Open Peer Review on Qeios

Riemann Hypothesis on Grönwall's Function

Frank Vega

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.
Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

Grönwall's function G is defined for all natural numbers $n > 1$ by $G(n) = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n \cdot \log \log n}$ where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of n and log is the natural logarithm. We require the properties of extremely abundant numbers, that is to say left to right maxima of $n \mapsto G(n)$. We also use the colossally abundant and hyper abundant numbers. There are several statements equivalent to the famous Riemann hypothesis. We state that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if there exist infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$. In addition, we prove that the Riemann hypothesis is true when there exist infinitely many hyper abundant numbers n with any parameter $u > 1$. We claim that there could be infinitely many hyper abundant numbers with any parameter $u > 1$ and thus, the Riemann hypothesis would be true.

Frank Vega
Research Department, NataSquad, 10 rue de la Paix, Paris, 75002, France
vega.frank@gmail.com

Keywords: Riemann hypothesis, Extremely abundant numbers, Colossally abundant numbers, Hyper abundant numbers, Arithmetic functions.

MSC Classification: 11M26, 11A25

Introduction

As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of n

$$\sum_{d \mid n} d,$$

where $d \mid n$ means the integer d divides n. In 1997, Ramanujan's old notes were published where it was defined the generalized highly composite numbers, which include the superabundant and colossally abundant numbers [1]. A natural number n is called superabundant precisely when, for all natural numbers $m < n$

$$\frac{\sigma(m)}{m} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}.$$

A number n is said to be colossally abundant if, for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n^{1+\epsilon}} \geq \frac{\sigma(m)}{m^{1+\epsilon}} \quad \text{for} \quad (m > 1).$$

Every colossally abundant number is superabundant [2]. Let us call hyper abundant an integer n for which there exists $u > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n \cdot (\log n)^{u}} \geq \frac{\sigma(m)}{m \cdot (\log m)^{u}} \quad \text{for} \quad (m > 1),$$

where log is the natural logarithm. Every hyper abundant number is colossally abundant[[3], pp. 255]. In 1913, Grönwall studied the function $G(n) = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n \cdot \log\log n}$ for all natural numbers $n > 1$ [4]. We have the Grönwall's Theorem:

Proposition 1.

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} G(n) = e^{\gamma}$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [4].

Next, we have two Robin's Theorems:

Proposition 2. *Let $3 \leq N < N'$ be two consecutive colossally abundant numbers, then*

$$G(n) \leq \mathrm{Max}\left(G(N), G(N')\right)$$

when satisfying $N < n < N'$ [[5], Proposition 1 pp. 192].

Proposition 3. *There are infinitely many colossally abundant numbers N such that $G(N) > e^{\gamma}$ when the Riemann hypothesis is false [[5], Proposition pp. 204].*

There are champion numbers (i.e. left to right maxima) of the function $n \mapsto G(n)$:

$$G(m) < G(n)$$

for all natural numbers $10080 \leq m < n$. A positive integer n is extremely abundant if either $n = 10080$, or $n > 10080$ is a champion number of the function $n \mapsto G(n)$. In 1859, Bernhard Riemann proposed his hypothesis[6]. Several analogues of the Riemann hypothesis have already been proved [6].

Proposition 4. *The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if there exist infinitely many extremely abundant numbers[[7], Theorem 7 pp. 6].*

We use the following property for the extremely abundant numbers:

Proposition 5. *Let $N < N'$ be two consecutive colossally abundant numbers and $n > 10080$ is some extremely abundant number, then N' is also extremely abundant when satisfying $N < n < N'$* [[7], Lemma 21 pp. 12].

This is our main theorem

Theorem 1. *The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if there exist infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$.*

The following is a key Corollary.

Corollary 1. *The Riemann hypothesis is true when there exist infinitely many hyper abundant numbers N' with any parameter $u > 1$.*

Putting all together yields a new criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Now, we can conclude with the following result:

Theorem 2. *The Riemann hypothesis is true.*

Proof. Note also that, for all $u > 0$ [[3], pp. 254]:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n \cdot (\log n)^u} = 0$$

and so, we claim that there could be infinitely many hyper abundant numbers with any parameter $u > 1$ and thus, the Riemann hypothesis would be true. □

2. Central Lemma

Lemma 1. *For two real numbers $y > x > e$:*

$$\frac{y}{x} > \frac{\log y}{\log x}.$$

Proof. We have $y = x + \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\log y}{\log x} &= \frac{\log(x+\varepsilon)}{\log x} \\ &= \frac{\log\left(x \cdot (1+\frac{\varepsilon}{x})\right)}{\log x} \\ &= \frac{\log x + \log(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{x})}{\log x} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\log(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{x})}{\log x}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{y}{x} &= \frac{x+\varepsilon}{x} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{x}.\end{aligned}$$

We need to show that

$$\left(1 + \frac{\log(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{x})}{\log x}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{x}\right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{x \cdot \log x}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{x}\right)$$

using the well-known inequality $\log(1+x) \leq x$ for $x > 0$. For $x > e$, we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{x} > \frac{\varepsilon}{x \cdot \log x}.$$

In conclusion, the inequality

$$\frac{y}{x} > \frac{\log y}{\log x}$$

holds on condition that $y > x > e$. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Suppose there are not infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$. This implies that the inequality $G(N) \geq G(N')$ always holds for a sufficiently large N when $N < N'$ is a pair of consecutive colossally abundant numbers. That would mean the existence of a single colossally abundant number $N'' \geq 10080$ such that $G(n) \leq G(N'')$ for all natural numbers $n > N''$ according to Proposition 2. Certainly, the existence of such single colossally abundant number N'' is because of the Grönwall's function G would become decreasing on colossally abundant numbers starting from some single value. We use the Proposition 5 to reveal that under these preconditions, then there are not infinitely many extremely abundant numbers. This implies that the Riemann hypothesis is false as a consequence of Proposition 4. By contraposition, if the Riemann hypothesis is true, then there exist infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$.

Suppose that there exist infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$. From these assumed infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$, then there could be only a finite amount of these N' such that $e^{\gamma} < G(N')$ because of the Proposition 1 and the properties of limit superior. Thus, we deduce there could be only a finite amount of colossally abundant numbers N'' such that $e^{\gamma} < G(N'')$. However, when the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many colossally abundant numbers N'' such that $e^{\gamma} < G(N'')$ by Proposition 3. Therefore, the Riemann hypothesis would be true when there exist infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$.

The result is done. □

4. Proof of Corollary 1

Proof. Suppose there exists a large enough hyper abundant numbers N' with a parameter $u > 1$. We know that N' must be also a colossally abundant number. Let N be the greatest colossally abundant number such that $N < N'$, which means that N and N' is a pair of consecutive colossally abundant numbers. By definition of hyper abundant, we have

$$\frac{\sigma(N')}{N' \cdot (\log N')^{u}} \geq \frac{\sigma(N)}{N \cdot (\log N)^{u}}$$

which is the same as

$$\frac{\sigma(N') \cdot (\log N)^{u}}{N' \cdot (\log N')^{u} \cdot \log\log N} \geq \frac{\sigma(N)}{N \cdot \log\log N} = G(N).$$

Hence, it is enough to show that

$$G(N') = \frac{\sigma(N')}{N' \cdot \log\log N'} > \frac{\sigma(N') \cdot (\log N)^{u}}{N' \cdot (\log N')^{u} \cdot \log\log N}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(\log N')^u}{(\log N)^u} > \frac{\log\log N'}{\log\log N}.$$

Since $u > 1$, then we only need to show that the inequality

$$\frac{\log N'}{\log N} > \frac{\log\log N'}{\log\log N}.$$

holds on condition that $\log N' > \log N > e$ by Lemma 1. Consequently, this arbitrary large enough hyper abundant numbers N' with a parameter $u > 1$ reveals that $G(N) < G(N')$ holds on anyway. In this way, if there exist infinitely many hyper abundant numbers N' with any parameter $u > 1$, then there are infinitely many consecutive colossally abundant numbers $N < N'$ such that $G(N) < G(N')$.

Finally, the proof is complete by Theorem 1. $\square$

References

1. ^ *J.L. Nicolas, G. Robin, Highly Composite Numbers by Srinivasa Ramanu- jan. The Ramanujan Journal 1(2), 119–153 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009764017495*
2. ^ *L. Alaoglu, P. Erd˝os, On Highly Composite and Similar Numbers. Trans- actions of the American Mathematical Society 56(3), 448–469 (1944). https://doi.org/10.2307/1990319*
3. a, b *J.L. Nicolas, Some Open Questions. The Ramanujan Journal 9(1), 251–264 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-005-0836-2*
4. a, b *T.H. Grönwall, Some Asymptotic Expressions in the Theory of Numbers. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 14(1), 113–122 (1913). https://doi.org/10.2307/1988773*
5. a, b *G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. J. Math. pures appl 63(2), 187–213 (1984)*
6. a, b *J.B. Conrey, The Riemann Hypothesis. Notices of the AMS 50(3), 341–353 (2003)*
7. a, b *S. Nazardonyavi, S.B. Yakubovich, Extremely Abundant Numbers and the Riemann Hypothesis. J. Integer Seq. 17(2), 14–2 (2014)*