

CP-657R

April 2004

RKR1 2.0

A Computer Program Implementing
the First-Order RKR Method for Determining
Diatomic Molecule Potential Energy Functions

Robert J. Le Roy

*Guelph-Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry
University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada
Electronic mail: leroy@UWaterloo.ca*

University of Waterloo

Chemical Physics Research Report

RKR1 2.0

A Computer Program Implementing the First-Order RKR Method for Determining Diatomic Molecule Potential Energy Functions

Robert J. Le Roy

*Guelph-Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry
University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada
Electronic mail: leroy@UWaterloo.ca*

This manual describes program **RKR1**, which utilizes the first-order semiclassical Rydberg-Klein-Rees procedure to determine a diatomic molecule potential energy function from a knowledge of its vibrational level energies G_v and inertial rotation constants B_v . **RKR1** allows the vibrational energies and rotational constants to be defined by conventional Dunham expansions, by near-dissociation expansions (NDE's), or by the "MXS" form of Tellinghuisen's [*J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 3532 (2003)] mixed Dunham/NDE functions. For a case in which only vibrational data are available, it also allows an overall potential to be constructed by combining directly-calculated well widths with inner turning points generated from a Morse function. It can also automatically smooth over irregular or unphysical behaviour of the steep inner wall of the potential.

©Robert J. Le Roy, 2003

I. INTRODUCTION

The Rydberg^{1,2}-Klein³-Rees⁴ or RKR method is an extremely widely used first-order semiclassical inversion procedure for determining diatomic molecule potential energy curves from a knowledge of vibrational level energies G_v and inertial rotation constants B_v . Within the first-order semiclassical or WKB approximation,⁵ this method yields a unique potential energy function which *exactly* reflects the input functions representing the v -dependence of G_v and B_v . This manual describes the use of program **RKR1** for performing such calculations.

In spite of its now ubiquitous use, the success of the RKR method was slow in coming. Rydberg's 1931 publication¹ of the original graphical trial-and-error version of this procedure was followed promptly by Klein's derivation³ of the integral expressions which are at the core of the method as we know it today (indeed his procedure had such elegant appeal that it was inadvertently re-invented some 4 decades later⁶). However, while the method did see some use,² for the next two decades it was largely ignored. This oversight was probably mainly due to the startling success of Dunham's 1932 derivation of exact (within the third-order WKB approximation) analytic expressions relating the coefficients of a power series expansion for the potential energy function to the coefficients of the conventional expansion for vibrational-rotational energies as a double power series in $(v + \frac{1}{2})$ and $[J(J + 1)]$. By the early 1950's, however, the practical limitations of the Dunham approach, particularly its restriction to the lower part of the potential well, began to make themselves felt.

In 1947, Rees led subsequent work on this problem by turning his attention to the integral expressions for the turning points derived by Klein.⁴ Followed by a host of other workers over the next decade and a half, he devised analytic expressions for these integrals based on truncated or approximate local representations for the vibrational energies and rotational constants. However, what really changed the situation was Jarman's 1961 proposal that these integrals simply be evaluated numerically,⁷ and the formal proof that the RKR and first-order Dunham procedures were equivalent.⁸ In the early 1960's a number of numerical techniques for evaluating the Klein integrals were proposed and saw service. In particular, Zare's development and generous distribution of his program effectively made it the *de facto* standard,^{9,10} and contributed immensely to the infectious spread of the method throughout the spectroscopy and molecular physics communities. However, as with virtually all methods in use before 1972, his code was based on a relatively crude treatment of the (integrable) singularities in the integrands of the Klein integrals (see below), and was incapable of yielding results of high precision.

Finally, a single 1972 issue of the *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* contained three papers reporting accurate and efficient new procedures for evaluating the Klein integrals.¹¹⁻¹³ Of these, the method of Tellinghuisen^{13,14} has proved most durable, probably because of its simplicity and very high potential accuracy. The present program is based on the quadrature procedure suggested by Tellinghuisen, but incorporates a number of enhancements not included in other codes. These include: (i) an improved ability to yield accurate results for levels lying near dissociation, (ii) an automatic procedure for smoothing to remove the unphysical behaviour sometimes found in the upper part of the inner wall of directly-calculated RKR potentials, (iii) the ability to generate complete potentials when only vibrational energies are available (another procedure introduced by Tellinghuisen¹⁵), and (iv) provision of upper bounds on the numerical precision of the calculations.

The current version of the (thoroughly commented) source code for **RKR1** may be obtained by filling in the form accessible from the "**RKR1**" link from the "Computer Programs" link on the www page <http://leroy.UWaterloo.ca>; a PDF file of this manual is also available there. While, there are no charges associated with distribution or use of this program, its use should be acknowledged in publications through reference to this report using a citation such as that of Ref. 16. Users are also requested *not* to distribute

the program themselves, but to refer other prospective users to the above web site or to the author. I would also appreciate having users inform me of any apparent errors or instabilities in the code, and of additional features which might appear desirable for future versions.

II. METHODOLOGY

A Background Theory

The theory underlying the RKR method is discussed in the literature and in a number of monographs.^{1-6,8,17-21} For the interested reader, a full derivation of the basic equations is presented in Appendix A. The key result of this theory consists of the two Klein integrals on which the RKR method is based:

$$r_2(v) - r_1(v) = 2\sqrt{\mathbb{C}_u/\mu} \int_{v_{\min}}^v \frac{dv'}{[G_v - G_{v'}]^{1/2}} = 2f \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{r_1(v)} - \frac{1}{r_2(v)} = 2\sqrt{\mu/\mathbb{C}_u} \int_{v_{\min}}^v \frac{B_{v'} dv'}{[G_v - G_{v'}]^{1/2}} = 2g \quad (2)$$

where $r_1(v)$ and $r_2(v)$ are the inner and outer classical turning points of the potential for vibrational level v with energy G_v , B_v is the inertial rotational constant for that vibrational level, and v_{\min} is the non-integer effective value of the vibrational quantum number at the potential minimum. In this expression G_v and B_v are assumed to have units cm^{-1} , the reduced mass μ is in amu, and the turning points are in Å, so the constant $\mathbb{C}_u = \hbar^2/2 = 16.85762909 [\text{amu} \text{Å}^2 \text{cm}^{-1}]$. Program **RKR1** defines μ as Watson's charge-modified reduced mass $\mu = \mu_W \equiv M_A M_B / (M_A + M_B - Q m_e)$,²² in which M_A and M_B are the normal atomic isotope masses, m_e is the electron mass, and Q is the integer net charge on the molecule. The numerical values of \mathbb{C}_u and m_e used in the program are based on the current recommended physical constants,²³ while the masses of all stable atomic isotopes (provided in the program by a data subroutine) are taken from the 1993 compilation.²⁴ Rearrangement of Eqs. (1) and (2) gives the final expressions:

$$r_1(v) = \sqrt{f^2 + f/g} - f \quad (3)$$

$$r_2(v) = \sqrt{f^2 + f/g} + f \quad (4)$$

It is important to remember that although the semiclassical quantization condition maps integer values of v onto the quantized vibrational energy levels, within the semiclassical approach v may be treated as a continuous variable. This is illustrated by the fact that within the first-order RKR method the lower bound of integration defined by the potential minimum is associated with $v_{\min} = -\frac{1}{2}$, while in higher-order semiclassical treatments it is a real number close to $-\frac{1}{2}$. More generally, it means that turning points may be calculated at either integer or non-integer values of v . This is an important point, since if turning points could only be calculated for integer values of v one would have a relatively sparse set of points to define the potential, which in turn would make them difficult to use for precise numerical calculations. For this reason program **RKR1** allows a user to specify different vibrational intervals at which turning points are to be calculated in different regions of the potential well.

Finally, it is also important to remember that the normal RKR inversion procedure is only exact within the first-order semiclassical or WKB approximation. This approximation is sufficiently accurate that for heavy (large reduced mass) molecules, quantum mechanical level spacings and B_v values calculated (numerically) from the resulting potentials usually agree with the experimentally determined input G_v and B_v functions to within the experimental uncertainties. On the other hand, for hydrides one often finds significant discrepancies between such results and the 'exact' experimental values of those quantities defined by those input functions. More accurate RKR-type methods based on higher-order semiclassical

quantization conditions have been reported,^{19,25,26} but none has (yet!) been implemented in a robust, documented, publicly available code.

In spite of the above, an approximate way of attempting to go beyond the first-order semiclassical method is implemented as an option in **RKR1**. First introduced in 1970 by E.W. Kaiser,²⁷ it is based on the fact that within the third-order semiclassical quantization condition^{5,19,26,28} the value of v associated with the the potential minimum is (approximately)

$$v_{\min} = -\frac{1}{2} - Y_{0,0}/Y_{1,0} \quad (5)$$

where $Y_{1,0} \equiv dG_v/dv|_{v=-\frac{1}{2}}$ and^{29,30}

$$Y_{0,0} = \frac{Y_{0,1} + Y_{2,0}}{4} - \left(\frac{Y_{1,1} Y_{1,0}}{12 Y_{0,1}} \right) + \frac{1}{Y_{0,1}} \left(\frac{Y_{1,1} Y_{1,0}}{12 Y_{0,1}} \right)^2 \quad (6)$$

and the $Y_{l,m}$ constants for $\{l, m\} \neq \{0, 0\}$ are defined by the normal Dunham power series expressions for G_v and B_v (see below), or more generally by the appropriate derivatives of the vibration-rotation energy with respect to v and $[J(J+1)]$, evaluated at $v = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $J = 0$. This ‘‘Kaiser correction’’ has often been used when calculating RKR potentials, especially for small reduced mass species such as hydrides, for which values of $Y_{0,0}$ tend to be relatively large.

Use of the Kaiser correction would superficially appear to be ‘obviously’ better than a basic first-order treatment, in that it takes at least some account of higher-order semiclassical effects. However, detailed numerical studies (unpublished student exercises in a U. Waterloo grad course) indicate that its use does not necessarily yield a potential curve whose quantum-calculated vibrational level spacings and B_v values are in better agreement with the input G_v and B_v functions than are those obtained from a ‘basic’ first-order calculation. The reason for this is the fact that the Kaiser correction effectively assumes that contributions to the quantization condition from the higher-order phase integrals are constant, independent of v , which is certainly not true in general.³¹ Thus, a user of **RKR1** who chooses to invoke the Kaiser correction to try to obtain highly accurate results would be advised to perform quantum calculations on the resulting potential³² to examine whether or not its use actually improved the level of agreement with the G_v and B_v functions used to generate the potential.

In any case, independent of whether or not the Kaiser correction is used, the zero of energy for turning points generated by **RKR1** is the energy associated with the value of $v_{\min} \equiv v00$ shown in the program output (e.g., see Appendix C).

B Representations for G_v and for B_v

RKR1 offers a user three possible ways of representing the v -dependence of the vibrational energies G_v and the inertial rotational constants B_v , where the choice of representation for any particular case is specified by parameters in the input data file.

1. Dunham Expansions.

The first type of representation for G_v and B_v is the conventional power series in $(v + \frac{1}{2})$ associated with Dunham:^{29,30}

$$G_v = \sum_{l=1} Y_{l,0} (v + \frac{1}{2})^l = \omega_e (v + \frac{1}{2}) - \omega_e x_e (v + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \omega_e y_e (v + \frac{1}{2})^3 + \dots \quad (7)$$

$$B_v = \sum_{l=0} Y_{l,1} (v + \frac{1}{2})^l = B_e - \alpha_e (v + \frac{1}{2}) + \gamma_e (v + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \dots \quad (8)$$

2. Near-Dissociation Expansions (NDE's).

The second type of functional representation allowed by **RKR1** is pure “near-dissociation expansions” (NDE's), which incorporate the theoretically-known limiting near-dissociation behaviour of G_v or B_v into an expression which includes empirical parameters to be determined from the experimental data.^{33–39} Just as conventional Dunham expressions are expansions about the limiting case of harmonic-oscillator/rigid-rotor behaviour at the potential minimum, NDE's are expansions about the theoretically-known limiting functional behaviour near dissociation, and hence are much more effective for extrapolating to high v beyond the range of observed data^{37,38,40} and/or compactly representing data sets spanning a large fraction of the potential well.^{36,39} The rest of this subsection describes the NDE expressions and their parameterization in program **RKR1**; users not familiar with this approach may wish to review some of the associated theory and review papers^{26,33–35,38,41–43} and illustrative applications of this approach.^{37,39,44–47}

The theory underlying NDE expressions for vibrational energies, rotational constants and other properties of vibrational levels lying near dissociation is based on the fact that properties of such levels depend mainly on the shape of the potential energy function near their outer turning points.^{33,34} As a result, their v -dependence is mainly determined by the limiting asymptotic behaviour of the intermolecular potential, which usually has the simple attractive inverse-power form

$$V(r) = \mathfrak{D} - C_n/r^n \quad (9)$$

where \mathfrak{D} is the energy at the dissociation limit, the (known) power n is determined by the nature of the electronic states of the atoms formed when the given molecular state dissociates,^{34,42} and reliable values of the limiting long-range coefficient C_n are often known from theory.

The NDE expressions for G_v and B_v are usually written as

$$G_v = \mathfrak{D} - K_0^\infty(v) \times \mathcal{F}_0(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v) \quad (10)$$

$$B_v = K_1^\infty(v) \times \mathcal{F}_1(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v) \quad (11)$$

in which $v_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is the (non-integer) effective vibrational index at dissociation and $\mathcal{F}_m(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)$ are empirically determined expressions which are required to approach unity as $v \rightarrow v_{\mathfrak{D}}$. The theoretically-known limiting near-dissociation behaviour of $[\mathfrak{D} - G_v]$ and B_v incorporated in these functions is given by

$$K_m^\infty(v) = X_m(n, C_n, \mu) \times (v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)^{[2n/(n-2)]-2m} \quad (12)$$

in which $X_m(n, C_n, \mu) = \bar{X}_m(n)/[(\mu)^n (C_n)^2]^{1/(n-2)}$ and $\bar{X}_m(n)$ is a known numerical factor depending only on the physical constants and the value of the integer n .^{26,34,35}

The empirically-determined functions $\mathcal{F}_m(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)$ used by **RKR1** have one of the forms

$$\mathcal{F}_m(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v) = \left(\frac{1 + \sum_{i=t}^L p_i^m (v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)^i}{1 + \sum_{j=t}^M q_j^m (v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)^j} \right)^{\mathcal{S}} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_m(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=t}^L p_i^m (v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)^i \right\} \quad (14)$$

In the rational polynomial expression of Eq. (13) the exponent power \mathcal{S} is either $\mathcal{S} = 1$, yielding what is called an “outer” expansion, or $\mathcal{S} = 2n/(n-2)$, yielding an “inner” expansion, and the most appropriate choice of the power t of the leading expansion is often known from theory.^{43,48}

While they are somewhat more complicated to use, NDE expressions have two particular advantages over Dunham expansions.

- Because they explicitly incorporate the theoretically-known limiting near-dissociation behaviour of vibrational energies and other properties, they are much more reliable for extrapolating to predict the dissociation energy and the number, energies and properties of unobserved high vibrational levels. This means that they allow the calculation of realistic and reasonable reliable turning points in the region between the highest observed vibrational level and the dissociation limit. In contrast, as with all polynomial functions, Dunham expansions are notoriously unreliable for performing extrapolations, and for them even the turning points calculated for the highest observed levels may not be reliable.⁴⁹
- For systems in which the experimental data span a large fraction of the potential well, NDE's tend to be more compact than Dunham expansions which yield equivalent quality fits, since the dense manifold of levels near dissociation is represented by a relatively small number of empirical expansion parameters.

3. “MXS” Mixed Near-Dissociation/Dunham Expansions.

In spite of their numerous advantages, applications of NDE representations to states with large numbers of vibrational levels tend to encounter difficulties. Tellinghuisen has shown that these problems could be resolved by using mixed representations consisting of Dunham-type power series for levels spanning the lower part of the potential energy well and NDE expansions for levels lying near dissociation.^{50,51} In particular, his “MXS” mixed representation functions use

- a normal Dunham polynomials in $(v + \frac{1}{2})$ for $v \lesssim v_s$ and
- a near dissociation expansions for $v \gtrsim v_s$,

and merges them at a chosen switch-over point $v = v_s$ using the switching function

$$F_s(v) = \left\{ 1 + \exp\left(\frac{v - v_s}{\delta v_s}\right) \right\}^{-1} \quad (15)$$

to yield the MXS expressions

$$G_v^{\text{MXS}} = F_s(v) G_v^{\text{Dun}} + [1 - F_s(v)] G_v^{\text{NDE}} \quad (16)$$

$$B_v^{\text{MXS}} = F_s(v) G_v^{\text{Dun}} + [1 - F_s(v)] B_v^{\text{NDE}} \quad (17)$$

Thus, to specify an MXS expansion it is necessary to input the number and values of the Dunham coefficients, the physical (\mathfrak{D} and $v_{\mathfrak{D}}$) and empirical parameters defining the NDE function, and the values of the parameters v_s and δv_s defining the switching function. Note too that because of the sensitivity of the function to their values, the input values of $v_{\mathfrak{D}}$, v_s and δv_s should all be input using floating point “d” format (e.g., $v_s = 27.0\text{d}0$).

Note that the type of representation **RKR1** uses for B_v need not be the same at that used for G_v ; however, the former cannot be more sophisticated than the latter. In particular: if G_v is represented by a Dunham expansion, the same must be true for B_v ; if G_v is represented by an NDE function, B_v may be represented by either a Dunham or an NDE function; if G_v is represented by an MXS function, B_v may be represented using any of the three type of functions.

C Evaluating the f and g Integrals

As mentioned above, early applications of the Klein inversion integrals Eqs. (1) and (2) had difficulty dealing with the (integrable) integrand singularity at the upper end of the range of integration. Tellinghuisen pointed out^{13,14} that this problem is completely removed by use of the Gauss-Mehler quadrature formula,^{52,53} as its points and weights implicitly take account of this behaviour. In particular, the f integral of Eq. (1) is readily rearranged to the form:

$$f = \sqrt{C_u/\mu} \int_{v_{\min}}^v \frac{[v - v']^{1/2}}{[G_v - G_{v'}]^{1/2}} \frac{dv'}{[v - v']^{1/2}} = \sqrt{C_u/\mu} \int_{v_{\min}}^v p(v') \frac{dv'}{[v - v']^{1/2}} \quad (18)$$

where the function $p(v') = [(v - v')/(G_v - G_{v'})]^{1/2}$ is well behaved (smooth, with no singularities) on the entire interval; this is precisely the form required by the Gauss-Mehler quadrature formula.⁵³

Tellinghuisen showed that for vibrational levels extending 80% of the way to dissociation, use of the Gauss-Mehler formula with only four quadrature points typically yields f and g integrals with an accuracy of better than 1 part in 10^7 .^{13,14} However, he also showed that the error grows rapidly for the higher vibrational levels, and it is important to ensure that these errors do not become unacceptably large. Moreover, many applications require (or at least desire) potentials which are smooth to virtually machine precision. Thus, there is a need for an enhanced procedure which can yield both higher accuracy and some indication of the precision of the resulting turning points.

The most obvious way of increasing the accuracy of any integration procedure is to simply increase the number of quadrature points used. However, for a Gaussian-type procedure whose points and weights are not readily generated analytically, it can be very inconvenient to attempt to make ever-higher-order quadrature formulae available for testing and improving the accuracy of a desired result. The present program takes an alternate approach which is based on use of a fixed number of quadrature points in the numerical integration procedure(s), but with the overall integration interval being repeatedly subdivided into smaller intervals until the total integral converges.

Since the singularities in the integrands in Eqs. (1) and (2) lie at the upper end of the range, dividing the interval in half will yield two types of subintervals: in the first, $[v_{\min}, (v_{\min} + v)/2]$, the integrand is everywhere well behaved, so the ordinary Gauss-Legendre quadrature formula will suffice (See § 25.4.30 of Ref. 52); in the second, $[(v_{\min} + v)/2, v]$, the integrand has the same singular behaviour as when the whole interval is treated as one, and the Gauss-Mehler procedure is again appropriate. If one repeatedly bisects all subintervals, after the m^{th} stage of subdivision the first $(2^m - 1)$ subintervals may be treated using the ordinary Gauss-Legendre procedure, while only the last one requires use of the Gauss-Mehler points and weights. Consideration of the error term associated with the ordinary Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme indicates that if an N -point quadrature is being performed on each subinterval, the overall error decreases by a factor of $1/2^{N-2}$ with each stage of subdivision. For $N = 16$, this corresponds to an increase in accuracy by more than four orders of magnitude at each bisection, while only one set of points and weights (of each type) needs to be stored. Thus, both rapid convergence and programming simplicity are achieved.

In the present version of program **RKR1**, N has been set at 16, and the necessary Gauss-Legendre and Gauss-Mehler quadrature points and weights are prepared (in subroutine WGHT) on first entering the program. At each energy G_v for which turning points are desired, the program begins by using a single $N = 16$ point Gauss-Mehler quadrature to evaluate the integrals of Eqs (1) and (2). The interval is then divided in half, the appropriate quadrature schemes applied in the two parts, and the results summed. The relative changes in the two integrals $\text{tst}(f)$ and $\text{tst}(g)$ are then calculated and compared with an internally specified convergence criterion, TOLER. This iterative subdivision is then repeated until the convergence criterion is satisfied. When it is, the turning points are calculated from Eqs. (35) and (34)

and printed out, together with the final values of $\text{tst}(f)$ and $\text{tst}(g)$ and the total number of subintervals used in the final iteration cycle, $\text{NDIV} = 2^m$. Thus, turning points generated by the present program are accompanied by estimated upper bounds to their numerical error.

In a procedure such as this, the convergence criterion **TOLER** would usually be set at a small number, such as 1×10^{-10} . For many computers, however, optimum convergence is achieved by simply requiring the iteration cycle to continue until the accumulative effect of numerical truncations causes the magnitude of $\text{tst}(f)$ or $\text{tst}(g)$ to *increase* from its value for the preceding cycle. Both of these convergence tests are used in **RKR1**. The first is based on a relative convergence test of $\text{TOLER} = 10^{-\text{KCONV}}$, where the value of **KCONV** (currently = 10) is set in line 60 of the code (and may be modified by the user). If this criterion is made too demanding (say, **KCONV** = 16), the relative truncation error criterion takes over.

The approach described above is implemented in **RKR1**, and it provides a reliable and stable integration procedure for virtually all cases. The only situations in which complete numerical convergence is not achieved are those in which a substantial loss of significant digits occurs in the calculation of $[G_v - G_{v'}]$ or B_v , either because the level whose turning points are being calculated lies very close to the dissociation limit or to the potential minimum, or because of a loss of significant digits when adding large terms of opposite sign. Examples of such behaviour are found in the illustrative cases discussed in Appendices B and C. We note that these anomalies could be removed by the use of quadruple precision (**REAL*16**) arithmetic in the program. However, the loss of accuracy due to these problems is not significant relative to the uncertainties in the first-order RKR method itself, and a **REAL*16** implementation of the code would inhibit its use by some researchers, so printout of occasional warning messages due to this problem are deemed an tolerable irritation.

D Smoothing Over an Irregular Inner Potential Wall

Inadequacies in the experimentally-derived functions characterizing G_v and B_v will of course give rise to errors in calculated RKR turning points. Since the repulsive inner wall of the potential is very steep, especially at high vibrational energies, these errors sometimes manifest themselves as non-physical behaviour in this region. For example, rather than have a (negative) slope and slowly varying positive curvature, this inner wall may pass through an inflection point and take on negative curvature, or the wall may even turn outward with increasing energy, with the algebraic sign of the slope becoming positive. Occasional papers in the literature have accepted behaviour such as inflection and negative curvature or “wiggling” of the inner wall as being real, and attempted to explain it in terms of potential curve crossings or related effects. However, it usually merely reflects inadequacies of the molecular constants used in the calculation.^{54–57}

Except for the zero point level of the ground state, whose properties may sometimes be determined by microwave spectroscopy, experimental data often define the G_v function with greater relative accuracy than the B_v function. For this reason, irregular behaviour of the inner wall of an RKR potential is usually attributed to inadequacies in the latter.^{54,56} (Indeed, minimization of such irregularities has been proposed as a means of improving otherwise poorly-known rotational constants.⁵⁴) However, whatever the source of the problem, a modest degree of inappropriate behaviour of either the G_v or B_v function will give rise to non-physical behaviour of the inner wall of the potential, since the expected monotonic increase in slope with energy makes even very small errors in the f and/or g integrals manifestly obvious there. At the same time, while small relative errors in the f or g integral would make the curvature or slope of the inner wall at high energy change in an unacceptable non-physical manner, the rapid growth of the f integral with increasing G_v means that the width of the potential $[r_2(v) - r_1(v)]$ as a function of energy may still be relatively well defined by Eq. (1), even when the directly calculated inner potential wall is unreliable. Thus, combining this directly-calculated well-width function with a reasonable extrapolated inner potential

wall (a procedure first introduced by Verma⁵⁸) then yields a ‘best’ estimate of the upper portion of the potential yielded by the available data. Program **RKR1** incorporates the following automatic procedure for doing this.

As a first step, it is necessary to determine whether or not the directly-calculated inner potential wall displays irregular behaviour, and if it does, to locate its onset. **RKR1** accomplishes this in the following way. The turning point calculation normally starts near the potential minimum and proceeds monotonically to successively higher energies. On completion of the calculation for each value of v , the program fits the inner turning point for that case and those for the two closest smaller v values to the function

$$V_{\text{inner}}(r) = A + B e^{-C r} \quad (19)$$

and the value of the resulting exponent parameter $C \equiv \mathcal{C}(\text{exp})$ is printed with the turning points in the main output file. If the inner wall is well-behaved, the resulting values of $\mathcal{C}(\text{exp})$ will be positive and will vary slowly from one level to the next. However, if the wall passes through a point of inflection $\mathcal{C}(\text{exp})$ will change sign, while if the wall begins to double over outward, it will grow rapidly and become quite large before the slope becomes positive. Thus, the behaviour of $\mathcal{C}(\text{exp})$ is the required indicator of the onset of non-physical behaviour (see sample outputs in Appendix C).

To correct for such irregular behaviour by imposing a smooth inner wall on the potential in the affected region, the user must first perform an RKR calculation while setting input parameter $\text{VEXT} \leq 0$ (see READ statement #16 in §IV). Examination of the behaviour of the $\mathcal{C}(\text{exp})$ values listed in the program output then allows one to determine whether there exists some energy above which the directly-calculated inner potential wall can no longer be trusted. If so, the program should be re-run with the value of VEXT set equal to the vibrational index associated with that energy. When this is done, inner turning points for levels $v \geq \text{VEXT}$ will be calculated from Eq. (19) using the values of the constants A , B and C associated with the three largest v values for which $v \leq \text{VEXT}$, and the corresponding outer turning points obtained by adding $2f$ to the associate inner turning point. When this is done, the program also prints the values of the displacements $d(\text{RMIN})$ of the directly calculated turning points which yield the desired smoothing of the directly-calculated value of $\text{RMIN} = r_1(v)$. Examples of this type of correction are found in the sample output listings in Appendix C.

E Determining a Potential in the Absence of Rotational Constants

If no experimental B_v values are available for the system of interest, the g integrals of Eq. (2) may not be evaluated. However, directly calculated values of the f integral of Eq. (1) may be combined with an assumed inner potential wall and used to generate a realistic overall potential. Following an approach recommended by Tellinghuisen for this type of situation,¹⁵ the present program can automatically generate the inner potential wall from a Morse function

$$V_{\text{Morse}}(r) = \mathcal{D}_e [e^{-\beta(r-r_e)} - 1]^2 \quad (20)$$

and generate the corresponding outer turning points by adding values of $2f$ calculated from Eq. (1) to the Morse-function inner turning point at each specified energy.

This option is invoked in **RKR1** by setting input parameter $\text{NDEBv} = -1$ and reading in a value for the Morse potential equilibrium distance r_e [Å]. The program then uses the first two derivatives of the vibrational energy at the potential minimum ($Y_{1,0} = \omega_e$ and $Y_{2,0} = -\omega_e x_e$) to determine the apparent well depth \mathcal{D}_e and exponent parameters β which define the Morse function which will then be used to generate the required inner turning point values at the specified energies.

III. USING RKR1: UNITS, ARRAY DIMENSIONS AND INPUT/OUTPUT

All input or output quantities associated with program **RKR1** are either dimensionless or have units with energy in cm^{-1} and lengths in \AA . As mentioned earlier, the electron and atomic masses and the physical constants used to define the constant C_u appearing in Eqs. (1) and (2) were taken from the most recent compilations.^{23,24} For all stable isotopes of all atoms, the masses are found in the data subroutine **MASSES**, so all a user need specify in the input is the atomic number and mass number of each atom of each isotopomer considered in the analysis.

In the current version of the program, the array dimensioning allows for the calculation of pairs of turning points for up to 500 vibrational levels, and for Dunham or NDE polynomial expansions of order up to 20. However, a user may readily change this by making appropriate modifications to the array dimensions in lines 36, 37, 40 and 44 of the source code.

RKR1 reads the input data file in free format on channel-5 (e.g., `READ(5,*) ...`). The structure of the requisite data file and precise definitions of the various program options are presented below in §IV. The program writes standard output to channel-6 and a supplementary output file to channel-7. The standard output written to channel-6 presents a complete description of the input data and lists the calculated turning points and upper bounds on the precision of the calculated f and g integrals.

While the main channel-6 output file contains both the numerical results and a detailed description of the input data file, the turning points are listed there in pairs as a function of v , a format not convenient for use as input to other programs. The channel-7 output file therefore provides a compact listing of those turning points, supplemented by the value of r_e defined by the value of B_v at $v = v_{\min}$, arranged in order of increasing distance. In addition, to facilitate use of the resulting potential array for making plots or for numerical calculations, when appropriate, five additional inner extrapolated points are generated from Eq. (19) and included as the innermost points in that channel-7 output array.

If one is executing **RKR1** in a UNIX or Linux operating system environment, it may be convenient to do so using a shell (named, say, `rrkr`) such as that shown below, which may be stored in the system or user's 'bin' directory:

```
# UNIX shell 'rrkr' to execute the compiled version of program RKR1 named
# rkr.x, which is stored in the directory /userpath/. The channel-5 input
# data file $1.5 and the output files $1.6 & $1.7 are all assumed to be
# in the current directory. For an input datafile named molec.5 this
# shell causes the channel--6 and 7 output to be written to files
# molec.7 and molec.7
time /userpath/rkr.x < $1.5 > $1.6
mv fort.7 $1.7 >& /dev/null
```

where `userpath` is a path specifying the location of the executable file `rkr.x` on the user's computer. This shell allows the program to be executed using the input file 'molec.5' with the simple command:

```
rrkr molec
```

where `molec.5` is the data file containing the instructions regarding the type of fit to be performed, and `molec` is a filename which may be chosen arbitrarily by the user. In this case the standard output to channel-6 will be written to file `molec.6` and the channel-7 output to file `molec.7` in the same directory as the `molec.5` channel-5 input data file.

IV. DATA FILE STRUCTURE AND INPUT PARAMETER DEFINITIONS

The logical structure and read statements defining the channel-5 input data file describing the system to be treated, and providing all necessary system-specific parameters, is shown below. The following subsection then provides a detailed description of the nature of and/or options associated with each of the input variables.

```

#1 READ(5,*,END=99) IAN1, IMN1, IAN2, IMN2, CHARGE, NDEGV, NDEBV
#2a IF((IAN1.LE.0).OR.(IAN1.GT.109) READ(5,*) NAME1, MASS1
#2b IF((IAN2.LE.0).OR.(IAN2.GT.109) READ(5,*) NAME2, MASS2
#3 READ(5,*) TITLE
   IF((NDEGV.EQ.0).OR.(NDEGV.EQ.2)) THEN
#4     READ(5,*) LMAXGV
#5     READ(5,*) (YLO(L),L= 1,LMAXGV)
       ENDIF
#6 IF(NDEGV.GE.2) READ(5,*) VS, DVS, DLIM
   IF(NDEGV.GE.1) THEN
#7     READ(5,*) NLR, ITYPE, IZPO, IZQ0, NPO, NQ0, VD, XCNO
#8     IF(NPO.GT.0) READ(5,*) (PO(I),I= 1,NPO)
#9     IF(NQ0.GT.0) READ(5,*) (QO(I),I= 1,NQ0)
       ENDIF
#10 IF(NDEBV.LT.0) READ(5,*) Req
    IF((NDEBV.EQ.0).OR.(NDEBV.EQ.2)) THEN
#11     READ(5,*) LMAXBV
#12     IF(LMAXBV.GE.0) READ(5,*) (YL1(L),L= 0,LMAXBV)
        ENDIF
    IF(NDEBV.GE.1) THEN
#13     READ(5,*) ITYPB, IZP1, IZQ1, NP1, NQ1, XCN1
#14     IF(NP1.GT.0) READ(5,*) (P1(I),I= 1,NP1)
#15     IF(NQ1.GT.0) READ(5,*) (Q1(I),I= 1,NP1)
        ENDIF
#16 READ(5,*) Kaiser, NSV, VEXT
    DO J= 1,NSV
#17     READ(5,*) V1(I), DV(I), V2(I)
    ENDDO

```

Definitions and Descriptions of Input File Data

Read integers identifying the molecule or system.

#1. READ(5,*) IAN1, IMN1, IAN2, IMN2, CHARGE, NDEGV, NDEBV

IAN1 & IAN2: integer atomic numbers of the atoms/particles #1 & 2 forming the molecule. If both are positive and ≤ 109 , atomic masses from the tabulation in subroutine MASSES will generate the reduced mass of the system. If either is ≤ 0 or > 109 , the mass of that particle must be input via READ statement #2.

IMN1 & IMN2: integer mass numbers of the atoms #1 & 2 forming the molecule. For a normal stable atomic isotope, the mass is taken from the tabulation in subroutine MASSES; if IMN1 or IMN2 lies outside the range for the normal stable isotopes of that atom, the abundance-averaged atomic mass is used.

CHARGE: \pm integer for the total charge on the molecule. Used to generate Watson's charge-modified reduce mass for neutral or ionic molecules:²² $\mu = \mu_W = M_A M_B / (M_A + M_B - m_e \times \text{CHARGE})$.

NDEGV: specifies whether G_v for this state is to be represented: a) by the Dunham expansion of Eq. (7) when NDEGV = 0, b) by the NDE expressions of Eqs. (10) and (12)-(14), when NDEGV = 1, or c) by the Tellinghuisen-type MXS "mixed" representation of Eq. (16) when NDEGV = 2.

NDEBV: specifies whether B_v for this state is to be represented: a) by the Dunham expansion of Eqs. (8) when NDEBV = 0, b) by the NDE expressions of Eqs. (11) and (12)-(14), when

NDEB_v = 1, or c) by the Tellinghuisen-type MXS “mixed” representation of Eq.(17) when NDEB_v ≥ 2. If no rotational data are available and a potential is to be generated using a Morse function inner wall (see §II.E), one should set NDEB_v = -1. Note than necessarily NDEB_v ≤ NDEG_v.

In the special case when IAN1 and/or IAN2 is either ≤ 0 or > 109, we read in a two-character alphanumeric name for that particle and its mass (in amu). This facilitates the treatment of model systems or of exotic species such as muonium or positronium “molecules”.

#2.a IF((IAN1.LE.0).OR.(IAN1.GT.109)) READ(5,*) NAME1, MASS1

#2.b IF((IAN2.LE.0).OR.(IAN2.GT.109)) READ(5,*) NAME2, MASS2

NAME1 & NAME2: a two-character alphanumeric name for the particle whose mass is being read, enclosed in single quotes, as in ‘mu’.

MASS1 & MASS2: the masses (in amu) of the particles.

Read a title or output header for the calculation, consisting of up to 78 characters on a single line enclosed between single quotes: e.g., ‘title of problem’.

#3. READ(5,*) TITLE

Representation for the vibrational energies G_v

READ statements #4 – 9 are concerned with the three possible ways of representing G_v : #4 & 5 are used for a pure Dunham function, #7 – 9 for a pure NDE function, and all of #4 – 9 are used for an MXS function.

If Dunham or MXS expansions are used for G_v (NDEG_v = 0 or 2), read in the (integer) order of the G_v vibrational polynomials, LMAXG_v, and values of the Dunham coefficients $Y_{l,0}$, starting with $l = 1$.

#4. READ(5,*) LMAXG_v

#5. READ(5,*) (YLO(L), L= 1,LMAXG_v)

If an MXS mixed representation is to be used for G_v (NDEG_v = 2), read in the *real number* values of VS = v_s , the value of v at which the Dunham/NDE switching function Eq.(15) is centred, and of DVS = δv_s , the width parameter for that switching function. Because of the sensitivity of the calculation to their values, VS and DVS should be read in floating point “d” format (e.g., $v_s = 55.0d0$).

For an MXS function, the absolute value of DLIM ≡ $[G(v = v_{\mathfrak{D}}) - G(v = -1/2)]$ must also be specified.

#6. READ(5,*) VS, DVS, DLIM

If an NDE or MXS functions is used for G_v (NDEG_v ≥ 1), read in parameters characterizing the NDE function to be used.

#7. READ(5,*) NLR, ITYPE, IZP0, IZQ0, NPO, NQ0, vD, XCNO

NLR: is the integer power of the asymptotically-dominant inverse-power term in the long-range potential of Eq.(9).

ITYPE: is an integer specifying the type of NDE expression to be used for G_v :

- ITYPE = 1 for an “outer” rational polynomial expansion using Eq.(13) with $\mathcal{S} = 1$.
- ITYPE = 2 for an “inner” rational polynomial expansion using Eq.(13) with $\mathcal{S} = 2n/(n-2)$.
- ITYPE = 3 uses the exponential NDE function of Eq.(14).

IZP0 & IZQ0: are the values of the integer t specifying the leading term in the polynomial expansions in, respectively, the numerator and denominator of Eq.(13) for ITYPE = 1 or 2, while for ITYPE = 3 IZP0 specifies the power of the leading term in the exponent expansion of Eq.(14) and IZQ0 is a dummy variable.

NP0 & NQ0: are the (integer) number of coefficients in, respectively, the numerator and denominator polynomials of Eq. (13) for $ITYPE = 1$ or 2 , while for $ITYPE = 3$ NP0 is the number of terms in the exponent polynomial of Eq. (14) and NQ0 is a dummy variable which should be set ≤ 0 : $NP0 = L + 1 - t$ and $NQ0 = M + 1 - t$.

vD: is the non-integer effective vibrational index at dissociation v_D , and should be read in floating point “d” format (e.g., $v_D = 64.41d0$).

XCN0: is the numerical value of the ND-theory coefficient $X_0(n, C_n, \mu)$ of Eq. (12) for $m = 0$.

Now read in the actual values of the NDE expansion coefficients $P0(i) = p_{i-t+1}^0$ and $Q0(j) = q_{j-t+1}^0$ required to define the particular NDE function.

#8. READ(5,*) (P0(i), i=1, NP0)

#9. READ(5,*) (Q0(j), j=1, NQ0)

Representation for the inertial rotational constants B_v

If no reliable B_v function is available for this state and one wishes to utilize the approach described in §II.E to generate an approximate potential, one should have $NDEBv = -1$ and read in here a value of $Req = r_e$ to specify the position of the minimum of the Morse function for this case.

#10. READ(5,*) Req

If a Dunham or MXS expansion is used for B_v ($NDEBv = 0$ or 2), read in the order of the B_v vibrational polynomial $LMAXBv$ and the values of the expansion coefficients $YL1(l) = Y_{l,1}$ for $l = 0 - LMAXBv$.

#11. READ(5,*) LMAXBv

#11. IF(LMAXBv.GE.0) READ(5,*) (YL1(L), L= 0,LMAXBv)

If an NDE or MXS functions is used for B_v , read the parameters defining the type of NDE function and the values of the associated expansion parameters. The function types and definitions of the parameters are precisely analogous to those for the vibrational case: see description of READs #7 – 9.

#13. READ(5,*) ITYPB, IZP1, IZQ1, NP1, NQ1, XCN1

#14. READ(5,*) (P1(I), I=1, NP1)

#15. READ(5,*) (Q1(J), J=1, NQ1)

Finally, specify the sophistication of the calculation and define the set(s) of v values for which turning points are to be calculated.

#16. READ(5,*) Kaiser, NSV, VEXT

Kaiser: is an integer which specifies whether ($Kaiser \geq 1$) or not ($Kaiser = 0$) the “Kaiser correction” of §II.A is to be applied.

NSV: is an integer specifying the number of different mesh sizes Δv are to be used in specifying the set of v values for which turning points are to be calculated.

VEXT: is a real number whose value controls the option which allows the program to correct unphysical behaviour of the upper part of the inner potential wall defined by the input G_v and B_v functions, as described in §II.D. For $VEXT \leq 0.0$, no inner-wall smoothing is performed, but if $VEXT > 0.0$, for $v > VEXT$ inner turning points $r_1(v)$ are generated from Eq. (19) using values of the coefficients A , B & C define by fitting this function to the inner turning points for the three largest v values with $v \leq VEXT$, while the outer turning points are defined as the sum of these analytic value plus the calculated quantity $2f$.

For each of NSV cases, read in (floating point) variables $V1(i)$, $DV(i)$ & $V2(i)$ to specify the set of v values running from $V1(i)$ to $V2(i)$ in steps of $DV(i) = \Delta v$, at which turning points are to be calculated. If necessary, the program internally corrects the input values of $V2(i)$ to ensure that when $NSV > 1$, necessarily $V1(i) \leq V2(i+1)$. A reasonable example would be to set $NSV = 2$ and to then input

$$\{V1(i), DV(i), V2(i)\} = \{-0.4d0, 0.2d0, 1.6d0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{2.0d0, 0.5d0, v_{\max}\} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1 \ \& \ 2$$

where v_{\max} is the highest vibrational level for which turning points are desired. It is usually best to set $V1(1) \geq -0.4d0$.

```
#17. READ(5,*) V1(i), DV(i), V2(i)
```

V. PROGRAM UPDATES

The current version¹⁶ of **RKR1** incorporates a number of modifications and extensions not present in the previous one.⁵⁹ In particular:

- Instead of reading in explicit atomic masses in amu, the new version reads in the integer atomic number and mass number of the component atoms and retrieves the appropriate atomic mass from an internal data subroutine whose contents are taken from the most recent atomic mass determination.²⁴
- In addition to allowing for use of Dunham or pure NDE functions to represent the v -dependence of G_v and B_v , the new version also allows for use of Tellinghuisen's "MXS" mixed representations.
- The NDE functions used to represent B_v in either pure NDE or MXS representations may now be based on either Eq. (13) or (14), rather than only on the latter.
- The input defining the mesh of v values at which turning points are to be calculated is input in a more convenient manner which obviates a need to explicitly count out the number of turning point pairs to be associated with each of the NSV chosen mesh Δv values.
- The compact channel-7 output turning point listing includes the value of r_e associated with the value of B_v at the potential minimum $v = v_{\min}$, plus five additional extrapolated inner-wall points generated from Eq. (19) to provide a turning point array which can more readily be used for plotting purposes.

Moreover, the present program manual contains substantially more discussion of details of the method and sample results than did the earlier edition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am pleased to acknowledge the foresight and stimulation provided by Professor George Burns of the University of Toronto, who instigated my work on this type of computational research tool back in 1966, and the inspiration and assistance provided by R.N. Zare's seminal 1964 Franck-Condon RKR program.^{9,10} I am also grateful to Professor G. McBane and Dr. A.J. Ross for suggestions regarding improvements to the code and its documentation. This work has been supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- ¹ R. Rydberg, Z. Physik **73**, 376 (1931).
- ² R. Rydberg, Z. Physik **80**, 514 (1933).
- ³ O. Klein, Z. Physik **76**, 226 (1932).
- ⁴ A. L. G. Rees, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **59**, 998 (1947).
- ⁵ M. S. Child, *Semiclassical Mechanics with Molecular Applications* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991).
- ⁶ S. M. Kirschner and J. K. G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **47**, 234 (1973).
- ⁷ W. R. Jarman, Sci. Rept. No. 1 & 2, AFOSR Grant AFOSR-61-88, Department of Physics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada (1961).
- ⁸ A. C. Hurley, J. Chem. Phys. **36**, 1117 (1962).
- ⁹ R. N. Zare, University of California Lawrence Radiation laboratory Report UCRL-10925 (1963).
- ¹⁰ R. N. Zare, J. Chem. Phys. **40**, 1934 (1964).
- ¹¹ A. S. Dickinson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **44**, 183 (1972).
- ¹² H. E. Fleming and K. N. Rao, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **44**, 189 (1972).
- ¹³ J. Tellinghuisen, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **44**, 194 (1972).
- ¹⁴ J. Tellinghuisen, Comp. Phys. Comm. **6**, 221 (1974).
- ¹⁵ J. Tellinghuisen and S. D. Henderson, Chem. Phys. Lett. **91**, 447 (1982).
- ¹⁶ R. J. Le Roy, **RKR1 2.0: A Computer Program Implementing the First-Order RKR Method for Determining Diatomic Molecule Potential Energy Curves**, University of Waterloo Chemical Physics Research Report CP-657R (2004). The source code and manual for this program may be obtained from the "Computer Programs" link at <http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca>.
- ¹⁷ W. R. Jarman, Can. J. Phys. **38**, 217 (1960).
- ¹⁸ L. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Mechanics*, vol. 3rd Ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1976).
- ¹⁹ C. Schwartz and R. J. Le Roy, J. Chem. Phys. **81**, 3996 (1984).
- ²⁰ E. A. Mason and L. Monchick, in *Intermolecular Forces*, edited by J. O. Hirschfelder (Interscience, New York, 1967), vol. 12 of *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, chap. 7, pp. 329–387.
- ²¹ G. C. Maitland, M. Rigby, E. B. Smith, and W. A. Wakeham, *Intermolecular Forces - Their Origin and Determination* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1981).
- ²² J. K. G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **80**, 411 (1980).
- ²³ P. J. Mohr and B. N. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 351 (2000).
- ²⁴ G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. **A565**, 1 (1993).
- ²⁵ S. M. Kirschner and J. K. G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **51**, 321 (1974).
- ²⁶ R. J. Le Roy, in *Semiclassical Methods in Molecular Scattering and Spectroscopy*, edited by M. Child (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1980), vol. 53 of *Series C - Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, pp. 109–126.
- ²⁷ E. W. Kaiser, J. Chem. Phys. **53**, 1686 (1970).
- ²⁸ N. Fröman, in *Semiclassical Methods in Molecular Scattering and Spectroscopy*, edited by M. Child (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1980), vol. 53 of *Series C - Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, pp. 1–44.
- ²⁹ J. L. Dunham, Phys. Rev. **41**, 721 (1932).
- ³⁰ G. Herzberg, *Spectra of Diatomic Molecules* (Van Nostrand, Toronto, 1950).
- ³¹ S. M. Kirschner and R. J. Le Roy, J. Chem. Phys. **68**, 3139 (1978).
- ³² R. J. Le Roy, **LEVEL 7.5: A Computer Program for Solving the Radial Schrödinger Equation for Bound and Quasibound Levels**, University of Waterloo Chemical Physics Research Report CP-655 (2002). The source code and manual for this program may be obtained from the "Computer Programs" link at <http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca>.
- ³³ R. J. Le Roy and R. B. Bernstein, Chem. Phys. Lett. **5**, 42 (1970).
- ³⁴ R. J. Le Roy and R. B. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys. **52**, 3869 (1970).
- ³⁵ R. J. Le Roy, Can. J. Phys. **50**, 953 (1972).

- ³⁶ a) A.-R. Hashemi-Attar, C. L. Beckel, W. N. Keepin and S. A. Sonnleitner, *J. Chem. Phys.* **70**, 3881 (1979); b) A.-R. Hashemi-Attar and C. L. Beckel, *J. Chem. Phys.* **71**, 4596 (1979); c) C. L. Beckel and R. B. Kwong, *J. Chem. Phys.* **73**, 4698 (1980); d) C. L. Beckel, R. B. Kwong, A.-R. Hashemi-Attar and R. J. Le Roy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 66 (1984).
- ³⁷ R. J. Le Roy and W.-H. Lam, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **71**, 544 (1980).
- ³⁸ J. W. Tromp and R. J. Le Roy, *Can. J. Phys.* **60**, 26 (1982).
- ³⁹ J. W. Tromp and R. J. Le Roy, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **109**, 352 (1985).
- ⁴⁰ R. J. Le Roy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 10217 (1994).
- ⁴¹ R. J. Le Roy and M. G. Barwell, *Can. J. Phys.* **53**, 1983 (1975).
- ⁴² R. J. Le Roy, in *Molecular Spectroscopy*, edited by R. Barrow, D. A. Long, and D. J. Millen (Chemical Society of London, London, 1973), vol. 1, specialist periodical report 3, pp. 113–176.
- ⁴³ R. J. Le Roy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **73**, 6003 (1980).
- ⁴⁴ K. J. Jordan, R. H. Lipson, N. A. McDonald, and R. J. Le Roy, *J. Phys. Chem.* **96**, 4778 (1992).
- ⁴⁵ B. Ji, C.-C. Tsai, L. Li, T.-J. Whang, A. M. Lyyra, H. Wang, J. T. Bahns, W. C. Stwalley, and R. J. Le Roy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 7240 (1995).
- ⁴⁶ D. R. T. Appadoo, R. J. Le Roy, P. F. Bernath, S. Gerstenkorn, P. Luc, J. Vergès, J. Sinzelle, J. Chevillard, and Y. D’Aignaux, *J. Chem. Phys.* **104**, 903 (1996).
- ⁴⁷ Y. Liu, J. Li, D. Chen, L. Li, K. M. Jones, B. Ji, and R. J. Le Roy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **111**, 3494 (1999), erratum: *ibid* **117**, 6380 (2002).
- ⁴⁸ If the leading terms in the attractive long-range potential $V(R) \simeq \mathcal{D} - \sum_m C_m/R^m$ have powers $m = 6$ and 8, $t = 1$; if they are $m = 4$ and 6, $t = 2$; for $m = 3$ and 6, $t = 4$; for $m = 5$ and 6 or 4 and 5, one should set $t \geq 2$.^{40,43}
- ⁴⁹ E. S. Hertel Jr. and C. L. Beckel, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **24**, 4477 (1979), contributed paper JQ7: *On the Cause of RKR f-integral Error Near a Diatomic Molecule Dissociation Limit*.
- ⁵⁰ J. G. Ashmore and J. Tellinghuisen, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **119**, 68 (1986).
- ⁵¹ J. Tellinghuisen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 3532 (2003).
- ⁵² M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1970), ninth Printing.
- ⁵³ See subsection 25.4.37 of Ref. 52.
- ⁵⁴ R. J. Le Roy and G. Burns, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **25**, 77 (1968).
- ⁵⁵ R. J. Le Roy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **52**, 2683 (1970).
- ⁵⁶ B. H. Wells, E. B. Smith, and R. N. Zare, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 244 (1983).
- ⁵⁷ J. Tellinghuisen, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **141**, 258 (1990).
- ⁵⁸ R. D. Verma, *J. Chem. Phys.* **32**, 738 (1960).
- ⁵⁹ R. J. Le Roy, *RKR1: A Computer Program Implementing the First-Order RKR Method for Determining Diatom Potential Energy Curves from Spectroscopic Constants*, University of Waterloo Chemical Physics Research Report CP-425 (1992).

APPENDIX: DERIVATION, AND ILLUSTRATIVE DATA & OUTPUT FILES

A. Derivation of the RKR Equations

The first formal derivation of what is now known as the ‘‘RKR’’ method was due to O. Klein,³ and a version of it is outlined here. Starting from the first-order JWKB or Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition

$$v + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} [E - V(r)]^{1/2} dr \quad (21)$$

For the purpose of this derivation it is notationally convenient to start by replacing v by v' and E by E' . We then take the derivative of this expression with respect to energy E' , and divide the range of integration into two parts to separate the repulsive and attractive regions:

$$\frac{dv'}{dE'} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \left\{ \int_{r_1}^{r_e} \frac{dr}{[E' - V(r)]^{1/2}} + \int_{r_e}^{r_2} \frac{dr}{[E' - V(r)]^{1/2}} \right\} \quad (22)$$

For a well-behaved single minimum potential, on each of the intervals $[r_1, r_e]$ and $[r_e, r_2]$ there is a unique monotonic relationship between the distance variable r and the value of the potential energy function, $u = V(r)$. We can therefore re-write Eq. (22) with u replacing r as the independent variable in the two integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv'}{dE'} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \left\{ \int_{E'}^0 \frac{1}{[E' - u]^{1/2}} \frac{dr_1(u)}{du} du + \int_0^{E'} \frac{1}{[E' - u]^{1/2}} \frac{dr_2(u)}{du} du \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \int_0^{E'} \left(\frac{dr_2(u)}{du} - \frac{dr_1(u)}{du} \right) \frac{du}{[E' - u]^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We now introduce a mathematical gimmick (sometimes called an Abelian transformation³), which involves premultiplying both sides of Eq. (23) by the factor $dE'/[E - E']^{1/2}$ and integrating E' from 0 to E :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^E \frac{(dv'/dE') dE'}{[E - E']^{1/2}} &= \int_{v_{min}}^{v(E)} \frac{dv'}{[E(v) - E(v')]^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \int_0^E dE' \left\{ \int_0^{E'} \left(\frac{dr_2(u)}{du} - \frac{dr_1(u)}{du} \right) \frac{du}{[(E - E')(E' - u)]^{1/2}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

in which $v_{min} = v(E=0)$ is the (non-integer) effective vibrational quantum number index associated with the potential minimum. If we then change the order of the double integration, and utilize the standard mathematical identity

$$\int_a^b \frac{dx}{[(b-x)(x-a)]^{1/2}} = \pi \quad (25)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{v_{min}}^{v(E)} \frac{dv'}{[E(v) - E(v')]^{1/2}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \int_0^E du \left\{ \left(\frac{dr_2(u)}{du} - \frac{dr_1(u)}{du} \right) \int_u^E \frac{dE'}{[(E - E')(E' - u)]^{1/2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \left\{ \int_0^E \frac{dr_2(u)}{du} du - \int_0^E \frac{dr_1(u)}{du} du \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \left\{ \int_{r_e}^{r_2(E)} dr - \int_{r_e}^{r_1(E)} dr \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} [r_e(E(v)) - r_1(E(v))] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Rearranging this expression yields the first or “vibrational” RKR equation

$$r_2(v) - r_1(v) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}} \int_{v_{min}}^v \frac{dv'}{[E(v) - E(v')]^{1/2}} = 2f \quad (27)$$

The derivation of the second or “rotational” RKR equation proceeds in the same way, except that we first have to perform some manipulations to obtain the appropriate starting equation. The starting point is the recognition that for a rotating molecule $J > 0$ and the effective centrifugally-distorted potential appearing in the quantization condition of Eq. (21) is actually

$$V_J(r) = V(r) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{[J(J+1)]}{r^2} \quad (28)$$

so the quantization condition may be re-written as

$$v(E, J) + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left[E - V(r) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{[J(J+1)]}{r^2} \right]^{1/2} dr \quad (29)$$

For a given value of J , Eq. (29) tells us that there exists a unique mapping between v and E , and the chain rule of calculus tell us that in this case, for any function $\mathfrak{F}(E, J)$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}(E, J)}{\partial [J(J+1)]} \right)_E = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial [J(J+1)]} \right)_v \left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}}{\partial E} \right)_J \quad (30)$$

Applying this chain rule relationship to Eq. (29) then yields

$$\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial [J(J+1)]} \right)_E = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial [J(J+1)]} \right)_v \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial E} \right)_J = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{dr}{r^2 \left[E - V(r) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{[J(J+1)]}{r^2} \right]^{1/2}} \quad (31)$$

From the standard definition of the inertial rotational constant, we know that $\left. \frac{\partial E(v, J)}{\partial [J(J+1)]} \right|_{J=0} \equiv B_v$, so for $J=0$ Eq. (31) becomes

$$B_v \times \frac{dv}{dE} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{dr}{r^2 [E - V(r)]^{1/2}} \quad (32)$$

in which the partial derivative has been replaced by an exact derivative, since when J is fixed (at $J=0$) there is only one independent variable.

Equation (32) provides a starting point which is the precise analog of Eq. (22) in the derivation of the RKR “ f integral” result of Eq. (27). Proceeding precisely as before: (i) replace variable names E and v with E' and v' , respectively, (ii) split the range of integration into two parts at r_e , (iii) change the variable of integration from r to $u = V(r)$, (iv) multiply by $dE'/(E - E')^{1/2}$ and integrate E' from 0 to E , (v) change the order of integration and apply the identity of Eq. (25), and (vi) rearrange the result appropriately, then yields the second or “rotational” RKR equation:

$$\frac{1}{r_1(v)} - \frac{1}{r_2(v)} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \int_{v_{min}}^v \frac{B_{v'} dv'}{[E(v) - E(v')]^{1/2}} = 2g \quad (33)$$

Combining Eqs. (27) and (33) then yields the final turning point expressions

$$r_2(v) = (f^2 + f/g)^{1/2} + f \quad (34)$$

$$r_1(v) = (f^2 + f/g)^{1/2} - f \quad (35)$$

Thus, for any case in which we have smooth functions which accurately describe the dependence on v of the vibrational energy and inertial rotational constant B_v , Eqs. (27) and (27)-(35) may be used to generate the potential energy function in a pointwise manner.

B. Illustrative Data Files and Commentary

The section presents sample data files for seven cases, and discusses some features of the results illustrated by the associated channel-6 output files listed in Appendix C. Note that in the sample data files shown below, the “%” symbol appearing on most lines after the last parameter associated with that READ statement and any following text are merely comments, and are ignored by the program. It is often convenient to include such comments on the input files to remind one which parameter is which.

Cases (i) & (ii): Standard Dunham-Representation Applications

The first two sample data files shown below are for the common case in which pure Dunham polynomials in $(v + \frac{1}{2})$ are used for both G_v and B_v . The experimental data on which these Dunham polynomials were based stops at $v = 82$, so the turning point calculation also stops there. The input data files for these cases are shown below.

```

53 127 53 127 0 0 0          % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(i) Dunham Calculation with Gerstenkorn constants for I2(B) (VEXT = 0)'
16                            % LMAXGv
 1.256643430002D+2 -7.475284960242D-01 -5.016833169864D-3 3.788414181699D-4
-4.983773834286D-5 4.200565944860D-06 -2.462699605029D-7 1.035559345644D-8
-3.168784847369D-10 7.099055257498D-12 -1.159685360751D-13 1.361205680478D-15
-1.115309496593D-17 6.046170833273D-20 -1.947198245975D-22 2.820031243526D-25
15                            % LMAXBv
 2.900080684844D-2 -1.496203558218D-04 -1.122999681016D-6 -8.598750387065D-9
-3.993514191186D-9 7.442705931721D-10 -7.729114740147D-11 4.998660579762D-12
-2.157393379080D-13 6.436910217056D-15 -1.347501253707D-16 1.977227945639D-18
-1.994896518940D-20 1.320031684314D-22 -5.162433698190D-25 9.047632057664D-28
0 2 0.d0                      % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0           %(1) V1 DV V2
 2.0d0 1.0d0 82.d0           %(2) V1 DV V2

```

```

53 127 53 127 0 0 0          % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(ii) Dunham Calculation with Gerstenkorn constants for I2(B) (VEXT > 0)'
16                            % LMAXGv
 1.256643430002D+2 -7.475284960242D-01 -5.016833169864D-3 3.788414181699D-4
-4.983773834286D-5 4.200565944860D-06 -2.462699605029D-7 1.035559345644D-8
-3.168784847369D-10 7.099055257498D-12 -1.159685360751D-13 1.361205680478D-15
-1.115309496593D-17 6.046170833273D-20 -1.947198245975D-22 2.820031243526D-25
15                            % LMAXBv
 2.900080684844D-2 -1.496203558218D-04 -1.122999681016D-6 -8.598750387065D-9
-3.993514191186D-9 7.442705931721D-10 -7.729114740147D-11 4.998660579762D-12
-2.157393379080D-13 6.436910217056D-15 -1.347501253707D-16 1.977227945639D-18
-1.994896518940D-20 1.320031684314D-22 -5.162433698190D-25 9.047632057664D-28
0 2 45.d0                      % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0           %(1) V1 DV V2
 2.0d0 1.0d0 82.d0           %(2) V1 DV V2

```

Case (i) is a calculation performed with the input value of $VEXT = 0$, so no inner-wall extrapolation is performed. However, the rapid growth of the value of $C(\text{exp})$ above $v \sim 45$ and the warning message printed at $v = 63$ shows that the inner-wall unreliability discussed in § II.D is a problem here. Case (ii) therefore repeats exactly the same calculation, but with the input value $VEXT = 45$, so that for $v > 45$ the inner wall is defined by Eq. (19) and the outer turning points adjusted accordingly. As shown by the resulting values of $d(\text{RMIN})$ in the last column of the output for Case (ii), the smoothing for this case requires only very modest displacements of the turning points.

The various warning messages “*** STOP ITERATION: At $NDIV = \dots$ ” appearing in both output files for $v = 70$ and $v \geq 76$ illustrate the type of convergence problem discussed at the end of § II.C. At high v the higher-order terms in the Dunham polynomial yield large contributions of alternating sign, and a substantial amount of numerical cancellation occurs when they are combined to give the overall values of G_v , $G_{v'}$ and $B_{v'}$ appearing in the integrands of Eq. (1) and (2). This loss of significant digits introduces

“numerical noise” into the calculation, and prevents the specified degree of numerical convergence being achieved. Precisely the same problem sometimes occurs at low v when using high-order pure NDE functions, because of the high powers of $(v_{\mathfrak{D}} - v)$ involved. This problem usually has nothing to do with the RKR procedure itself, but rather is a precision problem associated with the type of G_v and/or B_v representation being used. In this case the best way of avoiding them would be to use MXS representations, as relatively lower-order polynomials (than for pure Dunham or NDE functions) would be required for both the Dunham and NDE components, so the introduction of numerical noise due to cancellation of significant digits would be greatly reduced.

Cases (iii) & (iv): Pure NDE G_v and B_v Functions

The two following data file are based on NDE functions reported for the $1^3\Sigma_g^-$ state of Na_2 .⁴⁷ These two cases again differ only in that one uses $\text{VEXT} = 0$ and the other $\text{VEXT} = 35$, with the value of VEXT used in Case (iv) being having been selected based on the trends in the values of $\mathbf{C}(\text{exp})$ seen in the output for Case (iii) in Appendix C. The output for Case (iii) shows three different types of warning messages associated with inner-wall misbehaviour, but from the output for Case (iv) we see that the turning point adjustments required to give a smooth inner wall are also quite modest for this case, especially relative to the magnitude of the turning point differences $[\text{RMAX}(v) - \text{RMIN}(v)]$. Note too that in contrast to Cases (i) and (ii), these Na_2 calculations apply the Kaiser correction, so the lower bound on the integrals in Eqs. (1) and (2) is $v_{00} = v_{\min} = -0.5018267\dots$

```

11 23 11 23 0 1 1 % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(iii) Liu et al. JCP 111, 3494 (1999) NDE functions for Na2: VEXT = 0'
6 1 1 1 3 2 61.41d0 4.4867d-2 % NLR ITYPE IZPO IZQO NPO NQO VD XCNO
0.436636d0 -3.529d-3 1.54d-5
4.8d-2 1.366d-2
3 1 0 7 0 3.0921d-3 % ITYPB IZP1 IZQ1 NP1 NQ1 XCN1
0.1341d0 -1.6863d-2 9.2d-4 -2.810837d-5 4.924d-7 -4.61952d-9 1.8d-11
1 2 0.d0 % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0 %(1) V1 DV V2
1.d0 1.0d0 61.d0 %(2) V1 DV V2

11 23 11 23 0 1 1 % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(iv) Liu et al. JCP 111, 3494 (1999) NDE functions for Na2: VEXT > 0'
6 1 1 1 3 2 61.41d0 4.4867d-2 % NLR ITYPE IZPO IZQO NPO NQO VD XCNO
0.436636d0 -3.529d-3 1.54d-5
4.8d-2 1.366d-2
3 1 0 7 0 3.0921d-3 % ITYPB IZP1 IZQ1 NP1 NQ1 XCN1
0.1341d0 -1.6863d-2 9.2d-4 -2.810837d-5 4.924d-7 -4.61952d-9 1.8d-11
1 2 35.d0 % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0 %(1) V1 DV V2
1.d0 1.0d0 61.d0 %(2) V1 DV V2

```

Case (v): No Rotational Data: Morse Inner Wall

This data set illustrates the type of situation discussed in § II.E, a case for which one has vibrational data but little or no rotational data. As discussed in § II.E, the program uses the vibrational data to determine Morse parameters \mathfrak{D}_e and β , which are then combined with a read-in value of r_e and used to generate the inner-wall turning points. The regular RKR calculation of Eq. (1) is then used to define the outer wall of the potential. Although the molecular species in this example is a hydride (ArH^+), for which one might normally expect to use the Kaiser correction, the uncertainty associated with the inner wall makes such niceties pointless for this case.

```

20 40 1 1 0 1 -1 % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(v) NDE-based potential for Ar-H(+): Morse Inner Wall Extrapolation'
4 1 2 2 3 1 33.2D0 0.146D0 % NLR ITYPE IZPO IZQO NPO NQO VD XCNO
-0.396754604292D-4 0.456933925968D-5 -0.392256864315D-7
0.414470068478D-2

```

```

1.28066d0          % r_e Morse minimum
0 2 11.1d0        % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0 % (1) V1 DV V2
2.0d0 1.0d0 32.d0 % (2) V1 DV V2

```

Cases (vi) & (vii): MXS Function for G_v With a Pure Dunham or an MXS Expression for B_v

These two cases illustrate the data file setup associated with use of an MXS representation for G_v , combined with either a Dunham or an MXS representation for B_v . In the output for both cases we see that there are some convergence problems as $v \rightarrow v_{\infty}$ because of significant digit cancellation in the integrand argument $[G_v - G_{v'}]$. This cannot be avoided unless the whole calculation is performed in quadruple precision, but since no real additional physical accuracy would be attained, it would not be worth the trouble to do that. Note too that both these examples use $VEXT > 0$, which indicates that a prior $VEXT = 0$ calculation was used to determine an appropriate value for $VEXT$ for each.

```

3 7 3 7 +0 2 0          % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(vi) For Li2(A): MXS function for Gv & Dunham for Bv'
9                      % LMAXGv
2.554976991440D+02 -1.591528931916D+00 4.320069610295D-03 -1.297800483407D-04
5.126092802711D-06 -3.043543008425D-07 9.142950968846D-09 -1.496898654541D-10
9.980517130870D-13
55.d0 1.d0 9352.11494d0 % VS DVS DLIM
3 1 2 2 7 0 113.2817653490D0 2.577D-08 % NLR ITYPE IZPO IZQO NP0 NQ0 VD XCNO
1.910501922487D-03 -3.893923351925D-04 2.334546248943D-05 -6.987656722521D-07
1.155836220945D-08 -1.015514433411D-10 3.720613823813D-13
17                     % LMAXBv
4.974826807719D-01 -5.451871858525D-03 -2.310449795574D-06 9.775126360220D-06
-2.425290834207D-06 3.831066457326D-07 -4.243945514918D-08 3.342936415566D-09
-1.887782854700D-10 7.703531741973D-12 -2.287056796063D-13 4.954101341163D-15
-7.802622660609D-17 8.821305429325D-19 -6.966209130239D-21 3.645524469475D-23
-1.135472319430D-25 1.593096618270D-28
1 2 45.d0             % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0   % (1) V1 DV V2
1.d0 1.0d0 113.d0   % (2) V1 DV V2

3 7 3 7 +0 2 2          % IAN1 IMN1 IAN2 IMN2 CHARGE NDEGv NDEBv
'(vii) For Li2(A): MXS function for both Gv & Bv'
9                      % LMAXGv
2.554976991440D+02 -1.591528931916D+00 4.320069610295D-03 -1.297800483407D-04
5.126092802711D-06 -3.043543008425D-07 9.142950968846D-09 -1.496898654541D-10
9.980517130870D-13
55.d0 1.d0 9352.11494d0 % VS DVS DLIM
3 1 2 2 7 0 113.2817653490D0 2.577D-08 % NLR ITYPE IZPO IZQO NP0 NQ0 VD XCNO
1.910501922487D-03 -3.893923351925D-04 2.334546248943D-05 -6.987656722521D-07
1.155836220945D-08 -1.015514433411D-10 3.720613823813D-13
8                      % LMAXBv
4.974956434974D-01 -5.487758555749D-03 2.648227703650D-05 -1.139866232979D-06
7.141138262611D-08 -4.445710119333D-09 1.443419023131D-10 -2.497993558364D-12
1.725383416037D-14
3 0 0 6 0 4.263D-08    % ITYPB IZP1 IZQ1 NP1 NQ1 XCN1
2.114415071744D-01 -2.929745145298D-02 1.369881187153D-03 -3.136194459560D-05
3.563240597730D-07 -1.610153741067D-09
1 2 49.d0             % Kaiser NSV VEXT
-0.4d0 0.2d0 1.6d0   % (1) V1 DV V2
1.d0 1.0d0 114.d0   % (2) V1 DV V2

```

C. Channel-6 "Standard" Output for the Cases Considered in Appendix C

Cases (i): Standard Dunham-Representation Application with VEXT = 0

(i) Dunham Calculation with Gerstenkorn constants for I2(B) (VEXT = 0)

 RKR potential for I(127)- I(127) with Charge= 0
 Reduced mass ZMU= 63.45223400000 and constant C_u/ZMU = 0.265674319363
 from atomic masses: 126.9044680000 & 126.9044680000(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisect interval up to 5 times.
 performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

The 16 Dunham Gv expansion coefficients are
 1.2566434300D+02 -7.4752849602D-01 -5.0168331699D-03 3.7884141817D-04
 -4.9837738343D-05 4.2005659449D-06 -2.4626996050D-07 1.0355593456D-08
 -3.1687848474D-10 7.0990552575D-12 -1.1596853608D-13 1.3612056805D-15
 -1.1153094966D-17 6.0461708333D-20 -1.9471982460D-22 2.8200312435D-25

The 16 Dunham Bv expansion coefficients are
 2.9000806848D-02 -1.4962035582D-04 -1.1229996810D-06 -8.5987503871D-09
 -3.9935141912D-09 7.4427059317D-10 -7.7291147401D-11 4.9986605798D-12
 -2.1573933791D-13 6.4369102171D-15 -1.3475012537D-16 1.9772279456D-18
 -1.9948965189D-20 1.3200316843D-22 -5.1624336982D-25 9.0476320577D-28

At v00= -0.50000 Gv= 0.00000000 dG/dv= 125.6643 (1/2)d2G/dv2= -0.747528
 Bv= 0.02900081 { ==> Req= 3.026702587(A) }
 alpha_e = 0.000149620

Calculate turning points at the 92 v-values
 -0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60
 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00
 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 20.00 21.00 22.00 23.00
 24.00 25.00 26.00 27.00 28.00 29.00 30.00 31.00 32.00 33.00 34.00
 35.00 36.00 37.00 38.00 39.00 40.00 41.00 42.00 43.00 44.00 45.00
 46.00 47.00 48.00 49.00 50.00 51.00 52.00 53.00 54.00 55.00 56.00
 57.00 58.00 59.00 60.00 61.00 62.00 63.00 64.00 65.00 66.00 67.00
 68.00 69.00 70.00 71.00 72.00 73.00 74.00 75.00 76.00 77.00 78.00
 79.00 80.00 81.00 82.00

Resulting Turning Points:

v	E(v)	dE(v)/dv	B(v)	RMIN(v)	RMAX(v)	NDIV	tst(f)	tst(g)	C(exp)	d(RMIN)
-0.40	12.5590	125.5147	0.0289858336	2.9982686459	3.0564581070	2	1.9D-15	1.8D-15		
-0.20	37.6319	125.2145	0.0289558194	2.9782446305	3.0791322611	2	6.6D-15	6.7D-15		
0.00	62.6447	124.9132	0.0289257146	2.9648302088	3.0952061881	2	8.9D-16	8.9D-16	24.136902	
0.20	87.5971	124.6109	0.0288955185	2.9541434528	3.1085618932	2	3.3D-16	0.0D+00	18.743521	
0.40	112.4890	124.3076	0.0288652304	2.9450561836	3.1203276364	2	2.2D-15	0.0D+00	16.187465	
0.60	137.3201	124.0033	0.0288348494	2.9370523609	3.1310195425	2	3.3D-15	0.0D+00	14.589502	
0.80	162.0902	123.6980	0.0288043746	2.9298446852	3.1409249984	2	4.4D-16	0.0D+00	13.464354	
1.00	186.7992	123.3919	0.0287738052	2.9232537557	3.1502234944	2	1.8D-15	0.0D+00	12.615397	
1.20	211.4469	123.0849	0.0287431401	2.9171586793	3.1590360152	2	1.4D-15	0.0D+00	11.944830	
1.40	236.0331	122.7771	0.0287123783	2.9114734225	3.1674486871	2	8.9D-16	0.0D+00	11.397566	
1.60	260.5577	122.4684	0.0286815190	2.9061341912	3.1755253986	2	4.4D-16	0.0D+00	10.939821	
2.00	309.4212	121.8487	0.0286195034	2.8963088821	3.1908562445	2	2.8D-15	0.0D+00	10.441069	
3.00	430.4899	120.2854	0.0284626875	2.8753681168	3.2257437218	2	1.1D-15	0.0D+00	9.702227	
4.00	549.9855	118.7026	0.0283032399	2.8579347599	3.2573947782	2	1.8D-15	0.0D+00	8.895459	
5.00	667.8885	117.1000	0.0281410524	2.8428708827	3.2869596105	2	1.2D-15	0.0D+00	8.178077	

..... delete 35 intermediate lines of output

41.00	3681.1924	47.2736	0.0194400086	2.6537025244	4.3732707639	2	2.3D-13	0.0D+00	5.192286	
42.00	3727.4605	45.2666	0.0190953193	2.6519001036	4.4195058946	2	2.7D-13	0.0D+00	5.224621	
43.00	3771.7343	43.2856	0.0187450476	2.6501912657	4.4675140226	2	2.6D-13	0.0D+00	5.274020	
44.00	3814.0412	41.3331	0.0183893231	2.6485728093	4.5174035981	2	3.4D-13	0.0D+00	5.347653	
45.00	3854.4110	39.4118	0.0180282757	2.6470416619	4.5692911140	2	2.8D-13	0.0D+00	5.453391	
46.00	3892.8760	37.5240	0.0176620329	2.6455948697	4.6233023232	2	1.2D-12	0.0D+00	5.599118	
47.00	3929.4709	35.6718	0.0172907158	2.6442295846	4.6795736761	2	9.2D-14	0.0D+00	5.791764	
48.00	3964.2321	33.8570	0.0169144365	2.6429430468	4.7382540058	2	9.3D-13	0.0D+00	6.036134	
49.00	3997.1980	32.0814	0.0165332948	2.6417325641	4.7995064979	2	6.8D-13	0.0D+00	6.33526	
50.00	4028.4084	30.3464	0.0161473763	2.6405954908	4.8635109804	2	8.8D-13	0.0D+00	6.680356	
51.00	4057.9046	28.6531	0.0157567507	2.6395292057	4.9304665782	2	4.0D-13	0.0D+00	7.067007	
52.00	4085.7289	27.0026	0.0153614704	2.6385310949	5.0005947807	2	3.8D-12	0.0D+00	7.477323	
53.00	4111.9244	25.3958	0.0149615706	2.6375985389	5.0741429799	2	1.7D-12	0.0D+00	7.889415	
54.00	4136.5351	23.8333	0.0145570694	2.6367289097	5.1513885469	2	9.5D-14	0.0D+00	8.278745	
55.00	4159.6059	22.3158	0.0141479701	2.6359195786	5.2326435303	2	6.8D-12	0.0D+00	8.624812	
56.00	4181.1819	20.8439	0.0137342632	2.6351679364	5.3182600792	2	1.2D-11	0.0D+00	8.923187	
57.00	4201.3091	19.4181	0.0133159311	2.6344714271	5.4086367194	2	9.0D-12	0.0D+00	9.204982	
58.00	4220.0337	18.0390	0.0128929531	2.6338275935	5.5042256461	2	9.1D-12	0.0D+00	9.566795	
59.00	4237.4027	16.7071	0.0124653122	2.6332341308	5.6055412466	2	7.1D-12	0.0D+00	10.213564	
60.00	4253.4639	15.4232	0.0120330036	2.6326889442	5.7131701232	2	4.6D-12	0.0D+00	11.519225	
61.00	4268.2654	14.1880	0.0115960442	2.6321902021	5.8277829769	2	3.4D-11	0.0D+00	14.112796	
62.00	4281.8564	13.0023	0.0111544832	2.6317363743	5.9501488226	2	3.3D-11	0.0D+00	18.999250	

*** CAUTION *** inner wall exponent parameter becomes very large so skip converging it.
 63.00 4294.2868 11.8670 0.0107084144 2.6313262452 6.0811521635 2 1.9D-11 0.0D+00 27.731911
 64.00 4305.6074 10.7830 0.0102579875 2.6309588868 6.2218139674 2 7.7D-12 0.0D+00 42.728016
 65.00 4315.8701 9.7512 0.0098034201 2.6306335816 6.3733175872 2 7.3D-11 0.0D+00 67.687148
 66.00 4325.1275 8.7726 0.0093450080 2.6303496808 6.5370411895 2 9.2D-11 0.0D+00 108.374812
 67.00 4333.4333 7.8480 0.0088831328 2.6301063921 6.7145988856 2 5.3D-11 0.0D+00 173.796741
 68.00 4340.8418 6.9782 0.0084182663 2.6299024984 6.9078936101 4 2.8D-11 0.0D+00 277.902359
 69.00 4347.4081 6.1636 0.0079509683 2.6297360142 7.1191861948 2 6.3D-11 0.0D+00 440.777359
 *** STOP ITERATION: At MDIV= 32 tst(f)/(previous)= 4.8D-10/1.8D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
 70.00 4353.1875 5.4046 0.0074818794 2.6296038088 7.3511870356 32 4.8D-10 0.0D+00 684.406781
 71.00 4358.2357 4.7012 0.0070117035 2.6295012375 7.6071800042 2 1.7D-11 0.0D+00 1001.070502
 72.00 4362.6083 4.0531 0.0065411828 2.6294218561 7.8911930896 4 4.4D-11 0.0D+00 1227.613180

```

73.00 4366.3602 3.4598 0.0060710625 2.6293572889 8.2082388420 8 6.9D-11 0.0D+00 740.914685
74.00 4369.5458 2.9203 0.0056020488 2.6292973602 8.5646611076 2 9.2D-11 0.0D+00*****
75.00 4372.2184 2.4334 0.0051347613 2.6292305250 8.9686494197 4 7.1D-11 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 8.6D-09/4.6D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
76.00 4374.4295 1.9973 0.0046696885 2.6291445320 9.4310264483 8 8.6D-09 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 4.8D-09/7.7D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
77.00 4376.2292 1.6102 0.0042071562 2.6290269317 9.9664981783 8 4.8D-09 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 1.0D-08/4.5D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
78.00 4377.6655 1.2700 0.0037473247 2.6288642234 10.5957276302 16 1.0D-08 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 2.2D-08/6.1D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
79.00 4378.7840 0.9744 0.0032902410 2.6286369902 11.3489510075 16 2.2D-08 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 8.1D-09/2.0D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
80.00 4379.6283 0.7211 0.0028359793 2.6283048004 12.2726821336 16 8.1D-09 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 9.3D-09/3.0D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
81.00 4380.2397 0.5082 0.0023849172 2.6277675077 13.4430895058 8 9.3D-09 0.0D+00*****
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 3.8D-08/2.6D-08 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
82.00 4380.6576 0.3339 0.0019382124 2.6267751713 14.9951678534 8 3.8D-08 0.0D+00*****
*****

```

Case (ii): Standard Dunham-Representation Application with VEXT > 0

(ii) Dunham Calculation with Gerstenkorn constants for I2(B) (VEXT > 0)

RKR potential for I(127)- I(127) with Charge= 0
Reduced mass ZMU= 63.45223400000 and constant C_u/ZMU = 0.265674319363
from atomic masses: 126.9044680000 & 126.9044680000(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisection interval up to 5 times.
performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

The 16 Dunham Gv expansion coefficients are
1.2566434300D+02 -7.4752849602D-01 -5.0168331699D-03 3.7884141817D-04
-4.9837738343D-05 4.2005659449D-06 -2.4626996050D-07 1.0355593456D-08
-3.1687848474D-10 7.0990552575D-12 -1.1596853608D-13 1.3612056805D-15
-1.1153094966D-17 6.0461708333D-20 -1.9471982460D-22 2.8200312435D-25

The 16 Dunham Bv expansion coefficients are
2.9000806848D-02 -1.4962035582D-04 -1.1229996810D-06 -8.5987503871D-09
-3.9935141912D-09 7.4427059317D-10 -7.7291147401D-11 4.9986605798D-12
-2.1573933791D-13 6.4369102171D-15 -1.3475012537D-16 1.9772279456D-18
-1.9948965189D-20 1.3200316843D-22 -5.1624336982D-25 9.0476320577D-28

At v00= -0.50000 Gv= 0.00000000 dG/dv= 125.6643 (1/2)d2G/dv2= -0.747528
Bv= 0.02900081 { ==> Req= 3.026702587(A) }
alpha_e = 0.000149620

Above v = 45.000 extrapolate inner wall with exponential
fitted to last 3 points (& shift RMAX accordingly)

Calculate turning points at the 92 v-values
-0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60
2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00
13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 20.00 21.00 22.00 23.00
24.00 25.00 26.00 27.00 28.00 29.00 30.00 31.00 32.00 33.00 34.00
35.00 36.00 37.00 38.00 39.00 40.00 41.00 42.00 43.00 44.00 45.00
46.00 47.00 48.00 49.00 50.00 51.00 52.00 53.00 54.00 55.00 56.00
57.00 58.00 59.00 60.00 61.00 62.00 63.00 64.00 65.00 66.00 67.00
68.00 69.00 70.00 71.00 72.00 73.00 74.00 75.00 76.00 77.00 78.00
79.00 80.00 81.00 82.00

Resulting Turning Points:

v	E(v)	dE(v)/dv	B(v)	RMIN(v)	RMAX(v)	NDIV	tst(f)	tst(g)	C(exp)	d(RMIN)
-0.40	12.5590	125.5147	0.0289858336	2.9982686459	3.0564581070	2	1.9D-15	1.8D-15		
-0.20	37.6319	125.2145	0.0289558194	2.9782446305	3.0791322611	2	6.6D-15	6.7D-15		
0.00	62.6447	124.9132	0.0289257146	2.9648302088	3.0952061881	2	8.9D-16	8.9D-16	24.136902	
0.20	87.5971	124.6109	0.0288955185	2.9541434528	3.1085618932	2	3.3D-16	7.8D-16	18.743521	
0.40	112.4890	124.3076	0.0288652304	2.9450561836	3.1203276364	2	2.2D-15	2.3D-15	16.187465	
0.60	137.3201	124.0033	0.0288348494	2.9370523609	3.1310195425	2	3.3D-15	3.3D-15	14.589502	
0.80	162.0902	123.6980	0.0288043746	2.9298446852	3.1409249984	2	4.4D-16	4.4D-16	13.464354	
1.00	186.7992	123.3919	0.0287738052	2.9232537557	3.1502234944	2	1.8D-15	1.3D-15	12.615397	
1.20	211.4469	123.0849	0.0287431401	2.9171586793	3.1590360152	2	1.4D-15	1.4D-15	11.944830	
1.40	236.0331	122.7771	0.0287123783	2.9114734225	3.1674486871	2	8.9D-16	6.7D-16	11.397566	
1.60	260.5577	122.4684	0.0286815190	2.9061341912	3.1755253986	2	4.4D-16	6.7D-16	10.939821	
2.00	309.4212	121.8487	0.0286195034	2.8963088821	3.1908562445	2	2.8D-15	2.7D-15	10.441069	
3.00	430.4899	120.2854	0.0284626875	2.8753681168	3.2257437218	2	1.1D-15	1.7D-15	9.702227	
4.00	549.9855	118.7026	0.0283032399	2.8579347599	3.2573947782	2	1.8D-15	1.8D-15	8.895459	
5.00	667.8885	117.1000	0.0281410524	2.8428708827	3.2869596105	2	1.2D-15	1.0D-15	8.178077	

..... delete 35 intermediate lines of output

41.00	3681.1924	47.2736	0.0194400086	2.6537025244	4.3732707639	2	2.3D-13	1.9D-13	5.192286	
42.00	3727.4605	45.2666	0.0190953193	2.6519001036	4.4195058946	2	2.7D-13	2.3D-13	5.224621	
43.00	3771.7343	43.2856	0.0187450476	2.6501912657	4.4675140226	2	2.6D-13	2.2D-13	5.274020	
44.00	3814.0412	41.3331	0.0183893231	2.6485728093	4.5174035981	2	3.4D-13	2.9D-13	5.347513	
45.00	3854.4110	39.4118	0.0180282757	2.6470416619	4.5692911140	2	2.8D-13	2.3D-13	5.453391	
46.00	3892.8760	37.5240	0.0176620329	2.6455945570	4.6233020105	2	1.2D-12	0.0D+00	5.453391	-0.0000003127
47.00	3929.4709	35.6718	0.0172907158	2.6442283317	4.6795724232	2	9.2D-14	0.0D+00	5.453391	-0.0000012529
48.00	3964.2321	33.8570	0.0169144365	2.6429399227	4.7382508818	2	9.3D-13	0.0D+00	5.453391	-0.0000031241
49.00	3997.1980	32.0814	0.0165332948	2.6417263632	4.7995002970	2	6.8D-13	0.0D+00	5.453391	-0.0000062010
50.00	4028.4084	30.3464	0.0161473763	2.6405847803	4.8635002700	2	8.8D-13	0.0D+00	5.453391	-0.0000107104

..... delete 15 intermediate lines of output


```

66.00 4325.1275 8.7726 0.0093450080 2.6300725746 6.5367640833 2 9.2D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0002771062
67.00 4333.4333 7.8480 0.0088831328 2.6297868147 6.7142793081 2 5.3D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0003195774
68.00 4340.8418 6.9782 0.0084182663 2.6295323006 6.9075234123 4 2.8D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0003701978
69.00 4347.4081 6.1636 0.0079509683 2.6293070169 7.118751975 2 6.3D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0004289973
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 32 tst(f)/(previous)= 4.8D-10/1.8D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
70.00 4353.1875 5.4046 0.0074818794 2.6291089572 7.3506921839 32 4.8D-10 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0004948516
71.00 4358.2357 4.7012 0.0070117035 2.6289361304 7.6066148971 2 1.7D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0005651071
72.00 4362.6083 4.0531 0.0065411828 2.6287865671 7.8905578006 4 4.4D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0006352890
73.00 4366.3602 3.4598 0.0060710625 2.6286583288 8.2075398819 8 6.9D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0006989601
74.00 4369.5458 2.9203 0.0056020488 2.6285495164 8.5639132638 2 9.2D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0007478438
75.00 4372.2184 2.4334 0.0051347613 2.6284582803 8.9678771751 4 7.1D-11 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0007722447
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 8.6D-09/4.6D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
76.00 4374.4295 1.9973 0.0046696885 2.6283828296 9.4302647459 8 8.6D-09 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0007617024
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 4.8D-09/7.7D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
77.00 4376.2292 1.6102 0.0042071562 2.6283214407 9.9657926872 8 4.8D-09 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0007054911
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 1.0D-08/4.5D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
78.00 4377.6655 1.2700 0.0037473247 2.6282724645 10.5951358713 16 1.0D-08 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0005917589
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 2.2D-08/6.1D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
79.00 4378.7840 0.9744 0.0032902410 2.6282343315 11.3485483488 16 2.2D-08 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0004026587
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 8.1D-09/2.0D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
80.00 4379.6283 0.7211 0.0028359793 2.6282055525 12.2725828857 16 8.1D-09 0.0D+00 5.453391 -0.0000992479
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 9.3D-09/3.0D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
81.00 4380.2397 0.5082 0.0023849172 2.6281847157 13.4435067139 8 9.3D-09 0.0D+00 5.453391 0.0004172080
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 3.8D-08/2.6D-08 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
82.00 4380.6576 0.3339 0.0019382124 2.6281704758 14.9965631578 8 3.8D-08 0.0D+00 5.453391 0.0013953044
*****

```

Note that for v .GE. 45.00 inner wall extrapolated as: $V(R) = -1000.5391 + 0.90235927D+10 \cdot \exp(-5.45339142 \cdot R)$

Case (iii): Pure NDE Functions for G_v and B_v VEXT = 0

(iii) Liu et al. JCP 111, 3494 (1999) NDE functions for Na2: VEXT = 0

 RKR potential for Na(23)-Na(23) with Charge= 0
 Reduced mass ZMU= 11.49488483000 and constant C_u/ZMU = 1.466533099662
 from atomic masses: 22.9897696600 & 22.9897696600(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisect interval up to 5 times.
 performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

NDE for G_v is an (NP= 3/NQ= 2) OUTER Pade expansion in (vD-v) with
 $X0(n=6) = 4.4867000D-02$ and leading num. and denom. powers 1 & 1
 $vD = 61.4100$ $D-G(v=-1/2) = 3432.458368$
 Numerator coefficients are: 4.366360000000D-01 -3.529000000000D-03
 1.540000000000D-05
 Denominator coefficients: 4.800000000000D-02 1.366000000000D-02

NDE for B_v is an (NP= 7/NQ= 0) Exponential expansion in (vD-v) with
 $X1(n=6) = 3.0921000D-03$ and leading num. and denom. powers 1 & 0
 Numerator coefficients are: 1.341000000000D-01 -1.686300000000D-02
 9.200000000000D-04 -2.810837000000D-05 4.924000000000D-07
 -4.619520000000D-09 1.800000000000D-11

Calculate $Y00 = 0.171244236$ $v(\text{cor}) = -0.0018267047$ $v(\text{min}) = -0.5018267047$
 using $w_e = 93.7441$ $w_{ex} = 0.451924$ $B_e = 0.118327$ $a_e = 0.00188162$
 and corrected effective $D_e = 3432.629612$ (after adding $Y00$)

At $v00 = -0.50183$ $G_v = 0.00000000$ $dG/dv = 93.7457$ $(1/2)d^2G/dv^2 = -0.451924$
 $B_v = 0.11833058$ { \Rightarrow $\text{Req} = 3.520443964(A)$ }
 $\alpha_e = 0.001881624$

Calculate turning points at the 67 v-values
 -0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00
 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00
 17.00 18.00 19.00 20.00 21.00 22.00 23.00 24.00 25.00 26.00 27.00
 28.00 29.00 30.00 31.00 32.00 33.00 34.00 35.00 36.00 37.00 38.00
 39.00 40.00 41.00 42.00 43.00 44.00 45.00 46.00 47.00 48.00 49.00
 50.00 51.00 52.00 53.00 54.00 55.00 56.00 57.00 58.00 59.00 60.00
 61.00

Resulting Turning Points:

v	E(v)	dE(v)/dv	B(v)	RMIN(v)	RMAX(v)	NDIV	tst(f)	tst(g)	C(exp)	d(RMIN)
-0.40	9.5411	93.6537	0.1181411455	3.4433774831	3.6030899250	2	3.7D-12	3.7D-12		
-0.20	28.2538	93.4731	0.1177817356	3.3910255327	3.6662176475	2	4.5D-13	4.3D-13		
0.00	46.9304	93.2926	0.1174382433	3.3563298755	3.7114568080	2	5.8D-13	5.9D-13	9.540879	
0.20	65.5709	93.1123	0.1171096782	3.3288990060	3.7492108023	2	5.6D-14	0.0D+00	7.535556	
0.40	84.1753	92.9322	0.1167950997	3.3056943386	3.7825296865	2	7.1D-14	0.0D+00	6.547257	
0.60	102.7438	92.7521	0.1164936143	3.2853252312	3.8128151640	2	1.2D-13	0.0D+00	5.901498	
1.00	139.7727	92.3924	0.1159265724	3.2502928119	3.8671290476	2	7.9D-14	0.0D+00	5.307723	
2.00	231.7160	91.4946	0.1146836989	3.1817425861	3.9811207866	2	4.0D-14	0.0D+00	4.541315	
3.00	322.7622	90.5979	0.1136272512	3.1278016425	4.0774161199	2	3.4D-14	0.0D+00	3.770565	
4.00	412.9118	89.7012	0.1126941968	3.0821210595	4.1632698873	2	4.0D-14	0.0D+00	3.145085	
5.00	502.1641	88.8032	0.1118369435	3.0419588892	4.2421563194	2	4.1D-14	0.0D+00	2.770094	

..... delete 25 intermediate lines of output

31.00	2475.5535	60.8194	0.0853376407	2.5748002973	5.8987370001	2	5.7D-15	0.0D+00	2.494313	
32.00	2535.6636	59.3943	0.0839351846	2.5660411322	5.9710693371	2	3.0D-15	0.0D+00	2.557118	
33.00	2594.3287	57.9291	0.0824882473	2.5576787204	6.0454464448	2	5.3D-15	0.0D+00	2.572445	
34.00	2651.5077	56.4219	0.0809967870	2.5496940239	6.1220841546	2	6.7D-16	0.0D+00	2.516015	
35.00	2707.1579	54.8708	0.0794609787	2.5420647559	6.2012201307	2	2.4D-15	0.0D+00	2.361046	

```

36.00 2761.2341 53.2737 0.0778809883 2.5347654982 6.2831191877 2 4.1D-15 0.0D+00 2.080646
37.00 2813.6893 51.6284 0.0762566898 2.5277681497 6.3680801273 2 2.2D-15 0.0D+00 1.651688
38.00 2864.4740 49.9324 0.0745873285 2.5210427320 6.4564444856 2 2.2D-16 0.0D+00 1.060115
39.00 2913.5363 48.1832 0.0728711368 2.5145585742 6.5486077108 2 1.3D-15 0.0D+00 0.307079
*** CAUTION *** Inner potential wall has negative curvature and requires smoothing for VEXT .ge. 40.00
40.00 2960.8217 46.3779 0.0711049093 2.5082858923 6.6450334682 2 1.7D-15 0.0D+00 -0.585320
41.00 3006.2725 44.5136 0.0692835503 2.5021977695 6.7462720275 2 4.4D-15 0.0D+00 -1.570285
42.00 3049.8281 42.5869 0.0673996069 2.4962725339 6.8529840661 2 2.7D-15 0.0D+00 -2.575856
43.00 3091.4244 40.5943 0.0654428122 2.4904965103 6.9659717804 2 4.9D-15 0.0D+00 -3.507648
44.00 3130.9935 38.5319 0.0633996671 2.4848670988 7.0862200463 2 2.7D-15 0.0D+00 -4.252501
45.00 3168.4635 36.3954 0.0612531062 2.4793960957 7.2149516703 2 2.2D-15 0.0D+00 -4.677874
46.00 3203.7583 34.1806 0.0589823104 2.4741131226 7.3537028255 2 8.9D-16 0.0D+00 -4.618253
47.00 3236.7971 31.8829 0.0565627497 2.4690689637 7.5044280716 2 1.1D-15 0.0D+00 -3.832715
48.00 3267.4950 29.4981 0.0539665741 2.4643385315 7.6696498268 2 1.6D-15 0.0D+00 -1.897550
49.00 3295.7631 27.0227 0.0511634986 2.4600231041 7.8526764784 2 2.2D-16 0.0D+00 2.069065
50.00 3321.5095 24.4547 0.0481223659 2.4562514269 8.0579297293 2 2.2D-16 0.0D+00 10.203665
*** CAUTION *** inner wall exponent parameter becomes very large so skip converging it.
51.00 3344.6420 21.7955 0.0448135876 2.4531793245 8.2914517940 2 1.3D-15 0.0D+00 28.503162
52.00 3365.0721 19.0516 0.0412126675 2.4509877706 8.5617204229 2 5.7D-14 0.0D+00 79.410279
53.00 3382.7221 16.2389 0.0373049516 2.4498801811 8.8810152590 2 2.8D-13 0.0D+00 292.309499
*** WARNING *** inner wall becomes unstable at v = 54.00 where RMIN turns!
54.00 3397.5369 13.3878 0.0330916168 2.4500815522 9.2678267882 2 1.7D-12 0.0D+00
55.00 3409.5017 10.5499 0.0285966481 2.4518458455 9.7513731752 2 1.1D-11 0.0D+00
56.00 3418.6677 7.8065 0.0238741458 2.4554852846 10.3807552860 2 7.8D-11 0.0D+00
57.00 3425.1846 5.2741 0.0190147352 2.4614486411 11.2455145113 4 7.5D-14 0.0D+00
58.00 3429.3359 3.1019 0.0141491994 2.4705000254 12.5288253204 4 1.5D-12 0.0D+00
59.00 3431.5617 1.4483 0.0094468833 2.4840954343 14.6775185059 8 4.2D-13 0.0D+00
60.00 3432.4448 0.4276 0.0051062558 2.5051464812 19.1825152839 8 1.7D-11 0.0D+00
61.00 3432.6260 0.0272 0.0013357077 2.5396186784 37.5136881941 32 8.5D-11 0.0D+00
*****

```

Case (iv): Pure NDE Functions for G_v and B_v VEXT > 0

```

(iv) Liu et al. JCP 111, 3494 (1999) NDE functions for Na2: VEXT > 0
*****
RKR potential for Na(23)-Na(23) with Charge= 0
Reduced mass ZMU= 11.49488483000 and constant C_u/ZMU = 1.466533099662
from atomic masses: 22.9897696600 & 22.9897696600(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisect interval up to 5 times.
performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

NDE for Gv is an (NP= 3/NQ= 2) OUTER Pade expansion in (vD-v) with
X0(n=6)= 4.4867000D-02 and leading num. and denom. powers 1 & 1
vD= 61.4100 D-G(v=-1/2)= 3432.458368
Numerator coefficients are: 4.3663600000000D-01 -3.5290000000000D-03
1.5400000000000D-05
Denominator coefficients : 4.8000000000000D-02 1.3660000000000D-02

NDE for Bv is an (NP= 7/NQ= 0) Exponential expansion in (vD-v) with
X1(n=6)= 3.0921000D-03 and leading num. and denom. powers 1 & 0
Numerator coefficients are: 1.3410000000000D-01 -1.6863000000000D-02
9.2000000000000D-04 -2.8108370000000D-05 4.9240000000000D-07
-4.6195200000000D-09 1.8000000000000D-11

Calculate Y00= 0.171244236 v(cor)= -0.0018267047 v(min)= -0.5018267047
using we= 93.7441 wexe= 0.451924 Be= 0.118327 ae= 0.00188162
and corrected effective De= 3432.629612 (after adding Y00)

At v00= -0.50183 Gv= 0.00000000 dG/dv= 93.7457 (1/2)d2G/dv2= -0.451924
Bv= 0.11833058 { ==> Req= 3.520443964(A) }
alpha_e = 0.001881624

```

Above v = 35.000 extrapolate inner wall with exponential
fitted to last 3 points (& shift RMAX accordingly)

```

Calculate turning points at the 67 v-values
-0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00
6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00
17.00 18.00 19.00 20.00 21.00 22.00 23.00 24.00 25.00 26.00 27.00
28.00 29.00 30.00 31.00 32.00 33.00 34.00 35.00 36.00 37.00 38.00
39.00 40.00 41.00 42.00 43.00 44.00 45.00 46.00 47.00 48.00 49.00
50.00 51.00 52.00 53.00 54.00 55.00 56.00 57.00 58.00 59.00 60.00
61.00

```

Resulting Turning Points:

```

v E(v) dE(v)/dv B(v) RMIN(v) RMAX(v) NDIV tst(f) tst(g) C(exp) d(RMIN)
*****
-0.40 9.5411 93.6537 0.1181411455 3.4433774831 3.6030899250 2 3.7D-12 3.7D-12
-0.20 28.2538 93.4731 0.1177817356 3.3910255327 3.6662176475 2 4.5D-13 4.3D-13
0.00 46.9304 93.2926 0.1174382433 3.3563298755 3.7114568080 2 5.8D-13 5.9D-13 9.540879
0.20 65.5709 93.1123 0.1171096782 3.3288990060 3.7492108023 2 5.6D-14 6.3D-14 7.535556
0.40 84.1753 92.9322 0.1167950997 3.3056943386 3.7825296865 2 7.1D-14 8.6D-14 6.547257
0.60 102.7438 92.7521 0.1164936143 3.2853252312 3.8128151640 2 1.2D-13 1.4D-13 5.901498
1.00 139.7727 92.3924 0.1159265724 3.2502928119 3.8671290476 2 7.9D-14 8.0D-14 5.307723
.....
delete 30 intermediate lines of output
.....

```

```

32.00 2535.6636 59.3943 0.0839351846 2.5660411322 5.9710693371 2 3.0D-15 2.2D-16 2.557118
33.00 2594.3287 57.9291 0.0824882473 2.5576787204 6.0454464448 2 5.3D-15 9.3D-15 2.572445
34.00 2651.5077 56.4219 0.0809967870 2.5496940239 6.1220841546 2 6.7D-16 6.7D-16 2.516015
35.00 2707.1579 54.8708 0.0794609787 2.5420647559 6.2012201307 2 2.4D-15 2.0D-15 2.361046
36.00 2761.2341 53.2737 0.0778809883 2.5347806271 6.2831343166 2 4.1D-15 0.0D+00 2.361046 0.0000151289
37.00 2813.6893 51.6284 0.0762566898 2.5278325674 6.3681445450 2 2.2D-15 0.0D+00 2.361046 0.0000644177

```

```

38.00 2864.4740 49.9324 0.0745873285 2.5212126519 6.4566144055 2 2.2D-16 0.0D+00 2.361046 0.0001699200
39.00 2913.5363 48.1832 0.0728711368 2.5149140417 6.5489631782 2 1.3D-15 0.0D+00 2.361046 0.0003554675
40.00 2960.8217 46.3779 0.0711049093 2.5089309366 6.6456785124 2 1.7D-15 0.0D+00 2.361046 0.0006450443

```

..... delete 15 intermediate lines of output

```

56.00 3418.6677 7.8065 0.0238741458 2.4549913497 10.3802613511 2 7.8D-11 0.0D+00 2.361046 -0.0004939349
57.00 3425.1846 5.2741 0.0190147352 2.4542710724 11.2383369426 4 7.5D-14 0.0D+00 2.361046 -0.0071775687
58.00 3429.3359 3.1019 0.0141491994 2.4538128985 12.5121381934 4 1.5D-12 0.0D+00 2.361046 -0.0166871270
59.00 3431.5617 1.4483 0.0094468833 2.4535674352 14.6469905068 8 4.2D-13 0.0D+00 2.361046 -0.0305279991
60.00 3432.4448 0.4276 0.0051062558 2.4534700877 19.1308388903 8 1.7D-11 0.0D+00 2.361046 -0.0516763935
61.00 3432.6260 0.0272 0.0013357077 2.4534501120 37.4275196277 32 8.5D-11 0.0D+00 2.361046 -0.0861685664

```

Note that for v .GE. 35.00 inner wall extrapolated as: $V(R) = -410.1832 + 0.12600573D+07*exp(-2.36104609*R)$

Case (v): No Rotational Data: Morse Inner Wall

(v) NDE-based potential for Ar-H(+): Morse Inner Wall Extrapolation

RKR potential for Ca(40)-H(1) with Charge= 0
Reduced mass ZMU= 0.98303369736 and constant C_u/ZMU = 17.148577027645
from atomic masses: 39.9625912000 & 1.0078250319(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisection interval up to 5 times.
performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

NDE for Gv is an (NP= 3/NQ= 1) OUTER Pade expansion in (vD-v) with
X0(n=4)= 1.4600000D-01 and leading num. and denom. powers 2 & 2
vD= 33.2000 D-G(v=-1/2)= 35609.923120
Numerator coefficients are: -3.967546042920D-05 4.569339259680D-06
-3.922568643150D-08
Denominator coefficients : 4.144700684780D-03

NO rotational constants input, so inner wall of potential is Morse function.
Input Req= 1.280660(Angst) plus we= 2710.952 & wexe= 61.66771 [cm-1]
yields Morse with De= 29793.805 [cm-1] and beta= 1.896334 [1/Angst.]

At v00= -0.50000 Gv= 0.00000000 dG/dv=2710.9524 (1/2)d2G/dv2=-61.667709
Bv= 10.45587538 { ==> Req= 1.280660000(A) }
alpha_e = 0.345659441

Calculate turning points at the 42 v-values
-0.40 -0.20 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60
2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00
13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 20.00 21.00 22.00 23.00
24.00 25.00 26.00 27.00 28.00 29.00 30.00 31.00 32.00

Resulting Turning Points:
v E(v) dE(v)/dv B(v) RMIN(v) RMAX(v) NDIV tst(f) tst(g) C(exp) d(RMIN)

-0.40 270.4791 2698.6344 10.4558753821 1.2326671604 1.3334617169 2 2.3D-12 0.0D+00
-0.20 807.7496 2674.0913 10.4558753821 1.2002812029 1.3755279841 2 2.4D-13 0.0D+00
0.00 1340.1238 2649.6715 10.4558753821 1.1792317644 1.4063398848 2 1.4D-13 0.0D+00
0.20 1867.6263 2625.3741 10.4558753821 1.1628329913 1.4325826462 2 1.0D-13 0.0D+00
0.40 2390.2815 2601.1982 10.4558753821 1.1491474879 1.4561934677 2 2.6D-13 0.0D+00
0.60 2908.1136 2577.1429 10.4558753821 1.1372913397 1.4780563096 2 1.8D-15 0.0D+00
0.80 3421.1467 2553.2073 10.4558753821 1.1267736204 1.4986623086 2 5.4D-14 0.0D+00
1.00 3929.4045 2529.3905 10.4558753821 1.1172884796 1.5183176490 2 6.0D-14 0.0D+00
1.20 4432.9107 2505.6914 10.4558753821 1.1086302686 1.5372284122 2 5.9D-14 0.0D+00
1.40 4931.6888 2482.1091 10.4558753821 1.1006529499 1.5555411520 2 2.4D-14 0.0D+00
1.60 5425.7621 2458.6426 10.4558753821 1.0932484604 1.5733645202 2 2.2D-15 0.0D+00
2.00 6399.8859 2412.0524 10.4558753821 1.0798454827 1.6078583065 2 4.4D-14 0.0D+00
3.00 8754.4466 2297.5257 10.4558753821 1.0522601543 1.6896839677 2 7.8D-15 0.0D+00

..... delete 25 intermediate lines of output

```

29.00 35567.6034 38.9271 10.4558753821 0.8912713112 8.1742294726 2 2.4D-12 0.0D+00
30.00 35595.2410 17.9773 10.4558753821 0.8911643690 10.2996571510 2 1.1D-12 0.0D+00
31.00 35606.5707 6.0350 10.4558753821 0.8911205477 14.3471635421 4 4.4D-12 0.0D+00
32.00 35609.6222 1.0001 10.4558753821 0.8911087469 25.1222213607 8 1.4D-13 0.0D+00

```

Case (vi): MXS Function for Gv Combined With a Pure Dunham Expansion for Bv

(vi) For Li2(A): MXS function for Gv & Dunham for Bv

RKR potential for Li(7)-Li(7) with Charge= 0
Reduced mass ZMU= 3.50800205000 and constant C_u/ZMU = 4.805478685510
from atomic masses: 7.0160041000 & 7.0160041000(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisection interval up to 5 times.
performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

Represent Gv's by Tellinghuisen-type MXS mixed representation:

=====

9'th order Dunham for v .le. VS & NDE for v > VS, with VS= 55.0000
with switching function $F_s = 1/[1 + exp\{(v-VS)/DVS\}]$ with DVS= 1.0000
and a symptote energy (dissociation limit) DLIM= 9352.1149 [cm-1]

The 9 Dunham Gv expansion coefficients are
 2.5549769914D+02 -1.5915289319D+00 4.3200696103D-03 -1.2978004834D-04
 5.1260928027D-06 -3.0435430084D-07 9.1429509688D-09 -1.4968986545D-10
 9.9805171309D-13

NDE for Gv is an (NP= 7/NQ= 0) OUTER Pade expansion in (vD-v) with
 X0(n=3)= 2.5770000D-08 and leading num. and denom. powers 2 & 2
 vD= 113.2818 D-G(v=-1/2)= 9352.114940
 Numerator coefficients are: 1.910501922487D-03 -3.893923351925D-04
 2.334546248943D-05 -6.987656722521D-07 1.155836220945D-08
 -1.015514433411D-10 3.720613823813D-13

The 18 Dunham Bv expansion coefficients are
 4.9748268077D-01 -5.4518718585D-03 -2.3104497956D-06 9.7751263602D-06
 -2.4252908342D-06 3.8310664573D-07 -4.2439455149D-08 3.3429364156D-09
 -1.8877828547D-10 7.7035317420D-12 -2.2870567961D-13 4.9541013412D-15
 -7.8026226606D-17 8.8213054293D-19 -6.9662091302D-21 3.6455244695D-23
 -1.1354723194D-25 1.5930966183D-28

Calculate Y00= 0.069258147 v(cor)= -0.0002710711 v(min)= -0.5002710711
 using we= 255.4977 wexe= 1.591529 Be= 0.497483 ae= 0.00545187
 and corrected effective De= 9352.184198 (after adding Y00)

At v00= -0.50027 Gv= 0.00000000 dG/dv= 255.4986 (1/2)d2G/dv2= -1.591529
 Bv= 0.49748416 { ==> Req= 3.107983465(A) }
 alpha_e = 0.005451872

Above v = 45.000 extrapolate inner wall with exponential
 fitted to last 3 points (& shift RMAX accordingly)

Calculate turning points at the 119 v-values

-0.40	-0.20	0.00	0.20	0.40	0.60	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00
6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00	16.00
17.00	18.00	19.00	20.00	21.00	22.00	23.00	24.00	25.00	26.00	27.00
28.00	29.00	30.00	31.00	32.00	33.00	34.00	35.00	36.00	37.00	38.00
39.00	40.00	41.00	42.00	43.00	44.00	45.00	46.00	47.00	48.00	49.00
50.00	51.00	52.00	53.00	54.00	55.00	56.00	57.00	58.00	59.00	60.00
61.00	62.00	63.00	64.00	65.00	66.00	67.00	68.00	69.00	70.00	71.00
72.00	73.00	74.00	75.00	76.00	77.00	78.00	79.00	80.00	81.00	82.00
83.00	84.00	85.00	86.00	87.00	88.00	89.00	90.00	91.00	92.00	93.00
94.00	95.00	96.00	97.00	98.00	99.00	100.00	101.00	102.00	103.00	104.00
105.00	106.00	107.00	108.00	109.00	110.00	111.00	112.00	113.00		

Resulting Turning Points:

v	E(v)	dE(v)/dv	B(v)	RMIN(v)	RMAX(v)	NDIV	tst(f)	tst(g)	C(exp)	d(RMIN)
-0.40	25.6031	255.1795	0.4969374800	3.0234371089	3.1972364033	2	1.1D-15	1.1D-15		
-0.20	76.5754	254.5439	0.4958471565	2.9645028124	3.2655732754	2	1.1D-15	1.1D-15		
0.00	127.4208	253.9093	0.4947572489	2.9252415954	3.3142559945	2	5.9D-15	5.9D-15	8.335775	
0.20	178.1392	253.2757	0.4936680686	2.8941027582	3.3548343809	2	3.1D-15	2.8D-15	6.542694	
0.40	228.7311	252.6431	0.4925798647	2.8677257784	3.3906682381	2	6.7D-16	8.9D-16	5.697028	
0.60	279.1965	252.0114	0.4914928338	2.8445729297	3.4232947125	2	4.2D-15	4.0D-15	5.170596	
1.00	379.7488	250.7506	0.4893228659	2.8048359201	3.4820274749	2	5.9D-15	6.0D-15	4.714860	
2.00	628.9293	247.6138	0.4839253904	2.7279074651	3.6067168586	2	5.3D-15	5.0D-15	4.178674	

delete 40 intermediate lines of output

43.00	8062.0241	102.0111	0.2412555800	2.0485434778	7.1373524453	2	4.0D-15	7.3D-14	2.575810	
44.00	8161.4881	96.9039	0.2324814935	2.0439677236	7.2771485827	2	1.7D-14	2.9D-13	2.616591	
45.00	8255.8092	91.7289	0.2234973505	2.0396767315	7.4254973941	2	1.3D-14	1.2D-13	2.518026	
46.00	8344.9314	86.5106	0.2143347759	2.0356644184	7.5833042914	2	6.7D-16	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0000048059
47.00	8428.8260	81.2792	0.2050337064	2.0319241366	7.7515235865	2	3.3D-15	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0000207800
48.00	8507.4973	76.0703	0.1956415038	2.0284484460	7.9311393873	2	1.2D-14	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0000542433
49.00	8580.9874	70.9239	0.1862115850	2.0252289113	8.1231385570	2	1.1D-16	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0001093876
50.00	8649.3802	65.8830	0.1768016272	2.0222559517	8.3284767587	2	4.2D-15	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0001860396

delete 40 intermediate lines of output

91.00	9349.9208	0.5433	0.0043654185	1.9930208941	52.7914063130	16	2.9D-12	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0024226820
92.00	9350.4146	0.4468	0.0043678740	1.9930010257	57.0230301833	16	1.9D-13	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0020612915
93.00	9350.8188	0.3637	0.0081788543	1.9929847656	61.8154949905	16	1.2D-11	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.0344420865
94.00	9351.1461	0.2927	0.0211356402	1.9929716000	67.2840583830	16	4.5D-12	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.1662928645
95.00	9351.4078	0.2325	0.0532459063	1.9929610696	73.5769426792	16	7.9D-12	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.4821751393
96.00	9351.6143	0.1819	0.1221249565	1.9929527639	80.8871455165	16	9.0D-12	0.0D+00	2.518026	0.9560123018
97.00	9351.7746	0.1399	0.2573510113	1.9929463169	89.4694647127	16	2.3D-12	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.4016998047
98.00	9351.8967	0.1055	0.5067819126	1.9929414038	99.6655697000	16	3.2D-11	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.6929583242
99.00	9351.9879	0.0778	0.9455438757	1.9929377378	111.9418033860	16	8.8D-11	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.8477776097
100.00	9352.0542	0.0558	1.6886134727	1.9929350682	126.9476946642	16	7.5D-12	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9233260861
101.00	9352.1012	0.0389	2.9081746778	1.9929331783	145.6092456814	8	7.7D-11	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9593706439
102.00	9352.1334	0.0261	4.8572546941	1.9929318835	169.2827628491	16	7.6D-11	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9766193774
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 16	tst(f)/(previous)= 2.0D-10/1.3D-10	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							
103.00	9352.1546	0.0168	7.9015366608	1.9929310302	200.0186077996	16	2.0D-10	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9849609294
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 16	tst(f)/(previous)= 5.1D-10/1.3D-10	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							
104.00	9352.1680	0.0103	12.5617284597	1.9929304931	241.0345549613	16	5.1D-10	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9890364583
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 32	tst(f)/(previous)= 7.4D-10/1.9D-10	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							
105.00	9352.1759	0.0059	19.5694488444	1.9929301732	297.6128540392	32	7.4D-10	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9910407175
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 32	tst(f)/(previous)= 1.3D-09/4.5D-10	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							
106.00	9352.1803	0.0032	29.9402950271	1.9929299953	378.9163296103	32	1.3D-09	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9920273470
*** CAUTION:	32 interval incomplete convergence: tst(f) & tst(g)= 5.0D-10 0.0D+00 while TOLER= 1.0D-10									
107.00	9352.1826	0.0015	45.0685981148	1.9929299044	501.9793549911	32	5.0D-10	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9925101038
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 16	tst(f)/(previous)= 4.4D-09/3.7D-09	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							
108.00	9352.1836	0.0006	66.8493802887	1.9929298629	701.4484332249	16	4.4D-09	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9927427801
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 16	tst(f)/(previous)= 1.2D-08/3.6D-09	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							
109.00	9352.1840	0.0002	97.8342234679	1.9929298466	1056.9199760441	16	1.2D-08	0.0D+00	2.518026	1.9928518808
*** STOP ITERATION:	At NDIV= 16	tst(f)/(previous)= 8.5D-08/6.7D-09	tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00							

```

110.00 9352.1842 0.0001 141.4291794378 1.99292984141786.3831882618 16 8.5D-08 0.0D+00 2.518026 1.9929007150
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 3.9D-07/1.9D-07 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
111.00 9352.1842 0.0000 202.1445232172 1.99292984033679.6494191046 16 3.9D-07 0.0D+00 2.518026 1.9929208980
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 32 tst(f)/(previous)= 2.4D-05/3.0D-06 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
112.00 9352.1842 0.0000 285.9081210720 1.9929298401***** 32 2.4D-05 0.0D+00 2.518026 1.9929280629
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 4.8D-02/1.3D-02 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
113.00 9352.1842 0.0000 400.4564901612 1.9929298401***** 16 4.8D-02 0.0D+00 2.518026 1.9929297870
*****

```

Note that for v .GE. 45.00 inner wall extrapolated as: $V(R) = -520.9673 + 0.14922924D+07 \cdot \exp(-2.51802625 \cdot R)$

Case (vii): MXS Functions for G_v and for B_v

(vii) For Li2(A): MXS function for both G_v & B_v

RKR potential for Li(7)-Li(7) with Charge= 0
Reduced mass ZMU= 3.50800205000 and constant $C_u/ZMU = 4.805478685510$
from atomic masses: 7.0160041000 & 7.0160041000(u)

Seek relative quadrature convergence 1.0D-10. Bisection interval up to 5 times.
performing 16-point Gaussian quadrature in each segment

Represent G_v 's by Tellinghuisen-type MXS mixed representation:

=====
9'th order Dunham for v .le. VS & NDE for v > VS, with VS= 55.0000
with switching function $F_s = 1/[1 + \exp\{(v-VS)/DVS\}]$ with DVS= 1.0000
and a symptote energy (dissociation limit) DLIM= 9352.1149 [cm-1]

The 9 Dunham G_v expansion coefficients are
2.5549769914D+02 -1.5915289319D+00 4.3200696103D-03 -1.2978004834D-04
5.1260928027D-06 -3.0435430084D-07 9.1429509688D-09 -1.4968986545D-10
9.9805171309D-13

NDE for G_v is an (NP= 7/NQ= 0) OUTER Pade expansion in (vD-v) with
X0(n=3)= 2.5770000D-08 and leading num. and denom. powers 2 & 2
vD= 113.2818 D-G(v=-1/2)= 9352.114940
Numerator coefficients are: 1.910501922487D-03 -3.893923351925D-04
2.334546248943D-05 -6.987656722521D-07 1.155836220945D-08
-1.015514433411D-10 3.720613823813D-13

Represent B_v 's by Tellinghuisen-type MXS mixed representation:

=====
8'th order Dunham for v .le. VS & NDE for v > VS, with VS= 55.0000

The 9 Dunham B_v expansion coefficients are
4.9749564350D-01 -5.4877585557D-03 2.6482277037D-05 -1.1398662330D-06
7.1411382626D-08 -4.4457101193D-09 1.4434190231D-10 -2.4979935584D-12
1.7253834160D-14

NDE for B_v is an (NP= 6/NQ= 0) Exponential expansion in (vD-v) with
X1(n=3)= 4.2630000D-08 and leading num. and denom. powers 0 & 0
Numerator coefficients are: 2.114415071744D-01 -2.929745145298D-02
1.369881187153D-03 -3.136194459560D-05 3.563240597730D-07
-1.610153741067D-09

Calculate Y00= 0.072227980 v(cor)= -0.0002826947 v(min)= -0.5002826947
using we= 255.4977 wexe= 1.591529 Be= 0.497496 ae= 0.00548776
and corrected effective De= 9352.187168 (after adding Y00)

At v00= -0.50028 $G_v = 0.00000000$ dG/dv= 255.4986 (1/2)d2G/dv2= -1.591529
 $B_v = 0.49749719$ { => Req= 3.107942744(A) }
alpha_e = 0.005487759

Above v = 49.000 extrapolate inner wall with exponential
fitted to last 3 points (& shift RMAX accordingly)

Calculate turning points at the 119 v-values

-0.40	-0.20	0.00	0.20	0.40	0.60	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00
6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	14.00	15.00	16.00
17.00	18.00	19.00	20.00	21.00	22.00	23.00	24.00	25.00	26.00	27.00
28.00	29.00	30.00	31.00	32.00	33.00	34.00	35.00	36.00	37.00	38.00
39.00	40.00	41.00	42.00	43.00	44.00	45.00	46.00	47.00	48.00	49.00
50.00	51.00	52.00	53.00	54.00	55.00	56.00	57.00	58.00	59.00	60.00
61.00	62.00	63.00	64.00	65.00	66.00	67.00	68.00	69.00	70.00	71.00
72.00	73.00	74.00	75.00	76.00	77.00	78.00	79.00	80.00	81.00	82.00
83.00	84.00	85.00	86.00	87.00	88.00	89.00	90.00	91.00	92.00	93.00
94.00	95.00	96.00	97.00	98.00	99.00	100.00	101.00	102.00	103.00	104.00
105.00	106.00	107.00	108.00	109.00	110.00	111.00	112.00	113.00		

Resulting Turning Points:

v	E(v)	dE(v)/dv	B(v)	RMIN(v)	RMAX(v)	NDIV	tst(f)	tst(g)	C(exp)	d(RMIN)
-0.40	25.6061	255.1795	0.4969471313	3.0233986323	3.1972079980	2	3.3D-15	2.9D-15		
-0.20	76.5784	254.5439	0.4958516691	2.9644779293	3.2655542160	2	5.8D-15	6.0D-15		
0.00	127.4237	253.9093	0.4947582466	2.9252260088	3.3142449225	2	8.9D-16	6.7D-16	8.337849	
0.20	178.1422	253.2757	0.4936668143	2.8940938619	3.3548293028	2	1.3D-15	1.3D-15	6.543549	
0.40	228.7341	252.6431	0.4925773248	2.8677215946	3.3906674239	2	2.2D-15	1.8D-15	5.696890	
0.60	279.1995	252.0114	0.4914897331	2.8445719144	3.4232967471	2	2.0D-15	1.8D-15	5.169724	
1.00	379.7518	250.7506	0.4893200731	2.8048379029	3.4820320728	2	1.8D-15	1.6D-15	4.713391	
2.00	628.9323	247.6138	0.4839263400	2.7279072064	3.6067186320	2	2.7D-15	2.4D-15	4.176945	

..... delete 40 intermediate lines of output

```

.....
43.00 8062.0271 102.0111 0.2412589816 2.0486529072 7.1374624423 2 1.8D-15 1.1D-15 2.082971
44.00 8161.4911 96.9039 0.2325109768 2.0440506931 7.2772321162 2 1.3D-14 9.3D-15 2.100804
45.00 8255.8122 91.7289 0.2235482561 2.0397275717 7.4255487952 2 9.3D-15 6.9D-15 2.121922
46.00 8344.9344 86.5106 0.2143966102 2.0356792150 7.5833196458 2 1.5D-14 1.2D-14 2.143082
47.00 8428.8290 81.2792 0.2050918461 2.0319002973 7.7515003022 2 2.9D-14 2.3D-14 2.153211
48.00 8507.5003 76.0703 0.1956805490 2.0283840142 7.9310755081 2 2.8D-14 1.2D-14 2.124768
49.00 8580.9904 70.9239 0.1862199849 2.0251215470 8.1230317429 2 7.8D-16 5.0D-14 2.004384
50.00 8649.3832 65.8830 0.1767764544 2.0221044119 8.3283257668 2 3.6D-14 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0000026832
.....
delete 40 intermediate lines of output
.....
91.00 9349.9237 0.5433 0.0054828749 1.9922074225 52.7905933683 16 2.2D-13 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0107413154
92.00 9350.4176 0.4468 0.0048343858 1.9921869662 57.0222166506 16 5.2D-12 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0132731106
93.00 9350.8218 0.3637 0.0042447604 1.9921702247 61.8146809772 16 5.8D-12 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0161634248
94.00 9351.1490 0.2927 0.0037078430 1.9921566693 67.2832439790 16 1.1D-12 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0194191920
95.00 9351.4108 0.2325 0.0032181566 1.9921458271 73.5761279630 16 9.6D-12 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0230387198
96.00 9351.6173 0.1819 0.0027709805 1.9921372754 80.8863305535 16 5.8D-12 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0270095533
97.00 9351.7776 0.1399 0.0023624202 1.9921306374 89.4686495599 16 2.3D-11 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0313061498
98.00 9351.8997 0.1055 0.0019894625 1.9921255787 99.6647544012 16 3.9D-11 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0358874912
99.00 9351.9908 0.0778 0.0016500049 1.9921218042 111.9409879905 16 1.5D-12 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0406948594
100.00 9352.0572 0.0558 0.0013428443 1.9921190555 126.9468791764 32 4.9D-11 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0456501251
101.00 9352.1042 0.0389 0.0010676020 1.9921171096 145.6084301443 8 9.3D-11 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0506550630
*** CAUTION: 32 interval incomplete convergence: tst(f) & tst(g)= 1.1D-10 0.0D+00 while TOLER= 1.0D-10
102.00 9352.1364 0.0261 0.0008245605 1.9921157765 169.2819472668 32 1.1D-10 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0555923788
103.00 9352.1576 0.0168 0.0006143927 1.9921148979 200.0177922226 8 1.3D-11 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0603292831
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 8 tst(f)/(previous)= 3.8D-10/1.2D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
104.00 9352.1709 0.0103 0.0004377745 1.9921143448 241.0337393271 8 3.8D-10 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0647244857
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 3.3D-10/1.3D-10 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
105.00 9352.1789 0.0059 0.0002949042 1.9921140155 297.6120385123 16 3.3D-10 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0686393081
106.00 9352.1833 0.0032 0.0001849888 1.9921138323 378.9155144481 32 7.2D-11 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0719530285
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 4.9D-09/2.8D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
107.00 9352.1856 0.0015 0.0001058115 1.9921137387 501.9785405388 16 4.9D-09 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0745814315
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 32 tst(f)/(previous)= 1.9D-08/2.5D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
108.00 9352.1866 0.0006 0.0000535269 1.9921136960 701.4476102169 32 1.9D-08 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0764957225
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 8.4D-09/7.9D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
109.00 9352.1870 0.0002 0.0000228278 1.99211367921056.9191687147 16 8.4D-09 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0777366851
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 5.8D-08/6.3D-09 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
110.00 9352.1871 0.0001 0.0000075505 1.99211367391786.3821355100 16 5.8D-08 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0784169107
*** CAUTION: 32 interval incomplete convergence: tst(f) & tst(g)= 8.9D-08 0.0D+00 while TOLER= 1.0D-10
111.00 9352.1872 0.0000 0.0000016323 1.99211367273679.6483059296 32 8.9D-08 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0787037348
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 32 tst(f)/(previous)= 2.5D-05/3.2D-06 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
112.00 9352.1872 0.0000 0.0000001442 1.9921136725***** 32 2.5D-05 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0787795026
*** STOP ITERATION: At NDIV= 16 tst(f)/(previous)= 6.1D-02/1.3D-02 tst(g)/(previous)= 0.0D+00/0.0D+00
113.00 9352.1872 0.0000 0.0000000003 1.9921136725***** 16 6.1D-02 0.0D+00 2.004384 0.0787927230
*****

```

Note that for v .GE. 49.00 inner wall extrapolated as: $V(R) = -2694.1259 + 0.65309251D+06 \cdot \exp(-2.00438369 \cdot R)$