

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Psychiatr Serv. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 November 21.

Published in final edited form as: *Psychiatr Serv.* 2007 October ; 58(10): 1303–1310.

Risk of Psychological Difficulties Among Children Raised by Custodial Grandparents

Gregory C. Smith, Ed.D. and

College of Education, Health, and Human Services, Kent State University, Human Development Center, 144 Nixson Hall, Kent, OH 44242 (e-mail: gsmith2@kent.edu)

Patrick A. Palmieri, Ph.D.

Summa–Kent State Center for the Treatment and Study of Traumatic Stress, Summa Health System, and with the Department of Psychology, Kent State University

Abstract

Objective—Although custodial grandchildren are believed to be at greater risk of emotional and behavioral problems than children in general, scant research has examined this important public health issue.

Methods—This study involves data from 733 custodial grandmothers participating in a study funded by the National Institute of Mental Health on custodial grandparenting and 9,878 caregivers from the 2001 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) who completed the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) in reference to target children between ages four and 17. These two data sets were used to determine if custodial grandmothers report greater emotional and behavioral difficulties, as measured by the SDQ, for custodial grandchildren than do caregivers of children from the NHIS normative sample.

Results—Custodial grandchildren fared worse than children from the NHIS sample across all domains measured by the SDQ subscales, regardless of the child's gender and whether reporters were recruited by population-based or convenience sampling methods. Comparisons within the sample of 733 custodial grandmothers showed that higher levels of difficulties were reported when grandmothers were caring for boys, were recruited by convenience versus population-based sampling, and were white. Comparisons of the banded scores computed for each SDQ subscale suggested that custodial grandchildren have different cutoff points than children in the general population for a likely diagnosis of a psychiatric disorder.

Conclusions—Similar to other children in kinship care arrangements, custodial grandchildren are reported by their caregivers to have higher levels of behavioral and emotional disturbances than children in the overall U.S. population.

Although increasing numbers of grandparents are becoming surrogate parents to grandchildren (1), little is known about how custodial grandchildren fare in these families. Yet there are two major reasons why custodial grandchildren may encounter greater risk of behavioral and emotional difficulties than children in general. One reason is that custodial grandchildren typically receive care from grandparents because of such predicaments among their parents as substance abuse, child abuse and neglect, teenage pregnancy, death, illness, divorce, incarceration, and HIV-AIDS (2). Such predicaments bear numerous risks of psychopathology among custodial grandchildren, including exposure to prenatal toxins, early childhood trauma,

The authors report no competing interests.

An earlier version of this article was presented at the annual scientific meeting of the Gerontological Society of America, November 16–20, 2006, Dallas.

insufficient interaction with parents, family conflict, uncertainty about the future, and societal stigma (3,4).

Another reason why custodial grandchildren may experience higher risk of emotional and behavioral difficulties concerns the numerous challenges that grandparents face as caregivers. For many this role is developmentally off time, unplanned, ambiguous, and undertaken with considerable ambivalence (5-7). Additional challenges to raising custodial grandchildren include inadequate support, social stigma, isolation, disrupted leisure and retirement plans, age-related adversities, anger toward grandchildren's parents, and financial strain (8-10). Thus custodial grandparents typically show elevated rates of anxiety, irritability, anger, and guilt (11-15). Such heightened psychological strain among parental figures is troubling because abundant research shows that psychological distress is associated with increased dysfunctional parenting, which, in turn, negatively affects children's psychological well-being (16). Recently, it was found that psychological distress among custodial grandmothers results in lower-quality parenting, which ultimately leads to higher maladjustment of custodial grandchildren (17).

Despite these speculations that custodial grandchildren may experience greater mental health difficulties than children in general, scant research has examined the well-being of custodial grandchildren in comparison with other children. A handful of studies, however, provide preliminary evidence that custodial grandchildren do face higher risk. For instance, Ghuman and colleagues (18) found that 22% of 233 youths attending an inner-city community mental health center for treatment of psychological difficulties were cared for by grandparents. Although this rate was disproportionately higher than the 6% of all children living in a grandparent's household (19), generalizability of these findings is unknown because the sample was restricted to custodial grandchildren from a single clinic.

Further evidence of increased risk of psychological difficulties among custodial grandchildren comes from studies of children who receive kinship care. Dubowitz and colleagues (20-23) reported studies showing that children under kinship care have more behavioral, emotional, and school-related problems than children in general. Billing and colleagues (24) analyzed data from the 1997 and 1999 rounds of the National Survey of America's Families and found that children under age 18 living with relatives fared worse than children living with biological parents on most measures of behavioral, emotional, and physical well-being. They also found that children in care of relatives were more likely to have caregivers with symptoms of poor mental health themselves.

Using a nationally representative sample of middle-school children from the 1988 National Education Longitudinal Study, Sun (25) compared the educational, psychological, and behavioral outcomes of children in non–biological-parent families with outcomes of children in households containing two biological parents, a single mother, a stepmother, or a stepfather. According to Sun, non–biological-parent households were found to provide a less favorable family environment for children to live in, as shown by the shortage of parental functions and resources in these households that were associated with lower levels of well-being among children.

Because none of the studies reviewed above differentiated children raised by grandparents from children raised by other relatives, the applicability of their findings in regard to custodial grandchildren remains unknown. In the only published national study focused on custodial grandchildren, Solomon and Marx (26) used secondary data from the 1988 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) to address how custodial grandchildren compare with children from traditional and other types of alternative family (single-parent and blended families) on health and school-adjustment indicators. Caregivers were asked about their perceptions of children

Children from traditional families were not better behaved at school than custodial grandchildren, and children from families with one biological parent were more likely than custodial grandchildren to experience school-related behavioral problems. Solomon and Marx also examined indices of physical health status and found that custodial grandchildren fared quite well relative to children in all other family structures. On the basis of overall findings, the authors concluded that "children being raised solely by grandparents appear to be relatively healthy and well-adjusted." However, a major limitation of their investigation is that an established measure of children's psychological adjustment was unavailable in the 1988 NHIS data set.

The study

Our study is the first to examine risk of emotional and behavioral difficulties among custodial grandchildren from a large, national data set and uses a well-established measure of psychological adjustment. We asked a national sample of 366 black and 367 white custodial grandmothers to complete the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (27) in regard to a target grandchild. The SDQ is a psychometrically sound measure of the psychological adjustment of children that correlates substantially with similar instruments like the Rutter (28) and Achenbach (29) questionnaires (27,30), differentiates children with and without psychopathology (27,31,32), and effectively screens for disorders in community samples (32).

Because SDQ normative data from a probability-based sample of U.S. children between ages four and 17 within the 2001 NHIS were readily available, we used these data to address the following aims regarding the extent to which custodial grandchildren are at risk of psychological difficulties.

First, we sought to compare the sample of custodial grandchildren with the normative sample from the 2001 NHIS. Given the above evidence, we hypothesized that grandmothers would report significantly higher behavioral and emotional difficulties among custodial grandchildren than caregivers of children in the NHIS sample. We further hypothesized that custodial grandchildren of both genders would have more difficulties than their NHIS counterparts, given that the above risk factors encountered by custodial grandchildren are not gender specific. However, we predicted that male custodial grandchildren would have significantly higher difficulties than female custodial grandchildren in view of past findings that boys in kinship care demonstrate greater behavioral disturbance than girls (21,26).

Second, we sought to examine whether custodial grandchildren of grandmothers recruited by population-based or convenience methods differed from each other and whether both subgroups differed from the NHIS sample. These comparisons were important given concerns within the family caregiving literature that convenience samples are biased toward greater psychological distress (33). Our study was a unique attempt to examine this concern empirically.

Our third aim was to determine whether race differences existed within the sample of custodial grandchildren. Exploring potential race differences was important because white and black grandchildren were disproportionately sampled in our study, whereas race was sampled in proportion to the U.S. population within the NHIS. Thus any racial differences in the custodial grandchildren sample might compromise comparisons with the NHIS sample. It is also possible

Our fourth aim was to classify the custodial grandchildren sample into low-, medium-, or highdifficulties groups with the SDQ banded-scoring procedure (27,35) and to compare these banded scores with those of the NHIS sample. This aim was important because SDQ banded scores in the high-difficulties range are useful in identifying caseness, or children with significant emotional or behavioral difficulties (27,35,36). Goodman (27) favors banded scores over standard cutoff scores because caseness may not have identical meaning across different samples simply because the same cutoffs have been used. Thus comparability may be lost when high- and low-risk samples are contrasted on standard cutoff scores.

Methods

Study data came from two sources. Data on custodial grandchildren were from 733 grandmothers (mean \pm SD age=56 \pm 8.1 years) recruited from 48 states through convenience methods (N=387) and population-based methods (N=346) for a study of stress and coping among custodial grandparents.

Population-based sampling involved a randomized mail recruitment strategy where U.S. households with children of ages zero to 18 years were sent recruitment letters requesting contact with project staff if the household contained—or if a household member knew of—a grandmother meeting study eligibility criteria (providing full-time care for at least three consecutive months to custodial grandchildren between ages four and 17 with both biological parents absent). Lists of randomly selected households containing children between ages zero and 18 were purchased from Survey Sampling, Inc. By asking if the randomly selected household knew of a custodial grandmother, if one was not present in that household, we followed the recommended "counting rule" procedure for obtaining population-based samples of rare populations (37). Convenience sampling involved appeals to community organizations, churches, social service agencies, and mass media announcements. Prospective respondents were screened, and those meeting study criteria participated in telephone interviews (from March 2003 to January 2004) that included completing the SDQ in reference to a target grandchild for the study.

A description of the study was read to respondents before informed consent was obtained verbally in compliance with the university's institutional review board. If respondents cared for multiple grandchildren, the target grandchild was selected by the most-recent-birthday technique (38). Target grandchildren were 391 girls and 342 boys (age= 9.8 ± 3.7 years). Length of care ranged from three months to 16 years (6.4 ± 4.0 years).

Data regarding the NHIS 2001 sample of U.S. children between ages four and 17 were obtained from www.sdqinfo.com. The SDQ was included in the 2001 NHIS supplement. The NHIS is a multistage probability sample survey on the health of the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized population conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau via face-to-face interviews. Information about one randomly selected child in a household was obtained from an adult with knowledge of the child's health. Of the 10,367 children between ages four and 17 in the NHIS survey, 9,878 (95%) children had complete SDQ data, and this sample was used in our analyses. Approximately 92% of reporters were the child's parents. Although a grandparent was the reporter for 4.4% of the sample, separate data were not available by reporter type. The NHIS SDQ data were recently proclaimed as "the best available resource for characterizing youths with serious emotional disturbances" (36).

The SDQ contains 25 items divided equally among five scales: emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and inattention, peer problems, and prosocial behavior. Reporters rate

each item concerning the target child along a 3-point scale that ranges from 0, not true, to 2, certainly true. A total difficulties score is obtainable by summing all subscale scores except for the score for prosocial behavior. Full SDQ scoring procedures are available at www.sdqinfo.com. Banded scores can be computed when cumulative frequency distributions are used to divide the scores for the SDQ total difficulties scale and each subscale into three bands: the highest 10% of scores represents the high-difficulties group, the next 10% is the medium-difficulties group, and the remaining 80% is the low-difficulties group (banding is reversed for the prosocial subscale). Key psychometric properties of the SDQ were previously found to be acceptable for both the NHIS sample (35) and the custodial grandchildren sample (39).

Mean comparisons of the custodial grandchildren sample with the NHIS sample were performed with Welch's unpaired t-test procedure as computed online with GraphPad Software (www.graphpad.com). Mean comparisons between subgroups of the custodial grandchildren sample were made with the independent samples t-test procedure of SPSS 12.0 for Windows (40). Standardized effect size (mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation) and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for all mean comparisons.

Results

By design, half of the grandmothers were black (N=366) and half were white (N=367), and the sample was diverse along key sociodemographic characteristics (Table 1). Most grandmothers reported multiple reasons for raising the target grandchild, which primarily concerned predicaments of that child's parents.

Comparisons of SDQ scores for custodial grandchildren with scores for children in the NHIS sample are summarized in Table 2. Regardless of the child's gender, grandmothers reported greater difficulties than did caregivers from the NHIS sample across all SDQ scales. Each of the mean differences were not only highly significant (p<.001), but the magnitude of these effects was large.

Table 3 summarizes SDQ scores according to gender of custodial grandchildren and race of grandmothers with data from only the custodial grandchildren sample. [An expanded table showing full t test data and corresponding degrees of freedom is available as an online supplement at ps.psychiatryonline.org.] In terms of gender, grandmothers reported boys to present significantly more difficulties than girls on every scale except for emotional symptoms. The corresponding standardized effect sizes are small (.00–.37). As for race, white grandmothers reported significantly more difficulties with custodial grandchildren than did black grandmothers on each subscale except conduct problems and prosocial behavior. The standardized effect sizes associated with these statistically significant differences were small (.14–.25).

A summary of mean comparisons by recruitment source is presented in Table 4. [An expanded table showing full t test data and corresponding degrees of freedom is available as an online supplement at ps.psychiatryonline.org.] The custodial grandchildren were reported as having more difficulties than the NHIS children on all SDQ scales regardless of whether grandmothers were recruited via population-based or convenience methods. However, both the t-score values and corresponding effect sizes were somewhat larger for comparisons between the custodial grandchildren convenience sample and the NHIS sample than for comparisons between the custodial grandchild population-based sample and NHIS sample. In turn, in a direct comparison of the convenience sample and population-based sample of custodial grandchildren, grandmothers recruited by convenience reported significantly more difficulties across all SDQ subscales except for emotional symptoms and prosocial behavior.

Table 5 contains a summary of banded scores computed separately for the 2001 NHIS sample by Bourdon and colleagues (35) and for the custodial grandchildren sample. Cutoff scores for both the medium- and high-difficulties classifications were uniformly higher for custodial grandchildren than for NHIS children across all SDQ scales.

Discussion

Our findings provide new evidence that custodial grandchildren of both genders are at greater risk of psychological difficulties than children in the general population. Statistically significant mean differences with correspondingly large effect sizes were observed between the custodial grandchildren and NHIS samples on each domain measured by the SDQ. These differences, all in the direction of greater risk of psychological difficulties among custodial grandchildren, occurred regardless of whether comparisons to the NHIS sample involved data from grandmothers recruited through convenience or population-based methods. Nevertheless, consistent with the belief that samples obtained by convenience are biased toward greater psychological distress (33), grandmothers sampled by convenience reported significantly more difficulty for custodial grandchildren than did grandmothers recruited via population-based sampling on most SDQ scales. Thus samples recruited solely by convenience are likely to overestimate the extent of psychological difficulties in the population of custodial grandchildren.

As anticipated, grandmothers reported more difficulties for boys than for girls except for the emotional symptoms subscale. Absence of gender differences on this subscale, however, may be attributable to the inability of lay observers to recognize symptoms of internalizing disorders (34). In general, our results are congruent with those of past studies showing that among children in kinship care, boys experience greater behavioral problems and less prosocial behavior than girls (21,26,41).

It is noteworthy that the magnitude of the differences observed on the SDQ scales between the NHIS and custodial grandchildren samples was consistently higher than the corresponding differences observed for the within-sample comparisons (that is, population-based versus convenience, boys compared with girls, and white-black racial comparisons) involving the custodial grandchildren sample only. Thus it appears that the power to detect differences between custodial grandchildren and noncustodial grandchildren is much greater than the power to detect differences between subgroups of custodial grandchildren. This trend became evident, for example, by the complete absence of differences found between white grandchildren and black grandchildren on the conduct disorder and prosocial behavior scales.

The finding that white custodial grandmothers reported more difficulties with custodial grandchildren than black grandmothers on some but not all SDQ subscales (emotional, hyperactivity, and peer) is similar to Pruchno's (42) findings where differences between black and white custodial grandmothers depended on the specific behavior problems under consideration. Whereas white grandmothers in Pruchno's national sample were more likely to report a grandchild as argumentative, impulsive, unhappy, withdrawn, too dependent on others, feeling worthless, or acting too young for one's age, black grandmothers more often reported that custodial grandchildren had lied or cheated, were disobedient at school, destroyed things, and got into fights. Future research is needed to decipher why black and white custodial grandmothers of behavioral and emotional problems of their custodial grandchildren.

Our findings regarding the comparison of custodial grandchildren's banded SDQ scores with those for the NHIS sample indicate that substantially more custodial grandchildren would have fallen into the high-difficulties category if cutoff points associated with the NHIS sample had

been used instead of calculating sample-specific cutoff points. In fact, across all three scoring bands, cutoff points were lower for the NHIS sample on each SDQ subscale than for the custodial grandchildren sample. These results, along with the higher mean scores observed for custodial grandchildren, indicate that custodial grandmothers judged custodial grandchildren much more negatively than did caregivers of children in the general population. This finding is critical because a caregiver's judgment of the severity of a child's difficulties is key to bringing the child's problems to the attention of mental health providers and to pursuing services for the child (35). In this respect our findings are consistent with Ghuman and colleagues' (18) observation that custodial grandchildren are likely to have elevated mental health symptoms in need of professional intervention.

Several limitations of this study must be acknowledged. First, it is unlikely that the SDQ identifies emotionally disturbed youths with the same precision as more extensive interview and diagnostic procedures (36). This is especially true when parent figures are the sole reporters, given that their own psychological distress is likely to bias their observations (34). Second, our SDQ data differed from those of the NHIS in some ways that might have affected the findings. For example, we used the British English version of the SDQ, whereas the NHIS used a modified Americanized version. We used telephone interviews, whereas the NHIS conducted face-to-face interviews. Also, the NHIS data encompass a representative sample of all races, whereas our data are from only black and white participants. Third, despite population-based sampling, our sample of custodial grandmothers was relatively small and not necessarily representative of the underlying population of custodial grandparents given that no comprehensive sampling frame exists for this rare population (43). Finally, we do not know how long any difficulties reported for children in either the NHIS or custodial grandchildren samples had been present.

Conclusions

Despite limitations, our findings suggest that custodial grandchildren are at greater risk of mental health problems than children in general. Given the dearth of research on the well-being of custodial grandchildren, we hope that this study will draw further attention to the needs of custodial grandchildren and their caregivers. Additional research is needed to determine the rates of specific diagnosable disorders experienced by custodial grandchildren, the underlying reasons for these disorders, and whether they vary by key sociodemographic and cultural influences.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Work on this study was funded by grant R01-MH-66851-02 from the National Institute of Mental Health, awarded to the first author.

References

- 1. Simmons, T.; Dye, JL. Grandparents Living With Grandchildren: 2000. Oct. 2003 Available at www.census.gov/prod/2003pubs/c2kbr-31.pdf
- 2. Pinson-Milburn NM, Fabian ES, Schlossberg NK, et al. Grandparents raising grandchildren. Journal of Counseling and Development 1996;74:548–554.
- Hayslip B, Shore RJ, Henderson CE, et al. Custodial grandparenting and the impact of grandchildren with problems on role satisfaction and role meaning. Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences 1998;53B:S164–S173.

- Hirshon, BA. Grandparents as caregivers. In: Szinovacz, ME., editor. Handbook of Grandparenthood. Westport, Conn: Greenwood Press; 1998.
- 5. Edwards OW. Helping grandkin-grandchildren raised by grandparents: expanding psychology in the schools. Psychology in the Schools 1998;35:173–181.
- Landry-Meyer L, Newman BM. An exploration of the grandparent caregiver role. Journal of Family Issues 2004;25:1005–1025.
- 7. Weber JA, Waldrop DP. Grandparents raising grandchildren: families in transition. Journal of Gerontological Social Work 2000;33:27–46.
- Daly SL, Glenwick DS. Personal adjustment and perceptions of grandchild behavior in custodial grandmothers. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology 2000;29:108–118. [PubMed: 10693037]
- Glass JC. Grandparents parenting grandchildren: extent of situation, issues involved, and educational implications. Educational Gerontology 2002;28:139–161.
- 10. Whitley DM, Kelley SJ, Sipe TA. Grandmothers raising grandchildren: are they at increased risk of health problems? Health and Social Work 2001;26:105–114.
- Burnette D. Physical and emotional well-being of custodial grandparents in Latino families. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1999;69:305–318. [PubMed: 10439845]
- 12. Kelly SJ. Caregiver stress in grandparents raising grandchildren. Image: Journal of Nursing Scholarship 1993;25:331–337.
- Minkler M, Fuller-Thomson E, Miller D, et al. Depression in grandparents raising grandchildren: results of a national longitudinal study. Archives of Family Medicine 1997;6:445–452. [PubMed: 9305687]
- Szinovacz ME, DeViney S, Atkinson MP. Effects of surrogate parenting on grandparents' well-being. Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences 1999;54B:S376–S388.
- Strawbridge W, Wallhagen M, Shema S, et al. New burdens or more of the same? Comparing adult grandparent, spouse, and adult-child caregivers. Gerontologist 1997;17:505–510. [PubMed: 9279039]
- Elgar FJ, McGrath PJ, Waschbusch DA, et al. Mutual influences on maternal depression and child adjustment problems. Clinical Psychology Review 2004;24:441–459. [PubMed: 15245830]
- Smith, GC.; Richardson, RA.; Palmieri, PA. The effects of custodial grandmothers' psychological distress on parenting behavior and grandchild behavioral problems. Presented at the annual scientific meeting of the Gerontological Society of America; Dallas. Nov 16–20; 2006.
- Ghuman HS, Weist MD, Shafer ME. Demographic and clinical characteristics of emotionally disturbed children being raised by grandparents. Psychiatric Services 1999;50:1496–1498. [PubMed: 10543863]
- 19. Lugaila, T.; Overturf, J. Children and the Households They Live in: 2000. 2004. Available at www.census.gov/prod/2004pubs/censr-14.pdf
- 20. Dubowitz H, Feigelman S, Zuravin S, et al. The physical health of children in kinship care. American Journal of Diseases of Children 1992;146:603–610. [PubMed: 1621665]
- 21. Dubowitz H, Feigelman S, Harrington D, et al. Children in kinship care: how do they fare? Children and Youth Services Review 1994;16:85–106.
- 22. Dubowitz H, Sawyer RJ. School behavior of children in kinship care. Child Abuse and Neglect 1994;18:899–911. [PubMed: 7850599]
- 23. Sawyer RJ, Dubowitz H. School performance of children in kinship care. Child Abuse and Neglect 1994;18:587–597. [PubMed: 7522940]
- 24. Billing, A.; Ehrle, J.; Kortenkamp, K. Assessing the New Federalism Project. Washington, DC: Urban Institute; 2002. Children Cared for by Relatives: What Do We Know About Their Well-Being?.
- 25. Sun Y. The well-being of adolescents in households with no biological parents. Journal of Marriage and the Family 2003;65:894–909.
- 26. Solomon JC, Marx J. "To grandmother's house we go": health and school adjustment of children raised solely by grandparents. Gerontologist 1995;35:386–394. [PubMed: 7622091]
- Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 1997;38:581–586. [PubMed: 9255702]

- Rutter MA. Children's behaviour questionnaire for completion by teachers: preliminary findings. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 1967;8:1–11. [PubMed: 6033260]
- 29. Achenbach, TM. Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist/4-18 and 1991 Profile. Burlington: University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry; 1991.
- Goodman R. The extended version of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire as a guide to child psychiatric caseness and consequent burden. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 1999;40:791–801. [PubMed: 10433412]
- Goodman R. Psychometric properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ). Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 2001;40:1337–1345. [PubMed: 11699809]
- Goodman R, Ford T, Simmons H, et al. Using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to screen for child psychiatric disorders in a community sample. British Journal of Psychiatry 2000;177:534–539. [PubMed: 11102329]
- Picot SJF, Samonte J, Tierney JA, et al. Effective sampling of rare population elements. Research on Aging 2001;23:694–712.
- 34. Simpson, GA.; Bloom, B.; Cohen, RA., et al. US Children With Emotional and Behavioral Difficulties: Data From the 2001, 2002, and 2003 National Health Interview Surveys, Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics No 360. Hyattsville, Md: National Center for Health Statistics; 2005.
- Bourdon KH, Goodman R, Rae D, et al. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: US normative data and psychometric properties. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 2005;44:557–564. [PubMed: 15908838]
- Mark TL, Buck JA. Characteristics of US youths with serious emotional disturbance: data from the National Health Interview Survey. Psychiatric Services 2006;57:1573–1578. [PubMed: 17085604]
- Blair, J. Improving data quality in network surveys of rare populations. In: Liepins, GE.; Uppuluri, VR., editors. Data Quality Control: Theory and Pragmatics. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1990.
- 38. Kish, L. Survey Sampling. New York: Wiley; 1965.
- Palmieri PA, Smith GC. Examining the structural validity of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) in a US sample of custodial grandmothers. Psychological Assessment 2007;19:189–198. [PubMed: 17563200]
- 40. George, D.; Mallery, P. SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference 12.0 Update.
 5. Boston: Allyn & Bacon; 2005.
- Dunn J, Deater-Deckard K, Pickering K, et al. Children's adjustment and prosocial behaviour in step-, single-parent, and non-stepfamily settings: findings from a community study. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 1998;39:1083–1095. [PubMed: 9844979]
- 42. Pruchno RA. Raising grandchildren: the experience of Black and White grandmothers. Gerontologist 1999;39:209–221. [PubMed: 10224717]
- 43. Smith, GC.; Kohn, SJ.; Trepal, H. The effects of sampling strategies on family caregiving research: the case of custodial grandmothers. Presented at the 57th annual scientific meeting of the Gerontological Society of America; Washington, DC. Nov 19–23; 2004.

Table 1

Descriptive demographic statistics of grandmothers with custodial grandchildren (N=733)

Variable	Ν	%
Race ^a		
White	367	50
Black	366	50
Marital status		10
Married	352	48
Divorced	159	22 14
Widowed Single, never married	102 66	9
Separated	49	7
Living with partner (not married)	5	1
Education		
<5 years	5	1
5–8 years	19	3
Some high school	99	14
High school graduate	205	28
Some college	260	36
College graduate	95	13
Graduate or professional training Residential locale	50	7
Urban	350	48
Rural	238	33
Suburban	258	33 19
Other	4	19
Work status	Ŧ	
Not working	202	28
Working full- or part-time	329	45
Seeking employment	17	2
Retired	144	20
Full-time homemaker	41	6
Annual income		
<\$10,000	123	17
\$10,000-\$15,000	109	15
\$16,000-\$20,000	85	12
\$21,000-\$25,000	90	12
\$26,000-\$35,000	112 99	15 14
\$36,000–\$50,000 \$51,000–\$75,000	59	14 8
\$76,000-\$100,000	19	3
\$101,000 \$125,000	4	1
>\$125,000	5	1
Missing	28	4
Grandchild's age		
4–7 years	235	32
8–10 years	194	27
11–14 years	206	28
15–17 years	98	13
Formal legal arrangements		
Formal custody	271	37
Foster parent status	16	2
Adoption Currently seeking legal custody	90 69	12 9
Guardianship	215	9 29
None	72	10
Grandmother's relationship to grandchild's parent	12	10
Son	227	31
Daughter	482	66
Stepson	7	1
Stepdaughter	16	2
Missing data	1	0.1
Reasons for care ^b		
Child abandonment	205	28
Parental substance abuse	406	55
Physical or emotional abuse	201	27
Parents' incarceration	312	43
Removed from parental custody	225	31
Parents' HIV-AIDS	11	2
Parents' mental health problems	218	30
Other (not AIDS) health problems	114	16
Parents' teen pregnancy	133	18
One of the parents deceased	47	6

Smith and Palmieri

 a Quota sampling of black households through convenience involved contacting social service agencies and community organizations (especially churches) in predominantly black areas. Population-based quota sampling of blacks involved purchasing lists of randomly selected households in areas having high concentrations of black residents.

 b Respondents were asked to report any and all reasons for assuming care of the target grandchild that applied to their situation.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) scores for custodial grandchildren and for a normative sample of children from the 2001 National Health Table 2 Interview Survey^a

M SD M SD i df Standardized effectsi All grandchildren ^c Total difficulties 7:1 5.7 12.1 7.9 16.81 789 5.4 All grandchildren ^c 7:1 5.7 12.1 7.9 16.81 789 5.4 Total difficulties 1.6 1.8 2.6 2.4 14.43 789 5.4 Hyperactivity or inattention 2.8 2.5 4.4 3.0 14.06 5.5 Hyperactivity or inattention 2.8 2.5 2.4 14.48 789 5.4 Boys ^d 1.4 1.5 7.4 2.1 12.06 788 5.5 Boys ^d 7.6 2.1 2.2 2.1 12.06 788 5.5 Total difficulties 1.4 1.8 7.6 2.2 2.0 5.6 5.6 Boys ^d 7.6 2.7 2.2 12.01 8.6 5.7 5.6 5.6 5.7 5.6		
and SDQ scale 7.1 5.7 12.1 7.9 16.81 789 adchildten ^C 7.1 5.7 12.1 7.9 16.81 789 adchildten ^C 1.6 1.8 2.6 2.5 10.63 789 tional symptoms 1.6 2.6 2.4 10.63 789 tuct problems 1.3 1.6 2.6 2.4 10.63 789 problems 1.4 1.5 2.4 2.1 12.01 806 problems 1.4 1.5 2.4 2.1 12.01 806 problems 1.4 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 problems 1.4 1.8 2.6 2.4 2.1 12.66 788 call behavior 8.6 1.8 1.8 2.6 2.6 365 idifficulties 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61	Standardized effect size	95 % CI
adchildrer (difficulties7.15.712.17.916.81789total symptoms1.61.82.62.510.63789total symptoms1.61.82.62.414.43781tuct problems1.31.62.54.43.014.43781tuct problems1.41.52.42.112.66788809problems1.41.52.42.112.66788809problems1.41.87.62.212.01806problems1.41.87.62.212.01806problems1.41.87.62.212.01806problems1.41.82.62.62.58.73365total behavior3.22.62.62.72.6365365total symptoms1.41.72.82.410.61364problems1.51.67.42.29.50365problems1.72.82.62.79.50365problems1.72.92.62.72.29.50365problems1.72.92.62.72.29.50365problems1.72.92.62.72.29.50365problems1.72.92.62.72.62.72.62.6problems1.72.92.62.7 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
I difficulties7.15.712.17.916.81789tional symptoms1.61.82.62.510.63789tuct problems1.31.61.82.62.510.63789tuct problems1.31.62.54.43.014.43781tuct problems1.41.32.62.510.63789problems1.41.32.62.514.43781scial behavior8.61.87.62.212.01806scial behavior8.61.87.62.212.01806scial behavior8.61.87.62.22.6365tuct problems1.41.72.82.42.112.66365tuct problems1.41.72.82.42.0365sciul behavior3.22.62.72.29.50365sciul behavior3.22.62.72.72.29.50sciul behavior3.21.67.42.29.50365sciul behavior3.21.67.42.29.50365sciul behavior3.21.67.42.29.50365sciul behavior3.21.67.42.29.50365sciul behavior3.41.97.42.29.73415sciul behavior1.71.92.62.59.73415		
tional symptoms1.61.82.62.510.63789luct problems1.31.62.52.41.4.3781aractivity or inattention2.82.52.42.112.66788social behavior1.41.52.42.112.66788social behavior8.61.87.62.212.01806clafficulties7.55.913.18.112.66788clafficulties1.41.72.82.6365utonal symptoms1.41.72.82.410.61364aractivity or inattention3.22.65.03.110.49374problems1.51.62.72.29.50363cial behavior8.41.97.42.28.20376aractivity or inattention3.22.65.03.110.49374problems1.71.97.42.28.20363cial behavior8.41.97.42.28.20376aractivity or inattention1.71.97.42.69.73415fuct problems1.71.92.62.69.73415aractivity or inattention2.42.32.99.73415aractivity or inattention2.42.32.99.73415aractivity or inattention2.42.32.99.73415aractivity	5	.77–.93
Inct problems 1.3 1.6 2.6 2.4 1.4.3 781 raterivity or inattention 2.8 2.5 4.4 3.0 14.08 809 problems 1.4 1.5 2.4 2.1 12.66 788 orial behavior 8.6 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 orial behavior 8.6 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 orial behavior 8.6 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 idifficulties 7.5 5.9 13.1 8.1 12.66 788 ito problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.49 374 problems 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.49 374 problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 orial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 363 orial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4	+	.46–.61
ratcivity or inattention 2.8 2.5 4.4 3.0 14.08 809 problems 1.4 1.5 2.4 2.1 12.66 788 ocial behavior 8.6 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 noblems 1.4 1.5 2.4 2.1 12.66 788 ocial behavior 8.6 1.8 7.5 5.9 13.1 8.1 12.66 788 noblems 1.4 1.7 2.6 2.5 3.65 3.65 3.65 tional symptoms 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 3.64 noblems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 3.64 rativity or inattention 3.2 2.6 2.5 8.73 3.65 problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 3.64 noblems 1.4 1.7 2.6 2.7 2.0 3.74 problems 1.6 7.4 2.2 8.20 3.74 problems 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 3.74 notheres 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.73 3.74 problems 1.7 2.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 ind from a symptoms 1.7 2.6 2.7 2.9 9.73 415 intertorion 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 intertorion 2.4	8	.70–.86
problems 1.4 1.5 2.4 2.1 12.66 788 ocial behavior 8.6 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 difficulties 7.5 5.9 $1.3.1$ 8.1 12.56 365 tional symptoms 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 366 tional symptoms 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 365 tion problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 365 problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 365 problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 problems 1.5 1.6 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 problems 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 orial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376		.5571
Decision 8.6 1.8 7.6 2.2 12.01 806 Idifficulties 7.5 5.9 13.1 8.1 12.56 365 Incomol symptoms 1.4 1.8 2.6 2.5 8.73 365 Incomol symptoms 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 Incomol symptoms 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 365 Incomol symptoms 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 problems 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 376 problems 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 376 problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.7 2.2 9.73 415 idifficulties 6.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 incomal symptoms 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.6 9.73 415 ratio by thems 1.7 2.3 2.9 2.9 9.73 415 ratio bolems 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.9 9.73 415	5	.5772
Idifficulties7.55.913.18.112.56365fional symptoms 1.4 1.8 2.6 2.5 8.73 365 fuct problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 sractivity or inattention 3.2 2.6 5.0 3.1 10.49 374 problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 problems 1.5 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 problems 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 problems 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 uct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 uct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.6 6.96 427 uct problems 1.2 2.3 2.9 2.9 9.73 415 rativity or inattention 2.4 2.3 2.9 2.9 9.73 415	5	.47–.62
I difficulties 7.5 5.9 13.1 8.1 12.56 365 vional symptoms 1.4 1.8 2.6 2.5 8.73 365 actional symptoms 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 376 dot problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.6 2.7 2.2 9.73 415 duct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.7 2.9 2.96 4.21 duct problems		
ational symptoms 1.4 1.8 2.6 2.5 8.73 365 duct problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 eractivity or inattention 3.2 2.6 2.5 8.73 365 problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 problems 3.2 2.6 5.3 1.6 2.7 2.2 8.20 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 363 duct problems 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 duct problems 1.7 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 duct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.2 1.9 2.6 2.6 2.7 9.73 415 eractivity or inattention 2.4 2.3	2	.81 - 1.03
duct problems 1.4 1.7 2.8 2.4 10.61 364 eracivity or inattention 3.2 2.6 5.0 3.1 10.49 374 problems 1.5 1.6 5.0 3.1 10.49 374 problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 orial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 orial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 duct problems 6.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 duct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.2 1.9 2.6 2.3 2.9 2.9 4.05 eractivity or inattention 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.9 4.05 eractivity or inattention 2.4 2.3 2.9	5	.5476
eractivity or inattention 3.2 2.6 5.0 3.1 10.49 374 problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 difficulties 6.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 duot problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.2 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.2 1.5 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 eractivity or inattention 2.4 2.3 3.9 2.9 2.9 4.30	0	.69–.91
problems 1.5 1.6 2.7 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 9.50 363 ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 of difficulties 6.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 duct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.2 1.5 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 eractivity or inattention 2.4 2.3 3.9 2.9 9.73 415	8	.5779
ocial behavior 8.4 1.9 7.4 2.2 8.20 376 I difficulties 6.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 ational symptoms 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 atic problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 attrip or inattention 1.2 1.5 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 exercivity or inattention 2.4 2.3 3.9 2.9 9.08 430		.62–.84
I difficulties 6.6 5.3 11.1 7.6 11.48 421 utional symptoms 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 duct problems 1.2 1.5 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 eractivity or inattention 2.4 2.3 3.9 2.9 830 430 exactivity or inattention 1.3 1.5 2.3 3.9 2.9 430	2	.41–.63
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
ms 1.7 1.9 2.6 2.5 6.96 427 intention 1.2 1.5 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 attention 2.4 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 1.2 1.5 2.3 3.9 2.9 9.73 415 1.2 1.5 2.3 3.9 2.9 9.73 430 1.3 1.5 2.3 3.9 2.9 8.70 430	2	.7192
1.2 1.5 2.4 2.4 9.73 415 hattention 2.4 2.3 3.9 2.9 9.98 430 1.3 1.5 2.0 8.70 436	9	.3656
nattention 2.4 2.3 3.9 2.9 9.98 430	9	.6586
13 15 33 30 870 476	4	.5374
	8	.48–.69
ivor 8.8 1.6 7.8 2.1 9.20 427	1	.50–.71

b Possible scores for the total difficulties scale range from 0 to 40. Possible scores for all other SDQ subscales range from 0 to 10. For all SDQ scales, higher scores indicate greater levels of the measured construct.

 C N=9,878 grandchildren for the normative sample and N=733 grandchildren for the custodial sample.

 d N=5,080 boys for the normative sample and N=342 boys for the custodial sample.

 e^{0} N=4,978 girls for the normative sample and N=391 girls for the custodial sample.

Author Manuscript		andchildren's gender and grandmothers' race
NIH-PA Author Manuscript	Table 3	re scores of 733 custodial grandmothers, by grandchildren
NIH-PA Author Manuscript		Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire sco

	Grandc	Grandchildren's gender	gender					Grandm	Grandmother's race	e				
	Male (N=342)	Male N=342)	Fen (N=	Female (N=391)				White (N=367)	ite 67)	Black (N=366)	k 56			
	M	SD	M	SD	\mathbf{h}^{p}	Effect size	95% CI	W	SD	Μ	SD	\mathbf{h}^{p}	Effect size	95% CI
SDO scale ^d														
Total difficulties	13.1	8.1	11.1	7.6	.001	.26	.11 to .40	12.9	8.4	11.3	7.4	.007	.20	.06 to .35
Emotional symptoms	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.5	.86	00.	15 to . 15	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.2	~ 001	.25	.10 to .39
Conduct problems	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.4	.01	.17	.02 to .31	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.3	.871	00 [.]	14 to . 14
Hyperactivity or inattention	5.0	3.1	3.9	2.9	√ 00	.37	.22 to 51	4.7	3.2	4.1	2.9	600.	.20	.05 to .34
Peer problems	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.0	.006	.24	.09 to .38	2.6	2.3	2.3	1.9	.042	.14	.00 to .29
Prosocial behavior	7.4	2.2	7.8	2.1	.003	.19	.04 to .33	7.6	2.2	7.6	2.2	.730	00.	14 to .

Smith and Palmieri

^aPossible scores for the total difficulties scale range from 0 to 40. Possible scores for all other SDQ subscales range from 0 to 10. For all SDQ scales, higher scores indicate greater levels of the measured construct.

4

b Significance value for t test; a table showing full t test data is available as an online supplement at ps.psychiatryonline.org.

\leq
_
т.
_
0
>
2
~
2
<u> </u>
+
_
=
utho
—
•
_
<
-
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$
_
_
=
<u> </u>
10
8
0
\simeq
<u> </u>
9
-

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Summary for mean comparisons of Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) scores,^a by sample type Table 4

				Mean cor	fean comparisons, by group	by group						
	-		p_N	C versus P	Ь		C versus N	7		P versus N	Z	
SDO scola	C ⁰ (N=387) (M+SD)	P ^c (N=346) (M+SD)	(N= 9,878) (M+SD)	p ^e	\mathbf{ES}^{f}	95% CI	p ^e	\mathbf{ES}^{f}	95% CI	p ^e	ES^{f}	95% CI
Total difficulties	13.0±7.9	11.0 ± 7.9	7.1±5.7	.001	.25	.11–.40	<.001	1.02	.91-1.12	<.001	.67	.5778
Emotional symptoms	2.8 ± 2.4	2.4 ± 2.5	1.6 ± 1.8	.068	.16	.0231	<.001	.66	.5676	<.001	44.	.33–.54
Conduct problems	2.9 ± 2.4	2.4 ± 2.5	1.6 ± 1.8	.001	.25	.1140	<.001	76.	.87 - 1.08	<.001	.62	.5172
Hyperactivity or inattention	4.8 ± 3.0	4.1 ± 3.0	2.8 ± 2.5	.002	.23	.09–.38	<.001	.79	0669.	<.001	.52	.41–.62
Peer problems	2.6 ± 2.1	2.2 ± 2.1	1.4 ± 1.5	.023	.19	.0434	<.001	67.	.6889	<.001	.52	.42–.63
Prosocial behavior	7.5±2.3	7.7 ± 2.0	$8.6{\pm}1.8$.133	.05	.09–.24	<.001	.60	.5071	<.001	.50	.39–.61

^aPossible scores for the total difficulties scale range from 0 to 40. Possible scores for all other SDQ subscales range from 0 to 10. For all SDQ scales, higher scores indicate greater levels of the measured construct.

 b Convenience sample

^cPopulation-based sample

 $\boldsymbol{d}_{\text{Normative sample from the National Health Interview Survey}$

 e Significance value for t test; a table showing full t test data is available as an online supplement at ps.psychiatryonline.org.

 $f_{\rm Standardized}$ effect size

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 5

Banded scores on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire^a in regard to custodial grandchildren and results for the normative sample of the 2001 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)^b

	Low difficulties	culties			Medium d	Medium difficulties			High difficulties	culties		
	Custodial		SIHN		Custodial		SIHN		Custodial		SIHN	
	Range	% sample	Range	% sample	Range	% sample	Range	% sample	Range	% sample	Range	% sample
SDQ subscale												
Total difficulties	0-19	80.2	0-11	82	20–23	10.3	12 - 15	6	24–35	9.5	16-40	6
Emotional symptoms	0-5	85.0	0–3	86	9	6.7	4	9	7-10	8.3	5 - 10	8
Conduct problems	0-4	79.3	0^{-2}	81	5	6.6	б	6	6-10	14.1	4-10	10
Hyperactivity or inattention	0-7	80.2	0-5	85	8	6.8	9	9	9-10	13.0	7-10	6
Peer problems	4-0	83.2	0-2	80	5	6.4	ŝ	10	6-10	10.4	4-10	10
Prosocial behavior	6-10	82.8	8-10	79	S	7.1	6-7	14	0-4	10.1	0-5	7
a		-										
In some instances the range consisted of a single score.	ge consisted (ot a single score.										

Smith and Palmieri

b. U.S. children between ages four and 17 years formed the normative sample. Data source: 2001 National Health Interview Study as reported in Bourdon and colleagues (35).