

# Review of: "Werner Sombart's Longue Durée"

Mark Featherstone<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Keele University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

There is some excellent work in this article and it provides a comprehensive overview of Sombart's work. However, before it could be published in a peer review journal, I would advise the author to develop two key points: 1. I would strongly advise them to explain the relevance of the article to the contemporary moment. Why should we read Sombart now? What is the value of his sociology to the present? Beyond this, but connected to the above point: 2. I think the author needs to be very clear about the originality of their contribution. What is this piece doing that's new or will contribute to existing knowledge / readings of Sombart? Essentially, then, the body of the article is present, but I think the framing needs some work. If this material could be added to the introduction, threaded through the discussion up to a conclusion, which should very clearly restate these two points - why now? what's new? - then I think this piece would be publishable in a peer review journal.