



# Revisiting the Kannan Type Contractions via Interpolation

Erdal Karapınar

*Department of Mathematics, Atılım University 06836, Incek, Ankara, Turkey.*

---

## Abstract

In the paper we revisited the well-known fixed point theorem of Kannan under the aspect of interpolation. By using the interpolation notion, we propose a new Kannan type contraction to maximize the rate of convergence.

*Keywords:* Kannan Type Contraction, Interpolation, fixed point, rate of convergence.

*2010 MSC:* 47H 10, 49T99, 54H25.

---

## 1. Introduction

After the distinguished fixed point of Banach, one of the pivotal metric fixed point result was reported by Kannan [1, 2]. A mapping that satisfies Banach contraction inequality is necessarily continuous. In 1968, Kannan [1] introduced a new type of contraction which is an affirmative answer to the natural question below: Whether there is a discontinuous mapping that fulfils certain contractive conditions and posses a fixed point in the frame of complete metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.1.** [1] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a Kannan contraction mapping, i.e.,*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)],$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

---

*Email address:* [erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com](mailto:erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com) (Erdal Karapınar)

## 2. Main results

We start our results by the generalization of the definition of Kannan type contraction via interpolation notion, as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We say that the self-mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an interpolative Kannan type contraction, if there exist a constant  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda [d(x, Tx)]^\alpha \cdot [d(y, Ty)]^{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq Tx$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  be an interpolative Kannan type contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in (X, d)$ . We shall set a constructive sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = T^n(x_0)$  for all positive integer  $n$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each nonnegative integer  $n$ . Indeed, if there exist a nonnegative integer  $n_0$  such that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0}$ , then,  $x_{n_0}$  forms a fixed point. Thus, we have

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0, \text{ for each nonnegative integer } n.$$

Taking  $x = x_n$  and  $y = x_{n-1}$  in (2.1), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq \lambda [d(x_n, Tx_n)]^\alpha \cdot [d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \lambda [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{1-\alpha} \cdot [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

which yields that

$$[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^{1-\alpha} \leq \lambda [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, we deduce that the sequence  $\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$  is non-increasing and non-negative. As a result, there is a nonnegative constant  $L$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = L$ . We shall indicate that  $L > 0$ . Indeed, from (2.3), we derive that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1). \tag{2.4}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality above, we observe that  $L = 0$ .

As a next step, we shall show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy by using a standard arguments based on the triangle inequality. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+r}) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \dots + d(x_{n+r-1}, x_{n+r}) \\ &\leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + \lambda^{n+r-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality above, we find that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ .

On what follows we shall show that the limit  $x$  of the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  forms a fixed point for the given self-mapping  $T$ . By substituting  $x = x_n$  and  $y = x$  in (2.1), we find that

$$d(Tx_n, Tx) \leq \lambda [d(x_n, Tx_n)]^\alpha \cdot [d(x, Tx)]^{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.6}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality above, we derive that  $d(x, Tx) = 0$  that is,  $Tx = x$ .

For the uniqueness, we shall use the method of *Reductio ad Absurdum*. Suppose, on the contrary that  $T$  has a two distinct fixed point  $x, y \in X$ . Thus, from (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda [d(x, Tx)]^\alpha \cdot [d(y, Ty)]^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \lambda [d(x, x)]^\alpha \cdot [d(y, y)]^{1-\alpha} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

which yields that  $d(x, y) = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence, the observed fixed point is unique. □

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \{x, y, z, w\}$  be a set endowed with a metric  $d$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x) &= d(y, y) = d(z, z) = d(w, w) = 0, \\ d(y, x) &= d(x, y) = 3, \\ d(z, x) &= d(x, z) = 4, \\ d(y, z) &= d(z, y) = \frac{3}{2}, \\ d(w, x) &= d(x, w) = \frac{5}{2}, \\ d(w, y) &= d(y, w) = 2, \\ d(w, z) &= d(z, w) = \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a self-mapping  $T$  on  $X$  by  $T : \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & w \\ x & w & x & y \end{pmatrix}$ . It is clear that  $T$  is not Kannan contraction.

Indeed, there is no  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that the following inequality is fulfilled:

$$d(Tw, Tz) = d(y, x) = 3 \leq \lambda(d(Tw, w) + d(z, Tz)) = 6\lambda.$$

On the other hand, for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{8}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{9}{10}$ , the self-mapping  $T$  forms an interpolative Kannan type contraction and  $x$  is the desired unique fixed point of  $T$ . Notice that in the setting of interpolative Kannan type contraction, the constant lies between 0 and 1 although in the classical version it is restricted with  $1/2$ .

## References

- [1] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60, 71-76 (1968).
- [2] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points. II. Am. Math. Mon. 76, 405-408 (1969).