Rigid Motion Invariant Classification of 3D-Textures

Saurabh Jain Department of Mathematics University of Houston

October 31st 2009 2009 Fall Southeastern Meeting, Boca Raton, Florida



This work has been performed in collaboration with Prof. Robert Azencott and Prof. Manos Papadakis.

We are grateful to Simon Alexander for his suggestions and many fruitful discussions.



Background

Isotropic Multiresolution Analysis Definition Isotropic Wavelet

Rotationally Invariant 3-D Texture Classification

Texture Model Rotation of Textures Gaussian Markov Random Field Rotationally Invariant Distance Experimental Results







Figure: Examples of structural 2-D textures



Figure: Examples of stochastic 2-D textures



Texture Examples from Biomedical Imaging







(b) Slice from Intravascular Ultra Sound data

Figure: Examples of medical 3D data sets.



Background

Isotropic Multiresolution Analysis Definition Isotropic Wavelet

Rotationally Invariant 3-D Texture Classification

Texture Model Rotation of Textures Gaussian Markov Random Field Rotationally Invariant Distance Experimental Results



An IMRA is a sequence $\{V_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of closed subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ V_j \subset V_{j+1}$,
- $(D_{\mathfrak{M}})^j V_0 = V_j$,
- $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}V_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
- $\cap_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}V_j=\{0\}$,



An IMRA is a sequence $\{V_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of closed subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ V_j \subset V_{j+1}$,
- $(D_{\mathfrak{M}})^j V_0 = V_j$,
- $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}V_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
- $\cap_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}V_j=\{0\},$
- V_0 is invariant under translations by integers,
- V₀ is invariant under all rotations, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}(R)V_0 = V_0$$
 for all $R \in SO(d)$,

where $\mathcal{O}(R)$ is the unitary operator given by $\mathcal{O}(R)f(x) := f(R^T x)$.



2D IMRA refinable function and wavelet





(a) Fourier transform of the refinable function $\hat{\phi}$



Background

Isotropic Multiresolution Analysis Definition Isotropic Wavelet

Rotationally Invariant 3-D Texture Classification

Texture Model Rotation of Textures Gaussian Markov Random Field Rotationally Invariant Distance Experimental Results





Let its autocovariance function ρ_{cont} be bandlimited to $\mathbb{B}_2,$ the ball where $\widehat{\phi}$ is equal to 1.



Let its autocovariance function ρ_{cont} be bandlimited to $\mathbb{B}_2,$ the ball where $\widehat{\phi}$ is equal to 1.

Note that

$$\rho_{cont}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{cont}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{X}_{cont}(0)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{X}(0)] = \rho(\mathbf{k})$$



Let its autocovariance function ρ_{cont} be bandlimited to $\mathbb{B}_2,$ the ball where $\widehat{\phi}$ is equal to 1.

Note that

$$\rho_{cont}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{cont}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{X}_{cont}(0)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{X}(0)] = \rho(\mathbf{k})$$

Hence, $\rho_{cont} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \rho(\mathbf{k}) T_{\mathbf{k}} \phi$.



Let $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be the operator induced on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the rotation $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in SO(3)$.



S. Jain

Let \mathcal{R}_{α} be the operator induced on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$ by the rotation $\alpha \in SO(3)$. The autocovariance function of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} X_{cont}$ is given by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho_{cont}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \mathsf{X}_{cont}(\mathbf{s}) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \mathsf{X}_{cont}(0)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathsf{X}_{cont}(\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{s}) \mathsf{X}_{cont}((\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} 0)] \\ = \rho_{cont}(\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho_{cont}(\mathbf{s}).$$

11 / 21

Let \mathcal{R}_{α} be the operator induced on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$ by the rotation $\alpha \in SO(3)$. The autocovariance function of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} X_{cont}$ is given by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho_{cont}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}_{cont}(\mathbf{s}) \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}_{cont}(0)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{cont}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{X}_{cont}((\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} 0)] \\ = \rho_{cont}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \rho_{cont}(\mathbf{s}).$$

Now, the sequence of samples, $\langle \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho_{cont}, T_{k} \phi \rangle \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho$.



Let \mathcal{R}_{α} be the operator induced on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$ by the rotation $\alpha \in SO(3)$. The autocovariance function of $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} X_{cont}$ is given by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho_{cont}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}_{cont}(\mathbf{s}) \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}_{cont}(0)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{cont}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{X}_{cont}((\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} 0)] \\ = \rho_{cont}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \rho_{cont}(\mathbf{s}).$$

Now, the sequence of samples, $\langle \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho_{cont}, T_{k} \phi \rangle \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho$.

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \rho_{cont}, T_{\mathbf{k}} \phi \rangle = \langle \rho_{cont}, \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^* T_{\mathbf{k}} \phi \rangle = \langle \rho_{cont}, T_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{k}} \phi \rangle$$







10 31 2009 12 / 2



屮

10 31 2009 12 / 2

		0	•	0	•	•	•	0	:	0	•	•	•	0	•	0	•		
	0	0	0	0	•0	0	0	• 0	0	• •	0	0	•	0	0	0	0		
	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	o	•	0	•	0	•	0	•		
	0	0	•	0	0	•	0	0	•	o	0	•	0	0	•	0	0		
	•	8	•	0	•	0	•	• •	•	•	•	0	•	0	•	8	•		
	• •	0	۰.	0	0	•	0	0	8	0	0	•	0	0	• •	0	۰.		
	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	o	•	0	•	0	•	0	•		
•	o	•	0	0	•	0	•	•。	0	•	0	0	•	0	0	•	0	•	
•	••	0	••	0	•	•	•	0	•	o	•	•0	•	0	••	0	••		•
	o	•	•	0	•	•	0	•	0	•	•	0	•	0	0	•	0		
•	•	•	•	0	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	•	•	•	•	
	• 0	0	•	0	0	•	0	o	8	0	0	•	0	0	• 0	0	•		
	•	8	•	0		0	•	• •	•	۰.	•	0	•	0	•	8	•		
	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	•	0	•	0	•	•	•	0	:	0	•	•	•	0	••	0	•		
	0	0	0	0	•0	0	0	• 0	0	•	0	0	•	0	0	0	0		
	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	o	•	0	•	0	•	0	•		
						•			•			•							
								•		•									

•

٠



S. Jain

10 31 2009 12 / 21

A stochastic process **X** on \mathbb{Z}^3 is a stationary GMRF if a realization satisfies the following difference equation:

$$x_{\mathbf{k}} = \mu + \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \eta} heta_{\mathbf{r}} (x_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{r}} - \mu) + e_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

where the correlated Gaussian noise, $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_{N_T})$, has the following structure:

$$\mathbb{E}[e_{\mathbf{k}}e_{\mathbf{l}}] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2, & \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{l}, \\ -\theta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{l}}\sigma^2, & \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l} \in \eta, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$



For a stationary random process \boldsymbol{X} on $\mathbb{Z}^3,$ the auto-covariance function is given by

$$ho(\mathbf{I}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{I})\mathbf{X}(0)]$$



For a stationary random process \boldsymbol{X} on $\mathbb{Z}^3,$ the auto-covariance function is given by

$$ho(\mathbf{I}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{I})\mathbf{X}(0)]$$

Given a realization ${\bf x}$ on ${\bf \Lambda}\subset \mathbb{Z}^3,$ ρ can be approximated by

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{I}) = \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}} x_{\mathbf{r}} x_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{I}}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{I} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$$

for a sufficiently large Λ ; $N_T := |\Lambda|$.



For a stationary random process \boldsymbol{X} on $\mathbb{Z}^3,$ the auto-covariance function is given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{I}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{I})\mathbf{X}(0)]$$

Given a realization ${\bf x}$ on ${\bf \Lambda}\subset \mathbb{Z}^3,$ ρ can be approximated by

$$ho_0(\mathbf{I}) = rac{1}{N_{\mathcal{T}}}\sum_{\mathbf{r}\in\mathbf{\Lambda}} x_{\mathbf{r}} x_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{I}}, ext{ for all } \mathbf{I}\in\mathbf{\Lambda}$$

for a sufficiently large Λ ; $N_T := |\Lambda|$. The parameters of the GMRF model fitted to the 'rotated texture', denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}$, can be calculated using $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \rho$.



We define the texture signature $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{x}},$ via

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\rho), \widehat{\sigma^2}(\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\rho)\right]$$



We define the texture signature Γ_x , via

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\rho), \widehat{\sigma^2}(\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\rho)\right]$$

Now, we define a distance between two textures by the following expression:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}\in SO(3)}\int_{SO(3)}\mathsf{KLdist}\left(\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}),\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{x}_{2}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0})\right)d\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$



	$\mathcal{T}_{1,0}$	$\mathcal{T}_{1,rac{\pi}{2}}$	$T_{2,0}$	$\mathcal{T}_{2,rac{\pi}{2}}$
$T_{1,0}$	0.0007	0.0005	0.0072	0.0137
$T_{1,rac{\pi}{2}}$	0.0010	0.0007	0.0101	0.0182
T _{2,0}	0.0123	0.0128	0.0006	0.0004
$\mathcal{T}_{2,rac{\pi}{2}}$	0.0093	0.0101	0.0012	0.0009

Table: Distances between two rotations of two distinct textures using the rotationally invariant distance and autocovariance resampled on $\frac{\mathbb{Z}^3}{4}$.

	$\mathcal{T}_{1,0}$	$\mathcal{T}_{1,rac{\pi}{2}}$	$T_{2,0}$	$\mathcal{T}_{2,rac{\pi}{2}}$
$T_{1,0}$	0.0006	0.0006	0.0073	0.0136
$T_{1,rac{\pi}{2}}$	0.0013	0.0007	0.0100	0.0164
T _{2,0}	0.0125	0.0203	0.0010	0.0004
$\mathcal{T}_{2,rac{\pi}{2}}$	0.0119	0.0082	0.0007	0.0008

Table: Distances between two rotations of two distinct textures using the rotationally invariant distance and autocovariance resampled on $\frac{\mathbb{Z}^3}{2}$.

	$\mathcal{T}_{1,0}$	$\mathcal{T}_{1,rac{\pi}{2}}$	$T_{2,0}$	$T_{2,rac{\pi}{2}}$
$T_{1,0}$	0.0026	0.0812	0.0330	0.1750
$T_{1,rac{\pi}{2}}$	0.1118	0.0010	0.0852	0.0562
T _{2,0}	0.0454	0.0694	0.0016	0.0108
$T_{2,rac{\pi}{2}}$	0.0607	0.0473	0.0246	0.0018

Table: Distances between two rotations of two distinct textures using the rotationally invariant distance and autocovariance sampled on the original grid \mathbb{Z}^3 .



	T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_5	
T_1	0.0006	0.0073	0.4232	2.3180	1.7724	
T_2	0.0125	0.0010	0.4894	2.5227	1.8381	
T_3	0.4466	0.5134	0.0004	0.5208	0.4563	
T_4	2.4314	2.6315	0.5605	0.0021	0.3533	
T_5	1.8200	1.9227	0.4318	0.2540	0.0043	

Table: Distances between five distinct textures using the rotationally invariant distance and autocovariance resampled on the grid $\frac{\mathbb{Z}^3}{2}$.

Experiments with 2-D Textures





	grass	sand		grass	sand
grass	0.0200	0.0806	grass	0.0107	0.3418
sand	0.0032	0.0443	sand	0.7174	0.0223

Table: Distances between the sand and grass textures for the original data (left) for the low pass component (right).

