PAPERS

Review of moderate alcohol consumption and reduced risk of coronary heart disease: is the effect due to beer, wine, or spirits?

Eric B Rimm, Arthur Klatsky, Diederick Grobbee, Meir J Stampfer

Sec editorial and p 736 Abstract

Objectives—To review the effect of specific types of alcoholic drink on coronary risk.

Design—Systematic review of ecological, casecontrol, and cohort studies in which specific associations were available for consumption of beer, wine, and spirits and risk of coronary heart disease.

Subjects—12 ecological, three case-control, and 10 separate prospective cohort studies.

Main outcome measures—Alcohol consumption and relative risk of morbidity and mortality from coronary heart disease.

Results—Most ecological studies suggested that wine was more effective in reducing risk of mortality from heart disease than beer or spirits. Taken together, the three case-control studies did not suggest that one type of drink was more cardioprotective than the others. Of the 10 prospective cohort studies, four found a significant inverse association between risk of heart disease and moderate wine drinking, four found such an association for beer, and four for spirits.

Conclusions—Results from observational studies, where alcohol consumption can be linked directly to an individual's risk of coronary heart disease, provide strong evidence that all alcoholic drinks are linked with lower risk. Thus, a substantial portion of the benefit is from alcohol rather than other components of each type of drink.

Introduction

The inverse association between moderate alcohol consumption and coronary heart disease is well established. Evidence for a causal interpretation comes from over 60 ecological, case-control, and cohort studies. Previous reviews have concluded that men and women who drink one to two drinks a day have the lowest risk of coronary heart disease.¹⁴ In a recent meta-analysis of cohort studies Maclure found a summary relative risk of coronary heart disease of 0.83 (95% confidence interval 0.77 to 0.89) for moderate drinkers (2-3 drinks a day) compared with teetotallers.²

Several reports give individual relative risks attributable to consumption of beer, wine, and spirits. Although the possible additional benefits of wine especially red wine—have received considerable attention in the media (*Sixty Minutes*, CBS Television, 17 November 1991, 5 November 1995), whether any specific type of alcoholic drink has particular benefit has not been systematically addressed. In this review we examine the relation between specific alcoholic drinks and reduction of risk of coronary heart disease by summarising published reports from ecological, case-control, and cohort studies.

Methods

We searched the Medline database for epidemiological investigations of alcohol and coronary heart disease published after 1965. We supplemented our search through citations in review articles,¹⁴ proceedings of meetings and symposia, and journals dedicated to tracking alcohol related research. We selected studies that provided specific information on consumption of beer, wine, or spirits in relation to risk of coronary heart disease. We also included results from the few investigations in which the alcohol consumption in the study population was generally limited to only one or two of the three main types of drink.

Several observational studies gave relative risks of coronary heart disease for specific types of alcoholic drink. However, differences in categorisation of the amounts consumed and the extent of control for confounding factors precluded a useful meta-analysis or summary statistic. We discuss the strengths and weaknesses of each study design and provide an overall qualitative conclusion from the evidence.

Results

ECOLOGICAL STUDIES

Since 1979, 12 studies have examined the association between per capita consumption (or, more accurately, disappearance data) of specific alcoholic drinks and mortality from heart disease across countries or over time (table 1). Only mortality is used in the analyses summarised since there are no standardised rates for morbidity from coronary heart disease. St Leger *et al* published the first ecological analysis showing a strong inverse association between average per capita consumption of wine and mortality from ischaemic heart disease.⁵ The inverse association was pronounced for wine in both men and women, less strong for spirits, and non-existent for beer. The other studies generally reported similar results (table 1).

case-control and cohort studies

Three case-control studies provided estimates of the relative risk of coronary heart disease in relation to intake of specific types of alcoholic drink (table 2). Hennekens et al¹⁶ and Rosenberg et al¹⁷ reported that each type of drink was associated with a reduction in risk of coronary heart disease. Among men spirits were most strongly associated with a reduced risk of death from coronary heart disease,16 while among women only wine was significantly associated with a reduction in risk of non-fatal myocardial infarction.17 Kaufman et al did not find an inverse association between total alcohol consumption and coronary heart disease¹⁸: each drink type was marginally positively associated with risk of myocardial infarction, with wine showing the strongest association. Taken together, these casecontrol studies do not suggest that one specific type of drink may be more cardioprotective.

Ten separate prospective cohort studies have provided results on risk of coronary heart disease in association with specific types of alcoholic drink

Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Eric B Rimm, assistant professor of epidemiology and nutrition Meir J Stampfer, professor of epidemiology and nutrition

Kaiser Permanente

Medical Center and the Division of Research Group, Oakland CA, USA Arthur Klatsky, senior consultant in cardiology

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Erasmus University Medical School, Rotterdam, Netherlands Diederick Grobbee, professor of epidemiology

Correspondence to: Dr Rimm.

BMy 1996;312:731-6

Table 1-Ecological studies of consumption of specific types of alcoholic drink and correlation with mortality from heart disease

		Correlation			
Study	Wine	Beer	Spirits	Comment	
St Leger <i>et al</i> 1979 ^e					
Per capita consumption (by county) v ischaemic heart disease mortality, 1970. Men and women aged 55-64 in 18 developed countries LaPorte et al 1980 ^o	Men −0·70 Women −0·61	Men 0·23 Women 0·31	Men –0·26 Women –0·32	Not adjusted	
Per capita consumption (by county) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1972. Men aged 55-64 in 20 countries Werth 1980'	-0.62	0.15	-0.29	Adjusted for meat consumption	
Per capita consumption (by state) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1969-78. Men and women in USA	0·49 to0·58 (P<0·001) for each year between 1969 and 1978		Not given	Not adjusted	
LaPorte <i>et al</i> 1981 [.]					
Per capita consumption (by state) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1970. Men and women in USA Schmidt et al 1981*	White men 0-05 White women 0-17	White men 0-11 White women 0-09	White men 0-19 White women 0-24	Adjusted for age	
Per capita consumption (by state) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1970. Men and women in USA Nanii 1985*	-0·28 (P<0·05)*	-0-06 (NS)*	-0·26 (P<0·05)*	Adjusted for cigarette smoking	
Per capita consumption (by country) v ischaemic heart disease mortality, 1970. Men in 27 developed countries Nanii et al 1986"	-0.75 (P<0.001)	0.60 (P<0.001)	No association	Drink type presented as % of total alcoho	
Change in per capita alcohol intake (by country) v change in ischaemic heart disease mortality, 1970-80. Men in 22 countries	-0·50 (P<0·01)	0·32 (P<0·05)	Not given	Not adjusted	
Hegsted 1988 ¹²			-		
Per capita consumption (by country) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1971, 1973. Men in 18 countries	P<0·01 (inverse)	NS	NS	Adjusted for saturated and polyunsaturated fat. Predictive equation almost identical when total alcohol used instead of each drink type	
Renaud <i>et al</i> 1992"					
Per capita consumption (by country) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1987. Men and women aged 35-64 in 17 countries	-0·87 (P<0·0001)	NS	NS	Adjusted for dairy fat intake	
Artaud-Wild et al 1993*		113	NO	Aujusted for daily lat intake	
Per capita consumption (by country) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1977. Men aged 55-64 in 40 countries	-0·16 (NS)	Not given	Not given	Total alcohol significantly correlated with mortality for all countries (r=0-35) but significantly inversely correlated with mortality in countries with high cholesterol and saturated fat intake	
Criqui et al 1994 ¹⁵					
Per capita consumption (by country) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1965, 1970, 1980, 1988. Men and women aged 35-74 in 21 countries	1965 P=0.07 (inverse) 1970 P<0.01 (inverse) 1980 P<0.01 (inverse) 1988 P=0.12 (inverse)	1965 NS 1970 P=0.09 (inverse) 1980 NS 1988 NS	1965 P=0·12 (inverse) 1970 NS 1980 NS 1988 NS	Each year had a separate model which controlled for % calories for animal fat, vegetables, and fruit	
La Porte <i>et al</i> 1980 ^e (time trend analysis)				Advantación a substant Process formational de la	
Per capita consumption (by state across years) v coronary heart disease mortality, 1950-75. Men and women in USA	-0.41	-0.61	-0.07	After incorporating 5 year lag, change in consumption of beer and cigarettes were strongest predictors of changes in mortality	

*Interstate correlations.

(table 3). Only two of the studies did not simultaneously account for the effects of each alcoholic drink.^{19 21} Four of the studies reported a significant inverse association between wine consumption and coronary heart disease,24 25 29 31 four reported such an association for beer, 19 23 29 31 and four reported an association for spirits.²² 23 26 29 To determine whether one type of drink was most advantageous, we identified studies in which only one of the three drink types was strongly associated with reduced coronary heart disease. Two studies found wine to have the strongest inverse association with coronary heart disease,25 31 none found this for beer, and two found it for spirits.22 26 In many of these studies the other types of drink also showed trends towards protection; the significance for any single type of drink was partly a function of the distribution of intake of different drinks in the population studied.

Discussion

ECOLOGICAL STUDIES

Ecological studies of alcohol consumption and coronary heart disease are based on existing data typically collected by government agencies or international surveillance programmes. In addition to easy accessibility of data, ecological analyses provide a unique opportunity to study associations across a wide variation of alcohol intake. These studies generally showed a strong inverse association between consumption of wine and mortality from heart disease, while the association was weaker or non-existent for spirits and beer.

The thoughtful analysis by Renaud *et al* illustrates the strengths and weaknesses of drawing definitive conclusions from ecological data.¹³ Whereas controlling for dairy fat strengthened the association between wine and coronary heart disease, other characteristics not accounted for might have been related to wine consumption and could have explained the reported correlations.^{32 33}

Ecological studies (cross cultural and time trend) have other important limitations. In some countries a small proportion of the population may consume a large proportion of a specific type of alcoholic drink so that average per capita consumption may be an inaccurate representation. In other countries, like France, average per capita consumption of wine may be more representative as this is drunk by much of the population. Furthermore, what may seem to be a moderate or low level of consumption of spirits on the basis of per capita per day may mask excessive Table 2-Case-control studies of consumption of specific types of alcoholic drink and relative risk of coronary heart disease

Study	Wine		Beer		Spirits		
	Consumption	Relative risk	Consumption	Relative risk	Consumption	Relative risk	Comment
Hennekens <i>et al</i> 1979 ¹							
1136 Men aged 30-70 (568 died from	Total alcohol (oz/day):		Total alcohol (oz/day):		Total alcohol (oz/dav):		Adjusted for cigarette smoking,
coronary heart disease, 568	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	previous hospitalisation for
controls) in Boston, USA	≤2	0.3 (P<0.001)	≤2	0.3 (P<0.001)	≤2	0·2 (P<0·001)	congestive heart failure, religion
	>2	1.0	>2	1.0	>2	1.1	and relative weight
Rosenberg <i>et al</i> 1981"							-
1431 Women aged < 50 (513 with	None	1.0	None	1.0	None	1.0	Results not materially altered when
myocardial infarction, 918 hospital controls) in Boston, New York, and Philadelphia, USA		0-4 (P<0-001)	Only drink beer	0-8	Only drink spirits	0.9	crude odds ratio adjusted for confounders. Women who dranl more than one drink type had relative risk of 0-6
Kaufman <i>et al</i> 1985"							
3151 Men aged < 55 (2170 with	Total alcoho	Total alcohol (oz/week):		Total alcohol (oz/week):		l (oz/week):	Adjusted for age and smoking
non-fatal myocardial infarction,	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	
981 hospital controls) in	<5	1.2	<5	1.3	<5	1.1	
northeastern USA	5-9	1.8	5-9	1.1	5-9	1.3	
	10-19	2-4	10-19	1.2	10-19	1.7	
	≥20		≥20	1.1	≥ 20	1.0	

consumption by a small proportion of the population. In countries like the United States²⁸ wine drinkers tend to be of higher socioeconomic status, have a healthier lifestyle, and have better access to health care; thus, the stronger inverse association between wine consumption and mortality from coronary heart disease could be explained in part by a lower case fatality rate.

St Leger *et al* reported a strong correlation between per capita wine consumption and mortality from coronary heart disease (-0.70 for men) but weaker correlations for cigarette smoking and mortality from coronary heart disease (0.28) and cerebrovascular mortality (0.08).⁵ However, later studies have shown strong associations between smoking and death from heart and cerebrovascular disease.³⁴⁻³⁶ This illustrates the importance of confirming results from ecological data with results from studies with more rigorous methods.

CASE-CONTROL AND COHORT STUDIES

The best evidence to judge whether an association exists between a type of alcoholic drink and coronary heart disease comes from observational data, in which individual intake can be linked directly to coronary heart disease. In addition, depending on the study design, both morbidity and mortality can be examined.

Although case-control studies of diet and chronic disease may produce biased results due to incomparability of controls³⁷ or differential recall of past intake,³⁸ recall of past alcohol consumption is not as problematic as is recall of many other exposures.³⁹ Furthermore, most case-control studies of total alcohol consumption and coronary disease have found inverse associations similar to those from prospective studies.¹

Almost all cohort studies find a strong inverse association between total alcohol intake and coronary heart disease,² but no consistent pattern has emerged for specific types of drink. Even in the three largest studies, where the absolute consumption of all drink types would be greatest and the data would provide the most stable relative risks, all three types of drink conferred a reduction in coronary heart disease.^{25 26 28} From all the cohort studies—with data collected from more than 305 000 men and women followed up for over 1.8 million person years—we conclude that if any type of drink does provide extra cardiovascular benefit apart from its alcohol content, the benefit is likely to be modest at best or possibly restricted to certain subpopulations. REASONS FOR DIFFERENCES BETWEEN STUDIES

If no single type of drink provides all or most of the cardiovascular benefit, then why do results from several individual cohort studies suggest a stronger association for one particular type of drink? The differences between studies may be due to different drinking patterns or aspects of lifestyle correlated with choice of drink in particular populations. In the studies where only one type of drink was significantly associated with reduced risk of coronary heart disease, that drink was usually consumed by much of the population, typically at levels of one or two glasses a day. This pattern of widespread "healthy" drinking is more likely to take place with meals than is heavy or episodic drinking by a small percentage of the population.

In the health professionals follow up study, in which spirits were the most commonly consumed type of drink and were the most strongly protective, total alcohol consumption was strongly correlated with total number of days alcohol was consumed (r=0.89).²⁶ This suggests that spirits were consumed most days of the week and were not restricted to heavy weekend consumption. Conversely, in the Copenhagen city heart study, in which consumption of spirits did not reduce coronary heart disease, only 8.5% of the men and 4% of the women reported drinking spirits on average once a day or more.³¹ Because this small sample of consumers of spirits may have had different drinking patterns (and other lifestyle characteristics) from the rest of the population in Copenhagen, it may explain the absence of a cardioprotective effect for spirits in this population.

Klatsky et al studied drinking patterns and characteristics correlated with choice of drink among 85000 men and women who specified a preference for one of the three main drink types.40 Compared with people who preferred spirits (after adjustment for age), wine consumers were less likely (relative risk=0.7) and beer drinkers were more likely (relative risk=1.2) to develop coronary heart disease. These differences were almost completely eliminated after further adjustment for sex, race, daily intake of alcohol, cigarette smoking, coffee drinking, and education. This is strong evidence that characteristics correlated with choice of drink may explain the different relative risks associated with types of drink in different populations. The behavioural characteristics that are correlated with choice of drink will vary widely among different populations and socioeconomic groups and will change over time.

An ideal study of the effects of types of alcoholic

Table 3—Cohort studies of consumption of specific types of alcoholic drink and relative risk of coronary heart disease

	Wine		Beer		Spirits		
- Study	Consumption	Relative risk (95% confidence interval)	Consumption	Relative risk (95% confidence interval)	Consumption	Relative risk (95% confidence interval)	Comment
Yano <i>et al</i> 1977," Kagan <i>et al</i> 198		····					
7591 Men aged 46-68 (190 deaths		l/day*:		l/day*:		l/day*:	Adjusted for age, drink types not
from myocardial infarction or	0	1.0†	0	1.01	0	1.01	simultaneously adjusted for
coronary heart disease in 6 years follow up) in Honolulu	1 ≥2	0·67† 0·71 (NS)†	1-299 ≥ 300	0·74† 0·57 (P<0·001)†	1-2 ≥3	0·93† 0·71 (NS)†	
heart study Kozarevic <i>et al</i> 1980 ^a	>2	0.71 (113)/	> 300	0.37 (F < 0.001)1	≥3	0.71 (103/1	
11 121 Men aged 35-62	No of drinks/day:		No of drinks/day:		No of drinks/day (weak rakija,		Adjusted for age, drink types no
(estimated 166 with non-fatal						alcohol):	simultaneously adjusted for
myocardial infarction or fatal	<1 ≥1	1.0† 0.7 (NS)†	<1 ≥1	1.0† 0.6 (NS)†	<1 ≥1	1.0† 0.8 (NS)†	
coronary heart disease in	-	0.7 (N3/1	=	0.0 (143)1	=	0.0 (103)1	
7 years follow up) in Yugoslavia						day (strong rakija, alcohol):	
					<1	1.01	
					≥1	0.6 (NS)†	
Salonen <i>et al</i> 1983 ² 4063 Men aged 30-59 (209 with			No of b	ottles/week:	No of drinks/week:		Adjusted for age, smoking, serun
acute myocardial infarction in			<5	1.0	<1	1.0	cholesterol and triglyceride
7 years follow up) in eastern Finland			≥5	0·8 (0·5 to 1·4)	≥1	0-3 (0-1 to 0-7)	concentrations, blood pressure
Kittner <i>et al</i> 1983 ² 9150 Men aged 35-79 (164 died	Negligible	consumption	Beer and s	pirits combined			Alcohol intake assessed by single
from coronary heart disease in	neghgibit	consumption		non-drinkers):			24 hour recall; adjusted for age
8 years follow up, 306 died in				ary heart disease:		1.3 (0.8 to 2.0)	smoking, exercise, location of
12 years follow up) in Puerto				coronary heart			residence
Rico heart health program				sease:		0.7 (0.4 to 1.0)	
			Non-fatal myc	cardial infarction:		0.7 (0.5 to 1.0)	
F riedman <i>et al</i> 1986²⁴ 2106 Men aged 30-59 (24 year	No of c	lrinks/day in	No of d	rinks/day in	No of d	lrinks/day in	Adjusted for systolic blood
follow up) in Framingham	non-smokers‡: 0 1.0)		non-smokers‡: 0 1.0		non-smokers‡: 0 1.0		pressure, serum cholesterol
heart study							concentration, haemoglobin
nourt olduy	2	0·25 (P=0·07)	2	0.49 (P=0.15)	2	0.66 (P=0.21)	concentration, left ventricular hypertrophy, relative weight
Stampfer <i>et al</i> 1988ª							hypertrophy, relative weight
37 526 Women aged 34-59 (200		ohol (g/day):		ohol (g/day):		ohol (g/day):	Adjusted for family history of
with fatal or non-fatal	0	1.0	0	1.0	0_	1.0	coronary heart disease,
myocardial infarction in 4 years follow up) in nurses' health	<5 ≥5	0.9 (0.7 to 1.2) 0.4 (0.2 to 0.8)	<5 ≥5	0·3 (0·2 to 0·8) 1·0 (0·6 to 1·6)	<5 ≥5	1·1 (0·7 to 1·8) 0·7 (0·4 to 1·3)	menopause, hormone
study	- 0		≥ 0		~ 0	07 (04 (0 13)	replacement therapy, age, smoking, body mass index, hypertension, high cholesterol concentration, exercise, intake o dietary fat and cholesterol
44 059 Men aged 40-75 (350 with	No of e	drinks/day§:	No of drinks/days:		No of drinks/days:		Adjusted for family history of
fatal or non-fatal heart disease	0 1.0		0 1.0		0 1.0		coronary heart disease; smokir
or revascularisation in 2 years	2	0.98 (0.64 to 1.50)	2	0.80 (0.54 to 1.18)	2	0.55 (0.39 to 0.77)	age; body mass index;
follow up) in health professionals follow up study							profession; diabetes; hypertension; high cholesterol concentration; intake of dietary fat, fibre, and cholesterol
Farchi <i>et al</i> 1992 ²⁷			Al h - l				
I563 Men aged 45-64 (166 died C from cardiovascular disease		iean alconol intake j/day):		imption mainly or ively wine			Adjusted for age, smoking, and occupation. Men with prevalent
in 15 years follow up) in Italian	22.7	1.0	exclus	Ivery wille			cardiovascular disease at
rural cohorts study	56-4	0.77 (0.34 to 1.76)					baseline were excluded
	77.8	0.67 (0.29 to 1.58)					
	108-2	1.31 (0.64 to 2.66)					
	164-7	1.61 (0.79 to 3.31)					
Klatsky et al 1992,3 1993,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3 1990,3		rinkohusahll		rinkahuaatili	No of -	rinks/weekll:	Adjusted for age, race, sex,
129 170 Men and women (600 died from coronary heart	NO OT C <2	lrinks/week∥: 1₊0	NO OT 0 <2	rinks/week∥: 1₊0	NO OT 0 <2	Irinks/week∥: 1⋅0	smoking, body mass index,
disease in 7 years follow up) in	<2 ≥2	0.5 (0.4 to 0.7)	<2 ≥2	0.7 (0.5 to 0.9)	≥2 ≥2	0.6 (0.5 to 0.8)	marital status, education
Kaiser Permanente study						,,,,	
Gronbaek <i>et al</i> 1995 ⁿ							
/217 Women and 5633 men aged	D	rinks¶:	D	rinks¶:	Drinks¶:		Age, smoking, sex
30-70 (1119 died from coronary	Never	1.0	Never	1.0	Never	1.0	. –
heart disease in 12 year follow	Monthly	0.69 (0.62 to 0.77)	Monthly	0.79 (0.69 to 0.91)	Monthly	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06)	
up) in Copenhagen city heart	Weekly	0.53 (0.45 to 0.63)		0.87 (0.75 to 0.99)	Weekly	1.08 (0.93 to 1.26)	
study	1-2 Daily	0-47 (0-35 to 0-62)		0.79 (0.68 to 0.91)	1-2 Daily	1.16 (0.98 to 1.39)	
	3-5 Daily	0-44 (0-24 to 0-80)	3-5 Daily	0.72 (0.61 to 0.88)	3-5 Daily	1.35 (1.00 to 1.83)	

*Consumption of alcohol calculated as consumption of each drink type without conversion to alcohol. In this population consumption of wine and spirits was small compared with beer: average monthly consumption was 1-2 glasses of wine, 1-2 glasses of spirits, and 22 12 oz glasses of beer.²⁰ tRelative risks (age adjusted) derived from rates of coronary heart disease for each category of alcohol consumption divided by rate for abstainers. ‡Alcohol content per drink was defined as 4 oz for wine (16-75% alcohol), 8 oz for beer (5% alcohol), and 2 oz for spirits (50% alcohol). We calculated relative risks from published

6 coefficients from a Cox proportional hazards model which accounted for all three drink types simultaneously.²⁴
9 Sprinks of each type defined as a 4 oz glass of wine (10-8 g alcohol), a 12 oz glass of beer (13-2 g alcohol), and a shot of spirits (15-2 g alcohol).

The amount of alcohol per drink was not defined.

SDrinks defined to contain 12 g alcohol on average.

1 oz alcohol=28-3 g.

NS=Non-significant.

.

• Moderate alcohol consumption is associated with a reduced risk of coronary heart disease, but whether any specific type of alcoholic drink has particular benefit has not been systematically addressed

• We examined the relation between specific alcoholic drinks and reduction of risk of coronary heart disease by summarising published reports from ecological, case-control, and cohort studies

 Most ecological studies suggested that wine was more effective in reducing risk of mortality than beer or spirits, whereas the three case-control studies together did not suggest that one type of drink was more cardioprotective than others

• Of the 10 prospective cohort studies, four found a significant inverse association between risk of heart disease and moderate wine drinking, four found the association for beer, and four found it for spirits.

• The evidence suggests that all alcoholic drinks are linked with lower risk, so that much of the benefit is from alcohol rather than other components of each type of drink

> drink would have maximum variation in alcohol consumption from specific types of drink, with additional information on drinking patterns associated with specific drink types, but minimal variation in other risk factors for coronary heart disease such as socioeconomic group, smoking, diet, and obesity. A complete analysis could then include the simultaneous assessment of both drinking patterns and total alcohol intake. The Copenhagen city heart study had adequate variation in alcohol intake and drink type,³¹ but the participants were drawn from all inhabitants of a defined area of Copenhagen and their large variation in other factors like diet, occupation, and drinking patterns might explain why wine seemed to be most strongly associated with reduced risk of coronary heart disease.

> Observational studies of alcohol consumption in populations where alcoholic drinks are limited to one or two types provide a unique opportunity to test the possibility that only one type of drink is largely responsible for the reduction in coronary heart disease. For example, in an angiographic study linking alcohol intake to reduced risk of coronary stenosis, Baboriak et al reported that few patients drank wine, suggesting that the association was due mainly to beer and spirits.41 Ducimetiere et al reported a similar association for alcohol in an angiographic study of a population where most of the alcohol consumed was from wine.42 In the Italian rural cohorts of the seven countries study the lowest mortality from cardiovascular disease occurred in the group of men who consumed an average 77.8 g of alcohol a day, almost exclusively wine.27 Conversely, the Honolulu heart study found a significant inverse association between coronary heart disease and beer consumption but no such association for wine.19 However, in this study only 15% of the population drank wine, with a median intake of half a glass a month. These studies suggest that alcohol itself rather than a particular type of drink is responsible for the reduction in coronary heart disease.

MECHANISM OF ALCOHOL'S PROTECTIVE EFFECT

Several short term experimental studies have shown that alcohol (not specific to drink type) increases the serum concentration of high density lipoprotein cholesterol.4344 This mechanism provides a biological basis for a causal relation between alcohol consumption and lower rates of coronary heart disease.45 In epidemiological studies of total alcohol consumption and coronary heart disease in which measurements of high density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration are also available*** it was estimated that about half of the

beneficial effect of alcohol was due to its effect on high density lipoprotein cholesterol. However, this calculation does not take into account laboratory and biological variability in high density lipoprotein concentrations or potential confounding by other lifestyle factors (such as diet, obesity, or physical activity). Thus, the true proportion of the effect attributable to high density lipoprotein is likely to be greater. Other potential mechanisms include an effect of alcohol on platelet function50 and on tissue plasminogen activator and other components of clotting and fibrinolysis.51 52 These mechanisms might partly explain an apparent acute protective effect of recent alcohol consumption (for example, previous evening).53

Each type of alcoholic drink has many non-alcohol components. Wine is the best studied⁵⁴ and has been found to contain antioxidants,55 56 vasorelaxants,57 and stimulants to antiaggregatory mechanisms.⁵² For example, Maxwell et al found that 10 subjects who drank wine with meals had higher serum antioxidant activity than others who abstained from wine.56 However, little is known about any association between circulating serum antioxidant activity and risk of coronary heart disease, and comparisons were not made with other alcohol drinks.

CONCLUSION

Although most ecological studies support the hypothesis that wine consumption is most beneficial. the methodological problems of these studies limit their usefulness in drawing conclusions. Most of the differences in findings regarding specific drink types are probably due to differences in patterns of drinking specific types of alcoholic drink and to differing associations with other risk factors. Results from observational studies, where individual consumption can be assessed in detail and linked directly to coronary heart disease, provide strong evidence that a substantial proportion of the benefits of wine, beer, or spirits are attributable primarily to the alcohol content rather than to other components of each drink.

Funding: This report was funded by the International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI Europe Alcohol Task Force). Conflict of interest: None.

1 Moore RD, Pearson TA. Moderate alcohol consumption and coronary artery disease. A review. Medicine 1986;65:242-67.

- 2 Maclure M. Demonstration of deductive meta-analysis; ethanol intake and risk of myocardial infarction. Epidemiol Rev 1993;15:328-51
- 3 Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA, Friedman GD. Alcohol and mortality. Ann Intern Med 1992;117(8):646-54. 4 Verschuren PM, ed. Health issues related to alcohol consumption. Washington,
- DC: ILSI Press, 1993.
- 5 Leger AS, Cochrane AL, Moore F. Factors associated with cardiac mortality in developed countries with particular reference to the consumption of wine. Lancet 1979;i:1017-20. 6 LaPorte RE, Cresanta IL, Kuller LH. The relationship of alcohol consump-
- tion to atherosclerotic heart disease [review]. Prev Med 1980;9(1):22-40. 7 Werth J. A little wine for thy heart's sake [letter]. Lancet 1980;ii:1141.
- 8 LaPorte RE, Cauley JA. Wine, age, and coronary heart disease [letter]. Lancet
- 1981:i:105. 9 Schmidt W, Popham RE. Alcohol consumption and ischemic heart disease
- some evidence from population studies. British Journal of Addiction 1981; 76(4):407-17. 10 Nanji AA. Alcohol and ischemic heart disease: wine, beer or both? Int J Cardiol 1985;8(4):487-9.
- 11 Nanji AA, French SW. Alcoholic beverages and coronary heart disease. Atherosclerosis 1986;60(2):197-8.
- 12 Hegsted DM, Ausman LM. Diet, alcohol and coronary heart disease in men.
- 7 Nutr 1988:118(10):1184-9. 13 Renaud S, de Lorgeril M. Wine, alcohol, platelets, and the French paradox for
- coronary heart disease. Lancet 1992;339:1523-6. 14 Artaud-Wild SM, Connor SL, Sexton G, Connor WE. Differences in coronary mortality can be explained by differences in cholesterol and saturated fat intakes in 40 countries but not in France and Finland-a paradox. Circulation 1993;88(6):2771-9.
- 15 Criqui MH, Ringel BL. Does diet or alcohol explain the French paradox? ancet 1994;344:1719-23
- 16 Hennekens CH, Willett W, Rosner B, Cole DS, Mayrent SL. Effects of beer. wine, and liquor in coronary deaths. JAMA 1979;242:1973-4.
- 17 Rosenberg L, Slone D, Shapiro S, Kaufman DW, Miettinen OS, Stolley PD. Alcoholic beverages and myocardial infarction in young women. Am J Public Health 1981;71(1):82-5
- 18 Kaufman DW, Rosenberg L, Helmrich SP, Shapiro S. Alcoholic beverages and myocardial infarction in young men. Am J Epidemiol 1985;121:548-54.

- 19 Yano K, Rhoads GG, Kagan A. Coffee, alcohol and risk of coronary heart disease among Japanese men living in Hawaii. N Engl 3 Med 1977;297: 405-9.
- 20 Kagan A, Yano K, Rhoads GG, McGee DL. Alcohol and cardiovascular disease: the Hawaiian experience. Circulation 1981;64(suppl 3):27-31.
- 21 Kozarevic D, McGee D, Vojvodic N, Racic Z, Dawber T, Gordon T, et al. Frequency of alcohol consumption and morbidity and mortality: the Yugoslavia cardiovascular disease study. Lancet 1980;16:13-6.
- 22 Salonen JT, Puska P, Nissinen A. Intake of spirits and beer and risk of myocardial infarction and death—a longitudinal study in eastern Finland. *Journal of Chronic Diseases* 1983;36(7):533-43.
- 23 Kittner SJ, Garcia PM, Costas RJ, Cruz VM, Abbott RD, Havlik RJ. Alcohol and coronary heart disease in Puerto Rico. Am J Epidemiol 1983;117(5): 538-50.
- Friedman LA, Kimball AW. Coronary heart disease mortality and alcohol consumption in Framingham. Am J Epidemiol 1986;124(3):481-9.
 Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH. A
- 25 Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH. A prospective study of moderate alcohol consumption and the risk of coronary disease and stroke in women. N End J Mul 1088:310-267-73
- disease and stroke in women. N Engl J Med 1988;319:267-73.
 26 Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Ascherio A, Rosner B, et al. Prospective study of alcohol consumption and risk of coronary disease in men. Lancet 1991;338:464-8.
- 27 Farchi G, Fidanza F, Mariotti S, Menotti A. Alcohol and mortality in the Italian rural cohorts of the seven countries study. Int J Epidemiol 1992;21:74-81.
- 28 Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA. Alcoholic beverage choice and risk of coronary artery disease mortality: do red wine drinkers fare best? Am J Cardiol 1993;71:467-9.
- 29 Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA, Friedman GD. Risk of cardiovascular mortality in alcohol drinkers, ex-drinkers and nondrinkers. Am J Cardiol 1990;66: 1237-42.
- 30 Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA, Friedman GD. Relations of alcoholic beverage use to subsequent coronary artery disease hospitalization. Am J Cardiol 1986;58:710-4.
- 31 Gronback M, Deis A, Sorensen TIA, Becker U, Schnohr P, Jensen G. Mortality associated with moderate intakes of wine, beer, or spirits. BMJ 1995:310:1165-9.
- 32 Barnard MJ, Linter SPK. Wine and coronary heart disease. Lancet 1992;340: 313-4.
- 33 Segall JJ. Wine and coronary heart disease. Lancet 1992;340:313.
- 34 Willett WC, Green A, Stampfer MJ, Speizer FE, Colditz GA, Rosner B, et al. Relative and absolute excess risks of coronary heart disease among women who smoke cigarettes. N Engl J Med 1987;317:1303-9.
- 35 Manson JE, Tosteson H, Ridker PM, Satterfield S, O'Connor HP. The primary prevention of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1992;326: 1406-16.
- 36 Dunbabin DW, Sandercock PA. Preventing stroke by the modification of risk factors. Stroke 1990;21(12 suppl):IV36-9.
- 37 Rothman KJ. Modern epidemiology. Boston, MA: Little Brown, 1986.
- 38 Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Manson J, Rosner B, Longnecker M, et al. A comparison of prospective and retrospective assessments of diet in the study of breast cancer. Am J Epidemiol 1993;137:502-11.
- 39 Giovannucci E, Colditz G, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, Litin L, Sampson L, et al. The assessment of alcohol consumption by a simple self-administered questionnaire. Am J Epidemiol 1991;133:810-7.

- 40 Klatsky AL, Armstrong MA, Kipp H. Correlates of alcoholic beverage preference: traits of persons who choose wine, liquor or beer. *British Journal* of Addiction 1990;85:1279-89.
- 41 Barboriak JJ, Barboriak DP, Anderson AJ, Hoffman RG. Drinking patterns and preferences among heart patients. *Currents in Alcoholism* 1981;8(293): 293-9.
- 42 Ducimetiere P, Guize L, Marciniak A, Milon H, Richard J, Rufat P. Arteriographically documented coronary artery disease and alcohol consumption in French men. The CORALI study. *Eur Heart* J 1993;14(6): 727-33.
- Hulley SB, Gordon S. Alcohol and high density lipoprotein cholesterol. Causal inference from diverse study designs. *Circulation* 1981;64:57-63.
 Thornton J, Symes C, Heaton K. Moderate alcohol intake reduces bile
- cholesterol saturation and raises HDL cholesterol. Lancet 1983;ii:819-22. 45 Stampfer MJ, Sacks FM, Salvini S, Willett WC, Hennekens CH. A
- prospective study of cholesterol, apolipopretins, and the risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1991;325:373-81.
- 46 Gaziano JM, Buring JE, Breslow JL, Goldhaber SZ, Rosner B, Van Denburgh M, et al. Moderate alcohol intake, increased levels of high-density lipoprotein and its subfractions, and decreased risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1829-34.
- 47 Langer RD, Criqui MH, Reed DM. Lipoproteins and blood pressure as biological pathways for effect of moderate alcohol consumption on coronary heart disease. Circulation 1992;85(3):910-5.
- Kriqui MH, Cowan LD, Tyroler HA, Bangdiwala S, Heiss G, Wallace RB, et al. Lipoproteins as mediators for the effects of alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking on cardiovascular mortality: results from the lipid research clinics follow-up study. Am J Epidemiol 1987;126(4):629-37.
 Suh I, Shaten BJ, Cutler JA, Kuller LH. Alcohol use and mortality from
- 49 Suh I, Shaten BJ, Cutler JA, Kuller LH. Alcohol use and mortality from coronary heart disease: the role of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. The Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group [see comments]. Ann Intern Med 1992;116:881-7.
- 50 Renaud SC, Beswick AD, Fehily AM, Sharp DS, Elwood PC. Alcohol and platelet aggregation: the Caerphilly prospective heart disease study. Am J Clim Nutr 1992;55:1012-7.
- 51 Ridker PM, Vaugham DE, Stampfer MJ, Glynn RJ, Hennekens CH. Association of moderate alcohol consumption and plasma concentration of endogenous tissue-type plasminogen activator. *JAMA* 1994;272:929-33.
- 52 Kluft C, Veenstra J, Schaafsma G, Pikaar NA. Regular moderate wine consumption for five weeks increases plasma activity of the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) in healthy young volunteers. *Fibrinolysis* 1990;4(suppl 2):69-70.
- 53 Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, Walsh DC. Commentary: alcohol, the heart, and public policy. Am J Public Health 1993;83:801-4.
 54 Siemann EH, Creasy LL. Concentration of the phytoalexin resveratrol in
- Siemann EH, Creasy LL. Concentration of the phytoalexin resveratrol in wine. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 1992;43:49-52.
 Frankel EN, Waterhouse AL, Kinsella JE. Inhibition of human LDL
- oxidation by resveratrol. Lancet 1993;341:1103-4. 56 Maxwell S, Cruickshank A, Thorpe G. Red wine and antioxidant activity in
- Structure S, Futursmann A, Thorpe G. Red wine and antioxidant activity in serum. Lancet 1994;344:193-4.
 Fitzpatrick DF, Hirschfield SL, Coffey RG. Endothelium-dependent vaso-
- 7 Fitzpatrick DF, Hirschneid SL, Coffey KG. Endothelium-dependent vasorelaxing activity of wine and other grape products. Am J Physiol 1993;265: H774-8.

(Accepted 18 December 1995)

Alcohol consumption, serum low density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration, and risk of ischaemic heart disease: six year follow up in the Copenhagen male study

Hans Ole Hein, Poul Suadicani, Finn Gyntelberg

See editorial and p $^{-31}$

Abstract

Objectives—To investigate the interplay between use of alcohol, concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol, and risk of ischaemic heart disease.

Design—Prospective study with controlling for several relevant confounders, including concentrations of other lipid fractions.

Setting—Copenhagen male study, Denmark.

Subjects—2826 men aged 53-74 years without overt ischaemic heart disease.

Main outcome measure—Incidence of ischaemic heart disease during a six year follow up period.

Results—172 men (6·1%) had a first ischaemic heart disease event. There was an overall inverse association between alcohol intake and risk of ischaemic heart disease. The association was highly dependent on concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol. In men with a high concentration (\geq 5·25 mmol/l) cumulative incidence rates of ischaemic heart disease were 16·4% for abstainers, 8·7% for those who drank 1-21 beverages a week, and 4·4% for those who drank 22 or more beverages a week. With abstainers as reference and after adjustment for confounders, corresponding relative risks (95% confidence interval) were 0.4 (0.2 to 1.0; P<0.05) and 0.2 (0.1 to 0.8; P<0.01). In men with a concentration <3.63 mmol/l use of alcohol was not associated with risk. The attributable risk (95% confidence interval) of ischaemic heart disease among men with concentrations ≥ 3.63 mmol/l who abstained from drinking alcohol was 43% (10% to 64%).

Conclusions—In middle aged and elderly men the inverse association between alcohol consumption and risk of ischaemic heart disease is highly dependent on the concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol. These results support the suggestion that use of alcohol may in part explain the French paradox.

Introduction

Several epidemiological studies have shown an inverse association between alcohol consumption and risk of ischaemic heart disease, the association often being described as J or U shaped.¹

Epidemiological studies have shown a positive cor-

Copenhagen Male Study,

Correspondence to: Dr Hein.

BMJ1996;312:736-41