UC Office of the President

Recent Work

Title

Revealing exciton masses and dielectric properties of monolayer semiconductors with high magnetic fields.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9kt5c18h

Journal Nature communications, 10(1)

ISSN 2041-1723

Authors

Goryca, M Li, J Stier, AV <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2019-09-01

DOI

10.1038/s41467-019-12180-y

Peer reviewed



ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12180-y

OPEN

Revealing exciton masses and dielectric properties of monolayer semiconductors with high magnetic fields

M. Goryca¹, J. Li¹, A.V. Stier¹, T. Taniguchi², K. Watanabe², E. Courtade³, S. Shree³, C. Robert³, B. Urbaszek³, X. Marie³ & S.A. Crooker¹

In semiconductor physics, many essential optoelectronic material parameters can be experimentally revealed via optical spectroscopy in sufficiently large magnetic fields. For monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenide semiconductors, this field scale is substantial—tens of teslas or more—due to heavy carrier masses and huge exciton binding energies. Here we report absorption spectroscopy of monolayer $MoS_2, MoSe_2, MoTe_2$, and WS_2 in very high magnetic fields to 91 T. We follow the diamagnetic shifts and valley Zeeman splittings of not only the exciton's 1s ground state but also its excited $2s, 3s, \ldots, ns$ Rydberg states. This provides a direct experimental measure of the effective (reduced) exciton masses and dielectric properties. Exciton binding energies, exciton radii, and free-particle bandgaps are also determined. The measured exciton masses are heavier than theoretically predicted, especially for Mo-based monolayers. These results provide essential and quantitative parameters for the rational design of opto-electronic van der Waals heterostructures incorporating 2D semiconductors.

¹National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Los Alamos National Lab, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA. ²National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan. ³Universite de Toulouse, INSA-CNRS-UPS, LPCNO, 135 Av. Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse, France. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.A.C. (email: crooker@lanl.gov)

n their bulk form, the transition-metal dichalcogenide (TMD) materials MoS₂, MoSe₂, MoTe₂, WS₂, and WSe₂ are indirectgap semiconductors^{1,2}; however they become direct-gap semiconductors when thinned down to a single monolayer^{3,4}. This phenomenon, together with the remarkable valley-specific optical selection rules that emerge in the atomically thin limit⁵, has galvanized tremendous interest in the underlying properties of this new 2D semiconductor family⁶⁻⁸. With a view towards future generations of ultrathin devices based on van der Waals assembly⁹ of TMD monolayers, a quantitative understanding of their intrinsic material parameters is of obvious and critical importance. For applications in optoelectronics, properties related to the fundamental electron-hole quasiparticle excitations by light-that is, excitons-are particularly relevant: the exciton's mass, size, binding energy, oscillator strength, and lifetime are key variables, as are the dielectric screening properties and the freeparticle bandgap of the monolayer itself. These material parameters constitute necessary inputs for any rational design and engineering of functional van der Waals heterostructures.

To date, however, many of these fundamental parameters are still assumed from density functional theory calculations¹⁰⁻¹² and have not been experimentally measured. This is particularly true for the exciton masses and the effective dielectric screening lengths in the monolayer TMD semiconductors-essential ingredients for realistic optoelectronic device models. In principle, however, these and other crucial material properties can be directly accessed via optical spectroscopy of excitons in large magnetic fields. As myriad studies over the past several decades have amply demonstrated in III-V, in II-VI, and in various layered semiconductors^{13–17}, the diamagnetic shifts of the exciton transitions in sufficiently high magnetic fields can directly reveal the exciton's mass, independent of any model. Moreover, quantitative analysis becomes especially straightforward and increasingly accurate when the less-strongly bound excited states of the exciton (i.e., the optically allowed 2s, 3s, ..., ns Rydberg states) are also observable, in which case the relevant dielectric properties of the material itself can also be determined.

Until quite recently, the optical quality of TMD monolayers was typically not sufficient to achieve very narrow exciton absorption lines or well-resolved Rydberg exciton states. However, by encapsulating TMD monolayers between atomically smooth hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) slabs, several groups have shown that the optical quality of TMD monolayers can be dramatically improved to the point where exciton spectral lines approach the narrow homogeneously broadened limit (1–2 meV) and where excited Rydberg exciton states become clearly visible^{18–26}. Consequently, the first magneto-optical studies of Rydberg exciton diamagnetic shifts were performed only recently on high-quality hBN-encapsulated WSe₂ monolayers²⁷: Using pulsed magnetic fields up to 65 T, the diamagnetic shifts of the ground state (1*s*) and the excited 2*s*, 3*s*, and 4*s* states of the neutral exciton were measured and used to determine the exciton's mass, size, and binding energy.

However, the exciton masses and dielectric properties have not been experimentally determined for any of the other members of the monolayer TMD semiconductor family, and general trends have not been established. This represents an especially challenging task for the molybdenum-based monolayers, for which electron, hole, and exciton masses are theoretically predicted to be even heavier than those of their tungsten-based counterparts¹⁰⁻¹², potentially requiring even larger magnetic fields. An experimental determination of these basic material parameters is particularly urgent in view of very recent electrical transport measurements in *n*-type MoS₂ and MoSe₂ monolayers that reveal an anomalous and surprisingly large electron mass that exceeds theoretical predictions by nearly a factor of two^{28,29}. Whether these unexpectedly heavy electron masses result from interactions in the high-density electron gas, or are instead an unanticipated but intrinsic material property, remains an open and crucial question.

To address these gaps in our current knowledge, here we present detailed magneto-absorption studies of MoS₂, MoSe₂, MoTe₂, and WS₂ monolayers in extremely high magnetic fields up to 91 T and at low temperatures (4 K). Magneto-optical spectroscopy provides an important and complementary approach to transport techniques, by allowing to study material parameters in the limit of zero doping. The use of hBN-encapsulated monolayers allows to observe and follow the diamagnetic shifts (and also the valley Zeeman splittings) of not only the 1s ground state of the neutral excitons but also their excited ns Rydberg states. The energies and diamagnetic shifts of the ns excitons provide a first experimental measure of the exciton's mass and also the dielectric screening length in these monolayer semiconductors. Remarkably, the exciton masses are heavier than theoretically predicted, particularly for the entire family of Mo-based monolayers. Moreover, from the data we also determine other important optoelectronic properties including exciton binding energies, exciton radii, and free-particle bandgaps. These results, summarized in Table 1, provide essential and quantitative material parameters that are necessary for the rational design of van der Waals heterostructures incorporating 2D semiconductor monolayers.

Results

Samples. To prepare high optical quality monolayer samples for magneto-absorption studies in pulsed magnetic fields, exfoliated TMD monolayers are sandwiched between slabs of exfoliated hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) using a dry-stamp method¹⁹. The thicknesses of the hBN slabs are selected to maximize the absorption of light by the exciton resonances in the TMD monolayer²⁴. As shown in Fig. 1a, each van der Waals structure is assembled directly over the 3–4 μ m diameter core of a single-mode optical fiber. Crucially, this ensures a rigid drift- and vibration-free alignment of the optical path through the TMD monolayer during the experiment—conditions which can otherwise be experimentally challenging in high-field optical studies of

Table 1 Fundamental optoelectronic material parameters of monolayer TMD semiconductors						
Material	m _r (m ₀)	E _b (meV)	E _{gap} (eV)	κ	r _o (nm)	r _{1s} (nm)
hBN MoS ₂ hBN	0.275 ± 0.015	221	2.160	4.45	3.4	1.2
hBN MoSe ₂ hBN	0.350 ± 0.015	231	1.874	4.4	3.9	1.1
hBN MoTe ₂ hBN	0.360 ± 0.040	177	1.352	4.4 ^a	6.4	1.3
hBN WS ₂ hBN	0.175 ± 0.007	180	2.238	4.35	3.4	1.8
hBN WSe ₂ hBN ^b	0.20 ± 0.01	167	1.890	4.5	4.5	1.7

Experimentally determined values of the exciton reduced mass m_r , the Is exciton binding energy E_b , the free-particle bandgap E_{gap} , the dielectric screening parameters r_0 and κ , and the root-mean-square radius of the Is exciton r_{1s} . Typical error bars on experimental values of E_b and E_{gap} are ± 3 meV. Typical error bars on values of r_0 and r_{1s} are ± 0.1 nm, except for MoTe₂, where they are ± 0.3 nm ^aThe value of κ for MoTe₂ is assumed to be 4.4 and is not a fitting parameter (see text for details) bvalues for hBN-encapsulated WSe₂ are taken from ref. ²⁷



Fig. 1 Magneto-optical spectroscopy of monolayer WS₂. **a** Image of a sample/fiber assembly: An exfoliated TMD monolayer, sandwiched between hBN slabs, is constructed over the $3 - 4 \mu m$ diameter core of a single-mode optical fiber (white circle). The assembly is mounted in helium exchange gas at 4 K in the bore of a pulsed magnet. The diagram depicts the optical selection rules in the K and K' valleys. **b** Normalized transmission spectra (T/T_0) of monolayer WS₂ at selected magnetic fields B=0, 20, 40, and 60 T. Blue/red curves indicate σ^+/σ^- circular polarization (optical transitions in the K/K' valleys, respectively). **c** Intensity map of all the transmission spectra, from -65 to +65 T. Excellent sample quality allows observation of the 2s, 3s, 4s, and 5s excited Rydberg states of the neutral A exciton. **d** Energies of the 1s -5s excitons for both σ^{\pm} polarizations. **e** Average energy of the σ^{\pm} transitions for each *ns* state, $\frac{1}{2}(E_{\sigma+} + E_{\sigma-})$, reveals distinct diamagnetic shifts. Solid lines show calculated energies using the Rytova-Keldysh model described in the text. Parameters: $m_r = 0.175 m_0$, $r_0 = 3.4 \text{ nm}$, $\kappa = 4.35$, and $E_{gap} = 2.238 \text{ eV}$. Inset: Expanded plot of the 1s exciton energy, showing its small quadratic diamagnetic shift. **f** The Zeeman splitting of the 1s, 2s, and 3s exciton states ($E_{\sigma+} - E_{\sigma-}$); dashed lines depict linear fits

small samples. A total of four different MoS₂, two MoSe₂, two MoTe₂, and two WS₂ monolayer structures were studied.

Monolayer WS₂. We first present and discuss the magneto-optical spectra from the WS₂ monolayers, where the exciton absorption signals are very strong and the field-induced diamagnetic shifts are large. Moreover, owing to the very large ($\approx 400 \text{ meV}$) spin–orbit splitting of the valence bands at the K/K' points in tungsten-based TMD monolayers, the excited *ns* Rydberg states of the neutral A exciton always remain well below the absorption band of the higher energy B exciton, which simplifies the data analysis (as shown later, this is not the case for the molybdenum-based TMD monolayers). For completeness, in this section we also describe the data analysis and modeling procedure.

Signatures of excited Rydberg excitons in monolayer WS₂ were first revealed by optical reflection spectroscopy at zero magnetic field by Chernikov et al.³⁰. Subsequently, the diamagnetic shift and valley Zeeman splitting of the A exciton ground state (A:1*s*) were measured via polarized magneto-reflection to 65 T by Stier et al.³¹. More recently, charged excitons and also the excited A:2*s* exciton in monolayer WS₂ were studied up to ~30 T by photoluminescence^{32,33}. However, in all these field-dependent studies the sample quality was not sufficient to observe highly excited Rydberg states, and therefore the exciton's effective (reduced) mass, $m_{\rm r} = m_{\rm e} m_{\rm h} / (m_{\rm e} + m_{\rm h})$, could not be experimentally determined. Rather, it was always assumed that $m_{\rm r} \approx 0.15 - 0.16 \ m_0$ based on leading density functional theories^{10,11}. Together with the measured diamagnetic shifts, this allowed estimates of the exciton's size and binding energy^{31–33}.

Here we observe the field-induced shifts of the 1s, 2s, 3s, 4s, and 5s states of the neutral A exciton up to 65 T. Crucially, these data provide a quantitative measurement of m_r in monolayer WS₂. Equally importantly, these results also allow a detailed and quantitative comparison with the popular Rytova–Keldysh model that describes the non-hydrogenic electrostatic potential V(r) between an electron and hole in a 2D material, from which the dielectric screening properties, exciton binding energy and size, and free-particle bandgap can also be determined.

Figure 1b shows normalized transmission spectra, T/T_0 , at selected magnetic fields *B* for both σ^{\pm} circular polarizations (where T_0 is a background reference spectrum). At *B*=0 two narrow (width \approx 10 meV) absorption lines are visible at 2.058 and

2.199 eV, and with increasing field additional higher energy and rapidly shifting features are observed. To most easily visualize these trends, Fig. 1c shows an intensity map of all the T/T_0 spectra from -65 T to +65 T. A systematic series of absorption features are clearly resolved, that correspond (as confirmed below) to the 1s ground state and the excited ns Rydberg states of the neutral A exciton. We emphasize that the highly excited Rydberg excitons (4s, 5s) can only be clearly resolved in large B. Primarily this is because large magnetic fields increase the exciton's absorption oscillator strength by providing an additional effective confining potential which 'squeezes' the exciton wavefunction, thereby increasing the electron-hole overlap particularly for highly excited states that are only weakly bound at B=0 (ref. ¹³). This highlights a further benefit of very large magnetic fields for optical spectroscopy of Rydberg excitons in semiconductors. We also note that the absence of any absorption features related to charged excitons confirms close-to-zero doping levels in the monolayer. Finally, the neutral B exciton in monolayer WS₂, not shown, appears as a broader absorption feature at higher energies near 2.45 eV and is not discussed further.

Figure 1d shows the field-dependent energies of all the absorption features. All exciton states exhibit a comparable Zeeman splitting between the σ^+ and σ^- polarized optical transitions in the *K* and *K'* valleys, respectively, where the splitting $E_{\sigma+} - E_{\sigma-} = g\mu_{\rm B}B$. The exciton *g*-factors are all close to $g_{ns} \approx -4$ (see Fig. 1f), in line with earlier studies of the 1*s* exciton in WS₂ monolayers^{31,33,34} and in accordance with the similar Zeeman splittings of all the *ns* Rydberg excitons that was recently reported in WSe₂ monolayers^{27,35}.

Most importantly, Fig. 1e shows the average transition energy of each exciton state, $\frac{1}{2}(E_{\sigma+} + E_{\sigma-})$, which reveals the unique diamagnetic blueshift of each state. While the most tightly bound exciton states (1s, 2s) shift quadratically with *B*, the key point is that the most weakly bound exciton states (4s, 5s) shift much more linearly in high fields. As discussed below, in this regime the exciton's reduced mass m_r can be inferred directly from the shifts and energy separations of these states, independent of any model or other material parameters such as the dielectric properties of the monolayer and its surrounding environment.

Excitons in weak- and strong-field limits. For completeness, we briefly reiterate how magnetic fields influence exciton energies, a topic that is very well established from fundamental semiconductor physics 13,14,27,36-38: At small B where the characteristic magnetic (cyclotron) energy $\hbar\omega_{c} = \hbar eB/m_{r}$ is much less than the Coulomb (exciton binding) energy, the lowest-order correction to the *ns* exciton's energy is the quadratic diamagnetic shift, $\Delta E_{ns}^{\text{dia}} = e^2 \langle r_{\perp}^2 \rangle B^2 / 8m_{\text{r}} = \sigma_{ns} B^2$. Here, σ_{ns} is the diamagnetic coefficient, r_{\perp} is a radial coordinate in the plane normal to *B*, and $\langle r_{\perp}^2 \rangle = \langle \psi_{ns}(r) | r_{\perp}^2 | \psi_{ns}(r) \rangle$ is an expectation value computed over the envelope wavefunction of the ns exciton. The root-meansquare (rms) radius of the ns exciton is therefore $r_{ns} = \sqrt{\langle r_{\perp}^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{8m_{\rm r}\sigma_{ns}}/e$. Thus, if the reduced mass $m_{\rm r}$ is known (or assumed from theory), then the measured quadratic shift depends only on the exciton's size-which, in turn, typically depends strongly on the dielectric properties of the material and its immediate surroundings³⁹⁻⁴¹. The inset of Fig. 1e shows that the shift of the most tightly bound 1s exciton in monolayer WS₂ does indeed increase quadratically over the entire 65 T field range. This is expected, because the 1s exciton in this structure has a large Coulomb binding energy (>150 meV, as shown below) and remains firmly in this weak-field limit even at 65 T (for comparison, the characteristic cyclotron energy $\hbar\omega_c \approx 40$ meV at 65 T, if $m_r = 0.2 m_0$).

Conversely, in the opposite limit where $\hbar\omega_c$ greatly exceeds the Coulomb energy (i.e., for strong B fields and/or weakly bound excitons), the exciton binding energy is negligible compared to the separation between the electron or hole Landau levels (LLs). To a good approximation, optically allowed interband transitions therefore occur between the linearly dispersing free-particle LLs in the valence and conduction bands, and the transition energies of the ns exciton states increase roughly linearly with B as $(N+\frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega_c$, ignoring spin effects. Following convention, N (= 0, 1)1, 2, ...) labels the transition number starting from the lowest (ground) state, and therefore $n \equiv N + 1$. Note that this behavior holds not only for conventional semiconductors but also for monolayer TMD semiconductors which obey the massive Dirac Hamiltonian and therefore have 0th free-particle LLs that are pinned to the bottom of the conduction band and top of the valence band in the *K* and *K'* valleys, respectively^{42,43} (for additional details see, for example, ref. ⁴⁴ or the Supporting Information in ref. ²⁷). In other words, the average transition energy of the *ns* exciton state, $\frac{1}{2}(E_{\sigma+}+E_{\sigma-})$, or equivalently the average of the two corresponding transitions in the K and K'valley, increases with a slope that approaches $(N + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\hbar\omega_c}{B} =$ $(N + \frac{1}{2})\frac{he}{m}$ in the high-field limit (where, as above, $n \equiv N + 1$).

As discussed previously²⁷, the slope and the separation of the most weakly bound excitons therefore provide unambiguous and increasingly stringent upper and lower bounds on m_r , respectively, in the limit of large *B*. Crucially, this is independent of any other material parameter or model of the electrostatic potential. For monolayer WS₂, the data in Fig. 1e reveal a high-field slope of the 5s exciton of 2.65 meV T⁻¹, which should asymptotically approach (from below) a value of $\frac{9}{2}\frac{he}{m_r}$ in the high-field limit. Conversely, the energy separation between the 4s and 5s states, which equals 47 meV at 65 T, should asymptotically approach (from above) a value of $\hbar\omega_c = \hbar eB/m_r$ in the limit of very high fields. From these experimental values alone we can conclude that m_r lies approximately midway between the lower and upper bounds of 0.160 m_0 and 0.197 m_0 .

Modeling exciton energies with the Rytova-Keldysh potential. More refined estimates of m_r are achieved by modeling the field dependence of all the ns exciton energies. To this end we numerically solve the eigenvalue (Schrödinger) equation $H\psi_{ns}(r) = E_{ns}\psi_{ns}(r)$ to find the energies and wavefunctions of the ns exciton states in an applied magnetic field. For radially symmetric s-type exciton states in 2D in perpendicular fields, $H = -(\hbar^2/2m_r)\nabla_r^2 + e^2B^2r^2/8m_r + V(r)$, where r is the relative distance between the electron and hole and V(r) is the electrostatic potential between the electron and hole. Very general formulations of V(r) can be derived for 2D materials based on first principles^{39,45,46}. Here we adopt the popular Rytova-Keldysh potential⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ that has been shown to accurately describe this potential in a thin semiconductor slab that is confined between dielectrics with ε_{top} and ε_{bottom} , and provide good agreement with measured *ns* exciton energies^{27,30}. In the limit of an infinitely thin 2D slab and reasonably large dielectric contrast between the 2D material and the surrounding materials, the Rytova-Keldysh potential can be expressed analytically as

$$V_{\rm RK}(r) = -\frac{e^2}{8\varepsilon_0 r_0} \left[H_0\left(\frac{\kappa r}{r_0}\right) - Y_0\left(\frac{\kappa r}{r_0}\right) \right],\tag{1}$$

where H_0 and Y_0 are the Struve function and Bessel function of the second kind. The average dielectric constant of the encapsulating materials is given by $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{top} + \varepsilon_{bottom})$, and the dielectric properties of the 2D layer itself are characterized by its screening length $r_0 = 2\pi\chi_{2D}$, where χ_{2D} is the material's 2D polarizability. At large electron-hole separations $r \gg r_0$, $V_{\rm RK}(r)$ scales as $-1/\kappa r$, similar to a bulk semiconductor. However when $r \leq r_0$, $V_{\rm RK}(r)$ begins to diverge much more slowly and tends towards $\log(r/r_0)$. This leads to a spectrum of *ns* exciton states with energy separations that deviate markedly from a conventional hydrogen-like Rydberg spectrum, especially for the most tightly bound 1s and 2s excitons.

Material parameters for monolayer WS_2 . Using V_{RK} we model the exciton spectrum shown in Fig. 1e, where the solid red lines show the calculated energies. As noted above, at large B the most weakly bound excitons (4s, 5s) are approaching the strong-field limit, where their shifts are determined primarily by m_r and are virtually independent of the dielectric parameters κ and r_0 . From the fits we determine $m_r = 0.175 \pm 0.007 \ m_0$. Fixing this value of $m_{\rm r}$, we then determine the values of r_0 and κ that best model both the energies and the field-dependent shifts of the more tightly bound exciton states at lower fields. The influence of these two parameters is not completely independent-both affect the separation between the *ns* exciton energy levels. However, while κ affects all the exciton energies (similar to bulk semiconductors), r_0 influences primarily the lowest (smallest) 1s exciton state and therefore mostly tunes only the calculated 1s-2s energy spacing. This puts significant constraints on the values of these material parameters. For our hBN-encapsulated WS₂ monolayer, we find that $r_0 = 3.4 \pm 0.1$ nm and $\kappa = 4.35 \pm 0.10$ reproduces the energies and field-dependent shifts of all the experimental data very well, as shown in Fig. 1e.

As discussed in recent literature^{39-41,45,46,50-54}, the dielectric environment near a monolayer TMD significantly influences both the exciton binding energy and the free-particle bandgap energy. Such "Coulomb engineering" could be used to tune and laterally modulate the band structure of 2D semiconductors using (patterned) dielectrics, with hBN being an obvious choice of dielectric material. From the data and model shown in Fig. 1e, we directly determine that for hBN-encapsulated monolayer WS₂ the free-particle bandgap is $E_{gap} = 2.238 \pm 0.003$ eV, the binding energy of the 1s exciton ground state is 180 ± 3 meV, and its rms radius $r_{1c}=1.8$ nm. These fundamental material parameters, which we anticipate will be of use for the future design of van der Waals heterostructures incorporating hBN and WS₂ monolayers, are shown in Table 1. Due to hBN encapsulation and resultant dielectric screening, the binding energy and free-particle gap are smaller than observed in non-encapsulated monolayers³¹.

Figure 1e also confirms that the 1s:2s:3s ratios of exciton binding energies in hBN-encapsulated WS₂ $(1:\frac{1}{4.7}:\frac{1}{11.3})$ deviates markedly from the expectations of a purely hydrogenic -1/rpotential in 2D $(1:\frac{1}{9}:\frac{1}{25})$ or in 3D $(1:\frac{1}{4}\cdot\frac{1}{9})$, an expected consequence of the non-hydrogenic electrostatic potential that exists in real 2D materials. In fact the measured binding energy ratios correspond more closely to expectations from a 3D hydrogenic potential—a consequence of the relatively large dielectric constant of the encapsulating hBN slabs used here. We note that a suitably modified hydrogenic potential can give analytic solutions and reasonable agreement with the measured exciton energies in hBN-encapsulated WSe₂ monolayers ⁵⁵.

The inferred value of κ is in quite reasonable agreement with the reported high-frequency dielectric constant of hBN $(\varepsilon_{\rm hBN} \approx 4.5)^{56}$; however we point out that the use of a fixed κ is likely oversimplified, since $\varepsilon_{\rm hBN}$ is known to vary at energies below ~100 meV due to optical phonon modes, and therefore the effective κ may in fact vary somewhat for different *ns* exciton states. Finally, we note that the experimentally determined exciton mass in monolayer WS₂ ($m_r = 0.175 m_0$) is slightly heavier (by ~10%) than predicted by recent density functional theory^{10–12}, and that the monolayer's screening length $r_0 = 3.4$ nm is about 10% smaller. A discrepancy of similar magnitude was also recently reported for the exciton mass in monolayer WSe₂ (ref. ²⁷), suggesting that further refinements of existing theories may be warranted. A possible origin of such discrepancy may be a polaron-related effect^{57–60}, which can give a larger correction to the exciton mass for more highly excited excitons (because their size exceeds the phonon–polaron radius and their binding energy is less than the phonon energy). If refined theories confirm such a scenario, our measurements may provide a way to estimate this polaron effect. As shown below, these differences are even more pronounced in all the molybdenum-based TMD monolayers.

Monolayer MoS₂. Following a similar measurement and analysis protocol, we next investigate the high-field magneto-absorption of MoS₂ monolayers encapsulated by hBN. MoS₂ is arguably the most well-known and archetypal member of the TMD semiconductor family, due to its abundance in the form of the natural mineral molybdenite. Consequently, MoS₂ was the first to be thinned to a single monolayer^{3,4,61}, the first to evince valleyselective optical pumping⁶²⁻⁶⁵, and the first for which the electronic and exciton structure were theoretically calculated^{10,11,66–72}. Despite these milestones the optical quality of monolayer MoS₂ was, until very recently, typically worse than its W- and Se-based counterparts, with exciton absorption and PL spectra exhibiting significant inhomogeneous broadening. Nonetheless, magnetooptical studies of the valley Zeeman effect in monolayer MoS₂ were first performed via reflectivity to 65 T by Stier et al.³¹ and then by Mitioglu et al.⁷³; however the much smaller exciton diamagnetic shifts were not measurable due to the limited optical quality of these unprotected and CVD-grown monolayers. Very recently, however, encapsulation of exfoliated MoS₂ monolayers by hBN was shown to significantly improve its optical quality ¹⁹, to the point where the neutral A exciton's linewidth approached the narrow homogeneously broadened limit (~2 meV) and-crucially -where excited 2s and 3s exciton Rydberg states were clearly observed in zero-field optical spectra ²⁴. To our knowledge, however, magneto-optical spectroscopy of the excited exciton states in monolayer MoS₂ has not yet been reported, and therefore an experimental determination of the exciton's mass based on diamagnetic shifts remains unavailable. An important goal of this work is to resolve this issue.

Figure 2a shows transmission spectra through an hBNencapsulated MoS₂ monolayer at 0, 25, 50, and 75 T. At zero field, the spectra show the strong and narrow (width $\approx 10 \text{ meV}$) absorption resonance of the ground (1s) state of the neutral A exciton at 1.939 eV, as well as a broader and weaker absorption \sim 150 meV higher in energy that is associated with ground state of the neutral B exciton, in line with previous studies and the known spin-orbit splitting of the valence bands at the K/K'points of the MoS₂ Brillouin zone ¹⁰. More importantly, just above the B exciton an additional narrow absorption feature appears at 2.109 eV, or \sim 170 meV above the A:1s state. This new peak was observed recently at zero magnetic field by Robert et al.²⁴ in reflectivity spectra, where it was tentatively ascribed to the excited A:2s state based on its energy and polarization properties. As shown immediately below, using high-magnetic field spectroscopy we confirm the identity of this 2s exciton, and also reveal additional highly excited ns exciton Rydberg states in monolayer MoS₂ that can be used to determine several important material parameters, including the exciton mass m_r and dielectric screening length r_0 .



Fig. 2 Magneto-optical spectroscopy of monolayer MoS₂. **a** Normalized σ^{\pm} polarized transmission spectra through monolayer MoS₂ encapsulated in hBN (sample 1), at 0, 25, 50, and 75 T. **b** Intensity map showing all the transmission spectra from -91 T to +80 T. The excited 2s, 3s, and 4s Rydberg states of the neutral A exciton are visible. **c** Energies of the *ns* excitons for both σ^{\pm} polarizations. **d** The average energies of the σ^{\pm} transitions for each *ns* exciton state. Solid curves show model calculations ($m_r = 0.27 m_0$, $r_0 = 3.4 \text{ nm}$, $\kappa = 4.4$, and $E_{gap} = 2.161 \text{ eV}$). **e-h** Data from a different hBN/MoS₂/hBN structure (sample 2), acquired to ± 65 T. In this structure the B:1s exciton is less pronounced, and the A:4s exciton is more clear. Best fits are obtained using very similar parameter values ($m_r = 0.28 m_0$, $r_0 = 3.4 \text{ nm}$, $\kappa = 4.5$, and $E_{gap} = 2.158 \text{ eV}$)

With increasing magnetic field, all the exciton resonances split and shift as shown in Fig. 2a, and additional absorption features appear at even higher energies as $|B| \rightarrow 91$ T. Again, these fielddependent trends can be identified on the intensity map shown in Fig. 2b. Besides the 1s and 2s excitons, the 3s exciton state is also clearly revealed, and a faint 4s exciton state can also be observed. The polarization-resolved σ^{\pm} energies of these exciton states are plotted in Fig. 2c, while their polarization-averaged energies are shown in Fig. 2d. As expected, each *ns* state exhibits a very distinct diamagnetic shift.

As we did for the previous case of monolayer WS₂, we model and fit the *ns* exciton energies by numerically solving Schrödinger's equation using the Rytova–Keldysh potential $V_{\rm RK}(r)$. Best results (red lines) are obtained using $m_{\rm r} = 0.27 \pm 0.01 m_0$, $r_0 = 3.4 \pm 0.1$ nm, and $\kappa = 4.4 \pm 0.1$; these values most closely reproduce the high- and low-field diamagnetic shifts of the *ns* exciton states, as well as their energy separations. These fits also reveal the free-particle bandgap of hBN-encapsulated MoS₂ monolayers ($E_{\rm gap} = 2.161 \pm 0.003$ eV), as well as the A:1s exciton's binding energy ($E_{\rm b} = 222 \pm 3$ meV).

To demonstrate the reproducibility of the samples and the reliability of our approach, Fig. 2e-h shows data from a different hBN/MoS₂/hBN structure that was studied to ± 65 T. This sample exhibits a less pronounced B:1s exciton absorption and also a slightly stronger A:4s peak, making it easier to track the diamagnetic shifts of the *ns* exciton Rydberg states. Best fits to the

data yield nearly identical values for the material parameters: $m_{\rm r} = 0.28 \pm 0.01 \ m_0, \ r_0 = 3.4 \pm 0.1 \ \text{nm}, \ \kappa = 4.5 \pm 0.1, \ E_{\rm gap} = 2.158 \pm 0.003 \ \text{eV}, \ \text{and} \ E_{\rm b} = 220 \pm 3 \ \text{meV}.$

The experimentally determined value of the exciton's reduced mass in monolayer MoS_2 ($m_r/m_0 \approx 0.275$) is noticeably heavier than anticipated by leading density functional theories, where $m_{\rm r}/m_0 \approx 0.24 - 0.25$ was predicted^{10,11}. Although knowledge of $m_{\rm r}$ does not allow to determine the electron and hole masses $m_{\rm e}$ and $m_{\rm h}$ separately, it is noteworthy that our result is consistent with the unexpectedly large electron mass ($m_e \approx 0.7 m_0$) that was very recently inferred from the temperature dependence of Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations in transport studies of n-type MoS₂ monolayers by Pisoni et al.²⁸. Given that angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES)74 provides a direct measurement of the hole mass ($m_{\rm h} = 0.43/0.48 \ m_0$ for suspended/ supported MoS_2), our measured value of m_r implies $m_{\rm e} = 0.70 \pm 0.06 \ m_0$, in reasonable correspondence with the recent transport results, and well in excess (by \sim 60%) of the electron mass calculated in recent models¹⁰. This suggests that a surprisingly heavy electron mass may be an intrinsic material property, and not the result of electron-electron interactions in a dense electron gas. Indeed, our magneto-transmission measurements are performed for very low exciton densities and in a regime of charge neutrality, in contrast to transport measurements where the electron density is necessarily much higher. As suggested above for the case of WS2, heavier-than-expected



Fig. 3 Anomalous behavior of the 1s exciton state in monolayer MoS₂. **a**-**d** Normalized transmission spectra in the vicinity of the ground state (A:1s) exciton, in four different hBN-encapsulated monolayer MoS₂ structures. Black curves show spectra at B=0 T, blue and red curves show the σ^+ and σ^- spectra acquired at large negative and positive *B*, respectively. Note the field-induced broadening of the higher energy Zeeman state in all cases. **e** The valley Zeeman splitting of the *ns* exciton states for samples 1 and 2; dashed lines show linear fits. The 1s state exhibits an unexpectedly small valley Zeeman splitting in comparison with the excited Rydberg states

masses may originate from polaron effects, which are predicted to give enhanced corrections in monolayer MoS_2 as compared to WS_2 (ref. ⁵⁹).

The high-field optical spectra of monolayer MoS₂ also reveal two unexpected, but potentially related, anomalous behaviors. The first is that the 1s exciton absorption resonance broadens significantly and asymmetrically at large *B*, but only in the higher energy σ^- polarization. This behavior is systematic and is observed in every hBN/MoS₂/hBN structure that we studied. Figure 3 shows high-field spectra from four different samples. As B increases and the σ^- absorption peak undergoes a Zeeman shift to higher energies, the asymmetric broadening appears to evolve from the weak shoulder that lies ~ 15 meV above the 1s absorption resonance at B = 0 (the same shoulder was also observed in the zero-field reflectivity studies of hBN/MoS₂/hBN by Robert et al.²⁴). The nature of the state that gives rise to this shoulder is not yet understood; nevertheless large magnetic fields could force a crossing between it and the blue-shifting (σ^{-}) branch of the 1s bright exciton. If these states mix in large B, an apparent asymmetric broadening of the σ^- polarized bright state can be expected.

Due to this asymmetric broadening and field-dependent lineshape, a very precise and reproducible fitting of the 1s exciton energy is difficult and susceptible to small but systematic deviations that depend on the exact form of the fitting function used. Consequently, we can report only that the measured diamagnetic shift of the 1s exciton is very small, of order 0.1 μ eV T⁻². This is consistent with the exciton's large mass, small radius, and small diamagnetic shift that we determine by modeling the *ns* exciton states as described above: using V_{RK} and

the material parameters determined above, we calculate that $\sigma_{1s} = 0.12 \ \mu \text{eV} \ \text{T}^{-2}$, which can be in turn used to estimate the A:1s exciton's rms radius in encapsulated MoS₂ monolayers ($r_{1s} = 1.2 \text{ nm}$).

Fortunately, however, the broadening and asymmetry of the 1s exciton does not preclude a robust determination of the much larger Zeeman splitting, which highlights the second anomalous behavior revealed by the high-field magneto-optical data: The valley Zeeman splitting of the A:1s ground state exciton in hBN-encapsulated MoS₂ monolayers is unexpectedly small. As shown in Fig. 3e the 1s exciton splits linearly with field, but with a small effective g-factor of only $g_{1s} \approx -3.0$. In contrast, the excited 2s, 3s, and 4s Rydberg excitons all exhibit larger g-factors from -4.4 to -4.9. Note that an anomalously small value of g for only the 1s exciton state is at odds with results from monolayer WS₂ (Fig. 1e) and from monolayer WSe₂ (ref. ²⁷), for which all the *ns* exciton states exhibited very similar Zeeman splittings with $g \approx -4$.

Based on our measurements of multiple hBN/MoS₂/hBN structures, we also note that the anomalous Zeeman splitting of the 1s exciton does appear to depend systematically on the overall optical quality of the sample, with our highest-quality structures (samples 1 and 2) showing $g_{1s} \approx -3.0$, while lesser-quality structures that exhibit broader absorption lines and fewer *ns* states show $g_{1s} = -3.6$ and -3.8 (samples 3 and 4, respectively). These trends, though not yet understood, are nonetheless very consistent with previously reported studies: Lower quality unprotected MoS₂ monolayers with broad 1s absorption lines exhibited $g_{1s} \sim -4$ (refs. ^{31,73}), while extremely high-quality hBN-encapsulated MoS₂ monolayers with very narrow exciton linewidths¹⁹ exhibited a very small $g_{1s} = -1.7$. We tentatively

suggest that the small valley splitting of the A:1s exciton in hBN/ MoS₂/hBN may also result from the close proximity to and interaction with the nearby absorption feature that lies 15 meV above the exciton (e.g., an avoided crossing would suppress the Zeeman shift of the σ^- branch of the bright exciton). Although the origin of this higher-lying state is not yet known, one possibility is that it may be related to nominally spin- and valleyforbidden "dark" or "gray" exciton states^{75–78}. Whereas in monolayer WSe₂ these dark states are known to lie far (~40 meV) below the optically allowed exciton states due mainly to the large spin–orbit splitting of the conduction bands, in monolayer MoS₂ the conduction band spin–orbit splitting is thought to be much smaller and of opposite sign, such that dark/ gray states may actually lie slightly above the bright states⁷⁵.

Monolayer MoSe₂. Next, we perform high-field magnetoabsorption of hBN-encapsulated MoSe₂ monolayers. Together with WSe₂, MoSe₂ was the first monolayer TMD semiconductor to be measured in a magnetic field where, in modest fields (<10 T), the Zeeman splitting of the A:1s exciton ground state was observed by polarized PL⁷⁹⁻⁸¹. Similar valley Zeeman splittings were subsequently reported to 65 T on CVD-grown MoSe, monolayers⁷³. However, in all these magneto-optical studies the much smaller exciton diamagnetic shift was not detected, likely due to the limited optical quality of the samples and the (theoretically predicted) heavier exciton mass^{10,11}. In fact, only very recently was the optical quality of monolayer MoSe₂ improvedagain by hBN encapsulation-to the point where excited A:2s excitons were observed at zero field, for example by Han et al.²² and by Horng et al.²³. However, high-field spectroscopy of excited ns excitons has not been reported to date, and consequently an experimental determination of the exciton mass in monolayer MoSe₂ is still lacking, along with other fundamental parameters.

Figure 4a shows normalized magneto-transmission spectra from a MoSe₂ monolayer encapsulated by hBN, at 0, 20, 40, and 60 T. The zero-field spectrum shows a very sharp (width $\approx 6 \text{ meV}$) A:1s exciton ground state at 1.643 eV. A broader absorption located $\sim 200 \text{ meV}$ higher in energy corresponds to the B:1s exciton, in line with past work ²² and the expected spin–orbit splitting of the valence bands at the K/K' points of the Brillouin zone ¹⁰. A weak absorption feature located at 1.811 eV, just below the B:1s exciton, corresponds closely to a similar weak feature that was reported recently by Han et al.²², which was tentatively ascribed to the excited A:2s exciton based on reflectivity and upconversion measurements. This means that even higher A:3s and A:4s Rydberg states in monolayer MoSe₂ directly overlap with the broad absorption of the B:1s exciton, making their presence difficult to detect, at least at zero applied field.

With increasing field to 65 T, the A:1s exciton shows a very obvious Zeeman splitting (Fig. 4a, b). The weaker state tentatively ascribed to the A:2s exciton at ~1.811 eV is difficult to follow as it shifts and merges with the B:1s exciton. Interestingly, however, at very large fields above 40 T the spectra show two new resonances emerging rapidly from the high-energy side of the broad B:1s absorption. These features can also be readily identified in the detailed fits shown in Fig. 4c. As before, these field-dependent trends can be seen in the intensity plot of Fig. 4b. The energies of all the observed absorption features are plotted in Fig. 4d, and the polarization-averaged energy of each of these states are plotted in Fig. 4e.

Fitting these data to the numerically computed *ns* exciton energies (again using the Rytova–Keldysh potential for a 2D material) strongly suggests that the two highest-energy absorption features are the A:3s and A:4s excited Rydberg excitons. Using a large reduced mass of $m_r = 0.350 \pm 0.015 m_0$ and material parameters $r_0 = 3.9 \pm 0.1$ nm and $\kappa = 4.4 \pm 0.1$, we find that the calculated energies and field-dependent shifts of the 1s - 4s exciton states (red lines) accurately match the measured energy separations and field-dependent diamagnetic shifts of the experimental data. In particular the very small quadratic diamagnetic shift of the A:1s exciton ($\sigma_{1s} = 0.07 \ \mu \text{eV} \ \text{T}^{-2}$) is captured very well, from which we also determine its small rms radius, $r_{1s} = 1.1$ nm. In addition, the model also directly reveals the binding energy of the A:1s exciton (231 ± 3 meV), and the free-particle bandgap in hBN-encapsulated MoSe₂ monolayers ($E_{\text{ran}} = 1.874 \pm 0.003 \text{ eV}$).

 $(E_{gap} = 1.874 \pm 0.003 \text{ eV}).$ Similar to the case of monolayer MoS₂, the exciton mass that we measure in monolayer MoSe₂ ($m_r = 0.35 m_0$) is substantially larger than anticipated by current density functional theories, which predict $m_r \approx 0.27 m_0^{-10,11}$. Again we note that the large measured value of m_r is in fact qualitatively consistent with the unexpectedly large electron mass, $m_e \approx 0.8 m_0$, that was recently suggested by transport studies of *n*-type MoSe₂ monolayers by Larentis et al.²⁹ Taking $m_h = 0.6 \pm 0.1 m_0$ from ARPES measurements^{82–84}, our value of m_r also yields a surprisingly heavy $m_e \approx$ 0.84 m_0 in monolayer MoSe₂, which is about 70% more than recent DFT calculations¹⁰. Similar to the case of monolayer MoS₂, this suggests that the unexpectedly large m_e may result not from interactions with other electrons, but may be an intrinsic material property of MoSe₂ monolayers.

The spectra also reveal approximately similar Zeeman splittings for all the measurable exciton states in monolayer $MoSe_2$ (Fig. 4f). For the 1s ground state, we find $g_{1s} = -4.3$, consistent with previous reports^{73,79–81}, while for the 3s and 4s excited states, we find somewhat larger values of about -4.9. Finally, in these $MoSe_2$ monolayers the excited B:2s exciton can also be observed; its Zeeman splitting and diamagnetic shift are discussed in the Supplementary Information.

Monolayer MoTe₂. Finally, we measure hBN-encapsulated MoTe₂ monolayers. Of all the monolayer TMD semiconductors, the exciton properties of MoTe₂ remain among the least well explored. The Zeeman splitting of the A:1s ground state was first measured to 30 T by Arora et al.⁸⁵, and the A:2s excited state was later identified by Han et al.²² via reflection and PL upconversion spectroscopy. To date, however, no diamagnetic shifts have been experimentally measured and therefore the exciton mass and other fundamental parameters have not yet been determined.

Figure 5a shows an intensity plot of the magneto-transmission through a MoTe₂ monolayer encapsulated by hBN. At B=0, the spectrum shows a sharp (width ≈ 6 meV) A:1s exciton ground state at 1.175 eV and a weaker A:2s absorption feature at 1.299 eV, in close agreement with prior zero-field studies²².

In applied field, both exciton features exhibit a similar Zeeman splitting (Fig. 5b, d) and clear quadratic blueshifts (Fig. 5c). The measured diamagnetic shifts are: $\sigma_{1s} = 0.10 \ \mu \text{eV} \ \text{T}^{-2}$ and $\sigma_{2s} = 1.4 \ \mu eV \ T^{-2}$. Unfortunately (and in contrast to the other TMD monolayers), higher-lying Rydberg excitons are not observed even in large field, which prevents an especially precise fitting to the calculated *ns* exciton energies. However, using the A:1s and A:2s diamagnetic shifts and their energy separation, and also assuming that $\kappa = 4.4$ (the average value for all other hBNencapsulated monolayers), we are nonetheless able to estimate the remaining parameters of the model. Using $m_r = 0.36 \pm 0.04 m_0$ and $r_0 = 6.4 \pm 0.3$ nm, the calculated energies and shifts accurately match the data. From the model we also infer the small A:1s radius $(r_{1s} = 1.3 \text{ nm})$ and binding energy $(177 \pm 3 \text{ meV})$, as well as the free-particle bandgap in hBNencapsulated monolayer $MoTe_2$ ($E_{gap} = 1.352 \pm 0.003$ eV). The inferred mass is once again significantly heavier (by 25%) than



Fig. 4 Magneto-optical spectroscopy of monolayer MoSe₂. **a** Normalized transmission spectra through an hBN-encapsulated MoSe₂ monolayer at selected magnetic fields, for both σ^{\pm} polarizations. The inset shows a 5× magnified spectrum of the higher energy features at 0 T. **b** Intensity map showing all the spectra from -65 to +65 T. Excited *ns* Rydberg states of the neutral A exciton overlap with and emerge from the broader absorption at 1.85 eV that is due to the B exciton ground state. **c** Examples of two-Gaussian fits to the broad feature near 1.85 eV in σ^- (upper panel) and σ^+ (lower panel) polarization at 65 T. In each panel, the two overlapping absorption peaks correspond to the B:1s exciton (wide blue curve) and the A:3s exciton (narrow green curve). The A:4s state is also visible at ~1.9 eV. **d** Measured exciton energies for both σ^{\pm} polarizations. **e** The average energies of the σ^{\pm} transitions. Red lines show the modeled exciton energies (see text). Parameters: $m_r = 0.35 m_0$, $r_0 = 3.9 \text{ nm}$, $\kappa = 4.4$, and $E_{gap} = 1.874 \text{ eV}$. The states that emerge at high magnetic fields from the B:1s exciton absorption correspond very well to the A:3s and A:4s Rydberg states. Inset: Expanded plot of the 1s exciton energy, showing its very small quadratic diamagnetic shift. **f** The Zeeman splitting of the A:1s, A:3s, and A:4s exciton states; dashed lines show linear fits

theoretical expectations¹⁰. It is also the heaviest of all the Mobased TMD monolayers, which confirms the predicted general trend of increasing exciton mass with increasing chalcogen atomic mass.

Discussion

Magneto-absorption spectroscopy of high-quality hBN-encapsulated MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$, $MoTe_2$, and WS_2 monolayers allows to resolve and follow the field-dependent diamagnetic shifts and splittings of not only the 1s (ground state) excitons, but also the excited *ns* Rydberg excitons. This permits a detailed analysis and fitting of the data to experimentally determine a number of essential material parameters for monolayer TMD semiconductors including the exciton's reduced mass $m_{\rm r}$, the binding energies and rms radii of the various *ns* exciton states, the free-particle bandgap $E_{\rm gap}$, and the monolayer's dielectric screening length r_0 . These fundamental parameters are listed in Table 1. These results experimentally confirm long-standing expectations of heavier exciton masses and larger dielectric screening lengths as the chalcogen atomic mass increases (from S to Se to Te), and also as the metal atom becomes lighter (from W to Mo). It is anticipated that these experimentally determined material parameters will prove useful for the rational design and engineering of future optoelectronic van der Waals heterostructures that incorporate TMD monolayers and hBN.

It is noteworthy that the measured reduced masses m_r are consistently and substantially larger than anticipated by modern



Fig. 5 Magneto-optical spectroscopy of monolayer $MoTe_2$. **a** Normalized intensity map of the field-dependent transmission spectra through monolayer $MoTe_2$, showing the A:1s ground state exciton and the A:2s excited state. Due to a small (<5 %) polarization leakage, a weak trace of the oppositely polarized 1s state is also visible. **b** Energies of the excitons in σ^{\pm} polarization. **c** Averaged exciton energies showing diamagnetic shifts. Red lines show calculated energies (see text). Parameters: $m_r = 0.36 m_0$, $r_0 = 6.4 \text{ nm}$, $\kappa = 4.4$, and $E_{gap} = 1.352 \text{ eV}$. Inset: Expanded plot of the 1s exciton energy, showing its very small quadratic diamagnetic shift. **d** The valley Zeeman splitting of the 1s and 2s excitons; dashed lines show linear fits

density functional theories, especially across the entire family of Mo-based TMD monolayers. In addition, together with available ARPES data on hole masses in MoS_2 and $MoSe_2$ monolayers, our measurements point to surprisingly large electron masses in these materials (about 60–70% larger than predicted). In this context it is also noteworthy that two very recent transport studies^{28,29} have also revealed unexpectedly heavy electron masses in *n*-type MoS_2 and $MoSe_2$ monolayers. This suggests that a key ingredient is missing in current DFT calculations. The mass increase revealed by our measurements could for instance be explained by an electron–phonon polaron coupling^{57–59}. The possible role of interface polarons in TMD/hBN structures should also be investigated⁸⁶.

Methods

Experimental setup. The fiber/sample assembly is mounted in 4 K exchange gas in the tail of a liquid helium cryostat located in the bore of a pulsed magnet. We use both capacitor-driven 65 T pulsed magnets, as well as a unique generator/capacitor-driven magnet capable of ultrahigh fields up to 100 T⁸⁷. Broadband transmission spectroscopy is performed by coupling unpolarized white light from a Xe lamp into the single-mode fiber. After passing through the sample and a thin-film circular polarizer, the transmitted light is retro-reflected back

through a separate multimode collection fiber, and is detected using a spectrometer and high-speed CCD camera. Spectra are continuously acquired every 1 ms throughout the magnet pulse. Access to both σ^+ and σ^- circularly polarized transitions (corresponding to interband optical transitions in the *K* and *K'* valley of the TMD monolayer, respectively) is achieved by reversing the direction of the magnetic field.

Data availability

All data generated and analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files).

Received: 15 May 2019 Accepted: 27 August 2019 Published online: 13 September 2019

References

- Wilson, J. A. & Yoffe, A. D. The transition metal dichalcogenides: discussion and interpretation of observed optical, electrical and structural properties. *Adv. Phys.* 18, 193–335 (1969).
- Mattheiss, L. F. Band structures of transition-metal-dichalcogenide layer compounds. *Phys. Rev. B* 8, 3719–3740 (1973).

- Splendiani, A. et al. Emerging photoluminescence in monolayer MoS₂. Nano Lett. 10, 1271 (2010).
- Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Atomically thin MoS₂: a new direct gap semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 136805 (2010).
- Xiao, D., Liu, G.-B., Feng, W., Xu, X. & Yao, W. Coupled spin and valley physics in monolayers of MoS₂ and other group-VI dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 196802 (2012).
- Mak, K. F. & Shan, J. Photonics and optoelectronics of 2D semiconductor transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Photon.* 10, 216 (2016).
- Xu, X., Yao, W., Xiao, D. & Heinz, T. F. Spin and pseudospins in layered transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Phys.* 10, 343 (2014).
- Wang, Q. H., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Kis, A., Coleman, J. N. & Strano, M. S. Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 699 (2012).
- Geim, A. K. & Grigorieva, I. V. Van der Waals heterostructures. *Nature* 499, 419 (2013).
- Kormányos, A. et al. k · p theory of two-dimensional transition-metal dichalcogenide semiconductors. 2D Mater. 2, 022001 (2015).
- Berkelbach, T. C., Hybertsen, M. S. & Reichman, D. R. Theory of neutral and charged excitons in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 045318 (2013).
- Wickramaratne, D., Zahid, F. & Lake, R. K. Electronic and thermoelectric properties of few-layer transition metal dichalcogenides. *J. Chem. Phys.* 140, 124710 (2014).
- 13. Miura, N. *Physics of Semiconductors in High Magnetic Fields* (Oxford University Press, 2008).
- 14. Walck, S. N. & Reinecke, T. L. Exciton diamagnetic shift in semiconductor nanostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 9088 (1998).
- Evans, B. L. & Young, P. A. Exciton spectra in thin crystals: the diamagnetic effect. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 91, 475 (1967).
- Rogers, D. C., Singleton, J., Nicholas, R. J., Foxon, C. T. & Woodbridge, K. Magneto-optics in GaAs – Ga_{1-x}Al_xAs quantum wells. *Phys. Rev. B* 34, 4002 (1986).
- Hou, H. Q. et al. Diamagnetic shift in In_xGa_{1-x}As/GaAs strained quantum wells. *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 4152 (1991).
- Wang, G. et al. Excitons in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 90, 021001 (2018).
- Cadiz, F. et al. Excitonic linewidth approaching the homogeneous limit in MoS₂-based van der Waals heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. X* 7, 021026 (2017).
- Ajayi, O. A. et al. Approaching the intrinsic photoluminescence linewidth in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. 2D Mater. 4, 031011 (2017).
- Wierzbowski, J. et al. Direct exciton emission from atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructures near the lifetime limit. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 12383 (2017).
- 22. Han, B. et al. Exciton states in monolayer MoSe₂ and MoTe₂ probed by upconversion spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031073 (2018).
- Horng, J. et al. Observation of interlayer excitons in MoSe₂ single crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 241404 (2018).
- Robert, C. et al. Optical spectroscopy of excited exciton states in MoS₂ monolayers in van der Waals heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 2, 011001(R) (2018).
- Back, P., Zeytinoglu, S., Ijaz, A., Kroner, M. & Imamoğlu, A. Realization of an electrically tunable narrow-bandwidth atomically thin mirror using monolayer MoSe₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 037401 (2018).
- Scuri, G. et al. Large excitonic reflectivity of monolayer MoSe₂ encapsulated in hexagonal boron nitride. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 037402 (2018).
- Stier, A. V. et al. Magnetooptics of exciton Rydberg states in a monolayer semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 057405 (2018).
- Pisoni, R. et al. Interactions and magnetotransport through spin-valley coupled Landau levels in monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 247701 (2018).
- 29. Larentis, S. et al. Large effective mass and interaction-enhanced Zeeman splitting of K-valley electrons in MoSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 201407(R) (2018).
- Chernikov, A. et al. Exciton binding energy and nonhydrogenic Rydberg series in monolayer WS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 076802 (2014).
- Stier, A. V., McCreary, K. M., Jonker, B. T., Kono, J. & Crooker, S. A. Exciton diamagnetic shifts and valley Zeeman effects in monolayer WS₂ and MoS₂ to 65 tesla. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10643 (2016).
- 32. Zipfel, J. et al. Spatial extent of the excited exciton states in WS₂ monolayers from diamagnetic shifts. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 075438 (2018).
- Plechinger, G. et al. Excitonic valley effects in monolayer WS₂ under high magnetic fields. *Nano Lett.* 16, 7899 (2016).
- Koperski, M. et al. Orbital, spin and valley contributions to Zeeman splitting of excitonic resonances in MoSe₂, WSe₂ and WS₂ Monolayers. 2D Mater. 6, 015001 (2018).
- Chen, S.-Y. et al. Luminescent emission of excited Rydberg excitons from monolayer WSe₂. *Nano Lett.* 19, 2464 (2019).
- 36. Knox, R. S. Theory of Excitons (Academic Press, New York, 1963).

- Akimoto, O. & Hasegawa, H. Interband optical transitions in extremely anisotropic semiconductors. II. Coexistence of exciton and the Landau levels. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 22, 181 (1967).
- MacDonald, A. H. & Ritchie, D. S. Hydrogenic energy levels in two dimensions at arbitrary magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. B* 33, 8336 (1986).
- Latini, S., Olsen, T. & Thygesen, K. S. Excitons in van der Waals heterostructures: The important role of dielectric screening. *Phys. Rev. B* 92, 245123 (2015).
- Kylänpää, I. & Komsa, H.-P. Binding energies of exciton complexes in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers and effect of dielectric environment. *Phys. Rev. B* 92, 205418 (2015).
- Stier, A. V., Wilson, N. P., Clark, G., Xu, X. & Crooker, S. A. Probing the influence of dielectric environment on excitons in monolayer WSe₂: Insight from high magnetic fields. *Nano Lett.* 16, 7054 (2016).
- Rose, F., Goerbig, M. O. & Piéchon, F. Spin- and valley-dependent magnetooptical properties of MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 125438 (2013).
- Chu, R.-L. et al. Valley-splitting and valley-dependent inter-Landau-level optical transitions in monolayer MoS₂ quantum Hall systems. *Phys. Rev. B* 90, 045427 (2014).
- Wang, Z., Shan, J. & Mak, K. F. Valley- and spin-polarized Landau levels in monolayer WSe₂. Nat. Nanotechnol. 12, 144 (2016).
- Cho, Y. & Berkelbach, T. C. Environmentally sensitive theory of electronic and optical transitions in atomically thin semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 041409 (2018).
- 46. van Tuan, D., Yang, M. & Dery, H. Coulomb interaction in monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 125308 (2018).
- Rytova, N. S. Moscow University Physics Bulletin 3, 30 (1967); English translation at https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.00976.
- Keldysh, L. V. Coulomb interaction in thin semiconductor and semimetal films. *JETP Lett.* 29, 658 (1979).
- Cudazzo, P., Tokatly, I. V. & Rubio, A. Dielectric screening in twodimensional insulators: Implications for excitonic and impurity states in graphane. *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 085406 (2011).
- Röner, M. et al. Two-dimensional heterojunctions from nonlocal manipulations of the interactions. *Nano Lett.* 16, 2322 (2016).
- Ryou, J., Kim, Y.-S., Santosh, K. C. & Cho, K. Monolayer MoS₂ bandgap modulation by dielectric environments and tunable bandgap transistors. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 29184 (2016).
- Raja, A. et al. Coulomb engineering of the bandgap and excitons in twodimensional materials. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15251 (2017).
- Florian, M. et al. The dielectric impact of layer distances on exciton and trion binding energies in van der Waals heterostructures. *Nano Lett.* 18, 2725 (2018).
- van der Donck, M., Zarenia, M. & Peeters, F. M. Excitons, trions, and biexcitons in transition-metal dichalcogenides: Magnetic field dependence. *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 195408 (2018).
- Molas, M. R. et al. Energy spectrum of two-dimensional excitons in a nonuniform dielectric medium. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.03962 (2019).
- Geick, R., Perry, C. H. & Rupprecht, G. Normal modes in hexagonal boron nitride. *Phys. Rev.* 146, 543 (1966).
- Fröhlich, H., Pelzer, H. & Zienau, S. Properties of slow electrons in polar materials, *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philos. Mag. J. Sci.* 41, 221 (1950).
- Iadonisi, G. & Bassani, F. Polaronic correction to the exciton effective mass. *Il* Nuovo Cimento D 9, 703 (1987).
- Chen, Q., Wang, W. & Peeters, F. M. Magneto-polarons in monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides. J. Appl. Phys. 123, 214303 (2018).
- 60. Glazov, M. M. et al. Intervalley polaron in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 041301(R) (2019).
- Joensen, P., Frindt, R. F. & Morrison, S. R. Single-layer MoS₂. *Mat. Res. Bull.* 21, 457 (1986).
- Cao, T. et al. Valley-selective circular dichroism of monolayer molybdenum disulphide. Nat. Commun. 3, 887 (2012).
- Zeng, H., Dai, J., Yao, W., Xiao, D. & Cui, X. Valley polarization in MoS₂ monolayers by optical pumping. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 490 (2012).
- Mak, K. F., He, K., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Control of valley polarization in monolayer MoS₂ by optical helicity. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 494 (2012).
- Sallen, G. et al. Robust optical emission polarization in MoS₂ monolayers through selective valley excitation. *Phys. Rev. B.* 86, 081301(R) (2012).
- Cheiwchanchamnangij, T. & Lambrecht, W. R. L. Quasiparticle band structure calculation of monolayer, bilayer and bulk MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 205302 (2012).
- Kadantsev, E. S. & Hawrylak, P. Electronic structure of a single MoS₂ monolayer. Solid State Comm. 152, 909 (2012).
- Ramasubramaniam, A. Large excitonic effects in monolayers of molybdenum and tungsten dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 115409 (2012).

ARTICLE

- 69. Qiu, D. Y., da Jornada, F. H. & Louie, S. G. Optical spectrum of MoS2: Many-body effects and diversity of exciton states. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 216805 (2013).
- 70. Komsa, H.-P. & Krasheninnikov, A. V. Effects of confinement and environment on the electronic structure and exciton binding energy of MoS₂ from first principles. Phys. Rev. B 86, 241201(R) (2012).
- 71. Liu, G.-B., Shan, W.-Y., Yao, Y., Yao, W. & Xiao, D. Three-band tight-binding model for monolayers of group-VIB transition metal dichalcogenides. Phys. Rev. B 88, 085433 (2013).
- 72 Shi, H., Pan, H., Zhang, Y.-W. & Yakobsen, B. I. Quasiparticle band structures and optical properties of strained monolayer MoS2 and WS2. Phys. Rev. B 87, 155304 (2013).
- Mitioglu, A. A. et al. Magnetoexcitons in large area CVD-grown monolayer 73. MoS₂ and MoSe₂ on sapphire. Phys. Rev. B 93, 165412 (2016).
- Jin, W. et al. Substrate interactions with suspended and supported monolayer 74. MoS2: Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. B 91, 121409(R) (2015).
- Echeverry, J. P., Urbaszek, B., Amand, T., Marie, X. & Gerber, I. C. Splitting 75. between bright and dark excitons in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. Phys. Rev. B 93, 121107(R) (2016).
- 76. Molas, M. R. et al. Brightening of dark excitons in monolayers of semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides. 2D Mater. 4, 021003 (2017).
- Wang, G. et al. In-plane propagation of light in transition metal 77. dichalcogenide monolayers: Optical selection rules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 047401 (2017)
- 78. Zhang, X.-X. et al. Magnetic brightening and control of dark excitons in monolayer WSe2. Nat. Nanotechnol. 12, 883 (2017).
- 79 MacNeill, D. et al. Breaking of valley degeneracy by magnetic field in monolayer MoSe2. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 037401 (2015).
- Li, Y. et al. Valley splitting and polarization by the Zeeman effect in 80. monolayer MoSe2. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 266804 (2014).
- 81 Wang, G. et al. Magneto-optics in transition metal diselenide monolayers. 2D Mater. 2, 034002 (2015).
- 82 Zhang, Y. et al. Direct observation of the transition from indirect to direct bandgap in atomically thin epitaxial MoSe2. Nat. Nanotechnol. 9, 111 (2014).
- 83. Xenogiannopoulou, E. et al. High-quality, large-area MoSe2 and MoSe2/Bi2Se2 heterostructures on AlN(0001)/Si(111) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy. Nanoscale 7, 7896 (2015).
- Mo, S.-K. et al. Spin-resolved photoemission study of epitaxially 84. grown MoSe2 and WSe2 thin films. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 28, 454001 (2016).
- 85. Arora, A. et al. Valley Zeeman splitting and valley polarization of neutral and charged excitons in monolayer MoTe₂ at high magnetic fields. Nano Lett. 16, 3624 (2016).
- 86. Chen, C. et al. Emergence of interfacial polarons from electron-phonon coupling in graphene/h-BN van der Waals heterostructures. Nano Lett. 18, 1082 (2018).
- 87. Jaime, M. et al. Magnetostriction and magnetic texture to 100.75 Tesla in frustrated SrCu₂(BO₂)₂. PNAS 109, 12404 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with H. Dery, K. Velizhanin, M. Potemski, and M. M. Glazov, and technical support with the 100 T magnet from H. Teshima, D. Roybal, M. Pacheco, D. Nguyen, R. McDonald, J. Martin, M. Hinrichs, F. Balakirev, and J. Betts. Work at the NHMFL was supported by the Los Alamos LDRD program and the DOE BES 'Science of 100 T' program. The NHMFL is supported by the National Science Foundation DMR-1644779, the State of Florida, and the U.S. Department of Energy. We acknowledge funding from ANR 2D-vdW-Spin, ANR VallEx, Labex NEXT projects VWspin and MILO, ITN Spin-NANO Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 676108 and ITN 4PHOTON No 721394. S.A.C. acknowledges an invited researcher grant from Toulouse Labex NEXT. X.M. acknowledges the Institut Universitaire de France. Growth of hBN crystals was supported by the Elemental Strategy Initiative conducted by the MEXT, Japan and the CREST (JPMJCR15F3), JST.

Author contributions

S.A.C, B.U. and X.M. conceived the idea and supervised the research. C.R., S.S, E.C and S.A.C. fabricated the samples. T.T. and K.W. synthesized the hBN. M.G., J.L., A.V.S. and C.R. performed the magneto-optical measurements. M.G. and J.L. performed data analysis. All authors discussed the results. M.G., J.L. and S.A.C. wrote the manuscript in consultation with all authors.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12180-y

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks the anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attri-bution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019