

RICCI SOLITONS AND REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX SPACE FORM

JONG TAEK CHO AND MAKOTO KIMURA

(Received June 19, 2008, revised August 26, 2008)

Abstract. We prove that a real hypersurface in a non-flat complex space form does not admit a Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is the Reeb vector field. Moreover, we classify a real hypersurface admitting so-called “ η -Ricci soliton” in a non-flat complex space form.

1. Introduction. A *Ricci soliton* is a natural generalization of an Einstein metric and is defined on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) by

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g + \text{Ric} - \lambda g = 0$$

where V is a vector field (the potential vector field) and λ a constant on M . Obviously, a trivial Ricci soliton is an Einstein metric with V zero or Killing. Compact Ricci solitons are the fixed points of the Ricci flow: $(\partial/\partial t)g = -2\text{Ric}$ projected from the space of metrics onto its quotient modulo diffeomorphisms and scalings, and often arise as blow-up limits for the Ricci flow on compact manifolds. The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady and expanding according as $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda < 0$, respectively. Hamilton [4] and Ivey [5] proved that a Ricci soliton on a compact manifold has constant curvature in dimension 2 and 3, respectively. If the vector field V is the gradient of a potential function f , then g is called a gradient Ricci soliton. Due to Perelman’s result [16, Remark 3.2], we find that in a compact Ricci soliton, the potential vector field is written as the sum of a gradient and a Killing vector field. We refer to [3] for details about Ricci solitons or gradient Ricci solitons.

In [6], it was proved that there are no real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor in a non-flat complex space form $\tilde{M}_n(c)$ with $c \neq 0$ when $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, Kim [8] proved that this is also true when $n = 2$. These results imply, in particular, that there do not exist Einstein real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form.

In this situation, we study on Ricci solitons of real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form. Then we prove that a real hypersurface M in a non-flat complex space form $\tilde{M}_n(c)$ with $c \neq 0$ does not admit a Ricci soliton whose soliton vector field is the Reeb vector field ξ (Corollary 7). In this context, we define so called “ η -Ricci soliton” (η, g) , which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi g + \text{Ric} - \lambda g - \mu \eta \otimes \eta = 0$$

for constants λ, μ . Then we first prove that a real hypersurface M which admits an η -Ricci soliton in a non-flat complex space form $\tilde{M}_n(c)$ is a Hopf-hypersurface. Moreover, we classify those η -Ricci soliton real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form (Theorem 6).

2. Real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds. In this paper, all manifolds are assumed to be connected and of class C^∞ and the real hypersurfaces are supposed to be oriented.

First, we give a brief review of several fundamental notions and formulas which we will need later on.

Let \tilde{M}_n be a complex n -dimensional Kähler manifold and M a real hypersurface of \tilde{M}_n . We denote by \tilde{g} and J a Kähler metric tensor and its Hermitian structure tensor, respectively. For any vector field X tangent to M , we put

$$(2) \quad JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N, \quad JN = -\xi,$$

where ϕ is a (1,1)-type tensor field, η is a 1-form and ξ is a unit vector field on M . The induced Riemannian metric on M is denoted by g . Then by properties of (\tilde{g}, J) , we see that the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure on M , that is, from (2) we can deduce:

$$(3) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$(4) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for all vector fields on M .

In the relation between the ambient space and its real hypersurface, the Gauss and Weingarten formula for M are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X N &= -AX \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y , where $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of $(M_n(c), \tilde{g})$ and (M, g) , respectively and A is the shape operator field. From (2) and $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$, we obtain

$$(5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi,$$

$$(6) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

We define a vector field U on M by $U = \nabla_\xi \xi$. Then, from (6), we easily observe that

$$(7) \quad g(U, \xi) = 0, \quad g(U, A\xi) = 0, \quad \|U\|^2 = g(U, U) = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2,$$

where $\alpha_1 = g(A\xi, \xi)$ and $\alpha_2 = g(A^2\xi, \xi)$. From (4), we have the following lemma immediately.

LEMMA 1. $A\xi = \alpha_1\xi$ if and only if $\|U\|^2 = 0$.

Now we suppose that the ambient space $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}_n(c)$ is a complex space form. Then we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z = & \frac{c}{4}\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ & + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} \\ & + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY, \end{aligned}$$

$$(9) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4}\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y, Z on M . From (8), we get for the Ricci tensor S of type (1,1):

$$(10) \quad SX = \frac{c}{4}\{(2n + 1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi\} + hAX - A^2X,$$

where h (= trace of A) denotes the mean curvature. Then we have the relation $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(SX, Y)$.

We prepare some more results which are needed later to prove ours. Let M be a Hopf hypersurface, which means that the Reeb vector field ξ is a principal curvature vector field ($A\xi = \alpha_1\xi$), in a non-flat complex space form $\tilde{M}_n(c)$, ($c \neq 0$). Then we already know that α_1 is a constant (cf. [7], [10], [11]). Differentiating $A\xi = \alpha_1\xi$ covariantly, we get

$$(\nabla_X A)\xi = \alpha_1\phi AX - A\phi AX$$

by using (6). Use the Codazzi equation (9) to obtain again

$$(\nabla_\xi A)X = \frac{c}{4}\phi X + \alpha_1\phi AX - A\phi AX$$

for any vector field X on M . Since $\nabla_\xi A$ is self-adjoint, by taking the anti-symmetric part of the above equation, we have the relation:

$$2A\phi AX - \frac{c}{2}\phi X = \alpha_1(\phi A + A\phi)X.$$

Here we assume that $AX = fX$, $X \perp \xi$, $\|X\| = 1$. Then it follows that

$$(2f - \alpha_1)A\phi X = \left(f\alpha_1 + \frac{c}{2}\right)\phi X.$$

The case $2f = \alpha_1$ yields $f^2 = -c/4$, which determines the horosphere in $H_n\mathbb{C}$ (cf. [1]). In fact the shape operator of the horosphere is written as $A = I + \eta \otimes \xi$. Hence, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. For a Hopf hypersurface M in a non-flat complex space form $\tilde{M}_n(c)$, ϕX is a principal direction if $X(\perp \xi)$ is a principal direction.

Takagi [17], [18] classified the homogeneous real hypersurfaces of $P_n\mathbb{C}$ into six types A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E . Cecil and Ryan [2] extensively studied a Hopf hypersurface which is realized as tubes over certain submanifolds in $P_n\mathbb{C}$ by using its focal map. By making use of those results and the mentioned work of R. Takagi, M. Kimura [9] proved the local classification theorem for Hopf hypersurfaces of $P_n\mathbb{C}$ whose all principal curvatures are constant.

As mentioned in Introduction, a real hypersurface M in a non-flat complex space form $\tilde{M}_n(c)$ does not admit Einstein metric. In this context, M. Kon [10] studied and classified *pseudo-Einstein* (or η -Einstein) real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. The term means that there are constants λ and μ such that

$$S = \lambda I + \mu \eta \otimes \xi,$$

where I denotes the identity transformation. Later, Cecil and Ryan [2], Montiel [12] developed the results for $P_n\mathbf{C}$, $H_n\mathbf{C}$, respectively. In reality, they classified those real hypersurfaces in $P_n\mathbf{C}$ or $H_n\mathbf{C}$ for $n \geq 3$ and for smooth functions λ and μ .

THEOREM 3 ([2], [10]). *Let M^{2n-1} ($n \geq 3$) be a real hypersurface of $P_n\mathbf{C}$ with Fubini-study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Then M is pseudo-Einstein if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (A₁) *a geodesic hypersphere of radius r , where $0 < r < \pi/2$,*
- (A₂) *a tube of radius r over a totally geodesic $P_l\mathbf{C}$ ($1 \leq l \leq n - 2$), where $0 < r < \pi/2$ and $\cot^2 r = k/(n - k - 1)$,*
- (B) *a tube of radius r over a complex quadric Q^{n-1} and $P_n\mathbf{R}$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $\cot^2 2r = n - 2$.*

For the case $H_n\mathbf{C}$, Berndt [1] proved the classification theorem for Hopf hypersurfaces whose all principal curvatures are constant.

THEOREM 4 (Montiel [12]). *Let M^{2n-1} ($n \geq 3$) be a real hypersurface of $H_n\mathbf{C}$ with Bergman metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4 . Then M is pseudo-Einstein if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (A₀) *a horosphere,*
- (A₁) *a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a complex hyperbolic hyperplane $H_{n-1}\mathbf{C}$,*
- (A₂) *a tube over a totally geodesic $H_l\mathbf{C}$ ($1 \leq l \leq n - 2$).*

A real hypersurface of type A₁, A₂ (without extra restriction $\cot^2 r = k/(n - k - 1)$) in Theorem 3 and of type A₀, A₁, A₂ in Theorem 4 are simply called a real hypersurface of type A. There are many characterizations of real hypersurfaces of type A (cf. [14]). In particular, Okumura ([15]) (resp. Montiel and Romero ([13])) proved that $\phi A = A\phi$ if and only if M is locally congruent to one of type A in $P_n\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $H_n\mathbf{C}$).

3. Real hypersurfaces with Ricci solitons in a complex space form. In view of those results of Einstein or pseudo-Einstein (or η -Einstein) real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, we introduce η -Ricci soliton on real hypersurfaces in a Kähler manifold:

DEFINITION 1. Let M be a real hypersurface in a Kähler manifold \tilde{M}_n . If M satisfies

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi g + \text{Ric} - \lambda g - \mu \eta \otimes \eta = 0$$

for constants λ , μ , then we say that M admits an η -Ricci soliton (with the soliton vector field ξ). When $\mu = 0$, it includes a Ricci soliton with the soliton vector field ξ .

By using (6), we find that

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) = g((\phi A - A\phi)X, Y).$$

Suppose that M admits an η -Ricci soliton. Then from (11), by using the relation above and (10), we have

$$(12) \quad \left(A^2 - hA - \frac{1}{2}(\phi A - A\phi) - \frac{c}{4}(2n + 1) + \lambda \right) X = -\left(\mu + \frac{3}{4}c \right) \eta(X)\xi.$$

First, we prove that ξ is a principal curvature vector. If we put $X = \xi$ in (12), then we get

$$(13) \quad A^2\xi - hA\xi - \frac{1}{2}U + \left(\lambda + \mu + \frac{c}{2}(1 - n) \right) \xi = 0$$

from (6). Take the ξ -component of (13) to get

$$(14) \quad \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 h = -\left(\lambda + \mu + \frac{c}{2}(1 - n) \right),$$

and then (13) gives

$$(15) \quad A^2\xi = hA\xi + \frac{1}{2}U + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 h)\xi.$$

If we take an inner product (15) with U , then we get

$$(16) \quad g(A\xi, AU) = \frac{1}{2}\|U\|^2,$$

where we have used the equalities $g(\xi, U) = g(A\xi, U) = 0$.

We put

$$(17) \quad Q := A^2 - hA - \frac{1}{2}(\phi A - A\phi) - \left(\frac{c}{4}(2n + 1) - \lambda \right) I,$$

where I denotes the identity transformation. Then we see that Q is a symmetric operator, and (12) is rewritten as

$$QX = -\left(\mu + \frac{3}{4}c \right) \eta(X)\xi.$$

Now, we compute $AQ - QA$. Then from (17), we have

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{2}(\phi A^2 + A^2\phi)X - A\phi AX = -\left(\mu + \frac{3}{4}c \right) (\eta(X)A\xi - \eta(AX)\xi).$$

Putting $X = \xi$, then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi A^2\xi - AU = -\left(\mu + \frac{3}{4}c \right) (A\xi - \alpha_1\xi).$$

Applying ϕ and using (3), then we get

$$(19) \quad A^2\xi = \alpha_2\xi - 2\phi AU + \left(2\mu + \frac{3}{2}c \right) U.$$

From (15) and (19), we obtain

$$hA\xi - \alpha_1 h\xi - \left(2\mu + \frac{3}{2}c - \frac{1}{2}\right)U + 2\phi AU = 0,$$

or

$$(20) \quad 2AU + \left(2\mu + \frac{3}{2}c - \frac{1}{2}\right)\phi U - hU = 0$$

by applying ϕ . Taking an inner product U with (20) and using (4) we get

$$(21) \quad 2g(AU, U) = h\|U\|^2.$$

Take an inner product $A\xi$ with (20) to get

$$(22) \quad g(AU, A\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(2\mu + \frac{3}{2}c - \frac{1}{2}\right)\|U\|^2.$$

Hence, together with (16), we obtain

$$\left(2\mu + \frac{3}{2}c - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|U\|^2 = 0,$$

which together with Lemma 1 yields that $A\xi = \alpha_1\xi$ if $(2\mu + 3c/2 - 3/2) \neq 0$.

Now we consider the case $2\mu + 3c/2 - 3/2 = 0$: then (20) gives

$$(23) \quad 2AU = -\phi U + hU.$$

This time we put $X = U$ in (12), then we get

$$(24) \quad A^2U - hAU - \frac{1}{2}(\phi AU - A\phi U) + \left(\alpha_1 h - \alpha_2 - \frac{3}{4}\right)U = 0,$$

where we have used (14) and $\mu + 3c/4 = 3/4$. The inner product of (24) and U is the sum of the left hand sides of

$$\begin{aligned} g(A^2U, U) &= g(AU, AU) = g\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi U + \frac{h}{2}U, -\frac{1}{2}\phi U + \frac{h}{2}U\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\|U\|^2 + \frac{h^2}{4}\|U\|^2, \quad (\text{use (23)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$g(-hAU, U) = -\frac{h^2}{2}\|U\|^2, \quad (\text{use (21)})$$

$$g(AU, \phi U) = g\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi U + \frac{h}{2}U, \phi U\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\|U\|^2$$

and $(\alpha_1 h - \alpha_2 - 3/4)\|U\|^2$, which is equal to

$$\frac{1}{4}\|U\|^2 + \frac{h^2}{4}\|U\|^2 - \frac{h^2}{2}\|U\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|U\|^2 + (\alpha_1 h - \alpha_2 - 3/4)\|U\|^2,$$

where $\alpha_2 = \|U\|^2 + \alpha_1^2$ by (7). Since -4 times the coefficient is

$$h^2 - 4\alpha_1 h + 4(\alpha_1^2 + \|U\|^2 + 1) = (h - 2\alpha_1)^2 + 4(\|U\|^2 + 1) > 0$$

is not zero, we have $\|U\|^2 = 0$ by (24). Thus, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. *If M admits an η -Ricci soliton, then ξ is a principal curvature vector.*

We assume that X is principal direction orthogonal to ξ in (12). Then since ξ is a principal curvature vector field, we can see that $\phi A = A\phi$ and M is pseudo-Einstein by Lemma 2. Due to the classification theorems of real hypersurfaces in $P_n\mathbf{C}$ or $H_n\mathbf{C}$ which satisfy $\phi A = A\phi$ ([13], [15]) or which admit pseudo-Einstein structure (Theorems 3 and 4) we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. *Let M be a real hypersurface in a non-flat complex space forms $\tilde{M}_n(c)$ with $c \neq 0$. If M admits an η -Ricci soliton, then M is a Hopf hypersurface and is locally congruent to one of the following real hypersurfaces: (i) a geodesic hypersphere in $P_n\mathbf{C}$ or $H_n\mathbf{C}$, a horosphere in $H_n\mathbf{C}$, (ii) a homogeneous tube over totally geodesic complex hyperbolic hyperplane $H_{n-1}\mathbf{C}$ in $H_n\mathbf{C}$, (iii) a homogeneous tube of radius r over a totally geodesic $P_l\mathbf{C}$ ($1 \leq l \leq n-2$), where $0 < r < \pi/2$ and $\cot^2 r = k/(n-k-1)$, (iv) a homogeneous tube over totally geodesic $H_l\mathbf{C}$ ($1 \leq l \leq n-2$).*

Since the equation (11) with $\mu = 0$ is reduced to a Ricci soliton equation, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 7. *A real hypersurface in a non-flat complex space form does not admit a Ricci soliton with the soliton vector field ξ .*

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the referee for reading the manuscript carefully and giving the valuable comments on it. In particular, the referee pointed out wrong computations when we derived $\|U\|^2 = 0$ from (24), and kindly suggested to us the present ones.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BERNDT, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math. 395 (1989), 132–141.
- [2] T. E. CECIL AND P. J. RYAN, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 481–499.
- [3] B. CHOW AND D. KNOFF, The Ricci flow: An introduction, Math. Surveys Monogr. 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [4] R. S. HAMILTON, The Ricci flow on surfaces Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), 237–262, Contemp. Math. 71, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [5] T. IVEY, Ricci solitons on compact 3-manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl. 3 (1993), 301–307.
- [6] U-H. KI, Real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor of a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math. 13 (1989), 73–81.
- [7] U-H. KI AND Y. J. SUH, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, Math. J. Okayama 32 (1990), 207–221.
- [8] U. K. KIM, Nonexistence of Ricci-parallel real hypersurfaces in $P_2\mathbf{C}$ or $H_2\mathbf{C}$, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 41 (2004), 699–708.
- [9] M. KIMURA, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 137–149.
- [10] M. KON, Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of complex space forms, J. Differential Geom. 14 (1979), 339–354.

- [11] Y. MAEDA, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 28 (1976), 529–540.
- [12] S. MONTIEL, Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 37 (1985), 515–535.
- [13] S. MONTIEL AND A. ROMERO, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *Geom. Dedicata* 20 (1986), 245–261.
- [14] R. NIEBERGALL AND P. J. RYAN, Real hypersurfaces of complex space forms, *Tight and taut submanifolds* (Berkeley, CA, 1994), 233–305, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* 32, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [15] M. OKUMURA, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 212 (1975), 355–364.
- [16] G. PERELMAN, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/02111159>, preprint.
- [17] R. TAKAGI, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, *Osaka J. Math.* 19 (1973), 495–506.
- [18] R. TAKAGI, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 15 (1975), 43–53, 507–516.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHONNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
GWANGJU 500–757
KOREA

E-mail address: jtcho@chonnam.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
SHIMANE UNIVERSITY
MATSUE, SHIMANE 690–8504
JAPAN

E-mail address: mkimura@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp