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#### Abstract

Summary. - Riemannian manifolds with structure group $G_{2}$ are 7-dimensional and have a distinguished 3 -form. In this paper such manifolds are treated as analogues of almost Hermitian manifolds. Thus $S^{7}$ has structure group $G_{2}$ just as $S^{6}$ is an almost Hermitian manifold. We study the covariant derivative of the fundamental 3-form as was done in [GH] for almost Hermitian manifolds.


## 1. - Introduction.

The exceptional Lie group $G_{2}$ is one of the possible candidates for the holonomy group of an irreducible Riemannian manifold [BE]. Such a Riemannian manifold $M$ must be 7 -dimensional and have zero Ricci curvature [BO]. There is a representation of $G_{2}$ on each tangent space of $M$ defined by means of a 2 -fold vector cross product [OA], [GR 1-5], which is parallel. This vector cross product can be considered as a natural generalization of an almost complex structure [BG], [E 2], [GR 4], [GR 5]. Corresponding to the Kähler form, one has a fundamental 3-form $\varphi$ which is parallel; thus if $M$ is compact $H^{3}(M, \boldsymbol{R}) \neq 0$.

One approach to the study of a Riemannian manifold $M$ whose holonomy group is contained in $G_{2}$ is to generalize the theory of Kähler manifolds. For example, one has a theory of harmonic forms on $M$ which is a special case of a much more general treatment by CHERN [CH]. However, the authors have so far been unable to find examples of Riemannian manifolds whose holonomy group is actually equal to $G_{2}$.

The analogy between Kähler manifolds and Riemannian manifolds whose holonomy group is a subgroup of $G_{2}$ suggests the study of analogs of complex and symplectic manifolds, provided such analogs exist. More precisely, the situation is this: Consider the class $W$ of 7 -dimensional Riemannian manifolds $M$ for which the structure group of the bundle of orthonormal frames can be reduced from $0(7)$ to $G_{2}$ : This reduction can be described geometrically by saying that $M$ has a 2 -fold vector cross product $P$. The class $W$ contains all parallelizable 7 -dimensional manifolds and is analogous to the class of all almost Hermitian manifolds. Within the class $w$ one can search for analogs of the classes of Hermitian and almost Kähler manifolds as well as analogs of other special types of almost Hermitian manifolds.
(*) Entrata in Redazione il 9 settembre 1980 ,

This search, which we do in a systematic way using the method of [GH], is the principal subject of this paper. The idea is to study the representation of $G_{2}$ on the space $W$ of tensors having the same symmetries as the covariant derivative $\nabla \varphi$ of the fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$, and to decompose this representation into irreducible components. Corresponding to each invariant subspace of $W$ there is a subclass of $\mathfrak{W}$.

In fact we shall show that the representation of $G_{2}$ on $W$ has four irreducible components:

$$
W=W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}
$$

Thus, there are a total of 16 invariant subspaces of $W$, and hence 16 subclasses of $w$. Corresponding to $\{0\}$ are the manifolds with parallel vector cross products. The manifolds with $d \varphi=0$ will correspond to $W_{2}$, and manifolds with locally conformally parallel vector cross products will correspond to $W_{4}$. On the sphere $S^{7}$ there is a naturally defined vector cross product, which will correspond to $W_{1}$ and is analogous to the canonical almost complex structure on $S^{6}$. Similar interpretations can be given to each of the 16 invariant subspaces of $W$. We explain all of this in section 5 .

It should be remarked that there is one fundamental difference between 2 -fold vector cross products and almost complex structures. Almost complex structures are defined without reference to a metric (although if a metric exists, a compatibility condition is required). In contrast to this, a 2 -fold vector cross product has a unique (positivie definite) metric associated with it. We make this precise in section 2.

We discuss the algebra of a 2 -fold vector cross product $P$ in section 2 , and extend the definition of $P$ so that $P$ operates on $k$-vectors and $k$-forms. Representations of the Lie group $G_{2}$ and the relevance of vector cross products to the study of these representations are studied in section 3.

The space $W$ is defined in section 4 , and the decomposition $W=W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus$ $\oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}$ is established. It is also shown that the representation of $G_{2}$ on each $W_{i}$ is irreducible. We define the 16 classes in section 5 . Conformal relations between the 16 classes are studied in section 6 . Wach orientable hypersurface of $\boldsymbol{R}^{8}$ has a 2 -fold vector cross product [GR 3]. These are studied in section 7 . We define in section 8 the notion of complex vector cross product, and using it, we find nontrivial examples of manifolds in the class $W_{2}$. Finally we discuss the inclusion relations between the various classes in section 9 .

## 2. - The algebra of 2 fold vector cross products.

A general definition of the notion of vector cross product has been given by EckMann [E 1]. See also [BG], [CA], [GR 1-5], [E 2], [YS], [Z]. There are four kinds. We shall be concerned exclusively with vector cross products of type (iii), the 2 -fold vector cross products. Explicitly, we have

Definimion. - Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\boldsymbol{R}$ with a (positive definite) inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . A 2$-fold vector cross product on $V$ is a bilinear map $P: V \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the axioms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle P(x, y), x\rangle=\langle P(x, y), y\rangle=0  \tag{2.1}\\
& \|\boldsymbol{P}(x, y)\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2}-\langle x, y\rangle^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x, y \in V$.
Let $A^{k}(V)$ denote the $k$-th Grassmann space over $V$ (i.e., the space generated by the skew-symmetric products $v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{k}$ ). It follows from (2.1) that $P(x, y)=$ $=-P(y, x)$. Thus we may extend $P$ to a linear mapping $P: A^{2}(V) \rightarrow V$. For this reason we shall usually write $P(x \wedge y)$ instead of $P(x, y)$. Furthermore let the inner product $\langle$,$\rangle be extended to \Lambda^{k}(V)$ by the formula

$$
\left\langle v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{k}, w_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge w_{k}\right\rangle=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle v_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle\right)
$$

for $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k} \in V$. Then (2,2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P(x \wedge y)\|^{2}=\|x \wedge y\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (2.3) does not say that $P$ is an isometry, but only an isometry on de* composable vectors.

Definition. - The fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$ of the 2 -fold vector cross product $P$ is given by

$$
\varphi(x \wedge y \wedge z)=\langle P(x \wedge y), z\rangle
$$

for $x, y, z \in V$. (From (2.1) it follows that $\varphi$ is skew-symmetric.)
In [E 1], [BG] (for example) it is shown that if ( $V,\langle$,$\rangle ) has a 2$-fold vector cross product then necessarily $\operatorname{dim} V=3$ or 7 . When $\operatorname{dim} V=3$ the vector cross product $P$ is the «classical» vector cross product known to students of engineering. In this case a vector cross product determines a volume element (namely the fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$ ) and vice versa. Thus, there is no advantage to studying vector cross products as such in this case. Therefore, we shall henceforth assume that $\operatorname{dim} V=7$.

A simple explicit construction for $P$ can be given via the Cayley numbers Cay. We view Cay as an 8-dimensional division algebra over $\boldsymbol{R}$ with identity 1 and orthonormal basis $\left\{1, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$. The multiplication in Cay is then determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i}^{2}=-1, \quad e_{i} e_{j}=-e_{j} e_{i}(i \neq j), \quad e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+3} \\
& e_{i+3} e_{i}=e_{i+1}, \quad e_{i+1} e_{i+8}=e_{i}\left(i \in Z_{7}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(This definition seems to be due to Cartan [ON]. Many recent books, e.g. [BS], [FR], [GO], [J] have insisted on the multiplication in Cay by a complicated asymmetric table.) Let $V=\{1\}^{\perp}$ be the 7 -dimensional space of pure imaginary Cayley numbers. On $V$ the 2 -fold vector cross product is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x \wedge y)=x y+\langle x, y\rangle 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, y \in V$. Here $x y$ denotes the Cayley product of $x$ and $y$.
Definition. - A Cayley basis for $V$ is an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ such that $P\left(e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right)=e_{i+3}$ for $i \in Z_{7}$.

Some of our formulas are simpler when written using a Cayley basis. However, in general, we prefer to write the formulas using an arbitrary orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ of $V$.

First we write down without proof some elementary consequences of (2.1)-(2.3).

Lemma 2.1. - For $x, y, z \in V$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle P(x \wedge y), P(x \wedge z)\rangle=\langle x \wedge y, x \wedge z\rangle  \tag{2.5}\\
P(x \wedge P(x \wedge y))=-\|x\|^{2} y+\langle x, y\rangle x  \tag{2.6}\\
P(x \wedge P(y \wedge \hat{z}))+P(y \wedge P(x \wedge z))=-2\langle x, y\rangle z+\langle x, z\rangle y+\langle y, z\rangle x \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 2.2. - The metric $\langle$,$\rangle is determined by the vector cross product P$.

Proof. - From (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x \wedge P(x \wedge P(x \wedge y)))=-\|x\|^{2} P(x \wedge y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $x$ and $y$ linearly independent. Then $P(x \wedge y) \neq 0$. From (2.8) we can determine $\|x\|^{2}$ from $P$. By a standard polarization argument we get $\langle$,$\rangle .$

As explained in [BG] a 1 -fold vector cross product is nothing other than an almost complex structure. However, an almost complex structure does not determine a particular metric. (For example, complex manifolds can be defined without reference to a metric.) Thus because of corollary $2.2,2$-fold vector cross products are fundamentally different from almost complex structures.

We now introduce a mapping that will turn out to be the adjoint of $P$.
Definition. - The linear mapping $p: V \rightarrow A^{2}(V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{6} e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge x\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in V$, where $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $V$.

Lemma 2.3. - The mapping $p$ has the following properties:
(i) $p$ is the adjoint of $P$; that is for $x \in V, \xi \in \Lambda^{2}(V)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle p(x), \xi\rangle=\langle x, P(\xi)\rangle ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) we have for $x \in V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(p(x))=3 x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) if $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is a Cayley basis of $V$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(e_{i}\right)=e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+3}+e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+6}+e_{i+4} \wedge e_{i+5} \quad\left(i \in Z_{7}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - (2.10) follows from (2.1), and (2.9). Also (2.11) is a consequence of (2.6) and (2.9). Finally, (2.12) is an easy calculation using (2.9) and the definition of Cayley basis.

Next we extend $P$ and $p$ to linear maps

$$
P: \Lambda^{k+1}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k}(V) \quad \text { and } \quad p: \Lambda^{k}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}(V) .
$$

Definition. - Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+1} \in V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{k+1}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leqslant k+1}(-1)^{i+i+1} P\left(v_{i} \wedge v_{j}\right) \wedge v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{v}_{i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{v}_{j} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{k+1}, \\
& p\left(v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i+1} p\left(v_{i}\right) \wedge v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{v}_{i} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We extend $P$ and $p$ linearly so that they become maps $P: \Lambda^{k+1}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k}(V)$ and $p: \Lambda^{k}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}(V)$.

In particular we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x \wedge y)=p(x) \wedge y-p(y) \wedge x \\
& P(x \wedge y \wedge z)=\underset{x y z}{\mathbb{s}_{z} P(x \wedge y) \wedge z}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x, y, z \in V$, where $\mathfrak{S}$ denotes the cyclic sum. Furthermore, we can compute the powers of $p$. In addition to (2.12), there are the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{2}\left(e_{i}\right)=3\left\{e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+5}-e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+4} \wedge e_{i+6}-e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+4}+e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+5} \wedge e_{i+6}\right\}, \\
& p^{3}\left(e_{i}\right)=9 e_{i} \wedge\left\{e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+4}+e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+5}+e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+4} \wedge e_{i+6}+e_{i+5} \wedge e_{i+6} \wedge e_{i+1}\right\} \\
& p^{4}\left(e_{i}\right)=36 e_{i} \wedge\left\{-e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+6}+e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+4} \wedge e_{i+5}+e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+4} \wedge e_{i+5} \wedge e_{i+6}\right\} \\
& p^{5}\left(e_{i}\right)=-108 e_{i+1} \wedge e_{i+2} \wedge e_{i+3} \wedge e_{i+4} \wedge e_{i+5} \wedge e_{i+6}, \\
& p^{6}\left(e_{i}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A(V)=\stackrel{7}{\oplus}{ }_{k=0}^{k} A^{k}(V)$. Recall that an antiderivation of $\Lambda(V)$ is a linear map $I: \Lambda(V) \rightarrow \Lambda(V)$ such that

$$
L(\xi \wedge \eta)=L(\xi) \wedge \eta+(-1)^{k} \xi \wedge L(\eta)
$$

for $\xi \in A^{p}(V)$ and $\eta \in A(V)$. Extend $p$ to a map $p: \Lambda(V) \rightarrow A(V)$. From the definition of $p$ we have:

Lemma 2.4. - The map $p: A(V) \rightarrow A(V)$ is an antiderivation of $A(V)$.
Next let $*: \Lambda(V) \rightarrow \Lambda(V)$ be the Hodge star operator. Thus $*\left(\Lambda^{k}(V)\right)=\Lambda^{7-k}(V)$.
Lemma 2.5. $-p=(-1)^{k+1} * P *: \Lambda^{k}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}(V)$.
Proor. - This can be checked by choosing a Cayley basis for $V$ and computing for each $k$ the maps $* P *$ and $p$.

We also note

Lenma 2.6. - For $x, y, z \in V$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{2}(x \wedge y \wedge z) & =\Subset P(P(x \wedge y) \wedge z)  \tag{2.13}\\
& =3 P(P(x \wedge y) \wedge z)-3\langle x, z\rangle y+3\langle y, z\rangle x \\
P^{2}(x \wedge y \wedge z)^{2} & =9\left\{\|x \wedge y \wedge z\|^{2}-\varphi(x \wedge y \wedge z)^{2}\right\} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proor. - These equations follow easily from the definitions and equations (2.3), (2.7),

We shall also need a formula for $* \varphi$. (Here the 4 -form $* \varphi$ can be defined by $(* \varphi)(w \wedge x \wedge y \wedge \eta)=\varphi(*(w \wedge x \wedge y \wedge z))$; this is equivalent to the usual definition.)

Lemma 2.7. - Let $w, x, y, z \in V$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(* \varphi)(w \wedge x \wedge y \wedge z) & =\frac{1}{3}\langle w, \subseteq P(P(x \wedge y) \wedge z)\rangle  \tag{2.15}\\
& =\langle w, P(P(x \wedge y) \wedge z)\rangle+\langle w \wedge z, x \wedge y\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Proon. - Let $\psi$ be the $t$-form on $V$ given by

$$
\psi(w \wedge x \wedge y \wedge z)=\left\langle w, \varsigma_{x y z} P(P(x \wedge y) \wedge z)\right\rangle
$$

Then for a Cayley basis $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{3}\right)=-3 \delta_{i 6}=3(* \varphi)\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{3}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.16) holds for any Cayley basis we get $\psi=3 * \varphi$. This is the first part of equation (2.15). The second part is a consequence of (2.13).

## 3. - Some low dimensional representations of $G_{2}$.

The purpose of this section is to describe in a concrete way some representations of $G_{2}$ that we shall need in subsequent sections. Using Weyl's formula for the degrees of irreducible representations of simple Lie groups (see for example [SA, p. 130]), it can be verified that the degrees of the first five irreducible representations of $G_{2}$ are $1,7,14,27$, and 64 . We shall need the first four of these. For convenience, all of the representations that we consider will be covariant.

The 7 -dimensional representation of $G_{2}$ is best described by means of the vector cross product $P$ :

$$
G_{2}=\{g \in 0(7) \mid P(g x \wedge g y)=g P(x \wedge y) \text { for all } x, y \in V\} .
$$

In fact, one purpose of the theory of vector cross products is to have this simple description of the 7 -dimensional representation of $G_{2}$. The 14 -dimensional representation of $G_{2}$ is the adjoint representation.

It will be convenient to consider covariant versions of $P$ and $p$ (which we shall denote by the same letters). Let $V^{*}$ denote the dual space of $V$.

Definitions. - $P: \Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}\left(V^{*}\right)$ and $p: \Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1}\left(V^{*}\right)$ are given by $P(\alpha)=\alpha \circ P$ and $p(\alpha)=\alpha \circ p$. For clarity we sometimes write $P_{k}$ and $p_{k}$.

Thus we obtain the following (non-exact) sequences:

$$
0 \rightarrow V^{*} \underset{p_{1}}{\stackrel{P_{1}}{\leftrightarrows}} \Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right) \underset{p_{2}}{\stackrel{P_{3}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \underset{p_{3}}{\stackrel{P_{3}}{\leftrightarrows}} \Lambda^{4}\left(V^{*}\right) \underset{p_{4}}{\stackrel{p_{4}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Lambda^{5}\left(V^{*}\right) \underset{p_{5}}{\stackrel{P_{5}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Lambda^{6}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightleftarrows 0 .
$$

We shall determine the irreducible components of the induced representation of $G_{2}$ on each $\Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right)$. The representation of $G_{2}$ on $\Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right)$ and $\Lambda^{7-k}\left(V^{*}\right)$ are the same because the Hodge star operator $*: \Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{7-k}\left(V^{*}\right)$ is an isometry. (Here we use the inner product $\langle$,$\rangle on \Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right)$ given by

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\sum_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{k}}\right) \beta\left(e_{i_{\mathrm{i}}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{k}}\right),
$$

where $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is an arbitrary basis of $V$.) Therefore, it suffices to describe the representations of $G_{2}$ on $V^{*}, \Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$, and $\Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$. The ropresentation of $G_{2}$ on $V^{*}$ is the irreducible 7 -dimensional representation; the representations of $G_{2}$ on $\Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ and $\Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$ are both reducible. We now use the vector cross product $P$ to describe in a geometrically useful way the irreducible summands.

First we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{1}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right) \mid p(\alpha)=0\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{2}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right) \mid 3 \alpha=P p(\alpha)\right\}, \\
& \Lambda_{1}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=\{\varphi\}, \\
& \Lambda_{2}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \mid p(\alpha)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)\right)=0\right\}: \\
& \Lambda_{3}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \mid \alpha(x \wedge y \wedge P(x \wedge y))=0 \text { for all } x, y \in V\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 3.1. - We have the following orthogonal direct sum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)=\Lambda_{1}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right) \oplus \Lambda_{2}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $G_{2}$ acts irreducibly on $\Lambda_{i}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$, and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{1}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)=14, \quad \operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{2}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)=7
$$

Proof. - Using the fact that $p_{1} \circ P_{1}=3 I_{1}$ (where $I_{k}: \Lambda^{k}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightarrow A^{k}\left(V^{*}\right)$ denotes the identity map), it is easy to verify that $\frac{1}{3} P_{1} \circ p_{1}$ and $I_{2}-\frac{1}{3} P_{1} \circ p_{1}$ are projections onto $\Lambda_{2}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{1}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ respectively. This proves (3.1).

The mapping $P_{1}: V^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ is injective and Image $P_{1}=\Lambda_{2}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$. Thus $\Lambda_{1}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{2}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ have the stated dimensions.

To check that $G_{2}$ acts irreducibly on $\Lambda_{i}^{2}\left(V^{*}\right)$ one verifies that there are no nontrivial irreducible summands of dimension 1 or 7 . This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. - We have the following orthogonal direct sum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=\Lambda_{1}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \oplus \Lambda_{2}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \oplus \Lambda_{3}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $G_{2}$ acts irreducibly on $\Lambda_{i}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$, and

$$
\operatorname{dim} A_{1}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=1, \quad \operatorname{dim} A_{2}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=27, \quad \operatorname{dim} A_{3}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=7
$$

Proor. - Consider the subspace $A$ of $\Lambda^{3}(V)$ generated by elements of the form $\{x \wedge y \wedge P(x \wedge y) \mid x, y \in V\}$. By Corollary $2.8, A$ coincides with the kernel of $P^{2}: A^{3}(V) \rightarrow V$. Since $P^{2}$ is onto it follows that $\operatorname{dim} A=28$. Hence the set of forms vanishing of $A$, namely $\Lambda_{3}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$, has dimension 7. Similarly $P: \Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightarrow V^{*}$ is onto so that its kernel has dimension 28. Also $\Lambda_{1}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \oplus \Lambda_{2}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=$ kernel $P$. Hence all of the spaces have the stated dimensions. These representations of $G_{2}$ are irreducible and so they are orthogonal.

## 4. - The space of covariant derivatives of the fundamental 3 -form.

In the next section we shall consider the covariant derivative $\nabla \varphi$ of the fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$ of a vector cross product on a 7 -dimensional manifold $M$. The tensor field $\nabla \varphi$ has various symmetry properties. In the present section we define a finite dimensional vector space $W$ that consists of those tensor fields having the same symmetries. Then we study the decomposition of $W$ into irreducible components under the natural action of $G_{2}$.

The space $W$ is given by

$$
W=\left\{\alpha \in V^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right) \mid \alpha(x, y \wedge \approx \wedge P(y \wedge z))=0 \text { for all } x, y, z \in V\right\}
$$

Lemma 4.1. - $\operatorname{dim} W=49$.

Proof. - It is clear that $W$ is naturally isomorphic to $V^{*} \otimes \Lambda_{3}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim} V^{*}=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{3}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)=7$, the result follows.

There is a natural inner product on $W$ given by

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\sum_{i, j, k, b=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{j} \wedge e_{k} \wedge e_{i}\right) \beta\left(e_{i}, e_{j} \wedge e_{k} \wedge e_{b}\right)
$$

Here $\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{6}\right\}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of $V$. It will also be useful to consider linear maps $L_{i}: W \rightarrow \Lambda^{i}\left(V^{*}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2}(\alpha)(x \wedge y) & =\sum_{i=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{i} \wedge x \wedge y\right) \\
L_{1}(\alpha)(x) & =\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right), e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge x\right) \\
L_{0}(\alpha) & =\sum_{i, j, k=0}^{6} \alpha\left(P\left(P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge e_{k}\right), e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge e_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x, y \in V, \alpha \in W$.

Lemma 4.2. - We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{0}(\alpha)=\langle\alpha, * \varphi\rangle,  \tag{4.1}\\
L_{1}(\alpha)(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{i}\right) \wedge x\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
= \\
=-2 p L_{2}(\alpha)(x),
\end{gather*}
$$

for $x \in V, \alpha \in W$.

Proof. - In the definition of $L_{1}$ we may replace $e_{j}$ by $P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)$. Then using (2.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{1}(\alpha)(x) & =\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(P\left(e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)\right), e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge x\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(-e_{j}+\delta_{i j} e_{i}, e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge x\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{j}, e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge x\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{j} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, using (2.9) and (4.3) we see that

$$
\left(p L_{2}\right)(\alpha)(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{i} \wedge e_{i} \wedge P\left(e_{j} \wedge x\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{2} L_{1}(\alpha)(x)
$$

This establishes (4.2). We get (4.1) from (2.15).
Lenma 4.3. - Suppose there is a constant a such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(P(x \wedge y), x \wedge y \wedge z)=a\{\alpha(x, P(x \wedge y) \wedge y \wedge z)-\alpha(y, P(x \wedge y) \wedge x \wedge z)\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in V$. If $a \neq-\frac{1}{2}$ then $p L_{2}(\alpha)=0$.
Proof. - From lemma 4.2 and equation (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p L_{2}\right)(\alpha)(x) & =-\frac{1}{2} I_{1}(\alpha)(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right), e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge x\right) \\
& =-\frac{a}{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{6}\left\{\alpha\left(e_{i}, P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge e_{j} \wedge x\right)-\alpha\left(e_{j}, P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge e_{i} \wedge x\right)\right\} \\
& =a \sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{j} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \wedge x\right)=-2 a\left(p L_{2}\right)(\alpha)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the lemma follows.
We now define four subspaces of $W$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1}=\{* \varphi\}, \\
& W_{2}=\{\alpha \in W \mid \alpha(w, x \wedge y \wedge z)-x(x, w \wedge y \wedge z)+\alpha(y, w \wedge x \wedge z)-\alpha(z, w \wedge x \wedge y)=0 \\
& \text { for all } w, x, y, z \in T\}, \\
& W_{3}=\left\{\alpha \in W \mid L_{2}(\alpha)=L_{0}(\alpha)=0\right\}, \\
& W_{4}=\left\{\alpha \in W \left[12 \alpha(w, x \wedge y \wedge z)=S_{x y z}\left(-\left(p L_{2}\right)(\alpha)(x) \varphi(w \wedge y \wedge z)+\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+3\langle w, x\rangle L_{2}(\alpha)(y \wedge z)\right) \quad \text { for all } w, x, y, z \in V\right\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Eventually we shall show that these are the four irreducible components of the representation of $G_{2}$ on $W$. First we need several alternate descriptions of these subspaces and their direct sums.

Lemma 4.4. $-W_{1}=\left\{\alpha \in W \mid \alpha=(1 / 168) L_{0}(\alpha) * \varphi\right\}=W \cap \Lambda^{4}\left(V^{*}\right)$.
Proof. - Suppose $\alpha \in W_{1}$. Then $\alpha=a * \varphi$. Using (2.14), (2.15), and (2.2) we find that $a=(1 / 168) L_{0}(\alpha)$. Hence the lemma follows.

Lemma 4.5. - $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}$, are mutually orthogonal and

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{1} \oplus W_{3}=\text { kernel } L_{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
& W_{1} \oplus W_{2}=\{\alpha \in W \mid \alpha(P(x \wedge y), x \wedge y \wedge z)  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\alpha(x, P(x \wedge y) \wedge y \wedge z)-\alpha(y, P(x \wedge y) \wedge x \wedge z) \quad \text { for all } x, y, z \in V\}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - It is obvious from lemma 4.2 that $W_{1}$ and $W_{3}$ are orthogonal and that (4.5) holds. Also it can be verified by direct calculation using the definition of the inner product on $W$ that $W_{2}$ is perpendicular to $W_{1}$. Furthermore,

$$
W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \subseteq\{\alpha \in W \mid \alpha(P(x \wedge y), x \wedge y \wedge z)=\alpha(x, P(x \wedge y) \wedge y \wedge z)-\alpha(y, P(x \wedge y) \wedge x \wedge z)
$$

$$
\text { for all } x, y, z \in V\}
$$

To establish the reverse inclusion we define $T: W \rightarrow \Lambda^{4}\left(V^{*}\right)$ by

$$
T(\alpha)(w, x \wedge y \wedge z)=\frac{1}{4}\{\alpha(w, x \wedge y \wedge z)-\alpha(x, w \wedge y \wedge z)+\alpha(y, w \wedge x \wedge z)-\alpha(z, w \wedge x \wedge y)\}
$$

for $w, x, y, z \in V$. Then one checks that if $\alpha \in W$ is such that

$$
\alpha(P(x \wedge y), x \wedge y \wedge z)=\alpha(x, P(x \wedge y) \wedge y \wedge z)-\alpha(y, P(x \wedge y) \wedge x \wedge z)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in V$, then $T(\alpha) \in W$. Furthermore, $T^{2}=T$. Thus $T$ is the projection of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\alpha \in W \mid \alpha(P(x \wedge y), x \wedge y \wedge z)=\alpha(x, P(x \wedge y) \wedge y \wedge z)-\alpha(y, P(x \wedge y) \wedge x \wedge z) \\
& \quad \text { for all } x, y, z \in V\}
\end{aligned}
$$

onto $W_{1}=W \cap \Lambda^{4}\left(V^{*}\right)$. It is clear that the kernel of $T$ is $W_{2}$. Thus we get (4.6).
Lemma 4.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{3}=\text { kernel } p L_{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - One checks that $W_{2} \cap$ kernel $L_{2}=\{0\}$. Furthermore, using lemma 4.3 and lemma 4.5, $p L_{2}(\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha \in W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{3}$. Also $W_{2}=\operatorname{kernel} T$ so that $\operatorname{dim} W_{2} \geqslant$ $\geqslant 14$. Then $W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{3}=$ kernel $p L_{2}$ because both spaces have the same dimension.

Lemma 4.7. - Suppose $\alpha \in W$ with $p L_{2}(\alpha) \neq 0$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(w, x \wedge y \wedge z)=\Im_{x y z}\left\{a p L_{2}(\alpha)(x) \varphi(w \wedge y \wedge z)+b\langle w, x\rangle L_{2}(\alpha)(y \wedge z)\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, x, y, z \in V$. Then $a=-1 / 12, b=\frac{1}{4}$ and $P p L_{2}(\alpha)=3 L_{2}(\alpha)$.
Proof. - In (4.8) we let $x=e_{i}, y=e_{j}, z=P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{i}\right)$ and sum. Since $\alpha \in W$ we must have

$$
\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \alpha\left(w, e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge P\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)\right)=0
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(18 a+6 b) p L_{2}(\alpha)(w)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand if we apply $L_{2}$ to both sides of (4.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-5 b) L_{2}(\alpha)=a P p L_{2}(\alpha) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $p$ to both sides of (4.10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-5 b-3 a) p L_{2}(\alpha)=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.9) and (4.11) and the assumption that $p L_{2}(\alpha) \neq 0$ we obtain $a=-1 / 12$ $b=\frac{1}{4}$. Then substituting these values into (4.10) we find that $P p L_{2}(\alpha)=3 L_{2}(\alpha)$

Lemma 4.8.

$$
W_{1} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}=\left\{\alpha \in W \mid P p L_{2}(\alpha)=3 L_{2}(\alpha)\right\}
$$

Proof. - We have $W_{1} \oplus W_{3} \subseteq\left\{\alpha \in W \mid P p L_{2}(\alpha)=3 L_{2}(\alpha)\right\}$ because $L_{2}(\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha \in W_{1} \oplus W_{3}$. Also $W_{4} \subseteq\left\{\alpha \in W \mid P p L_{2}(\alpha)=3 L_{2}(\alpha)\right\}$ by Lemma 4.7. Consider the mapping $U: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$ given by

$$
U(\gamma)(w, x \wedge y \wedge z)=-\frac{1}{12} \mathfrak{S}_{x y z}\{\gamma(x) \varphi(w \wedge y \wedge z)-\langle w, x\rangle \gamma(P(y \wedge z))\}
$$

It can be checked that $U$ is injective and Image $U=W_{4}$. Thus dim $W_{4}=7$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left\{\alpha \in W \mid P_{p} L_{2}(\alpha)=3 L_{2}(\alpha)\right\}=35$ we must have $W_{1} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}=\left\{\alpha \in W \mid P p L_{2}(\alpha)=\right.$ $\left.=3 L_{2}(\alpha)\right\}$ because both spaces have the same dimension.

The representation of $G_{2}$ on $V$ induces a representation of $G_{2}$ on $W$. The next theorem describes the decomposition of this induced representation into irreducible components.

Theorem 4.9. - We have $W=W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}$. This sum is direct and orthogonal, and it is preserved under the induced representation of $G_{2}$ on $W$. The induced representation of $G_{2}$ on $W_{i}$ is irreducible. We have $\operatorname{dim} W_{1}=1, \operatorname{dim} W_{2}=$ $=14, \operatorname{dim} W_{3}=27$, and $\operatorname{dim} W_{4}=7$.

Proof. - In the previous lemmas the dimensions of the $W_{i}$ have been calculated. Also it is clear that $W_{i} \cap W_{j}=\{0\}$ for $i \neq j$. Since $\operatorname{dim} W=49$, it follows that $W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}=W$ and the sum is direct and orthogonal.

That $G_{2}$ acts irreducibly on $W_{1}$ is obvious, and can be checked for $W_{2}$ and $W_{4}$. That $G_{2}$ acts irreducibly on $W_{3}$ follows because $W_{3}=*\left(\Lambda_{2}^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)\right)$. This completes the proof.

## 5. - Seven dimensional Riemannian manifolds with two fold vector cross products.

Let $M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ Riemannian manifold of dimension 7 with metric tensor field $\langle$,$\rangle . Denote by \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the Lie algebra of $C^{\infty}$ vector fields on $M$ and by $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the algebra of $C^{\infty}$ functions on $M$. For each $m \in M$ the tangent space at $m$ will be denoted by $M_{m}$.

Definition. - We say that $(M,\langle\rangle$,$) has a 2$-fold vector cross product $P$ provided that each tangent space $M_{m}$ has a 2 -fold vector cross product $P_{m}: M_{m} \times M_{m} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow M_{m}$. We require that the mapping $m \rightarrow P_{m}$ be $C^{\infty}$.

It is clear that $P$ gives rise to a tensor field $P: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ of type $(2,1)$ and that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle P(X, Y), X\rangle=\langle P(X, Y), Y\rangle=0  \tag{5.1}\\
\|P(X, Y)\|^{2}=\|X \wedge Y\|^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|X^{2}\right\|_{i} & \langle X, Y\rangle \\
\langle X, Y\rangle & \|Y\|^{2}
\end{array}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In fact, the linear algebra of the previous sections goes over in the obvious way to manifolds. The purpose of the present section is to study the way the vector cross product $P$ changes from point to point, that is, the differential geometry of $P$. For this, it is important to study the covariant derivative of $P$.

We note that the fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$ becomes a differential 3 -form on $M$. In special circumstances, (for example when $P$ is parallel), it generates cohomology in dimension 3.

Let $\nabla$ denote the Riemannian connection of $\langle$,$\rangle . The covariant derivatives$ $\nabla P$ and $\nabla \varphi$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{X}(P)(Y, Z)=\nabla_{X}(P(Y, Z))-P\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-P\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
& \nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X, Y, Z)=W \varphi(X, Y, Z)-\varphi\left(\nabla_{W} X, Y, Z\right)-\varphi\left(X, \nabla_{W} Y, Z\right)-  \tag{5.4}\\
&-\varphi\left(X, Y, \nabla_{W} Z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then we have from (5.3) and (5.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X, Y, Z)=\left\langle\nabla_{W}(P)(X, Y), Z\right\rangle \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the study of the covariant derivatives of $P$ is equivalent to the study of the covariant derivatives of the fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$. For convenience we shall do our calculations with $\nabla \varphi$.

Lemia 5.1. - We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X, Y, Z)=-\nabla_{W}(\varphi)(Y, X, Z)=-\nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X, Z, Y)  \tag{5.6}\\
\nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X, Y, P(X, Y))=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Proof. - (5.6) is easy to check directly from (5.4). Then (5.7) is proved by applying the vector field $W$ to both sides of (5.2) and using (5.3) and (5.5).

We shall henceforth write $\nabla_{w}(\varphi)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)$ for $\nabla_{w}(\varphi)(X, X, Z)$, etc.
Consider the natural 7 -dimensional representation of $G_{2}$ on each tangent space $M_{m}$. Put

$$
W_{m}=\left\{\alpha \in M_{m}^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{3}\left(M_{m}^{*}\right) \mid \alpha(x, y \wedge z \wedge P(y \wedge z))=0 \text { for all } x, y, z \in M_{m}\right\}
$$

Then the induced representation of $G_{2}$ on $W_{m}$ has the four components $W_{m 1}, W_{m 2}$, $W_{m 3}, W_{m 4}$ as described in the previous section. It is possible to form from these four a total of sixteen invariant subspaces of $W_{m}$ (including $\{0\}$ and $W_{m}$ ).

Definition. - Let $U$ be one of the sixteen invariant subspaces of $W$. For a 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ with 2 -fold vector cross product and $m \in M$, let $U_{m}$ denote the corresponding subspace of $W_{m}$. Then $U$ will denote the class of all 7 -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with a 2 -fold vector cross product such that $(\nabla \varphi)_{m} \in U_{m}$ for all $m \in M$.

The class corresponding to $W_{i}$ will be denoted by $w_{i}$, and that corresponding to $W_{i} \oplus W_{j}$ by $\mathfrak{W}_{i} \oplus \mathfrak{W}_{j}$, etc. Also $\mathfrak{J}$ will correspond to $\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{W}$ to $W$. Some, but not all, of these classes have been studied in [GR 3]. There are obvious analo-
gies between some of these classes and corresponding ones for almost Hermitian manifolds [GH].

Let $d$ and $\delta$ be the exterior differential and the coderivative of a manifold $M$. If $\eta$ is a 3 -form on $M$ we have the following explicit formulas for $d \eta$ and $\delta \eta$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \eta(W \wedge X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=\nabla_{W}(\eta)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)-\nabla_{X}(\eta)(W \wedge Y \wedge Z)+  \tag{5.8}\\
\\
+\nabla_{Y}(\eta)(W \wedge X \wedge Z)-\nabla_{Z}(\eta)(W \wedge X \wedge Y)  \tag{5.9}\\
\delta \eta(Y \wedge Z)=-\sum_{i=0}^{6} \nabla_{E_{i}}(\eta)\left(E_{i} \wedge Y \wedge Z\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Here $\left\{E_{0}, \ldots, E_{6}\right\}$ is an arbitrary local frame field.
Now assume that $M$ is a 7 -dimensional Riemannian manifold with a vector cross product $P$ and fundamental 3 -form $\varphi$. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \varphi=-L_{2}(\nabla \varphi) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, when written out for a manifold, the formulas for $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0}(\nabla \varphi)=\sum_{i, j, k=0}^{6} \nabla_{P\left(P\left(E_{i} \wedge E_{j}\right) \wedge E_{k}\right)}(\varphi)\left(E_{i} \wedge E_{j} \wedge E_{k_{k}}\right),  \tag{5.11}\\
& L_{1}(\nabla \varphi)(X)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{6} \nabla_{P\left(E_{i} \wedge E_{j}\right)}(\varphi)\left(E_{i} \wedge E_{j} \wedge X\right), \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Using lemma 4.2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0}(\nabla \varphi)=\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle  \tag{5.13}\\
& L_{1}(\nabla \varphi)=2 p \delta \varphi \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.2. - The defining relations for each of the 16 classes are given in table I below

Table I

| Class | Defining relations |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{T}$ | $\nabla \varphi=0$ |
| $W_{1}=\mathcal{N T}$ | $\nabla_{X}(\varphi)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=0$ |
| $W_{2}=\mathcal{A T}$ | $\left(\right.$ or $d \varphi=4 \nabla \varphi$, or $\left.\nabla \varphi=\frac{1}{168}\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle * \varphi\right)$ |
| $W_{3}$ | $d \varphi=0$ |
|  | $\delta \varphi=\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle=0$ |

Table I (continued).

| Class | Defining relations |
| :---: | :---: |
| $W_{4}=C P$ | $12 \nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=\bigodot_{X Y Z}^{S}\{p \delta \varphi(X) \varphi(W \wedge Y \wedge Z)-3\langle W, X\rangle \delta \varphi(Y \wedge Z)\}$ |
| $w_{1} \oplus w_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} \nabla_{P(X \wedge Y)}(\varphi)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=\nabla_{X}(\varphi)( & P(X \wedge Y) \wedge Y \\ & X Z Z)- \\ & -\nabla_{Y}(\varphi)(P(X \wedge Y) \wedge X \wedge Z) \end{aligned}$ |
| $w_{1} \oplus w_{3}=S T$ | $\delta \varphi=0$ |
| $w_{2}\left(\frac{1)}{} w_{3}\right.$ | $p \delta \varphi=\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle=0$ |
| ${ }_{\text {a }} w_{1} \oplus w_{4}$ |  |
| $w_{2} \oplus w_{4}$ | $d \varphi=-\frac{1}{4} p \delta \varphi \wedge \varphi$ |
| $w_{3} \oplus w_{4}$ | $3 \delta \varphi=P p \delta \varphi \quad$ and $\quad\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle=0$ |
| $w_{1} \oplus w_{2} \oplus w_{3}$ | $p \delta \varphi=0$ |
| $w_{1} \oplus w_{2} \oplus w_{4}$ | $d \varphi=-\frac{1}{4} p \delta \varphi \wedge \varphi+\frac{1}{42}\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle * \varphi$ |
| $w_{1} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \delta \varphi_{s}^{*}=P p \delta \varphi \quad \text { or } \\ 12 \nabla_{X}(\varphi)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=p \delta \varphi(X) \varphi(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)- \\ -3\left\{\\|X\\|^{2} \delta \varphi(Y \wedge Z)-\langle X, Y\rangle \delta \varphi(X \wedge Z)+\langle X, Z\rangle \delta \varphi(X \wedge Y)\right\} \end{gathered}$ |
| $w_{2} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4}$ | $\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle=0$ |
| w | no relation |

## 6. - Classes preserved under conformal changes of metric.

In this section, we determine which of the 16 classes are preserved under a conformal change of metric. Let $M$ be a 7 -dimensional manifold with metrics $\langle$,$\rangle and$ $\langle,\rangle^{0}$. We assume that these metrics are conformally related via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle,\rangle^{0}=e^{2 \sigma}\langle,\rangle, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma \in \mathscr{F}(M)$. It is well known (see for example [GR 1]) that the connections $\nabla 0$ of $\langle,\rangle^{0}$ and $\nabla$ of $\langle$,$\rangle are related by$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{f}^{0} Y=\nabla_{x} Y+(X \sigma) Y+(Y \sigma) X-\langle X, Y\rangle \operatorname{grad} \sigma, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathscr{X}(M)$. Here grad $\sigma \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the vector field such that $\langle X, \operatorname{grad} \sigma\rangle=X \sigma$ for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

What is a reasonable notion of a conformal relation between two vector cross products $P$ and $P^{0}$ on $M$ ? It is clear that the corresponding metrics $\langle$,$\rangle and \left\langle,>^{0}\right.$ should be conformally related and that $P^{0}=f P$ for some $f \in \mathscr{F}(M)$. Assuming this, we calculate

$$
\left\|P^{0}(X \wedge Y)\right\|^{02}=\|X \wedge Y\|^{02}=e^{4 \sigma}\|X \wedge Y\|^{2}=e^{4 \sigma}\|P(X \wedge Y)\|^{2}=e^{2 \sigma}\|P(X \wedge Y)\|^{02}
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Thus we must have $f^{2}=e^{2 \sigma}$. This leads us to the following
Definimion. - Let $M$ be a 7 -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metrics $\langle\rangle,,\langle,\rangle^{0}$ conformally related by (6.1). Let $P$ and $P^{0}$ be vector cross products on $M$ compatible with $\langle$,$\rangle and \langle,\rangle^{0}$, respectively. We say that $P$ and $P^{0}$ are conformally related provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}=e^{\sigma} P \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi, \varphi^{0}$ denote the fundamental 3 -forms corresponding to $P$ and $P^{0}$, and let $p, p^{0}$ be the corresponding adjoints. Also let $\delta, \delta^{0}$ denote the coderivatives of $\langle$,$\rangle ,$ $\langle,\rangle^{0}$, respectively.

Lemma 6.1. - We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi^{0}=e^{3 \sigma} \varphi,  \tag{6.4}\\
p^{0}=e^{-\sigma} p  \tag{6.5}\\
\nabla_{W}^{0}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=e^{3 \sigma}\left\{\nabla_{W}(\varphi)(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)-\underset{X Y Z}{S}((X \sigma) \varphi(W \wedge X \wedge Z)-\right.  \tag{6.6}\\
\end{gather*}
$$

for $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{0} \varphi^{0}(Y \wedge Z)=e^{\sigma}\{\delta \varphi(Y \wedge Z)-4 P(Y \wedge Z) \sigma\} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{0} \delta^{0} \varphi^{0}=p \delta \varphi-12 d \sigma  \tag{6.8}\\
& d \varphi^{0}=e^{3 \sigma}\{3 d \sigma \wedge \varphi+d \varphi\} \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Equations (6.4) and (6.5) are obvious consequences of (6.1) and (6.3). Taking the exterior derivative of (6.4) we get (6.9). Equation (6.6) follows from (5.4), (6.2), and (6.4). Contracting (6.6) we obtain (6.7) and (6.8).

Next we introduce a tensor field $\nu$ that will turn out to be a conformal invariant for 2 -fold vector cross products. A similar tensor field has been introduced in [GH] for almost Hermitian manifolds.

Definition. - Let $M$ be a 7 -dimensional manifold with metric tensor field $\langle$, and vector cross product $P$. Then $v$ is the covariant tensor field given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(W, X, Y, Z)=\nabla_{W}(\varphi) & (X \wedge Y \wedge Z)-  \tag{6.10}\\
& -\frac{1}{12} \underset{X Y Z}{\subseteq}\{p \delta \varphi(X) \varphi(W \wedge Y \wedge Z)-3\langle W, X\rangle \delta \varphi(Y \wedge Z)\}
\end{align*}
$$

for $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Lemma 6.2. - Suppose $(P,\langle\rangle$,$) and \left(P^{0},\langle,\rangle^{0}\right)$ are conformally related. Then the corresponding tensor fields $\nu$ and $\nu^{0}$ satisfy $\nu^{0}=e^{3 \sigma} \nu$.

Proof. - This follows from lemma 6.1 and equation (6.10).
Let $U$ be one of the sixteen classes given in table I. Then ${ }^{\top}{ }^{0}$ will denote the class of all manifolds locally conformally related to manifolds in $\mathscr{U}$. In other words, $\left(M, P^{0},\langle,\rangle^{0}\right) \in U^{0}$ if and only if for each $m \in M$ there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $m$ such that ( $V, P^{0},\langle,\rangle^{0}$ ) is conformally related to $(V, P,\langle\rangle,) \in \mathcal{U}$.

Next we prove
Theorem 6.3. - For any $\mathcal{U}$ given in table I we have $\mathcal{U}^{\circ} \subseteq w_{4} \oplus \mathcal{U}$. Thus $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}^{0}$ if and only if $w_{4} \subseteq \mathscr{U}$. Hence the conformally invariant classes are $W_{4}, w_{1} \oplus w_{4}$, $w_{2} \oplus w_{4}, w_{3} \oplus w_{4}, w_{1} \oplus w_{2} \oplus w_{4}, w_{1} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4}, w_{2} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4}, w$.

Proof. - We can rewrite the defining relations for each of the classes mentioned in the statement of the theorem in terms of $\nu$. From table I we have
$M \in W_{4}$ if and only if $\nu=0$,
$M \in w_{1} \oplus w_{4} \quad$ if and only if $\quad \nu=\frac{1}{168}\langle\nu, * \varphi\rangle * \varphi$,
$M \in W_{2} \oplus W_{4} \quad$ if and only if $\quad v(W, X, Y, Z)-v(X, W, Y, Z)+$ $+v(Y, W, X, Z)-v(Z, W, X, Y)=0, \quad$ for all $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,
$M \in w_{3} \oplus W_{4} \quad$ if and only if $\quad\langle\nu, * \varphi\rangle=\nu(X, X, Y, Z)=0$, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,
$M \in W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{4} \quad$ if and only if $\quad v(W, X, Y, Z)-v(X, W, Y, Z)+$

$$
+v(Y, W, X, Z)-v(Z, W, X, Y)=\frac{2}{147}\langle\nu, * \varphi\rangle(* \varphi)(W, X, Y, Z)
$$

for all $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,
$M \in w_{1} \oplus w_{3} \oplus W_{4} \quad$ if and only if $\quad v(X, X, Y, Z)=0$,
for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,
$M \in W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4} \quad$ if and only if $\quad\langle\nu, * \varphi\rangle=0$,

## 7. - Two-fold vector cross products on orientable hypersurfaces of $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{8}}$.

In [GR 3] it is shown that every orientable hypersurface $M \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{8}$ has a 2 -fold vector cross product. In this section we review this construction and, introduce some improvements. Also, it will be shown that $M \in w_{1} \oplus w_{3}$ for any orientable hypersurface in $\boldsymbol{R}^{s}$, any necessary and sufficient conditions for $M$ to belong to $W_{1}$ or $W_{3}$ will be given in terms of the second fundamental form of $M$.

First we recall

Definition. - Let $\bar{V}$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\boldsymbol{R}$ with (positive definite) inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . A 3$-fold vector cross product on $\bar{V}$ is a trilinear map $\bar{P}: \bar{V} \times \bar{V} \times \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V}$ satisfying the axioms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\bar{P}(w, x, y), w\rangle=\langle\bar{P}(w, x, y), x\rangle=\langle\bar{P}(w, x, y), y\rangle=0  \tag{7.1}\\
& \|\bar{P}(w, x, y)\|^{2}=\|w \wedge x \wedge y\|^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\|w\|^{2} & \langle w, x\rangle & \langle w, y\rangle \\
\langle w, x\rangle & \|x\|^{2} & \langle x, y\rangle \\
\langle w, y\rangle & \langle x, y\rangle & \|y\|^{2}
\end{array}\right), \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $w, x, y \in \bar{V}$.
Just as with 2 -fold vector cross products, $\bar{P}(w, x, y)$ is antisymmetric in $w, x, y$ Hence $\bar{P}$ may be extended to a linear mapping $\bar{P}: \Lambda^{3}(\bar{V}) \rightarrow \bar{V}$. We write $\bar{P}(w \wedge x \wedge y)$ instead of $\bar{P}(w, x, y)$.

Definition. - The fundamental 4 -form $\bar{\varphi}$ of a 3 -fold vector cross product $\bar{P}$ is given by

$$
\bar{\varphi}(w \wedge x \wedge y \wedge z)=\langle\bar{P}(w \wedge x \wedge y), z\rangle
$$

for $w, x, y, z \in \bar{V}$.
In [E 1], [W], or [BG] it is shown that if $\bar{V}$ has a 3-fold vector cross product then necessarily $\operatorname{dim} \bar{V}=4$ or 8 . When $\operatorname{dim} \bar{V}=4$, the study $\bar{P}$ amounts to the study of the volume element of $\bar{V}$, namely $\bar{\varphi}$, so we restrict ourselves to the case $\operatorname{dim} \bar{V}=8$. In this case, it is shown in [BG] that there are two nonisomorphic 3 -fold vector cross products $\bar{P}_{+}$and $\bar{P}_{-}$. (The reason why there are two distinct 3 -fold vector cross products is that the Cayley numbers are non-associative.) Furthermore, the automorphism groups of $\bar{P}_{+}$and $\bar{P}_{-}$are both isomorphic to Spin (7). We write $\bar{\varphi}_{ \pm}$for fundamental 4-form of $\bar{P}_{ \pm}$.

There are explicit formulas expressing $\bar{P}_{+}$and $\bar{P}_{-}$in terms of the Cayley numbers. Let $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ be the conjugation in Cay (taht is, $\bar{x}=-x+2\langle x, 1\rangle 1$ ). Then we
have [BG], [Z]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{P}_{+}(x \wedge y \wedge z)=-x(\bar{y} z)+\langle x, y\rangle z+\langle y, z\rangle x-\langle x, z\rangle y,  \tag{7.3}\\
& \bar{P}_{-}(x \wedge y \wedge z)=-(x \bar{y}) z+\langle x, y\rangle z+\langle y, z\rangle x-\langle x, z\rangle y, \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x, y, z \in \vec{V}$.
Let $V \subset \bar{V}$ be the pure imaginary Cayley numbers, that is, $V=\{1\}^{\perp}$. Then $V$ has a 2 -fold vector cross product $P$ given by (2.4). We shall need to know the relations between $P$ and $\bar{P}_{ \pm}$.

Lemana 7.1. - For $x, y, z \in V$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(x \wedge y)=\bar{P}_{ \pm}(1 \wedge x \wedge y)  \tag{7.5}\\
3 \bar{P}_{ \pm}(x \wedge y \wedge z)=\mp P^{2}(x \wedge y \wedge z)-3 \varphi(x \wedge y \wedge z) 1  \tag{7.6}\\
= \pm \mathbb{S}_{x y z} P(x \wedge P(y \wedge z))-3 \varphi(x \wedge y \wedge z) \mathcal{I}, \\
\bar{\varphi}_{ \pm}= \pm * \varphi \tag{7.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proor. - (7.5) is immediate from (7.3) and (7.4) and the fact that $\bar{x}=-x$ for $x \in V$. For (7.6) and (7.7), we do $\bar{P}_{ \pm}$and $\bar{\varphi}_{ \pm}$. From (2.4) we have
for $x, y, z \in V$. On the other hand, from (7.3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \bar{P}_{+}(x \wedge y \wedge \bar{z})={ }_{x y \bar{z}} \bar{P}_{+}(x \wedge y \wedge z)={ }_{y y z}\{x(y z)+\langle y, z\rangle x\}, \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, y, z \in V$. From (7.8) and (7.9) we obtain (7.6). Then (7.7) follows from (7.6) and lemma 2.7.

Let $M$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{8}}$. Then there is a globally defined unit normal vector field $N$ on $M$. In [GR 3], a 2 -fold vector cross product on $M$ is defined by means of the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \wedge B)=\bar{P}_{ \pm}(N \wedge A \wedge B), \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A, B \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In (7.10) we can take either of the vector cross products $\bar{P}_{+}$or $\bar{P}_{-}$. They are defined by means of parallel translation on each tangent space of $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{s}}$. Thus $\bar{\nabla} \bar{P}_{ \pm}=0$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of $\boldsymbol{R}^{8}$.

Let $\nabla$ be the Riemannian connection of the hypersurface $M$, and let $S: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the shape operator (which is equivalent to the second fundamental form). Thus $S A=-\bar{\nabla}_{A} N$ for $A \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Lemma 7.2. - We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{A}(\varphi)(B \wedge C \wedge D)= \pm(* \varphi)(S A \wedge B \wedge C \wedge D) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Proof. - Using the fact that $\bar{\nabla} \tilde{\varphi}_{ \pm}=0$ and (7.10), we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{A}(\varphi)(B \wedge C \wedge D)=-\bar{\varphi}_{ \pm}(S A \wedge B \wedge C \wedge D) . \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (7.11) follows from (7.7) and (7.12).
Lemora 7.3. - For any hypersurface $M \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{8}, \delta \varphi=0$.
Proof. - From (7.11) we have for $A, B \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \varphi(A \wedge B)= \pm \sum_{i=0}^{6}(* \varphi)\left(S E_{i} \wedge E_{i} \wedge A \wedge B\right) . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose the local frame field $\left\{E_{0}, \ldots, E_{6}\right\}$ so that $S$ is diagonalized. Then the right hand side of (7.13) vanishes.

Then mean curvature $H$ of a hypersurface is given by $\langle H, N\rangle=\sum_{i=0}^{6}\left\langle S E_{i}, E_{i}\right\rangle$.
Lemma 7.4. - For any hypersurface $M \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{8}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle= \pm 8\langle H, N\rangle . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - From (5.11), (5.13) and (7.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\nabla \varphi, * \varphi\rangle= \pm \sum_{i, j, k=0}^{6}(* \varphi)\left(S P \left(P_{\left.\left.\left(E_{i} \wedge E_{j}\right) \wedge D_{k}\right) \wedge E_{i} \wedge E_{i} \wedge E_{k}\right) .}\right.\right. \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (7.14) follows from (7.15) and lemma 2.7.
We can now prove
Theorem 7.5. - Let $M$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\boldsymbol{R}^{8}$ with 2 -fold vector cross product given by (7.10). Then
(i) $M \in W_{1} \oplus W_{3}$;
(ii) $M \in T$ if and only if $M$ is totally geodesic;
(iii) $M \in W_{1}$ if and only if $M$ is totally umbilic (i.e., $M$ is a part of a sphere);
(iv) $M \in W_{s, ~}$ if and only if $M$ iş a minimal variety (i.e., $\langle H, N\rangle=0$ ).

Pboof. - (i) holds because $\delta \varphi=0$ by lemma 7.3. Similarly, (ii) follows (7.11), (iii) follows from (7.11), and (iv) follows (7.14).

Remark. - In [GR 3] it is shown that every hypersurface $M^{7} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{8}$ that is in $\mathfrak{W}_{2}$ is automatically in $T$ by a different method.

## 8. - Complex vector cross products.

There is a complex analogue of the notion of vector cross product. We shall use it, together with an auxiliary construction, to find nontrivial examples of manifolds in the class $W_{2}$.

First we define the concept of a complex vector cross product on a vector space. Let $V$ be a $2 n$-dimensional real vector space equipped with an almost complex structure $J$ and a (positive definite) inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . We assume that \langle$,$\rangle and J$ are compatible in the sense that $\langle J x, J y\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle$ for $x, y \in V$. We extend $J$ to a complex linear map $J: V \otimes C \rightarrow V \otimes C$. Also let (, ) be the (positive definite) hermitian inner product on $V \otimes C$ correspond to $\langle$,$\rangle ; thus$

$$
(x+\sqrt{-1} y, u+\sqrt{-1} v)=\langle x, u\rangle+\langle y, v\rangle+\sqrt{-1}\{-\langle x, v\rangle+\langle y, u\rangle\},
$$

for $x, y, u, v \in V$. Finally $\left\|\|^{2}\right.$ will denote the norm corresponding to (,). (Then when restricted to $V,\| \|^{2}$ becomes the norm of $\langle$,$\rangle .)$

Definition. - An r-fold complex vector cross product on $(V, J,\langle\rangle$,$) is a multi-$ linear map

$$
C: \overbrace{(V \otimes \boldsymbol{C}) \times \ldots \times(V \otimes \boldsymbol{C})}^{r} \rightarrow V \otimes \boldsymbol{C}
$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in V \otimes C$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(C\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right), x_{i}\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, r  \tag{8.1}\\
& C\left(J x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=-J C\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)  \tag{8.2}\\
& \left\|C\left(x_{1}-\sqrt{-1} J x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}-\sqrt{-1} J x_{r}\right)\right\|^{2}=  \tag{8.3}\\
& =2^{r-1}\left\|\left(x_{1}-\sqrt{-1} J x_{1}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge\left(x_{r}-\sqrt{-1} J x_{r}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& C\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \in V \quad \text { whenever } \quad x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in V \tag{8.4}
\end{align*} .
$$

Because of (8.1) we see that $O\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ is antisymmetric in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$, and so we actually have a linear map $C: \Lambda^{r}(V) \rightarrow V$. Therefore, we shall write $C\left(x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{r}\right)$ for $O\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$. Also there is a complex $(r+1)$-form $\psi$ on $\nabla$ given by

$$
\psi\left(x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{r+1}\right)=\left\langle C\left(x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{r}\right), x_{r+1}\right\rangle
$$

for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r+1} \in V$. In fact, because of (8.2), $\psi$ is the sum of forms of types ( $r+1,0$ ) and $(0, r+1)$. Thus in case $r=n-1, \psi$ is just a complex volume form.

Complex vector cross products enjoy many of the properties of ordinary vector cross products. Eckmann [E 2] has alluded to complex vector cross products, but it is not clear that his definition is the same as ours.

Definition. - We say that an almost Hermitian manifold ( $M, J,\langle$,$\rangle ) has an$ $r$-fold complex vector cross product $C$ provided each tangent space $M_{m}$ has an $r$-fold complex vector cross product $C_{m}$. We require that the mapping $m \rightarrow C_{m}$ be $C^{\infty}$.

We note that each $r$-fold complex vector cross product on ( $M, J,\langle$,$\rangle ) gives$ rise to a mapping (the vector cross product)

$$
C: \overbrace{(\mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \boldsymbol{C}) \times \ldots \times(\mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \boldsymbol{C})}^{r} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \boldsymbol{C}
$$

such that (8.1)-(8.4) are satisfied.
Complex vector cross products will be treated extensively in another paper. Perhaps, however, it will be useful to note a couple of examples arising from differential geometry.

Example 1. - Let $M$ be a $4 n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold whose structure group is reducible to $\$ p(n)$. It is well known that $M$ admits almost complex structures $I, J$, and $K$, each compatible with the metric, such that $I J=-J I=K$, etc. Then either $I$ or $K$ can be regarded as a 1 -fold complex vector cross product with respect to the almost complex structure $J$.

Example 2. - Let $M$ be a $2 n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold whose structure group is reducible to $U(n)$. Let $\langle$,$\rangle be the Riemannian metric and J$ the almost complex structure. Then the structure group can be further reduced to $S U(n)$ if and only if $M$ has an $(n-1)$-fold complex vector cross product $C$. The associated $n$-form $C$ is the complex volume form of $M$, and $\psi \Lambda * \psi$ is the ordinary Riemannian volume form. Note also that if $\bar{F}$ is the Kähler form associated to $J$ then one has $\psi \wedge * \psi=n!\dot{F}^{n}$.

Example 3. - Let $M$ be a 6 -dimensional nearly Kähler manifold which is not Kählerian. Then it can be verified that there is a number $a$ such that the function $O$ defined by

$$
O(X, Y)=a \nabla_{x}(J) Y
$$

is a 2 -fold complex vector cross product.
For our purposes the case $n=3$ in example 2 will be important. The linear algebra description of this complex vector cross product can be given entirely in terms of real vectors.

Lemma 8.1. - Let $V$ be a 6 -dimensional real vector space with positive definite inner product $\langle$,$\rangle and compatible almost complex structure J$. Let $C: \Lambda^{2}(V) \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Then the extension of $O$ to a map from $\Lambda^{2}(V \otimes \boldsymbol{C}) \rightarrow V \otimes \boldsymbol{C}$ is a complex vector cross product if and only if $C$ has the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
& O(J x \wedge y)=-J O(x \wedge y)  \tag{8.5}\\
& \langle C(x \wedge y), x\rangle=0  \tag{8.6}\\
& \|C(x \wedge y)\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2}-\langle x, y\rangle^{2}-\langle J x, y\rangle^{2}, \quad \text { for all } x, y \in V \tag{8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall now exploit this lemma to construct ordinary vector cross products on certain 7 -dimensional manifolds.

Let $M$ be a 6 -dimensional Riemannian manifold whose structure group has been reduced to $S U(3)$, and let $J$ be the almost complex structure and $C$ the 2 -fold vector cross product. Denote by $F$ and $\psi$ the 2 -form and the 3 -form associated with $J$ and $O$ respectively. Then one has the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(X, Y) & =\langle J X, Y\rangle, \quad \psi(X \wedge Y \wedge Z)=\langle C(X \wedge Y), Z\rangle \\
\|O(X \wedge Y)\|^{2} & =\|X\|^{2}\|Y\|^{2}-\langle X, Y\rangle^{2}-\langle J X, Y\rangle^{2} \\
C(J X \wedge Y) & =-J O(X \wedge Y) \\
\langle O(X \wedge Y), X\rangle & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Theonem 8.2. - Let $\pi: E \rightarrow \hat{M}$ be a Riemannian fiber bundle with 1-dimensional fiber. We assume that $E$ has a globally defined vertical vector field $N$ with $\|N\|=1$. Let $v$ be the 1 -form dual to $N$. Then $E$ has an ordinary 2 -fold vector cross product $P$ and the associated 3 -form $\varphi$ of $P$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\pi^{*}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \wedge \nu+\pi^{*}(\psi) . \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - We use (8.8) to define the 3-form $\varphi$ on $E$, and then we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle P(X \wedge Y), Z\rangle=\varphi(X \wedge Y \wedge Z) \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$.
It is clear that $P$ satisfies (5.1); therefore, it suffices to show that $P$ satisfies (5.2) in order to conclude that $P$ is a vector cross product.

Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that there are vector fields $\hat{X}, \hat{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\hat{M})$ such that $\pi_{*}(X)=\hat{X}$ and $\pi_{*}(Y)=\hat{Y}$. Let $\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{6}, N\right\}$ be a local orthonormal frame on $E$ such that $\left\{\hat{E}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{E}_{6}\right\}$ is a local orthonormal
frame on $\hat{M}$, where $\hat{E}_{a}=\pi_{*}\left(E_{a}\right)$; We calculate as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|P(X \wedge Y)\|^{2} & =\langle P(X \wedge Y), N\rangle^{2}+\sum_{a=1}^{6}\left\langle P(X \wedge Y), E_{a}\right\rangle^{2} \\
& =\varphi(X \wedge Y \wedge N)^{2}+\sum_{a=1}^{6} \varphi\left(X \wedge Y \wedge E_{a}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\pi^{*}(F) \wedge \nu\right)(X \wedge Y \wedge N)^{2}+\sum_{a=1}^{6} \pi^{*}(\psi)\left(X \wedge Y \wedge E_{a}\right)^{2} \\
& =F(\hat{X} \wedge \hat{Y})^{2}+\sum_{a=1}^{6} \psi\left(\hat{X} \wedge \hat{Y} \wedge \hat{E}_{a}\right)^{2} \\
& =\langle J \hat{X}, \hat{Y}\rangle^{2}+\|C(\hat{X} \wedge \hat{Y})\|^{2} \\
& =\|X \wedge Y\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P$ is indeed a 2 -fold vector cross product on $E$.
Also we have
Theorem 8.3. - Let $M$ be any Riemannian manifold. Then the tangent bundle $T(M)$ has a complex vector cross product $C$. If $\psi$ is the fundamental form associated with $C$ then $\psi \wedge^{*} \psi$ is the volume element of $T(M)$. Also $d \psi=0$.

Proof. - Let $\sigma: T(M) \rightarrow M$ be the projection and let $\omega$ be the Riemannian volume element of $M$. Put $\psi=\sigma^{*}(\omega)$ and

$$
\left\langle C\left(X_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{n-1}\right), X_{n}\right\rangle=\psi\left(X_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{n}\right)
$$

for $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
It is well known that $T(M)$ has an almost complex structure $J$ that takes horizontal vectors into vertical vectors, and vice versa. This fact implies that $C$ satisfies (8.2). It is obvious that (8.1) and (8.4) are satisfied. That (8.3) is satisfied follows from the fact that $\psi \wedge^{*} \psi$ is the volume element of $T(M)$. Finally $d \psi=$ $=\sigma^{*}(d \omega)=0$.

We are now ready to give an example of a 7 -dimensional manifold in $w_{2}-T$.
Theorem 8.4. - Let $\tilde{M}$ be any 3-dimensional nonflat Riemannian manifold and put

$$
E=T(\tilde{M}) \times \boldsymbol{R}
$$

Then $E \in \mathfrak{W}_{2}-\mathcal{T}$.
Proof. - From theorem 8.3 we know that $T(\tilde{M})$ has a complex vector cross product with $d \psi=0$. (It can be checked that $\nabla \psi \neq 0$ because $\tilde{M}$ is not flat.) Since $E$ is a fiber bundle over $T(\tilde{M})$ satisfying the requirements of theorem 8.2 , it follows that $E$ has a 2 -fold vector cross product for which (8.8) is satisfied.

For $T(\tilde{M})$ it is well known that $d F=0$, and we have just shown that $d \psi=0$. Obviously $d \nu=0$, and so from (8.8) it follows that $d \varphi=0$. However, $\nabla \nexists^{\prime} \neq 0$, so that $\nabla \varphi \neq 0$. Hence $E \in W_{2}-\mathscr{T}$.

## 9. - Inclusion relations.

In this section we establish the strictness of some of the inclusions among the sixteen classes.

Theonem 9.1. - The following inclusion relations are strict: $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{w}_{1}, \mathfrak{T} \subset \mathfrak{w}_{2}$,
 $w_{3} \cup w_{4} \subset w_{3} \oplus w_{4}, w_{1} \cup w_{3} \cup w_{4} \subset w_{1} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4}$.

Proof. - Let $S^{7}$ denote the 7 -sphere, $M_{H} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{8}$ a minimal hypersurface, and $M_{1} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{8}$ a hypersurface which is neither locally isometric to $S^{7}$ nor to a minimal hypersurface. Let $\tilde{M}$ be any non-flat 3 -dimensional manifold. Also, let $\boldsymbol{R}^{7^{0}}, S^{7^{0}}$, $M_{H}^{0}, M_{1}^{0}$, and $(T(\tilde{M}) \times \boldsymbol{R})^{0}$ denote the manifolds $\boldsymbol{R}^{7}, S^{7}, M_{H}, M_{1}, T(\tilde{M}) \times \boldsymbol{R}$ with a nontrivial change of conformal metric. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{7} \in w_{1}-\mathfrak{T} \\
T(\tilde{M}) \times \boldsymbol{R} \in w_{2}-T \\
M_{H} \in w_{3}-\mathfrak{T} \\
\boldsymbol{R}^{>^{0}} \in w_{4}-\mathfrak{J} \\
M_{1} \in w_{1} \oplus w_{3}-w_{1} \cup w_{3} \\
S^{7^{0}} \in w_{1} \oplus w_{4}-w_{1} \cup w_{4} \\
(T(\widetilde{M}) \times \boldsymbol{R})^{0} \in w_{2} \oplus w_{4}-w_{2} \cup w_{4} \\
M_{H}^{0} \in w_{3} \oplus w_{4}-w_{3} \cup w_{4} \\
M_{1}^{0} \in w_{1} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4}-w_{1} \cup w_{3} \cup w_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have shown that 9 of the inclusion relations among the 16 classes are strict.

## REFERENCES

[AI] D. V. Alekseevskiv, Riemannian spaces with unusual holonomy groups, Funkcional Anal. i Priloven, 2 (1968), pp. 1-10; translated in Functional Anal. Appl.
[BE] M. Berger, Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogène des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 33 (1955), pp. 279-330.
[BS] A. Besse, Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, vol. 93, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
[BO] E. Bonan, Sur les variétés riemanniennes à groupe d'holonomie $G_{2}$ ou $\operatorname{Spin}(2), \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}$. Acad. Sci. Paris, 262 (1966), pp. 127-129.
[BG] R. B. Brown - A. Gray, Vector cross products, Comment. Math. Helv., 42 (1967), pp. 222-236.
[CA] E. Calabi, Construction and properties of some 6-dimensional almost complex manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 87 (1958), pp. 407-438.
[CN] E. Cartan, Sur des familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces isoparametriques dans les sphériques, Math. Z., 45 (1939), pp. 335-367.
[CH] S. S. Chern, On a generalization of Kähler geometry, Lefschetz Jubilee Volume, Princeton University Press (1957), pp. 103.121.
[E 1] B. Eckmann, Systeme von Richtungsfeldern in Sphären und stetige Lösungen komplexex linearer Gleichungen, Comment. Math. Helv., 15 (1943), pp. I-26.
[E 2] B. Eccmann, Continuous solutions of linear equations. Some exceptional dimensions in topology, Batelle Rencontres (1967); Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, W. A. Benjamin (1968), pp. 516-526.
[FR] H. Freudenthal, Oktaven, Ausnahmengruppen und Ohtavengeometrie, Utrecht, 1951.
[GO] S. Goldberg, Curvature and Homology, Academic Press, 1966.
[GR 1] A. Gray, Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds, Michigan Math. J., 12 (1965), pp. 273-279.
[GR 2] A. Grax, Some examples of almost Hermitian manifolds, Ill. J. Math., 10 (1969), pp. 353-366.
[GR 3] A. Grax, Tector cross products on manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 141 (1969), pp. 463-504. Correction, 148 (1970), p. 625.
[GR 4] A. Grax, Wealb holonomy groups, Math. Z., 123 (1971), pp. 290-300.
[GR 5] A. Gray, Vector cross products, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politeen. Torino, 35 (19761977), pp. 69-75.
[GG] A. Gray - P. Green, Sphere transitive structures and the triality automorphism, Pacific J. Math., 34 (1970), pp. 83-96.
[GH] A. Gray - L. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariant, Annali di Mat. Pura Appl. (IV), 123 (1980), pp. 35-58.
[J] N. Jacobson, Lie Algebras, John Wiley.
[L] H. C. Lee, A kind of even-dimensional differential geometry and its application to exterior calculus, Amer. J. Math., 65 (1943), pp. 433-438.
[SA] H. Samelson, Lie Algebras, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematical Studies, no. 23 (1969).
[SI] J. Simons, On the transitivity of holonomy systems, Ann. of Math., 76 (1967), pp. 213-234.
[V] I. Vaisman, On locally conformal almost Kähler manifolds, Israel J. Math., 24 (1976), pp. 338-351.
[W] G. Whitehead, Note on cross-sections in Steifel manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv., 37 (1962), pp. 239-240.
[YS] K. Yano - T. Sumitomo, Differential geometry on hypersurfaces in a Cayley space, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 66 (1962-64), pp. 216-231 (1965).
[Z] P. Zvengrowski, A 3-fold vector product in $R^{8}$, Comment. Math. Helv., 40 (1966), pp. 149-152.

