


Revision of the *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement*

A.M.H. van der Veen
VSL, Delft, the Netherlands
Eurachem Workshop

“Recent developments in measurement uncertainty”
Lisbon, Portugal, 6-7 June 2011



Evolution of the GUM so far

- First edition published in 1993
- 1st edition, 2nd print (1995)
- 1st edition, 3rd print (2008), as JCGM100:2008

- Document still largely unchanged
- Vast investments in various sectors to implement the concepts
 - Guides, written standards
 - Papers, quality documents

GUM Supplements

- Extensions to the GUM, to be used in conjunction with JCGM100
- Supplements foreseen/published
 - JCGM 101: Supplement 1 to the GUM – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method (2008);
 - JCGM 102: Supplement 2 to the GUM – Models with any number of output quantities,
 - JCGM 103: Supplement 3 to the GUM – Developing and using measurement models

GUM Supplement 1


- Propagation of pdfs by means of Monte Carlo
- Versatile propagation method, capable of dealing with
 - Non-linear models
 - Models with constraints
- From output pdf, desired output can be calculated, e.g.,
 - Coverage interval
 - Standard uncertainty

GUM Supplement 2

- Agreed in May '11 meeting to be ready for publication
- Extension to models with any number of output quantities
 - Uncertainty propagation (GUF)
 - Propagation of pdfs (GUM-S1)
- Use of complex numbers
- Validation of GUF using Monte Carlo

Multivariate models in chemistry

- All multipoint calibration curves fitted to a model with more than one coefficient



GUM Supplement 3


- Describes measurement modelling and use of models
- Document in an early stage of development
- ‘Fishbone’ diagrams as modelling aid to be included (‘cause and effect’ modelling)

Complementary documents to the GUM

- Giving background, introduction, further guidance to aspects dealt with in the GUM
- Documents foreseen/published
 - JCGM 104: Evaluation of measurement data – An introduction to the “Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement” and related documents (2009),
 - JCGM 105: Evaluation of measurement data – Concepts and basic principles,
 - JCGM 106: Evaluation of measurement data – The role of measurement uncertainty in conformity assessment,
 - JCGM 107: Evaluation of measurement data – Applications of the least-squares method

JCGM 104:2009

- Introduction to the GUM
- Explanatory document
 - Concepts and principles
 - Stages of uncertainty evaluation
 - Formulation stage
 - Propagation of uncertainty
 - Conformity assessment
 - Least squares



JCGM 105

- Concepts, principles underlying the GUM
- Document in an early stage of development
- Support to choices made in GUM and its supplements

JCGM 106

- Use of measurement uncertainty in conformity assessment
- Methodologies for decision taking on the basis of results including uncertainty
- Document close to completion

Revision of the GUM

- Preservation of vast investments made so far in implementing it
- Inconsistencies
 - Internally (frequentist and Bayesian approaches; terminology)
 - Externally (GUM Supplements; VIM3)
- Inadequacies
 - Measurement uncertainty evaluation in new fields
 - Concept of a unique true value
- Ambiguities
 - Notational and terminology

Objectives revision GUM

- Clarity of presentation
- Structure as close as possible to that of the present GUM
- Level of presentation comparable to that of the present GUM
- Better specification of the conditions of applicability

Outcome of revision


- Unification of the concepts of Type A and Type B evaluations of uncertainty
- Increased guidance in the evaluation of standard uncertainty for input estimates
- Less emphasis given to effective degrees of freedom
- Improved treatment of coverage intervals
- Increased number of examples, with applications taken from chemistry etc

Bayesian or not Bayesian?

- Some aspects of the present GUM are not Bayesian
- In the new edition, everything will be “Bayesian”
- Implication for type A uncertainty evaluation (using t -distribution)

$$u^2(x) = \frac{n-1}{n-3} s^2(x)$$

Requires additional guidance when $n < 4$



Contents of new JCGM100


- Foreword
- 0. Introduction
- 1. Scope
- 2. Normative references (new)
- 3. Terms and definitions (old 2.)
- 4. Conventions and notation (new)
- 5. Basic principles and concepts (old 3.)
- 6. Modelling the measurement (old 4.)
- 7. Evaluating output uncertainty (old 5.)
- 8. Determining a coverage region (old 6.)
- 9. Reporting measurement results (old 7.)
- 10. Summary procedure (old 8.)

VIM3:2008

- To be incorporated in JCGM 100
- Already used in JCGM 101, 104 and draft documents
- Some concepts are modified in order to fit in the GUM setting
 - Measurand has a unique true value
- Feedback is given to JCGM WG2 (VIM)

Modelling the measurement

- Current contents in JCGM 100 largely unchanged
- JCGM 103 deals with the matter in great detail
- Fishbone-diagram aided modelling to be added (JCGM 103?!)
- Incorporation of ‘top-down approach’
 - Appreciable hesitations
 - Use of precision data to be accommodated



Top-down “versus” bottom-up


- Not really different methods
- No real uncertainty evaluation is truly one or the other: usually a hybrid is used
- De-emphasising differences aids to
 - Explain that the input variables can be chosen
 - Uncertainties can be evaluated using the method of choice

Use of PT-data

- Controversial, because
 - Proper randomisation of effects hard to achieve
 - Inhomogeneity of the performance of the labs
 - Even satisfactory performance does not prove that the lab can derive its uncertainty from the PT-data
 - Guidance is needed (connection with ISO 21748 and similar documents)

Output uncertainty

- Emphasis on propagating pdfs rather than uncertainties
 - Application of Bayes' theorem
 - Monte Carlo (GUM S1)
- In 'special cases': law of propagation of uncertainty
- Established ('easy to use') criteria on what to use when



Examples

- Examples to be added from
 - Analytical chemistry
 - Physical chemistry (thermodynamics)
 - Clinical chemistry
 - Microbiology
 - (etc.)
- Further examples to be added illustrating methodology
 - Bayesian theorem
 - Matrix calculus

Outstanding issues

- Uncertainty evaluation of results containing bias
 - Quite common in ‘testing’
 - Legislative requirements
 - Parameters used in ‘engineering’
- Measurement methods with little or nothing to model
 - Components: precision, trueness
- GUM for testing or calibration?

Conclusions

- GUM revision aims at serving other fields than solely physical calibration
- Approach will become more versatile, without losing connection with the past
- Document structure largely unchanged
- Smooth transitions between GUM and its supplements



Acknowledgement

Membership of JCGM WG1 for their input

The author is a member of the Joint Committee on Guides in Metrology (JCGM) Working Group 1 (GUM). The opinion expressed in this paper does not necessarily represent the view of this Working Group.