

1 Reverse taxonomy applied to the *Brachionus calyciflorus* cryptic species complex:
2 morphometric analysis confirms species delimitations revealed by molecular
3 phylogenetic analysis and allows the (re)description of four species.

4

5 Evangelia Michaloudi^{1*}; Spiros Papakostas²; Georgia Stamou¹; Vilém Neděla³; Eva
6 Tihlaříková³; Wei Zhang⁴; Steven A.J Declerck⁴

7

8 ¹ Department of Zoology, School of Biology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,
9 Thessaloniki, Greece

10 ² Division of Genetics and Physiology, Department of Biology, University of Turku,
11 Turku, Finland

12 ³ Institute of Scientific Instruments, Academy Of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brno,
13 Czech Republic

14 ⁴ Netherlands Institute of Ecology, Department of Aquatic Ecology, Wageningen, The
15 Netherlands

16

17 * Corresponding author

18 E-mail: tholi@bio.auth.gr (EM)

19 **Abstract**

20 The discovery and exploration of cryptic species have been profoundly expedited
21 thanks to developments in molecular biology and phylogenetics. In this study, we apply
22 a reverse taxonomy approach to the *Brachionus calyciflorus* species complex, a
23 commonly studied freshwater monogonont rotifer. By combining phylogenetic,
24 morphometric and morphological analyses, we confirm the existence of four cryptic
25 species that have been recently suggested by a molecular study. Based on these results
26 and according to an exhaustive review of the taxonomic literature, we name each of
27 these four species and provide their taxonomic description alongside a diagnostic key.

28

29 **Introduction**

30

31 Species recognition has been revolutionized by the advent of DNA sequence
32 analysis and barcoding [1, 2]. DNA sequences have helped reveal the existence of
33 cryptic species in a wide variety of taxa [3, 4, 5], and in so doing, have drawn attention
34 to the limitations of traditional species recognition based solely on morphological
35 characteristics. To render species delimitations and descriptions more robust, molecular
36 methods are nowadays increasingly combined with morphology, ecology, cross-
37 fertilization data as well as with other sources of information (e.g., behavioural data,
38 biogeography) in the framework of a taxonomical approach coined as integrative
39 taxonomy [6, 7]. However, even when identified by modern methods, cryptic species
40 often remain taxonomically cryptic [8] because current research practice typically
41 ignores the need of providing a formal description of their diagnostic morphological
42 traits and to suggest a valid taxonomic name. The taxonomic identity of a name is

43 nevertheless fundamental for nomenclatural stability [9, 10, 11]. Unambiguous
44 recognition of cryptic species is further essential for many research purposes, ranging
45 from species diversity inventories and the interpretation of community phylogenetic
46 and biogeographical patterns to the assessment of species' ecological tolerance or
47 evolutionary potential [12], and the set-up of experiments [13]. To this end, reverse
48 taxonomy consists of an approach that largely uses molecular evidence to guide the
49 morphological description of cryptic species [14]. As such, it provides a powerful tool
50 to discover, describe and assign taxonomically valid names to previously unknown
51 species and thus resolve many of the problems associated to taxonomic crisis [8, 15].

52 Rotifera is a phylum of microscopic organisms commonly found in inland waters
53 throughout the world [16] and often harbour high levels of cryptic species diversity [17,
54 18, 19, 20]. Molecular phylogenetics has proven to be a very effective strategy in
55 recognizing evolutionary significant units of diversity of putative species status in
56 rotifers [18, 21]. An illustrative example of the successful deciphering of a rotifer
57 cryptic species complex is the case of the euryhaline *Brachionus plicatilis* Müller, 1786
58 [17, 19]. By inspecting molecular phylogenies, at least nine divergent lineages were
59 initially recognized in this taxon [17], which then, by using samples from additional
60 geographic regions, were increased to present-day 15 putative species [19]. Boundaries
61 between most of these species were also confirmed by the results of cross-fertilization
62 experiments [23]). By applying modern phylogenetic species delimitation methods
63 (e.g., reviewed in Fontaneto et al., [24]), the species status of these cryptic species was
64 further supported in a recent study [19]. Such molecular evidence combined with
65 morphometric analysis has also been fundamental to the taxonomic description of some
66 of these species [25, 26, 27].

67 Of all freshwater monogonont rotifers, *Brachionus calyciflorus* Pallas, 1766 is
68 probably the most widely studied in ecology (e.g. [28, 29]), evolutionary biology (e.g.
69 [30, 31, 12]), ecotoxicology (e.g. [32, 33, 34]), and aquaculture (e.g. [35, 36]).
70 Indicative of its importance, *B. calyciflorus* was the first monogonont rotifer of which
71 the genome was published [37]. Nevertheless, its taxonomy remains particularly
72 confused. Since *B. calyciflorus* served as type species for the *Brachionus* genus [38],
73 numerous morphological variants on subspecies and infrasubspecific level have been
74 described (e.g., [39, 40]). This situation has led [41] to express the need for a thorough
75 revision of the taxon and the validation of these numerous variants recorded worldwide
76 in ecological studies (Table A in S1 Text). A lot of the confusion undoubtedly arises
77 from its high phenotypic variability [38]. Recently, the taxon was suggested to consist
78 of a cryptic species complex [42, 43]. By applying an integrative taxonomy approach,
79 Papakostas et al. [20] coupled the analysis of a large dataset of DNA sequences with
80 morphometric data. The molecular analyses revealed frequent cases of discordant
81 patterns between the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) and nuclear
82 internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) markers, a phenomenon often described as
83 mitonuclear discordance. Combined with microsatellite analysis, the possibility of
84 hybrid introgression between four cryptic species of the *B. calyciflorus* complex, coded
85 as ‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’, and ‘D’, was suggested [20]. In light of this evidence, an intriguing
86 observation was that the nuclear marker ITS1 was found to be a more reliable predictor
87 of the species than the mitochondrial marker COI as it explained a much higher
88 proportion of the morphometric variation (71% vs. 36%), with the variation explained
89 by COI being also entirely redundant to that explained by ITS1 [20].

90 Papakostas et al. [20] mainly studied the morphometry of two of the four species,
91 those coded as ‘B’ and ‘C’. In this study, we aim at comprehensively studying the

92 morphometry and morphology of all four *B. calyciflorus* cryptic species recognized by
93 Papakostas et al. [20]. For this purpose, we collected and cultured additional rotifer
94 clones representing multiple populations for each of the four suggested species. We
95 then measured several morphometric traits under standardized conditions and tested for
96 the existence of morphometric differentiation among each of the four species pairs. We
97 additionally proceeded to an elaborate morphological investigation, which entailed an
98 exhaustive review of the taxonomic literature, comparing the morphology of the groups
99 with previously published descriptions of forms and variants within the taxon. This
100 effort has resulted in the description of two new species, *B. elevatus* sp. nov. and *B.*
101 *fernandoi* sp. nov., and the redescription of two earlier described taxa, *B. calyciflorus*
102 Pallas, 1766 and *B. dorcas* Gosse, 1851. Furthermore, we provide a key to guide the
103 identification of the species using diagnostic morphological traits. We also provide in
104 the supplementary materials an organized collection of ITS1 sequences available in
105 GenBank that may be used to facilitate identification of each of these species using this
106 particular molecular marker. Altogether, this study resolves the taxonomic identity of a
107 much-studied freshwater monogonont rotifer species complex, it suggests distinct valid
108 names that should be used to refer to each of these species, and provides morphological
109 and genetic resources to facilitate the recognition and thus study of each of these
110 species.

111

112 **Material and methods**

113

114 **Resting egg collection and clone line establishment**

115 Surficial sediment samples were collected from lakes and ponds in The
116 Netherlands (Table 1). All samples analysed for this study were taken from public
117 waterways in The Netherlands and therefore do not require permission. The field
118 studies did not involve endangered or protected species. *Brachionus* sp. resting eggs
119 were separated using the sugar flotation method [44]. Resting eggs hatched under
120 continuous light in Petri dishes with distilled water. The dishes were checked at 12-
121 hour intervals, and hatchlings were removed when present. Clonal lines were initially
122 established by individually transferring hatched *Brachionus calyciflorus* females from
123 the dishes into wells of a 24-well plate filled with chemostat grown *Chlamydomonas*
124 *reinhardtii* (1000 $\mu\text{mol C L}^{-1}$). After clonal lines had established, cultures were
125 upscaled by transferring the populations into 20 mL coulter cups (Beckman Coulter®)
126 with 8 mL of food. These populations served as stock cultures, were maintained at room
127 temperature (22-24°C) under continuous light and supplied with fresh medium and food
128 every two days. After a few months of growth in the lab, these stock cultures served as
129 the source of individuals for morphometric analysis.

130 **Table 1. Overview of the materials used for each of the species described in this study. nuITS1 delimitation: putative species as suggested**
 131 **by [20]; coordinates are WGS84**
 132

nuITS1 delimitation	Species	Population ID	Clone ID	n	Data origin	Latitude	Longitude	Sampling time
‘A’	<i>B. dorcas</i>	NL7	J	10	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Jun. 2011
		NL68	C01	13	present study	N 52.083253°	E 4.323353°	Apr. 2016
		NL68	C09	17	present study	N 52.083253°	E 4.323353°	Apr. 2016
		NL68	C10	18	present study	N 52.083253°	E 4.323353°	Apr. 2016
		NL128	C25	17	present study	N 52.640324°	E 4.730287°	Mar. 2014
		NL128	C30	10	present study	N 52.640324°	E 4.730287°	Mar. 2014
		NL129	C21	13	present study	N 52.652613°	E 4.776304°	Mar. 2014
		NL129	C43	13	present study	N 52.652613°	E 4.776304°	Mar. 2014
		NL134	C01	16	present study	N 52.734063°	E 4.883798°	Mar. 2014
		NL134	C02	11	present study	N 52.734063°	E 4.883798°	Mar. 2014
‘B’	<i>B. elevatus</i> sp. nov.	NL7	B	19	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Jun. 2011
		NL7	C24	14	present study	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Apr. 2016
		NL7	E	17	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Jun. 2011
		NL7	G	20	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Jun. 2011
		NL67	E	18	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.080972°	E 4.313722°	Aug. 2012

		NL69	B	20	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	C25	17	present study	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Apr. 2016
		NL69	D	19	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	G	19	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	H	19	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	K	20	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	L	18	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	M	18	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	R	16	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
'C'	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> s.s.	NL7	C01	20	present study	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Apr. 2016
		NL7	K	12	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Jun. 2011
		NL7	R	2	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.854065°	E 5.893175°	Jun. 2011
		NL22	A	17	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.985263°	E 5.665691°	Jul. 2012
		NL22	D	20	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.985263°	E 5.665691°	Jul. 2012
		NL22	F	19	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.985263°	E 5.665691°	Jul. 2012
		NL22	K	14	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 51.985263°	E 5.665691°	Jul. 2012
		NL67	B	14	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.080972°	E 4.313722°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	C	12	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	E	14	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL69	F	4	Papakostas et al. [20]	N 52.090694°	E 4.338444°	Aug. 2012
		NL128	C01	17	present study	N 52.640324°	E 4.730287°	Mar. 2014

		NL168	C01	18	present study	N 51.491438°	E 4.306801°	Mar. 2014
'D'	<i>B. fernandoi</i> sp. nov.	NL128	C05	18	present study	N 52.640324°	E 4.730287°	Mar. 2014
		NL128	C06	17	present study	N 52.640324°	E 4.730287°	Mar. 2014
		NL129	C01	7	present study	N 52.652613°	E 4.776304°	Mar. 2014
		NL129	C02	19	present study	N 52.652613°	E 4.776304°	Mar. 2014
		NL129	C42	16	present study	N 52.652613°	E 4.776304°	Mar. 2014
		NL129	C44	16	present study	N 52.652613°	E 4.776304°	Mar. 2014
		NL181	C02	15	present study	N 51.839032°	E 4.144425°	Feb. 2014
		NL181	C03	9	present study	N 51.839032°	E 4.144425°	Feb. 2014
		NL181	C07	8	present study	N 51.839032°	E 4.144425°	Feb. 2014
		NL181	C10	11	present study	N 51.839032°	E 4.144425°	Feb. 2014

133

Species: species names given by current study; n: number of individuals analysed for the morphometric analysis.

Molecular species identification

To identify the species of the additional clonal cultures used in this study, we employed an extensive database of species-delimited ITS1 unique sequences, called haplotypes, available in Papakostas et al. [20] (Appendix 2: Tables S2 and S3; available at: <http://datadryad.org/resource/doi:10.5061/dryad.8rc4r>). For the sake of continuity, we will henceforth use the term, haplotype, to describe unique sequences of ITS1. DNA was extracted from single rotifers, and the ITS1 region was PCR-amplified as described in Papakostas et al. [20]. Amplicons were then Sanger-sequenced to both primer directions by Macrogen Europe (Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and sequencing chromatograms were aligned and manually inspected with Sequencher 4 (Gene Codes). Double peaks and length variance that may indicate heterozygotes was noted and co-occurring sequences were distinguished as described in Papakostas et al. [20]. ITS1 sequences were then appended to the ITS1 haplotypes of Papakostas et al. [20] (available within the file “1_Alignments.zip” through the Dryad repository: <http://datadryad.org/resource/doi:10.5061/dryad.8rc4r>), and the whole dataset was re-aligned using the mlocarna function of the LocARNA v. 1.9.1 tool [45] with default settings. The alignment was reduced to contain only the complete ITS1 region and identical sequences, referred to as haplotypes, were recognized with DNAsp v. 5 [46]. Newly sequenced clonal cultures with same ITS1 haplotypes as in Papakostas et al. [20] were assigned to the species that has been already deduced. For newly discovered haplotypes, a simple delimitation analysis was run using the generalized mixed-Yule coalescent model (GMYC; [47] as described in Papakostas et al. [20]. The species of these new ITS1 haplotypes was that of already analyzed ITS1 haplotypes by Papakostas et al. [20] grouped in the same species category suggested by the GMYC analysis.

Morphometry

Morphometric analysis was performed on formalin-fixed females (4% formalin). The 724 individuals used for the analysis were isolated from 48 clonal cultures (23 of them established by Papakostas et al. [20]). Twenty, whenever possible (range 2-20 Table 1), randomly picked individuals from each clonal culture were examined under a LeitzLaborlux S optical microscope. Microphotographs for each individual were taken with an adjusted camera Canon Power shot A650 IS, and morphometric measurements were obtained using ImageJ [48]. A total of 19 lorica dimensions (Fig 1) were measured based on Fu et al. [49], Ciroz-Perez et al. [25], Proios et al. [50], and Michaloudi et al. [27] with additional measurements made on the anterodorsal and anteroventral side. Two measurements of the anterodorsal side, namely ‘d’ and ‘f’, were not included in the further analysis due to high distortion of the placement of the anterior spines during preservation. All the rotifer microphotographs analyzed for Papakostas et al. [20] are available within the file “9_Rotifer_microphotographs.zip” through the Dryad repository: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.8rc4r>. All the additional rotifer microphotographs analyzed for the present study are publicly available via the online Dryad repository (accession link: <http://datadryad.org/review?doi=doi:10.5061/dryad.4ng70>).

Fig 1. Microphotographs of a *Brachionus calyciflorus* individual. A and B show the major lorica dimensions measured, C the dimensions measured of the anterodorsal margin, D and E the dimensions measured of the anteroventral margin.

Taxonomy

In order to investigate the taxonomic status of *B. calyciflorus* variants since its description (1766) a literature review was conducted until 2016 using Google scholar search engine and the following synonyms as keywords i.e. “*Arthracanthus biremis*”, “*Arthracanthus quadriremis*”, “*Anuraea palea*”, “*Anuraea divaricata*”, “*Brachionus calyciflorus borgerti*”, “*Brachionus calyciflorus ampiceros*”, “*Brachionus calyciflorus anuraeiformis*”, “*Brachionus calyciflorus calyciflorus*” “*Brachionus calyciflorus dorcas*”, “*Brachionus calyciflorus giganteus*”, “*Brachionus calyciflorus spinosus*”, “*Brachionus decipiens*”, “*Brachionus margoï*”, “*Brachionus pala*”, “*Brachionus pala mucronatus*”, “*Brachionus palea*” and “*Brachionus pentacanthus*”. Only studies indicating the existence of at least one of the above species in a zooplankton community was included. In the cases where the same dataset was included in more than one articles, all the articles were included. ‘Grey’ literature (i.e. conference proceedings, theses) was avoided when there was a peer-reviewed published source. For each case, when possible, we provide the specific name of the species, the country, and the area, lake or river in which it was recorded together with the reference source (Table A in S1 Text).

All taxonomic information provided (i.e. spelling, authors names, synomys) in the text have been verified with the List of Available Names [9, 51] and the Rotifer World Catalog [52]

Nomenclatural acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in

ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “http://zoobank.org/”. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: 73FED4F9-11F0-43C0-9AD0-8D4C14CAE1D3. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central, LOCKSS.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Full-body

Environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) was applied to each clone using custom modified Quanta 650 FEG microscope equipped with and a non-commercial high-efficiency detector for low dose imaging [53]. Rotifers of each clone were pipped into 5 μ L drop of distilled water to clean their surface prior the observation. Live animals were individually transferred on a cooling Peltier stage in the microscope and observed using the Low Temperature Method for ESEM (LTM) [54] that was originally developed for the study of plant samples [55] and optimised for the samples of the present study. Additional purging process of water vapour was applied during LTM to ensure higher relative humidity in a specimen chamber of the ESEM to prevent a sample collapse before its low temperature stabilisation. Samples were observed under following conditions: temperature of the cooling stage was -20 °C, air pressure in the ESEM specimen chamber was 200 Pa, beam energy of 10 keV and beam current bellow 20 pA.

Trophi

Trophi SEM stub mounts were prepared for clones of each of the four species based on the methodology described by De Smet [56]. Five 50 μ L beads of distilled water were pipetted onto a glass slide. A total of six individual rotifers were pipetted into the first 50 μ L drop of distilled water, and 10 μ L of domestic bleach (3% sodium hypochlorite solution) was added. Animals were observed under a dissecting microscope. Once all trophi had been expelled from the lorica, they were pipetted between the remaining four 50 μ L beads of distilled water. Each individual trophi was finally picked up in 1 μ L of distilled water and pipetted onto a round coverslip of 12 mm diameter. The pipette tip was changed after each transfer. Once all of the trophi had been transferred, they were left on the bench to dry. Once desiccated, the round coverslip was stuck to a SEM stub that had been prepared with an adhesive tape; the sample was then sputter coated with gold using Agar Sputter Coater. Photographs were taken by JSM-6300 Scanning Electron Microscope.

Statistical analysis

We applied principal components analysis (PCA) to explore for systematic morphometric differences among the hypothetical species. Redundancy analysis (RDA) was then used to formally test for statistical differences among species. Furthermore, to identify which combinations of morphometric traits discriminate best between species we applied stepwise discriminant function analysis (DFA). Applying DFA on all four species revealed a particularly strong differentiation between species 'A' and the other three species, potentially overwhelming differences among the species 'B', 'C', and 'D'; thus in order to discriminate the rest of the species as well DFA was applied on two different datasets: (a) including data of all four species and (b) including only the data of species 'B', 'C' and 'D'. To account for the unequal

representation of species in the dataset, the weight of species was adjusted according to prior probabilities. To assess the robustness of the DFA model, we applied the leave-one-out cross-validation approach. Finally, for each of the individual morphometric variables, we tested for the significance of differences among the four species using ANOVA combined with Turkey post hoc test.

Data were standardized prior to PCA, RDA and DFA statistical analysis and $\log(x+1)$ transformed prior to ANOVA. RDA and ANOVA were performed on clonal averages to avoid inflated type I error whereas PCA and DFA were based on data of individual rotifers. PCA and RDA were performed using the function *rda* of the package “vegan” [57] in R. DFA and ANOVA were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 [58].

Results

Molecular species identification

All clonal cultures used in this study were categorized to one of the four *B. calyciflorus* species previously recognized in Papakostas et al. [20], and no evidence for a new species was found (Table 1; supplementary material of [20]). All but one of the newly generated ITS1 sequences were identical to one of the ITS1 haplotypes previously reported by Papakostas et al. [20] (supplementary material of [20]), which suggests that a large portion of the ITS1 polymorphism has been already covered for the studied geographic region. Only four genotypes were heterozygotes for ITS1 (supplementary material of [20]). Newly generated ITS1 sequences were submitted to GenBank (accession numbers: MF776636-MF776664), while ITS1 alignment, sequencing

information and other information relevant to the species delimitation are freely available via the online Dryad repository (accession link: <http://datadryad.org/review?doi=doi:10.5061/dryad.4ng70>).

Morphometry

Principal Components analysis positioned the clones into separate clusters that corresponded well with the species delimitation as suggested by Papakostas et al. [20] (Fig 2). The first two axes represented 72.41% (PC1 57.67%, PC2 14.74%) of the total observed variation. According to RDA, the factor ‘species’ explained 53% of the total morphometric variation (F: 16.861; P < 0.001). Additional tests showed significant differences among each pair of species with R²-values ranging between 19 and 55% (S1 Table).

Fig 2. Analysis of the association between molecular species delimitation and morphometry. Representation of sample score averages of each of the studied clones along the first two axes (axis x: PC1, axis y: PC2) of the principal components analysis (PC1 explained 57.67% and PC2 explained 14.74% of the recorded variation) performed on standardized morphometric data. Error bars represent variation between individuals of the same clone (twice the standard error of the mean)

The range of the dimensions measured for the four species is shown in S2 Table. In agreement with the RDA analysis, most of the variables showed statistically significant differences among the four groups, despite a large overlap in values (Table 2, S2 Table). Tables 3 and 4 show the results of the discriminant analysis. When applied to all four species Classification Function I accounted for 58.5% of the total variance (Table 3) and clearly distinguished the species previously reported as ‘A’ from the rest

of the species (Fig 3a). The variables weighing the most in this function were traits from the anteroventral margin, namely 'q', 'v', 'r' and 'i', traits representing overall body size (i.e. standard length 'sta' and width 'c'), and the length of the anterodorsal spines 'h' and 'k' (Table 3). Species 'B', 'C' and 'D' were separated from each other when DFA was applied to these three species only (Fig 3b). In this case Classification Function I accounted for 57.2% of the total variance (Table 4) and distinguished species 'B' from species 'C' and 'D'. The variable weighting the most in this function were traits from the anteroventral margin, namely 'q' and 'v' and body width 'c'. Classification Function II accounted for 42.8% (Table 4) of the variance and mainly differentiated between species 'C' and 'D' (Fig 3b). The variable weighting the most in this function was the distance of the lateralanterodorsal spines 'b' and the width of the medial sinus of the anteroventral margin 'r'. Cross-validation of the individuals' classification based on the classification functions (S3 Table and S4 Table) of the discriminant analysis correctly identified 96% of 'A' species, 95.7% of 'B' species, 90.7% of 'C' species and 88.2% of 'D' species individuals, suggesting that the identification of species based on morphometric variables might be possible with reasonable success.

Fig 3. Analysis of the association between molecular species delimitation and morphometry. Scatterplot showing the discrimination of species groups based on the canonical discriminant functions of the discriminant analysis performed on all measured individuals of the studied clones (upper) for species 'A', 'B', 'C', 'D' and (lower) for species 'B', 'C', 'D'. Error bars represent variation between individuals of the same clone (twice the standard error of the mean)

Table 2. Results of ANOVA and Turkey post-hoc test for differences in lorica traits between the four species of the *B. calyciflorus* cryptic species complex.

Bonferroni post-hoc test					ANOVA		
Measurement	'A'	'B'	'C'	'D'	df	F	<i>p</i>
s	a	b	c	a	3	138.13	<0.0001
c	a	b	c	d	3	143.38	<0.0001
e	a	b	c	b	3	223.68	<0.0001
b	a	b	bd	d	3	72.27	<0.0001
o	a	b	b	d	3	54.18	<0.0001
h	a	b	b	d	3	76.44	<0.0001
k	a	b	c	d	3	187.06	<0.0001
j	a	b	c	b	3	217.83	<0.0001
t	a	b	c	a	3	135.89	<0.0001
i	a	b	c	d	3	142.75	<0.0001
p	a	b	c	bc	3	109.86	<0.0001
v	bd	b	c	d	3	28.49	<0.0001
x	a	b	c	d	3	146.10	<0.0001
z	a	b	c	d	3	152.93	<0.0001
q	a	a	c	a	3	72.76	<0.0001
r	a	b	c	d	3	128.70	<0.0001
sta	a	b	c	a	3	83.39	<0.0001

Species with same letters did not differ significantly.

Table 3. Stepwise discriminant analysis based on the morphometric data of species 'A', 'B', 'C' and 'D' of the *B. calyciflorus* species complex.

Measurement	Function 1		Function 2		Function 3	
	Coefficient	Correlation	Coefficient	Correlation	Coefficient	Correlation
s	-.488	.102	-.202	.050	.622	.646
c	.912	.290	.485	.133	-.650	.497
e	.364	.401	.186	.054	.238	.506
b	.165	.235	-.492	-.219	-.577	.292
o	-.269	.200	-.104	-.112	-.410	.278
h	-.884	.152	-.498	-.097	.093	.424
k	.564	.344	-.216	-.061	.387	.557
j	.454	.402	.345	.051	-.099	.493
t	-.113	.024	.429	.443	-.476	-.012
i	.819	.302	-.276	.007	-.448	.570

p	.526	.240	.897	-.181	.579	.212
v	-1.346	.026	-.785	.102	.713	.158
x	-.264	-.041	.457	.437	.091	.498
z	-.199	.299	-.745	.017	.500	.607
q	1.871	.082	1.858	.252	-.298	.197
r	-.813	-.097	.419	.324	.566	.592
sta	-.810	.133	-.286	-.005	.470	.568
Eigenvalue	4.291		1.794		1.248	
% variance	58.5		24.5		17.0	

Values of the three canonical functions are shown. Coefficient: standardized coefficient for the canonical discriminant function. Correlation: pooled within group correlation coefficient between the body measurement and the canonical discriminant function.

Table 4. Stepwise discriminant analysis based on the morphometric data of species 'B', 'C' and 'D' of the *B. calyciflorus* species complex.

Measurement	Function 1		Function 2	
	Coefficient	Correlation	Coefficient	Correlation
s	.650	.118	.695	.544
c	-1.127	-.097	-.657	.398
e*		-.054		.328
b	.035	.167	-.829	.060
o	.102	.086	-.281	.114
h	.918	.140	.136	.249
k*		.080		.299
j	-.792	-.087	.198	.333
t	-.499	-.389	-.129	.213
i*		.047		.407
p*		.121		-.019
v	2.093	-.044	-.104	.162
x	-.072	-.187	.261	.643
z*		.026		.400
q	-2.293	-.174	.063	.248
r	.229	-.036	.803	.684
sta	.794	.122	.411	.429
Eigenvalue	2.247		1.683	
% variance	57.2		42.8	

Values of the three canonical functions are shown. Coefficient: standardized coefficient

for the canonical discriminant function. Correlation: pooled within group correlation coefficient between the body measurement and the canonical discriminant function. * this variable not used in the analysis

The redundancy and discriminant function analyses provide robust formal statistical support for the existence of four different morphometric groups that correspond strongly to the evolutionary units as proposed by Papakostas et al. [20]. Our detailed morphological investigation confirms these conclusions and results in the redescription of two formerly described species, *B. calyciflorus* Pallas, 1766 and *B. dorcas* Gosse, 1851, and the description of two new species, *B. elevatus* sp. nov. and *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. These species correspond to the evolutionary units that were revealed by Papakostas et al. [20] based on nuITS1 sequence data: species 'A': *B. dorcas*; species 'B': *B. elevatus* sp. nov.; species 'C': *B. calyciflorus* sensu stricto (s.s.); species 'D': *B. fernandoi* sp. nov.

Redescription of *Brachionus calyciflorus* s.s. Pallas, 1766

Taxonomy

Class: Eurotatoria De Ridder, 1957

Subclass: Monogononta Plate, 1889

Superorder: Pseudotrocha Kutikova, 1970

Order: Ploima Hudson & Gosse, 1886

Family: Brachionidae Ehrenberg, 1838

Brachionus calyciflorus Pallas, 1766

Brachionus calyciflorus Pallas 1766 [59], p. 96,

Brachionus palea, Ehrenberg 1830 [60], p. 68,
Anuraea palea, Ehrenberg 1830 [60], p.68
Brachionus amphiceros, Ehrenberg 1838 [61], p. 511, pl 63, Fig 2
Brachionus calyciflorus var. *amphiceros*, Ehrenberg 1838 [61]
Brachionus pala, Ehrenberg 1838 [61], p. 511, pl 63, Fig 1, pl 50, Fig 2
Anuraea divaricata, Weisse 1845 [62], p. 142, pl 2, Fig 13-14
Arthracanthus biremis, Schmarda 1854 [63], p. 22, pl 6, Fig 5
Arthracanthus quadriremis, Schmarda 1854 [63], p.12, pl 5, Fig 1
Brachionus margoï, Daday 1883 [64], p. 290
Brachionus decipiens, Plate 1886 [65], p 73
Brachionus pentacanthus, France 1894 [66], p. 172, pl 5, Figs 3 and 4
Brachionus pala anuraeiformis, Brehm 1909 [67], p. 308, Fig 1
Brachionus calyciflorus f. *anuraeiformis*, Brehm 1909 [67], p. 210, text-Fig
Brachionus pala mucronatus, Spandl 1922 [68], p. 275, text-Fig.

Etymology

The name ‘calyciflorus’ originates from the latin words ‘calyx’ (originally coming from the greek ‘κάλυξ’) and ‘flos’ meaning flower. Probably it was used due to the resemblance of *B. calyciflorus* to the shape of a flower-calyx.

Material examined

A total of 183 individuals were examined coming from 13 clones established from resting eggs collected in 6 water bodies from The Netherlands (Table 1).

Permanent glycerin glass slide mounts, each containing a single specimen, were prepared according to Jersabek et al. [69], and deposited in the Frank J. Myers

collection at the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia (ANSP) with catalogue numbers ANSP [2100-2104].

Based on the literature review (S1 Text) and the information available in the List Available Names (LAN) [9, 51] we conclude that no type material of *B. calyciflorus* is available. Following the guidelines of ICZN, since we are dealing with a species complex, we decided to designate a specific slide as neotype.

Neotype: A parthenogenetic female in a permanent glycerin glass with catalogue number [2100]

Description

The original description of *B. calyciflorus* [59] lacks details. More recent authors [39, 40] describing a typical form of *B. calyciflorus* indicated a great variation in the morphology of the antero-dorsal spines. Of all the material examined in the present study and Papakostas et al. [20], species ‘C’ also exhibited the greatest variation in the morphology of the antero-dorsal spines (Fig. 4) and was also characterized by the undulated anteroventral margin that is also mentioned by Koste [39] and Kutikova and Fernando [40]. Thus, species ‘C’ was identified as *B. calyciflorus*.

Parthenogenetic female: Lorica saccate soft and ovoid- shaped with a smooth surface (Figs 4- 6). Anterior dorsal margin with four spines, two on each side of a U-shaped sinus (Figs 4- 6). All spines are triangular with a wide base and relatively sharp apices. The anterior ventral margin is smooth with a medial sinus (Figs 4- 6). Foot aperture sub-terminal on the ventral surface of the lorica between two triangular protrusions. Three antennae are present: one found in the U-shaped sinus between the medial anterodorsal spines when the coronal disc is extended, and two others on either lateral side of the lorica at the posterior part of the animal length.

Fig 4. Line drawings of the main variations of the anterodorsal and anteroventral sides encountered for *B. dorcas*, *B. elevatus* sp. nov., *B. calyciflorus* s.s. and *B. fernandoi* sp. nov.

Fig 5. Photomicrographs of *B. calyciflorus* s.s., *B. dorcas*, *B. elevatus* sp. nov., *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. Whole individual, Lateral side, Anteroventral margin

Fig 6. Scanning electron micrographs of *B. calyciflorus* s.s., *B. dorcas*, *B. elevatus* sp. nov., *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. Whole individual, Anterodorsal margin, Anteroventral margin

Trophi: Malleate type (Fig 7) bearing the characteristics of the genus as described by Segers et al. [70]. Fulcrum short and hollow. Rami asymmetrical. Unci with four or five teeth decreasing in size from the ventral one. Subuncus brush-like. Manubria are triangular in shape, rounded at the external sickle-shaped margin, flattened and slightly bend at their distal end.

Fig 7. Scanning electron micrographs of the trophi dorsal and ventral view for *B. dorcas* (A, B), *B. elevatus* sp. nov. (C, D), *B. calyciflorus* s.s. (E, F) and *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. (G, H).

Comments

Kutikova and Fernando [40] in their analysis of the *Brachionus calyciflorus* Pallas, 1766 variations referred to the typical form as the 'd' form. Their depiction of the anteroventral side is not of great accuracy, although the undulated anteroventral margin with only a median notch is depicted. As for the anterodorsal side, the same extent of variation can be seen as the one described in the present study. This can be identified

with species ‘C’ as suggested by the molecular analysis of this study and of Papakostas et al. [20]. A genotype of *B. calyciflorus* s.s. was used as material for the whole genome sequencing by [37].

Distribution-Habitat

Brachionus calyciflorus s.s. has a cosmopolitan distribution. Based on the material analysed the present study confirms morphologically and genetically its Palearctic distribution. Relating our species with the typical form of Kutikova & Fernando [40] its Tropical, Oriental and Australian distribution is also confirmed, while it also has a Nearctic distribution (Table A in S1 Text).

It is an euplanctonic species found in freshwater pools, ponds, lakes, and reservoirs, ditches and paddy fields; also in potamoplankton, river estuaries, coastal brackish and marine waters; prefers eu- to hypertrophic waters, circum-neutral to slightly alkaline conditions, tolerates low oxygen [52].

Redescription of *Brachionus dorcas* Gosse, 1851

Taxonomy

Class: Eurotatoria De Ridder, 1957

Subclass: Monogononta Plate, 1889

Superorder: Pseudotrocha Kutikova, 1970

Order: Ploima Hudson & Gosse, 1886

Family: Brachionidae Ehrenberg, 1838

Brachionus dorcas Gosse, 1851

Brachionus dorcas Gosse 1851 [71], p. 203,

Brachionus calyciflorus dorcas, Gosse 1851 [71], p. 203

Brachionus dorcas spinosus, Wierzejski 1891 [72], p. 52, Fig 4

Etymology

The name ‘dorcas’ comes from the Greek word ‘δορκάς’ which is an antelope. Its use refers to the middle anterodorsal spines which resembled the horns of an antelope according to the description made by Gosse [71] ‘*Lorica ovate or subconical; occipital edge with four long slender spines, the middle pair curving forwards, and bent first from, and then towards, each other, like horns of an antelope; mental edge undulated, with a notch in the centre*’

Material examined

A total of 151 individuals were examined coming from 11 clones established from resting eggs collected in 5 water bodies in The Netherlands (Table 1).

Permanent glycerin glass slide mounts (voucher specimens), each containing a single specimen, were prepared according to Jersabek et al. [69], and deposited in the Frank J. Myers collection at the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia (ANSP) with catalogue numbers ANSP [2105-2110].

Based on the literature review (S1 Text) and the information available in the LAN [9, 51] we conclude that no type material of *B. calyciflorus* is available. Following the guidelines of ICZN, since we are dealing with a species complex, we decided to designate a specific slide as neotype.

Neotype: A parthenogenetic female in a permanent glycerin glass with catalogue number [2105]

Description

Of all the examined material Clones 7J and C02NL134 were identified as the *B. dorcas* Gosse, 1851 first described by Gosse [71] due to its biggest median anterodorsal spines; thus the following description is based on those individuals.

Parthenogenetic female: Lorica saccate soft and ovoid- shaped with smooth surface (Figs 4- 6). Anterior dorsal margin with four spines, two on each side of a V-shaped sinus (Figs 4- 6). All spines are triangular with a wide base and relatively sharp apices. Median spines are longer compared to the lateral spines. The anterior ventral margin has a wave-like shape on each side of a medial sinus (Figs 4-6). No lateral notches exist. Foot aperture sub-terminal on the ventral surface of the lorica between two triangular protrusions. Three antennae are present: one found in the V-shaped sinus between the medial anterodorsal spines when the coronal disc is extended, and two others on either lateral side of the lorica slightly posterior to the midpoint of the animal length.

Trophi: Malleate type (Fig 7) bearing the characteristics of the genus as described by Segers et al. [70]. Fulcrum short and hollow. Rami asymmetrical. Unci with four or five teeth decreasing in size from the ventral one. Subuncus brush-like. Manubria are triangular, pointed at the external sickle-shaped margin, flattened and bend at their distal end.

Comments

The discriminating factor of the individuals of *Brachionus dorcas* is related to the anterodorsal spines, and specifically the fact that the median spines were much longer compared to the other groups. This character is among the ones used by Koste [39] to describe the *Brachionus calyciflorus* variation *dorcas*. Nevertheless, *Brachionus*

dorcas was initially described by Gosse [71] at the species level. Its description can be identified as a match with species ‘A’ of the present study and the study of Papakostas et al. [20]. The results of the present analysis along with the analysis presented by Papakostas et al. [20] justify the establishment of *Brachionus dorcas* as a valid species.

Distribution-Habitat

Brachionus dorcas has a Palearctic distribution confirmed genetically and morphologically by the material analysed the present study. It is also known to have a Tropical, Oriental and Australian distribution (Table A in S1 Text).

It is an euplanktonic species found in freshwater pools, ponds, tanks, lakes and reservoirs, ditches and paddy fields; also in potamoplankton, river estuaries, coastal, brackish and marine waters; prefers eu- to hypertrophic waters, circum-neutral to slightly alkaline conditions, tolerates low oxygen; eurytherm but prefers warm waters (Table A in S1 Text).

Description of *Brachionus elevatus* sp.nov

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:624FD96E-9566-4D38-A5CB-86F75649B349

Taxonomy

Class: Eurotatoria De Ridder, 1957

Subclass: Monogononta Plate, 1889

Superorder: Pseudotrocha Kutikova, 1970

Order: Ploima Hudson & Gosse, 1886

Family: Brachionidae Ehrenberg, 1838

Brachionus elevatus

Etymology

The name *elevatus* refers to the elevation of the anteroventral margin right before the median notch. It comes from the Latin *elevatus*, past participle of *elevare* "lift up, raise".

Type locality

Shallow eutrophic city pond close to city center of The Hague (The Netherlands); N 52.090694°; E 4.338444°

Material examined

A total of 254 individuals were examined coming from 14 clones established from resting eggs collected in 3 water bodies from The Netherlands (Table 1).

Of all the clones examined clones 69H and C04NL7 has been chosen to formally describe *Brachionus elevatus* sp. nov. Permanent glycerin glass slide mounts, each containing a single specimen, were prepared according to Jersabek et al. [69], and deposited in the Frank J. Myers collection at the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia (ANSP).

Holotype: A parthenogenetic female in a permanent glycerin glass slide with catalogue number ANSP [2111].

Paratypes: A total of 9 slides with catalogue number ANSP [2112-2120].

Description

Parthenogenetic female: Lorica saccate soft and ovoid- shaped with a smooth surface (Figs 4- 6). Anterior dorsal margin with four spines, two on each side of a V-shaped sinus (Figs 4- 6). All spines are triangular with a wide base and relatively sharp apices. The anterior ventral margin has a wave-like shape on each side of a medial sinus (Figs

4- 6). The medial sinus is elevated with a well-marked median notch between short oval or nearly triangular protuberances. No lateral notches exist. Foot aperture sub-terminal on the ventral surface of the lorica between two triangular protrusions. Three antennae are present: one found in the V-shaped sinus between the medial anterodorsal spines when the coronal disc is extended, and two others on either lateral side of the lorica slightly posterior to the widest point of the lorica.

Trophi: Malleate type (Fig 7) bearing the characteristics of the genus as described by Segers et al. [70]. Fulcrum short and hollow. Rami asymmetrical. Unci with four or five teeth decreasing in size from the ventral one. Subuncus brush-like. Manubria are more similar in shape with the ones of *B. calyciflorus* with rounded external margin flattened and slightly bend at their distal end.

Comments

In the present study, the anteroventral structure with the marked protuberances of the medial sinus discriminated the individuals of the *Brachionus elevatus* from the individuals of the *B. calyciflorus* s.s. Kutikova & Fernando [40] based on this characteristic describe their 'b' form as being intermediate between *Brachionus calyciflorus borgerti* Apstein, 1907 and the typical form in the sense that the 'b' form lacks the saw-like basal tooth in the median spines of the anterodorsal margin of *Brachionus calyciflorus borgerti* although they have a broad base. They also hypothesize that this intermediate form might be a hybrid. Based on the analysis of Papakostas et al. [20] and the present study, this hypothesis can be rejected.

Distribution-Habitat

Brachionus elevatus sp. nov. has a Palearctic distribution confirmed genetically and morphologically by the material analysed in the present study. Relating the species described in the present study with the ‘b’ form described by Kutikova & Fernando [40] *B. elevatus* sp. nov. can be considered to have an Oriental distribution as well. It is found in freshwater habitats and it is an euplanktonic species.

Description of *Brachionus fernandoi* sp.nov

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0A4F5205-47ED-47ED-4C72-9686-0E33E0F34DA3

Taxonomy

Class: Eurotatoria De Ridder, 1957

Subclass: Monogononta Plate, 1889

Superorder: Pseudotrocha Kutikova, 1970

Order: Ploima Hudson & Gosse, 1886

Family: Brachionidae Ehrenberg, 1838

Brachionus fernandoi

Etymology

The species is named after dr. C. H. Fernando for his contribution to the description of the *Brachionus calyciflorus* variations in the paper Kutikova & Fernando [40].

Type locality

Eutrophic ditch within residential area, near the town of Hellevoetsluis (The Netherlands); N 51.839032°; E 4.144425°

Material examined

A total of 136 individuals were examined coming from 10 clones established from resting eggs collected in 3 water bodies from the Netherlands (Table 1).

Of all the clones examined C02NL181 has been chosen to formally describe *Brachionus fernandoi* sp. nov. Permanent glycerin glass slide mounts, each containing a single specimen, were prepared according to Jersabek et al. [69], and deposited in the Frank J. Myers collection at the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia (ANSP). Holotype: A parthenogenetic female in a permanent glycerin glass slide with catalogue number ANSP [2121].

Paratypes: A total of 4 slides with catalogue number ANSP [2122-2125].

Description

Parthenogenetic female: Lorica saccate soft and ovoid- shaped with a smooth surface (Figs 4- 6). Anterior dorsal margin with four spines, two on each side of a U-shaped sinus (Figs 4- 6). All spines are triangular with a wide base and relatively sharp apices. The anterior ventral margin is smooth with a wide medial sinus (Figs 4- 6). Foot aperture sub-terminal on the ventral surface of the lorica between two triangular protrusions of varying length. This posterior ventral part is swollen. Three antennae are present: one found in the U-shaped sinus between the medial anterodorsal spines when the coronal disc is extended, and two others on either lateral side of the lorica slightly posterior to the midpoint of the lorica's length.

Trophi: Malleate type (Fig 7) bearing the characteristics of the genus as described by Segers et al. [70]. Fulcrum short and hollow. Rami asymmetrical. Unci with four or five teeth decreasing in size from the ventral one. Subuncus brush-like. Manubria more similar in shape with *B. dorcas*, are triangular, pointed at the external sickle-shaped margin, flattened and bend at their distal end.

Comments

Based on the forms described by Kutikova & Fernando [40] *Brachionus fernandoi* sp. nov. seems to resemble the 'c' form they describe. They mention '*a very swollen posterior part of the dorsal plate*'. In our opinion, the posterior ventral part is swollen giving the impression of the swollen dorsal part. This can be identified as a match with species 'D' of the present study and the study of Papakostas et al. [20].

Distribution-Habitat

Brachionus fernandoi sp. nov. has a Palearctic distribution confirmed genetically and morphologically by the material analysed in the present study. Relating the species described in the present study with the 'c' form described by Kutikova & Fernando [40] then *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. can be considered to have an Oriental distribution as well. It is found in freshwater habitats, and it is an euplanktonic species.

Differential diagnosis

The morphology of the anteroventral margin appears to be a powerful trait. This margin is characterized by a wave-like shape in *B. dorcas* and *B. elevatus* sp. nov. whereas in *B. calyciflorus* s.s. and *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. it is mainly completely smooth. This latter character though exhibits a lot of variation (Fig 5).

Brachionus dorcas is discriminated from the other three species based on the size of the anterodorsal spines. *B. dorcas* has much longer median anterodorsal spines compared to the other species. This was the case for all three dimensions measured concerning this characteristic; *B. dorcas*: e (range 43.84-92.62 μm), k (range 52.41-

86.21 μm) and j (range 46.32-98.38 μm), *B. calyciflorus* s.s. e (range 25.17-69.82 μm), k (range 31.14-68.89 μm) and j (range 25.15-74.05 μm), *B. elevatus* sp. nov. e (range 29.54-74.2 μm), k (range 32.77-73.83 μm) and j (range 33.17-75.56 μm). *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. e (range 34.62-72.31 μm), k (range 40.77-70.96 μm) and j (range 38.07-73.77 μm) (Supplementary Table 3).

B. elevatus sp. nov. is characterized by the fact that the medial sinus is elevated with a well-marked median notch between short oval or nearly triangular protuberances. Further discrimination between *B. dorcas* and *B. elevatus* sp. nov. is based on a combination of traits. In the case of *Brachionus dorcas* the depth of the anterodorsal medial sinus 'e' is usually $> 60 \mu\text{m}$ and the width of the anteroventral medial sinus is smaller than 1/5 of the distance of the lateral anterodorsal spines (i.e., $r/b < 0.20$). The dimensions measured concerning these characteristics for *B. dorcas* and *B. elevatus* sp. nov. are: *B. dorcas* e (range 43.84-92.62 μm , mean 67.16), r/b (range 0.102-0.253, mean 0.187), *B. elevatus* sp. nov. e (range 29.54-74.2 μm , mean 49.82), r/b (range 0.164-0.424, mean 0.254) (Supplementary Table 3).

Brachionus fernandoi sp. nov. compared to *B. elevatus* sp. nov. has no protrusion right before the median sinus of the anteroventral margin which is smooth with no lobes being formed by intermediate notches. The morphological trait that discriminates *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. from *B. calyciflorus* s.s. is the opening of the median sinus of the anteroventral margin which has the biggest opening; r range 21.33-45.50 *B. fernandoi* sp. nov., 14.75-40.66 *B. dorcas*, 13.47-38.25 *B. calyciflorus*, 15.95-49.17 *B. elevatus* sp. nov. Additionally, *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. has a narrower anteroventral opening 'i', while *B. calyciflorus* s.s. has a wider anterodorsal side 'b'.

Key to the identification of the four species of the *Brachionus calyciflorus* species complex

1. - Wave-like anteroventral margin.....2
 - Anteroventral margin either smooth or with notches3
2. - Median anterodorsal spines longer than lateral anterodorsal spines
.....*Brachionus dorcas*
 - Anterodorsal spines of more or less equal length; anteroventral margin with an elevation right before the medial sinus *Brachionus elevatus* sp. nov
3. - Width of the anteroventral medial sinus > 30 μm and more than 1/5 the lorica width (i.e. r/c ratio > 0.2) *Brachionus fernandoi* sp. nov.
 - Width of the anteroventral medial sinus <30 μm and less than 1/5 the lorica width (i.e. r/c ratio < 0.2) *Brachionus calyciflorus* s.s.

Based on the above key as well as the discriminating characters we performed a blind test on 20 individuals randomly selected from the 724 individuals analysed. Only two cases were misidentified. This 10% of misidentified cases is close to the results of the DFA where in total 91% of the cases were correctly classified.

Discussion

By applying the approach of reverse taxonomy [14], we confirmed the existence of four putative species as predicted by Papakostas et al. [20] based on molecular species delimitation techniques. Indeed, a morphometric analysis of 724 individuals from 48 different clones originating from 10 Dutch water bodies, revealed a clear differentiation among each of the four species pairs. Also, by combining a morphological analysis with

an exhaustive literature survey, we were able to link the four species to previously described forms.

Our study highlights specific morphological traits that were found to be particularly useful for the distinction between species of the *B. calyciflorus* species complex. This was especially the case for features of the anteroventral side. Such features have long been suspected a strong diagnostic character for the genus *Brachionus* [38]. Our results thus confirmed the notion by Kutikova and Fernando [40] that aspects of both the anterodorsal and anteroventral side may be useful diagnostic characters for differentiating among *B. calyciflorus* forms. Similarly, these traits were proven valuable for the discrimination between *B. asplanchnoidis* Charin, 1947 and other members of the *B. plicatilis* species complex [27].

Conversely, the presence and size of posterolateral spines proved of little taxonomic value. Historically, these traits have been used to describe certain forms of *Brachionus calyciflorus* (i.e., *B. calyciflorus* f. *anuraeiformis* and *B. calyciflorus* f. *amphiceros* [39, 61, 73]). From our analysis, it was evident that lateral spines cannot be used as a taxonomic character because the frequency of occurrence (ranging within clones between 0 to 100%) as well as the length of these spines varied strongly among clones that belonged to the same species or even among individuals from the same clone. Besides, it is well documented that the lateral spines are the result of exogenous factors, such as predation or food concentration [74, 75, 76, 77]. Thus, the morphological forms that have been previously assigned based on the presence of lateral spines have no taxonomic validity [9].

The validity and applicability of our suggested diagnostic traits still need verification using field samples. Given that the prime objective of this study was to test the hypothesis of four morphospecies as suggested by molecular methods, we excluded

as much as possible phenotypic variation associated to environmental variability by culturing the investigated individuals under standardized laboratory conditions. Whereas this may have strongly increased our ability to differentiate among species it precludes variation resulting from phenotypic plasticity in response to environmental variability under natural conditions. We thus recognize that discrimination of individuals collected from the field may prove less straightforward than suggested by our analyses [27]. For example, in the case of *B. plicatilis* Müller, 1786, morphological identifications based upon individuals raised under laboratory conditions [25] have proven to be inadequate for field studies in some cases [22, 78]. Although our diagnostic traits seemed to provide good resolution to distinguish between the four species of the *B. calycilforus* complex, we anticipate that future research will clarify the extent to which this may be true for field samples.

Another point of consideration when interpreting our conclusions is related to the restricted geographic distribution of our studied samples. In our experimental design, we tried to incorporate interpopulation genetic variation by investigating multiple clones from different populations per species. Such approach prevents that the results of morphometric analyses are contingent on particular genotypes or populations and as such guarantees robustness and generality of reported differences among species. However, all investigated populations originated from a relatively restricted geographic area (i.e., the territory of The Netherlands). Consequently, the morphological and morphometric variation reported in this study may constitute an underrepresentation of what exists for each of the species throughout their biogeographic range. Although this may limit the generality of the diagnostic traits suggested for species identification, this does not disqualify our conclusion that systematic differences between species exist.

Future work should also clarify how hybrid introgression among the species of the *B. calyciflorus* complex impacts morphology. Papakostas et al. [20] provided strong evidence for hybrid formation and introgression among the species of the *B. calyciflorus* complex. However, this has not prevented us from finding clear morphometric differences between the species. Kutikova and Fernando [40] described forms of *B. calyciflorus* that correspond to some of the species described in this work. They also reported the existence of cases with intermediate features and hypothesized them to be the result of hybridization. Investigating how gene flow among species would affect morphometric traits may thus represent an intriguing topic of research to be done.

Acknowledgements

We cordially thank dr. Petr Jan Juračka for establishing the first contacts between some of the involved research groups and his initial help with the transportation of samples. We gratefully acknowledge D. Fontaneto whose valuable suggestions were extremely helpful to finally shape the manuscript.

References

1. Hajibabaei M, Singer GAC, Hebert PDN, Hickey DA. DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. *Trends Genet.* 2007; 23(4):167–72.
2. Taylor HR, Harris WE. An emergent science on the brink of irrelevance: a review of the past 8 years of DNA barcoding. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2012; 12(3):377–388.

3. Knowlton N. Sibling species in the sea. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst.* 1993; 24(1):189–216.
4. Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Navjot SS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, et al. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2007; 22: 148-155.
5. Scheffers BR, Joppa LN, Pimm SL, Laurance WF. What we know and don't know about Earth's missing biodiversity. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2012; 27(9):501–510.
6. Padial JM, Miralles A, De la Riva I, Vences M. The integrative future of taxonomy. *Front Zool.* 2010; 7:16.
7. Schlick-Steiner BC, Steiner FM, Seifert B, Stauffer C, Christian E, Crozier RH. Integrative taxonomy: a multisource approach to exploring biodiversity. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 2010; 55:421–438.
8. Schlick-Steiner BC, Seifert B, Stauffer C, Christian E, Crozier RH. Without morphology, cryptic species stay in taxonomic crypsis following discovery. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2007; 22(8): 391–392.
9. Segers H, Smet WHD, Fischer C, Fontaneto D, Michaloudi E, Wallace RL, et al. Towards a list of available names in zoology, partim Phylum Rotifera. *Zootaxa.* 2012; 3179(1):61–68.
10. Cianferoni F, Bartolozzi L. Warning: potential problems for taxonomy on the horizon? *Zootaxa.* 2016; 4139(1):128–130.
11. Santos CMD, Amorim DS, Klassa B, Fachin DA, Nihei SS, Carvalho CJB, et al. On typeless species and the perils of fast taxonomy. *Syst Entomol.* 2016; 41(3):511–515.

12. Declerck SAJ, Malo AR, Diehl S, Waasdorp D, Lemmen KD, Proios K, et al. Rapid adaptation of herbivore consumers to nutrient limitation: eco-evolutionary feedbacks to population demography and resource control. *Ecol Lett.* 2015; 18(6):553–562.
13. Declerck SAJ, Papakostas S. Monogonont rotifers as model systems for the study of micro-evolutionary adaptation and its eco-evolutionary implications. *Hydrobiologia.* 2017; 796(1):131–144.
14. Markmann M, Tautz D. Reverse taxonomy: an approach towards determining the diversity of meiobenthic organisms based on ribosomal RNA signature sequences. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences.* 2005; 360(1462):1917–1924.
15. Pante E, Schoelinck C, Puillandre N. From Integrative Taxonomy to Species Description: One Step Beyond. *Syst Biol.* 2015; 64(1):152–60.
16. Wallace RL, Snell TW, Ricci C, Nogrady T. *Rotifera. 1, biology, ecology and systematics.* (2nd ed.). Ghent/Leiden: Kenobi Productions/ Backhuys Publishers; 2006
17. Gómez A, Serra M, Carvalho GR, Lunt DH. speciation in ancient cryptic species complexes: evidence from the molecular phylogeny of *Brachionus plicatilis* (Rotifera). *Evolution.* 2002; 56(7):1431–1444.
18. Fontaneto D, Kaya M, Herniou EA, Barraclough TG. Extreme levels of hidden diversity in microscopic animals (Rotifera) revealed by DNA taxonomy. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2009; 53(1):182–189.
19. Mills S, Alcántara-Rodríguez JA, Ciroso-Pérez J, Gómez A, Hagiwara A, Galindo KH, et al. Mills S, Alcántara-Rodríguez JA, Ciroso-Pérez J, Gómez A, Hagiwara A, Galindo KH, et al. Fifteen species in one: deciphering the

- Brachionus plicatilis* species complex (Rotifera, Monogononta) through DNA taxonomy. *Hydrobiologia*. 2017; 796(1):39–58.
20. Papakostas S, Michaloudi E, Proios K, Brehm M, Verhage L, Rota J, et al. Integrative taxonomy recognizes evolutionary units despite widespread mitonuclear discordance: evidence from a rotifer cryptic species complex. *Syst Biol*. 2016; 65(3):508–524.
 21. Fontaneto D. Molecular phylogenies as a tool to understand diversity in rotifers. *Internat Rev Hydrobiol*. 2014; 99(1–2):178–187.
 22. Gómez A, Serra M, Carvalho GR, Lunt DH. Speciation in Ancient Cryptic Species Complexes: Evidence from the Molecular Phylogeny of *Brachionus Plicatilis* (rotifera). *Evolution*. 2002; 56(7):1431–44.
 23. Suatoni E, Vicario S, Rice S, Snell T, Caccone A. An analysis of species boundaries and biogeographic patterns in a cryptic species complex: The rotifer–*Brachionus plicatilis*. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*. 2006; 41(1):86–98.
 24. Fontaneto D, Flot J-F, Tang CQ. Guidelines for DNA taxonomy, with a focus on the meiofauna. *Mar Biodiv*. 2015; 45(3):433–451.
 25. Ciroso-Pérez J, Gómez A, Serra M. On the taxonomy of three sympatric sibling species of the *Brachionus plicatilis* (Rotifera) complex from Spain, with the description of *B. ibericus* n. sp. *J Plankton Res*. 2001; 23(12):1311–1328.
 26. Fontaneto D, Giordani I, Melone G, Serra M. Disentangling the morphological stasis in two rotifer species of the *Brachionus plicatilis* species complex. *Hydrobiologia*. 2007; 583(1):297–307.
 27. Michaloudi E, Mills S, Papakostas S, Stelzer C-P, Triantafyllidis A, Kappas I, et al. Morphological and taxonomic demarcation of *Brachionus asplanchnoidis*

- Charin within the *Brachionus plicatilis* cryptic species complex (Rotifera, Monogononta). *Hydrobiologia*. 2017; 796(1):19–37.
28. Gilbert JJ. Competition between Rotifers and Daphnia. *Ecology*. 1985; 66(6):1943–1950.
29. Guo R, Snell TW, Yang J. Ecological strategy of rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus* exposed to predator- and competitor-conditioned media. *Hydrobiologia*. 2011; 658(1):163–171.
30. Becks L, Agrawal AF. The Evolution of Sex Is Favoured During Adaptation to New Environments. *PLoS Biol*. 2012; 10(5):e1001317.
31. Scheuerl T, Stelzer C-P. Patterns and dynamics of rapid local adaptation and sex in varying habitat types in rotifers. *Ecol Evol*. 2013; 3(12):4253–4264.
32. Snell TW, Moffat BD. A 2-d Life cycle test with the rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*. *Environ Toxicol Chem*. 1992; 11(9):1249–1257.
33. Cruciani V, Iovine C, Thomé J-P, Joaquim-Justo C. Impact of three phthalate esters on the sexual reproduction of the Monogonont rotifer, *Brachionus calyciflorus*. *Ecotoxicology*. 2016; 25(1):192–200.
34. Han J, Kim D-H, Kim H-S, Kim H-J, Declerck SAJ, Hagiwara A, et al. Genome-wide identification of 31 cytochrome P450 (CYP) genes in the freshwater rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus* and analysis of their benzo[α]pyrene-induced expression patterns. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics*. 2018; 25:26–33.
35. Lim LC, Wong CC. Use of the rotifer, *Brachionus calyciflorus* Pallas, in freshwater ornamental fish larviculture. *Hydrobiologia*. 1997; 358(1–3):269–273.

36. Shiri Harzevili A, De Charleroy D, Auwerx J, Vught I, Van Slycken J, Dhert P, et al. Larval rearing of burbot (*Lota lota* L.) using *Brachionus calyciflorus* rotifer as starter food. *J Appl Ichthyol.* 2003; 19(2):84–7.
37. Kim H-S, Lee B-Y, Han J, Jeong C-B, Hwang D-S, Lee M-C, et al. The genome of the freshwater monogonont rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2018; 18:646–655.
38. Ahlstrom EH. A revision of the rotatorian genera *Brachionus* and *Platyias* with descriptions of one new species and two new varieties. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History.* 1940; 77:143–184.
39. Koste W. *Rotatoria, die Rädertiere Mitteleuropas.* Berlin: Gebrüder Borntraeger; 1978.
40. Kutikova LA, Fernando CH. *Brachionus calyciflorus* Pallas (Rotatoria) in Inland Waters of Tropical Latitudes. *Int Revue ges Hydrobiol Hydrogr.* 1995; 80(3):429–41.
41. Segers H. Annotated checklist of the rotifers (Phylum Rotifera), with notes on nomenclature, taxonomy and distribution. *Zootaxa.* 2007; 1564: 1–104.
42. Gilbert JJ, Walsh EJ. *Brachionus calyciflorus* is a species complex: mating behavior and genetic differentiation among four geographically isolated strains. *Hydrobiologia.* 2005; 546(1):257–65.
43. Xiang X, Xi Y, Wen X, Zhang G, Wang J, Hu K. Patterns and processes in the genetic differentiation of the *Brachionus calyciflorus* complex, a passively dispersing freshwater zooplankton. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2011; 59(2):386–98.
44. Gomez A, Carvalho GR. Sex, parthenogenesis and genetic structure of rotifers: microsatellite analysis of contemporary and resting egg bank populations. *Mol Ecol.* 2000; 9:203–214.

45. Will S, Joshi T, Hofacker IL, Stadler PF, Backofen R. LocARNAP: Accurate boundary prediction and improved detection of structural RNAs. *RNA*. 2012; 18:900–914.
46. Librado P, Rozas J. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*. 2009; 25:1451–1452.
47. Pons J, Barraclough TG, Gomez-Zurita J, Cardoso A, Duran DP, Hazell S, et al. Sequencebased species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. *Syst Biol*. 2006; 55:595–609.
48. Abramoff MD, Magalhaes PJ, Ram SJ. Image processing with ImageJ. *Biophotonics International*. 2004; 11:36–42.
49. Fu Y, Hirayama K, Natsukari Y. Morphological differences between two types of the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* O.F. Møller J. *Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol*. 1991; 151:29–41.
50. Proios K, Michaloudi E, Papakostas S, Kappas I, Vasileiadou K, Abatzopoulos TJ. Updating the description and taxonomic status of *Brachionus sessilis* Varga, 1951 (Rotifera: Brachionidae) based on detailed morphological analysis and molecular data. *Zootaxa*. 2014; 3873:345–370.
51. International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature [Cited 2018 June]. Available from <http://iczn.org/lan/rotifer>,
52. Jersabek CD, Leitner MF. The Rotifer World Catalog. World Wide Web electronic publication; 2013 [Cited 2017 December]. Available from <http://www.rotifera.hausdernatur.at/>
53. Neděla V, Tihlaříková E, Runštuk J, Hudec J. High-efficiency detector of secondary and backscattered electrons for low-dose imaging in the ESEM, *Ultramicroscopy*. 2018; 184:1-11.

54. Neděla V, Tihlaříková E, Hřib J. The Low-Temperature Method for Study of Coniferous Tissues in the Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope. *Microscopy Research Technique*. 2015; 78:13-21.
55. Dorđević B, Neděla V, Tihlaříková E, Trojan V, Havel V. Effects of copper and arsenic stress on the development of Norway spruce somatic embryos and their visualization with the environmental scanning electron microscope. 2018; doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2018.05.005.
56. De Smet WH. Preparation of rotifer trophi for light and scanning electron microscopy. *Hydrobiologia*. 1998; 387/388:117-121
57. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, et al. 2015. *Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version 2.3-2. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available from: URL <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>
58. IBM Corp. Released 2012. *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0*. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
59. Pallas PS. *Elenchus zoophytorum, sistens generum adumbrationes generaliores et specierum cognitarum succintas descriptiones: cum selectis auctorum synonymis*. Frankfurt: Haege-comitum; 1766.
60. Ehrenberg CG. *Organisation, Systematik und geographisches Verhältnis der Infusionsthierchen. Zwei Vorträge in der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin gehalten in den Jahren 1828 [Die geographische Verbreitung der Infusionsthierchen in Nord-Afrika und West-Asien, beobachtet auf Hemprich und Ehrenbergs Reisen] und 1830 [Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Organisation der Infusorien und ihrer geographischen Verbreitung, besonders in Sibirien]*. Berlin: Druckerei der königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften; 1830.

61. Ehrenberg CG. Die Infusionsthierchen als vollkommene Organismen. Ein Blick in das tiefere organische Leben der Natur. Leipzig: Verlag von Leopold Voss; 1838.
62. Weisse JF. Beschreibung einiger neuer Infusorien, welche in stehenden Wässern bei St. Petersburg vorkommen. Bulletin de la Classe Physico-Mathématique de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St.-Petersbourg. 1845; 4:138–143.
63. Schmarda LK. Zur Naturgeschichte Ägyptens. Denkschriften der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Classe (Wien). 1854; 7/2:1–28.
64. Daday E. Neue Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Rädertiere. Mathematische und Naturwissenschaftliche Berichte aus Ungarn. 1883; 1:261-264.
65. Plate L. Beiträge zur Naturgeschichte der Rotatorien. Jenaische Zeitschrift für Naturwissenschaft. 1886; 19:1–120.
66. France RH. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Rotatorienfauna Budapest's. Természettudományi Füzetek kiadja a Magyar nemzeti Múzeum. 1894; 17:166–184.
67. Brehm V. Über die Mikrofauna chinesischer und südasiatischer Süßwasserbecken. Arch Hydrobiol. 1909; 4:207–224.
68. Spandl H. *Brachionus pala* Ehrbg. var. *mucronatus* nov. var. Zool Anz. 1922; 54: 275.
69. Jersabek CD, Bolortsetseg E. Mongolian rotifers (Rotifera, Monogononta) – a checklist with annotations on global distribution and autecology. Proceeding of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 2010; 159:119–168.

70. Segers H, Murugan G, Dumont HJ. On the taxonomy of the Brachionidae: description of *Plationus* n. gen. (Rotifera, Monogononta). *Hydrobiologia*. 1993; 268(1):1–8.
71. Gosse PH. A catalogue of Rotifera found in Britain; with descriptions of five new genera and thirty-two new species. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*. 1851; 8:197–203.
72. Wierzejski A. Liste de rotifères observes en Galicie (Autriche-Hongrie). *Bulletin de la Société zoologique de France*. 1891; 16:49–52.
73. Koste W, Shiel RJ. Rotifera from Australian inland waters. II. Epiphanidae and Brachionidae (Rotifera : Monogononta). *Invert Systematics*. 1987; 1(7):949–1021.
74. Gilbert JJ, Waage JK. *Asplanchna*, *Asplanchna*-substance, and posterolateral spine length variation of the rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus* in a natural environment. *Ecology*. 1967; 48(6):1027–31.
75. Gilbert JJ. Further observations on developmental polymorphism and its evolution in the rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*. *Freshw Biol*. 1980; 10(3):281–94.
76. Stemberger RS. Food limitation, spination, and reproduction in *Brachionus calyciflorus*. *Limnol Oceanogr*. 1990; 35(1):33–44.
77. Gilbert JJ. Spine development in two taxa of *Brachionus calyciflorus* from Lake Littra, Australia: constitutive and induced defenses against *Asplanchna*. *J Plankton Res*. 2017; 39(6):962–71.
78. Papakostas S, Michaloudi E, Triantafyllidis A, Kappas I, Abatzopoulos TJ. Allochronic divergence and clonal succession: two microevolutionary

processes sculpturing population structure of *Brachionus* rotifers.
Hydrobiologia. 2013; 700(1):33–45.




Fig 1. Microphotographs of a *Brachionus calyciflorus* individual. A and B show the major lorica dimensions measured, C the dimensions measured of the anterodorsal margin, D and E the dimensions measured of the anteroventral margin.




Fig 2. Analysis of the association between molecular species delimitation and morphometry. Representation of sample score averages of each of the studied clones along the first two axes (axis x: PC1, axis y: PC2) of the principal components analysis (PC1 explained 57.67% and PC2 explained 14.74% of the recorded variation) performed on standardized morphometric data. Error bars represent variation between individuals of the same clone (twice the standard error of the mean)




Fig 3. Analysis of the association between molecular species delimitation and morphometry. Scatterplot showing the discrimination of species groups based on the canonical discriminant functions of the discriminant analysis performed on all measured individuals of the studied clones (upper) for species ‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’, ‘D’ and (lower) for species ‘B’, ‘C’, ‘D’. Error bars represent variation between individuals of the same clone (twice the standard error of the mean)




Fig 4. Line drawings of the main variations of the anterodorsal and anteroventral sides encountered for *B. dorcas*, *B. elevatus* sp. nov., *B. calyciflorus* s.s. and *B. fernandoi* sp. nov.




Fig 5. Photomicrographs of *B. calyciflorus* s.s., *B. dorcas*, *B. elevatus* sp. nov., *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. Whole individual, Lateral side, Anteroventral margin




Fig 6. Scanning electron micrographs of *B. calyciflorus* s.s., *B. dorcas*, *B. elevatus* sp. nov., *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. Whole individual, Anterodorsal margin, Anteroventral margin




Fig 7. Scanning electron micrographs of the trophi dorsal and ventral view for *B. dorcas* (A, B), *B. elevatus* sp. nov. (C, D), *B. calyciflorus* s.s. (E, F) and *B. fernandoi* sp. nov. (G, H).

Supporting information captions

S1 Text. Records of *Brachionus calyciflorus* synonyms

S1 Table. Amount of morphometric variation explained by species identity for each pair of the investigated species.

S2 Table. Summary statistics for the selection of morphometric traits measured on individuals of the four species.

S3 Table. Classification functions of the stepwise discriminant analysis performed on species 'A', 'B', 'C', and 'D'.

S4 Table. Classification functions of the stepwise discriminant analysis performed on species 'B', 'C', and 'D'.

S1 Text. Records of *Brachionus calyciflorus* synonyms

Table A. Records of *Brachionus calyciflorus* synonyms with notes on the name used

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Siberia	Ehrenberg (1830)	<i>Anuraea palea</i> <i>B. palea</i>
Germany	Berlin	Ehrenberg (1838)	<i>B. amphicerus</i>
Russia	Saint Petersburg	Weisse (1845)	<i>Anuraea divaricata</i>
United Kingdom	Britain	Gosse (1851)	<i>B. dorcas</i> <i>B. amphicerus</i> <i>B. pala</i>
Egypt	Cairo	Schmarda (1854)	<i>Arthracanthus biremis</i> <i>Arthracanthus quadriremis</i>
	Monfalut		
	Assiut		
	Sakura		
	Medinet Habu		<i>B. pala</i>
-		Cohn (1862)	<i>B. amphicerus</i>
Hungary	Mezoseg	Daday (1883)	<i>B. margoi</i>
United Kingdom	Walthamstow	Hudson & Gosse (1886)	<i>B. dorcas</i> <i>B. amphicerus</i>
	Ponds and ditches		<i>B. amphicerus</i>
Germany	Bonn	Plate (1886)	<i>B. amphicerus</i> <i>B. decipiens</i>
Austria-Hungary	Galicie	Wierzejski (1891)	<i>B. dorcas</i> <i>B. dorcas</i> var. <i>spinosus</i>
Hungary		France (1894)	<i>B. pentacanthus</i>
Ukraine	Kharkov	Skorikov (1896)	<i>B. spinosus</i> <i>B. pala</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. dorcas</i>
Switzerland	Jonction	Weber (1898)	<i>B. pala</i>
			<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
Germany		Zacharias (1898)	<i>B. amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. amphiceros</i> var. <i>pala</i>
Romania	Mező-Záh	Daday (1901)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. dorcas</i>
Russia	Vyatka River	Zernov (1901)	<i>B. amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. pala</i>
Russia	Volga River	Meissner (1902)	<i>B. pala</i>
Scotland		Murray (1906)	<i>B. pala</i>
South Africa		Rousselet (1906)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. pala</i>
Sri Lanka	Colombo Lake	Apstein (1907)	<i>B. amphiceras</i> var. <i>borgerti</i>
			<i>Brachionus pala</i>
Shanghai		Brehm (1909)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. pala</i>
Germany		Collin et al. (1912)	<i>B. pala</i>
			<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Germany	Wallgraben	Dieffenbach & Sachse (1912)	<i>B. dorcas</i>
			<i>B. dorcas</i> var. <i>spinosus</i>
			<i>B. amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. pala</i>
United States	Winona Lake	Henry (1913)	<i>B. pala</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Sweden	Stockholm	Huss (1913)	<i>B. pala</i>
Germany	Mansfelder	Colditz (1914)	<i>B. pala</i>
Romania	Bukowina	Hartmann (1915)	<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Spain	Albufera de Valencia	Arévalo (1918a)	<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i> <i>B. pala</i>
Netherlands	Barcelona	Arévalo (1918b)	<i>B. pala</i>
	Zuidlaardermeer	Havinga (1919)	<i>B. pala</i> <i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
United States	Salton Sea	Allen (1920)	<i>B. pala</i>
Switzerland	Egelmösli	Schreyer (1920)	<i>B. dorcas</i> <i>B. dorcas</i> var. <i>spinosa</i> <i>B. pala</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Czech Republic	Brno	Spandl (1922)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>mucronatus</i>
Armenia		Spandl (1923)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
United States	Java Lake	Van Oye (1954)	<i>B. pala</i>
Russia	Pe tschora-Beckens	Decksbach (1926)	<i>B. pala</i>
Germany	Seeburger See	Künne (1926)	<i>B. pala</i> <i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinusus</i>
Finland		Välikangas (1926)	<i>B. pala</i>
Russia	Pond near Leningrad	Rylov (1927)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
State of Palestine		Krampner (1928)	<i>B. pala</i>
Germany	Greifswald	Stammer (1928)	<i>B. pala</i>
Czech Republic	Žákovice	Watzka (1928)	<i>B. pala</i>
Ethiopia	Abyssinian Fresh Waters	Bryce (1931)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Kenya	Lac Naivasha	De Beauchamp (1932a)	<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
	Lake Rudolf	De Beauchamp (1932b)	<i>B. pala</i>
Uganda	Lac Naivasha		
	Lake Nakavali		
	Lake Kijanebalola		
	Lake Edward		
	Lake George		<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
Switzerland	Luzern	Steinmann & Surbeck (1932).	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>spinosus</i>
Germany	Chiemgau	Aurich (1933)	<i>B. pala</i>
			<i>B. pala</i>
			<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
United States	St. Joseph River	Dolley (1933)	<i>B. pala</i>
Taiwan	Formosa		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
Germany	Ruhr	Lehmann & Westf (1933)	<i>B. pala</i>
Netherlands	Zuiderzee	Redeke (1935)	<i>B. pala</i>
India		Seymour-Sewell (1935)	<i>B. pala</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. amphiceros</i>
Germany	Solln Lake	Buchner (1937)	<i>B. pala</i>
	Taufkirchen Lake		

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Ettersschlag Lake Teltow		
Belgium	Meuse River	Damas (1939)	<i>B. pala</i>
Peru	Lac Titicaca River Capachica	De Beauchamp (1939)	<i>B. pala</i>
Turkey	Belgratwald	Mann (1940)	<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
Belgium	Meuse River	Damas (1939)	<i>B. pala</i>
France	Rambouillet	Lefèvre (1941)	<i>B. pala</i>
Philippines	Lake Taal	Woltereck et al. (1941)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus dorcas spinosa</i>
United States	Green Pond	Myers (1942)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Czech Republic	Chotěboř pond	Bartoš (1948)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
India	Bankipore	Donner (1949)	<i>B. pala</i>
United States	Oklahoma pond	Brown & McDaniel (1952)	<i>B. pala</i>
England	Cambridgeshire	Gray (1953)	<i>B. pala</i>
Italia	Lentini	Bērzins (1954)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
-		Otto (1954)	<i>B. pala</i> <i>B. pala</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
Czechoslovakian	Pond Heřmanský	Sládeček (1955)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
France	Rhône	Aguesse (1957)	<i>B. pala</i>
France	Etang de la Plaine	Pourriot (1957)	<i>B. pala</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
Switzerland	Widen	Nipkow (1958)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Austria	Jaidhof-Teiche	Wawrik (1960)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Germany	Munich	Buchner & Mulzer (1961)	<i>B. pala</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Japan	Japanese Inland Waters	Yamamoto (1960)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>dorcas</i> type
Iran	Iranian inland waters	Löffler (1961)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>anuraeiformis</i> type
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i> type
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>dorcas spinosus</i> type
South Africa	Zeekoe Vlei	Harisson (1962)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Sudan	Wadi Haifa	Löffler (1962)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
Japan		Sudzuki (1964)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
Brazil	Santarem Lago Juanico	Hauer (1965)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
United States		Gilbert & Waage (1967)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>dorcas spinosa</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>mucronatus</i>
Hungary	Danube	Kertész (1967)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>pala</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
Netherlands	Kievitsbuurt	Leentvaar (1967)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Malaysia	Malacca	Dunn (1970)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Chad	Lake Léré	Pourriot (1971)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>
Chad	Madirom Channel	Robinson & (Robinson 1971)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>pala</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i> type

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Austria	Waldviertels	Wawrik (1972)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>pala</i>
Netherlands	Volkerak-Haaringvliet	Peelen (1974)	<i>B. pala amphiceros</i>
Kenya	Lake Naivasha	Pejler (1974)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>pala</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Uganda	Lake Edwards		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>pala</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Martinique	Martinique Antilles	Pourriot (1975)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
Germany	Hase	Koste (1976)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
		Koste (1978)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Hungary	Tisza	Szabó & Keve (1977)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
-	Caspian Sea	Marcuzzi (1979)	<i>B. pala</i>
India	Amtala	Sharma (1979)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
	Budge Budge		
	Bon-Hoogly		
	Baripur		
	Sarisha		
	Shirakole		
	Tank Opposite Lindsay		
	Indian Museum Tank		

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Achipur		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
	Manikpur		
	Calcuta		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
	Achipur		
	Amtala		
Australia	Murray-Darling River	Koste & Shiel (1980)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>gigantea</i>
India	Orissa	Sharma (1980a)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
India	Panjab state	Sharma (1980b)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
Australia	Murray-Darling system	Shiel (1980)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
Malaysia & Singapore	Malaysia & Singapore	Fernando & Zankai (1981)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
South African		Sartory (1981)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Romania	Lake Rosu	Godeanu & Zinevici (1983)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosa</i>
	Lake Puiu		<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosa</i>
	Lake Porcu		<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosa</i>
United States	Lake Hodges	Nogrady (1983)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Serbia	Donau Theis	Pujin et al. (1984)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
India	Kailasaga	Sharma & Saksena (1984)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>calyciflorus</i>
Republic of Senegal		De Rider (1985)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Mauritania	Mauritania	De Ridder (1987)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Australia		Koste & Shiel (1987)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus gigantea</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Brazil	São Francisco River	Neumann-Leitão & Nogueira-Paranhos (1987)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Spain	Guadalquivie River	Guisante & Toja (1988)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Australia	Solomon Dam	Hawkins (1988)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Soudan		De Ridder (1989)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Venezuela	Orinoco River	Vásquez & Rey (1989)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Spain	L' Albufera de València	Alfonso & Miracle (1990)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
France	Geneva Lake	Balvay & Laurent (1990)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Spain	Torrent de Llunach	De Manuel (1990)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
Brazil	Suape	Neumann-Leitão (1990)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Greece	Volvi Lake	Zarfdjian et al. (1990)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Argentina	Reconquista River	Kuczynski (1991)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Singapore	MacRitchie Reservoir	Sudzuki (1991)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
	Singapore Science Centre		
Taiwan	Cheng Ching Hu		
	Jih Yueh Tan		
	Oriental region		
Singapore	East coast Park pond		<i>B. calyciflorus borgerti</i>
Taiwan	Cheng Ching Hu pond		
Republic of Mali	Mali	De Ridder (1992)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
Nigeria	Niger-Sokoto River	Jeje & Fernando (1992)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Brazil	Suape	Neumann-Leitão et al. (1992)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
India	Raj Dlghi pond	Sharma & Dudani (1992)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
	Harahi pond		
	Raj Dlghi pond		<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
	Harahi pond		
India	Darbhangha City	Sharma et al. (1992)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Japan	Ishigaki Jima	Sudzuki (1992)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Yonaguni Jima		
	Okinawa mainland		
	Sesoko Jima		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
	Taketomi Jima		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinus</i>
Ukraine	Sasyk Reservoir	Koval'chuk & Parchuk (1993)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Mexico	Lake Chapultepec	Rico-Martínez & Silva-Briano (1993)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
	Presidente Calles Dam		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Nigeria	Festac Creek	Egborge (1994)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Republic of Botswana	Okavango Delta	Cronberg et al. (1995)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Hungary	Tisza river & tributaries	Gulyás et al. (1995)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Estonia	Vortsjarv	Haberman (1995)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Indonesia		Kutikova & Fernando (1995)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Philippines			
Costa Rica			

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Venezuela			
Bangladesh.			<i>B. calyciflorus borgerti</i>
Malaysia:			
Ethiopia	Awasa Lake		
Venezuela	Río Guasare-Limón	López & Ochoa (1995)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Thailand	Northeast Tailand	Sanoamuang et al. (1995)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Germany	Mühlweiher	Krause (1996)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Estonia	Lake Peipsi-Pihkva	Mäemets et al. (1996)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Serbia	Tisza river	Pujin et al. (1996)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Russia	Lake Ladoga	Telesh (1996)	<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus dorcass</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Spain	Mar de Ontígola	Velasco et al. (1996)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Estonia-Russia	Lake Peipsi	Virro (1996)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Japan	Lake Ikeda	Baloch et al. (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcass</i>
Brazil	Rio Goiana	De Souza et al. (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Germany	Hahnöfer Nebenelbe	Holst et al. (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Australia	Hawkesbury-Nepean River	Kobayashi et al. (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
China	Hainan	Koste & Zhuge (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus borgerti</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
Germany	Dümmers	Leutbecher & Koste (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
Brazil	Ipojuca river	Neumann-Leitão & Matsumura-Tundisi (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Mongolia	Bayannor Meng Huhehot City Hulunbeir Meng Ulanhabu Meng Xilinguoler Meng Yingan Meng	Rong et al. (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Algeria	Guerbes-Senhadja	Samraoui et al. (1998)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Poland	Lake Gardno	Paturej & Jabłonska (1999)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Spain	Spanish reservoirs	Barrabin (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Hungary	Körös-Maros National Park	Gulyás (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Germany	Teiche in der Vegetationsgeschichtlichen Abteilung	Krause (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Bulgaria	Shabla lake	Kovachev & Hainadjieva (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
	Ezerets lake		<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
Spain	Durankulak lake		<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
	Orlovo Swamp	Oltra & Miracle (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
	Estany de Cullera lagoon		<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Spain	Tablas de Daimiel National Park	Ortega-Mayagoitia et al. (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Ukraine	Dnieper River	Parchuk & Klochenko (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas spinosus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
India	Tripura State	Sharma & Sharma (2000)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus f. dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus f. anuraeiformis</i>
Italy	Orta Lake	Bonacina & Pasteris (2001)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. anuraeiformis</i>
Bulgaria	Vaja Lake	Pandourski (2001)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Turkey	Asi River	Bozkurt et al. (2002)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Nigeria	Ogunpa River Ona River	Akin-Oriola (2003)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Brazil	Rio Mogi-Guaçu	Eler et al. (2003)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Lithuania	Curonian Lagoon	Pliūraitė (2003)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Turkey	Yarseli Dam Lake	Bozkurt et al. (2004)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Greece	Trichonis Lake	Kehayias et al. (2004)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Brazil	Lago Amapa	Keppeler & Hardy (2004)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Brazil	Jundiaí Reservoir	Lucinda et al. (2004)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Greece	Billings -Taquacetuba Lake Koroneia	Michaloudi & Kostecka (2004)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Bulgaria	Srebarna Lake	Pehlivanov et al. (2004)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Pakistan	Rawal Lake	Baloch et al. (2005)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Romania	Dunăreni Lake	Dinu et al. (2005)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
India	Kondakarla Lake	Chandrasekhar & Siddiqi (2005)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
Serbia	Međuvršje Reservoir	Ostojić & Simić (2005)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Estonia	Lake Võrtsjärv	Virro & Haberman (2005)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Brazil	Tapacurá reservoir	Almeida et al. (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Brazil	Paraguay River	Frutos et al. (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Russia	Baikal Lake	Melnik et al. (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphyceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Republic of Macedonia	Dojran Lake	Lokoska et al. (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
India	Tamilnadu	Raghunathan & Suresh Kumar (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Hungary	Danube	Schöll (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
Spain	Estanque del Retiro Madrid Canto del Pico 5 Embalse Los Peñascales Laguna de San Juan	Velasco (2006)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinosus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Laguna de Ontígola		<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
France	Laguna de las Madres Embalse Los Peñascales Matachiviles Rhône-Alpes	Balvay (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
Poland	Lake Gosawskie	Bogacka - Kapusta (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Falkland Islands	Swan Inlet pond Gull Island pond	Dartnall & Hollwedel (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Bulgaria	Struma River – Pchelina Reservoir	Kozuharov et al. (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
India	East Calcutta Wetlands	Mukhopadhyay et al. (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
India	Tamil Nadu	Raghunathan & Valarmathi (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
Hungary	Ráckeve-Soroksár Danube	Vadadi-Fülöp et al. (2007)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Greece	Trichonis Lake	Doulka & Kehayias (2008)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
India	Dwarkeswar	Pradhan & Chakraborty (2008)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal	Kansai Siddha Pokhari	Vaidya & Yadav (2008)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>annuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcus</i>
	Nag Pokhari		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>annuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcus</i>
	Godavari Fish Pond		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>annuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>annuraeiformis</i>
Spain	Taudah Lake		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
Argentina	Castellón San Miguel del Monte	Sancho & Ramia (2008) Benítez & Claps (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>annuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Germany	Lower Rhine	Friedrich & Pohlmann (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
Brazil	Paraná River	Lansac-Tôha (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Nigeria	Ehoma Lake	Okogwu (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Hungary	Duna-Dráva National Park	Schöll (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus annuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Hungary	Danube	Schöll & Kiss (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus annuraeiformis</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Ukraine	Pripyat' River	Semenova (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
	Kiev Reservoir		<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Romania	Danube	Sundri & Gomoiu (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus var. amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus var. pala</i>
Italy	Ca' Morta Lake	Tavernini et al. (2009)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. amphiceros</i>
Russia	Middle Daugava	Deksne et al. (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. pala</i>
India	Pocharam lake	Chandrasekhar (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus var. dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus f. borgerti</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus f. anuraeiformis</i>
Hungary	Kis-Duna	Móra et al. (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Romania	South-West Dobrudja	Romanescu et al. (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus var. pala</i>
Nigeria	Ehoma Lake	Okogwu et al. (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
Brazil	Mossoró Rio	Serpe et al. (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
China	Yuehu Lake	Zhang et al. (2010)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
Argentina	Laguna Grande	Chaparro et al. (2011)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Belarus & Latvia	Daugava River	Deksne (2011)	<i>B. pala</i>
Latvia	Daugava River	Deksne et al. (2011a)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
		Deksne et al. (2011b)	<i>B. pala</i>
Belarus & Latvia	Daugava River	Deksne & Škute (2011)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Algeria	Lake Boukourdane	Hamaidi et al. (2011)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Ukraine	West forest-steppe of Ukraine	Ivanets (2011)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus spinosus</i>
Brazil	Paranoá Lake	Padovesi-Fonseca et al. (2011)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Brazil	São Paulo	Souza-Suares et al. (2011)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
France	Hérault	Balvay (2012)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
India	River Kapila	Farshad & Venkataramana (2012)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i> f. <i>spinosa</i>
Republic of Macedonia	Dojran Lake	Tasevska et al. (2012)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Sri Lanka	Hambantota Port	Wijetunge & Ranatunga (2012)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Nigeria	Ohana Lake	Ajah (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
Thailand		Athibai et al. (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Greece	Lysimachia Lake	Chalkia & Kehayias (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
India	Nature Park at Kolkata	Chitra (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Captain Bherry		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
	Bhagajatin Lake		
	Nalban Lake		
	Hooghly RGBG		
Algeria	Boukourdane lake	Hamaidi-Chergui et al. (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
India	Andhra Pradesh	Karuthapandi et al. (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Hungary	Lake Balaton	Körmendi (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinosus</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>calyciflorus</i>
Romania	Sfântu Gheorghe, Danube	Parpală et al. (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
India	Deepor Beel	Sharma & Sharma (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus borgerti</i>
Finland	Finland	Silfverberg (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus pala</i>
Romania	Danube	Sundri (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>amphiceros</i>
Kazakhstan	Lebzh'e	Yermolaeva (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
Romania	Danube	Zinevici et al. (2013)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas spinosa</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Russia	Novosibirsk Reservoir	Dvurechenskaya & Yermolaeva (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Romania	Sfântu Gheorghe	Florescu & Moldoveanu (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus dorcas</i>
Greece	Trichonis Lake Amvrakia Lake Lysimachia Lake Stratos Reservoir	Kehayias et al. (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. anuraeiformis</i>
Italy	San Lanfranco wetland	Paganelli et al. (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i>
India	Northeast India	Sharma & Sharma (2014a)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus f. anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus f. amphiceros</i>
India	India	Sharma & Sharma (2014b)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. dorcas</i> <i>B. calyciflorus f. anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus f. amphiceros</i>
Albania	Shkodra Lake	Shumka (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. amphiceros</i>
India		Sinha (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Belarus, Lithuania	Lake Drūkšiai	Vezhnavets et al. (2014)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Iraq	Tigris River	Abdulwahab & Rabee (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
Brazil	Tucuruí reservoir	Bezerra et al. (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus anuraeiformis</i> <i>B. calyciflorus calyciflorus</i>
India	Ambattur Lake	Bee et al. (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. dorcas</i>
Iraq	Iraqi Southern Marshes	Hammadi et al. (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus f. dorcas</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>spinosus</i>
Australia	Macquarie Marshes	Kobayashi et al. (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
Bulgaria	Zhrebchevo Reservoir	Kozuharov & Stanachkova (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>anuraeiformis</i>
Russia	Southern Urals	Rogozin et al. (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>
Pakistan	Lake Manchar	Saddozai et al. (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>
Kazakhstan	Ishim River	Yermolaeva (2015)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>
India	Veli	Anitha & George (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
	Aakulam		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>borgerti</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
	Thiruvallam		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>borgerti</i>
	Poonthura		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>dorcas</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>anuraeiformis</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
Iraq		Hammadi (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
			<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>

Country	Lake, River or Area	Source reference	Name
	Kalikar Pond	Murkute & Chavan (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>borgerti</i>
	Lendra Pond		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>borgerti</i>
	Kurza Pond		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>borgerti</i>
	Barai Pond		<i>B. calyciflorus</i> var. <i>dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i>
	Khed Pond		
Iraq	Kufa Rive	Nashaat et al. (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>
India	Morna reservoir	Solanke & Dabhade (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>amphiceros</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> f. <i>borgerti</i>
Romania	Danube Delta	Tudor et al. (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>amphiceros</i>
Russia	Minzelinskoe	Yermolaeva et al. (2016)	<i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>dorcus</i> <i>B. calyciflorus</i> <i>calyciflorus</i>

REFERENCES

- Abdulwahab, S. & Rabee A.M. (2015) Ecological factors affecting the distribution of the zooplankton community in the Tigris River at Baghdad region, Iraq. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, 41, 187–196.
- Aguesse, P. (1957) Complément à l'inventaire de la faune invertébrée des eaux camarguaises. *Terre et Vie*, 11, 241–252.
- Ajah, P.O. (2013) The limnology of Ohana Lake, a potential manmade aquaculture system in Nigeria. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, 3, 232–246
- Akin-Oriola, G.A. (2003) Zooplankton association and environmental factors in Ogunpa and Ona rivers, Nigeria. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 51, 391–398.
- Alfonso, M.T. & Miracle, M.R. (1990) Distribución espacial de las comunidades zooplanctónicas de la Albufera de Valencia. *Scientia gerundensis*, 16, 11–25.
- Allen, W.E. (1920) Some micro-plankton from Salton Sea *Science*, 51 (1324), 487.
- Almeida, V.L. dos S., Larrazábal, M.E.L. de, Moura, A. do N. & Melo Júnior, M. de. (2006) Rotifera das zonas limnética e litorânea do reservatório de Tapacurá, Pernambuco, Brasil. *Iheringia. Série Zoologia*, 96 (4), 445–451.
- Anitha, P.S. & George, R.M. (2016) Contributions to the rotifer fauna of Kerala (India) with two new records and remarks on some species. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies*, 3 (3), 113–118.
- Apstein, C. (1907) Das Plancton im Colombo-See auf Ceylon. *Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere*, 25, 201–244.
- Arévalo, C. (1918a) Algunos rotíferos planktónicos de la Albufera de Valencia. *Anales del Instituto General Técnico de Valencia*, 8, 1–47.
- Arévalo, C. (1918b) Datos para el conocimiento del plankton de agua dulce en Barcelona. *Treballs de la Societat Catalana de Biologia*, 6.
- Athibai, S., Segers, H. & Sanoamuang, L. (2013) Diversity and distribution of Brachionidae (Rotifera) in Thailand, with a key to the species. *Journal of Limnology*, 72 (2), 345–360.
- Aurich, H.J. (1933) Das zooplankton einiger seen des Chiemgaus. Seine lokale Verbreitung und Verteilung in Raum und Zeit. *Internationale Revue der Gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 29, 295–354.
- Baloch, W.A., Maeda, H. & Saisho, T. (1998) Seasonal abundance and vertical distribution of zooplankton in Lake Ikeda, southern Japan. *Microbes and Environments*, 13, 1–8.
- Baloch, W.A., Jafri, S.I.H. & Soomro, A.N. (2005) Spring Zooplankton Composition of Rawal Lake, Islamabad. *Sindh University Research Journal (Science Series)*, 37 (2), 41–46.
- Balvay, G. & Laurent, M. (1990) Evolution qualitative à long terme des rotifères du Lac Léman. *Aquatic Sciences*, 52 (2), 156–161.
- Balvay, G. (2007) Le zooplancton des étangs de la Dombes (Ain). *Bulletin mensuel de la Société linnéenne de Lyon*, 77 (1-2), 6–16.
- Balvay, G. (2012) Compléments à l'inventaire des rotifères et des microcrustacés de l'Hérault. *Annales de la Société d'Horticulture et d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Hérault*, 52 (3), 108–122.
- Barrabin, J.M. (2000) The rotifers of Spanish reservoirs: Ecological, systematical and zoogeographical remarks. *Limnetica* 19, 91–167.
- Bartoš, E. (1948) On the Bohemian species of the genus *Pedalia* Barrois. *Hydrobiologia*, 1, 63–77.

- 98 Bee, K.S., Chitra, J. & Malini, E. (2015) Studies on plankton diversity and water
99 quality of Ambattur Lake, Tamil Nadu. *International Journal of Pure and*
100 *Applied Zoology*, 3 (1), 31–36.
- 101 Bērzins, B. (1954) Zur Rotatorien Fauna Siziliens. *Hydrobiologia*, 6, 309–320.
- 102 Benítez, H.H. & Claps, M.C. (2009) Distribución horizontal y vertical del
103 zooplancton en un ciclo diario en el litoral de una laguna pampásica. *Biología*
104 *Acuática*, 26, 19–31.
- 105 Bezerra, M.F.C., Sena, B.A., Martinelli-Filho, J.E., Nakayama, L. & Ohash, O.M.
106 (2015) Composição e variabilidade da comunidade de rotifera em um
107 reservatório tropical. *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca*, 41(3), 493–506
- 108 Bogacka-Kapusta, E. (2007) Changes in the abundance, species richness, and size
109 structure of zooplankton under the influence of environmental conditions in
110 the shallow littoral zone of a heated lake. *Archives of Polish Fisheries*, 15 (4),
111 335–351.
- 112 Bonacina, C. & Pasteris, A. (2001) Zooplankton of Lake Orta after liming: an
113 eleven years study. *Journal of Limnology*, 60, 101–109.
- 114 Bozkurt, A., Göksu, M.Z.L., Sarihan, E. & Taşdemir, M. (2002) Asi Nehri rotifer
115 faunası (Hatay, Türkiye). *E.Ü.Su Ürünleri Dergisi*, 19 (1–2), 63–67.
- 116 Bozkurt, A., Dural, M. & Yılmaz, A.B. (2004). Yarseli Baraj Gölünün (Hatay-
117 Türkiye) bazı fiziko-kimyasal özellikleri ve zooplankton (rotifer, kladoser ve
118 kopepod) faunası. *Ulusal Su Günleri*, 6–8.
- 119 Brehm, V. (1909) Über die Mikrofauna chinesischer und südasiatischer
120 Süßwasserbecken. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie*, 4, 207–224.
- 121 Brown, H.P. & McDaniel, H.C. (1952) Preliminary survey of sedentary
122 invertebrates in an Oklahoma pond. *Proceedings of the Oklahoma Academy of*
123 *Science*, 33, 115–121.
- 124 Bryce, D.L. (1931) Report on the Rotifera: Mr. Omer-Cooper's investigation of the
125 Abyssinian fresh wa-ters (Dr. Hugh Scott Expedition). *Proceedings of the*
126 *Zoological Society of London*, 5, 865–878.
- 127 Buchner, H. (1937) Experimentelle Untersuchungen iiber den Generationswechsel
128 der Riidertiere. *Zeitschrift für Induktive Abstammungs und Vererbungslehre*,
129 72, 1–49.
- 130 Buchner, H. & Mulzer, F. (1961) Untersuchungen über die variabilität der
131 rädertiere. II. Der ablauf der variation im freien. *Zeitschrift für Morphologie*
132 *und Ökologie der Tiere*, 50 (3), 330–374.
- 133 Chaparro, G., Marinone, M.C., Lombardo, R.J., Schiaffino, M.R., de Souza
134 Guimarães, A. & O'Farrell, I. (2011) Zooplankton succession during
135 extraordinary drought-floodncycles: a case study in a South America
136 floodplain lake. *Limnologica*, 41, 371–381.
- 137 Chalkia, E. & Kehayias, G. (2013) Zooplankton and environmental factors of a
138 recovering eutrophic lake (Lysimachia Lake, Western Greece). *Biologia* 68,
139 459–469.
- 140 Chandrasekhar, S.V.A. & Siddiqi, S.Z. (2005) Kondakarla lake, Andhra Pradesh -
141 a taxo-ecological profile. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 104 (3–
142 4), 63–76.
- 143 Chandrasekhar, S.V.A. (2010) Zooplankton: Pocharam Lake. In: Editor-Director
144 (ed). *Limnological and Faunistic Studies of Pocharam Lake, Nizamabad-*
145 *Medak District, Andhra Pradesh, Wetland Ecosystem Series*, 13. Director,
146 Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, pp. 29–35.

- 147 Chitra, J. (2013) Diversity, abundance and seasonal fluctuation of zooplankton
 148 from few wetlands in and around Kolkata. *Records Of The Zoological Survey*
 149 *Of India Occasional Paper No.*, 352, 1–47.
- 150 Cohn, F. (1862) Bemerkungen über Räderthiere. III. *Zeitschrift für*
 151 *wissenschaftliche Zoologie*, 12, 197–217.
- 152 Colditz, F.W., (1914) Beiträge zur Biologie des Mansfelder Sees mit besonderen
 153 Studien über das Zentrifugenplankton und seine Beziehungen zum
 154 Netzplankton der pelagischen Zone. *Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Zoologie*,
 155 108, 521–630.
- 156 Collin, A., Dieffenbach, H., Sachse, R. & Voigt, M. (1912) Rotatoria und
 157 Gastrotricha. *Die Süßwasserfauna Deutschlands*, 14, 1–273.
- 158 Cronberg, G., Gieske, A., Martins, E., Prince Nengu, J. & Stenström, I.M. (1995)
 159 Hydrobiological Studies of the Okavango Delta and Kwando/Linyanti/Chobe
 160 River, Botswana I Surface Water Quality Analysis. *Botswana Notes and*
 161 *Records*, 27, 151–226.
- 162 Daday, E. (1883) Neue Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Rädertiere. *Mathematische und*
 163 *Naturwissenschaftliche Berichte aus Ungarn*, 1, 261–264.
- 164 Daday, E. (1901) Mikroskopische Süßwasserthiere (Zoologische Ergebnisse der
 165 dritten asiatischen Forschungsreise des Grafen Eug. Zichy). *Dritte asiatische*
 166 *Forschungsreise des Grafen Eugen Zichy*, 2, 375–479.
- 167 Damas, H. (1941) La faune de la Meuse belge. *Association Française pour*
 168 *l'Avancement des Sciences*, 150–159.
- 169 Dartnall, H.J.G. & Hollwedel, W. (2007) A limnological reconnaissance of the
 170 Falkland Islands; with particular reference to the waterfleas (Arthropoda:
 171 Anomopoda). *Journal of Natural History*, 41, 1259–1300.
- 172 De Beauchamp, P. (1932a) XIX.-Reports on the Percy Sladen expedition to some
 173 Rift Valley Lakes in Kenya in 1929.-III. Rotifères des Lacs de la Vallée du
 174 Rift. *Annals and Magazine of Natural. History*, 10, 158–165.
- 175 De Beauchamp, P. (1932b) Scientific results of the Cambridge Expedition to the
 176 East African Lakes, 1930 -1. 6. Rotifères et Gastrotriches. *Zoological Journal*
 177 *of the Linnean Society*, 38, 231–248.
- 178 De Beauchamp, P. (1939). Percy Sladen trust expedition to Lake Titicaca in 1937.
 179 V. Rotifères et Turbellaries. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London*. 1,
 180 51–79.
- 181 De Manuel, J. (1990) Contribution to the knowledge of the rotifer fauna (Rotifera:
 182 Monogononta) from Minorcan inland waters (Balearic isles: Spain).
 183 *Limnetica*, 6, 119–130.
- 184 De Ridder, M. (1985) Contributions to the knowledge of African Rotifers. I .
 185 Rotifers from Senegal. *Hydrobiologia*, 120, 47–51.
- 186 De Ridder, M. (1987) Contribution to the knowledge of African rotifers : Rotifers
 187 from Mauritania (W.-Africa). *Hydrobiologia*, 150, 123–131.
- 188 De Ridder, M. (1989) Rotifers from Western Sudan. *Hydrobiologia*, 179, 205–209.
- 189 De Ridder, M. (1992) Contribution to the study of African rotifers: Rotifers from
 190 Mali. *Hydrobiologia*, 237 (2), 93–101.
- 191 De Souza, F.B.V.A., Neumann-Leitão, S. & Paranaguá, M.N. (1998) Rotifera do
 192 sistema estuarino do Rio Goiana, Pernambuco, Brasil. *Trabalhos em*
 193 *Oceanografia da Universidade Federal de Pernambuco*, 26, 31–62.
- 194 Decksbach, N. (1926) Studien über das Zooplankton des Petsjora-Beckens und der
 195 südlichen Nebenflüsse der Dwina. *Internationale Revue der gesamten*
 196 *Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 14, 323–338.

- 197 Deksne, R., Škute, A. & Paidere, J. (2010) Changes in structure of zooplankton
198 communities in the Middle Daugava (Western Dvina) over the last five
199 decades. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica*, 20 (3), 190–208.
- 200 Deksne, R. (2011) Influence of wastewater on zooplankton communities in the
201 Daugava River upstream and downstream of Daugavpils over the last 50 years.
202 *Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems*, 402, 07
- 203 Deksne, R. & Škute, A. (2011) The influence of ecohydrological factors on the
204 cenosis of the Daugava River zooplankton. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica*, 21, 133–
205 144.
- 206 Deksne, R., Škute, A. & Meinerte, A. (2011a) Seasonal changes in zooplankton
207 community of the Daugava river. *Acta Biologica Universitatis*
208 *Daugavpiliensis*, 11 (1), 61–75.
- 209 Deksne, R., Škute, A., Gruberts, D. & Paidere, J. (2011b) Effects of climate change
210 on zooplankton community structure of the middle stretch of the Daugava river
211 over the last 50 years. *Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology*, 11, 79–96.
- 212 Dieffenbach, H. & Sachse, R. (1912) Biologische Untersuchungen an Rädertieren
213 in Teichgewässern. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und*
214 *Hydrographie*, 4, 1–93.
- 215 Dinu, C., Radu, A. & Török, L. (2005) Data on the hydrobiological characteristics
216 of Dunareni Lake (Constanta County). *Scientific Studies and Research,*
217 *Biology, University of Bacau*, 10, 33–36.
- 218 Dolley, J.S. (1933) Preliminary notes on the biology of the St. Joseph River. *The*
219 *American Midland Naturalist*, 14, 193–227.
- 220 Dönner, J. (1949) *Höraella brehmi* nov. gen. nov. sp. eine neue Rädertiere aus
221 Indian. *Hydrobiologia*, 2, 304–328.
- 222 Doulka, E. & Kehayias, G. (2008) Spatial and temporal distribution of zooplankton
223 in Lake Trichonis (Greece). *Journal of Natural History*, 42, 575–595.
- 224 Dunn, I.G. (1970) Recovery of a tropical pond zooplankton community after
225 destruction by algal bloom. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 15, 373–379.
- 226 Dvurechenskaya, S.Y. & Yermolaeva, N.I. (2014) Interrelations between chemical
227 composition of water and characteristics of zooplankton in the Novosibirsk
228 reservoir. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology*, 7 (4), 465–473.
- 229 Ehrenberg, C.G. (1830) *Organisation, Systematik und geographisches Verhältnis*
230 *der Infusionsthierchen. Zwei Vorträge in der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu*
231 *Berlin gehalten in den Jahren 1828 [Die geographische Verbreitung der*
232 *Infusionsthierchen in Nord-Afrika und West-Asien, beobachtet auf Hemprich*
233 *und Ehrenbergs Reisen] und 1830 [Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Organisation*
234 *der Infusorien und ihrer geographischen Verbreitung, besonders in Sibirien].*
235 *Druckerei der königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin*, 108 pp.
- 236 Egborge, A.B.M. (1994) Salinity and the distribution of rotifers in the Lagos
237 Harbour - Badagry Creek system, Nigeria. *Hydrobiologia*, 272, 95–104.
- 238 Ehrenberg, C.G. (1838) *Die Infusionsthierchen als vollkommene Organismen. Ein*
239 *Blick in das tiefere organische Leben der Natur.* Verlag von Leopold Voss,
240 Leipzig.
- 241 Eler, M.N., Pareschi, D.C., Espíndola, E.L.G. & Barbosa, D.S. (2003) Ocorrência
242 de Rotifera e sua relação com o estado trófico da água em pesque-pague na
243 bacia do rio Mogi-Guaçu – SP. *Boletim Técnico do CEPTA, Pirassununga*, 16,
244 41–56.

- 245 Farshad, H. & Venkataramana, G.V. (2012) Impact of physicochemical parameters
 246 of water on zooplankton diversity in Nanjangud Industrial Area, India.
 247 *International Research Journal of Environment Sciences*, 1 (4), 37–42.
- 248 Fernando, C.H. & Zankai, N.P. (1981) The Rotifera of Malaysia and Singapore
 249 with remarks on some species. *Hydrobiologia*, 78, 205–219.
- 250 Florescu, L. & Moldoveanu, M. (2014) The rotifer communities seasonality from
 251 Sfântu Gheorghe branch (Danube Delta). *Ecoterra*, 11 (3), 20–27.
- 252 France, R.H. (1894) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Rotatorienfauna Budapest's.
 253 *Természetráji Füzetek kiadja a Magyar nemzeti Múzeum*, 17: 166–184.
- 254 Friedrich, G. & Pohlmann, M. (2009) Long-term plankton studies at the lower
 255 Rhine/Germany. *Limnologia*, 39, 14–39.
- 256 Frutos, S.M., Poi de Neiff, A.S.G. & Neiff, J.J. (2006) Zooplankton of the Paraguay
 257 River: a comparison between sections and hydrological phases. *Annales de*
 258 *Limnologie - International Journal of Limnology*, 42 (2), 277–288.
- 259 Gilbert, J.J. & Waage, J.K. (1967) *Asplanchna*, *Asplanchna*-substance, and
 260 posterolateral spine length variation of the Rotifer *Brachionus Calyciflorus* in
 261 a natural environment. *Ecology*, 48 (6), 1027–1031.
- 262 Godeanu, S. & Zinevici, V. (1983) Composition, dynamics and production of
 263 Rotatoria in the plankton of some lakes of the Danube Delta. *Hydrobiologia*,
 264 104, 247–257.
- 265 Gosse, P.H.A.L.S. (1851) XVIII.-A catalogue of Rotifera found in Britain; with
 266 descriptions of five new genera and thirty-two new species. *Annals and*
 267 *Magazine of Natural History*, 8 (45), 197–203.
- 268 Gray, E.A. (1953) The ecology of rotifers in Cambridgeshire. *Journal of Animal*
 269 *Ecology*, 22, 208–216.
- 270 Guisande, C. & Toja, J. (1988) The dynamics of various species of the
 271 genus *Brachionus* (Rotatoria) in the Guadalquivir River. *Archiv für*
 272 *Hydrobiologie*, 112, 579–595.
- 273 Gulyás, P. (2000) Cercetări asupra grupurilor Rotatoria și Crustacea din apele de
 274 pe teritoriul Parcului Național Criș-Mureș. *Crisicum*, 3, 109–137.
- 275 Gulyás, P., Bancsi, I. & Zsuga, K.V. (1995) Rotatoria and Crustacea fauna of the
 276 Hungarian watercourses. *Miscellanea Zoologica Hungarica*, 10, 21–47.
- 277 Haberman, J. (1995) Dominant rotifers of Vortsjarv (Estonia). *Hydrobiologia*,
 278 313/314, 313–317.
- 279 Hamaidi, F., Hamaidi, M.S., Benouaklil, F. & Saidi, F. (2011) The Rotifera fauna
 280 from Algeria. *Bioresearch Bulletin*, 1 (4).
- 281 Hamaidi-Chergui, F., Hamaidi, M.S., Errahman, M.B. & Benouaklil, F. (2013)
 282 Studies on biodiversity of rotifera in five artificial lakes in Algeria:
 283 Systematical and zoogeographical remarks. *Kragujevac Journal of Science*,
 284 35, 115–138.
- 285 Hammadi, N.S., Salman, S.D. & Abbas, M.F. (2015) Rotifera of the Southern Iraqi
 286 Marshes, with a reference to the major zooplankton groups in the region.
 287 *Marsh Bulletin*, 10, 46–55.
- 288 Hammadi, N.S. (2016) An ecological survey of littoral Rotifera from some selected
 289 areas of Iraq: I. middle of Iraq. *Journal of Basrah Researches*, 42 (2), 46–55.
- 290 Harrison, A.D. (1962) Hydrobiological studies on alkaline and acid still waters in
 291 the Western Cape Province. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa*,
 292 36 (4), 213–244.

- 293 Hartmann, O. (1915) Rotatorien, Copepoden und Cladoceren aus der Bukowina.
 294 *Verhandlungen der Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien*, 65, 231–
 295 237.
- 296 Hauer, J. (1965) Zur Rotatorienfauna des Amazonasgebietes. *International Review*
 297 *of Hydrobiology*, 50 (3), 341–389.
- 298 Havinga, B. (1919) Studien over flora en fauna van het Zuidlaarder meer: bijdrage
 299 tot de kennis van de biologie der Nederlandsche meren. Groningen.
- 300 Hawkins, P.R. (1988) The zooplankton of a small tropical reservoir (Solomon
 301 Dam, north Queensland). *Hydrobiologia*, 157, 105–118.
- 302 Henry, G. (2013) On the vertical distribution of the plankton in Winona Lake.
 303 *Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Science*, 77–92
- 304 Holst, H., Zimmermann, H., Kausch, H. & Koste, W. (1998) Temporal and special
 305 distribution of planktonic rotifers in the Elbe Estuary during spring. *Estuarine,*
 306 *Coastal and Shelf Science*, 47, 261–273.
- 307 Hudson, C.T. & Gosse, P.H. (1886) *The Rotifera; or wheel-animalcules, both*
 308 *British and foreign*. Longmans, Green, and Co., London.
- 309 Huss, H. (1913) Können die Cyclopiden intramolekular atmen? *Internationale*
 310 *Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 6, 38.
- 311 Ivanets, O. (2011) Zooplankton of the water vegetation in the ponds of west forest-
 312 steppe of Ukraine. *Visnyk of the Lviv University. Series Biology*, 56, 148–156.
- 313 Jeje, C.Y. & Fernando, C.H. (1992) Zooplankton Associations in the Middle Niger-
 314 Sokoto Basin (Nigeria: West Africa). *Internationale Revue der gesamten*
 315 *Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 77 (2), 237–253.
- 316 Karuthapandi, M., Rao, D.V. & Innocent, X. (2013) Freshwater rotifers of Andhra
 317 Pradesh – Checklist. *International Journal for Life Sciences and Educational*
 318 *Research*, 1(1), 1–13.
- 319 Kehayias, G., Michaloudi, E. & Bexi, A. (2004) Aspects on the seasonal dynamics
 320 and the vertical distribution of the crustacean zooplankton community and the
 321 *Dreissena polymorpha* larvae in Lake Trichonis. *Mediterranean Marine*
 322 *Science*, 5 (1), 5–17.
- 323 Kehayias, G., Chalkia, E. & Doulka, E. (2014) Zooplankton variation in five greek
 324 lakes. In: Kehayias, G. (ed). *Zooplankton*. Nova Science Publishers, New
 325 York, pp. 85–119.
- 326 Keppeler, E.C. & Hardy, E.R. (2004) Abundance and composition of Rotifera in
 327 an abandoned meander lake (Lago Amapá) in Rio Branco, Acre, Brazil.
 328 *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, 21 (2), 233–241.
- 329 Kertész, G. (1967) Längsprofiluntersuchungen des rotatorienplanktons im
 330 ungarischen abschnitt der Donau. *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 7, 189–199.
- 331 Kobayashi, T., Shiel, R.J., Gibbs, P. & Dixon, P.I. (1998) Freshwater zooplankton
 332 in the Hawkesbury-Nepean River: comparison of community structure with
 333 other rivers. *Hydrobiologia*, 377, 133–145.
- 334 Kobayashi, T., Ralph, T.J., Ryder, D.S., Hunte, S.J., Shiel, R.J. & Segers, H. (2015)
 335 Spatial dissimilarities in plankton structure and function during flood pulses in
 336 a semi-arid floodplain wetland system. *Hydrobiologia*, 747, 19–31.
- 337 Körmendi, S. (2013) Halastavak hidrobiológiai vizsgálata a Balaton déli
 338 vízgyűjtőjén. *Acta Scientiarum Socialium*, 39, 95–111.

- 339 Koste, W. (1976) Über die Rädertierbestände (Rotatoria) der oberen und mittleren
340 Hase in den Jahren 1966-1969. *Osnabrücker naturwissenschaftliche*
341 *Mitteilungen*, 4, 191–263.
- 342 Koste, W. (1978) *Rotatoria. Die Rädertiere Mitteleuropas. Ein Bestimmungswerk,*
343 *begründet von Max Voigt.* Überordnung Monogononta. Gebrüder Borntraeger,
344 Berlin, Stuttgart.
- 345 Koste, W. & Shiel, R.J. (1980) Preliminary remarks on the characteristics of the
346 rotifer fauna of Australia (Notogaea). *Hydrobiologia*, 73, 221–227.
- 347 Koste, W. & Shiel, R.J. (1987) Rotifera from Australian inland waters II.
348 Epiphanidae and Brachionidae. *Invertebrate Taxonomy*, 7, 949–1021.
- 349 Koste, W. & Zhuge, Y. (1998) Zur Kenntnis der Rotatorienfauna (Rotifera) der
350 Insel Hainan, China. Teil II. *Osnabrücker naturwissenschaftliche*
351 *Mitteilungen*, 24, 183–222.
- 352 Kovachev, S. & Hainadjieva, V. (2000) The pelagic Zooplankton of several coastal
353 lakes in North-East Bulgaria. *Lauterbornia*, 38, 63–66.
- 354 Koval'chuk, A. & Parchuk, G. (1993) Rotifers of Sasyk Reservoir and their role in
355 the production and decomposition of organic matter. *Hydrobiological Journal*,
356 29 (1), 51–63.
- 357 Kozuharov, D., Evtimova, V. & Zaharieva, D. (2007) Long-term changes of
358 zooplankton and dynamics of eutrophication in the polluted system of the
359 Struma River - Pchelina Reservoir (South-West Bulgaria). *Acta Zoologica*
360 *Bulgarica*, 59 (2), 191–202.
- 361 Kozuharov, D. & Stanachkova, M. (2015) Long-term changes in zooplankton
362 community in Zhrebchevo Reservoir, Central Bulgaria. *Acta Zoologica*
363 *Bulgarica*, 67 (4), 541–552.
- 364 Krampner, A. (1928) Wandlungen der Kruster- und Rotatorien-Fauna.
365 *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 19,
366 281-288
- 367 Krause, L. (1996) Planktonbeobachtungen in heiligkreuztal. *Mitteilungen der*
368 *Mikro AG Stuttgart e. V.*, 2–4, 1–7.
- 369 Krause, L. (2000) Kleingewässer in Hohenheim, Teil 1. Die Teiche in der
370 Vegetationsgeschichtlichen Abteilung. *Mitteilungen der Mikro AG Stuttgart*,
371 1/2, 1–47.
- 372 Kuczynski, D. (1991) Rotifers from Reconquista River, Argentina: the genus
373 Brachionus, with descriptions of new species. *Hydrobiologia*, 215, 135–152.
- 374 Künne, C. (1926) Zur rädertier-fauna des Seeburger sees. *Zeitschrift für*
375 *Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere*, 6 (2), 207–286.
- 376 Kutikova, L.A. & Fernando, C.H. (1995) Brachionus calyciflorus Pallas
377 (Rotatoria) in inland waters of tropical latitudes. *Internationale Revue der*
378 *gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 80, 429–441.
- 379 Lansac-Tôha, F.A., Bonecker, C.C., Velho, L.F.M., Simões, N.R., Dias, J.D.,
380 Alves, G.M. & Takahashi, E.M. (2009) Biodiversity of zooplankton
381 communities in the Upper Paraná River floodplain: interannual variation from
382 long-term studies. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 69 (2), 539–549.
- 383
- 384 Leentvaar, P. (1967) *Een overzicht van de hydrobiologische toestand in de*
385 *Vechtplassen in 1957 en 1958.* Rivon, Zeist.
- 386 Lefèvre, M. (1941) Recherches hydrobiologiques sur les rivières, mares et étangs
387 du Domaine National de Rambouillet. *Bulletin Français de Pisciculture*, 122,
388 89–143.

- 389 Lehmann, C. & Westf, M. (1933) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Fauna westdeutscher
390 Gewässer. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und*
391 *Hydrographie*, 29, 113–122.
- 392 Leutbecher, C. & Koste, W. (1998) Die Rotatorienfauna des Dämmers unter
393 besonderer Berücksichtigung der sessilen Arten. Teil I. *Osnabrücker*
394 *naturwissenschaftliche Mitteilungen*, 24, 223–255.
- 395 Löffler, H. (1961) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Iranischen Binnengewässer. II.
396 Regional- Limnologische Studie Mit besondere Berücksichtigung der
397 Crustaceenfauna. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und*
398 *Hydrographie*, 46, 309–406.
- 399 Löffler, H. (1962) Ergebnisse der Zoologischen Nubien-Expedition 1962 Teil
400 XVIII, Zur Binnenwasserfauna einiger Kleingewässer und Brunnen im
401 nördlichen Sudan. *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*, 66, 489–
402 494.
- 403 Lokoska, L., Jordanoski, M., Veljanoska-Sarafiloska, E. & Taveska, O. (2006)
404 *Water quality of Lake Dojran from biological and physical-chemical aspects.*
405 *Conference on water observation and information system for decision support,*
406 Ohrid, Macedonia 23-26 May 2006.
- 407 Lopez, C. & Ochoa, E. (1995) Rotíferos (Monogononta) de la Cuenca del Rio
408 Guasare-Limon, Venezuela. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 43 (1-3), 189–193.
- 409 Lucinda, I., Moreno, I.H., Melão, M.G.G. & Matsumura-Tundisi, T. (2004)
410 Rotifers in freshwater habitats in the upper Tietê river basin, São Paulo State,
411 Brazil. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, 16 (3), 203–224.
- 412 Mäemets, A., Timm, M. & Nõges, T. (1996) Zooplankton of Lake Peipsi-Pihkva
413 in 1909-1987. *Hydrobiologia*, 338, 105–112.
- 414 Mann, A.K. (1940) Über pelagische copepoden Türkischer Seen (mit
415 Berücksichtigung des übrigen Planktons). *International Revue der gesamten*
416 *Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 40, 1–87.
- 417 Marcuzzi, G. (1979) *European Ecosystems*. Junk, Hague-Boston-London.
- 418 Meissner, V. (1902) Zhivotnyj plankton reki Volgi pod Saratovom. *Trudy*
419 *Saratovskogo obshchestva jestestvoispytatelej i ljubitelej jestestvoznaniya,*
420 *Saratov*, 3, 1–69.
- 421 Melnik, N.G., Bondarenko, N.A., Belykh, O.I., Blinov, V.V., Ivanov, V.G.,
422 Korovyakova, I.V., Kostornova, T.Y., Lazarev, M.I., Logacheva, N.F.,
423 Pomazkova, G.I., Sherstyankin, P.P., Sorokovikova, L.M., Tolstikova, L.I. &
424 Tereza, E.P. (2006) Distribution of pelagic invertebrates near thermal bar in
425 Lake Baikal. *Hydrobiologia*, 568, 69–76.
- 426 Michaloudi, E. & Kostecka, M. (2004) Zooplankton of Lake Koroneia (Macedonia,
427 Greece). *Biologia*, 59, 165–172.
- 428 Móra, A., Kálmán, Z., Soós, N., Tóth, A., Deák, C.S., Ambrus, A. & Csabai, Z.
429 (2010) Data to the aquatic invertebrate fauna of Kis-Duna (Kismaros) with
430 first Hungarian records of three chironomid species. *Acta Biologica Debrecina*
431 *Supplementum Oecologica Hungarica*, 21, 127–138.
- 432 Mukhopadhyay, S.K., Chattopadhyay, B., Goswami, A.R. & Chatterjee, A. (2007)
433 Spatial variations in zooplankton diversity in waters contaminated with
434 composite effluents. *Journal of Limnology*, 66 (2), 97–106.
- 435 Murray, J., 1906. The Rotifera of Scottish Lochs. *Transactions of the Royal Society*
436 *of Edinburgh*. 45, 151–193.
- 437 Murkute, V.B. & Chavan, A.W. (2016) Report on rotifer diversity with reference
438 to their role in eutrophication from lentic ecosystems at Bramhapuri, Dist:

- 439 Chandrapur (M.S.) India. *International Journal of Researches in Biosciences,*
440 *Agriculture and Technology*, 8–12.
- 441 Myers, F.J. (1942) The Rotatorian Fauna of the Pocono Plateau and Environs.
442 *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 94, 251–
443 285.
- 444 Nashaat, M.R., Rasheed, K.A. & Hassan, H.A. (2016) Rotifera Abundance and
445 Species Diversity in Al-Kufa River, Iraq. *Global Journal of Science Frontier*
446 *Research: (H) Environment & Earth Science*, 16 (5), 49–58.
- 447 Neumann-Leitão, S. & Nogueira-Paranhos, J.D. (1987) Zooplâncton do rio São
448 Francisco, região nordeste do Brasil. *Trab Oceanography, Federal*
449 *University of Pernambuco*, 20, 173–196.
- 450 Neumann-Leitão, S. (1990) Estudos taxonômicos dos Rotatoria da área estuarina-
451 lagunar de Suape, Pernambuco (Brasil). *Tropical oceanography*, 21 (1).
- 452 Neumann-Leitão, S., Paranagua, M.N. & Valentin, J.L. (1992) The planktonic
453 rotifers of the estuarine lagunar complex of Suape (Pernambuco , Brazil).
454 *Hydrobiologia*, 232, 133–143.
- 455 Neumann-Leitão, S. & Matsumura-Tundisi, T. (1998) Dynamics of a perturbed
456 Estuarine Zooplanktonic Community: Port of Suape, PE, Brazil.
457 *Verhandlungen der Internationale Vereinigung für Limnologie, Stuttgart*, 26,
458 1981–1988.
- 459 Nipkow, F. (1958) Beobachtungen bei der Entwicklung des Dauereies von
460 *Brachionus calyciflorus* Pallas. *Schweizerische Zeitschrift Fur Hydrologie*, 20,
461 186–194.
- 462 Nogrady, T. (1983) Some new and rare warmwater rotifers. *Hydrobiologia*, 106,
463 107–114.
- 464 Okogwu, I.O. (2009) Seasonal variations of species composition and abundance of
465 zooplankton in Ehoma Lake, a floodplain lake in Nigeria. *Revista de Biología*
466 *Tropical*, 58 (1), 171–182.
- 467 Okogwu, I.O., Christopher, D.N. & Florence, A.O. (2010) Seasonal variation and
468 diversity of rotifers in Ehomalake, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Biology*,
469 31, 533–537.
- 470 Oltra, R. & Miracle, M.R. (2000) Variación espacio-temporal de las poblaciones
471 de rotíferos de la laguna meromítica Estany de Cullera (Valencia).
472 *Limnetica*, 19, 39–52.
- 473 Ortega-Mayagoitia, E. Armengol, X. & Rojo, C. (2000) Structure and dynamics of
474 zooplankton in a semi-arid wetland, the national park Las Tablas de Daimiel
475 (Spain). *Wetlands*, 20 (4), 629-638.
- 476 Otto, J.P. (1954) Over de flora en fauna van een oud baggerstortterrein en zijn
477 omgeving (slot). *De Levende Natuur*, 57 (11), 214–219.
- 478 Ostojić, A. & Simić, V. (2005) Contribution to knowledge of zooplankton in the
479 rivers of Serbia: Summer aspect of Rotatoria in Morava and Western
480 Morava. *Kragujevac Journal of Science*, 27, 157–162.
- 481 Padovesi-Fonseca, C., de Mendonça-Galvão, L. & Andreoni-Batista, C. (2011)
482 Rotifera, Paranoá reservoir, Brasília, central Brazil. *Check list the journal*
483 *of biodiversity data*, 7 (3), 248–252.
- 484 Paganelli, D., Saltarelli, M. & Sconfiatti, R. (2014) Seasonal evolution of the
485 zooplankton community in two riverine wetlands of the Ticino River
486 (Lombardy, Northern Italy). *Annales de Limnologie - International Journal*
487 *of Limnology*, 50, 241–247.

- 488 Pandourski, I. (2001) Recherches hydrobiologiques des zones humides de la côte
489 bulgare de la mer noire. I. Le lac de Vaja. *Rivista di Idrobiologia*, 40, 2–3.
- 490 Parchuk, G.V. & Klochenko, P.D. (2000) Comparative Characteristics of
491 Zooplankton of the Dnieper Tributaries. *Hydrobiological Journal*, 36 (4),
492 15–33.
- 493 Parpală, L., Moldoveanu, M., Dumitrache, A. & Florescu, L. (2013) Dynamics
494 of phyto- and zooplankton communities diversity of the Sfântu Gheorghe
495 Arm under the anthropogenic impact. *Romanian Journal of Biology -*
496 *Zoology*, 58 (2), 123–139.
- 497 Paturej, E. & Jabłońska, I. (1999) The influence of water mass dynamics on the
498 changes of invertebrates number in Lake Gardno. *Baltic Coastal Zone*, 3, 89–
499 101
- 500 Peelen, R. (1974) Changes in the plankton of the estuarine area of the Haringvliet-
501 Hollands Diep_Biesbosch in the S.W. Netherlands caused by the dams through
502 Volkerak and Haringvliet. *Hydrobiological Bulletin*, 8, 190–200.
- 503 Pehlivanov, L., Tsavkova, V. & Naidenov, W. (2004) The metazoan plankton of
504 the Biosphere Reserve Srebarna Lake (North-Eastern Bulgaria). *Lauterbornia*,
505 49, 99–105.
- 506 Pejler, B. (1974) On the rotifer plankton of some East African Lakes.
507 *Hydrobiologia*, 44 (4), 389–396.
- 508 Plate, L. (1886) Beiträge zur Naturgeschichte der Rotatorien. *Jenaische Zeitschrift*
509 *für Naturwissenschaft*, 19, 1–120.
- 510 Pliūraitė, V. (2003) Species Diversity of Zooplankton in the Curonian Lagoon in
511 2001. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica*, 13 (2), 106–113.
- 512 Pourriot, R. (1957) Contribution à la connaissance des Rotifères et des Cladocères
513 de la Région parisienne. *Hydrobiologia*, 9, 38–59.
- 514 Pourriot, R. (1971) Prospection hydrobiologique du Lac de Léré et des mares
515 avoisinantes. *Cahiers O.R.S.T.O.M. Série Hydrobiologie*, 5 (2), 171–174.
- 516 Pourriot, R. (1975) Rotifères des Antilles. *Cahiers O.R.S.T.O.M. Série*
517 *Hydrobiologie*, 9, 81–90.
- 518 Pradhan, P. & Chakraborty, S.K. (2008) Ecological study of rotifera and its
519 application for biomonitoring of freshwater riverine environment of South
520 West Bengal, India. *Zoological Research in Human Welfare*, 31, 305–321.
- 521 Pujin, V., Ratajac, R. & Djukić, N. (1984) Zusammensetzung und dynamic des
522 zooplanktons und der bodenfauna des unteren Theisslaufs. *Tiscia*, 19, 79–87.
- 523 Pujin, V., Djukić, N., Maletin, S., Miljanović, B. & Ivanc, A. (1996) Changes of
524 zoocenotic structure in lower reach of river Tisza. *Tiscia*, 30, 35–38.
- 525 Raghunathan, M.B. & Suresh Kumar, R. (2006) Diversity of rotifers of Tamil
526 Nadu. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 106, 67–78.
- 527 Raghunathan, M.B. & Valarmathi, K. (2007) Zooplankton investigations from a
528 paddy field in Tamil Nadu. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 107,
529 55–62.
- 530 Redeke, H.C. (1935) *Synopsis van het Nederlandsche zoet- en brakwater-plankton*.
531 Hydrobiologische Club, Amsterdam.
- 532 Rico-Martínez, R. & Silva-Briano, M. (1993) Contribution to the knowledge of the
533 Rotifera of Mexico. *Hydrobiologia*, 255–256, 467–474.
- 534 Robinson, A.H. & Robinson, P.K. (1971) Seasonal distribution of zooplankton in
535 the northern basin of Lake Chad. *Journal of Zoology*, 163, 25–61.

- 536 Rogozin, A.G., Snit'ko, L.V. & Timoshkin, O.A. (2015) Thermoindicator
537 properties of zooplankton species and their measurements. *Water Resources*,
538 42 (1), 91–97.
- 539 Romanescu, G.T., Dinu, C.I., Radu, A.L. & Török, L.I. (2010) Ecologic
540 characterization of the fluviatile limans in the South-West Dobrudja and their
541 economic implications (Romania). *Carpathian Journal of Earth and
542 Environmental Sciences*, 5 (2), 25–38.
- 543 Rong, S., Segers, H. & Dumont, H.J. Distribution of Brachionidae (Rotifera,
544 Monogononta) in Inner Mongolian Waters. *International Review of
545 Hydrobiology*, 83 (4), 305–310.
- 546 Rousselet, C.F. (1906) Contribution to our knowledge of the Rotifera of South
547 Africa. *Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society*, 26, 393–414.
- 548 Rylov, W.M. (1927) Über das planktische Vorkommen von *Anthophysa steini*
549 Senn. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und
550 Hydrographie*, 18, 75–84.
- 551 Sadozai, S., Achakzai, W.M., Masood Z., Kakar, A., Somroo, A.N. & Baloch,
552 W.A. (2015) An Investigation on some New Records of Rotifer species occurs
553 in Manchar Lake of Province Sindh, Pakistan. *Biological Forum – An
554 International Journal*, 7(2), 768–772.
- 555 Samraoui, B., Segers, H., Maas, S., Baribwegure, D. & Dumont, H.J. (1998)
556 Rotifera, Cladocera, Copepoda, and Ostracoda from coastal wetlands in
557 northeast Algeria. *Hydrobiologia*, 386, 183–193.
- 558 Sancho, V. & Ramia, F. (2008) Data on a relict population of *Emys orbicularis*
559 from Burriana (Castellón, Eastern Spain). *Revista Española De Herpetología*,
560 22, 103–109.
- 561 Sanoamuang, L., Segers, H. & Dumont, H.J. (1995) Additions to the rotifer fauna
562 of South-East Asia: new and rare species from North-East Thailand.
563 *Hydrobiologia*, 313/314, 34–45.
- 564 Sartory, D.P. (1981) Some planktonic brachionid rotifers from south african
565 impoundments. *Journal of the Limnological Society of Southern Africa*, 7 (1),
566 29–36.
- 567 Schmarda, L.K. (1854) Zur Naturgeschichte Ägyptens. *Denkschriften der
568 kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, mathematisch-
569 naturwissenschaftliche Classe (Wien)*, 7 (2), 1–28.
- 570 Schöll, K. (2006) Changes in rotifer communities regarding to the water-level
571 fluctuations in the floodplain Gemenc, Danube (Hungary).
572 *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 35, 77–81.
- 573 Schöll, K. (2009) Diversity of planktonic rotifer assemblages in the water bodies
574 of the Gemenc floodplain (Duna-Dráva National Park, Hungary). *Biologia*,
575 64/65, 951–958.
- 576 Schöll, K. & Kiss, A. (2009) Checklist of the planktonic rotifer fauna in the active
577 floodplain area of the Danube (1843-1806, 1669 and 1437-1489 rkm).
578 *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 40 (2), 63–73.
- 579 Schreyer, O. (1920) Die Rotatorien der Umgebung von Beru. *International Review
580 of Hydrobiology*, 9, 311–370.
- 581 Semenova, L.M. (2009) The state of zooplankton in the cooler reservoir of the
582 Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant and in the upper part of the Kiev Reservoir.
583 *Inland Water Biology*, 2 (3), 264–270.

- 584 Serpe, F.R., Adloff, C.T., Crispim, M.C. & Rocha, R.M. (2010) Comunidade
585 zooplânctônica em um estuário hipersalino no nordeste do Brasil. *Revista*
586 *Brasileira de Engenharia de Pesca*, 5 (3), 51–73.
- 587 Seymour-Sewell, R.B., 1935. Studies on the bionomics of freshwaters of India. II.
588 On the fauna of the tanks in the Indian Museum Compound and the seasonal
589 changes observed. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und*
590 *Hydrographie*, 31, 203–238.
- 591 Sharma, S.P. (1979) Rotifers from West Bengal III. Further studies on the
592 eutatoria. *Hydrobiologia*, 70, 239–250.
- 593 Sharma, S.P. (1980a) Contributions to the rotifer fauna of Orissa, India.
594 *Hydrobiologia*, 70, 225–223.
- 595 Sharma, S.P. (1980b) Contributions to the rotifer fauna of Panjab State, India. I.
596 Family Brachionidae. *Hydrobiologia*, 76, 249–253.
- 597 Sharma, S.P. & Saksena, D.N. (1984) Form variations in the rotifer *Brachionus*
598 *calyciflorus* Pallas from a perennial impoundment in India. *International*
599 *Review of Hydrobiology*, 69, 747–752.
- 600 Sharma, B.K. & Dudani, V.K. (1992) Rotifers from some tropical ponds in Bihar:
601 species composition, similarities and trophic indicators. *Journal of Indian*
602 *Institute of Sciences*, 72, 121–130.
- 603 Sharma, B.K., Sharma, S.S. & Dudani, V.R. (1992) Freshwater rotifers from
604 Darbhanga city, Bihar, India. *Record of Zoological Survey of India*, 91, 431–
605 448.
- 606 Sharma, B. K. & Sharma, S. (2000) Freshwater rotifers (Rotifera: Eurotatoria).
607 *Zoological Survey of India- State Fauna series 7: Fauna of Tripura*, 4, 163–
608 224.
- 609 Sharma, S. & Sharma, B.K. (2013) *Faunal Diversity of Aquatic Invertebrates of*
610 *Deepor Beel (A Ramsar site), Assam, Northeast India. Wetland Ecosystem*
611 *Series, 17*. Zoological. Survey of India, Kolkata.
- 612 Sharma, B.K. & Sharma, S. (2014a) Northeast India: An important region with a
613 rich biodiversity of Rotifera. *International Review of Hydrobiology*, 99, 20–
614 37.
- 615 Sharma, B.K. & Sharma, S. (2014b) The diversity of Indian Brachionidae
616 (Rotifera: Eurotatoria: Monogononta) and their distribution.
617 *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest*, 45 (2), 165–180.
- 618 Shiel, R.J. (1980) Billabongs of the Murray-Darling system. In: Williams, W.D.
619 (ed.), *An Ecological Basis for Water Resource Management*. Australian
620 National University Press, Canberra, 376 – 390.
- 621 Shumka, S. (2014) Rotifers in the littoral zone of Lake Shkodra/Skadar (Albania-
622 Montenegro) as a tool for determining water quality. *International Research*
623 *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 3 (2), 1–6.
- 624 Silfverberg, H. (2013) A survey of Rotatoria from Finland. *Memoranda Societatis*
625 *pro Fauna et Flora Fennica*, 89, 4–16.
- 626 Sinha, B. (2014) *Annotated checklist of Indian Rotifera*. ZSI e-publication.
627 Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, India.
- 628 Skorikov, A. (1896) Rotatoria okrestnostei g. Kharkova. *Travaux de la Société des*
629 *Naturalistes de Charkow*, 30, 207–374.
- 630 Sládeček, V. (1955) A note on the occurrence of *Hexarthra fennica* Levander in
631 Czechoslovakian Oligohaline waters. *Hydrobiologia*, 7, 64–67.

- 632 Solanke, M.R. & Dabhade, D.S. (2016) Study of rotifer communities in Upper
633 Morna Reservoir, Medshi, District- Washim, Maharashtra. *International*
634 *Journal of Applied Research*, 2 (12), 99–102.
- 635 Souza-Soares, F., Tundisi, J.G. & Matsumura-Tundisi, T.M. (2011) Checklist of
636 fresh-water Rotifera from São Paulo State, Brazil. *Biota Neotropica*, 11 (1),
637 516–539.
- 638 Spandl, H. (1922) *Brachionus pala* Ehrbg. var. *mucronatus* nov. var. *Zoologischer*
639 *Anzeiger*, 54, 275–275.
- 640 Spandl, H. (1923) Zur Kenntnis der Süßwasser-Mikrofauna Vorderasiens. *Annalen*
641 *des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*, 36, 124–149.
- 642 Stammer, H.J. (1928) Die Fauna der Ryckmündung, eine Brackwasserstudie.
643 *Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere*, 11, 36–101.
- 644 Steinmann, P. & Surbeck, G. (1932) Untersuchungen über das Zooplankton des
645 Rotsees bei Luzern. *Schweizerische zeitschrift für Hydrologie-Swiss Journal*
646 *of Hydrology*. 6, 152–215.
- 647 Sudzuki, M. (1964) New systematical approach to the Japanese planktonic
648 Rotatoria. *Hydrobiologia*, 23, 1–124.
- 649 Sudzuki, M. (1991) The Rotifera from Singapore and Taiwan. *Proceedings of the*
650 *Japanese Society of Systematic Zoology*, 43, 1–34.
- 651 Sudzuki, M. (1992) Seasonal and Local Occurrences of the Rotifera in
652 Southwestern Islands of Japan. *Proceedings of the Japanese Society of*
653 *Systematic Zoology*, 46, 29–70.
- 654 Şundri, M.I. & Gomoiu, M.T. (2009) Qualitative and quantitative structure of
655 zooplankton associations in the Danube thermal discharge area of Nuclear
656 Power Plant Cernavoda. *Geo-Eco-Marina*, 15, 123–130.
- 657 Şundri, M.I. (2013) Distribution of Zooplankton Populations Entrained by the
658 Nuclear Power Plant Cernavoda Cooling Water System. In: Neck, R.(ed).
659 *Recent advances in energy, environment, economics and technological*
660 *innovation. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Development,*
661 *Energy, Environment, Economics (DEEE '13) Proceedings of the 4th*
662 *International Conference on Communication and Management in*
663 *Technological Innovation and Academic Globalization (COMATIA '13) Paris,*
664 *France October 29–31. WSEAS Press.*
- 665 Szabó, A. & Kiss Keve, T. (1977) Summer longitudinal section investigations in
666 the Tisza and the Eastern main channel II. Quantitative changes in the
667 zooplankton. *Tiscia*, 12, 85–91.
- 668 Tasevska, O., Guseska, D. & Kostoski, G. (2012) Comparison of pelagic rotifer
669 communities in three natural macedonian lakes. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica*, 4,
670 159–165.
- 671 Tavernini, S., Viaroli, P. & Rossetti, G. (2009). Zooplankton community structure
672 and inter-annual dynamics in two sand-pit lakes with different dredging
673 impact. *International Review of Hydrobiology*, 94 (3), 290–307.
- 674 Telesh, I.V. (1996) Species composition of planktonic Rotifera, Cladocera and
675 Copepoda in the littoral zone of Lake Ladoga. *Hydrobiologia*, 322, 181–185.
- 676 Tudor, I.M., Ibram, O., Teodorof, L., Burada, A. & Tudor, M. (2016). Present
677 status of zooplankton and benthic invertebrate community structure in Danube
678 delta shallow lakes. *Journal of Environmental Protection and Ecology*, 17 (1),
679 228–236.

- 680 Vadadi-Fülöp, C.S., Mészáros, G., Jablonszky, G.Y., Hufnagel, L. (2007) Ecology
681 of the Ráckeve-Soroksár Danube - A review. *Applied Ecology and*
682 *Environmental Research*, 5 (1), 133–163.
- 683 Vaidya, S.R. & Yadav, U.K.R. (2008) Ecological study on zooplankton of some
684 fresh water bodies of Kathmandu Valley with reference to water quality.
685 *Journal of the Natural History Museum*, 23, 1–11.
- 686 Välikangas, I. (1926) Planktologische Untersuchungen im Hafengebiet von
687 Helsingfors. *Acta Zoologica Fennica*, 1, 1–298
- 688 Van Oye, P. (1924). Zur Biologie des Potamoplanktons auf Java. *Internationale*
689 *Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 12, 48–59.
- 690 Vásquez, E. & Rey, J. (1989). A longitudinal study of zooplankton along the Lower
691 Orinoco River and its Delta (Venezuela). *Annales de Limnologie*, 25, 107–120.
- 692 Velasco, J.L., Alvarez, M., Colomer, M. & Rubio, A. (1996) El «Mar de Ontígola»
693 (Madrid): Características limnológicas. *Anales de Biología*, 21 (10), 93–104.
- 694 Velasco, J.L. (2006) Rotíferos de la comunidad de Madrid. *Graellsia*, 62, 33–42.
- 695 Vezhnavev, V.V., Malatkou, D.V. & Arbačiauskas, K. (2014) Zooplankton
696 community. *Zoology and Ecology*, 24 (2), 108–127.
- 697 Virro, T. (1995) The genus *Polyarthra* in Lake Peipsi. *Hydrobiologia*, 313/314,
698 351–357.
- 699 Virro, T. & Haberman, J. (2005) Annotated list of rotifers of Lake Võrtsjärv.
700 *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, Biology and Ecology*, 54
701 (1), 53–66.
- 702 Watzka, M. (1928) Die Rotatorienfauna der Cakowitzer Zuckerfabriksteiche und
703 Versuche über das Auftreten von Rotatorien-Männchen und über die
704 Entwicklungszeit der Dauereier. *Internationale Revue der gesamten*
705 *Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie*, 19, 430–451.
- 706 Wawrik, F. (1960) Waldviertler Fischteiche II - Die Jaidhof-Teiche. -
707 *Sitzungsberichte Der Akademie Der Wissenschaften Mathematisch-*
708 *Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse*, 169, 341–381.
- 709 Wawrik, F. (1972) Vergleichende braunwasser-teichstudien im
710 niederösterreichischen Waldviertel. *Hydrobiologia*, 39 (1), 17–82.
- 711 Weber, E.F. (1898) Faune Rotatorienne du bassin du Léman. *Revue Suisse de*
712 *Zoologie*, 5, 263–785.
- 713 Weisse, J.F. (1845) Beschreibung einiger neuer Infusorien, welche in stehenden
714 Wässern bei St. Petersburg vorkommen. Beschreibung einiger neuer
715 Infusorien, welche in stehenden Wässern bei St. Petersburg vorkommen.
716 *Bulletin de la Classe Physico-Mathématique de l'Académie Impériale des*
717 *Sciences de St.-Pétersbourg*, 4, 138–143.
- 718 Wierzejski, A. (1891) Liste de rotifères observés en Galicie (Autriche-Hongrie).
719 *Bulletin de la Société zoologique de France*, 16, 49–52.
- 720 Wijetunge, D.S. & Ranatunga, R.R.M.K.P. (2012) Zooplankton Assemblage in
721 Hambanota Port and Adjacent Coastal Waters of Sri Lanka. *Vidyodaya*
722 *Journal of Science*, 17, 9–23.
- 723 Woltereck, R., Tressler, W.S. & Bunag, D.M. (1941) Die Seen und Inseln der
724 "Wallacea"-Zwischenregion und ihre endemische Tierwelt. Zweiter Teil: Inseln
725 und Seen der Philippinen. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie*
726 *und Hydrographie*, 30, 37–76.
- 727 Yamamoto, K. (1960) Plankton rotatorian Japanese Inland. *Hydrobiologia*, 16 (4),
728 364–41.

729 Yermolaeva, N.I. (2013) Some Results of Studying Zooplankton in Lakes of
730 Northern Kazakhstan. *Arid Ecosystems*, 3 (4), 263–275.

731 Yermolaeva, N.I. (2015) Zooplankton and water quality of the Ishim River in
732 Northern Kazakhstan. *Arid Ecosystems*, 5 (3), 176–187.

733 Yermolaeva, N.I., Zarubina, E.Y., Romanov, R.E., Leonova, G.A & Puzanov, A.V.
734 (2016) Hydrobiological conditions of sapropel formation in Lakes in the South
735 of Western Siberia. *Water Resources*, 43 (1), 129–140.

736 Zacharias, O. (1898) *Forschungsberichte aus der Biologischen Station zu Plön.*
737 Nägele, Stuttgart

738 Zarfdjian, M., Vranovský, M. & Economidis, P.S. (1990) Les invertébrés
739 planctoniques du lac Volvi (Macédoine, Grèce). *International Revue der*
740 *Gesamten Hydrobiologie*, 75, 403–412.

741 Zernov, S.A. (1901) zametki o zhivotnom planktone rek shoshmy i vjarki
742 malmyzhskogo ujezda vjatskoj gub. izvestija imperatorskogo obshchestva
743 lyubitelei jestestvoznaniya. *Antropologii i Etnografii pri Moskovskom*
744 *Universitjete*, 98 (2), 25–36.

745 Zhang, S.Y., Zhou, Q.H., Xu, D., Lin, J.D., Cheng, S.P. & Wu, Z.B. (2010) Effects
746 of sediment dredging on water quality and zooplankton community structure
747 in a shallow of eutrophic lake. *Journal of Environmental Sciences-China*, 22
748 (2), 218–224.

749 Zinevici, V., Parpală, L., Florescu, L. & Moldoveanu, M. (2013) The zooplankton
750 of the danube-black sea canal in the first two decades of the ecosystem
751 existence. *Travaux du Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle «Grigore*
752 *Antipa»*, 56 (2), 227–251.

753

754

755 **S1 Table. Amount of morphometric variation explained by species identity for**
756 **each pair of the investigated species.** Percentages refer to adjusted R²-values of
757 redundancy analyses. *: p< 0.05; **: p< 0.01; ***: p< 0.001.

	A	B	C
B	43%***		
C	55%***	24%**	
D	34%***	19%**	38%***

758

759 **S2 Table. Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean and standard error, in μm) for the selection of morphometric traits measured**
760 **on individuals of the four species.** * zero values recorded only for two individuals

	A				B				C				D			
	min	max	mean	St. Error	min	max	mean	St. Error	min	max	mean	St. Error	min	max	mean	St. Error
s	112.10	214.67	149.96	1.27	94.51	176.35	133.31	0.91	77.58	182.59	124.19	1.28	115.18	182.34	153.70	1.12
c	121.39	247.58	186.07	1.46	106.88	217.44	158.48	1.18	89.65	203.91	140.11	1.63	131.28	200.05	166.07	1.28
e	43.84	92.62	67.16	0.78	29.54	74.20	49.82	0.47	25.17	69.82	43.05	0.69	34.62	72.31	52.57	0.57
b	87.09	196.00	146.58	1.43	76.31	183.92	115.72	1.10	82.29	175.45	122.18	1.38	49.02	158.17	126.83	1.28
o	32.71	67.16	48.54	0.44	28.91	64.56	40.66	0.40	28.02	64.87	40.94	0.41	25.79	54.73	43.43	0.43
h	33.44	59.86	46.71	0.42	22.23	56.48	38.01	0.40	15.00	60.34	37.81	0.59	30.58	60.45	44.05	0.53
k	52.41	86.21	66.61	0.57	32.77	73.83	51.89	0.43	31.14	68.89	49.20	0.52	40.77	70.96	57.24	0.50
j	46.32	98.38	71.25	0.77	33.17	75.56	53.38	0.48	25.15	74.05	46.55	0.74	38.07	73.77	55.98	0.60
t	4.43	15.90	8.82	0.16	4.37	19.29	10.78	0.15	3.26	14.88	7.52	0.15	4.48	18.45	8.86	0.19
i	106.45	178.59	146.73	1.09	73.71	179.25	118.37	0.99	75.24	163.55	109.38	1.34	92.79	147.91	130.33	0.91
p	29.71	56.70	44.78	0.41	16.87	48.14	30.78	0.36	11.73	63.24	33.23	0.90	17.89	58.44	33.85	0.91
v	8.00	24.31	14.92	0.24	*0.00	28.53	14.35	0.25	0.00	32.41	10.62	0.88	0.00	35.42	15.68	1.00
x	11.37	24.45	16.47	0.21	9.68	29.00	18.02	0.19	6.04	21.19	12.95	0.24	11.93	27.87	19.70	0.26
z	51.44	89.44	72.91	0.53	36.88	88.83	58.68	0.50	35.26	75.82	53.81	0.65	46.51	76.27	65.24	0.48
q	20.08	39.71	29.03	0.29	*0.00	42.96	28.97	0.34	0.00	46.14	16.00	1.32	0.00	52.80	27.29	1.61
r	14.75	40.66	27.40	0.38	15.95	49.17	29.33	0.34	13.47	38.25	22.50	0.40	21.33	45.50	35.17	0.43
sta	146.14	285.38	214.07	1.72	115.64	283.51	185.85	1.45	121.26	271.29	176.75	2.04	167.35	246.27	212.58	1.37

761

S3 Table. Classification functions of the stepwise discriminant analysis performed on species A, B, C, and D.

Measurement	A	B	C	D
s	-1.647	-.778	.231	2.970
c	3.551	1.339	-1.438	-4.508
e	1.994	.137	-1.399	-.587
b	.633	-.593	1.563	-1.699
o	-1.369	.142	1.061	-.173
h	-3.432	-.830	1.990	2.682
k	3.410	-.959	-.945	-.725
j	2.037	.773	-1.383	-1.844
t	-1.126	1.187	-.087	-.849
i	3.927	-.454	.101	-3.650
p	2.134	1.212	-3.226	-.291
v	-4.242	-1.520	2.075	4.756
x	-1.528	.966	-.765	.921
z	-.007	-2.036	1.266	2.106
q	6.279	3.177	-5.349	-5.707
r	-3.764	.649	-.592	3.765
sta	-3.123	-.739	1.038	3.452
Constant	-8.949	-2.627	-4.089	-6.285

S4 Table. Classification functions of the stepwise discriminant analysis performed on species B, C, and D.

Measurement	B	C	D
s	-.987	-.167	3.176
c	1.052	-1.614	-5.076
b	-.565	1.260	-2.187
o	-.215	.650	-.405
h	-1.040	1.581	2.466
j	.316	-3.456	-2.864
t	1.195	-.161	-.871
v	-1.984	3.674	4.032
x	.489	-.322	.778
q	2.529	-3.948	-4.537
r	.479	-.438	3.184
sta	-.981	.868	2.915
Constant	-2.148	-3.470	-5.723