



Review Review: Receptor Targeted Nuclear Imaging of Breast Cancer

Simone U. Dalm *, John Fred Verzijlbergen and Marion De Jong

Department of Radiology & Nuclear medicine, Erasmus MC, Wytemaweg 80, 3015CN Rotterdam, The Netherlands; j.verzijlbergen@erasmusmc.nl (J.F.V.); m.hendriks-dejong@erasmusmc.nl (M.D.J.) * Correspondence: s.dalm@erasmusmc.nl; Tel.: +31-10-704-1033

Academic Editors: Jamal Zweit and Sundaresan Gobalakrishnan Received: 1 October 2016; Accepted: 20 January 2017; Published: 26 January 2017

Abstract: Receptor targeted nuclear imaging directed against molecular markers overexpressed on breast cancer (BC) cells offers a sensitive and specific method for BC imaging. Currently, a few targets such as estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), somatostatin receptor (SSTR), and the gastrin releasing peptide receptor (GRPR) are being investigated for this purpose. Expression of these targets is BC subtype dependent and information that can be gained from lesion visualization is dependent on the target; ER-targeting radiotracers, e.g., can be used to monitor response to anti-estrogen treatment. Here we give an overview of the studies currently under investigation for receptor targeted nuclear imaging of BC. Main findings of imaging studies are summarized and (potential) purposes of lesion visualization by targeting these molecular markers are discussed. Since BC is a very heterogeneous disease and molecular target expression can vary per subtype, but also during disease progression or under influence of treatment, radiotracers for selected imaging purposes should be chosen carefully.

Keywords: breast cancer; receptor targeted nuclear imaging; SPECT; PET; GRPR; SSTR; ER; PR; HER2

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer in women worldwide. In 2012, 167 million new BC cases were diagnosed and 522,000 people died of the disease [1]. BC is highly heterogenic and comprises of multiple histological subtypes e.g., luminal A, luminal B, human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2)-driven, and basal-like tumors [2]. These histological subtypes are characterized by distinctive molecular patterns that play an important role in treatment and prognosis of the disease. The most important molecular tumor characteristics include estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression [2].

Our knowledge of BC has greatly expanded over the past years leading to new diagnostic and therapeutic methods, which positively influenced the mortality rate of the disease. The prognosis of metastatic BC is still poor, the estimated five-year survival being only 26% [3], and therefore new imaging and therapeutic methods are needed. Although BC is finally diagnosed by histology, imaging methods are indispensable for detection of the disease. Mammography is used for nationwide breast screening, in some cases supplemented with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or ultrasound. These methods are suited for screening purposes and detection of abnormal breast lesions but do not provide information on molecular characteristics such as biomarker expression. Imaging techniques that can provide such information can have added value, especially in highly heterogeneous cancer types. To fulfill this purpose, target-mediated nuclear imaging of BC is being investigated.

In nuclear medicine, such target-mediated imaging is successfully applied for imaging of, e.g., neuroendocrine tumors [4,5]. This approach uses the molecular expression pattern of tumors for targeting. Molecules (e.g., receptors, transporters, and enzymes) overexpressed on cancer cells can be

targeted with synthesized target ligands (e.g., peptide analogs, antibodies, affibodies, and nanobodies) that bind to the target with high affinity and specificity (Figure 1A).



Figure 1. (**A**) Schematic overview of receptor targeted nuclear imaging. Ligands that can bind their targets overexpressed on breast cancer (BC) cells can be coupled to a chelator, often via a linker. The chelator enables labeling with radionuclides such as ⁶⁸Ga and ¹¹¹In that can be applied for imaging purposes; (**B**,**C**) drawing of the principles of radionuclides for single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. For SPECT imaging, γ -photons from radionuclides such as ¹¹¹In are captured by detectors at multiple positions around the longitudinal axis of the patient. For PET imaging positrons emitted from a radionuclide such as ⁶⁸Ga interact with electrons which results in the production of 2 γ -photons. These photons are picked up by opposing detectors installed in a ring-like pattern.

Depending on the radionuclide that these targeting agents are conjugated with, single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) or positron emission tomography (PET) can be performed. SPECT and PET are functional, highly sensitive nuclear imaging methods based on the detection of γ -photons directly or indirectly derived from γ -emitting (e.g., ¹¹¹In) or positron-emitting (e.g., ⁶⁸Ga) radionuclides, respectively (Figure 1B,C). Combining SPECT or PET with computed tomography (CT) or MRI provides functional imaging information in combination with high resolution imaging of

anatomical structures [6,7]. In the review by Pattion et al. [8] and the paper by Ziegler et al. [9] the mechanisms of SPECT and PET imaging are described in more detail. With respect to BC imaging, dedicated SPECT and PET imaging devices have been developed that have a higher resolution and thus better diagnostic accuracy than whole body SPECT and PET systems [10,11].

Targeted nuclear imaging may potentially be used for disease characterization, disease visualization (e.g., preoperative scanning, disease staging by visualization of regional and distant metastases and/or detection of relapse) and in some cases to predict outcome of therapy and to monitor/evaluate treatment response. For screening purposes, however, the use of targeted nuclear imaging might be less suited since its success rate is dependent on sufficient target expression, and because of the relatively high costs and the radiation burden associated with this method.

In the past years a number of molecular targets for receptor targeted nuclear imaging of BC have been identified and are currently under investigation: hormone receptors, HER2, the somatostatin receptor (SSTR), the gastrin releasing peptide receptor (GRPR), folate receptor (FR), C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), neuropeptide Y receptor Y1 (NPY1R), and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide receptor 1 (VIP-R1). In this review we describe these targets and discuss ongoing investigations and the prospects of BC receptor targeted nuclear imaging.

This review focuses on the molecular targets mentioned above. Other radiotracers under investigation for nuclear imaging of BC including radiotracers that accumulate in cells due to (over)expression of functional transporters or higher metabolism in BC cells (e.g., ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)) are beyond the scope of this review.

2. Targeting of Hormone Receptors for Nuclear Imaging

The ER is not only interesting for therapeutic targeting options, but also for imaging. ¹⁸F-FES, a fluorinated estradiol [12], is the most extensively studied ER-targeting PET radioligand in clinical trials. Studies have focused on the potential of ER-mediated nuclear imaging for visualization of ER-positive primary and metastatic BC lesions as well as the ability of the radioligand to predict response to anti-estrogen treatment. Five clinical studies reported on sensitivity and specificity of the radiotracer for tumor visualization; 69%–100% and 80%–100%, respectively [13–17]. Furthermore, ¹⁸F-FES imaging was used to predict response to anti-estrogen treatment prior to and in early phases of therapy. High uptake of ¹⁸F-FES prior to treatment indicates the presence of ER, which is necessary for a positive therapy response, while a decrease of ¹⁸F-FES uptake in early phases of treatment is an indication of successful treatment. Figure 2A shows an example of the use of ¹⁸F-FES for predicting therapy response in BC patients. Up to now, positive and negative predictive values of 65% and 88%, respectively, were reported for pre-therapy scanning in relation to anti-estrogen treatment [18–21]. Since ¹⁸F-FDG (which reflects glucose metabolism) is the most widely used PET tracer for evaluation of treatment response [22,23], He et al. [24] compared the use of ¹⁸F-FES and ¹⁸F-FDG in a preclinical setting and reported that ¹⁸F-FES PET/CT was superior to ¹⁸F-FDG for predicting response to endocrine therapy. Following the above-mentioned positive results, a substantial number of clinical trials using ¹⁸F-FES for BC imaging have started and are still ongoing.

Based on current findings, ¹⁸F-FES could be useful for disease characterization by determining ER expression of BC lesions (offering a less invasive method than immunostaining on biopsy material), disease staging, and the use of the radiotracer to predict and monitor therapy response.

Because expression of the PR is an estrogen-regulated process, the primary focus was on the development of ER-targeted radiotracers. However, ER-targeting radiotracers are not always efficient in patients treated with anti-estrogens since these molecules bind to the ER as well, rendering the receptor unavailable for radiotracer binding, such as interim monitoring of treatment efficacy. In this case, PR-targeted radiotracers might be useful. Furthermore, similar to ER status, PR-targeting radiotracers offer a less invasive method for determining PR status of breast lesions. A number of PR-targeting radiotracers have been synthesized and investigated in preclinical and clinical studies [25,26]. The most successful PR-targeted radiotracer, ¹⁸F-FFNP, was used in a clinical pilot

study successfully identifying 15/16 PR-positive BCs [27]. Figure 2B shows an example of ¹⁸F-FFNP PET images in a PR-positive and a PR-negative BC patient. Previous research reported a decrease in PR expression after successful anti-estrogen treatment as a result of inhibition of ER-activated pathways [28] and preclinical studies investigating the potential of ¹⁸F-FFNP PET imaging to predict response to anti-estrogen treatment have been performed with promising results [29,30].



Figure 2. Examples of images using the estrogen receptor (ER)-targeted radiotracer ¹⁸F-FES (A); progesterone receptor (PR)-targeted radiotracer 18 F-FFNP (**B**) and the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-targeted radiotracer ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-trastuzumab obtained in breast cancer (BC) patients. Images are adapted from Gemignani et al. [17], Dehdashti et al. [27] and Tamura et al. [31], respectively. (A) ¹⁸F-FES PET images of an ER-positive and an ER-negative BC lesion. The ER-positive BC lesion visualized by ¹⁸F-FES PET corresponds with a 2-3 cm lesion seen on CT and is confirmed on the ¹⁸F-FES PET/CT images. The ER-negative BC lesion is visualized on CT and by ¹⁸F-FDG PET but shows no ¹⁸F-FES uptake. This research was originally published in JNM. Gemignani et al. Feasibility and predictability of perioperative PET and estrogen receptor ligand in patients with invasive BC. J. Nucl. Med. 2013, 54, 1697-1702. © by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc. (Ann Arbor, MI, USA); (B) ¹⁸F-FFNP PET images in a patient with PR-positive and PR-negative BC. This research was originally published in JNM. Dehdashti et al. Assessment of progesterone receptors in breast carcinoma by PET with 21-18f-fluoro- 16α , 17α -[(r)-(1'- α -furylmethylidene)dioxy]-19-norpregn-4-ene-3, 20-dione. J. Nucl. Med. 2012, 53, 363–370. © by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc. (C) Examples of HER2-targeted imaging. In part I ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-trastuzumab PET images of a HER2-positive primary BC is shown. Red areas show high tracer uptake in blood vessels. Part II shows images of HER2-positive metastatic brain lesions identified by ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-trastuzumab PET imaging. Significant tracer uptake values were found in areas corresponding to brain metastatic lesions detected on MRI. Images in part I and II are from different patients. This research was originally published in JNM. Tamura et al. ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-trastuzumab PET imaging in patients with HER2-positive BC. J. Nucl. Med. 2013, 4, 1869–1875. © by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc. Red arrows indicate cancer lesions in the images. SUV: standardized uptake value.

To date, clinical data on PR-targeted nuclear imaging is limited, but the reported findings indicate that the potential application of PR radioligands lies in disease characterization by determination of PR expression and therapy assessment after endocrine treatment.

3. Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2)-Targeted Imaging

Similar to hormone receptors, HER2 expression in BC is not only of interest for therapeutic interventions but also for imaging. HER2-targeted nuclear imaging has been tested in preclinical and clinical studies using both radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies, radiolabeled affibodies, radiolabeled nanobodies, and radiolabeled antibody fragments. Monoclonal antibodies used for therapy of HER2-expressing BCs were radiolabeled with different radionuclides enabling both SPECT and PET imaging. Following positive results from preclinical studies, radiolabeled trastuzumab was investigated in clinical studies for its ability to visualize HER2-positive BC lesions [31–37]. Figure 2C shows an example of PET images acquired after injecting HER2-positive BC patients with radiolabeled trastuzumab. The main purpose of studying HER2-targeted nuclear imaging was to predict response to treatment with trastuzumab as well as other types of treatment, and to predict trastuzumab-related toxicity. The results of clinical studies were variable, limiting factors being poor visualization of liver metastases due to high background uptake in the liver and suboptimal imaging of HER2-positive lesions if no unlabeled trastuzumab was pre-administered. Remarkably, two studies demonstrated that ⁶⁴Cu-Trastuzumab was able to detect HER2-positive breast lesions that could not be detected by ¹⁸F-FDG PET [31,34]. Furthermore, treatment of HER2-positive BC patients with a combination of trastuzumab and paclitaxel or a heat shock protein 90 inhibitor NVP-AUY922 led to a decrease in uptake of radiolabeled trastuzumab, indicating that the radiotracer can be used to assess response to these types of treatment [35,36]. In a recent study by Gebhart et al. [38] the ability of ⁸⁹Zr-trastuzumab imaging to predict response to treatment with trastuzumab emtasine was evaluated in 56 patients. The authors reported that HER2-targeted imaging combined with early metabolic response assessment by ¹⁸F-FDG PET predicted response to trastuzumab emtasine treatment and discriminated between patients that will or will not benefit from this type of therapy.

In contrast to antibodies, the smaller affibody molecules have relatively fast uptake and clearance rates, resulting in a lower radiation burden for patients and offering the opportunity to scan patients at earlier time points after administration of the radiotracer. Two clinical studies have been performed evaluating the use of radiolabeled HER2-targeting affibodies in patients, which resulted in successful imaging of HER2-positive BC lesions [39,40]. However, similar to the results with radiolabeled trastuzumab, imaging of liver metastases was difficult because of high physiological uptake in the liver. Additionally, radiolabeled HER2-targeting nanobodies that can be labeled with different radionuclides (e.g., ¹⁸F, ⁶⁸Ga, and ^{99m}Tc) were synthesized and applied for HER2 visualization [41–43]. The majority of these nanobodies are still under investigation in a preclinical setting, but a recent clinical study by Keyaerts and Xavier et al. [44] reported on the use of ⁶⁸Ga-HER2-Nanobody in BC patients. Although not the primary goal of the study, both primary and metastatic BC lesions were successfully visualized. Furthermore, biodistribution was favorable and no toxicity was reported. In addition, radiolabeled HER2 antibody fragments were synthesized and tested preclinically as well as clinically. Although preclinical studies were successful [45–49], only a few clinical studies have been reported and results were disappointing since radiotracer uptake in tumors was absent or low [50,51]. Also, radiolabeled HER2-targeting RNA aptamers were synthesized for targeting HER2-positive BC lesions [52]. These studies are still in a preclinical setting and their advantage to HER2-targeting antibodies, affibodies, and nanobodies remains to be established.

Current findings indicate that HER2-targeted imaging could be applied for disease characterization by determination of HER2 expression of breast tumors, disease staging and to monitor therapy responses.

4. Somatostatin Receptor (SSTR)-Mediated BC Imaging

Receptor-mediated nuclear imaging is successfully used in neuroendocrine tumor patients by targeting SSTRs overexpressed on neuroendocrine tumor cells using SSTR-binding radioligands. Next to neuroendocrine tumors, SSTR expression has also been reported on BC cells [53,54]. Since radiolabeled peptide analogs targeting these receptors were available, several preclinical and clinical studies have been performed targeting these receptors for imaging purposes. In the preclinical study by Chereau et al. [55], ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC imaging was compared to ¹⁸F-FDG PET in a BC xenograft mouse model resulting in two times higher uptake of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC compared to ¹⁸F-FDG. Concerning clinical investigations, in our previous review we discussed earlier clinical studies [56–66] on SSTR-mediated imaging, showing very variable sensitivities and specificities ranging from 36%–100% and 22%–100%, respectively [67]. Figure 3A shows an example of SSTR-mediated imaging in BC patients. Limiting factors for successful BC targeting were low and heterogeneous SSTR expression, appropriate patient selection, the use of radiolabeled peptide analogs with suboptimal receptor affinity and imaging equipment with low spatial resolution. For successful receptor-mediated imaging the expression of the target should be sufficiently high. Since low and heterogeneous SSTR expression was reported as a limiting factor for successful BC imaging, the question is whether SSTR is a suitable target for receptor targeted nuclear BC imaging. However, another limiting factor of the previous studies was non-appropriate patient selection. Since BC is a very heterogeneous disease, SSTR expression between BC subtypes may vary. We and others showed higher SSTR expression in ER-positive BC compared to ER-negative BC, identifying ER-positive BC subtypes as the most suitable subtypes for SSTR-mediated imaging [68-71]. If we only focus on these BC subtypes, which account for the majority of the breast tumors, SSTR-mediated BC imaging might be more successful. Furthermore, we studied SSTR mRNA expression of primary BCs vs. SSTR mRNA expression of regional and distant metastases and demonstrated that there was no significant difference in SSTR mRNA expression levels of primary tumors and corresponding regional lymph node metastases as well as lung and brain metastases [72]. Previous studies have been performed with radiolabeled octreotide, which has a lower SSTR affinity compared to the currently used radiolabeled somatostatin analogs, including Tyr³-octreotate [73]. In addition, lower spatial resolution planar imaging was used in earlier studies in comparison to currently available whole body and dedicated SPECT and PET techniques [6,10,11]. Another noteworthy recent development is the application of SSTR antagonists that have shown to be superior to SSTR agonists for neuroendocrine tumor targeting [74–78]. This enhanced tumor targeting of SSTR antagonists was explained by the ability of receptor antagonists to bind more binding sites/receptors than receptor agonists [78]. Since SSTR expression in BC was reported to be low and heterogeneous, the use of antagonists is promising in this respect. Cescato et al. [77] reported 11 ± 4 times higher binding of an SSTR antagonist, ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-BASS, vs. the clinically used SSTR agonist ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-Tyr³-octreotate in seven human BC specimens. We recently reported on enhanced binding of the radiolabeled SSTR antagonist DOTA-JR11 vs. the radiolabeled SSTR agonist DOTA-Tyr³-octreotate in 40 BC specimens as well as superior imaging of a patient-derived BC xenograft mouse model post injection of the radiolabeled receptor agonist vs. the antagonist [79].

Thus, previous studies on SSTR-mediated imaging in BC performed under suboptimal conditions were not convincing, but with recent improvements as mentioned above, the outcome might change. Additional studies that benefit from these recent developments are needed to investigate the true potential of SSTR-mediated BC imaging. Based on previous studies, we speculate that the potential for SSTR-mediated imaging lies in disease staging and disease monitoring.



Figure 3. Examples of somatostatin receptor (SSTR)- (**A**) and gastrin releasing peptide receptor (GRPR)- (**B**) mediated breast cancer (BC) imaging. Images are adapted from studies by Wang et al. [64] and Maina and Bergsma et al. [80], respectively. (**A**) ^{99m}Tc-octreotide (a SSTR-targeting radiotracer) scintigraphy identifying SSTR-positive BC tumors. SSTR2 and SSTR5 expression on cancer cells was demonstrated by immunohistochemistry stainings. This research was reprinted from **Wang et al. The role of technetium-99m-labeled octreotide acetate scintigraphy in suspected breast cancer and correlates with expression of SSTR.** *Nucl. Med. Biol.* **2008**, *35*, **665–671**. Copyright, with permission from Elsevier [64]; (**B**) ⁶⁸Ga-SB3 scan in a BC patient, demonstrating GRPR-positive bone metastasis in the skull: frontal bone on the left side (part II, SUV_{max} 2.4) and bone marrow metastasis in the right proximal femur (part III, arrow, SUV_{max} 7.8). Part I MIP; part II fused axial PET/CT image and part III fused coronal PET/CT image. SUV_{max}: maximum standardized uptake value. This research was originally published in **Maina and Bergsma et al. Preclinical and first clinical experience with the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor-antagonist [⁶⁸Ga]SB3 and PET/CT.** *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol.**Imaging* **2015**, *43*, 964–973 [80]; © Springer-Velag Berlin Heidelberg 2015, with permission of Springer.

5. Gastrin Releasing Peptide Receptor (GRPR)-Mediated BC Imaging

The GRPR is a G-protein coupled receptor that is overexpressed on a high percentage of BCs. According to literature, 62%–96% of primary BCs express GRPR [81–84]. Over the past years, multiple GRPR-targeting radioligands have been described to target GRPR-expressing cancers. Although the majority of these were studied in prostate cancer, these studies expanded our knowledge on preferential radioligand properties and uptake in other/background organs. One example is the preference for radiolabeled GRPR antagonist instead of agonists for tumor targeting, since it is similar to what was observed for SSTR radioligands, superior binding of GRPR antagonists vs. agonists was reported [85]. Several preclinical studies have been performed demonstrating successful GRPR-mediated nuclear imaging using SPECT and PET in BC mouse models [84,86,87]. In the study by Prignon et al. [86], GRPR-mediated imaging was compared to ¹⁸F-FDG PET for tumor visualization and disease monitoring after endocrine therapy, resulting in a significant decrease in uptake of the GRPR radiotracer, ⁶⁸Ga-AMBA, in treated and non-treated animals while no significant difference in ¹⁸F-FDG uptake between the treated and non-treated group was observed. Also, we reported that high GRPR mRNA expression levels were associated with improved progression free survival after first line tamoxifen (Nolvadex) treatment, indicating that GRPR expression has predictive value for response to tamoxifen treatment [68]. In the same study, we reported on higher GRPR expression in ER-positive tumors, identifying specific BC patients suited for the application of radiotracers targeting this receptor. Furthermore, we recently reported that BC metastases from GRPR-positive primary BCs also express GRPR, indicating that this imaging method can be applied in both primary and metastatic disease [72]. Although results obtained from preclinical studies are promising, to date only a few clinical studies have been performed on GRPR-mediated nuclear BC imaging. In a study by Maina et al. [80], four out of eight breast tumors were successfully visualized in patients with advanced disease using ⁶⁸Ga-SB3, a radiolabeled GRPR antagonist. Scan outcomes were not related to ER expression in this study. Stoykow et al. [88] showed successful imaging in 13 out of 18 patients with another ⁶⁸Ga labeled GRPR-antagonist, ⁶⁸Ga-RM2. Positive imaging results were correlated with ER expression in accordance with our findings [68], confirming the potential of GRPR-mediated imaging in ER-positive patients. A ⁶⁸Ga-SB3 PET image from the study by Maina and Bergsma et al. [88] is presented in Figure 3B. Although more clinical studies on the application of GRPR radioligands for BC imaging are needed, current findings suggest that GRPR-targeted imaging might be used successfully for disease staging and therapy evaluation in ER-positive patients.

6. Other Targets

Next to the above-mentioned targets there are some other interesting targets that are not extensively studied in BC (yet). FR-targeting radiotracers have been applied for BC imaging. Overexpression of the FR was associated with basal-like BCs [89]. In a clinical study, successful SPECT imaging using a ^{99m}Tc labeled folate tracer was performed in three out of six BC patients [90]. Radiotracers targeting folate receptors are currently in clinical trials mainly focusing on targeting of ovarian cancer.

High expression of CXCR4 and its association with invasive disease was reported in primary and metastatic BC cells [91]. Radiotracers targeting this receptor for imaging purposes were investigated in BC in a few preclinical studies and one clinical study [92–94]. The result of the clinical study using the CXCR4 radiotracer ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor was disappointing and ¹⁸F-FDG seems superior to ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor for BC imaging. However, only few BC patients were included in this study and larger clinical studies are needed to accurately determine the value of CXCR4-mediated BC imaging. Since *CXCR4* mRNA expression was associated with ER-negative tumors [68], successful targeting would offer new imaging opportunities for this patient group.

NPY1R expression has been reported on 85% of breast tumors and radiotracers for BC targeting have been synthesized [95–98]. Highest expression of the receptors was reported on triple negative

BCs [99]. Up to date, proof of successful imaging using these radiotracers is very scarce, but the available data appear promising.

Furthermore, overexpression of VIP-R on BC cells was reported in multiple studies and radiotracers targeting these receptors were synthesized [100,101]. One preclinical and one clinical study described the use of radiolabeled VIP-R radiotracers (¹⁸F-dVIP and ⁶⁴Cu-TP3805, respectively) for imaging purposes in BC patients [102,103]. In the clinical study by Thakur et al. [103] 20 out of 20 BCs were successfully imaged. The authors hypothesize that VIP-R type 1-mediated imaging can be used for early and accurate detection of BC because it is overexpressed on all BC cells in early phases of the disease. However, the high uptake of VIP-R type 1 targeted radiotracers in the lungs reported in studies performed in other cancer types should be kept in mind [104].

7. Future Outlooks

With the different targets discussed above being explored and available for receptor-mediated nuclear imaging of BC, several questions remain. How can receptor targeted nuclear imaging improve the care of BC patients and what is the best target for receptor targeted nuclear BC imaging?

One target does unfortunately not suit all BCs since this tumor type is very heterogeneous. Figures 4 and 5 show an overview of the targets discussed in this review and the clinical setting in which radiotracers targeting these receptors can (potentially) be applied. Of the targets currently under investigation for receptor targeted nuclear imaging of BC, SSTR, GRPR, ER, PR, and NPY1R are best suited for ER-positive luminal A and luminal B BCs, since these targets are the only ones or the ones with the highest expression in these BC subtypes. Both ER- and PR-targeted radiotracers, but especially ER-targeted radiotracers, are currently studied in clinical trials. These radiotracers can be used for determining ER or PR expression of primary tumors and metastases as well as for evaluation of treatment response to ER-targeted therapy. However, the majority of ER-positive BCs acquire resistance against anti-estrogen treatment and in some cases this is due to loss of ER expression [105]. ER status of primary BCs and corresponding metastases may vary (over time) and so visualization of metastases of ER-positive primary tumors is not feasible in all cases [106]. ER- and PR-targeted imaging to determine receptor expression is less invasive than immunostaining on biopsy material as is done in clinical practice. Furthermore, ER- and PR-targeted nuclear imaging comprises visualization of the complete tumor lesion, while biopsy material is limited and not always representative for the (heterogeneous) tumor. However, determining hormone receptor expression with nuclear imaging would involve scanning patients several times with (different) radiotracers, which causes a significant radiation burden to the patient that has to be kept in mind. The application of radiotracers to determine hormone receptor status would therefore especially be beneficial for tumors that cannot be biopsied due to an inconvenient location. In cases where ER- and/or PR-targeted imaging cannot be applied, SSTR- and GRPR-mediated imaging, although not studied as widely as ER- and PR-mediated imaging, may be beneficial for imaging of ER-positive primary and metastatic BC lesions. GRPR-targeting is preferred because the receptor is expressed more frequently and at higher density [81]. Nevertheless, both SSTR- and GRPR-mediated imaging can be beneficial in ER-positive tumors that lose ER expression in the course of the disease. For this to be successful the relation between SSTR2, GRPR, and ER needs to be investigated to make sure that loss of ER expression does not influence GRPR expression. Furthermore, even though SSTR and GRPR expression is higher in ER-positive tumors, ER-negative tumors may also express the receptor and thus radiotracers targeting these receptors might also be applied in other patient groups. NPY1R expression was also associated with ER-positive BC's. To date, NPY1R-targeted BC imaging has only been performed in a limited number of studies and more studies are needed to determine the added value of NPY1R in comparison to the above-mentioned targets for imaging of ER-positive BCs.

Screening

Characterization

Staging

Therapy selection

Therapy evaluation

Luminal A ER+ and/or PR+	Luminal B ER+ and/or PR+	HER2-driven ER- and PR-	
HER2-	HER2-/+	HER2+	
ER, PR	ER, PR, HER2	HER2	
ER, PR, SSTR, GRPR	ER, HER2, SSTR, GRPR	HER2	

ER, PR,

HER2

ER, PR,

GRPR

HER2

HER2

Figure 4. Overview of the (potential) clinical application of radiotracers targeting the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), somatostatin receptor (SSTR), and gastrin releasing peptide receptor (GRPR) for breast cancer imaging.* Therapy selection refers to currently clinically used hormonal and chemotherapies as discussed in this article.

ER, PR

ER, PR,

GRPR

Molecular subtypes								
Luminal A Lu		minal B	HER2-driven		Basal like			
ER+ and/or PR+ HER2-	ER+ a H	and/or PR+ ER2-/+	d/or PR+ ER- and PR- R2-/+ HER2+		ER- and PR- HER2-			
50%		20%	15%		15%			
ER, PR, SSTR, GRPR, NPY1R								
HER2								
					CXCR4, FR			
VIP-R1								

Figure 5. An overview of targets discussed in this review and the breast cancer subtype with highest expression thereof. ER: estrogen receptor, PR: progesterone receptor, SSTR: somatostatin receptor, GRPR: gastrin releasing peptide receptor, NPY1R: Neuropeptide Y receptor Y1, HER2: human epidermal factor receptor 2, CXCR4: C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4, FR: folate receptor, and VIP-R1: Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide receptor 1. Details on histological and molecular profiles are derived from [107].

HER2-targeted BC imaging can be applied in HER2-positive BCs which account for approximately 15% of the BC population [105]. As previously mentioned, this approach can be used to determine HER2 expression and to select patients for therapy and to monitor response to treatment influencing HER2 expression. Similar to ER and PR radiotracers, determining HER2 expression with nuclear imaging can especially be beneficial in cases where biopsies cannot be obtained. Furthermore, as is

the case for ER and PR, HER2 expression of primary tumors and metastases may change during the course of the disease [107].

CXCR4 and FR targeted nuclear imaging might be beneficial for basal-like tumors, the BC subtype with the worse prognosis [105]. CXCR4-mediated imaging in BC has not been successful up to date which might be caused by limited CXCR4 expression at the cell surface (necessary for radiotracer binding) or high CXCR4 expression in cancer stem cells of which only limited numbers are available in different BC subtypes [94]. Furthermore, high FR expression was also associated with basal-like tumors. Data on FR-targeting in BC is very limited, hampering discussion on the value of this radiotracer.

VIP-R1 is expressed on all BCs and thus radiotracers targeting VIP-R1 might be interesting for all BC subtypes. However, VIP-R1 is only expressed in early stage disease limiting the use of this tracer in advanced BC.

For the future, a noteworthy option might be the combination of radiotracers directed against different targets, the so called multi-target or "cocktail" approach, with the purpose of enhancing BC visualization. Reubi et al. [82] studied expression of SSTR, GRPR, VIPR-1, and NPY1R in human BC specimens and reported that 60% of the tumors expressed at least two of the targets. In this study, GRPR, NPY1R or both were expressed in almost all (93%) investigated BCs. Studies synthesizing and preclinically testing a hetero-bivalent dual target probe for GRPR and NPY1R were performed [108]. Next to these studies, other preclinical studies have investigated the multi-targeting approach [109–111], but to date this was not tested in a clinical setting. A disadvantage of this approach might be enhanced or more extensive uptake in healthy organs which naturally express these targets.

In addition to disease visualization, disease staging and evaluation of therapy response, another benefit of receptor targeted nuclear imaging is the use of radioligands for both imaging and therapy, following the so-called theranostic approach. Most of these radioligands can be labeled with imaging radionuclides (γ - or positron-emitters) as well as therapeutic radionuclides (β - or α -emitters), enabling the use of the same tracer for both imaging and therapy. This is especially interesting for treatment of advanced disease, since distant metastases are often not accessible for resection and most systemic agents are accompanied by severe side effects [3].

Furthermore, the use of dual labeled tracers that are labeled with both radionuclides and optical dyes are interesting for image-guided surgery. This can benefit surgical resection of tumors by offering preoperative imaging (SPECT or PET), intraoperative guidance (by making use of γ -probes detecting the radioactive signal to give an approximate tumor location), and fine guidance and tumor delineation (by detection of the optical signal), ultimately improving the success-rate of tumor resection.

8. Conclusions

Overall, receptor targeted nuclear imaging for BC imaging is promising and has the potential to improve BC care. There is not one appropriate target for all BCs, and thus a personalized approach should be applied. Depending on the BC subtype and the question of the physician, the appropriate target should be selected carefully (either by biopsy or imaging, depending on the availability of biopsy material). More studies are needed to directly compare the value of tracers targeting different receptors in specific patient groups—for example GRPR, SSTR, ER, PR, and NPY1R targeted imaging in ER-positive BCs and clinical studiescomparing their added value to currently available ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT are needed to enhance their broad application in daily clinical routine.

Author Contributions: Simone U. Dalm performed the literature search and wrote the manuscript. Marion De Jong was involved in determining the outline of the manuscript as well as in reading and correcting the manuscript. John Fred Verzijlbergen read and corrected the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: Marion De Jong is a shareholder at Advanced Accelerator Applications. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

- 1. Ali, S.; Coombes, R.C. Endocrine-responsive breast cancer and strategies for combating resistance. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2002**, *2*, 101–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Perou, C.M.; Sorlie, T.; Eisen, M.B.; van de Rijn, M.; Jeffrey, S.S.; Rees, C.A.; Pollack, J.R.; Ross, D.T.; Johnsen, H.; Akslen, L.A.; et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* 2000, 406, 747–752. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, J.; Steeg, P.S.; Price, J.E.; Krishnamurthy, S.; Mani, S.A.; Reuben, J.; Cristofanilli, M.; Dontu, G.; Bidaut, L.; Valero, V.; et al. Breast cancer metastasis: Challenges and opportunities. *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 4951–4953. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ambrosini, V.; Campana, D.; Tomassetti, P.; Fanti, S. ⁶⁸Ga-labelled peptides for diagnosis of gastroenteropancreatic net. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2012, 39 (Suppl. S1), S52–S60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bison, S.M.; Konijnenberg, M.W.; Melis, M.; Pool, S.E.; Bernsen, M.R.; Teunissen, J.J.; Kwekkeboom, D.J.; de Jong, M. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy using radiolabeled somatostatin analogs: Focus on future developments. *Clin. Transl. Imaging* 2014, 2, 55–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Buck, A.K.; Nekolla, S.; Ziegler, S.; Beer, A.; Krause, B.J.; Herrmann, K.; Scheidhauer, K.; Wester, H.J.; Rummeny, E.J.; Schwaiger, M.; et al. Spect/ct. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2008**, *49*, 1305–1319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Von Schulthess, G.K.; Steinert, H.C.; Hany, T.F. Integrated PET/CT: Current applications and future directions. *Radiology* 2006, 238, 405–422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Patton, J.A.; Turkington, T.G. Spect/CT physical principles and attenuation correction. *J. Nucl. Med. Technol.* 2008, *36*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Ziegler, S.I. Positron emission tomography: Principles, technology, and recent developments. *Nucl. Phys. A* 2005, 752, 679c–687c. [CrossRef]
- Kalinyak, J.E.; Berg, W.A.; Schilling, K.; Madsen, K.S.; Narayanan, D.; Tartar, M. Breast cancer detection using high-resolution breast PET compared to whole-body PET or PET/CT. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2014, 41, 260–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Hsu, D.F.; Freese, D.L.; Levin, C.S. Breast-dedicated radionuclide imaging systems. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2016**, 57 (Suppl. S1), 40S–45S. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Kiesewetter, D.O.; Kilbourn, M.R.; Landvatter, S.W.; Heiman, D.F.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Welch, M.J. Preparation of four fluorine-18-labeled estrogens and their selective uptakes in target tissues of immature rats. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1984**, *25*, 1212–1221. [PubMed]
- 13. Mintun, M.A.; Welch, M.J.; Siegel, B.A.; Mathias, C.J.; Brodack, J.W.; McGuire, A.H.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A. Breast cancer: PET imaging of estrogen receptors. *Radiology* **1988**, *169*, 45–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peterson, L.M.; Mankoff, D.A.; Lawton, T.; Yagle, K.; Schubert, E.K.; Stekhova, S.; Gown, A.; Link, J.M.; Tewson, T.; Krohn, K.A. Quantitative imaging of estrogen receptor expression in breast cancer with PET and 18F-fluoroestradiol. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2008, *49*, 367–374. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mortimer, J.E.; Dehdashti, F.; Siegel, B.A.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Fracasso, P.; Welch, M.J. Positron emission tomography with 2-[18F]Fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose and 16α-[18F]fluoro-17β-estradiol in breast cancer: Correlation with estrogen receptor status and response to systemic therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **1996**, *2*, 933–939. [PubMed]
- Dehdashti, F.; Mortimer, J.E.; Siegel, B.A.; Griffeth, L.K.; Bonasera, T.J.; Fusselman, M.J.; Detert, D.D.; Cutler, P.D.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Welch, M.J. Positron tomographic assessment of estrogen receptors in breast cancer: Comparison with FDG-PET and in vitro receptor assays. *J. Nucl. Med.* 1995, *36*, 1766–1774. [PubMed]
- 17. Gemignani, M.L.; Patil, S.; Seshan, V.E.; Sampson, M.; Humm, J.L.; Lewis, J.S.; Brogi, E.; Larson, S.M.; Morrow, M.; Pandit-Taskar, N. Feasibility and predictability of perioperative PET and estrogen receptor ligand in patients with invasive breast cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2013**, *54*, 1697–1702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dehdashti, F.; Mortimer, J.E.; Trinkaus, K.; Naughton, M.J.; Ellis, M.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Welch, M.J.; Siegel, B.A. PET-based estradiol challenge as a predictive biomarker of response to endocrine therapy in women with estrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2009, *113*, 509–517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Linden, H.M.; Stekhova, S.A.; Link, J.M.; Gralow, J.R.; Livingston, R.B.; Ellis, G.K.; Petra, P.H.; Peterson, L.M.; Schubert, E.K.; Dunnwald, L.K.; et al. Quantitative fluoroestradiol positron emission tomography imaging predicts response to endocrine treatment in breast cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2006, 24, 2793–2799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Mortimer, J.E.; Dehdashti, F.; Siegel, B.A.; Trinkaus, K.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Welch, M.J. Metabolic flare: Indicator of hormone responsiveness in advanced breast cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2001**, *19*, 2797–2803. [PubMed]
- 21. Dehdashti, F.; Flanagan, F.L.; Mortimer, J.E.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Welch, M.J.; Siegel, B.A. Positron emission tomographic assessment of "metabolic flare" to predict response of metastatic breast cancer to antiestrogen therapy. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* **1999**, *26*, 51–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Curran, S.D.; Muellner, A.U.; Schwartz, L.H. Imaging response assessment in oncology. *Cancer Imaging* **2006**, *6*, S126–S130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Lebron, L.; Greenspan, D.; Pandit-Taskar, N. PET imaging of breast cancer: Role in patient management. *PET Clin.* **2015**, *10*, 159–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. He, S.; Wang, M.; Yang, Z.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, J.; Zhang, Y. Comparison of 18F-FES, 18F-FDG, and 18F-FMISO PET imaging probes for early prediction and monitoring of response to endocrine therapy in a mouse xenograft model of ER-positive breast cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0159916. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Cunha, S.; Gano, L.; Morais, G.R.; Thiemann, T.; Oliveira, M.C. Progesterone receptor targeting with radiolabelled steroids: An approach in predicting breast cancer response to therapy. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2013**, *137*, 223–241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fowler, A.M.; Clark, A.S.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Linden, H.M.; Dehdashti, F. Imaging diagnostic and therapeutic targets: Steroid receptors in breast cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2016, 57 (Suppl. S1), 75s–80s. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dehdashti, F.; Laforest, R.; Gao, F.; Aft, R.L.; Dence, C.S.; Zhou, D.; Shoghi, K.I.; Siegel, B.A.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Welch, M.J. Assessment of progesterone receptors in breast carcinoma by PET with 21–18F-Fluoro-16α,17α-[(r)-(1'-α-furylmethylidene)dioxy]-19-norpregn-4-ene-3,20-dione. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2012, 53, 363–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Waseda, N.; Kato, Y.; Imura, H.; Kurata, M. Effects of tamoxifen on estrogen and progesterone receptors in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* **1981**, *41*, 1984–1988. [PubMed]
- Fowler, A.M.; Chan, S.R.; Sharp, T.L.; Fettig, N.M.; Zhou, D.; Dence, C.S.; Carlson, K.E.; Jeyakumar, M.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A.; Schreiber, R.D.; et al. Small-animal PET of steroid hormone receptors predicts tumor response to endocrine therapy using a preclinical model of breast cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2012, *53*, 1119–1126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chan, S.R.; Fowler, A.M.; Allen, J.A.; Zhou, D.; Dence, C.S.; Sharp, T.L.; Fettig, N.M.; Dehdashti, F.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A. Longitudinal noninvasive imaging of progesterone receptor as a predictive biomarker of tumor responsiveness to estrogen deprivation therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2015, *21*, 1063–1070. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tamura, K.; Kurihara, H.; Yonemori, K.; Tsuda, H.; Suzuki, J.; Kono, Y.; Honda, N.; Kodaira, M.; Yamamoto, H.; Yunokawa, M.; et al. ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-trastuzumab PET imaging in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2013, *54*, 1869–1875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dijkers, E.C.; Oude Munnink, T.H.; Kosterink, J.G.; Brouwers, A.H.; Jager, P.L.; de Jong, J.R.; van Dongen, G.A.; Schroder, C.P.; Lub-de Hooge, M.N.; de Vries, E.G. Biodistribution of ⁸⁹Zr-trastuzumab and PET imaging of HER2-positive lesions in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2010, *87*, 586–592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Perik, P.J.; Lub-De Hooge, M.N.; Gietema, J.A.; van der Graaf, W.T.; de Korte, M.A.; Jonkman, S.; Kosterink, J.G.; van Veldhuisen, D.J.; Sleijfer, D.T.; Jager, P.L.; et al. Indium-111-labeled trastuzumab scintigraphy in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2006**, *24*, 2276–2282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mortimer, J.E.; Bading, J.R.; Colcher, D.M.; Conti, P.S.; Frankel, P.H.; Carroll, M.I.; Tong, S.; Poku, E.; Miles, J.K.; Shively, J.E.; et al. Functional imaging of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer using ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-trastuzumab PET. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2014, 55, 23–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 35. Gaykema, S.B.; Schroder, C.P.; Vitfell-Rasmussen, J.; Chua, S.; Oude Munnink, T.H.; Brouwers, A.H.; Bongaerts, A.H.; Akimov, M.; Fernandez-Ibarra, C.; Lub-de Hooge, M.N.; et al. ⁸⁹Zr-trastuzumab and ⁸⁹Zr-bevacizumab PET to evaluate the effect of the HSP90 inhibitor NVP-AUY922 in metastatic breast cancer patients. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2014**, *20*, 3945–3954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Gaykema, S.B.; de Jong, J.R.; Perik, P.J.; Brouwers, A.H.; Schroder, C.P.; Oude Munnink, T.H.; Bongaerts, A.H.; de Vries, E.G.; Lub-de Hooge, M.N. ¹¹¹In-trastuzumab scintigraphy in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer patients remains feasible during trastuzumab treatment. *Mol. Imaging* **2014**, *13*. [CrossRef]
- 37. Behr, T.; Behe, M.; Angerstein, C.; Schauer, A.; Kaufmann, C.; Woermann, B.; Becker, W. Does pretherapeutic immunoscintigraphy allow for diagnostic predictions with respect to the toxicity and therapeutic efficacy of cold immunotherapy with trastuzumab (herceptin (R))? *J. Nucl. Med.* **2000**, *41*, 73P.
- 38. Gebhart, G.; Lamberts, L.E.; Wimana, Z.; Garcia, C.; Emonts, P.; Ameye, L.; Stroobants, S.; Huizing, M.; Aftimos, P.; Tol, J.; et al. Molecular imaging as a tool to investigate heterogeneity of advanced HER2-positive breast cancer and to predict patient outcome under trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1): The ZEPHIR trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2016, 27, 619–624. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baum, R.P.; Prasad, V.; Muller, D.; Schuchardt, C.; Orlova, A.; Wennborg, A.; Tolmachev, V.; Feldwisch, J. Molecular imaging of HER2-expressing malignant tumors in breast cancer patients using synthetic ¹¹¹In- or ⁶⁸Ga-labeled affibody molecules. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2010**, *51*, 892–897. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sorensen, J.; Sandberg, D.; Sandstrom, M.; Wennborg, A.; Feldwisch, J.; Tolmachev, V.; Astrom, G.; Lubberink, M.; Garske-Roman, U.; Carlsson, J.; et al. First-in-human molecular imaging of HER2 expression in breast cancer metastases using the ¹¹¹In-ABY-025 affibody molecule. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2014, 55, 730–735. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Vaneycken, I.; Devoogdt, N.; van Gassen, N.; Vincke, C.; Xavier, C.; Wernery, U.; Muyldermans, S.; Lahoutte, T.; Caveliers, V. Preclinical screening of anti-HER2 nanobodies for molecular imaging of breast cancer. *FASEB J.* **2011**, *25*, 2433–2446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xavier, C.; Blykers, A.; Vaneycken, I.; D'Huyvetter, M.; Heemskerk, J.; Lahoutte, T.; Devoogdt, N.; Caveliers, V. ¹⁸F-nanobody for PET imaging of HER2 overexpressing tumors. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 2016, 43, 247–252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Vaidyanathan, G.; McDougald, D.; Choi, J.; Koumarianou, E.; Weitzel, D.; Osada, T.; Lyerly, H.K.; Zalutsky, M.R. Preclinical evaluation of 18F-labeled anti-HER2 nanobody conjugates for imaging HER2 receptor expression by immuno-PET. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2016**, *57*, 967–973. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Keyaerts, M.; Xavier, C.; Heemskerk, J.; Devoogdt, N.; Everaert, H.; Ackaert, C.; Vanhoeij, M.; Duhoux, F.P.; Gevaert, T.; Simon, P.; et al. Phase I study of ⁶⁸Ga-HER2-nanobody for PET/CT assessment of HER2 expression in breast carcinoma. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2016**, *57*, 27–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Smith-Jones, P.M.; Solit, D.; Afroze, F.; Rosen, N.; Larson, S.M. Early tumor response to HSP90 therapy using HER2 PET: Comparison with 18F-FDG PET. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2006**, *47*, 793–796. [PubMed]
- Mendler, C.T.; Gehring, T.; Wester, H.J.; Schwaiger, M.; Skerra, A. ⁸⁹Zr-labeled versus ¹²⁴I-labeled αHER2 fab with optimized plasma half-life for high-contrast tumor imaging in vivo. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2015, *56*, 1112–1118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Ueda, M.; Hisada, H.; Temma, T.; Shimizu, Y.; Kimura, H.; Ono, M.; Nakamoto, Y.; Togashi, K.; Saji, H. Gallium-68-labeled anti-HER2 single-chain Fv fragment: Development and in vivo monitoring of HER2 expression. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* **2015**, *17*, 102–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oude Munnink, T.H.; de Vries, E.G.; Vedelaar, S.R.; Timmer-Bosscha, H.; Schroder, C.P.; Brouwers, A.H.; Lub-de Hooge, M.N. Lapatinib and 17AAG reduce ⁸⁹Zr-trastuzumab-F(ab')2 uptake in SKBR3 tumor xenografts. *Mol. Pharm.* 2012, 9, 2995–3002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Tang, Y.; Scollard, D.; Chen, P.; Wang, J.; Holloway, C.; Reilly, R.M. Imaging of HER2/neu expression in BT-474 human breast cancer xenografts in athymic mice using [^{99m}Tc]-HYNIC-trastuzumab (Herceptin) Fab fragments. *Nucl. Med. Commun.* **2005**, *26*, 427–432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Beylergil, V.; Morris, P.G.; Smith-Jones, P.M.; Modi, S.; Solit, D.; Hudis, C.A.; Lu, Y.; O'Donoghue, J.; Lyashchenko, S.K.; Carrasquillo, J.A.; et al. Pilot study of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-F(ab')2-trastuzumab in patients with breast cancer. *Nucl. Med. Commun.* **2013**, *34*, 1157–1165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Holloway, C.M.; Scollard, D.A.; Caldwell, C.B.; Ehrlich, L.; Kahn, H.J.; Reilly, R.M. Phase I trial of intraoperative detection of tumor margins in patients with HER2-positive carcinoma of the breast following administration of ¹¹¹In-DTPA-trastuzumab fab fragments. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 2013, 40, 630–637. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Varmira, K.; Hosseinimehr, S.J.; Noaparast, Z.; Abedi, S.M. An improved radiolabelled rna aptamer molecule for HER2 imaging in cancers. *J. Drug Target.* **2014**, *22*, 116–122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reubi, J.C.; Waser, B.; Foekens, J.A.; Klijn, J.G.; Lamberts, S.W.; Laissue, J. Somatostatin receptor incidence and distribution in breast cancer using receptor autoradiography: Relationship to EGF receptors. *Int. J. Cancer* 1990, 46, 416–420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Orlando, C.; Raggi, C.C.; Bianchi, S.; Distante, V.; Simi, L.; Vezzosi, V.; Gelmini, S.; Pinzani, P.; Smith, M.C.; Buonamano, A.; et al. Measurement of somatostatin receptor subtype 2 mRNA in breast cancer and corresponding normal tissue. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **2004**, *11*, 323–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chereau, E.; Durand, L.; Frati, A.; Prignon, A.; Talbot, J.N.; Rouzier, R. Correlation of immunohistopathological expression of somatostatin receptor-2 in breast cancer and tumor detection with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC and 18F-FDG PET imaging in an animal model. *Anticancer Res.* 2013, *33*, 3015–3019. [PubMed]
- 56. Van Eijck, C.H.; Krenning, E.P.; Bootsma, A.; Oei, H.Y.; van Pel, R.; Lindemans, J.; Jeekel, J.; Reubi, J.C.; Lamberts, S.W. Somatostatin-receptor scintigraphy in primary breast cancer. *Lancet* **1994**, *343*, 640–643. [CrossRef]
- 57. Bajc, M.; Ingvar, C.; Palmer, J. Dynamic indium-111-pentetreotide scintigraphy in breast cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1996**, *37*, 622–626. [PubMed]
- Chiti, A.; Agresti, R.; Maffioli, L.S.; Tomasic, G.; Savelli, G.; Crippa, F.; Pilotti, S.; Greco, M.; Bombardieri, E. Breast cancer staging using technetium-99m sestamibi and indium-111 pentetreotide single-photon emission tomography. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* 1997, 24, 192–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Vural, G.; Unlu, M.; Atasever, T.; Ozur, I.; Ozdemir, A.; Gokcora, N. Comparison of indium-111 octreotide and thallium-201 scintigraphy in patients mammographically suspected of having breast cancer: Preliminary results. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* **1997**, *24*, 312–315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Alberini, J.L.; Meunier, B.; Denzler, B.; Devillers, A.; Tass, P.; Dazord, L.; Le Simple, T.; Laissue, J.; de Jong, R.; Le Cloirec, J.; et al. Somatostatin receptor in breast cancer and axillary nodes: Study with scintigraphy, histopathology and receptor autoradiography. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2000**, *61*, 21–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schulz, S.; Helmholz, T.; Schmitt, J.; Franke, K.; Otto, H.J.; Weise, W. True positive somatostatin receptor scintigraphy in primary breast cancer correlates with expression of sst_{2A} and sst₅. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2002, 72, 221–226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skanberg, J.; Ahlman, H.; Benjegard, S.A.; Fjalling, M.; Forssell-Aronsson, E.B.; Hashemi, S.H.; Nilsson, O.; Suurkula, M.; Jansson, S. Indium-111-octreotide scintigraphy, intraoperative γ-detector localisation and somatostatin receptor expression in primary human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2002, 74, 101–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Den Bossche, B.; Van Belle, S.; de Winter, F.; Signore, A.; van de Wiele, C. Early prediction of endocrine therapy effect in advanced breast cancer patients using ^{99m}Ttc-depreotide scintigraphy. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2006, 47, 6–13. [PubMed]
- Wang, F.; Wang, Z.; Wu, J.; Qu, W.; Yao, W.; Zhao, J.; Liu, Z. The role of technetium-99m-labeled octreotide acetate scintigraphy in suspected breast cancer and correlates with expression of sstr. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 2008, 35, 665–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Su, X.H.; He, X.J.; Wu, H.; Pan, W.M.; Huang, J.X.; Yu, H.; Chen, G.B.; Wang, W. Complement of tc-99m-octreotide scintimammography to mammography in evaluating breast cancers. *Nucl. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 21, 24–28.
- 66. Piperkova, E. Somatostatin-receptor scintigraphy—A new diagnostic approach to primary breast cancer. *Rentgenol. Radiol.* **1996**, *35*, 44–48.
- 67. Dalm, S.U.; Melis, M.; Emmering, J.; Kwekkeboom, D.J.; de Jong, M. Breast cancer imaging using radiolabelled somatostatin analogues. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* **2016**, *43*, 559–565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Dalm, S.U.; Sieuwerts, A.M.; Look, M.P.; Melis, M.; van Deurzen, C.H.; Foekens, J.A.; de Jong, M.; Martens, J.W. Clinical relevance of targeting the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor, somatostatin receptor 2, or chemokine C-X-C motif receptor 4 in breast cancer for imaging and therapy. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2015, 56, 1487–1493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Kumar, U.; Grigorakis, S.I.; Watt, H.L.; Sasi, R.; Snell, L.; Watson, P.; Chaudhari, S. Somatostatin receptors in primary human breast cancer: Quantitative analysis of mrna for subtypes 1–5 and correlation with receptor protein expression and tumor pathology. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2005**, *92*, 175–186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Van Den Bossche, B.; D'Haeninck, E.; de Vos, F.; Dierckx, R.A.; van Belle, S.; Bracke, M.; van de Wiele, C. Oestrogen-mediated regulation of somatostatin receptor expression in human breast cancer cell lines assessed with ^{99m}Tc-depreotide. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2004**, *31*, 1022–1030. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Rivera, J.A.; Alturaihi, H.; Kumar, U. Differential regulation of somatostatin receptors 1 and 2 mRNA and protein expression by tamoxifen and estradiol in breast cancer cells. *J. Carcinog.* **2005**, *4*, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Dalm, S.U.; Schrijver, W.A.M.E.; Sieuwerts, A.M.; Look, M.P.; Ziel-van der Made, A.C.J.; de Weerd, V.; Martens, J.W.; van Diest, P.J.; de Jong, M.; van Deurzen, C.H.M. Prospects of targeting the gastrin releasing peptide receptor, the chemokine C–X–C motif receptor type 4 and the somatostatin receptor subtype 2 in primary breast cancer and metastases (op171). In Proceedings of the 29th Annual Congress of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM'16), Barcelona, Spain, 15–19 October 2016.
- 73. Limouris, G.S.; Poulantzas, V.; Trompoukis, N.; Karfis, I.; Chondrogiannis, S.; Triantafyllou, N.; Gennimata, V.; Moulopoulou, L.E.; Patsouris, E.; Nikou, G.; et al. Comparison of 111in-[DTPA0]octreotide versus non carrier added 1771u- [DOTA0,Tyr3]-octreotate efficacy in patients with GEP-NET treated intra-arterially for liver metastases. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2016**, *41*, 194–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Dalm, S.U.; Nonnekens, J.; Doeswijk, G.N.; de Blois, E.; van Gent, D.C.; Konijnenberg, M.W.; de Jong, M. Comparison of the therapeutic response to treatment with a 177lu-labeled somatostatin receptor agonist and antagonist in preclinical models. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2016**, *57*, 260–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Wild, D.; Fani, M.; Fischer, R.; Del Pozzo, L.; Kaul, F.; Krebs, S.; Fischer, R.; Rivier, J.E.; Reubi, J.C.; Maecke, H.R.; et al. Comparison of somatostatin receptor agonist and antagonist for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy: A pilot study. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2014**, *55*, 1248–1252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Wild, D.; Fani, M.; Behe, M.; Brink, I.; Rivier, J.E.; Reubi, J.C.; Maecke, H.R.; Weber, W.A. First clinical evidence that imaging with somatostatin receptor antagonists is feasible. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2011, 52, 1412–1417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Cescato, R.; Waser, B.; Fani, M.; Reubi, J.C. Evaluation of 177Lu-DOTA-sst2 antagonist versus 177Lu-DOTA-sst2 agonist binding in human cancers in vitro. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2011, 52, 1886–1890. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. Ginj, M.; Zhang, H.; Waser, B.; Cescato, R.; Wild, D.; Wang, X.; Erchegyi, J.; Rivier, J.; Macke, H.R.; Reubi, J.C. Radiolabeled somatostatin receptor antagonists are preferable to agonists for in vivo peptide receptor targeting of tumors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, *103*, 16436–16441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Dalm, S.U.; Haeck, J.; Doeswijk, G.N.; de Blois, E.; van Deurzen, C.H.M.; de Jong, M. The use of somatostatin receptor antagonists may provide a role for receptor-mediated nuclear imaging and therapy of breast cancer (op305). In Proceedings of the 29th Annual Congress of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM'16), Barcelona, Spain, 15–19 October 2016.
- Maina, T.; Bergsma, H.; Kulkarni, H.R.; Mueller, D.; Charalambidis, D.; Krenning, E.P.; Nock, B.A.; de Jong, M.; Baum, R.P. Preclinical and first clinical experience with the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor-antagonist [⁶⁸Ga]SB3 and PET/CT. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2016, 43, 964–973. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reubi, J.C.; Wenger, S.; Schmuckli-Maurer, J.; Schaer, J.C.; Gugger, M. Bombesin receptor subtypes in human cancers: Detection with the universal radioligand ¹²⁵I-[D-Tyr(6), β-Ala(11), Phe(13), Nle(14)] bombesin(6–14). *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2002, *8*, 1139–1146. [PubMed]
- Reubi, C.; Gugger, M.; Waser, B. Co-expressed peptide receptors in breast cancer as a molecular basis for in vivo multireceptor tumour targeting. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2002, 29, 855–862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Gugger, M.; Reubi, J.C. Gastrin-releasing peptide receptors in non-neoplastic and neoplastic human breast. *Am. J. Pathol.* **1999**, *155*, 2067–2076. [CrossRef]

- 84. Dalm, S.U.; Martens, J.W.; Sieuwerts, A.M.; van Deurzen, C.H.; Koelewijn, S.J.; de Blois, E.; Maina, T.; Nock, B.A.; Brunel, L.; Fehrentz, J.A.; et al. In vitro and in vivo application of radiolabeled gastrin-releasing peptide receptor ligands in breast cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2015**, *56*, 752–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Mansi, R.; Wang, X.; Forrer, F.; Kneifel, S.; Tamma, M.L.; Waser, B.; Cescato, R.; Reubi, J.C.; Maecke, H.R. Evaluation of a 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid-conjugated bombesin-based radioantagonist for the labeling with single-photon emission computed tomography, positron emission tomography, and therapeutic radionuclides. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2009**, *15*, 5240–5249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prignon, A.; Nataf, V.; Provost, C.; Cagnolini, A.; Montravers, F.; Gruaz-Guyon, A.; Lantry, L.E.; Talbot, J.N.; Nunn, A.D. ⁶⁸Ga-AMBA and ¹⁸F-FDG for preclinical PET imaging of breast cancer: Effect of tamoxifen treatment on tracer uptake by tumor. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 2015, *42*, 92–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Parry, J.J.; Andrews, R.; Rogers, B.E. Micropet imaging of breast cancer using radiolabeled bombesin analogs targeting the gastrin-releasing peptide receptor. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2007**, *101*, 175–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stoykow, C.; Erbes, T.; Maecke, H.R.; Bulla, S.; Bartholoma, M.; Mayer, S.; Drendel, V.; Bronsert, P.; Werner, M.; Gitsch, G.; et al. Gastrin-releasing peptide receptor imaging in breast cancer using the receptor antagonist ⁶⁸Ga-RM2 and PET. *Theranostics* 2016, *6*, 1641–1650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Necela, B.M.; Crozier, J.A.; Andorfer, C.A.; Lewis-Tuffin, L.; Kachergus, J.M.; Geiger, X.J.; Kalari, K.R.; Serie, D.J.; Sun, Z.; Moreno-Aspitia, A.; et al. Folate receptor-α (FOLR1) expression and function in triple negative tumors. *PLoS ONE* 2015, *10*, e0122209.
- Fisher, R.E.; Siegel, B.A.; Edell, S.L.; Oyesiku, N.M.; Morgenstern, D.E.; Messmann, R.A.; Amato, R.J. Exploratory study of ^{99m}Tc-EC20 imaging for identifying patients with folate receptor-positive solid tumors. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2008, 49, 899–906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muller, A.; Homey, B.; Soto, H.; Ge, N.; Catron, D.; Buchanan, M.E.; McClanahan, T.; Murphy, E.; Yuan, W.; Wagner, S.N.; et al. Involvement of chemokine receptors in breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* 2001, 410, 50–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nimmagadda, S.; Pullambhatla, M.; Stone, K.; Green, G.; Bhujwalla, Z.M.; Pomper, M.G. Molecular imaging of CXCR4 receptor expression in human cancer xenografts with [⁶⁴Cu]amd3100 positron emission tomography. *Cancer Res.* 2010, *70*, 3935–3944. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fu, P.; Tian, L.; Cao, X.; Li, L.; Xu, P.; Zhao, C. Imaging CXCR4 expression with ^{99m}Tc-radiolabeled small-interference rna in experimental human breast cancer xenografts. *Mol. Imaging Biology.* 2016, 18, 353–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Vag, T.; Gerngross, C.; Herhaus, P.; Eiber, M.; Philipp-Abbrederis, K.; Graner, F.P.; Ettl, J.; Keller, U.; Wester, H.J.; Schwaiger, M. First experience with chemokine receptor CXCR4-targeted PET imaging of patients with solid cancers. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2016**, *57*, 741–746. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Morgat, C.; Mishra, A.K.; Varshney, R.; Allard, M.; Fernandez, P.; Hindie, E. Targeting neuropeptide receptors for cancer imaging and therapy: Perspectives with bombesin, neurotensin, and neuropeptide-y receptors. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2014**, *55*, 1650–1657. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Reubi, J.C.; Gugger, M.; Waser, B.; Schaer, J.C. Y₁-mediated effect of neuropeptide y in cancer: Breast carcinomas as targets. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 4636–4641. [PubMed]
- Khan, I.U.; Zwanziger, D.; Bohme, I.; Javed, M.; Naseer, H.; Hyder, S.W.; Beck-Sickinger, A.G. Breast-cancer diagnosis by neuropeptide y analogues: From synthesis to clinical application. *Angew. Chem.* 2010, 49, 1155–1158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hofmann, S.; Maschauer, S.; Kuwert, T.; Beck-Sickinger, A.G.; Prante, O. Synthesis and in vitro and in vivo evaluation of an ¹⁸F-labeled neuropeptide y analogue for imaging of breast cancer by PET. *Mol. Pharm.* 2015, 12, 1121–1130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, L.; Xu, Q.; Cheng, L.; Ma, C.; Xiao, L.; Xu, D.; Gao, Y.; Wang, J.; Song, H. NPY1R is a novel peripheral blood marker predictive of metastasis and prognosis in breast cancer patients. *Oncol. Lett.* 2015, *9*, 891–896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Reubi, J.C. In vitro identification of vasoactive intestinal peptide receptors in human tumors: Implications for tumor imaging. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1995**, *36*, 1846–1853. [PubMed]

- 101. Zhang, K.; Aruva, M.R.; Shanthly, N.; Cardi, C.A.; Patel, C.A.; Rattan, S.; Cesarone, G.; Wickstrom, E.; Thakur, M.L. Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) and pituitary adenylate cyclase activating peptide (PACAP) receptor specific peptide analogues for PET imaging of breast cancer: In vitro/in vivo evaluation. *Regul. Pept.* 2007, 144, 91–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Jagoda, E.M.; Aloj, L.; Seidel, J.; Lang, L.; Moody, T.W.; Green, S.; Caraco, C.; Daube-Witherspoon, M.; Green, M.V.; Eckelman, W.C. Comparison of an 18F labeled derivative of vasoactive intestinal peptide and 2-deoxy-2-[18F]Fluoro-D-glucose in nude mice bearing breast cancer xenografts. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 2002, 4, 369–379. [CrossRef]
- 103. Thakur, M.L.; Zhang, K.; Berger, A.; Cavanaugh, B.; Kim, S.; Channappa, C.; Frangos, A.J.; Wickstrom, E.; Intenzo, C.M. VPAC1 receptors for imaging breast cancer: A feasibility study. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2013, 54, 1019–1025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Virgolini, I.; Kurtaran, A.; Raderer, M.; Leimer, M.; Angelberger, P.; Havlik, E.; Li, S.; Scheithauer, W.; Niederle, B.; Valent, P.; et al. Vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor scintigraphy. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1995**, *36*, 1732–1739. [CrossRef]
- 105. De Marchi, T.; Foekens, J.A.; Umar, A.; Martens, J.W. Endocrine therapy resistance in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer. *Drug Discov. Today* **2016**, *21*, 1181–1188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Aurilio, G.; Disalvatore, D.; Pruneri, G.; Bagnardi, V.; Viale, G.; Curigliano, G.; Adamoli, L.; Munzone, E.; Sciandivasci, A.; De Vita, F.; et al. A meta-analysis of oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 discordance between primary breast cancer and metastases. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2014, 50, 277–289. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Makki, J. Diversity of breast carcinoma: Histological subtypes and clinical relevance. *Clin. Med. Insights Pathol.* **2015**, *8*, 23–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Shrivastava, A.; Wang, S.H.; Raju, N.; Gierach, I.; Ding, H.; Tweedle, M.F. Heterobivalent dual-target probe for targeting GRP and Y1 receptors on tumor cells. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2013, 23, 687–692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Aranda-Lara, L.; Ferro-Flores, G.; Ramirez Fde, M.; Ocampo-Garcia, B.; Santos-Cuevas, C.; Diaz-Nieto, L.; Isaac-Olive, K. Improved radiopharmaceutical based on ^{99m}Tc-bombesin-folate for breast tumour imaging. *Nucl. Med. Commun.* **2016**, *37*, 377–386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 110. Ji, T.; Sun, Y.; Chen, B.; Ji, B.; Gao, S.; Ma, Q.; Cheng, G.; Zhang, H. The diagnostic role of ^{99m}Tc-dual receptor targeted probe and targeted peptide bombesin (RGD-BBN) SPET/CT in the detection of malignant and benign breast tumors and axillary lymph nodes compared to ultrasound. *Hell. J. Nucl. Med.* 2015, *18*, 108–113. [PubMed]
- 111. Liu, Z.; Yan, Y.; Liu, S.; Wang, F.; Chen, X. ¹⁸F, ⁶⁴Cu, and ⁶⁸Ga labeled RGD-bombesin heterodimeric peptides for PET imaging of breast cancer. *Bioconjug. Chem.* **2009**, *20*, 1016–1025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2017 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).