

Review of: "Psychometric of the interpersonal communication skills scale: A confirmatory factor analysis"

1

1 Jinzhou Medical University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is a validation factor analysis of the interpersonal communication power scale. The opinions are as follows:

Abstract

1. In the summary, there is a problem with the formatting of "%44" and it needs to be changed.

Introduction

2. The presentation is illogical and unclear, emphasizing the importance of interpersonal communication for medical staff and the current problems faced. Other parts should be deleted appropriately.

3. Segmented descriptions should be considered

Methods

4. In the Methods section, in order to make it easier for the reader to understand the rationality of each indicator, we should analyze the interpretation of the definition of the objective indicators such as RMSEA and the support of the references.

5. In Study participants, "In CFA, the least sample size is determined based on latent rather than variables.", is this a statement that you can provide references to prove his truth?

6. In the Data collection method, how did the investigator ensure the accuracy and reliability of the questionnaire.

7. The part of the method is relatively simple, and the reliability and validity can be comprehensively evaluated by content validity and split-half reliability.

Discussion

8. In the discussion section, you describe the use of exploratory factors in others' studies, perhaps you could briefly outline the results of the exploratory study.

9. The discussion part should add to the practical significance of "interpersonal communication skills"

10. The last two sentences of the first paragraph of the discussion are more of a limitation.