ 2 & 423.77 \end{bmatrix}.$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{L}_{\text{BD}}\mathbf{A}_{\text{D}}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_{\text{BD}}')_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3095 & & & \text{sym.} \\ 0.3782 & & 0.7576 & \\ 0.2664 & & 0.4478 & & 0.3131 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{B}_1'\mathbf{K}_1\mathbf{X}_{\text{A}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 8.585 & 10.561 & 198.54 \\ 20.845 & 13.345 & 318.09 \\ 15.807 & 6.639 & 205.53 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{B_{1}'K_{1}X_{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 8.585 & 10.561 & 198.54 \\ 20.845 & 13.345 & 318.09 \\ 15.807 & 6.639 & 205.53 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{BD}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}{}^{\mathrm{I}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{XD}}{}^{\prime})_{\mathrm{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5434 & 0.4107 & 10.3124 \\ 0.9586 & 0.6250 & 15.9732 \\ 0.6116 & 0.4167 & 10.2803 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{I}}{}^{\prime}\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{I}}\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.885 & 1.765 & 5.050 & 3.168 & 4.279 \\ 8.190 & 4.118 & 5.069 & 10.602 & 6.211 \\ 5.879 & 2.353 & 3.313 & 6.168 & 4.734 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{1}'\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{Z} = \begin{vmatrix} 4.885 & 1.765 & 5.050 & 3.168 & 4.279 \\ 8.190 & 4.118 & 5.069 & 10.602 & 6.211 \\ 5.879 & 2.353 & 3.313 & 6.168 & 4.734 \end{vmatrix}$$

and:

$$(\mathbf{L}_{\text{BD}} \mathbf{A}_{\text{D}}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{\text{ND}}')_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2683 & 0.0727 & 0.2190 & 0.1731 & 0.2211 \\ 0.4059 & 0.1696 & 0.2474 & 0.4412 & 0.3195 \\ 0.2845 & 0.0969 & 0.1461 & 0.2539 & 0.2459 \end{bmatrix}$$

Absorbing all dams,

$$\mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}_{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 113.438 & sym. \\ -34.596 & 98.165 & \\ 821.58 & 694.31 & 18061. \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 850.17 \\ 7 707.22 \\ 164,276. \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{XD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_{XD}' = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2663 & sym. \\ 1.6165 & 1.5977 \\ 43.330 & 37.289 & 999.91 \end{bmatrix}$$

With dams nested within sires, the coefficient matrix for sires absorbing dams is diagonal.

$$\mathbf{Z'KZ} = \text{Diag.} \{24.954 \quad 25.875 \quad 28.599 \quad 29.119 \quad 33.865\},\$$
 $(\mathbf{Z'Ky})' = (2\ 786.4 \quad 2\ 762.2 \quad 3\ 017.0 \quad 3\ 246.8 \quad 3\ 745.0) \text{ and}$ 
 $\mathbf{L_{SD}}\mathbf{A_{D}^{-1}}\mathbf{L_{SD}}' = \text{Diag.} \{1.3186 \quad 1.3776 \quad 1.4239 \quad 1.2901 \quad 1.6867\}$ 

The first term required to calculate  $tr(C_{DD})$  is  $tr(A_D^{-1}H_D) = 1.57588$ .

Absorbing sires, (sub)matrices corresponding to  $X_A'KX_A$  are:

$$\mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 82.114 & sym. \\ -52.742 & 77.484 \\ 238.41 & 212.17 & 6586.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.7738 & \text{sym.} \\ 0.5933 & 0.5051 & \\ 14.447 & 11.874 & 285.01 \end{bmatrix} \text{ of } \mathbf{L}_{XS} \mathbf{A}_{S} \mathbf{L}_{XS}$$

The first term in (27) is then  $tr(\mathbf{A}_S | \mathbf{H}_S) = 0.1752778$ , and the second term in (28) is  $tr(\mathbf{H}_S \mathbf{L}_{SD} \mathbf{A}_D | \mathbf{L}_{SD}') = 0.1242176$ .

With more than one fixed effect fitted, the coefficient matrix is not of full rank. Hence the row and column of X'MX pertaining to the first level of each additional, i.e. other than the first, fixed effect are set to zero. Obtaining a generalized inverse gives  $tr(\mathbf{H_F L_{xs} A_s^{-1} L_{xs'}}) = 0.0634841$ ,  $tr(\mathbf{H_F T}) = 0.1160263$ ,  $tr(\mathbf{A_s^{-1} C_{ss}}) = 0.1877017$  and  $tr(\mathbf{A_p^{-1} C_{DD}}) = 1.867190$ .

Corresponding results pursuing a computing strategy suitable for a model with one fixed effect with many levels are given in the Appendix (C).

# B. Solutions

For both computing strategies, solutions (or backsolutions) for the fixed effects are  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}' = [112.672\ 112.862\ 111.485\ 110.480\ 111.532\ 111.116]$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{a}}' = [0\ 11.349\ -0.71834]$ , while sire and dam effects are predicted as  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}' = [2.4608\ -1.3884\ -2.8995\ 1.4868\ 0.3403]$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = [0.1614\ 0.6646\ 0.930\ ...\ 0.1335\ 3.5630]$ . This gives products of solutions and right hand sides  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{y} = -85.022.4$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y} = 3.576,705.2$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}'\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{y} = 285.5$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{d}}'\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} = 2\ 636.4$ . With a total sum of squares (SS) of 3,526,153, the residual SS is 31,548.2. The quadratics required in the estimation equations are then  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}'\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{s}} = 18.716404$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{d}}'\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{d}} = 119.472337$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}'\hat{\mathbf{e}} = 30,128.9$ .

The EM algorithm on the original scale gives estimates  $\sigma_s^2 = 8.2481$  (first line of (9)) or  $\sigma_s^2 = 6.8120$  (second line of (9)),  $\sigma_D^2 = 11.4512$  (first line of (10)) or  $\sigma_D^2 = 10.5465$  (second line of (10)) and  $\sigma_w^2 = 110.7988$  (eq. (11)). The average number of progeny per dam is  $k_D = 294/30 = 9.8$  and the average number of dams per sire  $k_S = 30/5 = 6.0$ . This gives  $\alpha_D = 24.2449$  and  $\alpha_S = 14.0408$ . Using estimators of form (14) then gives  $\hat{\alpha}_S = 9.72366$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_D = 21.89974$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_w = \hat{\sigma}_w^2 = 110.70115$  (from (15), (16) and (17)) with estimates of the original components of  $\hat{\sigma}_D^2 = 10.6037$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_S^2 = 6.0737$ . Estimates for subsequent rounds of iteration are given in table 2 for both the reparameterisation (using (15), (16) and (17)) and the «better» version of the EM algorithm on the original scale (using (11) and the second lines of (9) and (10)).

Received November 12, 1985. Accepted September 5, 1986.

Table 2
Estimates over rounds of iteration for the numerical example.

Round	$\hat{\sigma}_{ extsf{S}}^{2}$ .	$\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{D}}^2$	$\boldsymbol{\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{W}}^2}$	
	Starting			
0	10.000000000	12.00000000	120.0000000	
	Reparamete			
1	6.073697343	10.60368758	110.7011524	
2	5.793292231	10.38246830	111.0148001	
3	5.774875145	10.36519071	111.0016223	
4	5.773818666	10.36309267	111.0020272	
5	5.773855456	10.36278748	111.0020245	
6	5.773887264	10.36272901	111.0020299	
7	5.773896807	10.36271619	111.0020312	
8	5.773899257	10.36271321	111.0020315	
9	5.773899859	10.36271249	111.0020316	
10	5.773900005	10.36271232	111.0020316	
11	5.773900041	10.36271228	111.0020316	
12	5.773900049	10.36271227	111.0020316	
13	5.773900051	10.36271227	111.0020316	
14	5.773900052	10.36271227	111.0020316	
	Origina	l scale		
1	6.811960832	10.54653761	110.7988338	
2	6.162192464	10.38779261	110.9331919	
3	5.934679073	10.34431931	110.9844251	
4	5.846001718	10.33784182	111.0011447	
5	5.808836612	10.34174703	111.0054555	
6	5.792134787	10.34732035	111.0057549	
7	5.784054722	10.35207264	111.0050067	
8	5.779848630	10.35557730	111.0041680	
9	5.777512317	10.35800506	111.0034923	
10	5.776146465	10.35963494	111.0030054	
11	5.775318220	10.36071089	111.0026719	
12	5.774803618	10.36141452	111.0024494	
13	5.774478933	10.36187223	111.0023031	
14	5.774272135	10.36216905	111.0022075	
16	5.774054544	10.36248546	111.0021052	
20	5.773926863	10.36267281	111.0020444	
25	5.773903062	10.36270784	111.0020331	
30	5.773900390	10.36271177	111.0020318	
35	5.773900090	10.36271221	111.0020316	
40	5.773900056	10.36271226	111.0020316	
44	5.773900052	10.36271227	111.0020316	

62

# Acknowledgements

Financial support has been provided by the Agricultural and Food Research Council (A.F.R.C.), U.K., and the Canadian Association of Animal Breeders. I am grateful to R. Thompson for helpful comments and L.R. Schaeffer for comments on the manuscript.

#### References

- DEMPSTER A.P., LAIRD N.M., RUBIN D.B., 1977. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. J. Roy. Stat. Soc., Series B, 39, 1-22.
- HARVILLE D.A., 1977. Maximum likelihood approaches to variance component estimation and to related problems. J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 72, 320-340.
- Henderson C.R., 1973. Sire evaluation and genetic trends. Proc. Anim. Breed. Genet. Symp. in Honor of Dr J.L. Lush, Blacksburg, Virginia, July 29, 1972. 10-41, ASAS, Champaign, IL.
- HENDERSON C.R., 1984. Applications of Linear Models in Animal Breeding. 462 pp., University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario.
- LAIRD N.M., WARE J.H., 1982. Random-effects models for longitudinal data. Biometrics, 38, 963-974.
- PATTERSON H.D., THOMPSON R., 1971. Recovery of interblock information when block sizes are unequal. *Biometrika*, **58**, 545-554.
- SEARLE S.R., 1966. Matrix Algebra for the Biological Sciences. 296 pp., Wiley, New York.
- SEARLE S.R., 1979. Notes on variance component estimation: A detailed account of maximum likelihood and kindred methodology. Paper BU-673 M, Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.
- THOMPSON R., 1973. The estimation of variance and covariance components with an application when records are subject to culling. *Biometrics*, **22**, 527-550.
- THOMPSON R., 1976. The estimation of maternal genetic variances. Biometrics, 32, 903-917.
- THOMPSON R., 1982. Methods of estimation of genetic parameters. Proc. Second Int. Congr. Genet. Applied Livest. Prod., Madrid, Spain, vol. V, 95-103, Edit. Garsi, Madrid.
- THOMPSON R., MEYER K., 1986. Estimation of variance components: What is missing in the EM algorithm? J. Stat. Comput. Simulation (in press).

## Appendix

## A. Method of scoring

Utilizing that PVP = P and that V is linear in the parameters to be estimated (see (2)), (6) can be rewritten as:

$$\mathbf{y}' \mathbf{P} \delta \mathbf{V} / \delta \theta_i \mathbf{P} \mathbf{y} = \sum_i \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P} \delta \mathbf{V} / \delta \theta_i \mathbf{P} \delta \mathbf{V} / \delta \theta_j) \theta_j$$
 (A1)

This yields a system of linear equations to be solved simultaneously:

$$\mathbf{B}\,\mathbf{\theta} = \mathbf{q} \tag{A2}$$

with  $\theta = \{\theta_i\}$  the vector of parameters to be estimated,  $\mathbf{q} = \{\mathbf{q}_i\} = \{\mathbf{y}' \mathbf{P} \delta \mathbf{V} / \delta \theta_i \mathbf{P} \mathbf{y}\}$  a vector of

quadratics and  $\mathbf{B} = \{b_{ij}\} = \{\text{tr}(\mathbf{P}\delta\mathbf{V}/\delta\theta_i \ \mathbf{P}\delta\mathbf{V}/\delta\theta_j\}$  a symmetric matrix of coefficients. Apart from a factor of 1/2,  $\mathbf{B}$  is equal to the information matrix for  $\mathbf{\theta}$ . The elements of  $\mathbf{B}$  for the model considered here are :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_{11} &= \lambda_{S}^{2} \left[ \mathbf{NS} - 2\lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS}) + \lambda_{S}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS})^{2} \right] \\ \mathbf{b}_{12} &= \lambda_{S}^{2} \lambda_{D}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DS}) \\ \mathbf{b}_{13} &= \lambda_{S}^{2} \left[ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS}) - \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SS})^{2} - \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DS}) \right] \\ \mathbf{b}_{22} &= \lambda_{D}^{2} \left[ \mathbf{ND} - 2\lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) + \lambda_{D}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD})^{2} \right] \\ \mathbf{b}_{23} &= \lambda_{D}^{2} \left[ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) - \lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD})^{2} - \lambda_{S} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DS}) \right] \\ \mathbf{b}_{33} &= \mathbf{NDFW} + \lambda_{S}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SD})^{2} + \lambda_{D}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD})^{2} \\ &+ 2\lambda_{S}\lambda_{D} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{SD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DS}) \end{aligned}$$

The quadratics required are equel to those in the EM algorithm:

$$\mathbf{q}_{1} = \hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}}, \quad \mathbf{q}_{2} = \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbf{q}_{3} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}' \hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Z} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{W} \hat{\mathbf{d}} - \lambda_{S} \hat{\mathbf{s}}' \mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}} - \lambda_{D} \hat{\mathbf{d}}' \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$(A4)$$

# B. Computing strategy for a model including a fixed effect with many levels

Partition the vector of fixed effects and the design matrix in (1), according to the « major » fixed effect h with many levels and any additional fixed effects and covariables.

$$X = [B : X_A]$$
 and  $b = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ b_A \end{bmatrix}$ 

Let the subscript h denote the submatrix or vector for the hth group of levels of h. The MME absorbing d, (20), can then be rewritten as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}_{A} & \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}_{A} & \mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}_{A} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{S}\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{h}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{A} \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{X}'_{A}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A5)

with  $\mathbf{B'KB} = \sum_{h=1}^{NH} \mathbf{B'}_h \mathbf{K}_h \mathbf{B}_h$ , where "  $\Sigma^+$ " denotes the direct matrix sum (SEARLE, 1966) and

NH the number of groups of the major fixed effect. This holds only if  $A_D$  has a corresponding block structure, i.e. if all covariances between levels of **d** in different groups are zero.

Absorbing h then gives the MME for sires and additional fixed effects as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{A}}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{A}} & \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{A}}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{A}} & \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{\mathsf{S}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathsf{S}}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathsf{A}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{A}}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A6)

with  $N = K - KB(B'KB)^-B'K$ . From (A5) it follows that N is block diagonal, i.e.  $N = \sum_{h=1}^{N} N_h$  with:

$$\mathbf{N}_{h} = \mathbf{K}_{h} - \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{B}_{h} (\mathbf{B}'_{h} \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{B}_{h})^{-} \mathbf{B}'_{h} \mathbf{K}_{h} \tag{A7}$$

Absorbing any additional fixed effects then leaves:

$$(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{s}\mathbf{A}_{s}^{-1})\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{F}\mathbf{y}$$
(A8)

with  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}\mathbf{X}_A (\mathbf{X}_A'\mathbf{N}\mathbf{X}_A)^{\top}\mathbf{X}_A'\mathbf{N}$ . Hence a direct inverse of order NS, equal to the number of levels of  $\mathbf{s}$ , is required,

$$\mathbf{C}_{SS} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Z} + \lambda_{S}\mathbf{A}_{S}^{-1}) \tag{A9}$$

to obtain solutions:

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{C}_{ss} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{F} \mathbf{y} \tag{A10}$$

After backsolving for any additional fixed effects or covariables,

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{A} = (\mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{N}\mathbf{X}_{A})^{-} (\mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{N}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{N}\mathbf{Z}\hat{\mathbf{s}})$$
(A11)

backsolutions for h and d can be obtained group by group.

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{h} = (\mathbf{B}_{h}' \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{B}_{h})^{-} (\mathbf{B}_{h}' \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{Y}_{h} - \mathbf{B}_{h}' \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{X}_{h} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{A} - \mathbf{B}_{h}' \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{Z}_{h} \hat{\mathbf{s}})$$
(A12)

$$\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{h} = (\mathbf{W}_{h}'\mathbf{W}_{h} + \lambda_{D}\mathbf{A}_{Dh}^{-1})^{-1} (\mathbf{W}_{h}'\mathbf{y}_{h} - \mathbf{W}_{h}'\mathbf{B}_{h}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{h}$$

$$-\mathbf{W}_{h}'\mathbf{X}_{Ah}\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{A}-\mathbf{W}_{h}'\mathbf{Z}_{h}\hat{\mathbf{s}}) \tag{A13}$$

The quadratic forms and traces for REML are the same as before except:

- (i)  $\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  (in (30)) expands to  $\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{h}} + \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}_{\Delta}\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\Delta}$ ,
- (ii)  $tr(A_s^{-1}C_{ss})$  can be calculated directly, and

(iii) 
$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{DD}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{D}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}_{B}\mathbf{L}_{BD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{L'}_{BD}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}_{X}\mathbf{T}_{XX}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}_{SS}\mathbf{T})$$
 (A14)

with:

$$H_{D} = (W'W + \lambda_{D}A_{D}^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$H_{B} = (B'KB)^{-}$$

$$H_{X} = (X_{A}'NX_{A})^{-}$$

$$L_{BD} = B'WH_{D}$$

$$L_{AD} = X_{A}'WH_{D}$$

$$L_{SD} = Z'WH_{D}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{AB}} = \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{A}}'\mathbf{K}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$$

$$L_{SB} = Z'KBH_B$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathsf{SA}} = \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{N} \mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{A}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{X}}$$

$$T = T_{ss} - L_{sx}T'_{sx} - T_{sx}L'_{sx} + L_{sx}T_{xx}L'_{sx}$$
 (A15)

$$\mathbf{T}_{XX} = [\mathbf{L}_{AD} : -\mathbf{L}_{AB}\mathbf{L}_{BD}] \quad \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L'}_{AD} \\ -\mathbf{L'}_{BD}\mathbf{L'}_{AB} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A16)

$$\mathbf{T}_{SX} = [\mathbf{L}_{SD} : -\mathbf{L}_{SB}\mathbf{L}_{BD}] \quad \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L'}_{AD} \\ -\mathbf{L'}_{BD}\mathbf{L'}_{AB} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A17)

and:

$$\mathbf{T}_{SS} = [\mathbf{L}_{SD} : -\mathbf{L}_{SB}\mathbf{L}_{BD}] \quad \mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L'}_{SD} \\ -\mathbf{L'}_{BD}\mathbf{L'}_{SB} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A18)

# C. Numerical example: absorbing a fixed effect with many levels

Absorbing treatments for one time period after the other, intermediate results are as follows.

Processing data for period I gives:

$$\mathbf{X_A'NX_A} = \begin{bmatrix} 107.340 & \text{sym.} \\ -34.374 & 107.374 \\ 893.281 & 926.719 & 25,131.3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{XX} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0489 & \text{sym.} \\ -0.0489 & 0.0489 \\ 0.0060 & -0.0060 & 40.376 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $tr(\mathbf{H}_{B}\mathbf{L}_{BD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_{BD}') = 0.0497559$ . After absorbing all dams and treatments,  $tr(\mathbf{H}_{B}\mathbf{L}_{BD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_{BD}') = 0.1089976$ ,

$$\mathbf{T}_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1238 & \text{sym.} \\ -0.1238 & 0.1238 \\ -0.3848 & 0.3848 & 77.240 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X_A'NX_A} = \begin{bmatrix} 69.030 \\ -69.030 & 69.030 \\ -8.768 & 8.768 & 1.708.54 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{X}_{A}'\mathbf{N}\mathbf{y})' = [-767.511 \ 767.511 \ -1107.39]$$

$$\mathbf{Z'NZ} = \begin{bmatrix} 19.547 & sym. \\ -3.633 & 20.185 \\ -4.603 & -5.429 & 22.521 \\ -5.700 & -4.540 & -5.649 & 22.317 \\ -5.611 & -6.429 & -6.839 & -6.429 & 25.462 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Z'Ny} = \begin{vmatrix} 44.704 \\ -48.678 \\ -103.484 \\ 54.079 \\ 53.379 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{ss} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0118 & sym. \\ -0.1941 & 1.0291 \\ -0.2353 & -0.2922 & 1.1119 \\ -0.2665 & -0.2019 & -0.2522 & 1.0078 \\ -0.3158 & -0.3407 & -0.3321 & -0.2870 & 1.2757 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{sx}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0405 & -0.0629 & -0.0098 & 0.1470 & -0.1147 \\ -0.0405 & 0.0629 & 0.0098 & -0.1470 & 0.1147 \\ 2.5173 & 0.9691 & -0.2343 & -2.1407 & -1.1114 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $tr(\mathbf{H}_{B}\mathbf{L}_{BD}\mathbf{A}_{D}^{-1}\mathbf{L}_{BD}') = 0.1089976$ .

Again, setting the first level of each additional effect to zero and obtaining a generalized inverse, yields  $tr(H_xT_{xx}) = 0.0469752$ . Absorbing the additional fixed effects and covariables into sires,

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9303 & sym. \\ -0.2245 & 1.0150 \\ -0.2271 & -0.2900 & 1.1109 \\ -0.2005 & -0.1703 & -0.2569 & 0.9363 \\ -0.2782 & -0.3302 & -0.3369 & -0.3087 & 1.2546 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the fourth term of (A14) is  $tr(C_{ss}T) = 0.1353313$ .