1. Introduction and motivation

Since the industrial revolution, societies throughout the world have remained reliant on fossil fuels to provide heat, which is subsequently converted into electricity through thermodynamic power cycles. Unfortunately, this reliance on fossil-fuelled power generation to sustain economic growth has taken its toll on the environment through greenhouse gas emissions, leading to global warming, alongside environmental pollution. As such, over the past few decades there has been a rapid growth in the deployment of renewable energy technologies, such as solar photovoltaics and wind, alongside the variable nature of heat availability in target applications, introduce challenges related to the optimal operation and control. The aim of this paper is to provide a review of the current state-of-the-art of sCO\textsubscript{2} power generation systems, with a focus on technical and operational issues. Following an overview of the historical background and theoretical aspects, emphasis is placed on discussing the current research and development status in the areas of turbomachinery, heat exchangers, materials and control system design, with priority given to experimental prototypes. Developments and current challenges within the key application areas are summarised and future research trends are identified.
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A B S T R A C T

Thermal-power cycles operating with supercritical carbon dioxide (sCO\textsubscript{2}) could have a significant role in future power generation systems with applications including fossil fuel, nuclear power, concentrated-solar power, and waste-heat recovery. The use of sCO\textsubscript{2} as a working fluid offers potential benefits including high thermal efficiencies using heat-source temperatures ranging between approximately 350 °C and 800 °C, a simple and compact physical footprint, and good operational flexibility, which could realise lower levelised costs of electricity compared to existing technologies. However, there remain technical challenges to overcome that relate to the design and operation of the turbomachinery components and heat exchangers, material selection considering the high operating temperatures and pressures, in addition to characterising the behaviour of supercritical CO\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, the sensitivity of the cycle to the ambient conditions, alongside the variable nature of heat availability in target applications, introduce challenges related to the optimal operation and control. The aim of this paper is to provide a review of the current state-of-the-art of sCO\textsubscript{2} power generation systems, with a focus on technical and operational issues. Following an overview of the historical background and theoretical aspects, emphasis is placed on discussing the current research and development status in the areas of turbomachinery, heat exchangers, materials and control system design, with priority given to experimental prototypes. Developments and current challenges within the key application areas are summarised and future research trends are identified.

1. Introduction and motivation

Existing thermodynamic power cycles, such as the Rankine cycle and the Joule–Brayton cycle, typically operate with water or air respectively. However, in the drive towards higher cycle thermal efficiencies, and to overcome some of the technical challenges related to existing cycles, attention has turned to the use of alternative working fluids. As such, supercritical carbon dioxide (sCO\textsubscript{2}) power cycles have been put forward as a promising candidate with the main advantages being high thermal efficiencies from heat sources ranging between 350 °C and 800 °C, a simple and compact physical footprint, and good operational flexibility to cope with the uncertain availability of renewable energy sources. The potential of sCO\textsubscript{2} is confirmed by the significant growth in research within the last decade, alongside the financial support that has been made available internationally to aid technological advancement.

This rapid growth in research, and the potential of sCO\textsubscript{2} power cycles, warrant a detailed review of current research activities, along-side the most promising applications and future research trends. A
number of review papers have been published prior to 2018 focusing on: cycle layouts, thermodynamics and an overview of test loops [3]; transcritical CO₂ cycles for low-grade heat conversion [4]; and sCO₂ technology with a focus on concentrated-solar power and nuclear power applications [5]. More recently, in 2019 Liu et al. [6] provided a review of sCO₂ technology, with a detailed treatment of cycle layouts, thermodynamic modelling and optimisation, alongside a discussion of applications and some component level aspects. Yin et al. [7] provided a review of sCO₂ cycles specifically for concentrated-solar power applications, primarily covering cycle design, system thermodynamic and economic modelling, and materials. Finally, Yu et al. [8] provided a bibliometric analysis, in addition to briefly discussing applications, cycle configurations and modelling, CO₂-based mixtures, system components and experiments.

The motivation and novelty of the current review can be summarised as follows. Firstly, the rapid growth in sCO₂ technology means most published work has been conducted within the last few years since the early review papers [3–5]; this is substantiated by Fig. 1. Secondly, a significant emphasis within previous studies has been on cycle layout design, alongside thermodynamic modelling and optimisation. This review differentiates from these previous studies by focusing on practical technological challenges related to sCO₂ systems and equipment, alongside a summary of key application areas. Following a brief overview of the historical background of sCO₂ power cycles in Section 2, an overview of thermodynamic aspects is presented in Section 3 to contextualise the technical and operational issues within the thermodynamic cycle. A review of technical issues is then presented in Section 4, with emphasis on turbomachinery, heat exchangers, material options and system control. This is followed by a discussion of the key application areas in Section 5, namely fossil-fuelled and waste-heat to power generation, concentrated-solar power and nuclear, alongside a number of other notable applications. The paper concludes with a summary of the challenges facing sCO₂ power generation systems, and an outlook to the future.

2. Historical background

The real gas effects of CO₂ were investigated in the 19th century through the experimental work by Andrews who tested the validity of Boyle’s law over a wide range of pressures [9]. However, it was in the mid-20th century that CO₂ began to be considered as a working fluid for power generation systems. In that period, research activities aimed at overcoming the limitations of the open Joule–Brayton and steam Rankine cycles through the use of alternative working fluids in closed loop cycles. Most of the published literature attributes the paternity of the concept of closed loop CO₂ power cycles to a 1950 Swiss patent granted to Sulzer that focused on partially-cooled condensation cycles [10].

Much of the early research on sCO₂ power systems was completed in the 1960s. Dekhtiarov suggested CO₂ as a suitable working fluid, proposing a reheated, precompression transcritical cycle operating with CO₂ [11], although the works of Feher and Angelino are more regularly cited. Feher [12] investigated a simple recuperated supercritical cycle and evaluated the sensitivity of the cycle to the operating parameters and the component performance. Within his work, the mismatch in the heat capacities between the hot and cold fluids within the recuperator was discussed, which introduces irreversibility within the cycle. Angelino [13–15] further considered both supercritical and transcritical cycles and investigated a range of different cycle layouts with a view to minimising this irreversibility.

Following the 1960s, interest in sCO₂ power cycles diminished until it was reignited by Dostal [16] who proposed the cycle for nuclear power applications. Much like the earlier works of Angelino, Dostal evaluated a range of cycles, including intercooling, reheating, recompression and precompression, concluding that the recompression cycle offers the highest thermal efficiency, whilst retaining a relatively simple cycle arrangement.

Since Dostal’s work, there have been numerous studies investigating the merits of various cycle architectures for different applications. This is demonstrated in Fig. 1 by the steep increase in the number of academic documents (journal papers, conference proceedings, books etc.) and patents indexed in well-known databases. Research outputs have been increasing since 2006, while the number of patents has risen significantly from 2016. China (CN), United States (US) and South Korea (KR) are the top countries. This leadership results from the research and innovation programmes on sCO₂ power technology carried out in these and other countries like Japan and Australia. A detailed summary of the sCO₂ power activities with focus on the US is available in the book edited by Brun, Friedman and Dennis [17]. This is currently the most thorough reference on sCO₂ power technology.

Supercritical CO₂ is a topic that recently gained interest and appeal within many scientific conferences. Besides the tracks allocated within turbomachinery and waste-heat recovery conferences, the most established events are the International sCO₂ Power Cycles Symposium taking place in the US and the European sCO₂ conference for energy systems organised by the European sCO₂ alliance [18,19].

3. Thermodynamic aspects

The primary aim of this paper is to cover technical and operational issues, rather than covering the topic of thermodynamic cycle analysis in detail. However, it is important to contextualise the technical and operational issues within the overall thermodynamic cycle, and thus the purpose of this section is to provide only a short overview of thermodynamic aspects. For a more detailed overview, readers are referred to Friedman & Anderson [20].

3.1. Thermodynamic behaviour of supercritical CO₂

The operation of a closed-loop power cycle with a carefully selected working fluid could overcome some of the limitations of power plants operating with air or water. Specifically, for certain fluids, operating the cycle compression process close to the critical point allows the compression work to be significantly reduced, whilst the non-isothermal heat-transfer processes facilitate a high degree of internal heat recuperation [12,13]. The choice of working fluid is not limited to CO₂, and a
range of fluids have been studied [16,21,22]. However, the interest in CO₂ can be attributed to the temperature of its critical point, which is defined by a critical temperature and critical pressure of \( T_\text{cr} = 31.1 ^\circ \text{C} \) and \( p_\text{cr} = 73.8 \text{ bar} \) respectively, being close to ambient conditions. This allows the low work compression process to be achieved following heat rejection down to close to ambient temperatures. Moreover, CO₂ is abundant, low cost, non-toxic, non-flammable and thermally stable at high temperatures.

The thermodynamic behaviour of CO₂ in the vicinity of critical point, and the motivation for operating the compression process close to the vicinity of the critical point, is explored in Fig. 2. These results were generated using NIST REFPROP [23] to compute the thermodynamic properties of CO₂, which is the most widely employed method; a detailed assessment of different property prediction methods is provided by White & Weiland [24]. Referring to Fig. 2, the right-hand plot reports the specific work for an isentropic compression process with a compression ratio of two. This compression ratio is representative of existing experimental sCO₂ systems (see Table 1), although similar trends are observed at other compression ratios. Noting that a low compression work increases cycle efficiency (i.e., \( \eta = \frac{w_i - w_c}{q_\text{sh}} \)), the thermal efficiency, specific expansion work and heat addition respectively), the advantages of sCO₂ become apparent.

Operating under supercritical pressures, however, has implications on both cycle operation and component design. Firstly, operation pressures that are within the range of 50 to 250 bar require all parts to be designed to safely operate under both high pressures and high pressure differentials, and may also require specialist materials that can withstand harsh operating conditions, i.e., high pressure, high temperature and the occurrence of corrosion (see Section 4.3). The high pressures also lead to high densities, and consequently low volumetric-flow rates through the system. This enables compact pipework and plants with a small physical footprint, but introduces challenges in designing turbomachinery components with a high power density (see Section 4.1). There are also challenges related to starting the compression process close to the critical point. Specifically, there are significant variations in the thermodynamic properties of CO₂ in the vicinity of the critical point (see Fig. 2). The sharp drop in density from around 700 to 200 kg/m³ around \( T/T_\text{cr} \approx 1 \) and \( 1 < p/p_\text{cr} < 1.2 \), and the sharp spike in the specific-heat capacity around \( 0.98 < T_\text{c} / T_\text{cr} < 1.05 \), will affect compressor operation, particularly at off-design conditions, introducing challenges related to system control to ensure steady and efficient operation (see Section 4.4). These variations also introduce challenges in compressor design and performance assessment, in addition to managing the possibility of condensation (see Section 4.1). Finally, the property variations also influence heat-exchanger design and operation. This is both from a more fundamental perspective point, where the sudden change in specific-heat capacity at constant pressure influences the effectiveness of internal heat-exchange processes, to more practical aspects relating to off-design performance (see Section 4.2).

### 3.2. Classification of thermodynamic power cycles

A general thermodynamic power cycle is composed of four fundamental processes, namely compression, heat addition at high pressure (\( p_\text{h} \)), expansion, and heat rejection at low pressure (\( p_\text{l} \)), and can be categorised according to whether phase change occurs within the cycle. In the Joule–Brayton cycle the cycle remains within the vapour region, whilst in the Rankine cycle the working fluid undergoes phase change in the heat-addition and heat-rejection processes. Thermodynamic cycles can also be classified according to whether the operating pressures are below or above the critical pressure of the working fluid \( (p_\text{cr}) \). This allows three classifications, which include the subcritical \( (p_\text{c} < p_\text{cr}) \), transcritical \( (p_\text{c} > p_\text{cr}) \), and supercritical cycles \( (p_\text{c} > p_\text{cr}) \). The term supercritical Rankine cycle is often used in the context of steam and organic Rankine cycles to describe cycles in which the heat-addition takes place above \( p_\text{cr} \), whilst heat-rejection occurs below \( p_\text{cr} \) [26,27]. However, the terms supercritical and transcritical are used here to distinguish between cycles operating with or without condensation, as used within the CO₂ research community [28]. Combining these definitions enables a general classification of thermodynamic cycles as reported in Fig. 3.

The two sCO₂ cycles of primary interest are the supercritical cycle and the transcritical cycle, which are shown by the blue and green cycles in Fig. 3 respectively. In both cycles the high pressure of the system exceeds the critical pressure. In the supercritical cycle, the low pressure of the system is also above 73.8 bar, and there is no distinction between the fluid being in a liquid or a vapour state, whilst in the transcritical cycle, the low pressure of the system is below 73.8 bar, and condensation is possible within the low-pressure heat-rejection process. Referring to the right-hand plot in Fig. 2, it is observed that the lower the compressor inlet temperature and the closer the compression process is to the saturated liquid line, the lower the compression work. This motivates the use of a transcritical cycle to maximise thermal efficiency. Consequently, this means a transcritical cycle can only be considered where it is possible to cool the CO₂ below 31.1 °C. If this is not possible, a supercritical cycle should be considered with the compression process starting close to the critical point to maximise thermal efficiency.

Alongside pure CO₂, CO₂ mixtures have been proposed for closed-loop power cycles. By doping CO₂ with another fluid the thermodynamic properties can be altered, and the critical point of the working fluid shifted. This could include the use of noble gases, alongside...
can be extended to more complex layouts, which may include reheat, recompression, precompression, or any combination thereof; a few notable cycle arrangements are also reported in Fig. 4.

The reheat cycle (Fig. 4b) divides the expansion process (4 → 5 and 6 → 7), and introduces an intermediate reheating process. This increases the average temperature of heat addition, and hence cycle efficiency, and also increases the turbine exhaust temperature, which increases the potential internal heat recovery within the recuperator.

Within Dostal’s work [16], the recompression cycle (Fig. 4c) was identified as a promising cycle, with the characteristics of high thermal efficiency whilst retaining a simple cycle arrangement. Within the recompression cycle, the recuperation is divided into a high-temperature recuperator (3 → 4 and 6 → 7) and a low-temperature recuperator (2 → 3 and 7 → 8), with a secondary compressor installed that operates between points 3 and 8. The purpose of this compressor is to bypass a fraction of the main flow from the heat-rejection process and main compressor, which reduces the heat-capacity rate (i.e., $mC_p$) within the high-pressure side of the low-temperature recuperator (2 → 3). This helps offset the mismatch in the heat capacities of the hot and cold fluids within the recuperator, reducing the irreversibility compared to the simple recuperated cycle [12-15]. Today, the recompression cycle is widely considered to be a promising cycle for sCO$_2$ power cycles, and the improvement in thermal efficiency is expected to offset the additional cost associated with the additional components [20]. A combination of reheat and recuperation has been proposed for a large-scale sCO$_2$ cycle [40].

Since the individual works of Feher, Angelino and Dostal, there have been numerous studies investigating the merits of various cycle architectures for different applications. Extensive assessments of cycle layouts have been carried out by Crespi et al. [28,35]. Whilst the authors make some general observations about optimal cycle, they also note that when reporting an optimal cycle it is important to provide an indication of the operating and boundary conditions, alongside any technological limits. To this end, thermodynamic modelling and optimisation is likely to remain an important step when evaluating the performance of sCO$_2$ cycles for a given application.

4. Component aspects

4.1. Turbomachinery

The properties of sCO$_2$ introduce opportunities and challenges in turbomachinery design. The high operating pressures and densities allow compact turbomachinery components, which could allow a significant reduction in size, and hence cost, of the overall plant, but also lead to high power density turbomachinery, and, for power outputs below a few MW, turbines that must rotate at high speed to maintain high isentropic efficiency. Small diameters and higher rotational speeds are associated with increased aerodynamic losses, such as increased tip-clearance and secondary-flow losses, and introduce challenges in the design of the shaft, bearings and seals to ensure stable rotodynamic behaviour across the range of expected operating speeds. The high operating pressures and large absolute pressure difference across a single turbine stage also introduce challenges related to the mechanical design of the turbine housing and the turbine blades, and in managing axial thrust and leakage to the surroundings. This creates a complex design space in which suitable designs that meet the trade-off between aerodynamic, rotodynamic and mechanical performance need to be identified.

Within any sCO$_2$ system there are a minimum of two turbomachines, namely the compressor or pump and the turbine. Within more complex cycles, such as the recompression cycle, this is supplemented with an additional recompressor. Whilst the turbine, and recompressor, operate sufficiently far from the critical point that they operate with a gas-like substance, the proximity of the main compressor to the critical point and its operation with supercritical pressures, mean the main

Fig. 3. Classification of thermodynamic power cycles. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3.3. Thermodynamic modelling studies

The purpose of thermodynamic modelling is to investigate how the cycle parameters, such as the operating temperatures and pressures, affect thermodynamic cycle performance. This is done by coupling a suitable equation of state for CO$_2$ with a thermodynamic model of each component within the cycle and running a parametric or optimisation study to identify optimal cycles. This may be from the first-law perspective of maximising thermal efficiency [34,35], the second-law perspective of reducing internal irreversibility [36,37], or from the perspective of minimising the specific-investment cost or the levelised cost of electricity (LCOE) [38,39].

The baseline cycle for most studies is the simple recuperated cycle, be that either a supercritical or transcritical cycle, where a recuperator is used to transfer heat from the hot exhaust leaving the turbine to the cold fluid leaving the compressor (see points 2 → 3 and 5 → 6 in Fig. 4a, 4d). Within the literature the terms recuperation and regeneration are used interchangeably to describe the simple cycle; here the term recuperation is used since the internal heat recovery occurs with the use of a recuperative heat exchanger. The simple recuperated cycle
compressor can be referred to as a pump or compressor. For generality, the term compressor will be used here, and the term pump is reserved for condensing transcritical cycles.

Both compressors and turbines take the form of either an axial or a centrifugal/radial-inflow architecture. In the former, the flow passes through the machine parallel to the axis of rotation, whilst in the latter the flow turns through 90°. The axial design allows multiple expansion stages to be readily mounted onto the same shaft, whilst in a radial or centrifugal design the change in radius between the rotor inlet and outlet facilitates a larger enthalpy change over a single stage. For large-scale applications multi-stage axial turbomachines are favoured, while for smaller-scale applications the radial-inflow turbine and centrifugal compressor designs are preferred as they can accommodate the required pressure change over a single stage. Preliminary sizing and selection is typically completed using the maps developed by Balje [41], which relate the achievable design-point efficiency for the different turbomachinery architectures to the specific speed \( N_s \) and specific diameter \( D_s \):

\[
N_s = \frac{\omega V}{\Delta h^{1/4}} ;
\]

\[
D_s = \frac{D \Delta h^{1/4}}{\sqrt{V}} .
\]

which relate rotational speed \( \omega \) and diameter \( D \) to the isentropic enthalpy change across the machine \( \Delta h \), and volumetric-flow rate \( V \) at either the inlet (compressor) or outlet (turbine) of the machine.

From a simple thermodynamic cycle analysis it can be shown that increasing the pressure ratio of the cycle (to a point) will increase the cycle thermal efficiency. This will increase the enthalpy change across the compressor and turbine and for a specified net power output reduce the mass- and volumetric-flow rates for both machines. Thus, more efficient cycles are likely to require smaller diameters and higher rotational speeds, which further exacerbates the issues mentioned previously [42]. Considering that the cycle thermal efficiency is sensitive to the turbomachinery efficiencies, with Allison et al. [43] suggesting a drop in compressor efficiency from 90% to 80% could lead to a drop in overall cycle efficiency of 2.0% and the same drop in turbine efficiency could lead to a drop in overall cycle efficiency of 4.4%, it is clear that turbomachinery and cycle performance are closely coupled.

Thus, turbomachinery and cycle design should be tackled in unison, although even then, such studies point to optimal cycles with turbine inlet pressures between 200 and 400 bar [44], or optimal pressure ratios in the range of 2 to 5 [45]. These values are somewhat higher than existing prototypes, which were designed to minimise cost and risk [43].

Another useful chart for sCO₂ turbomachinery selection was proposed by Sienicki et al. [46] (Fig. 5). Alongside identifying the power ranges where different turbomachinery architectures are expected to be most suitable, it provides an overview of the available options for the bearings, seals, alternators and shaft arrangement. The main conclusion from this chart is that below around 10 MW, radial and centrifugal machines are preferred, with rotational speeds in excess of 30,000 RPM, which requires a permanent magnet rotor, and potentially multiple shafts. For large-scale sCO₂ power systems (> 100 MW) axial turbomachinery is preferred.

4.1.1. Turbomachinery design and simulation

Alongside experimental demonstrations, there has been a concerted effort in the design, simulation and optimisation of sCO₂ turbomachinery. The use of meanline design tools and optimisation methods to identify optimal geometries that can achieve the desired aerodynamic performance is widely applied within the field of turbomachinery, and in theory these tools can be readily applied to sCO₂ turbomachinery. These employ loss models to account for various losses, such as passage, incidence, clearance and windage losses, which are typically empirically-derived for air and steam turbomachinery. There are many examples of such studies, although a few examples include those relating to sCO₂ compressor design [47,48], turbine design [49,50], off-design turbomachinery prediction [51], and the integration of turbomachinery design models with thermodynamic cycle design [44,45]. However, a major issue facing these models is that they have not been experimentally validated for sCO₂ applications, and as demonstrated by Lee & Gurgenci [52], the choice of model can affect the results generated.

A similar statement can be made with regards to the use of computational-fluid dynamic (CFD) tools to assess sCO₂ turbomachinery performance. Arguably the turbine, and possibly a recompressor, operate sufficiently far away from the critical point such that the CO₂ behaves like an ideal gas. Thus, existing meanline models and CFD solvers may provide an adequate means to generate suitable turbomachinery designs. However, for sCO₂ turbomachinery the trade-off between aerodynamic, rotordynamic and mechanical considerations, particularly for small-scale applications, may shift the optimal design away from the conventional design space. Thus, the use of CFD to assess novel designs prior to experimentation is a useful approach. A good example of this is provided by Keep [53,54], who investigated low

Fig. 4. Potential sCO₂ power cycles: (a) supercritical recuperated; (b) supercritical reheated—recuperated (c) supercritical recompression; (d) transcritical recuperated. The red and blue lines represent the heat-addition and heat-rejection processes respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
specific-speed turbine designs and found an isentropic efficiency of 81% for a 300 kW, radial-inflow turbine could be possible, suggesting that efficiency could be improved by modifying the rotor–stator interspace, minimising the clearance gap or using a shrouded rotor, or adding splitter blades to the rotor.

Another area that represents a challenge for scientific theory and CFD simulation is the simulation and performance prediction of sCO\textsubscript{2} compressors operating near the critical point. The non-linear thermodynamics of CO\textsubscript{2} around the critical point lead to possible real-gas effects, whilst condensation near the leading-edge of the compressor may occur. Studies have investigated real-gas models to predict the behaviour of CO\textsubscript{2} near the critical point [55], real-gas effects [56,57], the performance of centrifugal compressor diffusers [58], and condensation effects both numerically and experimentally in a converging–diverging nozzle [59,60]. The results suggest the time required for stable liquid droplets to form during expansion significantly reduces as the critical point is approached. Operation near the critical point also has implications for compressor stability and the prediction of off-design performance, since conventional similitude laws cannot be applied [61] and uncertainty in determining efficiency is introduced [62].

### 4.1.2. Existing sCO\textsubscript{2} turbomachinery

Given the challenging operating conditions and design space, it is critical to demonstrate that the desired turbomachinery performance can be realised in practice. To this end, a number of sCO\textsubscript{2} test loops have been constructed, or are currently underway. A summary of the turbomachinery designs for these test loops is provided in Table 1. Before discussing these in detail, it is worth emphasising two points. Firstly, most of the turbomachinery that has been tested to date is not representative of what would be planned for larger systems. This introduces specific challenges and considerations that might eventually be unnecessary for larger-scale plants. Nonetheless, initial testing at the laboratory-scale is a necessity given the cost and complexity of designing and constructing MW-scale test loops. Secondly, it is noted that unlike other components within the cycle, it is difficult to decouple the turbomachinery into separate compression, re-compression and expansion machines, since in many existing prototypes these machines share a common shaft, and have the same challenges. For this reason, the discussion around compression and expansion machines is combined here into a single section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed/size [kRPM / cm]</th>
<th>Turbine</th>
<th>Compressor</th>
<th>Bearings</th>
<th>Seals</th>
<th>Alternator</th>
<th>Shaft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75 / 5</td>
<td>single-stage</td>
<td>CENTRIFUGAL</td>
<td>gas foil</td>
<td>labyrinth</td>
<td>permanent magnet</td>
<td>dual/multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 / 14</td>
<td>multi-stage</td>
<td>AXIAL</td>
<td>hydrodynamic oil</td>
<td>magnetic</td>
<td>gearbox (synchronous)</td>
<td>single shaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 / 40</td>
<td>AXIAL</td>
<td>multi-stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wound (synchronous)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 / 120</td>
<td>AXIAL</td>
<td>multi-stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Turbomachinery options for sCO\textsubscript{2} power cycles. Source: Reproduced with permission from Sienicki et al. [46].

The turbomachinery installed within a demonstration sCO\textsubscript{2} test loop installed at Carleton University is also based on the SNL design [86]. More recently, the SNL test loop has been upgraded to test a turbocompressor designed by Peregrine Turbine Technologies, which consists of two centrifugal compressor stages and a single radial-inflow turbine on the shaft [64]. Although the test capabilities could not match the design point of the machine, the tests demonstrated the use of a blow-down procedure to start the compressor in the absence of a starting motor and enabled the team to resolve issues relating to the thrust and radial bearings [65]. Initial testing reported issues with both the radial and thrust bearings experiencing rubs or failure. Issues with the thrust bearing were resolved by adjusting the turbine back pressure to adjust the thrust, whilst it was hypothesised that the radial bearing failure arose from a non-uniform turbine inlet temperature and/or flow rate. To overcome this issue, the length to diameter ratio of the radial bearing was increased to increase the load capacity, resulting in successful operation.

Alongside developments at SNL, a collaboration between Bechtel Marine Propulsion Corporation and the Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory developed a 100 kW, integrated system test (IST), for which the turbomachinery comprised of a variable speed turbine-compressor, and
a constant speed turbine-generator [66]. However, it was noted that since the turbomachinery tested is not representative of what would be planned for larger systems, the use of gas foil bearings introduced specific startup and operational procedures that would be unnecessary for larger-scale plants. A later study indicated that at peak operating power the generator-turbine and compressor-turbine operated above their predicted performance, with isentropic efficiencies of 83.6% and 85.2% at power outputs of 56.8 and 52.6 kW respectively [67]. The compressor operated with an isentropic efficiency of 72.4%, which was well above the predicted value of 58%; although the accuracy of compressor maps developed using ideal-gas assumptions in close proximity to the critical point should be considered [61]. Ultimately, the IST demonstrates that at this small-scale feasible turbomachinery components can be developed, although high windage losses were observed due to the high pressure, and subsequent high density, of the fluid within the cavity that contains the motor-generator. Moreover, much like the SNL tests, the IST tests also experienced issues relating to heating generated from the gas-foil bearings. As a result, the rotational speed was limited to 60,000 rpm to maintain safe bearing temperatures using the available cooling without exceeding the thrust load capacity of gas-foil thrust bearings [67].

Echogen power systems [87] have developed sCO₂ technology for waste-heat recovery applications, which has been licenced to Siemens for the oil and gas sector [88] and to General Electric for marine applications [89]. The Echogen EPS100 unit has a net power output of 8 MWₑ, and, similar to the IST, the turbomachinery consists of a constant speed turbine-generator and variable speed turbine-compressor. The turbine-generator rotates at 30,000 RPM and utilises a four-pole synchronous generator and epicyclic gearbox, whilst the turbine-compressor has a nominal shaft power of 2.7 MW and is constructed from a single-stage centrifugal compressor and single-stage radial turbine. Tests reported an isentropic efficiency that exceeds 80% for the turbine-compressor, and in the range of 20 to 75% for the turbine-generator, although the turbine-generator was not tested at its design point [68].

Other significant developments in turbomachinery for sCO₂ systems within the US can be related to work under the SunShot, APOLLO and STEP projects. Under the SunShot programme a 1 MWₑ-scale sCO₂ test loop has been constructed to test a multi-stage axial turbine with a rotational speed of 27,000 RPM and an isentropic efficiency in excess of 85%, as predicted from meanline and CFD analysis. Compared to the small-scale systems, issues around high bearing and windage losses, in addition to motor control issues, are expected to be less critical in large-scale systems since existing technologies such as shaft-end seals and oil-film bearings should be suitable [70]. Having said this, there have been developments in hydrostatic bearings, which require an external sCO₂ supply but facilitate hermetic turbomachinery designs removing the need for sCO₂ shaft seals [91], hydrostatically-assisted gas foil bearing designs [93], and foil bearings for large MWₑ-scale turbomachinery where the use of foil bearings is quoted to eliminate speed and temperature limitations and the need for liquid lubrication [94]. Other challenges related to the design of the SunShot turbine include the mechanical design of the shaft and the casing. Whilst turbine inlet conditions are similar to those of existing steam turbines, sCO₂ turbines experience higher
outlet temperatures and pressures and require a steeper temperature gradient within the shaft to allow the use of dry-gas seals [43]. Thus, the thermal management of the shaft is important to ensure an axial temperature gradient in the shaft to minimise stress in the shaft and casing [70,95]. Moore et al. [95] reported initial testing of the SunShot turbine, reaching a rotational speed of 21,000 RPM and turbine inlet conditions of 550 °C and 180 bar. The primary aim of these tests was to break in the turbine and to monitor vibrations, critical speeds and bearing temperatures, which was considered a success since stable operation was observed. Future tests expect to move towards the design point of 715 °C, 250 bar and 27,000 RPM. Under the APOLO programme, work has been initiated on the design of the compressor for the same recompression Brayton cycle. Cich et al. [96] describe the design of the compressor assembly considering all rotodynamic and mechanical design considerations. The authors propose an arrangement where the main compressor and recompressor, which are both single-stage centrifugal machines, are positioned back-to-back and variable inlet guide vanes are utilised. Within the APOLO programme, Hanwha Techwin and Southwest Research Institute have also been developing an integrally geared compressor–expander system, in which the turbine and compressor stages are all of the radial design and are mounted within a single integrally-geared unit [97]. The unit is designed as a 5–25 MW, modular power block, and comprises of a two-stage main compressor, two-stage recompressor, and a four-stage turbine with reheat [43]. The aim of the STEP (Supercritical Transformational Electric Power) project is to construct and commission a 10 MW, sCO₂ plant [71]. The turbine is based on the SunShot turbine, but the stage count is reduced from four to three, facilitating a more compact design, whilst the volute area is optimised and thermal management within the turbine enhanced. The compressor within the STEP facility is provided by Baker Hughes, and leverages both existing commercial product lines and work undertaken under the APOLO programme [71].

The ultimate goal of the SunShot, APOLO and STEP projects is to realise large-scale sCO₂ power plants, and thus the turbomachinery for both a 50 and a 450 MW, plant has been proposed [46,98]. Since a limitation on the maximum shaft diameter would restrict the SunShot turbine being directly up-scaled to 50 MW, a six-stage axial turbine has been proposed with a 406 cm tip diameter, rotational speed of 9500 RPM and an estimated efficiency of 90.5%; for the 450 MW, plant, a reheat recompression cycle is proposed with a dual-flow four-stage high-pressure turbine and three-stage low-pressure turbine, with predicted efficiencies of 90.6% and 91.6% respectively, all mounted on a single shaft [46]. However, the availability of large-diameter film-riding end seals was seen as a limitation, leading to dedicated tests to develop a new seal design [99]. In terms of compressor design for the 450 MW, plant, a back-to-back arrangement was proposed consisting of a two-stage centrifugal design and a four-stage centrifugal design for the main compressor and recompressor respectively, all mounted on a single shaft [98].

NET Power are developing an oxy-fuel thermodynamic power cycle for which Toshiba are providing a turbine designed to operate with inlet conditions of 300 bar and 1150 °C [100]. The concept for the turbine design is to use proven technology as much as possible, whilst testing a scaled turbine that is representative of the final turbine used within a commercial plant. As such, Toshiba have developed a preliminary turbine design for a 500 MW, system, which has subsequently been scaled for a thermal input of around 200 MWth and then operated with partial admission to reduce the required thermal input to 50 MWth [73]. The design comprises of a single seven-stage axial turbine [72,74], which combines proven technology for high-pressure steam turbines, namely the use of an inner and outer pressure casing, and proven gas turbine technology, such as coatings and internal cooling of the turbine blades [73]. Freed et al. [101] report on the development of a gas-turbine driven integrally-geared compressor for the plant.

Outside of the US, a number of notable sCO₂ turbomachinery prototypes have been developed. In Japan, Utamura et al. [75] developed a single-shaft TAC unit with a target net power of 10 kW and nominal rotational speed of 100,000 RPM. Compressor isentropic efficiencies between 30% and 70% are reported, although high windage losses were reported. Within the Korea Institute of Energy (KIER), three experimental loops have been developed, as described by Cho et al. [78]. The first employed a 10 kW, TAC unit, with shrouded centrifugal compressor and radial turbine rotors to overcome thrust balancing issues, although issues with the gas foil bearings are reported. The second was used to test a 1 kW, turbine-generator constructed from a radial turbine with partial admission and utilised commercial ball bearings. The final loop was designed for a power output of 60 kW. Further developments are described by Shin et al. [79]. For the 60 kW, system, commercially available tilting-pad bearings were employed to overcome high axial and radial thrusts. However, to employ these bearings it was necessary to reduce the rotational speed, which lead to the selection of a partial-admission, single-stage axial impulse turbine with a rotational speed of 45,000 RPM. In subsequent tests, an isentropic turbine efficiency of 51% has been reported, with the authors emphasising being able to resolve bearing failure issues through the use of an axial machine as a success [80].

A collaboration between the Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) and Korean Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI) has led to the development of the Supercritical CO₂ Integral Experiment Loop (SCIEL). For the initial low pressure ratio tests a simple cycle was constructed with a separate motor-driven compressor and turbine-generator set, rather than using a single TAC unit, and a twin impeller centrifugal design was selected for the compressor to control thrust loads [76]. At the time, future tests were planned for higher pressure ratio tests, which would utilise an additional TAC unit constructed from a high-pressure turbine and high-pressure compressor [77]. Alongside the SCIEL, a compressor test facility, named the SCo2PE (Supercritical CO₂ Pressurising Experiment) has been constructed to test compressor operation near the critical point, which utilises a 26 kW, canned motor pump, with a centrifugal shrouded impeller and rotational speed of 4620 RPM [62,102]. Compression efficiencies between 10 and 60% are reported for varying inlet conditions [102], although the uncertainty of calculating efficiency close to the critical point is noted [62]. Wang et al. [103] report the design of an integral test loop with a power output of 1 MW, at the Nuclear Power Institute of China. Initially, the system will employ a TAC unit with a design speed below 30,000 RPM, although further details have not been reported.

Arguably, within Europe the development of sCO₂ turbomachinery has lagged behind developments elsewhere, although there are a few exceptions. Hacks et al. [81,82] designed a TAC unit for the H2020 sCO₂-HeRo project, which comprises of a single-stage centrifugal compressor and radial turbine, both with 2D shrouded blades; the use of shrouded impellers allows the use of seals to reduce clearance losses, whilst a 2D blade more easily permits the installation of the seals compared to a 3D blade. Whilst the optimal rotational speed for a high stage efficiency would be 200,000 RPM, the rotational speed was limited to 50,000 RPM to minimise windage losses [82]. Within the H2020 I-ThERM project, the current authors from Brunel University London, in collaboration with Enogia, have developed the High Temperature Heat To Power Conversion facility (HT2C), which utilises a TAC unit with unshrouded single-stage radial compression and expansion stages [83,84], which is currently undergoing testing. Other developments within Europe include the H2020 sCO₂-Flex project which aims to adapt fossil-fuel power plants through the use of sCO₂ and will involve the design of the turbomachinery for a 25 MW, cycle [104], and the H2020 SCARABEUS project, within which the current authors from City, University of London are leading the conceptual design of the turbomachinery for a 100 MW, sCO₂ CSP plant operating with CO₂ blends [105].
4.2. Heat exchangers

In sCO₂ systems, the heat exchangers greatly influence the overall cycle efficiency and system size and must adhere to specific operating conditions and design requirements. The primary challenge is to maintain cycle compactness and endure the high temperature and pressures. The other important challenge is to find a compromise between the heat exchanger type, cost, durability and performance. Three heat exchangers are generally involved in sCO₂ systems; the heater which absorbs heat from the heat source; the recuperator which transfers heat from the turbine exhaust to the compressor exhaust; and the cooler which rejects heat to the environment. A summary of candidate types of high pressure heat exchangers, along with an appraisal of maximum pressure, maximum temperature and maximum surface area density, is provided in Table 2.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Exchanger Type</th>
<th>Pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Surface Area Density (m²/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate and shell</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazed plate-fin</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion-bonded plate-fin</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packinox plate</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtube</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printed circuit</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell and tube</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.1. Heaters

Two types of heat exchanger are typically employed as CO₂ heater, depending on the heat transfer process and heat source temperature; one is a radiant heating section combining radiation and convection processes, used in fuel-fired applications, and the other uses only convection heating, for example in waste-heat recovery applications.

For the radiant heating section, the CO₂ heater geometry is similar to that in steam cycles, but the lower turbine pressure ratio and different thermophysical properties make the CO₂ heater design significantly different from that found in steam cycles; relatively higher mass flow rates are required for the same level of heat input, and shorter piping length to minimise the pressure drop. A representation of the heating section, proposed by Moulec [108], is shown in Fig. 6. This coal-fired boiler employed eight heat exchangers to heat the CO₂ to the maximum temperature and cool the flue gas down to about 540 °C. The main challenges in the design were to reduce the flue gas temperature to meet the capabilities of modern flue gas preheaters (typically around 370 °C), to decrease the CO₂ pressure drop to a commercially feasible level, and to maintain adequate safety margins to avoid tube overheating or unacceptably high temperatures.

For convection heat transfer only, the shell-and-tube heat exchanger is the most common type with various configuration options. The sCO₂ flows along the tubes, and the heat carrier fluid flows across the tubes from the shell side to transfer heat between the two fluids. The tubes should have good thermal conductivity to achieve the desired heat transfer rates and withstand the operating temperature and pressure [109]. The shell and tubes should withstand the thermal stresses between them and should be designed for high-cycle fatigue life. A major problem with shell-and-tube heat exchangers used as CO₂ heaters are their large physical size, leading to high capital cost due to the significant amount of material needed to contain the high-temperature, high-pressure sCO₂ environment. Therefore, compact heat exchangers are more suitable for sCO₂ heater applications, due to their large surface area to volume ratio and high heat transfer coefficient [106]. Considering that the heat carrier fluid usually operates under high temperature but low pressure, the microtube architecture and plate-fin structure are suitable for CO₂ heater applications [110]. The microtube heat exchanger can provide significantly improved performance over a conventional shell-and-tube heat exchanger, including the ability of microtubes to withstand very high pressures. As shown in Fig. 7, microtube heat exchangers can be designed with the heat carrier fluid crossing the tube bundle, which can greatly reduce the pressure drop in the shell side. Plate-fin heat exchangers are a matrix of alternate flat plates consisting of enclosed channels and fin corrugations. The fins, such as the plain triangular, louver, perforated, wavy fin, or with vortex generators, enhance the heat transfer of the lower pressure heat carrier fluid. This sandwich construction has a naturally strain-compliant design, leading to the potential to achieve a high-cycle fatigue life. However, smaller channel dimensions are associated with some disadvantages, including higher pressure drop per unit length, propensity to fouling and the difficulty in repair in case of leakage inside the heat exchanger core [111].

4.2.2. Recuperators

Printed circuit heat exchangers (PCHEs) are the most widely adopted sCO₂ recuperators, due to their compactness and structural rigidity and reliable performance under conditions of extreme pressure and temperature [111,112]. The diffusion bonding process creates an exceptionally strong heat exchanger core as shown in Fig. 8, which consists of stacks of flat metal plates with fluid flow channels either chemically etched or pressed into them. The diffusion bonding process allows the plates to be joined together with the same strength as the parent metal. The chemically etched process allows the mechanical design to be flexible so that etching patterns can be adjusted to match the required operating temperature and pressure-drop constraints. The etched flow passages as shown in Fig. 9 are mainly categorised into four types: straight channel, zigzag (or wavy) channel, channel with S-shaped fins, and channel with airfoil fins. Since the 2000s, sCO₂ test facilities have been developed in the US, Japan, South Korea, China and the UK and the thermohydraulic performance of PCHEs has been extensively investigated. Huang et al. [113] and Kwon et al. [111] reviewed the flow and heat transfer characteristics of sCO₂, as well as available correlations for the design of PCHEs. Chai and Tassou [112] detailed characteristics and challenges relevant to PCHEs in sCO₂ Brayton cycles, including material selection, manufacture and assembly,
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Fig. 7. Microtube CO$_2$ heater for exhaust waste heat recovery: the heat exchanger schematic at the top of the figure consists of four modules; each module, displayed in the picture at the bottom of the figure, is made up of microtubes whose arrangement is reported in the A–A cross-section view (courtesy of Reaction Engines Ltd.).

Fig. 8. (a) Typical PCHE; (b) flow paths and details of the diffusion-bonded core (c) and of an etched plate (courtesy of Heatric Meggitt UK).

thermohydraulic performance, geometric optimisation and provided suggestions for further research and development.

A summary of heat transfer and friction factor correlations for PCHEs is listed in Table 3. These correlations were proposed based on CO$_2$, water and helium data. It is important to note that some of the performance data used was far from the critical point so many published correlations may be subject to a high degree of uncertainty. Moreover, most of the correlations were developed for specific flow passages and using thermophysical properties corresponding to the average temperature. Therefore, they are not universal but for specific PCHE configurations.

Despite the superior heat transfer performance, a major problem caused by the non-straight channels in PCHEs is their large pressure drop, due to longer flow passages and complicated channel geometry. Another important issue is related to the pinch point, particularly in the low temperature recuperator where two heat exchangers are employed to optimise the capital and operating costs. The rapid decrease in the temperature difference between the exchanging fluids, due to the large thermophysical properties of the two fluids, leads to a minimum heat transfer rate. Lastly, cleaning PCHEs is complicated due to a welded body from the core to the header. Hence, it is advisable to employ PCHEs within a limited fouling environment, or to at least use strainers.

According to [114], up to 90% of the cost of the sCO$_2$ Brayton cycle could be associated to heat exchangers assuming the use of PCHEs. As such, to facilitate techno-economic feasibility and the market uptake of sCO$_2$ power systems, alternatives to PCHE have been developed. Fourspring et al. [115] described two compact heat-transfer surfaces in the form of a recuperator. One surface employed a wire mesh as the extended heat-transfer surface, and the other surface employed a folded-wavy-fin as the extended heat-transfer surface. The recuperator employing the traditional, folded-wavy-fin heat-transfer surface can achieve the design heat-transfer rate with less than half of the allowable pressure drop. Carlson et al. [116] suggested that cast-metal heat exchangers may offer performance similar to or better than PCHEs at less than a fifth of the cost while allowing for greater flexibility in material selection and channel geometry. Chordia et al. [107] developed a microtube heat exchanger bundled together with axial separation sheets that direct flow in a strictly counter-current direction, which has the potential to meet the high temperature and high differential pressure criteria but with much lower capital cost.

4.2.3. Coolers

The operating temperatures and pressures in the cooler are lower than in the heater or recuperator, leading to less concern about structural integrity and material selection. The cooling fluid is either air or water, leading to widely different heat exchanger configurations. Their differing thermophysical properties significantly affect the heat flux in the cooler and influence the operating parameters and hence the heat transfer performance.

In air-coupled coolers, the sCO$_2$ operates at much higher pressure and has a significantly higher heat transfer coefficient than the cooling air, so the finned-tube heat design is appropriate for this application [109,110]. The sCO$_2$ flows in the array of circular or flat tubes, and the cooling air crosses the finned tubes where flat or continuous (plain, wavy, or interrupted) external fins are employed to increase the heat transfer surface area. Since the cooling sCO$_2$ is close to the critical point, the large variations of the thermodynamic properties can significantly affect predictions of the heat transfer and pressure drop. Therefore, the traditional Dittus–Boelter correlation [131] and
Gnielinski correlation [117], which are not well to account for the property differences between bulk fluid temperatures, are not accurate enough to predict the heat transfer coefficient for the sCO2. Jackson [132] summarised convective heat transfer correlations developed for fluids at supercritical pressure and compared them with approximately 2000 different experimental conditions. The correlation of Krasnoschekov [133] showed the best performance with 98% of the sCO2 data within 25% difference. Chai and Tassou [134] also compared their sCO2 results with six heat transfer correlations and the Krasnoschekov correlation again showed the best prediction. A major problem with air-cooled coolers is the pinch point, due to the one or two orders of magnitude lower heat transfer coefficient of the cooling air than the sCO2. The temperature drop of the sCO2 mostly takes place at the very small part of the heat exchanger [135]. The much lower density and specific heat capacity of the cooling air compared to the sCO2 also mean the cooler requires extremely high air mass flow rates and larger heat transfer surfaces. To address these problems, the microchannel-plate fin heat exchanger as shown in Fig. 10 can also be considered for the CO2 cooler design. The microchannel-plate fin cooler has the potential to achieve better heat transfer performance than the finned-tube one and significantly reduce the overall heat exchanger size.

Table 3
Summary of heat-transfer correlations for printed circuit heat exchangers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Applicability range</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Straight channel</td>
<td>[117,118]</td>
<td>[ Nu = \left( \frac{Re}{2000} \right)^{0.625} ] f = \left( \frac{1}{1 + 0.154 \left( \frac{Re}{2000} \right)} \right) ]</td>
<td>2000 ≤ Re ≤ 5 × 10^4, 0.5 ≤ Pr ≤ 2000</td>
<td>Helium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[118,119]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Nu = 3.52 \left( \frac{Re}{2000} \right)^{0.625} + 212 \left( \frac{Re}{2000} \right)^{0.0625} + 316.08 ]</td>
<td>2300 ≤ Re ≤ 3100</td>
<td>Helium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[120]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Nu = 0.01352 ± 0.0065Re^{0.0059} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Helium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[121]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Nu = 0.047516 ± 0.01562Re^{-0.13157} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Helium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zigzag channel</td>
<td>[122]</td>
<td>[ h_{\text{app}} = 2.52 Re^{0.625} ]</td>
<td>2800 ≤ Re ≤ 5800</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ = 0.01924 ± 0.0299Re^{-0.0012}]</td>
<td>2500 ≤ Re ≤ 2.2 × 10^6, 0.8 &lt; Pr &lt; 2.2</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[124]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 16.51 ± 0.1627Re ]</td>
<td>3500 ≤ Re ≤ 2.2 × 10^6</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[125]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ = 0.005515 ± 0.001496Re^{-0.001495}, ] [ = 0.009221 ± 0.01397Re^{-0.0252705} ]</td>
<td>1400 ≤ Re ≤ 2200</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[126]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 0.050002 ± 0.00033Re^{0.625} ]</td>
<td>5^1 ≤ θ ≤ 5^15, Pr ≤ 1, 200 ≤ Re ≤ 550, 4.1 ≤ h_{\text{ap}} / D_\text{i} ≤ 12.3</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[127]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = (0.716 ± 0.0289)Re^{0.1507}, 0.0110 ± 0.0057 ≤ D_\text{l} / D_\text{i} ≤ 0.54 ]</td>
<td>15° ≤ θ ≤ 45°, 550 ≤ Re ≤ 2000, Pr ≤ 1, 4.1 ≤ h_{\text{ap}} / D_\text{i} ≤ 12.3</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[128]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ = 0.0291 ± 0.0097Re^{-0.0414}, ] [ = 0.01388 ± 0.00032Re^{-0.567264} ]</td>
<td>1400 ≤ Re ≤ 2200</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[129]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 0.03088 ± 0.0212Re^{-0.0322078} ]</td>
<td>1400 ≤ Re ≤ 2200</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[130]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 0.019044 ± 0.001692 ]</td>
<td>1400 ≤ Re ≤ 2200</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-shape fins</td>
<td>[123]</td>
<td>[ Re = 0.01740 ± 0.00118Re^{-0.0048854} ]</td>
<td>3500 ≤ Re ≤ 2.3 × 10^6, 0.75 &lt; Pr &lt; 2.2</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[128]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 0.02970Re^{0.32125} ]</td>
<td>1500 ≤ Re &lt; 1.5 × 10^6, 1 &lt; Pr &lt; 3</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[131]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 0.931 ± 0.028Re^{0.054} ]</td>
<td>Re = 2500, 0.6 &lt; Pr &lt; 0.8</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airfoil fins</td>
<td>[123]</td>
<td>[ Re = 0.0730Re^{0.32125} ]</td>
<td>8000 &lt; Re &lt; 10^6</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[128]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ Re = 0.931 ± 0.028Re^{0.054} ]</td>
<td>8000 &lt; Re &lt; 10^6</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D_i: hydraulic diameter, f: friction factor, h: heat transfer coefficient, W/(m²K); j: Colburn factor, k: length, m; l: relative length, m; l_1: transverse pitch, m; Re: Reynolds number; Nc: Nusselt number; μ: pitch, m; p: longitudinal pitch, m; Pr: Prandtl number; w: width, m; w_1: width of internal channel, m; θ: fin angle; X: kinematic viscosity, m²/s. Subscripts: in, inlet; c, cold; h, hot; f: fin; in, inlet; min: minimum.
In water-coupled CO₂ coolers, the pinch point issue is not as pronounced due to comparable density and specific heat capacity between water and sCO₂. Plate heat exchangers have been employed in water-coupled cooler applications. The corrugated plates create channels with increased flow turbulence and extended heat transfer area, which improves heat transfer performance and minimises the fouling risk. Some review papers have been published to underline this application. Ayub [136] summarised the single-phase correlations available for the design and analysis of plate heat exchangers. Abu-Khader [137] presented advances in plate heat exchanger design while Elmaaty et al. [138] reviewed plate structures and heat transfer mechanisms. It should be noted that there is no generally accepted heat transfer correlation for sCO₂ flowing between corrugated plates. Chai and Tassou [110] modified the correlation proposed by Wanniarachchi et al. [139] with a function developed by Krasnoschekov [133] to account for the sCO₂ working close to the near-critical region in plate heat exchangers. Alongside plate heat exchangers, some newly proposed technologies employed in CO₂ heat-pump water heaters can be considered for sCO₂ cooler applications. These include fluted tube-in-tube [140], serpentine microchannel [141] and multi-twisted-tube heat exchangers [142]. They have been shown to be capable of operating at high pressures, have a high overall heat transfer coefficient and good temperature matching between water and the sCO₂ in counter-flow arrangements.

The significant variation of sCO₂ thermophysical properties in the near-critical-point region differentiates the heat transfer and flow mechanism from conventional fluids. Particularly, the abrupt increase of specific heat greatly increases the heat-transfer coefficient which reaches its peak at the pseudocritical temperature [143]. The heat transfer and flow mechanism are also influenced by geometrical and operational parameters, including channel shape and dimension, flow direction, mass flux, heat flux, inlet temperature and pressure, and heating or cooling conditions etc. [143–151]. Due to the density change, buoyancy also significantly affects the heat transfer and flow mechanism for all flow orientations at Reynolds numbers up to 10⁶ [144,145,152–155]. Heat transfer can also be significantly impaired by flow acceleration, especially for high-heat-flux conditions and in mini/micro channels [156, 157]. Several authors have developed empirical correlations for specific geometries; however, most have been developed for a given range of temperature, pressure, heat flux, and flow characteristics. Comparisons of various correlations for sCO₂ heat transfer showed that several correlations can be used for preliminary estimation of heat transfer in tubes, but no single correlation is able to accurately describe local heat transfer for different channel geometries [158,159].

4.3. Material considerations

Material selection for sCO₂ systems is dictated by the mechanical and thermal properties of the material, compatibility with the high-temperature and pressure CO₂ environment, and the fabrication cost of the power plant [160]. The sCO₂ environment strongly influences the evolution of ionic solubility and dissociation processes and further affects the performance of corrosion, oxidation and creep resistance of the material [161].

A brief summary of studies investigating material performance with moderate to high temperature sCO₂ is provided in Table 4. Within this the selected materials, test conditions, test characteristics and main findings are presented. However, most of these studies are limited to low sCO₂ velocity laboratory conditions, and are not the representative of the high-velocity operating conditions expected within practical sCO₂ power systems.

Key components concerning material selection are the heater, the recuperator, and the turbine. The heater involves either direct heating through the mixing of the heat carrier fluid with the sCO₂ or indirect heat transfer process between the different fluids, all of which operate at high temperature and high pressure and expose a large surface area to the heat source. A major consideration in heater design is material strength and durability. The thick-walled corrosion-resistant tubing should withstand rapid start-ups and transients, and should guarantee long thermal cycling and fatigue life under temperature and pressure containment of both sCO₂ and the heat source.

The recuperator operates under high temperature, high pressure and significant pressure differentials between the exchanging fluids which requires considerable material resistance to creep and corrosion for long service duration without any structural degradation [112]. To satisfy these requirements, most sCO₂ test facilities have employed PCHEs for heat recuperation (see Section 4.2.2). PCHEs are manufactured by photochemically machining the flow passages into flat plates followed by stacking the plates together which are then diffusion bonded. As noted by Li et al. [167], the material challenge for these heat exchangers is to withstand exposure to high temperatures and pressures for up to 60 years within a very corrosive environment involving possible oxidation, carburisation or decarburisation. Material selection also demands a balance between heat conduction performance and capital cost.

The operating conditions within the turbine bring about both high temperature and pressure drops from inlet to outlet. The concern for material selection relates to the thermal expansion during operation, the mechanical interference during startup and shutdown, the temperature limits of the seals and bearings, corrosion and other design issues. As mentioned by Wright et al. [183], sCO₂ pressures up to 300 bar and the higher density (compared to steam) can significantly influence the loading on the turbine rotor blades and necessitate higher strength alloys. The alloy choice is determined by the required strength at peak temperature, which depends on the structural design of the turbine blades and whether they are cooled. Additionally, flexible materials are usually used for seals in the turbomachinery. The sCO₂ can dissolve into these materials at high pressure and cause rapid gas decompression, leading to unique challenges for seal design.

To keep material costs low, various classes of structural alloys, including low-alloyed steels, austenitic steels, Nickel alloys, and Titanium alloys, can be employed in a power cycle for different equipment and component design. Generally, at operating temperatures lower than 650 °C, traditional stainless steel can be employed, while for operating temperatures higher than 650 °C, nickel-based or even titanium-based alloys would be required to reduce oxidation from the sCO₂ environment and achieve pressure containment without excessive material thicknesses, but at much higher capital cost [164].

4.4. Control systems

Power plants based on sCO₂ technology are widely regarded as flexible systems thanks to their capability to operate efficiently both at full and part load, and to quickly adapt to large variations in the operating conditions and at high ramp rates [184]. In the short to medium term, this is a key requirement for base-load power systems.
given the increasing penetration of renewable, yet intermittent, energy sources in the global energy mix. As such, a large body of research has focused on the development of control strategies to address the part-load operation and the transient behaviour of sCO₂ systems during startup and shutdown. In this framework, the use of dynamic modelling approaches have facilitated the understanding of the transient performance of sCO₂ power equipment and systems prior to the development of the physical controls. The dynamic modelling of sCO₂ power systems has been carried out through low order models (zero and one dimensional) implemented either in ad-hoc tools (ANL’s Plant Dynamics Code [185,186], MIT’s SCPS and TSCYO [187]) or in commercial ones such as Modelica/Dymola® [188-191], GT-SUITE® [192,193], ASPEN PLUS® [194,195], and Apros® [196].

Compressors and turbines are typically modelled using a map-based approach [67] in which the inputs are either from ad-hoc models (mean-line codes [197], 3D CFD [193]) or from experimental data [198]. On the other hand, the off-design performance of turbomachinery can be scaled using similarity theory [191,199]. The map-based approach is justified by the timescale of any dynamics within turbomachinery, which is significantly shorter than that of heat exchangers. As such, performance maps merely act as look-up tables to provide the boundary conditions to the equipment upstream and downstream. To account for the time variation of the revolution speed imposed by a change of load at the generator, some studies further considered the turbomachinery rotodynamic aspects [200]. Unlike the conventional map-based approaches which rely on a single non-dimensional map to describe the whole operation of the turbomachine, the strong real gas effects of CO₂ in close proximity to the critical point of CO₂ require multi-dimensional maps at different inlet conditions [187]. This holds especially for compressors working in the critical region. Alternatively, dimensionless turbomachinery performance maps, expressed in terms of flow and load coefficients, may be employed to overcome this issue [201,202].

Heat exchangers are typically modelled with a transient one-dimensional formulation of the conservation equations underpinned by semi-empirical correlations for the heat transfer coefficient and friction factor, listed in Section 4.2 [187,203]. The fine discretisation
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Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Test conditions</th>
<th>Test characteristics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maziasz et al.</td>
<td>347SS and Alloys 120, 214, 230, 625, 740, 803, HR120 and AL20-25+Nb</td>
<td>650-800 °C</td>
<td>Creep strength</td>
<td>347SS cannot be used at temperature exceeds 650 °C; Alloys 214, 625, HR120 and AL20-25+Nb can have very good properties for high temperatures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osman et al.</td>
<td>347SS</td>
<td>700 °C/54 and 221 MPa</td>
<td>Creep rupture</td>
<td>Thin foil specimens of 347SS had higher creep rates and rupture ductility than their bulk specimen counterparts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans et al.</td>
<td>Alloy 625</td>
<td>750 °C/100 MPa</td>
<td>Creep rupture</td>
<td>Alloy 625 is an attractive potential alloy for use in the high temperature heat exchanger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>Alloys 800H, HX, 230 and 617</td>
<td>900 °C</td>
<td>Creep strength</td>
<td>Alloy 617 is the leading candidate material for the high temperature heat exchanger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klover et al.</td>
<td>Alloy 617</td>
<td>700 °C</td>
<td>Creep strength</td>
<td>Alloy 617 can be a candidate material for 700 °C power plants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al.</td>
<td>347SS, NF616, HCM12A and Alloy 800H</td>
<td>650 °C/3925 psi</td>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>Cr and Al had profound influence on imparting corrosion resistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cao et al.</td>
<td>316SS, 310SS and Alloy 800H</td>
<td>650 °C/200 bar</td>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>Alloy 800H exhibited the best corrosion resistance, followed by 310SS and 316SS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firoozbod et al.</td>
<td>AL-6XN, Alloy PE-16, Haynes 230 and Alloy 625</td>
<td>650 °C/200 bar</td>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>Cr₂O₃ oxide layers protect the Haynes 230 and Alloy 625 from further corrosion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>Alloys 800HT, 600 and 690</td>
<td>550, 600 and 650 °C/200 bar</td>
<td>Corrosion and carburisation</td>
<td>The a-alumina layer results in superior carburisation resistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rouillard et al.</td>
<td>T91, 316L, 253MA® and Alloy 800</td>
<td>550 °C/250 bar</td>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>Alloy 800 was much more corrosion-resistant than T91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holcomb et al.</td>
<td>347H, Alloys 625 and 282</td>
<td>730 °C/207 bar</td>
<td>Oxidation and corrosion</td>
<td>Little effect of pressure on the oxidation behaviour of Alloys 625 and 282; Austenitic stainless steels would be more cost effective for long term use in sCO₂ power system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam et al.</td>
<td>Alloy 800H</td>
<td>650 and 750 °C/200 bar</td>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>sCO₂ resulted in a higher density of carbides beneath the oxide scale than the air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gui et al.</td>
<td>T91, VM12, Super 304H, and Sanicro 25</td>
<td>650 °C/150 bar</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Super 304H and Sanicro 25 showed enhanced corrosion resistance due to the chromia-rich oxide scales formed on them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang et al.</td>
<td>T91, TP347HFG and Alloy 617</td>
<td>650 °C/150 bar</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>TP347HFG and 617 showed enhanced their corrosion resistance due to the chromia-rich oxide scales formed on them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pint et al.</td>
<td>Fe- and Ni-based alloys</td>
<td>750 °C/300 bar</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Pressure had a limited effect on oxide thickness and internal oxidation and reaction products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidabadi et al.</td>
<td>Alloy F91</td>
<td>550 °C/100 bar</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Pressure affects the increase rate of carbon concentration at the oxide-alloy interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.</td>
<td>316H and Alloy 800HT</td>
<td>600 °C/200 bar</td>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>316H and Alloy 800HT exhibited reduced elongation at fracture after sCO₂ exposure; Alloy 800HT shows much greater ductility reduction and brittle failure at the bond-line.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
required to resolve the non-linearities of heat transfer phenomena in sCO₂ applications results in high computational costs for dynamic simulations which goes against the requirements for an appropriate control system. To overcome this shortcoming, a correction factor for the logarithmic mean temperature difference (LMTD) coupled with an iterative pressure drop calculation has been proposed to accelerate the off-design simulations in PCHE up to 350 times and with less than 5% deviation from the one-dimensional performance results [204]. In addition to this, non-model based adaptive control approaches, such as the extremum seeking one, are being explored. This method considers the sCO₂ system as a black box and relies on continuous measurements of the plant performance, e.g. turbine inlet pressure and temperature as well as net power output [205].

In addition to the specifics that are dictated by the heat source, such as reactor core cooling in nuclear applications, in a sCO₂ plant the purpose of the control system is to ensure an efficient operation of the power block without exceeding safety and operational limits. Typical limitations that apply both at part-load and transient conditions are: compressor stall/choking/two-phase operation, turbine choking, extreme CO₂ pressures and temperatures, extreme shaft rotational speeds, cooling water exit temperature not exceeding calcification threshold etc. [187]. Control systems should also aim at meeting the load demand and ramp rate, maintaining cycle efficiency and rejecting process disturbances such as heat input availability [195].

Control strategies have mainly been investigated with reference to the recompression and simple recuperated cycle layouts given the cost and efficiency advantages recalled in Section 3 as well as the availability of experimental data. The controllers implemented have mostly been proportional-integral ones (PI) since they provide zero error at the steady state and are insensitive to the higher-frequency terms of the inputs such as interferences [197,206]. However, the derivative term in the PID controllers set-up may also be tuned through the Cohen–Coon technique [207]. The operational stability of sCO₂ power systems has mainly been addressed in terms of control of the compressor inlet temperature and pressure balance at the junction points in the case of recompression cycles [208]. On the other hand, the sCO₂ performance at part-load were primarily controlled through the turbine inlet temperature [194]. A summary of control strategies is reported in Fig. 11; the scheme refers to a recompressed cycle as an example and does not relate to a specific application.

The control of the compressor inlet temperature may be achieved by acting on the heat sink at the cooler. In particular, the combined control of the heat sink flow rate (Fig. 11a) and the cooler bypass (Fig. 11b) can result in a constant temperature at the main compressor inlet, avoiding the two-phase region [187]. At part-load conditions, before using inventory control, the low temperature control may be employed to isobarically increase the compressor inlet temperature, up to a region in which the fluid density is less sensitive to pressure changes (e.g. from 32 °C to 34 °C). In this way, the CO₂ inventory withdrawal required for operation at part-load can take place without introducing significant instabilities to the system whilst still maintaining operation outside the two-phase region [209]. However, since with no inventory control the CO₂ mass in the cycle is constant, an isobaric change of compressor inlet conditions is only possible if the turbine inlet temperature is regulated at the same time as compressor inlet temperature. In the case analysed in [209], this was achieved through a reduction of the nuclear reactor power and the sodium mass flow rate, i.e. the heat input to the sCO₂ power block.

In the recompression cycle, the flow split ratio between the two compressors (Fig. 11c) was considered to maintain compressor surge margin [208]. In the simple recuperated cycle, the same control functionality can be achieved through a bypass of flow from downstream of the compressor to upstream of the cooler (Fig. 11d) [67]. The control of the recompressor flow showed marginal benefits when used for load regulation compared to the inventory control. However, the compressor flow control resulted in optimal load tracking with lower control complexity [208].

The control of the turbine inlet temperature has been addressed through multiple approaches: turbine flow bypass, turbine flow throttling, inventory control, speed control if the power turbine is on an independent shaft and/or if the generator is a synchronous permanent magnet (Fig. 11g) [204]. The turbine flow bypass (Fig. 11e) reduces the mass flow rate expanding in the turbine and was found to be a suitable strategy for fast transients and for load variations between 90% and 100% of the design value.

Inventory control implies a change of the CO₂ charge between the power loop and the storage tanks. This approach was found to be the most efficient strategy to maximise the cycle efficiency for operation between 50% and 90% of the design point as well as to increase the load by up to 110% of the nominal value [187]. The stability implications due to the withdrawals/additions of CO₂ were identified as one of the major drawbacks of inventory control. Moreover, this approach usually suffers from a slow response rate compared to the turbine bypass control. In fact, the filling and emptying processes are often driven by pressure gradients in the loop rather than through the ancillaries. As such, the key limiting factor in inventory control lies in the finite capacity of the storage tanks, whose estimation can be preliminary carried out through the approach proposed by Bitsch and Chaboseau in [210] and recalled in [211]. The location of the inventory storage tanks significantly affects the transient behaviour of the system due to the possible temporary mismatch between the compressor and turbine mass flow rates. The most common layout considers the withdrawal point downstream of the compressor and the feeding point upstream of the cooler (Fig. 11h). This layout is suitable and responsive for load reductions but suffers from a response in the case of increased load demand. A fast supply of CO₂ from the storage tanks upstream of the compressor would lead to a sudden increase in compression power for the same turbine power which may, in the worst situations, cause a shutdown of the power system. To overcome the slow response rate at increasing loads, inventory control layouts with removal and feeding points downstream of the compressor and two storage systems were also considered (Fig. 11i). In this arrangement, the turbine power is always greater than the compressor power [211]. However, an ancillary system is required to boost the inventory stored in the high-pressure cylinder beyond the compressor outlet pressure. Together with inventory control, the inertia of the sCO₂ power system may also be tuned with respect to the volume ratio between hot and cold sides of the loop. A smaller hot-to-cold side volume-ratio leads to faster startup while large ratios are advisable for continuous load requirements and fluctuating heat source and sink conditions [189].
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**Fig. 11.** Summary of control strategies reported on a recompressed cycle layout: (a) heat sink flow rate, (b) cooler bypass, (c) compressor flow split, (d) compressor bypass, (e) turbine bypass, (f) turbine throttling, (g) turbine speed, (h) single tank inventory control, (i) dual tank inventory control.
The turbine flow throttling (Fig. 11f) was envisaged for part-load operation between 50% and 20% of the nominal power. This approach requires the coupling of turbine throttling with the flow split control in recompression cycles to ensure the operation of the compressors within their acceptable ranges. Further load reductions can be accomplished using also the turbine bypass [187].

Besides theoretical knowledge, the outcomes of transient and control studies have been exploited to develop the controls for the existing experimental facilities. Even though each test rig has its own unique features, based on the operational experience reported, similar procedures were identified. The filling process of sCO$_2$ loops was carried out with high-purity carbon dioxide (e.g. 99.995%), after vacuuming the loop to remove moisture and non-condensable gases. Similarly to CO$_2$ refrigeration systems, gaseous CO$_2$ should be employed during the initial charging to overcome the CO$_2$ triple point (5.17 bar, -56.60 °C) and prevent the formation of dry ice. Afterwards, liquid CO$_2$ allows faster charging rates until the saturation pressure at ambient conditions is achieved [212]. From this state, a small quantity heat is supplied to the loop, until the whole CO$_2$ vapourises and reaches supercritical conditions throughout the circuit (e.g. 85 bar, 38 °C [66]). The heat input during the startup phase may be supplied from different locations of the loop and may also involve a temporary shutdown of the heat rejection system [190]. At the same time, ancillary devices, such as CO$_2$ centrifugal pumps or air driven reciprocating gas boosters, are used to circulate a minimal flow rate to ensure the absence of two-phase spots. At the end of the start-up phase, the turbomachinery can be switched on and the heat load increased. While the turbomachinery speed ramps-up fast (e.g. 20 s from 0% to 100% of design speed [213]), the heat is supplied gradually (e.g. 50–111 K/h [66,212]) to avoid thermal stresses in the equipment and joints. From these conditions on-wards, the control strategies discussed above are used to reach the desired test conditions [214,215]. A cooling procedure in the reverse order of the start-up procedure is employed for the shutdown of the turbomachinery and eventually the whole power system.

Regarding the largest scale systems for which control literature has been published, it is worth acknowledging the STEP and Echogen research contributions. In fact, besides the performance aspects previously discussed, the architectures of these sCO$_2$ systems include additional loops which are paramount to fulfil functional and operational requirements. The controls of the STEP test facility are presented in [195]. The full control system consists of flow, pressure and temperature controllers for the natural gas fuelled heat source, temperature controllers for the compressor and turbine inlet temperatures, pressure-based inventory controller with split-range philosophy to prevent jittering (open/close), and net work controller to meet the power demand. The control system of the Echogen’s 7.3 MW$_e$ EPS100 unit is instead composed of: a compressor bypass valve to control the turbocompressor speed and, in turn, flow rate and pressure rise; a combination of bypass and throttle valves, and load bank resistance (simulating the grid in the study) to control the power turbine; an inventory control system downstream of the cooler to control the compressor inlet pressure [192]. In both applications all controllers were PI ones. However, the Echogen’s study additionally reports the experimental validation of the model-based control system. The simulations were initialised with experimental boundary conditions at steady state and then validated against more than eight hours of transient data. When the measured turbocompressor speed was used as the boundary condition instead of the set point value, a good alignment between measurements and simulation data was reported. A key open point highlighted by Echogen, and generally applicable to any sCO$_2$ control research, was the need to also validate such models at startup and shutdown conditions, where different control strategies from the performance-oriented ones come into play.

5. Applications

Supercritical CO$_2$ technology offers a broad potential for power generation and propulsion. An attempt to summarise the operating ranges and sizes envisaged for the main application areas is reported in Fig. 12. These application areas are elaborated on in the following subsections, whilst a summary of the main application areas is provided in Table 5, in which representative cycles, operating conditions and power ratings are presented alongside thermodynamic and economic metrics such as thermal efficiency and levelised cost of electricity (LCOE). A market analysis conducted by Sandia National Laboratories projects an LCOE for an sCO$_2$ system between 44.8 and 56.1 $/MWh for power ratings between 100 and 300 MW$_e$ [216], but these values are not linked to a specific application.

5.1. Fossil fuelled and waste heat to power generation

Supercritical CO$_2$ power systems were originally conceived to overcome the limitations of steam power plants. Even though sCO$_2$ power concepts regained popularity in the early 2000s for nuclear applications, the need for operational flexibility to accommodate the increasing penetration of renewable energy sources in the global energy mix, the low footprint and the possibility to integrate a carbon capture system provided further consideration of sCO$_2$ cycles for base-load power generation applications.

Fossil fuelled sCO$_2$ power plants are commonly classified based on the heat addition method [218]: in direct heating, the CO$_2$ loop is an open system in which the heat input is from combustion processes involving fuels and oxidants circulating together with CO$_2$; in indirect heating, the sCO$_2$ power block is a closed loop system where heat addition and rejection take place through heat exchangers. Hence, the sCO$_2$ unit acts as a typical bottoming heat to power system, such as steam or organic Rankine cycles which, in turn, are the main competitors of sCO$_2$ technology. The bottoming cycle approach is also applicable for waste heat recovery.

5.1.1. Indirect sCO$_2$ heating — Heat to power

Heat to power generation through bottoming sCO$_2$ cycles aims at the recovery of thermal energy from the topping process to maximise the power output. This goal differs from maximising cycle efficiency, which is typical of applications in which heat is generated at a cost.
Table 5
Summary of the main application areas for sCO₂ power cycles and notable thermodynamic and economic modelling studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Operating conditions</th>
<th>Power [MW]</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>LCOE/COE [$/MWh]*</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycle</td>
<td>$T_{max}$ [°C]</td>
<td>$p_{max}$ [bar]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil fuel (coal</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>(i) integrated utilisation factor due to higher</td>
<td>(i) competition with ultra-supercritical steam power plants with CCS; (ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fired)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>operational flexibility than steam plants; (ii)</td>
<td>technological gap for primary heater (aka sCO₂ boiler) and axial turbomachinery;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH+CCS</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>parasitic losses due to CCS compensated by high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cycle efficiency; (iii) direct cooling reduces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>water consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(oxy-fuel)</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>(i) integrated carbon sequestration; (ii) higher</td>
<td>(i) technological gap for sCO₂ oxy-combustor; (ii) CO₂ impurities; (iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>efficiency than indirect heating cycles; (iii)</td>
<td>materials corrosion and erosion; (iv) turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>optimal operation at higher cycle pressure ratios</td>
<td>blade film cooling; (v) alternative control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>than conventional sCO₂ cycles; (iv) operational</td>
<td>strategies; (vi) competition with other oxy-fuelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flexibility; (v) low footprint; (vi) very attractive</td>
<td>power generation concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LCOE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(oxy-coal)</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>(i) competition with conventional steam and ORC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>systems; (ii) same techno-financial barriers as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>other WHR technologies; (iii) need primary heater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>technologies characterised by low pressure drop,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>resistance to corrosion and fouling, modularity etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste heat</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>(i) suitable for high-grade (&gt;350 °C) concentrated</td>
<td>(i) CSP LCOE not yet competitive with solar PV; (ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(gas turbines)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>waste heat sources (&gt;1 MW); (ii) high efficiency;</td>
<td>need suitable heat carriers for operation at elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(iii) operational flexibility; (iv) low footprint</td>
<td>temperatures; (iii) CSP plants are in regions with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>high ambient temperatures; (iv) demonstration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>required at appropriate scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCPC</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IC engines)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SR+ORC</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(industrial)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>(i) suitable for next generation CSP systems (&gt;600</td>
<td>(i) CSP LCOE not yet competitive with solar PV; (ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCPC</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>°C); (ii) higher efficiency should reduce size and</td>
<td>need suitable heat carriers for operation at elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cost of collector field; (iii) simpler, compact</td>
<td>temperatures; (iii) CSP plants are in regions with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>power block; (iv) heat exchange temperature profiles</td>
<td>high ambient temperatures; (iv) demonstration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>allow compact thermal-energy storage</td>
<td>required at appropriate scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RET</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RTC</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>RCRH</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>(i) good temperature match with future Gen IV SFR</td>
<td>(i) technology needs to be demonstrated in other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td>and LFR reactors; (ii) increased efficiency and</td>
<td>applications first; (ii) need to understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reduced physical footprint should reduce LCOE; (iii)</td>
<td>interactions between sCO₂ and reactor materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCRPH</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>good candidate for small modular reactors</td>
<td>(e.g., sodium, lead)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Reduced pumping work compared to indirect brine</td>
<td>(i) more sensitive to ambient cooling conditions;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>systems and better performance at shallow depths and</td>
<td>(ii) operation close to the critical point means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>low reservoir permeabilities</td>
<td>turbine designs differ to other sCO₂ systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Assumed conversion rate: 1 EUR = 1.1 USD.
✓Assuming 10 kg/s waste exhaust and plate heat exchangers as gas coolers.
\‡Overnight capital costs, $/kWc.
\$The related SunShot programme within the U.S. targets a LCOE of 60 $/MWh with a thermal efficiency in excess of 50%.
\†The related SCARABEUS project targets a LCOE of 106 $/MWh (96 EURO/MWh) with a thermal efficiency in excess of 50%.
\*Total product cost based on exergoeconomic assessment.
i.e. nuclear or fuel combustion. With the exception of coal-fired power plants, in indirect heating applications the heat stream comes as a by-product of a main process. As such, if not recovered, that thermal energy will be otherwise wasted to the environment. For this reason, highly recuperative cycles are not preferred for waste heat recovery. Instead, split cycles, that divert part of the CO₂ flow downstream of the compressor directly to the heater rather than to the recuperators, are more suited [28,38,222].

Bottoming heat to power cycles based on steam or organic working fluids have a mature technology readiness level. A number of providers offer commercial solutions even in the kilowatt power range. In this context, even though the technology is potentially applicable to a broader spectrum of operating conditions, sCO₂ technology becomes particularly competitive for high-grade heat sources (>350 °C) and large-scale applications (>0.5 MWₑ). These considerations result from the authors’ experience in sCO₂ heat to power projects and business cases developed for European industry. The aforementioned market segment allows sCO₂ to tackle high temperature sources, even beyond the operating ranges of ultra-supercritical (USC) steam power cycles (600–620 °C), with favourable economies of scale and lower footprint. Potential applications for sCO₂ power units are gas turbines, reciprocating internal combustion engines and energy intensive industries.

The global gas turbine market was valued at more than $6bn in 2019. In March 2020, pre-covid-19 projections estimated a growth at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 8.2% in the 2020–2026 period with the additional installed capacity of 43.7 GW worldwide [235]. The 250–500 MW segment is considered the backbone of the gas turbine power generation industry [236]. Market growth drivers are the global commitment towards clean energy outlooks (gas rather than coal, integration with renewable energy sources, upgrade or retrofit of existing equipment etc.), the renewed interest in shale gas and liquefied natural gas (LNG) as well as the decommissioning of nuclear power plants in some regions. Globally, the Asia-Pacific region has the largest market for gas turbines, with China and India being the hot spots for demand [237]. Unlike reciprocating internal combustion engines, in a gas turbine the waste heat is almost totally concentrated in the turbine exhaust, whose temperature ranges between 350 °C and 600 °C [238]. Supercritical CO₂ cycles could be employed as an alternative to current bottoming steam sections in greenfield or retrofitted combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT) power plants.

The global generator set (or genset) market was valued at over $18bn in 2019 and, before covid-19 (April 2019), it was anticipated to grow at a CAGR of 6% by 2030. The segment above 750 kVA is considered the backbone of the genset industry. The main market driver for gensets is the need for continuous and unperturbed power supply, especially for data centres and those industries impacted by the digital revolution [239]. Genset power units are composed of an electrical generator driven by a reciprocating internal combustion engine which can be fuelled with natural gas, diesel, gasoline, biofuels etc. Out of the fuel energy input, nearly one third is wasted through the engine exhaust at temperatures ranging from 350 °C up to 670 °C [240]. Supercritical CO₂ power cycles could be employed as an alternative to the current bottoming ORC sections. However, given the size of the reciprocating internal combustion engines, the power output is expected to be below 1 MWₑ. This aspect, together with a possible low capacity factor, poses serious challenges to the economic feasibility of the sCO₂ retrofit.

Recent top-down estimations of the waste-heat potential in industry indicate that the share of primary energy consumption wasted, as exhausted or effluents, that is above 300 °C is 11.4% (3367 TWh in absolute terms) of the total supply at world level and 8.7% (275 TWh) at European level [241,242]. Among the industrial sectors reviewed, the ones in which high grade waste heat has the highest share of primary energy consumption are iron and steel, non-ferrous metals (i.e. aluminium) and non-metallic minerals (i.e. glass and cement). Detailed assessments on waste-heat recovery opportunities in these sectors can be found in [243–246]. Common elements to these industrial processes are the large size of the application, the coexistence of convective and radiative mechanisms in the heat source (mostly due to furnaces), the uninterrupted nature of the process and the need to comply to emission trading systems regulations (e.g. European ETS). These aspects are in favour of a waste-heat recovery retrofit with sCO₂ systems, which unlike steam or organic Rankine cycles, would be more compact and able to fully tackle the high temperature recovery opportunity of these energy intensive industries.

5.1.2. Indirect sCO₂ heating — Coal power

According to the IEA’s sustainable development scenario, coal power generation is expected to drop from 10 PWh to 2 PWh by 2040. Nonetheless, coal power still contributes to 37% of today’s world electricity supply and is mostly used for base-load power generation [247]. As such, a number of initiatives are being explored to retrofit or upgrade existing coal fired power stations with sCO₂ technology, with the two key drivers being decarbonisation and flexibility. The majority of the published research has focused on cycle analysis and thermo-economic assessments, including carbon capture systems [108,219,248]. Among these works, there is strong agreement in identifying the sCO₂ “boiler” as the technological bottleneck. Compared to a steam boiler at the same duty, a coal-fired sCO₂ system has larger heat transfer surfaces due to the higher mass flow rates, lower heat transfer coefficients (3–5 kW/m²K [249]) and lower pressure drop requirements [250]. Carbon dioxide also enters the heater near supercritical conditions and exit the heater at temperatures higher than the 620 °C of USC boilers [251]. This poses challenges in material selection and availability due to high-temperature corrosion (internal because of CO₂ and external due to the flue gas), non-stationary load profiles and different control strategies [184], as well as cost limitations.

5.1.3. Direct sCO₂ heating

Direct heating sCO₂ power cycles are open-loop internal combustion engines underpinned by variants of the Joule–Brayton cycle and in which the heat input typically results from an oxy-fuel combustion using either natural gas or syngas from a coal gasification process. Hence, this concept is applicable and is being developed both for gaseous and solid fossil fuels. The sCO₂ heater found within indirect cycles is replaced by a combustor in which the heat of the oxy-combustion is diluted with CO₂ entering the combustor after a regenerative heating at temperatures around 750 °C. The direct heating not only allows higher cycle temperatures than indirect sCO₂ cycles but can also deliver optimal performance at higher pressure ratios. As a result, at the turbine inlet (200+ bar and 1100+ °C) the working fluid has a higher power density compared to indirect heating cycles.

Besides the theoretical efficiency advantages resulting from high turbine inlet temperature and cycle pressure ratio, the most interesting aspect of these advanced cycle architectures is the capability to perform a carbon sequestration together with power conversion (i.e. without additional equipment). This is achieved thanks to the following operational features: the oxy-combustion products are primarily CO₂ and water; water can be separated downstream of the cooler; the maximum cycle pressure exceeds conventional CO₂ pipelines (110–150 bar [220]). Hence, the CO₂ generated as a product of combustion can be separated downstream of the compressor such that the CO₂ flow at the inlet of the high-pressure side of the recuperator is constant, even if the CO₂ loop is open. For this reason, this family of cycles are also referred to as semi-closed.

A breakthrough in the field of direct heating sCO₂ fossil power generation was the invention of the Allam–Fetvedt cycle, commonly referred to as the Allam cycle. The concept was patented in 2011 [252] and is being up-scaled to full-scale demonstration through initiatives led, partly or fully, by 8 Rivers Capital both for natural gas (50MWₑ) NET Power’s plant in La Porte, Texas (US) [253] and coal-fired (300 MWₑ, ‘Allam Cycle Zero Emission Coal Power’ project co-funded by US
DOE [254]) power generation. The Allam cycle is a simple regenerative, semi-closed sCO₂ cycle in which the imbalance between residual enthalpy at the low pressure turbine exhaust and the heat required to raise the temperature of the high pressure CO₂ flow prior to combustion is compensated through an external heat addition at the recuperator. This external regenerative heat is provided either by the air separation unit (ASU) in the gas-fired version of the cycle or by the coal gasifier in the coal-fired Allam cycle [73,255]. Since the invention of the Allam cycle, several investigations have been carried out in the field of direct heating sCO₂ power cycles.

Alongside thermodynamic and techno-economic studies [221,256, 257], a large body or research is currently aiming to tackle the know-how and technological challenges introduced by the direct heating of CO₂ as well as the more ambitious operating conditions which characterise these cycles: CO₂ impurities due to fuel, nitrogen, water; corrosion aspects in coal gasification; CO₂ corrosion at high and low temperatures; combustion dynamics in high density flow [258–260]; turbine blade film cooling and erosion due to impurities [261]; recuperator erosion due to impurities and stress magnitude due to high cycle pressure ratios; alternative control strategies to turbine throttling [262]; materials [263]; levellised costs of electricity in comparison with other oxy-fuel power generation concepts [220].

5.2. Concentrated-solar power

A concentrated-solar power (CSP) plant uses a mirror or lens to focus the sun’s rays that fall onto a given area to a much smaller receiver area in order to generate heat, which is subsequently converted into electricity through the power block. The key components of a CSP plant are the solar collector, the solar receiver and the power block, although thermal-energy storage is also a key component to decouple the availability of the sun and the demand for power. Whilst all components are important, emphasis here will be placed on the power block, for which sCO₂ is a promising candidate; for a more detailed review of CSP technology readers can refer to the literature [264,265].

Cumulatively, the global installed capacity of CSP has grown five-fold since 2010, and in that time has seen a drop in LCOE from 0.346 $/kWh to 0.182 $/kWh [266]. However, compared to other technologies CSP is still in its infancy, and is associated with higher LCOE values compared to other renewable technologies, such as solar PV (0.068 $/kWh) [266]. Much of the drop in LCOE for CSP systems can be attributed to reductions in the costs of solar collector fields, which represent around 40% of the total capital cost [267]. In contrast, there have not been significant developments in the power block technology, which remains based on the steam Rankine cycle.

The deployment of an sCO₂ power block, in place of steam, could offer a number of benefits. Firstly, sCO₂ power cycles are capable of achieving higher thermal efficiencies at temperatures relevant to CSP applications, which enables sCO₂ to produce the same amount of energy using a smaller solar field. Moreover, the possibility for more compact turbomachinery, a simpler cycle layout, and a smaller physical footprint could reduce both the thermal mass and complexity of the power block, which could improve the cycle’s response time during intermittent operation, whilst the lack of phase-change within the primary heat-addition process reduces the pinch point within the primary heater and should allow for more compact thermal-energy storage systems [267,268]. Consequently, the use of sCO₂ has the potential to reduce capital, operational and maintenance costs of the power block, enabling a significant reduction in the LCOE of CSP plants. This motivation is supported by the Gen3 CSP roadmap [269], developed within the US SunShot programme, which maps out the pathway towards the next-generation of CSP systems.

Whilst space does not permit a detailed review of sCO₂–CSP systems (such a review is provided by Yin et al. [7]), thermodynamic modelling and optimisation remains an important research topic to identify optimal cycle layouts and operating conditions for CSP applications. Neises & Turchi [268] compared simple recuperated, re-compression and partially-cooled cycles for CSP applications in terms of thermodynamic performance. Later the same authors considered the economic performance and concluded that the partially-cooled cycle had a LCOE that was 6.2% lower than either the simple or re-compression cycle [228]. Binotti et al. [270] compared cycles for a CSP application with temperatures up to 800 °C and found that a re-compression cycle with main compression inter-cooling outperformed either the re-compression cycle or partially-cooled cycles. Finally, Crespi et al. [39] assessed the overnight capital costs of sCO₂ for CSP applications, with their conclusions suggesting that the partially-cooled cycle is a promising candidate when both thermodynamic performance and capital costs are considered. Their results also suggest that very complex cycles may be unsuitable, even though they have high thermal efficiencies. Ultimately, these studies point towards the recompression and partially-cooled cycles as most promising candidates sCO₂–CSP systems.

Alongside thermodynamic modelling, there is a need to demonstrate the technology at a commercial scale. Of this, the developments under current programmes are most notable, which targets a LCOE of 0.06 $/kWh for CSP systems, with a thermal efficiency of 50%, and under which a 10 MW sCO₂ turbine is being tested up to 750 °C and 250 bar under reduced flow conditions [70,91]. Another notable development is the Shouhang-EDF demonstration plant in China, which involves retrofitting a 10 MW steam power plant with a sCO₂ power block by the end of 2020. The plant employs a re-compression cycle with intercooling and preheating with an estimated net efficiency of 35.6%, and while the current maximum turbine inlet temperature is limited to 468 °C, the project eventually aims to achieve higher temperatures [230].

Finally, it is worth noting that optimal locations for CSP plants are typically in hot and arid regions where there may be a limited availability of water. As such, it is necessary to rely on dry cooling for the heat-rejection process, which leads to compressor inlet temperatures in the region of 50 °C once the approach temperature in the cooler is considered. Whilst sCO₂ cycles are suitable for dry cooling [267], this increase in compressor temperature moves the compression process away from the critical point, somewhat negating the low compressor work promised by operating with sCO₂, and may also require increased compressor inlet pressures to maximise efficiency [271]. To this end, CO₂-blends have been proposed to increase the critical point of the working fluid, not only moving the compression process closer to the critical point, but also facilitating the use of a transcritical cycle. Manzolini et al. [32] studied CO₂-blends for CSP applications, and suggest that for turbine inlet temperatures of 550 and 700 °C CO₂-blends could increase thermal efficiency by 2% and reduce LCOE by 10% compared to a conventional steam cycle.

5.3. Nuclear power

Nuclear power plants generate heat through a contained and controlled nuclear reaction within a nuclear reactor core. The heat generated by this reaction is then removed from the core through a heat-transfer fluid and then converted into electricity by the power cycle. In the case of a direct power cycle, the heat-transfer fluid is the working fluid of the power cycle, whilst in an indirect cycle the heat-transfer fluid leaving the core exchanges heat with the power cycle working fluid through an additional heat-exchange process.

Currently, there are six Generation IV nuclear reactors that are being investigated for deployment within future nuclear power applications [272]. These advanced reactors employ various means to cool the core, and subsequently provide heat to the power generation system. The goal of these reactors is to realise higher core outlet temperatures (500 to 900 °C) compared to water-cooled reactors (≈ 300 °C) [3]. This increases the thermal efficiency and reduces the cost...
of the power block. Each of the six Gen IV reactors provide different core outlet temperatures and heat across a limited range. The gas-cooled fast reactor, and very high-temperature reactor, provide core outlet temperatures in excess of 850 °C and employ a closed-loop helium Brayton cycle for the power generation cycle. However, the high operating temperatures present challenges in material selection. For this reason, the work of Dostal [16] was conducted with the focus of identifying power cycles that could provide comparable efficiencies at lower temperatures. The conclusion was that sCO2 cycles operating with a maximum temperature of 550 °C could achieve comparable efficiencies to a helium Brayton cycle operating at a temperature of 850 °C, making sCO2 a promising candidate for any reactor with core outlet temperatures in excess of 500 °C. Of the remaining Gen IV nuclear reactors, the sodium-cooled fast reactor (SFR) and lead-cooled fast reactor (LFR) are the most promising applications for sCO2 cycles. Sienicki & Moisseytsev [273] note that both reactors provide core outlet temperatures in the region of 500 to 550 °C, and that the temperature match between the temperature rise of the heat-transfer fluid in the primary heater and across the turbine are well matched. This enables sCO2 cycles to achieve higher efficiencies than an equivalent steam cycle, with half the reactor footprint, leading a potential reduction in capital cost and LCOE. This is supported by Li et al. [5] who considered LFRs to be a new frontier for research.

Within the aforementioned references a detailed review of the historical developments [273], and of thermodynamic and techno-economic modelling [5] of sCO2 cycles for nuclear applications can be found. This is further expanded on in the recent paper by Wu et al. [274]. For this reason, these topics are not covered in detail here. Instead an overview of the main developments within a few notable research groups are summarised.

Within the US, most developments can be related to work at the Argonne National Laboratory, which considered sCO2 cycles for both sodium- and lead-cooled fast reactors. Moisseytsev & Sienicki [275] reported the design of a LFR, named the secure transportable autonomous reactor with liquid metal coolant (STAR-LM), which coupled the reactor to a sCO2 recompression cycle. At the design point, the turbine inlet temperature is 540 °C, whilst the power cycle has a net power output of 179 MWt and estimated cycle thermal efficiency of 45%. Later, Sienicki et al. [276] reported on the design of a smaller reactor, referred to as SSTAR, with a net power output of 20 MWt. The system employs a direct heat exchange between the lead coolant and the CO2, and with a turbine inlet of 550 °C could obtain a thermal cycle efficiency of 44%. A major consideration during the development on SSTAR was to focus on a small reactor that is suitable for international deployment, which should allow non-fuel cycle states and developing nations to help meet future energy demands in a sustainable manner [276]. This sentiment is supported by the IEA’s 2015 nuclear technology roadmap [277], which suggests that the development of small modular reactors could extend the market for nuclear technology, whilst also helping to address financing barriers. Thus, it could be argued that the small modular reactor market could be a good proving ground for sCO2 technology. Alongside studies relating to LFRs, Chang et al. [278] reports the pre-conceptual design of a SFR coupled to a recompression sCO2 cycle with a net power of 95 MWt. Simulations estimate that for a turbine inlet temperature of 471.5 °C a cycle thermal efficiency of 39.1% could be obtained. A later study found that more complex power cycle layouts did not improve on this efficiency, but reducing the minimum temperature to 20 °C, and operating a condensation cycle, could improve the efficiency by up to 4% although this would have implications for the required heat sink [34]. Finally, Sienicki & Moisseytsev [273] report the detailed design of a 100 MWt small modular SFR reactor with a turbine inlet temperature of 517 °C with an estimated cycle thermal efficiency of 42.3%.

Within the Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology researchers have investigated the use of sCO2 power cycles with SFRs [279], in addition to water-cooled reactors [280] and high-temperature gas-cooled reactors [281]. Alongside this, Yu et al. [282] reports the design a micro modular reactor, named KAIST MMR, in which the core is directly cooled by the supercritical CO2 and the intermediate heat exchanger is removed. The KAIST MMR is designed to have a thermal power of 36.2 MWth and a 20-year lifetime without refuelling. Within Tokyo Institute of Technology there was also interest in sCO2 cycles for application within gas-cooled reactors, with the replacement of helium with sCO2 [283] reducing temperatures down from 850 °C to 650 °C whilst retaining a similar thermal efficiency; thus echoing the findings of Dostal [16]. In particular, a partial pre-cooling cycle with a turbine inlet temperature of 650 °C and cycle thermal efficiency of 45.8% was proposed. Later, Muto & Kato [284] investigated a dual expansion cycle for fast reactors and high-temperature gas-cooled reactors.

Within China, Li et al. [233] proposed a conceptual design for a 10 MWt LFR, integrated with a recompression sCO2 cycle. Alongside thermo-economic modelling, which predicted a thermal efficiency in the range of 36.7 and 44.5% and cost of electricity between 50 and 55 ¥/MWh for a turbine inlet temperature of 550 °C, the authors presented a conceptual design for the reactor core, intermediate heat-transfer system and the auxiliary systems.

Within Europe there have been a few studies focusing on sCO2 cycles for nuclear applications. In France, sCO2 was being considered as a power cycle for the advanced sodium technological reactor for industrial demonstration (ASTRID) project [285,286]. For the plant, which has a total thermal power of 1500 MWt, simulations suggested that a net plant efficiency of 42.2% could be obtained for turbine inlet conditions of 25 MPa and 515 °C [286]. However, as of the August 2019 the ASTRID programme has been cancelled [287]. There have also been developments within the Czech Republic. Specifically, the SUSEN test loop has been developed, which was constructed with a view towards testing sCO2 components with applications focused on gas-cooled fast reactors [288]. This test loop was also utilised within the sCO2-HeRo project, which focused on the proof of concept for a small-scale heat removal safety back-up system for a light-water reactor [289]. A final notable study explored the suitability of sCO2 power cycles for the DEMO demonstration power plant, which investigated fusion applications within Europe [290]. Although, it seems steam is still the power cycle of choice for that plant [291], recent simulations suggest that sCO2 cycles may outperform steam providing that the heat-source temperature is above 460 °C [292].

Finally, it is worth noting that most of experimental prototypes mentioned within this paper have been developed with nuclear applications in mind; albeit with the removal of a nuclear heat source. To this end, the challenge facing the implementation of sCO2 technologies within all nuclear applications is the successful demonstration of the technology at an industrial scale, which must be obtained before nuclear power plants utilising sCO2 can be realised. Moreover, as summarised by Wu et al. [274], specific challenges relating to nuclear applications include: (i) understanding the interaction between the sCO2 system and the reactor coolant system; (ii) understanding the effect of the dynamic behaviour of the reactor core on the sCO2 cycle; and (iii) conducting a comprehensive safety analysis.

5.4. Other applications

Supercritical CO2 power cycles could also find use in a range of other applications. For example, there have been studies investigating the use of sCO2 as a bottoming cycle for molten carbonate fuel cells [293–296]. Another promising area is geothermal. Within existing geothermal plants, a brine solution is pumped deep underground where it extracts heat from the surrounding rock and is heated up to around 100 to 200 °C. The hot brine is then returned to the surface where it is converted to electricity by a power block, which is typically a closed-loop organic Rankine cycle (ORC) with indirect heating between the hot brine and the organic fluid. Therefore, sCO2 power cycles could replace the ORC system [30,297], whilst the second, and perhaps more
interesting option, is the operation of a closed-loop direct cycle where the brine is replaced with sCO\(_2\) and the hot, high-pressure CO\(_2\) leaving the well is expanded within a turbine. This direct cycle can lead to more effective geothermal extraction, whilst due to a stronger thermosiphon effect the pumping power required to drive the cycle is reduced [234]. Adams et al. [298] investigated the use of CO\(_2\) as the heat-carrier fluid, and then later compared direct sCO\(_2\) cycles with indirect brine-based systems using either an ORC or sCO\(_2\) power block [299]. They concluded that direct sCO\(_2\) cycles produced more power at lower reservoir depths, significantly more power at higher reservoir depths, and that for indirect systems a transcritical sCO\(_2\) power block could outperform an ORC system operating with the refrigerant R245fa. Within the US, GreenFire Energy are developing the ECO2G, which is a direct closed-loop sCO\(_2\) system for geothermal applications [299]. Recently, they demonstrated the technology as the Coso Geothermal Field, although emphasis was placed on testing the heat exchanger technology operating with sCO\(_2\), and hence an expansion valve was used in place of the turbine [300]. Glos et al. [234] conducted a preliminary assessment of closed-loop direct sCO\(_2\) cycles considering thermodynamic modelling, turbine design and a preliminary cost assessment. Their results suggest that LCOEs of 0.20 and 0.12 $/kWh could be obtained for 52 and 157 MW, systems respectively for installation at brownfield sites where there are existing wells. A final potential application could be as a power block for use within the marine industry, either as part of the ship propulsion system or for on-board power. Within the sCO\(_2\) technology roadmap prepared by Mendez & Rochau [217], the authors noted that the US Navy is interested in gas turbine-generator sets with a power rating between 20 and 30 MW\(_e\), which could be a promising application for sCO\(_2\) technology. The US Navy has previously explored the use of Echogen's sCO\(_2\) system within marine applications, with the results suggesting fuel consumption could be reduced by 20% [301].

6. Summary and future trends

Power cycles operating with supercritical carbon dioxide (sCO\(_2\)) have advantages of high thermal efficiencies using heat-source temperatures ranging between approximately 350 °C and 800 °C, a simple and compact physical footprint and good operational flexibility. These advantages make them promising candidates for future energy applications where their adoption could lower levelised costs of electricity compared to existing technologies. The significant amount of research on sCO\(_2\) power systems has led to multiple component development campaigns and experimental test facilities aimed at demonstrating technical feasibility and investigating component operational issues. However, there remain significant hurdles to overcome, one of which is the successful demonstration of the technology at an appropriate industrial scale. The following subsections summarise the current status within the context of the main areas discussed within this paper.

6.1. Turbomachinery

- Turbomachinery for sCO\(_2\) applications has yet to be successfully demonstrated at a sufficient level to allow commercialisation. However, there are a number of on-going projects that aim to demonstrate turbomachinery at an industrial-scale (i.e., ≥ 10 MW\(_e\)), although these are not yet fully operational.
- For large industrial-scale applications the required turbomachinery may differ from the turbomachinery tested within existing test rigs. Therefore, there is uncertainty in how much of the experimental work carried out to date can be readily transposed to full-scale plants.
- For small-scale applications (i.e., <1 MW\(_e\)), turbomachinery design is challenging due to high rotational speeds, high pressures, and the trade-off between aerodynamic, rotodynamic and mechanical performance. Although radial turbomachinery, often constructed as single-shaft turbine–alternator–compressor (TAC) unit, is most common, axial turbines and the use of separate shafts have been proposed. Existing small-scale systems have also experienced significant challenges relating to bearing and windage losses, which need to be overcome.
- Most experimental test rigs operate with conservative pressure ratios and some use commercial components to minimise costs and development risk. Once existing turbomachinery designs have been demonstrated, there remains an opportunity for further research and development to extend beyond the existing design boundaries.
- To fully realise the performance benefits of the sCO\(_2\) cycle, operating the compressor close to the critical point is desirable. However, this introduces challenges related to droplet formation, real-gas effects and unstable compressor operation, which require further investigation to characterise compressor operation near the critical point and introduce suitable design solutions.
- The design and simulation of sCO\(_2\) turbomachinery is reliant on empirical loss models and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) tools that were not developed for sCO\(_2\) applications. Experimental tests are necessary to provide suitable validation data and, if necessary, introduce modifications to existing tools.

6.2. Heat exchangers

- For the heat transfer and flow mechanisms, the influence of buoyancy effects should be considered for the development of empirical correlations and the design of sCO\(_2\) heat exchangers. Further unique universal correlations are expected to cover a wide range of test parameters and demonstrate the local heat transfer performance. Heat exchanger optimisation is also an important field, which requires specific attention not only for the individual heat exchanger but also the system as a whole.
- Each application imposes unique constraints on heater design. The thermohydraulic challenges confront requirements of very high heat flux, and high temperature and pressure differentials between the heat source and sCO\(_2\). Further work is needed to develop designs and manufacturing processes that lead to relatively low cost, high-performance heaters that can withstand thermal cycling and fatigue and can satisfy environmental constraints in different applications.
- Challenges facing the recuperator are the requirements to withstand high-temperature pressure differentials and flow passage design that maximises heat transfer performance and reduces pressure drop.
- For air-coupled coolers, reduction of the heat exchanger size can be achieved by reducing tube diameter and tube spacing. Challenges include enhancement of the heat transfer performance on the air cooling side and optimisation of the tube circuitry to alleviate pinch point problems, and minimise pressure drop and footprint. For water-coupled coolers, important considerations are to improve heat transfer performance, reduce pressure drop on the sCO\(_2\) side and the risk of leakage between the two fluids during operation.

6.3. Materials

- Material selection for different applications requires a combination of strength and environmental compatibility under conditions of high temperature and pressure in the sCO\(_2\) system and high temperature heat sources.
- More information is required on material strength and durability in realistic high-velocity operating conditions and accounting for impurities in the working fluid to develop material databases and standards.
• More information is required on costs as well as on availability, manufacturability and fabrication issues, especially for welding and diffusion bonding of metals for sCO₂ applications.

• Optimisation methods based on cost-performance for material selection and specific research to develop high-temperature materials and coatings suitable for sCO₂ environments are required for the development and deployment of cost effective systems.

6.4. Control systems

Transient modelling and control are key to advanced design and efficient operation of sCO₂ power systems. They allow to fully exploit the load flexibility potential of the power generation system and assess important operational aspects such as safety. State-of-the-art research on sCO₂ controls has focused on a limited number of cycle layouts mostly regulated by proportional integral (PI) controllers in which the power block is considered as a standalone item despite being part of a broader, interconnected energy system. The investigation and development of overarching control architectures based on multi-variable control approaches that integrate the power block with the heat source and heat sink can provide opportunities for better integration and synergistic operation of the overall energy system.

6.5. Applications

• For fossil fueled applications, using the CO₂ stream as a heat carrier of an oxy-fuel combustion not only allows high cycle efficiency (up to 55.1% based on lower heating value of natural gas), but inherently provides the capability to further sequestrate the CO₂ generated from combustion. These features have triggered a strong industrial interest that are leading to the first full-scale demonstrations of sCO₂ Allam–Fetvedt power cycles. However, the high cycle pressure ratios and turbine inlet temperatures of direct-fired sCO₂ introduce challenges related to combustion in high-density flows, turbine film cooling, material erosion and corrosion.

• For waste-heat recovery or indirect heating applications, the following conclusions are drawn: (1) unless costs are significantly reduced, sCO₂ is primarily competitive for applications beyond 0.5 MW, and heat-source temperatures above 350 °C; (2) there is a need for high temperature sCO₂ heat exchangers that are able to deal with: high temperature CO₂ corrosion issues; fouling, back-pressure and other thermo-structural challenges imposed by the flue gas; and economic constraints imposed to materials selection and lifetime; (3) sCO₂ power technology will face the same barriers as other waste-heat recovery technologies employed in industry as bottoming power cycles such as project financing challenges due to high capital cost; return on investment; disturbance of existing plant operations; space requirements and safety concerns.

• For concentrated solar power (CSP) applications, the goal is to develop systems that can achieve levelised costs of electricity that are competitive with solar photovoltaic (PV). Demonstration plants are under construction as part of the SunShot and STEP programmes in the US and the Shouhang-EDF plant in China. Alongside this, there is a need for suitable heat carriers that can realise higher turbine inlet temperatures, and challenges in realising the performance benefits of sCO₂ in regions with high ambient temperatures.

• The resurgence of interest in sCO₂ technology was primarily driven from the perspective of nuclear power applications, and conceptual designs for Gen IV nuclear reactors that integrate sCO₂ power cycles have been developed. However, due to cost and safety considerations related to nuclear power, sCO₂ technology will first need to be successfully demonstrated in other applications.
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