

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1021/JA026714M

Reversal of enantioselectivity in the asymmetric rhodium- versus iridium-catalyzed hydroboration of meso substrates. — [Source link](#)

Alejandro Perez Luna, Martine Bonin, Laurent Micouin, Henri-Philippe Husson

Institutions: Centre national de la recherche scientifique

Published on: 18 Sep 2002 - Journal of the American Chemical Society (American Chemical Society)

Topics: Hydroboration, Desymmetrization, Bicyclic molecule, Catalysis and Reaction mechanism

Related papers:

- [Enantioselective desymmetrization of meso bicyclic hydrazines: a novel approach to the asymmetric synthesis of polysubstituted amino cyclopentanic cores.](#)
- [Rhodium\(I\)- and iridium\(I\)-catalyzed hydroboration reactions: scope and synthetic applications](#)
- [Regio- and Enantiocontrol in the Room-Temperature Hydroboration of Vinyl Arenes with Pinacol Borane](#)
- [Catalytic Asymmetric Hydroboration: Recent Advances and Applications in Carbon–Carbon Bond-Forming Reactions](#)
- [Trifluoromethyl-substituted indenyl rhodium and iridium complexes are highly selective catalysts for directed hydroboration reactions](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/reversal-of-enantioselectivity-in-the-asymmetric-rhodium-1s7cvampf>



HAL
open science

Reversal of Enantioselectivity in the Asymmetric Rhodium- versus Iridium-Catalyzed Hydroboration of Meso Substrates

Alejandro Pérez Luna, Martine Bonin, Laurent Micouin, Henri-Philippe Husson

► **To cite this version:**

Alejandro Pérez Luna, Martine Bonin, Laurent Micouin, Henri-Philippe Husson. Reversal of Enantioselectivity in the Asymmetric Rhodium- versus Iridium-Catalyzed Hydroboration of Meso Substrates. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, American Chemical Society, 2002, 124 (41), pp.12098-12099. 10.1021/ja026714m . hal-02185596

HAL Id: hal-02185596

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02185596>

Submitted on 16 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Reversal of Enantioselectivity in the Asymmetric Rhodium- versus Iridium-Catalyzed Hydroboration of *meso* Substrates

Alejandro Pérez Luna, Martine Bonin,* Laurent Micouin,* and Henri-Philippe Husson

Laboratoire de Chimie Thérapeutique, UMR 8638 associée au CNRS et à l'Université René Descartes, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, 4 av de l'Observatoire, 75270 Paris cedex 06 (France)

RECEIVED DATE (will be automatically inserted after manuscript is accepted)

Since Männig and Nöth first reported the transition metal catalyzed hydroboration,¹ increasing attention has been paid to the development of this new reaction, especially in the field of asymmetric catalysis.² It was found that chemo-,¹ regio-³ and diastereoselectivities⁴ could be obtained opposite to the uncatalyzed processes. Furthermore, beside the access to enantiopure alcohols or amine derivatives, the transmetalation of the intermediate boronic esters to the corresponding organozinc derivatives considerably broadens the scope of the rhodium-catalyzed hydroboration.⁵ However, applications of this promising reaction have been restricted to a limited number of substrates; only successful enantioselective hydroboration of styrene and its derivatives (ee > 95 %), and norbornene in a lesser extent (ee = 84 %) have been reported.² Despite intensive work, no other metallic substitute for rhodium has been reported to be effective in such an asymmetric transformation. Moreover, improvement of this process is hampered by the understanding of its mechanism which is rather vague.⁶ Herein we report the first example of asymmetric iridium-catalyzed hydroboration. We also bring new insight into the catalytic cycle of this reaction.

Recently, we have developed a straightforward access to polysubstituted diaminocyclopentanes **3** based on the desymmetrization of *meso* bicyclic hydrazines **1** via rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric hydroboration (Figure 1).⁷




Figure 1. Desymmetrization of *meso* hydrazines.


Although very few examples of racemic iridium-catalyzed hydroborations have been reported and the reaction with endocyclic alkenes is believed to be very sluggish,⁸ the enhanced reactivity of our substrates compared to norbornene prompted us to test iridium catalysts with this series (Table). Using our previously established hydroboration conditions, we were pleased to see that alcohol **2a** could be obtained with 1 mol% of [Ir(COD)Cl]₂ as iridium source (Entry 2). A higher chemical yield could be achieved using the same amount of precatalyst loading by increasing the reaction duration (Entry 3). Reaction at room temperature with DIOP as a ligand could afford compound **2a** in 40% yield with 44% ee (Entry 5). This results show for the first time that, despite a lower reactivity, neutral iridium complexes can catalyze asymmetric hydroboration. More interestingly, a complete reversal of enantioselectivity was observed between Rh and Ir systems. This phenomenon appeared as a general feature since it was observed on all substrates and conditions studied (Scheme 1).

Table. Rhodium-versus iridium catalyzed hydroboration of compound **1a**. Influence of ligand.

entry	L*	solvent T(°C) (t[h])	M	yield (%) ^a	er ^b
1	(<i>S,S</i>)-BDPP	DME, -50 (0.5)	Rh	91	92:8 ^c
2	(<i>S,S</i>)-BDPP	DME, 0-RT (0.5)	Ir	7	32:68
3	(<i>S,S</i>)-BDPP	DME, 0-RT (7)	Ir	30	34:66
4	(<i>S,S</i>)-DIOP	DME, -50 (0.5)	Rh	46	23:77
5	(<i>S,S</i>)-DIOP	DME, RT (7)	Ir	40	72:28
6	(<i>R</i>)-Quinap	DME, -50 (0.5)	Rh	11	62:38
7	(<i>R</i>)-Quinap	THF, RT (7)	Ir	27	44:56

^a Yield of analytically pure compound. ^b Determined by chiral HPLC. ^c The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer has been established to be (1*R*,4*R*,5*R*) (See ref 7).

Scheme 1. Rhodium-versus iridium catalyzed hydroboration (Absolute configurations not determined).^a



^a Reagents and conditions: (a) M= Rh, 1% [Rh(COD)Cl]₂, 2% (*S,S*)-BDPP, CatBH (2 equiv.), DME, -50 °C, 0.5 h, then H₂O₂, 50%. M = Ir, 1% [Ir(COD)Cl]₂, (*S,S*)-BDPP, CatBH (2 equiv.), THF, RT, 7 h, then H₂O₂, 26%; (b) M= Rh, 1% [Rh(COD)Cl]₂, 2% (*S,S*)-BDPP, CatBH (2 equiv.), DME, -50 °C, 0.5 h, then H₂O₂, 78%. M = Ir, 1% [Ir(COD)Cl]₂, (*S,S*)-BDPP, CatBH (2 equiv.), THF, RT, 7 h, then H₂O₂, 9%; (c) M= Rh, 1% [Rh(COD)Cl]₂, 2% (*S,S*)-BDPP, CatBH (2 equiv.), DME, -50 °C to RT, 7.6 h, then H₂O₂, 68%. M = Ir, 10% [Ir(COD)Cl]₂, (*S,S*)-BDPP, CatBH (2 equiv.), THF, RT, 7 h, then H₂O₂, 17%.

To our knowledge, reversal of enantioselectivity in the course of an asymmetric reaction catalyzed by two transition metals having the same *d* shell electronic configuration is unprecedented.⁹ This observation brings new information on the mechanism of hydroboration. Two main catalytic cycles, based on experimental and theoretical studies, have been proposed (Figure 2).^{6b} While several authors describe a key step involving a migratory insertion into the metal-H bond,¹⁰ others favor the migration of a boryl group.¹¹ If the insertion step is the determining one in the cycle, these two pathways should lead to opposite regioisomers or, in the case of meso substrates, enantiomers.




Figure 2. Simplified postulated catalytic cycles for hydroboration

The possible substrate-dependent reversibility of this step complicates the analysis.¹⁰ In our case, we have a dramatic acceleration of the hydroboration with bicyclic hydrazines compared to the norbornene case. This can be explained by the stabilization of the transition state of the migratory insertion by two σ^* -nitrogen lone pair interactions, and it suggests that this is the first irreversible step in the cycle (Figure 3).¹²





Figure 3. Possible transition states for the migratory insertion steps. Some groups have been omitted for clarity.

Thus, solvent- and ligand- dependent stereoselectivity can be explained by means of the difference in diastereomeric transition states energetic levels (TS_1 versus TS_1' and TS_2 versus TS_2'), and systematic reversal of enantioselectivity (regioselectivity) is likely to be the result of two different insertion pathways (TS_1 versus TS_2 and TS_1' versus TS_2'). Theoretical studies on bond dissociation energies have shown that insertion into the Ir-Bcat bond is favored by 8 kcal.mol⁻¹ over the insertion into the Ir-H bond.¹³ In the case of our substrates, we can propose that this pathway is involved with [Ir(COD)Cl]₂ and that the use of [Rh(COD)Cl]₂

involves a key Rh-H migratory insertion step.

Finally, chemical yield and enantioselectivity of Ir-based desymmetrization were increased by using commercially available (*R,S*)-Josiphos ligand, leading to alcohols **2a,b** in 46-65 % yield with ee up to 64 % (Scheme 2). These promising results might be improved by a fine electronic tuning of ferrocenyl phosphines or P,N ligands.¹⁴

Scheme 2. Iridium-catalyzed hydroboration with ferrocenyl phosphine ligand (Absolute configuration : 1*S,4S,5S*).




In summary, we have reported the first Ir-catalyzed asymmetric hydroboration with ee and chemical yields up to 64%. We have also shown an unprecedented reversal of enantioselectivity for a catalytic desymmetrization reaction by exchanging two transition metals having the same *d* shell electronic configuration. These results helped us to show that, at least on our substrates, Rh-catalyzed hydroboration involves a metal-H insertion and a boryl migration is involved when using an Ir precatalyst. More generally, the use of *meso* substrates, leading to enantiomers instead of regioisomers, avoids the introduction of thermodynamic bias for regioselectivity, and could be a general tool for the investigation of this kind of problems.¹⁵

Acknowledgement. We thank CNRS for financial support.

Supporting Information Available : experimental procedures and analytical data for ee determination. This material is free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

- (1) Männig, D.; Nöth, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 878; *Angew. Chem.* **1985**, *97*, 854.
- (2) Hayashi, T. in *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*, Vol. 1 (Eds.: Jacobsen, E. N.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **1999**, pp 351-364, and references therein.
- (3) (a) Evans, D. A.; Fu, G. C.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 6917; (b) Zhang, J.; Lou, B.; Guo, G.; Dai, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 1670.
- (4) Burgess, K.; Ohlmeyer, M. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 1179.
- (5) Hupe, E.; Calaza, I.; Knochel, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 8829.
- (6) (a) Wadepohl, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2441; *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 2547; (b) Beletskaya, I.; Pelter, A. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4957.
- (7) Pérez Luna, A.; Ceschi, M.-A.; Bonin, M.; Micouin, L.; Husson, H.-P.; Gougeon, S.; Estenne-Bouhtou, G.; Marabout, B.; Sevrin, M.; George, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 3522.
- (8) (a) Evans, D. A.; Fu, G. C.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 6671; (b) Westcott, S. A.; Marder, T. B. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 975.
- (9) Reversal of enantioselectivity in the asymmetric hydrogenation using Rh-BINAP or Ru-BINAP systems is well documented, but the two catalytic cycles involve completely different reactive intermediates and kinetic profiles: Noyori, R. *Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, **1994**.
- (10) Experimental studies: Evans, D. A.; Fu, G. C.; Anderson, B. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 6679. Theoretical studies: Dorigo, A. E.; von Ragué Schleyer, P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 115; *Angew. Chem.* **1995**, *107*, 108.
- (11) (a) Burgess, K.; van der Donk, W. A.; Westcott, S. A.; Marder, T. B.; Baker, R. T.; Calabrese, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 9350; (b) Musaev, D. G.; Mebel, A. M.; Morokuma, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 10693.
- (12) For similar interactions see : (a) Heymn, M. L.; Snyder, J. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, *30*, 2859; (b) Raasch, M. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 1975.
- (13) Rablen, P. R.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 4121.
- (14) Schnyder, A.; Togni, A.; Wiesli, U. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 255.
- (15) Consiglio, G. *Chimia*, **2001**, *55*, 809.



The first example of Ir-catalyzed asymmetric hydroboration with ee and chemical yields up to 64% is reported. The switch from rhodium to iridium leads systematically to opposite enantiomers in this desymmetrization reaction.
